

# HARISH-CHANDRA BIMODULES IN THE DELIGNE CATEGORY $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$

ALEXANDRA UTIRALOVA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study the category of Harish-Chandra bimodules  $HC_{\chi,\psi}$  in the Deligne category  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$ . In particular, we answer Question 3.25 posed in Pavel Etingof's paper [7] and determine for which central characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  this category is not zero.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Representation theory in complex rank first started as an example in the paper by Deligne and Milne [5], where the category  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  for  $t$  not necessarily integer was introduced. It was further developed in later papers by Deligne, where he introduced the categories  $\text{Rep}(O_t)$ ,  $\text{Rep}(Sp_{2t})$  and  $\text{Rep}(S_t)$ , interpolating the categories of representations of the groups  $O_n$ ,  $Sp_{2n}$  and  $S_n$  correspondingly, and also suggested the ultraproduct realization of these categories [2, 3].

The goal of this paper is to study the categories  $HC_{\chi,\psi}$  of Harish-Chandra bimodules for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_t$  in the Deligne category  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  where  $t$  is a generic complex number, which interpolate the categories of Harish-Chandra bimodules for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with fixed central characters to non-integer values of  $n$ . Namely, we determine for which values of central characters this category is not zero. This answers Question 3.25 in [7].

Harish-Chandra bimodules for  $GL_t$  were defined in [7] as follows. A  $(\mathfrak{gl}_t, \mathfrak{gl}_t)$ -bimodule  $M \in \text{Ind}(\text{Rep}(GL_t))$  is a Harish-Chandra bimodule if it is finitely generated (i.e. it is a quotient of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_t) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_t) \otimes X$  for some  $X \in \text{Rep}(GL_t)$ ), the action of the diagonal copy of  $\mathfrak{gl}_t \subset \mathfrak{gl}_t \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_t$  is natural and both copies of  $Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_t))$  act locally finitely on  $M$ . The category  $HC_{\chi,\psi}$  is then the category of Harish-Chandra bimodules on which the left copy of the center  $Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_t))$  acts by a central character  $\chi$  and the right one acts by  $\psi$ .

Our main result is Theorem 3.19, which shows that  $HC_{\chi,\psi}$  is nonzero if and only if  $\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{c_i u}$  (central characters for  $\mathfrak{gl}_t$  and the generating function notation for them are defined in 2.7).

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Pavel Etingof for suggesting this problem to me and for all the valuable discussions we had about it.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notations.** From now on let  $\mathbb{k} := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , let  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_-$  denote the standard Cartan subalgebra, Borel subalgebra of upper-triangular matrices and the subalgebra of strictly lower-triangular matrices in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  correspondingly, for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  let  $\mathbb{k}_\lambda$  be the extension to  $\mathfrak{b}$  of the one-dimensional  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Let  $W \simeq S_n$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$ , let  $\Lambda^+$  be the lattice of integral dominant weights and  $\rho$  be the half sum of all positive roots of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$ . We denote by  $V^{(n)}$  the  $n$ -dimensional defining representation of  $GL_n$ . By  $\Delta$  we will always mean the coproduct map.

### 2.2. Basic results and definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** *The Deligne category  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  is the Karoubian envelope (formally adjoining images of idempotents) of the rigid symmetric  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear tensor category generated by a single object  $V$  of dimension  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\text{End}(V^{\otimes m}) = \mathbb{C}[S_m]$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .*

Let us denote the object  $V^{\otimes r} \otimes (V^*)^{\otimes s} \in \text{Rep}(GL_t)$  by  $[r, s]$ .

The following theorem is copied from [7], Theorem 2.9.

**Theorem 2.2.** [3] *The category  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  has the following universal property: if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a symmetric tensor category then isomorphism classes of (possibly non-faithful) symmetric tensor functors  $\text{Rep}(GL_t) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  are in bijection with isomorphism classes of objects of dimension  $t$ , via  $F \mapsto F([1, 0])$ .*

It turns out that for non-integer values of  $t$  the categories  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  are abelian and semisimple (see for example [8], Subsection 9.12).

We will now state the result showing that  $\mathcal{C} := \text{Rep}(GL_t)$  can be constructed as a subcategory in the ultraproduct of the categories  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is the category of finite-dimensional representations of  $GL_n$ . For more details on the ultrafilters and ultraproducts see [10] or [12]. A very detailed and nice explanation of the following construction can be found in [11]. The original statement for transcendental  $t$  is due to Pierre Deligne [3] (but is left without proof). And the similar statement for all values of  $t$  (requiring passing to positive characteristics) was proved in [9] by Nate Harman.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . We will fix some isomorphism of fields  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbb{k} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** [3], [9]  $\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to the full subcategory  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  in  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{C}_n$  generated by  $\tilde{V} := \prod_{\mathcal{F}} V^{(n)}$  under the operations of taking duals, tensor products, direct sums, and direct summands if  $t$  is the image of  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} n$  under the isomorphism  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbb{k} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

The proof of this statement is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [9] or Theorem 1.4.1 in [10].

