

POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS OVER DUAL NUMBERS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

AMR ALI ABDULKADER AL-MAKTRY

ABSTRACT

Let k be a positive integer. For a commutative ring R , the ring of dual numbers of k variables over R is the quotient ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]/I$, where I is the ideal generated by the set $\{x_i x_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$. This ring can be viewed as $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ with $\alpha_i \alpha_j = 0$, where $\alpha_i = x_i + I$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. We investigate the polynomial functions of $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ whenever R is a finite commutative ring. We derive counting formulas for the number of polynomial functions and polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ depending on the order of the pointwise stabilizer of the subring of constants R in the group of polynomial permutations of $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Further, we show that the stabilizer group of R is independent of the number of variables k . Moreover, we prove that a function F on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is a polynomial function if and only if a system of linear equations on R that depends on F has a solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a finite commutative ring with unity. Then a function $F: R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a polynomial function on R if there exists a polynomial $f \in R[x]$ such that $f(a) = F(a)$ for every $a \in R$. In this case, we say that F is the induced function of f on R and f represents (induces) F . Moreover, if F is a bijection, we say that F is a *polynomial permutation* and f is a *permutation polynomial*. If R is a finite field, it can be shown easily by using Lagrange interpolation that every function on R is a polynomial function. The situation is different when R is not a field and it is somewhat more complicated to study the properties of polynomial functions on such a ring. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(R)$ the set of polynomial functions on R , which is evidently a monoid under the composition of functions. Moreover, its subset of polynomial permutations forms a group and we denote it by $\mathcal{P}(R)$.

Kempner [9] was the first mathematician who studied polynomial functions on a finite ring which is not a field. He studied extensively the polynomial functions on \mathbb{Z}_m , the ring of integers modulo m . However, his arguments and results were somewhat lengthy and sophisticated. Therefore, for a long time some researchers [8, 14, 11] followed up his work, obtained simpler proofs and contributed to the subject as well. Meanwhile, some others were interested in the group of polynomial permutations modulo p^n [13, 7]. Other mathematicians have generalized the concepts of polynomial functions on \mathbb{Z}_m into other rings, for example, local principal ideal rings [12] and Galois rings [4]. Later, Frisch [6] characterized the polynomial functions over a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13B25; Secondary 12E10, 06B10, 05A05, 20B35.

Key words and phrases. Finite commutative rings, dual numbers, polynomials, polynomial functions, polynomial permutations, permutation polynomials, null polynomials, finite polynomial permutation groups.

more general class of local rings. Surprisingly, all rings examined in [4, 12, 9] are contained in this class.

In a recent paper [1], the authors considered the polynomial functions of the ring $R[x]/(x^2)$, the ring of dual numbers over R . In particular, they examined extensively the properties of the polynomial functions on dual numbers over the integers modulo p^n by relating them to the polynomial functions modulo p^n . However, dual numbers over finite local rings that are not fields are not contained in the class of rings covered in [6], (see Proposition 2.9).

It should be mentioned that some mathematicians examined the properties of polynomial functions on weaker structures such as semi groups [10] and monoids [17].

The importance of studying polynomial functions emanates from their intrinsic applications in other areas. For example, permutation polynomials modulo p^n have been employed widely in computer science (see for example [15, 16]). Also, they occur as isomorphisms of combinatorial objects with vertex set \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} [2, 3]. For this reason, we think that investigating the polynomial functions on new structures will give a good chance for new applications to come out.

In this paper, we are interested in the polynomial functions of the ring of dual numbers of several variables over a finite local ring R , that is, the ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]/I$, where I is the ideal generated by the set $\{x_i x_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$, alternatively, the ring $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ with $\alpha_i \alpha_j = 0$. We relate the properties of the polynomial functions on such a ring to the polynomial functions on R (see for example Theorems 3.4 and 4.1). Furthermore, we show that the pointwise stablizer of R in the group of polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ plays an essential role in the counting formulas of the polynomial functions and the polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. More generally, we show that the properties of the polynomial functions on $R[x]/(x^2)$ discussed in [1] can be carried over to those on the ring $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$.

Here is a summary of the paper. Section 2 contains some basics and notations. In Section 3, we characterize null polynomials on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Section 4 considers permutation polynomials and polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Then, in Section 5, we consider a group of polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ that stabilizes (fixes) the elements of R pointwise, and derive some counting formulas in terms of the order of this stabilizer group. Finally, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for polynomial functions on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ in section 6

2. BASICS

In this section, we introduce some definitions and facts that appear in the paper frequently. Throughout this paper, let k be a positive integer, and for $f \in R[x]$ let f' denote its first formal derivative.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a commutative ring, and $f \in A[x]$. Then:

- (1) The polynomial f gives rise to a polynomial function on A by substitution for the variable. We use the notation $[f]_A$ for this function. We just write $[f]$ instead of $[f]_A$, when there is no confusion.
- (2) If $[f]$ is a permutation of A , then we call $[f]$ is a polynomial permutation and f a permutation polynomial on A .
- (3) If $g \in A[x]$ and $[f] = [g]$, this means that f and g induce the same function on A and we abbreviate this with $f \triangleq g$ on A .

(4) We define

$$\mathcal{F}(A) = \{[f] \mid f \in A[x]\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \{[f] \mid [f] \text{ is a permutation of } A \text{ and } f \in A[x]\}.$$

(5) If R is a subring of A , and $f \in R[x]$, then f gives rise to polynomial functions on R and as well as on A . To distinguish between them we write $[f]_R$ and $[f]_A$.

Remark 2.2. Clearly, \triangleq on A is an equivalence relation on $A[x]$. Also, there is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of \triangleq and the polynomial functions on A . In particular, if A is finite, then the number $|\mathcal{F}(A)|$ of different polynomial functions on A equals the number of equivalence classes of \triangleq on $A[x]$.

Definition 2.3. For a commutative ring R , the ring of dual numbers of k variables over R is the quotient ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]/I$, where I is the ideal generated by the set $\{x_i x_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$. We write $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ for $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]/I$, where α_i represents $x_i + I$.

Remark 2.4. Note that every element of $R[x_1, \dots, x_k]/I$ has a unique representation as an R -linear combination of $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$. That is, $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is a free R -algebra with basis $\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. We call the coefficient of 1 the “constant coefficient”. Also, R is canonically embedded as a subring in $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ by $r \rightarrow r \cdot 1$, and we have

$$R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k] = \{r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \alpha_i \mid r_0, r_i \in R, \text{ with } \alpha_i \alpha_j = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}.$$

It follows from this that every polynomial $f \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ has a unique representation $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$.

The following proposition summarizes some properties of $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ whose proof is immediate from Definition 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. Let R be a commutative ring. Then the following hold.

(1) For $a_0, \dots, a_k, b_0, \dots, b_k \in R$, we have:

$$(a) \ (a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i)(b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \alpha_i) = a_0 b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_0 b_i + b_0 a_i) \alpha_i;$$

(b) $a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i$ is a unit in $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if a_0 is a unit in R . In this case,

$$(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i)^{-1} = a_0^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{-2} a_i \alpha_i.$$

(2) $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is a local ring if and only if R is a local ring.

(3) If R is a local ring with a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of nilpotency n , then $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is a local ring whose maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R$ has nilpotency $n + 1$.

We use the following lemma frequently.

Lemma 2.6. Let R be a commutative ring and $a_0, \dots, a_k \in R$.

(1) If $f \in R[x]$, then

$$f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f'(a_0) \alpha_i.$$

(2) If $f \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$ and f_0, \dots, f_k are the unique polynomials in $R[x]$ such that

$$f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i, \text{ then}$$

$$f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = f_0(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f'_0(a_0) + f_i(a_0)) \alpha_i.$$

Proof. (1) Follows from Taylor expansion and the fact that $\alpha_i \alpha_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

(2) Follows from (1). \square

The above lemma yields necessary conditions for a function $F: R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k] \rightarrow R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ to be a polynomial function.

Corollary 2.7. Let $F: R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k] \rightarrow R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ be a polynomial function and let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k \in R$. Then:

(1) The constant coefficient of $F(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i)$ depends only on a_0 ;

(2) The coefficient of α_i in $F(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i)$ depends only on a_0 and a_i .

Definition 2.8. [6]. Let R be a finite commutative local ring with a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and $L \in \mathbb{N}$ minimal with $\mathfrak{m}^L = (0)$. We call R *suitable*, if for all $a, b \in R$ and all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $ab \in \mathfrak{m}^l \Rightarrow a \in \mathfrak{m}^i$ and $b \in \mathfrak{m}^j$ with $i + j \geq \min(L, l)$.

The following proposition shows that $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is not in the class of rings covered in [6] unless R is a finite field.

Proposition 2.9. Let R be a finite local ring. Then $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is suitable if and only if R is a finite field.

Proof. Since R is a local ring with a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and nilpotency n , $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is a

local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m} + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R$ and nilpotency $L = n + 1$ by Proposition 2.5.

Now if R is a field, the result follows easily since $\mathfrak{m}_1^2 = (0)$. If R is not a field, we notice that $L = n + 1 > 2$ and $\alpha_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{m}_1^2$, but $\alpha_1^2 = 0 \in \mathfrak{m}_1^{n+1}$. Hence $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is not suitable, when R is not a field. \square

3. POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS ON $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$

From now on, let R be a finite commutative ring with unity. In this section, we determine when a given polynomial is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$, and whether two polynomials induce the same function on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Then we apply these results to obtain a counting formula for the number of polynomial functions on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$, depending on the indices of the ideals N_R, N'_R in $R[x]$ (defined below).

Definition 3.1. (1) A polynomial $f \in R[x]$ is called a null polynomial on R if f induces the zero function.

(2) We define N_R, N'_R as:

- (a) $N_R = \{f \in R[x] \mid f \triangleq 0 \text{ on } R\}$;
- (b) $N'_R = \{f \in R[x] \mid f \triangleq 0 \text{ and } f' \triangleq 0 \text{ on } R\}$.

Remark 3.2. It is evident that N_R and N'_R are ideals of $R[x]$ with $N'_R \subseteq N_R$. Also, $f \equiv g \pmod{N_R}$ if and only if $[f] = [g]$; that is polynomial functions on R are in bijective correspondence with residue classes $\pmod{N_R}$. In particular, $|\mathcal{F}(R)| = [R[x] : N_R]$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f \in R[x]$. Then:

- (1) f is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if $f \in N'_R$;
- (2) $f \alpha_i$ is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ if and only if $f \in N_R$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.6, for every $a_0, \dots, a_k \in R$, $f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f'(a_0) \alpha_i$.

Thus the fact that f is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is equivalent to

$$f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = f(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f'(a_0) \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for all } a_0, \dots, a_k \in R.$$

But this is equivalent to $f(a_0) = 0$ and $a_i f'(a_0) = 0$ for all $a_0, a_i \in R$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$, which implies that $f(a_0) = 0$ and $f'(a_0) = 0$ for all $a_0 \in R$. Hence f and f' are null polynomials on R , which means that $f \in N'_R$.

(2) Follows immediately from Lemma 2.6. □

Theorem 3.4. Let N_R and N'_R as in Definition 3.1, and let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$. Then f is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if $f_0 \in N'_R$ and $f_i \in N_R$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, $f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = f_0(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f'_0(a_0) + f_i(a_0)) \alpha_i$ for all $a_0, \dots, a_k \in R$.

This immediately implies the “if” direction. To see the “only if”, suppose that f is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Then

$$f_0(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f'_0(a_0) + f_i(a_0)) \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for all } a_0, \dots, a_k \in R.$$

Clearly, f_0 is a null polynomial on R . Substituting first 0, then 1, for a_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, we find that f_i and f'_0 are null polynomials on R . Therefore $f_0 \in N'_R$ and $f_i \in N_R$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. □

Combining Lemma 3.3 with Theorem 3.4 gives the following criterion.

Corollary 3.5. Let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$. Then f is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if f_0 and $f_i \alpha_i$ are null polynomials on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Theorem 3.4 implies the following corollary, which determines whether two polynomials $f, g \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$ induce the same function on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$ and $g = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k, g_0, \dots, g_k \in R[x]$.