*Proof.* Clearly, the categorical dimension of  $\tilde{V}$  is  $t$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, we get a symmetric tensor functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  with  $F([1, 0]) = \tilde{V}$ . Now, the category  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  is generated by  $[1, 0]$  under the operations of taking duals, tensor products, direct sums, and direct summands. Thus,  $F$  is essentially surjective. It is left to show that it is fully faithful. Since both  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  are Karoubian envelopes of additive categories generated by  $[r, s]$  and  $\tilde{V}^{\otimes r} \otimes (\tilde{V}^*)^{\otimes s}$  correspondingly, it is enough to check that  $F$  induces an isomorphism of algebras

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}([r, s]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}(\tilde{V}^{\otimes r} \otimes (\tilde{V}^*)^{\otimes s}).$$

But this is an easy consequence of the Schur-Weyl duality, since both algebras are isomorphic to the walled Brauer algebra  $B_{r,s}(t)$  (it is ensured for the ultraproduct, since it holds for  $\text{End}_{GL_n}((V^{(n)})^{\otimes r} \otimes ((V^{(n)})^*)^{\otimes s})$  for all  $n > r + s$ ). ■

**Remark 2.4.** Clearly, one can only obtain transcendental numbers  $t$  as the image of  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} n$ . To get this construction for algebraic  $t$ , one would need to consider the representations of  $GL_n$  over some fields of positive characteristic (see [9]).

However, applying automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{k}$ , one can show that any transcendental  $t$  can be obtained in this manner.

So, from now on we assume for simplicity that  $t$  is non-algebraic. We expect, however, that similar results hold for all non-integer values of  $t$ .

Let us denote by  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  the category of ind-objects (i.e. filtered colimits of regular objects) of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_t = V \otimes V^*$ . It is a Lie algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let us denote the commutator map  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  by  $c$ . We define its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  as a quotient of the tensor algebra  $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$  by the ideal generated by the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} r : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \subset T(\mathfrak{g}), \\ r &= c \oplus (\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}} - \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  is the permutation of tensor factors.

For any object  $X = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} X^{(n)}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  we can define an action map

$$a_X : \mathfrak{g} \otimes X \rightarrow X$$

as the ultraproduct  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} a_{X^{(n)}}$ , where  $a_{X^{(n)}} : \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}) \otimes X^{(n)} \rightarrow X^{(n)}$  is the natural action of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  on  $X^{(n)}$ . Clearly,  $a_X$  is a Lie algebra action, i.e.

$$(a_X \oplus (a_X \circ (id_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes a_X))) \circ (r \otimes id_X) = 0$$

as a map from  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes X$  to  $X$  (where  $r$  is the map  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  defined in 2.5). That is,  $a_X$  induces the action of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $X$ . We will refer to this action as the *natural* action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ ).

Clearly, the natural action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  extends to  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ .

We define the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt (or PBW) filtration  $F$  on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  as the image of  $T^i(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes i}$  under the quotient map  $T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ . We have

$$F_i U(\mathfrak{g}) := \prod_{\mathcal{F}} F_i U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})),$$

where we abuse the notation and denote by  $F$  the PBW-filtration on  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$  as well.

The center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$

$$Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) = U(\mathfrak{g})^{GL_t} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, U(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigcup_i \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, F_i U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

is a filtered algebra in the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. We have

$$F_i Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) := Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \cap F_i U(\mathfrak{g}) = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} (Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))) \cap F_i U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))).$$

The Harish-Chandra isomorphism tells us that  $Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})))$  is isomorphic to the algebra of symmetric polynomials  $\mathbb{k}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$  with filtration given by the degree. Given a central element  $C$  we recover the corresponding symmetric polynomial  $p$  by looking at the action of  $C$  on the Verma module  $M_{\lambda} := U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{k}_{\lambda-\rho}$  with the highest weight  $\lambda - \rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . We have  $p(\lambda) = C|_{M_{\lambda}}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** *The shift of the highest weight in the definition of  $M_{\lambda}$  is needed to replace the dot-action of  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  with the usual action.*

Symmetric polynomials in  $n$  variables are freely generated (as an algebra) by the first  $n$  power sums polynomials  $p_k := \sum_i x_i^k$ . For any  $k$  and any  $n$  we define the element  $C_k \in Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})))$  to be the image of  $p_k$  under the isomorphism  $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n} \rightarrow Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})))$ . I.e.  $C_k$  is the central element, which acts on each  $M_{\lambda}$  via the constant  $\sum_i \lambda_i^k$ .

We get that  $Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))) \simeq \mathbb{k}[C_1, \dots, C_n]$  with  $\deg C_k = k$ . And therefore,

$$Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathbb{C}[C_1, C_2, \dots],$$

$$C_i := \prod_{\mathcal{F}} C_i \text{ (by the abuse of notation).}$$

**Definition 2.7.** A central character is an algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

By the result above,  $\psi$  is completely determined by the numbers  $\psi_k = \psi(C_k)$ . For convenience let us adopt the (exponential) generating function notation  $\psi(u) = \frac{1}{(e^u - 1)} \sum \frac{1}{k!} \psi_k u^k \in \mathbb{C}((u))$ , where we put  $\psi_0 = 1$ .

**Remark 2.8.** We divide the generating function by  $(e^u - 1)$  only for the reason that it yields better looking formulas, and we treat the factor  $\frac{1}{(e^u - 1)}$  formally.