Then $f \triangleq g$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $[f_i]_R = [g_i]_R$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$;
- (2) $[f'_0]_R = [g'_0]_R$.

In other words, $f \triangleq g$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if the following congruences hold:

- (1) $f_i \equiv g_i \pmod{N_R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$;
- (2) $f_0 \equiv g_0 \pmod{N'_R}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the polynomial $h = f - g$ and notice that $f \triangleq g$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if $h \triangleq 0$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. \square

Recall from Definition 2.1 that $\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ denotes the set of polynomial functions on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. In the following proposition, we derive a counting formula for $\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ depending on the indices of the ideals N_R, N'_R .

Proposition 3.7. The number of polynomial functions on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is given by

$$|\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = [R[x] : N'_R] [R[x] : N_R]^k.$$

Proof. Let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$ and $g = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \alpha_i$ where $f_0, \dots, f_k, g_0, \dots, g_k \in R[x]$. Then by Corollary 3.6, $f \triangleq g$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if $f_0 \equiv g_0 \pmod{N'_R}$ and $f_i \equiv g_i \pmod{N_R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Define $\varphi: \bigoplus_{i=0}^k R[x] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ by $\varphi(f_0, \dots, f_k) = [f]$, where $[f]$ is the function induced on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ by $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$. Then φ is a group epimorphism of additive groups with $\ker \varphi = N'_R \times \bigoplus_{i=1}^k N_R$ by Theorem 3.4. Hence

$$|\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = [\bigoplus_{i=0}^k R[x] : N'_R \times \bigoplus_{i=1}^k N_R] = [R[x] : N'_R] [R[x] : N_R]^k.$$

\square

The following proposition gives an upper bound for the minimal degree of a representative of a polynomial function on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $h_1 \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$ and $h_2 \in R[x]$ be monic null polynomials on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ and R , respectively, such that $\deg h_1 = d_1$ and $\deg h_2 = d_2$.

Then every polynomial function $F: R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k] \longrightarrow R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is induced by a polynomial $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$ such that $\deg f_0 < d_1$ and $\deg f_i < d_2$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Moreover, if F is induced by a polynomial $f \in R[x]$ and $h_1 \in R[x]$ (rather than in $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$), then there exists a polynomial $g \in R[x]$ with $\deg g < d_1$, such that $[g]_R = [f]_R$ and $[g']_R = [f']_R$.

Proof. Suppose that $h_1 \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$ is a monic null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ of degree d_1 . Let $g \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$ be a polynomial that represents F . By the division algorithm, we have $g(x) = q(x)h_1(x) + r(x)$ for some $r, q \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$, where $\deg r \leq d_1 - 1$. Then clearly, $r(x)$ represents F . By Lemma 2.6, $r = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \alpha_i$ for some $f_0, r_1, \dots, r_k \in R[x]$, and it is obvious that $\deg f_0, \deg r_i \leq d_1 - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Now let $h_2 \in R[x]$ be a monic null polynomial on R of degree d_2 . Again, by the division algorithm, we have for $i = 1, \dots, k$, $r_i(x) = q_i(x)h_2(x) + f_i(x)$ for some $f_i, q_i \in R[x]$, where $\deg f_i \leq d_2 - 1$. Then by Corollary 3.6, $r_i \alpha_i \triangleq f_i \alpha_i$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Thus $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$ is the desired polynomial.

For the second part, the existence of $g \in R[x]$ with $\deg g < d_1$ such that $f \triangleq g$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ follows by the same argument given in the previous part. By Corollary 3.6, $[g]_R = [f]_R$ and $[g']_R = [f']_R$. \square

Remark 3.9. Let $h(x) = \prod_{r \in R} (x-r)^2$. Then h is a monic polynomial in $R[x]$, and by Lemma 3.3, it is a null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. This shows that the monic null polynomial mentioned in the last part of Proposition 3.8 always exists.

4. PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS ON $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$

This section deals with the group of polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. In the following theorem, we give a characterization for a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a finite ring. Let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$. Then f is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) f_0 is a permutation polynomial on R ;
- (2) for all $a \in R$, $f'_0(a)$ is a unit in R .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $c \in R$. Then $c \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Since f is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$, there exist $a_0, \dots, a_k \in R$ such that $f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = c$. Thus, by Lemma 2.6,

$$f_0(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f'_0(a_0) + f_i(a_0)) \alpha_i = c.$$

So $f_0(a_0) = c$, therefore f_0 is onto, and hence a permutation polynomial on R .

Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $f'_0(a)$ is a non-unit in R . Then $f'_0(a)$ is a zerodivisor of R . Let $b \in R$, $b \neq 0$, such that $b f'_0(a) = 0$. Then, by Lemma 2.6,

$$f(a + \sum_{i=1}^k b \alpha_i) = f_0(a) + \sum_{i=1}^k (b f'_0(a) + f_i(a)) \alpha_i = f_0(a) + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(a) \alpha_i = f(a).$$

So f is not one-to-one, which is a contradiction. This proves (2).

(\Leftarrow) It is enough to show that f is one-to-one. Let $a_0, \dots, a_k, b_0, \dots, b_k \in R$ such that

$$f(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \alpha_i) = f(b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \alpha_i),$$

that is,

$$f_0(a_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i f'_0(a_0) + f_i(a_0)) \alpha_i = f_0(b_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i f'_0(b_0) + f_i(b_0)) \alpha_i$$

by Lemma 2.6. Then we have $f_0(a_0) = f_0(b_0)$ and $a_i f'_0(a_0) + f_i(a_0) = b_i f'_0(b_0) + f_i(b_0)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence $a_0 = b_0$ since f_0 is a permutation polynomial on R . Then, since $f'_0(a_0)$ is a unit in R , $a_i = b_i$ follows for $i = 1, \dots, k$. \square

Theorem 4.1 shows that the criterion to be a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ depends only on f_0 , and implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. Let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$;
- (2) $f_0 + f_i \alpha_i$ is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_i]$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$;
- (3) f_0 is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$;
- (4) f_0 is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_i]$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Recall from definition 2.1 that $\mathcal{P}(A)$ stands for the group of polynomial permutations on the ring A .