For each  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  let us fix a central character  $\psi^{(n)} : Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . It is determined by  $n$  numbers  $\psi_k^{(n)} = \psi^{(n)}(C_k)$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . However, since the elements  $C_k$  are defined for all  $k$ , we can define the (exponential) generating function  $\psi^{(n)}(u) = \frac{1}{(e^u - 1)} \sum \frac{1}{k!} \psi_k^{(n)} u^k \in \mathbb{k}((u))$ . Due to the algebraic independence of  $\{C_k\}_{k \leq n}$  in  $Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})))$  we can choose  $\psi_k^{(n)}$  to be an arbitrary number for  $n > k$ . Thus, any central character  $\psi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be obtained as an ultraproduct of central characters  $\psi^{(n)}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  (i.e.  $\psi_k = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \psi_k^{(n)}$ ). We write  $\psi = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \psi^{(n)}$ .

### 3. THE CATEGORY $HC_{\chi, \psi}$

**3.1. Definitions and notations.** We will henceforth consider objects with the action of  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ . Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_l$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_r$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_d$  the left, the right and the diagonal copy of  $\mathfrak{g}$  inside  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  (with the diagonal copy being the image of the map  $id_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus id_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ ). Moreover, we will freely switch between the left action of  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  and the double action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  both on the left and on the right, the first given by the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  and the second by minus the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_r$ .

Clearly, any left  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$  in  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  with the action map  $f : \mathfrak{g} \otimes M \rightarrow M$  has a unique action of  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ , s.t.  $\mathfrak{g}_l$  acts by the original action and the diagonal copy  $\mathfrak{g}_d$  acts naturally, i.e. via the map  $a_M$ . Indeed, one defines the new action map  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \otimes M \rightarrow M$  as  $f \oplus (a_M - f)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A Harish-Chandra bimodule for  $GL_t$  is a  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ , such that

- (1)  $M$  is finitely generated, i.e. it is a quotient of  $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})) \otimes X$  for some  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathfrak{g}_d$  acts naturally (i.e. via  $a_M$ ) on  $M$ ;
- (3) the center  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))$  acts locally finitely on  $M$ , i.e.  $\text{Ann}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))} M$  is an ideal of finite codimension.

Any maximal ideal in  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))$  (i.e. ideal of codimension 1) corresponds to a pair of central characters  $\chi, \psi : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$  the category of Harish-Chandra bimodules on which  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_l))$  acts via  $\chi$  and  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_r))$  acts via  $\psi$ .

*Example.* Let  $U_\chi := U(\mathfrak{g})/(z - \chi(z))$ , where  $z$  runs over all elements in the center. Clearly,  $U_\chi \in HC_{\chi, \chi}$ .

*Note.* For each  $i$  we have  $F_i U_\chi = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} F_i U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) / (z - \chi^{(n)}(z))$ , where  $\chi = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \chi^{(n)}$ .<sup>1</sup>

Clearly, every irreducible Harish-Chandra bimodule must lie in one of the categories  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$ , so they are interesting to study.

**Remark 3.2.** *Note that for regular Harish-Chandra bimodules (for  $GL_n$ ) condition (2) of Definition 3.1 translates to the fact that one can integrate the action of the diagonal copy  $(\mathfrak{gl}_n)_d$  on  $M$  to the action of  $GL_n$ ; and condition (3) is equivalent to  $M$  having a finite  $K$ -type, which means that for any simple  $GL_n$  representation  $L$  the multiplicity space  $\text{Hom}_{GL_n}(L, M)$  is finite-dimensional (see [1], Proposition 5.3). However, in  $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$  the latter is no longer true. Harish-Chandra bimodules of finite  $K$ -type are studied to some extent in [13]. One can also find there some non-trivial examples of irreducible Harish-Chandra bimodules.*

For any object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  the tensor product  $U_\psi \otimes X$  is naturally a left  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with  $\mathfrak{g}$  acting on  $U_\psi$  by multiplication on the left and on  $X$  naturally (so it is easy to deduce that the right action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is by (minus) multiplication on the right and affects only the  $U_\psi$  part of the tensor product). Let  $N(\chi, \psi, X) := (U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi$  denote the quotient  $(U_\psi \otimes X)/(z - \chi(z))(U_\psi \otimes X)$ , where we factor out by the action of the left copy of  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ . Clearly,  $N(\chi, \psi, X) \in HC_{\chi, \psi}$ .

### 3.2. Bimodules $N(\chi, \psi, X)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *(see [7] Section 3.6) The category  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$  is nonzero iff  $N(\chi, \psi, X) \neq 0$  for some  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we have that as  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ -bimodules

$$N(\chi, \psi, X) := U_\chi \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_l)} (U_\psi \otimes X) \simeq (U_\chi \otimes U_\psi^{op}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_d)} X,$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_d$  acts on the right on  $U_\chi \otimes U_\psi^{op}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>We will abuse the notation and denote by  $U_\chi$  the quotient  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) / (z - \chi(z))$  too, when it is clear from the context which one we refer to.