Corollary 4.3. The group $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_i])$ is embedded in $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and let $F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_i])$. Then F is induced by $f = f_0 + f_i \alpha_i$ for some $f_0, f_i \in R[x]$. Furthermore, $f_0 + f_i \alpha_i$ is permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ by Corollary 4.2. Define a function $\psi: \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_i]) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ by $\psi(F) = [f]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]}$, where $[f]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]}$ denotes the function induced by f on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. By Corollary 3.6, ψ is well defined and one-to-one. Now if $F_1 \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_i])$ is induced by $g \in R[\alpha_i][x]$, then $f \circ g$ induces $F \circ F_1$ on $R[\alpha_i]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(F \circ F_1) &= [f \circ g]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \\ &= [f]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \circ [g]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \text{ since } f, g \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x] \\ &= \psi(F) \circ \psi(F_1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.4. We will show in Proposition 4.7 that the condition on the derivative in Theorem 4.1 is redundant, when R is a direct sum of local rings none of which is a field.

Lemma 4.5. [12, Theorem 3] *Let R be a finite local ring with a maximal ideal $M \neq \{0\}$ and suppose that $f \in R[x]$. Then f is a permutation polynomial on R if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1) f is a permutation polynomial on R/M ;
- (2) for all $a \in R$, $f'(a) \neq 0 \pmod{M}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let R be a finite ring and suppose that $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$, where R_i is local for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in R[x]$, where $f_i \in R_i[x]$. Then f is a permutation polynomial on R if and only if f_i is a permutation polynomial on R_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that f is a permutation polynomial on R and fix an i . Let $b_i \in R_i$. Then $(0, \dots, b_i, \dots, 0) \in R$. Thus there exists $a = (a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n) \in R$, where $a_j \in R_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $f(a) = (f_1(a_1), \dots, f_i(a_i), \dots, f_n(a_n)) = (0, \dots, b_i, \dots, 0)$. Hence $f_i(a_i) = b_i$, and therefore f_i is surjective, whence f_i is a permutation polynomial on R_i .

(\Leftarrow) Easy and left to the reader. □

From now on, let R^\times denote the group of units of R .

Proposition 4.7. Let R be a finite ring which is a direct sum of local rings which are not fields, and let $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$. Then f is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ if and only if f_0 is a permutation polynomial on R .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Follows by Theorem 4.1.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that f_0 is a permutation polynomial on R . By Theorem 4.1, we need only show that $f'_0(r) \in R^\times$ for every $r \in R$. Write $f_0 = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, where $g_i \in R_i[x]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then g_i is a permutation polynomial on R_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ by Lemma 4.6. Now let $r \in R$, so $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, where $r_i \in R_i$. Hence $f'_0(r) = (g'_1(r_1), \dots, g'_n(r_n))$ but $g'_i(r_i) \in R_i^\times$ by Lemma 4.5 for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore $f'_0(r) = (g'_1(r_1), \dots, g'_n(r_n)) \in R^\times$, i.e., $f'_0(r)$ is a unit in R for every $r \in R$. Thus f_0 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Therefore f is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. □

Corollary 4.8. Let R be a finite ring which is a direct sum of local rings which are not fields. Let $f \in R[x]$ be a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Then $f + h$ is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ for every $h \in N_R$. In particular, $x + h$ is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ for every $h \in N_R$.

Proposition 4.9. Let R be a finite ring. Let B denote the number of pairs of functions (H, G) with

$$H: R \longrightarrow R \text{ bijective and } G: R \longrightarrow R^\times$$

that occur as $([g], [g'])$ for some $g \in R[x]$. Then the number of polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is given by

$$|\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = B \cdot |\mathcal{F}(R)|^k.$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$. Then by definition F is induced by a polynomial $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$. By Theorem 4.1,

$$[f_0]: R \longrightarrow R \text{ bijective, } [f'_0]: R \longrightarrow R^\times \text{ and } [f_i] \text{ is arbitrary in } \mathcal{F}(R) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

The rest follows by Corollary 3.6. □

In the next section, we show that the number B of Proposition 4.9 depends on the order of the pointwise stabilizer of R in the group $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$. However, when R is a finite field, we can find explicitly this number. For this, we need the following well known lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements. Then for all functions*

$$F, G: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q,$$

there exists $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that

$$(F, G) = ([f], [f']) \text{ and } \deg f < 2q.$$

Proof. Let $f_0, f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $[f_0] = F$ and $[f_1] = G$ and set

$$f(x) = f_0(x) + (f'_0(x) - f_1(x))(x^q - x).$$

Then

$$f'(x) = (f''_0(x) - f'_1(x))(x^q - x) + f_1(x).$$

Thus $[f] = [f_0] = F$ and $[f'] = [f_1] = G$ since $(x^q - x)$ is a null polynomial on \mathbb{F}_q . Moreover, since $(x^q - x)$ is a null polynomial on \mathbb{F}_q , we can choose f_0, f_1 such that $\deg f_0, \deg f_1 < q$. Hence $\deg f < 2q$. \square

Proposition 4.11. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements. The number of polynomial permutations on $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is given by

$$|\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = q!(q-1)^q q^{kq}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of pairs of functions (F, G) such that

$$F: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \text{ bijective and } G: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}.$$

By Lemma 4.10, each $(F, G) \in \mathcal{B}$ arises as $([f], [f'])$ for some $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. By Proposition 4.9, $|\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = |\mathcal{B}| \cdot |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}_q)|^k$. Clearly $|\mathcal{B}| = q!(q-1)^q$ and $|\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}_q)|^k = q^{kq}$. \square

5. THE STABILIZER OF R IN THE GROUP OF POLYNOMIAL PERMUTATIONS OF $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$

The main object of this section is to describe the order of the subgroup of those polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ that fix pointwise each element of R , and then to use this order to find a counting formula for the number of polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$.

Definition 5.1. Let $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \mid F(a) = a \text{ for every } a \in R\}$.