Now suppose  $M \in HC_{\chi, \psi}$ , then  $M$  is finitely generated, i.e. it is generated by some subobject  $X$  (that lies in  $\mathcal{C}$ ). There is a natural morphism  $N(\chi, \psi, X) \rightarrow M$  whose image is the subbimodule of  $M$  generated by  $X$  (since  $X$  generates  $M$ , it is surjective). Thus, if  $M$  is nonzero then so is  $N(\chi, \psi, X)$ . ■

**Corollary 3.4.** *The category  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$  is nonzero iff  $N(\chi, \psi, [r, s]) \neq 0$  for some  $[r, s]$ .*

So, we want to understand for which  $\chi, \psi$  the bimodule  $(U_\psi \otimes [r, s])_\chi$  is not zero. For this purpose let us first look at  $(U_\psi \otimes V)_\chi$  and understand for which  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  it is not zero.

**3.3. The basic case for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$ .** Let us look at the finite-dimensional case, namely  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$ . We have a homomorphism  $U_\psi \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(M_\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  (determined up to permutation of  $\lambda_i$ ) which is injective by Duflo's theorem (which states that the annihilator of a Verma module is the ideal generated by the kernel of the corresponding central character, see [6], Theorem 8.4.3). So there is an injective morphism of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$ -bimodules

$$U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M_\lambda, M_\lambda \otimes V^{(n)}),$$

where the right action of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  is on the source and the left action is on the target. Thus, it is enough to consider the action of the center on  $M_\lambda \otimes V^{(n)}$  (instead of considering the left action on  $U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}$ ). For generic (without nontrivial stabilizers in  $W$ ) weight  $\lambda$  we have  $M_\lambda \otimes V^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_{\lambda+e_i}$ , where  $\lambda + e_i = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i + 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . When restricted to the direct summand  $M_{\lambda+e_l}$ , each  $C_k$  acts by  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k + (\lambda_l + 1)^k - \lambda_l^k$ .

Let  $\Omega := \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(C_2) - C_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1)$ . Then

$$(2\Omega + 1)|_{M_{\lambda+e_l}} = 2\lambda_l + 1$$

and thus,

$$(\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}} = (\Omega|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}} + 1)^k - \Omega^k|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}}.$$

Let us denote  $P_k(b) := (b+1)^k - b^k$ . Then, we have proved the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For generic central character  $\psi$ , i.e. such that  $U_\psi$  acts on  $M_\lambda$  with generic  $\lambda$ , we have:*

$$\Delta(C_k)|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}} - C_k \otimes 1|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}} = P_k(\Omega|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}}).$$

Now let us identify the  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(M_\lambda)$  with  $A := \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(U(\mathfrak{n}_-))$  via the natural isomorphism of vector spaces  $M_\lambda$  and  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ . The

representations  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(M_\lambda)$  thus give us a family of algebra homomorphisms to  $A$  depending on  $\lambda$  polynomially. That is to say, we have a map of algebras  $\varphi : U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , which composed with the quotient map  $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  sending  $x_i$  to  $\lambda_i$ , and the natural isomorphism between  $A$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(M_\lambda)$ , gives us precisely the representation of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  on  $M_\lambda$ . Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  the maximal ideal generated by  $x_i - \lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

The family of representations of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  on  $M_\lambda \otimes V^{(n)}$  for varying  $\lambda$  (with the left copy acting on  $M_\lambda$  and the right copy acting on  $V^{(n)}$ ) produces a map  $\varphi \otimes \rho_{V^{(n)}} : U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V^{(n)})$ . We have proved above that the image of  $\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1 - P_k(\Omega)$  under  $\varphi \otimes \rho_{V^{(n)}}$  has to lie in  $A \otimes (\bigcap_{\lambda \text{ generic}} \mathfrak{m}_\lambda) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(V^{(n)})$ . Since the set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^n$  such that some  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$  (i.e.  $\lambda$  has a nontrivial stabilizer in  $W$  and hence is non-generic by our definition) is closed in  $\mathbb{k}^n$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{\lambda \text{ generic}} \mathfrak{m}_\lambda$  is zero. Thus,  $\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1 - P_k(\Omega)$  acts as zero on  $M_\lambda \otimes V^{(n)}$  for any (not necessary generic)  $\lambda$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** *For any central character  $\psi$  of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$*

$$\Delta(C_k)|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}} - C_k \otimes 1|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}} = P_k(\Omega)|_{U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}}.$$

**Remark 3.7.** *We have  $\frac{1}{(e^u - 1)} \sum \frac{1}{k!} P_k(b) u^k = e^{bu}$ .*

Recall that  $\Omega$  was defined as  $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta(C_2) - C_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1)$ . The element  $C_k \in Z(U((\mathfrak{gl}_n)_r))$  acts on  $U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}$  as  $C_k \otimes 1$ , and  $C_k \in Z(U((\mathfrak{gl}_n)_l))$  acts on  $U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)}$  as  $\Delta(C_k)$ . Thus,  $\Omega$  acts on  $(U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)})_\chi$  as a constant  $b = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_2 - \psi_2 - 1)$ . So, by Corollary 3.6,  $(U_\psi \otimes V^{(n)})_\chi \neq 0$  only when  $\chi(u) - \psi(u) = e^{bu}$ .