Evidently, $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$.

Proposition 5.2. Let R be a finite ring. Then

$$St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \mid F \text{ is induced by } x + h(x), h \in N_R\}.$$

In particular, every element of $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ is induced by a polynomial in $R[x]$.

Proof. It is obvious that

$$St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R) \supseteq \{F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \mid F \text{ is induced by } x + h(x), h \in N_R\}.$$

For the other inclusion, let $F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ such that $F(a) = a$ for every $a \in R$. Then F is represented by $f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$, where $f_0, \dots, f_k \in R[x]$, and $a = F(a) = f_0(a) + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(a) \alpha_i$ for every $a \in R$. It follows that $f_i(a) = 0$ for every $a \in R$, i.e., f_i is a null polynomial on R for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus $f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i \triangleq f_0$ on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ by Corollary 3.6, that is, F is represented by f_0 . Also, $f_0 \triangleq id_R$ on R , where id_R is the identity function on R , and therefore $f_0(x) = x + h(x)$ for some $h \in N_R$ by Remark 3.2. \square

We have the following theorem, when R is a finite field, which describes the order of $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The proof is almost the same as in [1, Theorem 4.11].

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements. Then:*

- (1) $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |\{[f']_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid f \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q} \text{ and for every } a \in \mathbb{F}_q, f'(a) \neq -1\}|;$
- (2) $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |\{[f']_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid f \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \deg f < 2q \text{ and for every } a \in \mathbb{F}_q, f'(a) \neq -1\}|;$
- (3) $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = (q-1)^q.$

Proof. We begin with the proof of (1) and (2). Set

$$A = \{[f']_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid f \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q} \text{ and for every } a \in \mathbb{F}_q, f'(a) \neq -1\}.$$

We define a bijection φ from $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to the set A . If $F \in St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then it is represented by $x + h(x)$, where $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a null polynomial on \mathbb{F}_q , by Proposition 5.2. Now $h'(a) \neq -1$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, by Theorem 4.1, whence $[h']_{\mathbb{F}_q} \in A$. Now, set $\varphi(F) = [h']_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Then Corollary 3.6 shows that φ is well-defined and injective. To show φ is surjective, let $[h']_{\mathbb{F}_q} \in A$, where $h \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Then, by Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.2, $F = [x + h]_{\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \in St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus $\varphi(F) = [h']_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.10, h can be chosen such that $\deg h < 2q$.

Next, we prove (3). By (1),

$$|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq |\{G: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{-1\}\}| = (q-1)^q.$$

Now for every function $G: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{-1\}$ there exists a polynomial $f \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that $[f']_{\mathbb{F}_q} = G$ by Lemma 4.10. Thus $f(x) + x$ is a permutation polynomial on $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ by Theorem 4.1. Obviously, $x + f(x)$ induces the identity on \mathbb{F}_q , and hence $[x + f(x)]_{\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \in St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Therefore every element of the set $\{G: \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{-1\}\}$ corresponds to an element of $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, from which we conclude that $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq (q-1)^q$. This completes the proof. \square

Notation 5.4. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \mid F = [f]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \text{ for some } f \in R[x]\}.$$

In similar manner, let $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_i]) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_i]) \mid F = [f]_{R[\alpha_i]} \text{ for some } f \in R[x]\}.$

We now show that $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$.

Proposition 5.5. The set $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ and $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \cong \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_i])$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ is closed under composition. Since it is finite, it is a subgroup of $\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ and suppose that F is induced by $f \in R[x]$. Define

$$\psi: \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_i]), \quad F \mapsto [f]_{R[\alpha_i]}.$$

Then ψ is well defined by Corollary 3.6, and evidently it is a homomorphism. By Corollary 4.2, ψ is surjective. To show that ψ is one-to-one, let $F_1 \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ be induced by $g \in R[x]$ with $F \neq F_1$. Then either $f \not\equiv g$ on R or $f' \not\equiv g'$ on R by Corollary 3.6. Thus $\psi(F) = [f]_{R[\alpha_i]} \neq \psi(F_1) = [g]_{R[\alpha_i]}$. \square

We will see that $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$. But first we prove the following fact.

Proposition 5.6. Let R be a finite ring. Then for every $F \in \mathcal{P}(R)$ there exists a polynomial $f \in R[x]$ such that F is induced by f and $f'(r) \in R^\times$ for every $r \in R$.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{P}_u(R) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}(R) \mid F \text{ is induced by } f \in R[x], f': R \rightarrow R^\times\}$. By definition $\mathcal{P}_u(R) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(R)$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}(R)$. Then F is induced by $f \in R[x]$. Since R is finite, $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$, where R_i are local rings. We distinguish two cases. For the first case, we suppose that no R_i is a field. Then f is a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ by Proposition 4.7. Hence $f'(a) \in R^\times$ for every $a \in R$ by Theorem 4.1. So $F \in \mathcal{P}_u(R)$. For the second case, we assume without loss of generality that R_1, \dots, R_r are fields and no R_i is a field for $i > r$. We identify $R[x]$ with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R[x]$ and write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ where $f_i \in R_i[x]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 4.6, f_i is a permutation polynomial on R_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now a similar argument like the one given in the first case shows that $f'_i(a_i) \in R_i^\times$ for every $a_i \in R_i$ for $i = r+1, \dots, n$. On the other hand, there exists $g_j \in R_j[x]$ such that $g_j \triangleq f_j$ on R_j and $g'_j(a_j) \in R_j^\times$ for every $a_j \in R_j$, $j = 1, \dots, r$ by Lemma 4.10. Then take $g = (g_1, \dots, g_r, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_n)$. Thus $g \triangleq f$ on R and $g'(a) \in R^\times$ for every $a \in R$. Therefore g induces F and $F \in \mathcal{P}_u(R)$. \square

Theorem 5.7. Let R be a finite ring. Then:

- (1) every element of $\mathcal{P}(R)$ occurs as the restriction to R of some $F \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$;
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ contains $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ as a normal subgroup and

$$\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) / St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R) \cong \mathcal{P}(R).$$

Proof. (1) This is obvious from Proposition 5.6 and Theorem 4.1.