Thus, for each  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  we must have  $\chi^{(n)}(u) - \psi^{(n)}(u) = e^{b_n u}$  for some  $b_n \in \mathbb{k}$ . So, in the case of  $\mathfrak{gl}_t$ ,  $\chi(u) - \psi(u) = e^{bu}$  for  $b = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} b_n$ .

**3.4. The basic case for  $\mathfrak{gl}_t$ .** We have just proved the following statement.

**Lemma 3.8.** *For any central characters  $\psi, \chi$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  the bimodule  $(U_\psi \otimes V)_\chi$  is nonzero only if*

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = e^{bu}$$

*for some  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

**Lemma 3.9.** *For any finitely generated  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ -bimodule  $M$  in  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$*

$$(\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)|_{M \otimes V} = P_k(\Omega)|_{M \otimes V}.$$



*Proof.* We have proved this statement for  $M = U_\psi$ . It is easy to see that the same proof works for the module  $M = U_\psi \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes X$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $U(\mathfrak{g}_l)$  acts only on the  $U_\psi$  part of the tensor product.  $X$  is represented by some sequence of  $GL_n$ -modules  $(X_1, X_2, \dots)$ , so we can pass to a finite dimensional case. There  $U_\psi \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \otimes X_n \otimes V \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{l=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M_\lambda, M_{\lambda+e_l}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})) \otimes X_n$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})_l$  acts only on the Hom-part of the tensor product, moreover, only on the target, i.e. on  $M_{\lambda+e_l}$ , and we can repeat the proof from above. Thus, we have obtained the following:

$$(\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)|_{(U_\psi \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^{op} \otimes X) \otimes V} = P_k(\Omega|_{(U_\psi \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^{op} \otimes X) \otimes V}).$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$  embeds into  $\bigoplus_{\chi^{(n)}} U_{\chi^{(n)}}$ , where the sum runs over all central characters  $\chi^{(n)} : Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . Therefore, the same holds for  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Hence, the statement of the lemma holds for  $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^{op} \otimes X$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Finally, by definition, any finitely generated  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is a quotient of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes X$  with  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $U(\mathfrak{g}_l)$  acting only on the leftmost part of this tensor product. This ends the proof. ■

**Remark 3.10.** A similar reasoning shows that for any finitely generated  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $M$  in  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$

$$(\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)|_{M \otimes V^*} = \overline{P}_k(\Omega|_{M \otimes V^*}),$$

where  $\overline{P}_k(c) = (c - 1)^k - c^k$ .

*Note.* It is easy to see that  $\frac{1}{(e^u - 1)} \sum \overline{P}_k(c) u^k = -e^{(c-1)u}$ .

**3.5. The general case.** Now we are ready to consider the action of the central elements on  $U_\psi \otimes [r, s]$ . Note that the central element  $C$  of  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_r))$  acts on this module as  $(C \otimes 1)_{U_\psi, [r, s]}$ , and if it is considered as an element of  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_l))$  then it acts as  $\Delta(C)_{U_\psi, [r, s]}$  meaning that the first tensor factor acts on  $U_\psi$  and the second acts on  $[r, s]$ .

Let us adopt some convenient notation. Let  $A$  be any element in  $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))$ . Denote by  $A_j$  its image under the following map  $\tau_j : U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes(m+1)}$ :

$$\tau_j = (\Delta^{j-1} \otimes \text{id}) \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{m-j}.$$

We also denote by  $A_j$  the corresponding operator acting on  $X_0 \otimes X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m$ , where each  $X_i$  is a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Let us view  $U_\psi \otimes [r, s]$  as the string of tensor factors

$$U_\psi \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V \otimes V^* \otimes \dots \otimes V^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)_{U_\psi, [r, s]} &= \Delta^{r+s}(C_k) - (C_k \otimes 1)_1 = \\ &= (\Delta^{r+s-1} \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1) + \Delta^{r+s-1}(C_k) \otimes 1 - (C_k \otimes 1)_1 = \\ &= (\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)_{r+s} + \Delta^{r+s-1}(C_k) \otimes 1 - (C_k \otimes 1)_1 = \\ &= \dots = \\ &= (\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)_{r+s} + (\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)_{r+s-1} + \dots + (\Delta(C_k) - C_k \otimes 1)_1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.9 and Remark 3.10, this is equal to

$$\overline{P}_k(\Omega_{r+s}) + \dots + \overline{P}_k(\Omega_{r+1}) + P_k(\Omega_r) + \dots P_k(\Omega_1).$$

**Theorem 3.11.**  $(U_\psi \otimes [r, s])_\chi \neq 0$  only if there exist numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{(c_i-1)u}.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}((U_\psi \otimes [r, s])_\chi)$ . It is a nonzero algebra and there is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}[\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{r+s}]$  to it. Its image is a nonzero finitely generated commutative algebra where

$$\overline{P}_k(\Omega_{r+s}) + \dots + \overline{P}_k(\Omega_{r+1}) + P_k(\Omega_r) + \dots P_k(\Omega_1) = \chi_k - \psi_k.$$

Thus, by the Nullstellensatz, there exists a maximal ideal in this algebra, i.e. numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_s$ , such that

$$\overline{P}_k(c_s) + \dots + \overline{P}_k(c_1) + P_k(b_r) + \dots P_k(b_1) = \chi_k - \psi_k.$$

This ends the proof. ■

**Corollary 3.12.** If  $HC_{\chi, \psi} \neq 0$  then there exist numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{(c_i-1)u}.$$

**3.6. The reverse direction: constructing a nonzero object in  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$ .** Now we want to prove the converse, i.e. if such numbers exist, then the category is nonzero. The remainder of this paper will be devoted to proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Suppose there exist numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{(c_i - 1)u}.$$

*Then  $HC_{\chi, \psi} \neq 0$ .*

To prove this we are going to show that  $(U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi$  is nonzero for some  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $b_i = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} b_i^{(n)}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $c_i = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} c_i^{(n)}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , with  $b_i^{(n)}, c_i^{(n)} \in \mathbb{k}$ .*

*Then for any  $\psi(u)$  there exists a presentation of it as an ultraproduct of  $\psi^{(n)}(u)$  - central characters of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  - so that  $\psi^{(n)}(u) = 0$  for  $n \leq r + s$  and when  $n > r + s$  then  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  acts with central character  $\psi^{(n)}$  on some  $M_{\mu^{(n)}}$ , where*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^{(n)} &= b_i^{(n)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \\ \mu_{n-j+1}^{(n)} &= c_j^{(n)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us take an arbitrary presentation of the numbers  $\psi_k$  as an ultraproduct:  $\psi_k = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \phi_k^{(n)}$ .

We will prove that for a fixed  $k$  we can change finitely many of the numbers  $\phi_k^{(n)}$ , namely those with  $n < k + s + r$  so that the resulting central characters satisfy the condition above.

For a fixed  $n$  consider the following equations on  $m := n - r - s$  variables  $x_i$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ :

$$(3.14.1) \quad (b_1^{(n)})^k + \dots + (b_r^{(n)})^k + (c_1^{(n)})^k + \dots + (c_s^{(n)})^k + x_1^k + \dots + x_m^k = \phi_k^{(n)}.$$

They are equations on the first  $m$  power sums of  $x_i$ . The ring of symmetric polynomials in  $m$  variables is freely generated by the first  $m$  power sums, so these equations determine a point in the maximal spectrum of  $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_n}$ . The inclusion  $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m]^{S_n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  induces a surjective map on the maximal spectra (the quotient map by the  $S_n$ -action), thus, there exists a solution  $x_i = a_i^{(n)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1^{(n)} &= b_1^{(n)}, \dots, \mu_r^{(n)} = b_r^{(n)}, \\ \mu_{r+1}^{(n)} &= a_1^{(n)}, \dots, \mu_{n-s}^{(n)} = a_{n-r-s}^{(n)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_{n-s+1}^{(n)} = c_s^{(n)}, \dots, \mu_n^{(n)} = c_1^{(n)}.$$

Consider the central character  $\psi^{(n)}$  corresponding to the  $\mu^{(n)}$  above. Then for  $1 \leq k \leq n - r - s$  we have

$$\psi_k^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i^{(n)})^k = \sum_{i=1}^r (b_i^{(n)})^k + \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^{(n)})^k + \sum_{i=1}^s (c_i^{(n)})^k = \phi_k^{(n)} \text{ (by 3.14.1).}$$

Putting  $\psi^{(n)} = 0$  for  $n \leq r + s$ , we see that for a fixed  $k$  we have  $\psi_k^{(n)} = \phi_k^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq k + r + s$  and hence,  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} \psi_k^{(n)} = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \phi_k^{(n)} = \psi_k$ . ■

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $n > r + s$  and*

$$\lambda = \mu + e_1 + \dots + e_r - e_{n-s+1} - \dots - e_n.$$

*Suppose  $Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})))$  acts on  $M_\lambda$  with character  $\chi$  and on  $M_\mu$  with character  $\psi$ . Then*

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = e^{\mu_1 u} + \dots + e^{\mu_r u} - e^{(\mu_{n-s+1}-1)u} - \dots - e^{(\mu_n-1)u}.$$

*Proof.* The element  $C_k$  acts on  $M_{\mu+e_l}$  as  $\sum_j \mu_j^k + P_k(\mu_l)$  and on  $M_{\mu-e_l}$  as  $\sum_j \mu_j^k + \overline{P}_k(\mu_l)$ . Thus,

$$C_k|_{M_{\mu+e_l}} - C_k|_{M_\mu} = P_k(\mu_l)$$

and

$$C_k|_{M_{\mu-e_l}} - C_k|_{M_\mu} = \overline{P}_k(\mu_l).$$

We put  $\lambda^{[0]} := \lambda$ ,  $\lambda^{[i]} := \lambda^{[i-1]} - e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $\mu^{[i]} := \mu^{[i-1]} - e_{n-i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$  with  $\mu^{[0]} := \mu$  (thus  $\mu^{[s]} = \lambda^{[r]}$ ):

$$\mu^{[0]} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n),$$

$$\mu^{[1]} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}, \mu_n - 1),$$

$$\mu^{[2]} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1} - 1, \mu_n - 1),$$

...

$$\mu^{[s]} = \lambda^{[r]} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-s}, \mu_{n-s+1} - 1, \dots, \mu_n - 1),$$

$$\lambda^{[r-1]} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{r-1}, \mu_r + 1, \mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-s}, \mu_{n-s+1} - 1, \dots, \mu_n - 1),$$