(2) $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$, because every element of $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ can be represented by a polynomial with coefficients in R by Proposition 5.2. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ be represented by $f \in R[x]$. Then define $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(R)$ by $\varphi(F) = [f]_R$. Now φ is well defined by Corollary 3.6, and it is a group homomorphism with $\ker \varphi = St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$. By Proposition 5.6, φ is surjective. \square

Corollary 5.8. For any fixed $F \in \mathcal{P}(R)$,

$$|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = |\{[f']_R \mid f \in R[x], [f] \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \text{ and } [f]_R = F\}|.$$

Proof. Let $f \in R[x]$ be a permutation polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ with $[f]_R = F$. Such an f exists by Theorem 5.7 (1). We denote by $[f]$ the permutation induced by f on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Then the coset of $[f]$ with respect to $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ has $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)|$ elements. By Theorem 5.7 (2), this coset consists of all polynomial permutations $G \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ with $[f]_R = G|_R$, where $G|_R$ is the restriction of the function G to R . Let $g \in R[x]$ with $[g] = G$. By Corollary 3.6, $G \neq [f]$ if and only if $[f']_R \neq [g']_R$. Thus we have a bijection between the coset of $[f]$ with respect to $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ and the set of functions $[g']_R$ occurring for $g \in R[x]$ such that $[g] = G$ permutes $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ and $[f]_R = [g]_R$. \square

When R is a finite ring which is a direct sum of local rings that are not fields, Corollary 5.8 is a special case of a general result (see Proposition 5.13).

We now employ Corollary 5.8 to find the number of polynomial permutations on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ in terms of $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)|$.

Theorem 5.9. *Let R be a finite ring. For any integer $k \geq 1$,*

$$|\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = |\mathcal{F}(R)|^k \cdot |\mathcal{P}(R)| \cdot |St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)|.$$

Proof. For $f \in R[x]$, let $[f]$ be the function induced by f on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$.

Set $B = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{P}(R)} \{[f']_R \mid f \in R[x], [f] \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \text{ and } [f]_R = F\}$.

Then $|B| = |\mathcal{P}(R)| \cdot |St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)|$ by Corollary 5.8.

Now we define a function $\Psi: \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \longrightarrow B \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{F}(R)$ as follows: if $G \in \mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$

is induced by $g = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \alpha_i$, where $g_0, \dots, g_k \in R[x]$, we let $\Psi(G) = ([g'_0]_R, [g'_1]_R, \dots, [g'_k]_R)$.

By Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 3.6, Ψ is well-defined and one-to-one. The surjectivity of Ψ follows by Theorem 5.7 and Theorem 4.1. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{P}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = |B \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{F}(R)| = |\mathcal{P}(R)| \cdot |St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| \cdot |\mathcal{F}(R)|^k.$$

\square

Definition 5.10. Let $N_R(< n) = \{f \in R[x] \mid f \in N_R \text{ with } \deg f < n\}$, and

$$N'_R(< n) = \{f \in R[x] \mid f \in N'_R \text{ with } \deg f < n\}.$$

In the following theorem, we obtain several descriptions for the order of the group $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ whenever R is a direct sum of local rings which are not fields.

Theorem 5.11. *Let R be a finite ring which is a direct sum of local rings that are not fields. Then the following hold.*

- (1) $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = |\{[f']_R \mid f \in N_R\}|$.
- (2) *If there exists a monic null polynomial on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ in $R[x]$ of degree n , then:*
 - (a) $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = |\{[f']_R \mid f \in N_R \text{ with } \deg f < n\}|$;
 - (b) $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = [N_R : N'_R] = \frac{|N_R(< n)|}{|N'_R(< n)|}$.

Proof. (1) We define a bijection φ from $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ to the set of functions induced on R by the derivative of some null polynomial on R . By Proposition 5.2, every $F \in St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ is represented by $x + f(x)$, where $f \in R[x]$ is a null polynomial on R . We set $\varphi(F) = [f']_R$. Then Corollary 3.6 shows that φ is well-defined and injective, and Corollary 4.8 shows that it is surjective.

(2) Monic null polynomials on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ with coefficients in R always exist by Remark 3.9.

(2a) If $g \in N_R$, then by Proposition 3.8, there exists $f \in R[x]$ with $\deg f < n$ such that $[f]_R = [g]_R$ and $[f']_R = [g']_R$. Evidently, $f \in N_R$.

(2b) For computing the index, define $\varphi: N_R \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R)$ by $\varphi(f) = [f']_R$. Clearly, φ is a homomorphism of additive groups. Furthermore,

$$\ker \varphi = N'_R \text{ and } \text{Im } \varphi = \{[f']_R \mid f \in N_R\},$$

and hence $N_R/N'_R \cong \{[f']_R \mid f \in N_R\}$. Therefore $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = [N_R : N'_R]$ by (1).

Finally consider the ratio, consider the sets $N_R(< n)$ and $N'_R(< n)$ as defined in Definition 5.10. The equivalence relation in Definition 2.1 restricted to these two additive subgroups and the analogous proof to the previous part show that

$$|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = [N_R(< n) : N'_R(< n)].$$

□

Remark 5.12.

(1) When $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, we have shown in Theorem 5.3 (3) that $|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = (q-1)!$. But we will see later that

$$[N_{\mathbb{F}_q} : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}] = [N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q) : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)] = q^q.$$

(2) Even when $k = 1$, Theorem 5.11 is still a generalization of [1, Proposition 7.2].

Proposition 5.13. Let R be a finite ring which is a direct sum of local rings that are not fields. Then for any fixed $F \in \mathcal{F}(R)$,

$$|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = |\{[g']_R \mid g \in R[x] \text{ with } [g]_R = F\}|.$$

Proof. Set

$$A = \{[g']_R \mid g \in R[x] \text{ with } [g]_R = F\},$$

and fix $g_0 \in R[x]$ with $[g_0]_R = F$. Then $g - g_0$ is a null polynomial on R for any $g \in R[x]$ with $[g]_R \in A$.

We define a bijection

$$\phi: A \longrightarrow \{[f']_R \mid f \in N_R\}, \quad \phi([g']_R) = [(g - g_0)']_R.$$

Since $[(g - g_0)']_R = [g']_R - [g'_0]_R$, ϕ is well defined. Further, ϕ is injective, because, for two distinct elements of A , $[g'_1]_R \neq [g']_R$ implies that $[(g_1 - g_0)']_R \neq [(g - g_0)']_R$.

Now, consider $[f']_R$, where $f \in N_R$. Then $[g_0 + f]_R = F$ and, thus, $[g'_0 + f']_R$ is in A and $\phi([g'_0 + f']_R) = [f']_R$. Therefore ϕ is surjective.

By Theorem 5.11 (1),

$$|St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| = |\{[h']_R \mid h \in N_R\}| = |A|.$$

□

Remark 5.14.

- (1) For any fixed polynomial function $F \in \mathcal{F}(R)$, Proposition 5.13 tells us that the cardinality of the set

$$\{[g']_R \mid g \in R[x] \text{ with } [g]_R = F\}$$

is independent from our choice of the polynomial function F .

- (2) Note that Proposition 5.13 is a generalization of [1, Corollary 7.6] which considers the case when $k = 1$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ ($n > 1$).

Next we show that for all $k \geq 1$ the stabilizer groups $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$ are isomorphic.

Theorem 5.15. *Let R be a finite ring and let k be a positive integer. Then $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R) \cong St_{\alpha_i}(R)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then by the definition of dual numbers (for the case $k = 1$), $R[\alpha_1] \cong R[\alpha_i]$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])$ and suppose that F is induced by $f \in R[x]$. Define

$$\psi: \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_R(R[\alpha_i]), \quad F \mapsto [f]_{R[\alpha_i]}.$$

The proof of Proposition 5.5 shows that ψ is an isomorphism. If ϕ denotes the restriction of ψ to $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$, then $St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R) \cong \phi(St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R))$. Therefore, we need only show that $\phi(St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)) = St_{\alpha_i}(R)$. Let $G \in St_{\alpha_i}(R)$. Then G is induced by $x + h(x)$ for some $h \in N_R$ by Proposition 5.2 (with $k = 1$). By Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 5.2, $F = [x + h(x)]_{R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]} \in St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)$. But then $\phi(F) = \psi(F) = [x + h(x)]_{R[\alpha_i]} = G$, hence $G \in \phi(St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R))$. This shows that $St_{\alpha_i}(R) \subseteq \phi(St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R))$. The other inclusion is similar. \square

We need the following lemma which is a straightforward result of the Third Isomorphism Theorem for rings.

Lemma 5.16. *Let R be a finite ring. Then $[R[x]: N'_R] = [R[x]: N_R][N_R: N'_R]$.*

Theorem 5.17. *Let R be a finite ring. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = [N_R: N'_R] |\mathcal{F}(R)|^{k+1}.$$

Moreover, when R is a direct sum of local rings which are not fields, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = |St_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(R)| \cdot |\mathcal{F}(R)|^{k+1}.$$

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| &= [R[x]: N'_R] |\mathcal{F}(R)|^k \quad (\text{By Proposition 3.7 and Remark 3.2}) \\ &= [N_R: N'_R] |\mathcal{F}(R)|^{k+1} \quad (\text{By Lemma 5.16}). \end{aligned}$$

The second part follows from the above and Theorem 5.11 (2b). \square

We turn now to find explicitly the number of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. To do this, we need the following lemma, and we leave its proof to the reader.

Lemma 5.18. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. Then:*

- (1) $N_{\mathbb{F}_q} = (x^q - x)\mathbb{F}_q[x];$
- (2) $N'_{\mathbb{F}_q} = (x^q - x)^2\mathbb{F}_q[x].$

Proposition 5.19. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. Then $|\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = q^{(k+2)q}$.

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f \mid f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i, \text{ where } f_0, f_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \deg f_0 < 2q, \deg f_i < q \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Then it is clear that $|\mathcal{A}| = q^{(k+2)q}$. To complete the proof, we show that if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f \neq g$, then $[f] \neq [g]$, or equivalently if $[f] = [g]$, then $f = g$. Suppose that $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where $f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \alpha_i$ and $g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \alpha_i$, such that $[f] = [g]$. Thus $[f - g]$ is the zero function on $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$. Hence $f - g = (f_0 - g_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (f_i - g_i) \alpha_i$ is a null polynomial on $\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$, whence $f_0 - g_0 \in N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and $f_i - g_i \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ by Theorem 3.4. Then, by Lemma 5.18, we have $(x^q - x)^2 \mid (f_0 - g_0)$ and $(x^q - x) \mid (f_i - g_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore $f_0 - g_0 = 0$, $f_i - g_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ since $\deg(f_0 - g_0) < 2q$ and $\deg(f_i - g_i) < q$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus $f = g$. \square

The following corollary shows that, when $R = \mathbb{F}_q$, $[N_{\mathbb{F}_q} : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}] \neq |\text{St}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ (see Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.11).

Corollary 5.20. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. Then $[N_{\mathbb{F}_q} : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}] = [N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q) : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)] = q^q$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.17, $|\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k])| = [N_{\mathbb{F}_q} : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}] |\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{F}_q)|^{k+1}$, whence $[N_{\mathbb{F}_q} : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}] = q^q$ by Proposition 5.19. On the other hand, Lemma 5.18 gives $|N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)| = q^q$ and $|N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)| = 1$. Thus

$$[N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q) : N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)] = \frac{|N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)|}{|N'_{\mathbb{F}_q}(< 2q)|} = q^q.$$

\square

6. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

In this section, an algorithm is provided which decides whether or not a given function on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ is a polynomial function and if this is so it returns its polynomial representation.