...

$$\lambda^{[1]} = (\mu_1, \mu_2 + 1, \dots, \mu_r + 1, \mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-s}, \mu_{n-s+1} - 1, \dots, \mu_n - 1)$$

$$\lambda^{[0]} = (\mu_1 + 1, \dots, \mu_r + 1, \mu_{r+1}, \dots, \mu_{n-s}, \mu_{n-s+1} - 1, \dots, \mu_n - 1).$$

Now let  $\chi^{[i]}$  be the central character corresponding to  $\lambda^{[i]}$  and  $\psi^{[i]}$  be the central character corresponding to  $\mu^{[i]}$ . Thus,  $\chi_k^{[i]} - \chi_k^{[i+1]} = P_k(\lambda_{i+1}^{[i+1]}) = P_k(\mu_{i+1})$  and  $\psi_k^{[i+1]} - \psi_k^{[i]} = \overline{P}_k(\mu_{n-i}^{[i]}) = \overline{P}_k(\mu_{n-i})$ . Summing up these equations for all  $\chi$ 's and  $\psi$ 's we obtain

$$\chi_k - \psi_k = P_k(\mu_1) + \dots + P_k(\mu_r) + \overline{P}_k(\mu_{n-s+1}) + \dots + \overline{P}_k(\mu_n),$$

which leads to the desired relation for generating functions  $\chi(u)$  and  $\psi(u)$ . ■

**Corollary 3.16.** *(of Lemmas 3.14 and 3.15)*

*Suppose*

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{(c_i-1)u}$$

for some  $b_i \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq i \leq r, c_j \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq j \leq s$ . Then there exist presentations of  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  as ultraproducts of  $\chi^{(n)}$  and  $\psi^{(n)}$  correspondingly, so that for any  $n > r + s$  the algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$  acts on some  $M_{\chi^{(n)}}$  with central character  $\chi^{(n)}$  and if  $\mu^{(n)} = \lambda^{(n)} - e_1 - \dots - e_r + e_{n-s+1} + \dots + e_n$ , then  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$  acts on  $M_{\mu^{(n)}}$  with central character  $\psi^{(n)}$ .

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 3.14 to  $\psi(u), b_i, c_j$  to obtain central characters  $\psi^{(n)}$  and weights  $\mu^{(n)}$ , satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Then for  $n > r + s$ , we put  $\lambda^{(n)} = \mu^{(n)} + e_1 + \dots + e_r - e_{n-s+1} - \dots - e_n$  and denote by  $\chi^{(n)}$  the central character corresponding to  $\lambda^{(n)}$ .

We use Lemma 3.15 for  $\lambda^{(n)}$  and  $\mu^{(n)}$  to see that

$$\chi^{(n)}(u) - \psi^{(n)}(u) = e^{b_1^{(n)}u} + \dots + e^{b_r^{(n)}u} - e^{(c_1^{(n)}-1)u} - \dots - e^{(c_s^{(n)}-1)u}.$$

We put  $\chi^{(n)} = 0$  for  $n \leq r + s$ . If now  $\tilde{\chi}(u) = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \chi^{(n)}(u)$  then

$$\tilde{\chi}(u) - \psi(u) = e^{b_1 u} + \dots + e^{b_r u} - e^{(c_1-1)u} - \dots - e^{(c_s-1)u}$$

and thus  $\tilde{\chi}(u) = \chi(u)$  and we are done. ■

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu$ , be such that  $\lambda - \mu \in \Lambda^+$  and suppose  $X$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$ -module, with maximal weight  $\lambda - \mu$ , let  $\chi$  be the central character corresponding to  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$  be the central character corresponding to  $\mu$ . Then we have*

$$(U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi \neq 0.$$

Moreover, if  $F_0 U_\psi$  is the zeroth filtered component, that is the span of 1, the quotient  $(F_0 U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi$  is nonzero.

*Proof.* Consider a natural surjective map of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$ -modules

$$U_\psi \otimes X \rightarrow M_\mu \otimes X \rightarrow 0,$$

which sends  $u \otimes x$  to  $uv_\mu \otimes x$ , where  $v_\mu$  is the highest weight vector in  $M_\mu$ .

Taking tensor product with  $U_\chi$  over  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$  for some  $\chi$ ,

$$\chi : Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))) \rightarrow \mathbb{k},$$

is right exact, thus we have

$$(U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi \rightarrow (M_\mu \otimes X)_\chi \rightarrow 0.$$

So it is left to prove that  $(M_\mu \otimes X)_\chi \neq 0$  and that the image of  $v_\mu \otimes X$  is nonzero.

We note that  $\lambda$  is maximal among weights of  $M_\mu \otimes X$ . Using the standard argument, i.e. taking the sum of all submodules of  $M_\mu \otimes X$  (that are naturally objects of the category  $\mathcal{O}$ ), that don't contain weight  $\lambda$ , one can show that  $M_\mu \otimes X$  has a simple quotient-module  $L$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . And if  $x_{\lambda-\mu}$  is a vector of maximal weight in  $X$  then the highest weight vector of  $L$  is the image of  $v_\mu \otimes x_{\lambda-\mu}$ .