Motivated by [5, Theorem 5], we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Let R be a finite commutative ring with n elements, and let d_1, d_2 be as in Proposition 3.8. Let $F : R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k] \rightarrow R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$ be a function and, for $0 \leq i \leq k$, $F_i : R^{k+1} \rightarrow R$ the functions such that

$$F(r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \alpha_i) = F_0(r_0, \dots, r_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k F_i(r_0, \dots, r_k) \alpha_i,$$

for all $(r_0, \dots, r_k) \in R^{k+1}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) F is a polynomial function on $R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$;
- (2) F can be represented by a polynomial of degree $\leq d_1 - 1$;

(3) F can be represented by a polynomial

$$f(x) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x)\alpha_i,$$

where $f_0(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{d_1-1} a_{0l}x^l$, $f_i(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{d_2-1} a_{im}x^m$ with $a_{0l}, a_{im} \in R$ for $l = 0, \dots, d_1 - 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $m = 0, \dots, d_2 - 1$;

(4) $F_0(r_0, \dots, r_k)$ depends only on r_0 ; and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $F_i(r_0, \dots, r_k)$ depends only on r_0 and r_i .

The system of $|R| + k|R|^2$ linear equations in $d_1 + kd_2$ variables (y_{0l} with $0 \leq l < d_1$ and y_{im} with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $0 \leq m < d_2$),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{d_1-1} y_{0l}r_0^l &= b_0(r_0) \\ \sum_{l=1}^{d_1-1} (ly_{0l}r_0^{l-1})r_i + \sum_{m=0}^{d_2-1} y_{im}r_0^m &= b_i(r_0, r_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $b_0(r_0) = F_0(r_0, \dots, r_k)$, $b_i(r_0, r_i) = F_i(r_0, \dots, r_k)$ and r_j varies through all elements of R for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, has a solution in R .

Proof. It is clear that (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1). The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) follows by Proposition 3.8. (3) \Rightarrow (4) the first statement follows from Corollary 2.7. Then, suppose that F can be represented by a polynomial $f \in R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k][x]$, where $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k f_i\alpha_i$, such that $f_0(x) =$

$\sum_{l=0}^{d_1-1} a_{0l}x^l$, $f_i(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{d_2-1} a_{im}x^m$, where $f_0, f_i \in R[x]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. So, for $r_0, \dots, r_k \in R$, we have since F is induced by f ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \alpha_i) &= f(r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \alpha_i) = f_0(r_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k (r_i f'_0(r_0) + f_i(r_0)) \alpha_i \text{ (by Lemma 2.6)} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{d_1-1} a_{0l}r_0^l + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{l=1}^{d_1-1} r_i (la_{0l}r_0^{l-1}) + \sum_{m=0}^{d_2-1} a_{im}r_0^m \right) \alpha_i \\ &= F_0(r_0, \dots, r_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k F_i(r_0, \dots, r_k) \alpha_i \text{ (by the definition of } F) \\ &= b_0(r_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i(r_0, r_i) \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{d_1-1} a_{0l}r_0^l &= b_0(r_0) \\ \sum_{l=1}^{d_1-1} (la_{0l}r_0^{l-1})r_i + \sum_{m=0}^{d_2-1} a_{im}r_0^m &= b_i(r_0, r_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since each r_j varies through all the elements of R , the system of linear equations (1) has a solution $y_{0l} = a_{0l}$, $y_{im} = a_{im}$, for $l = 0, \dots, d_1 - 1$; $m = 0, \dots, d_2 - 1$; $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Finally, we can prove (4) \Rightarrow (3) by reversing the previous steps. \square

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF: P 27816-N26 and P 30934-N35. The author would like to thank Kwok Chi Chim and Paolo Leontti for valuable suggestions and comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hasan Al-Ezeh, Amr Ali Al-Maktry, and Sophie Frisch. Polynomial functions on rings of dual numbers over residue class rings of the integers. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 71(5):1063–1088, 2021.
- [2] Neal Brand. Isomorphisms of cyclic combinatorial objects. *Discrete Math.*, 78(1-2):73–81, 1989.
- [3] Neal Brand. Polynomial isomorphisms of combinatorial objects. *Graphs Combin.*, 7(1):7–14, 1991.
- [4] Joel V. Brawley and Gary L. Mullen. Functions and polynomials over Galois rings. *J. Number Theory*, 41(2):156–166, 1992.
- [5] Z. Chen. On polynomial functions from Z_n to Z_m . *Discrete Math.*, 137(1-3):137–145, 1995.
- [6] Sophie Frisch. Polynomial functions on finite commutative rings. In *Advances in Commutative Ring Theory (Foz, 1997)*, volume 205 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 323–336. Dekker, New York, 1999.
- [7] Sophie Frisch and Daniel Krenn. Sylow p -groups of polynomial permutations on the integers mod p^n . *J. Number Theory*, 133(12):4188–4199, 2013.
- [8] Gordon Keller and F. R. Olson. Counting polynomial functions (mod p^n). *Duke Math. J.*, 35:835–838, 1968.
- [9] Aubrey J. Kempner. Polynomials and their residue systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(2):240–266, 267–288, 1921.
- [10] Gerhard Kowol and Heinz Mitsch. Polynomial functions over commutative semi-groups. *Semigroup Forum*, 12(2):109–118, 1976.
- [11] Gary L. Mullen and Harlan Stevens. Polynomial functions (mod m). *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 44(3-4):237–241, 1984.
- [12] Alexander A. Nechaev. Polynomial transformations of finite commutative local rings of principal ideals. 27:425–432, 1980. transl. from 27 (1980) 885–897, 989.
- [13] Wilfried Nöbauer. Gruppen von Restpolynomidealklassen nach Primzahlpotenzen. *Monatsh. Math.*, 59:194–202, 1955.
- [14] David Singmaster. On polynomial functions (mod m). *J. Number Theory*, 6:345–352, 1974.
- [15] Jing Sun and Oscar Y. Takeshita. Interleavers for turbo codes using permutation polynomials over integer rings. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 51(1):101–119, 2005.
- [16] Oscar Y. Takeshita. Permutation polynomial interleavers: an algebraic-geometric perspective. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 53(6):2116–2132, 2007.
- [17] Robert F. Tichy. Polynomial functions over monoids. *Semigroup Forum*, 18(4):371–380, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS AND NUMBER THEORY (5010), TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, KOPERNIKUS-GASSE 24/II, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

Email address: `almaktry@math.tugraz.at`