Since  $L$  is invariant under taking tensor product with  $U_\chi$  over  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k}))$ , there is a surjective map

$$(M_\mu \otimes X)_\chi \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

And thus  $(M_\mu \otimes X)_\chi$  and, consequently,  $(U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi$  is nonzero. Moreover, the image of  $1 \otimes x_{\lambda-\mu}$  is nonzero in  $L$ . Thus,  $(F_0 U_\psi \otimes X)_\chi$  is nonzero. ■

**Theorem 3.18.** *Suppose there exist numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathbb{C}$  such that*

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{(c_i-1)u}.$$

*Then  $(U_\psi \otimes S^r V \otimes S^s V^*)_\chi \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.16, there exist weights  $\lambda^{(n)}$  and  $\mu^{(n)}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{k})$  with

$$\mu^{(n)} = \lambda^{(n)} - e_1 - \dots - e_r + e_{n-s+1} + \dots + e_n,$$

and central characters  $\chi^{(n)}, \psi^{(n)}$  corresponding to these weights, such that

$$\chi = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \chi^{(n)}, \psi = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} \psi^{(n)}.$$

Now, for every  $n > r + s$  the module  $S^r V^{(n)} \otimes S^s (V^{(n)})^*$  has maximal weight

$$\lambda^{(n)} - \mu^{(n)} = e_1 + \dots + e_r - e_{n-s+1} - \dots - e_n$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.17,

$$(U_{\psi^{(n)}} \otimes S^r V^{(n)} \otimes S^s (V^{(n)})^*)_{\chi^{(n)}} \neq 0,$$

when  $n > s + r$ . Moreover,

$$(F_0 U_{\psi^{(n)}} \otimes S^r V^{(n)} \otimes S^s (V^{(n)})^*)_{\chi^{(n)}} \neq 0,$$

We have

$$(F_0 U_\psi \otimes S^r V \otimes S^s V^*)_\chi = \prod_{\mathcal{F}} (F_0 U_{\psi^{(n)}} \otimes S^r V^{(n)} \otimes S^s (V^{(n)})^*)_{\chi^{(n)}} \neq 0.$$

And therefore,

$$(U_\psi \otimes S^r V \otimes S^s V^*)_\chi = \text{colim}_i \prod_{\mathcal{F}} (F_i U_{\psi^{(n)}} \otimes S^r V^{(n)} \otimes S^s (V^{(n)})^*)_{\chi^{(n)}} \neq 0.$$

■

We have now constructed a nonzero object in the category  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$  with

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{j=1}^s e^{(c_j - 1)u}$$

for any complex numbers  $b_i, 1 \leq i \leq r, c_j, 1 \leq j \leq s$ , and thus, we have proved Theorem 3.13.

**3.7. Summary.** The following theorem summarizes Corollary 3.12 and Theorem 3.13 and is the main result of this paper:

**Theorem 3.19.** *The category  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$  is nonzero if and only if there exist complex numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_r, c_1, \dots, c_s$  such that*

$$\chi(u) - \psi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r e^{b_i u} - \sum_{i=1}^s e^{c_i u}.$$

*Note.* We replaced  $c_i - 1$  with  $c_i$  (as compared to Theorem 3.13) to simplify the formula.

*Examples.* Theorem 3.18 provides us with an example of a nonzero bimodule in  $HC_{\chi, \psi}$  if  $\chi, \psi$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.19. We have

$$0 \neq (U_\psi \otimes S^r V \otimes S^s V^*)_\chi \in HC_{\chi, \psi}.$$

Other examples can be found in [13], where we describe a construction of a family of irreducible Harish-Chandra bimodules. These bimodules are a generalization of finite-dimensional bimodules in the classical case and have finite K-type (see Remark 3.2). The corresponding central characters are computed in Section 5 of [13].

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.N. Bernstein and S.I. Gelfand, *Tensor products of finite and infinite dimensional representations of semisimple Lie algebras*, Compositio Mathematica **41** (1980), no. 2, 245–285.
- [2] P. Deligne, *La Catégorie des représentations du groupe symétrique  $S_t$ , lorsque  $t$  n'est pas un entier naturel*, Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces (2007), 209–273.

- [3] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math **87** (1990), 111–195.
- [4] P. Deligne, *Catégories tensorielles*, Moscow Math. J **2** (2002), no. 2, 227–248.
- [5] P. Deligne and J. Milne, *Tannakian categories*, Lecture notes in mathematics **900** (1982), <http://www.jmilne.org/math/xnotes/tc.pdf>.
- [6] Jacques Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, Vol. 11, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 1996.
- [7] P. Etingof, *Representation theory in complex rank, II*, Advances in Mathematics **300** (2016), 473–504.
- [8] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, Nikshych D, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor Categories*, Vol. 205, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 2015.
- [9] N. Harman, *Deligne categories as limits in rank and characteristic*, arXiv:1601.03426.
- [10] N. Harman and D. Kalinov, *Classification of simple algebras in the Deligne category  $\text{Rep}(S_t)$* , Journal of Algebra **549** (2020), 215–248.
- [11] D. Kalinov, *Finite-dimensional representations of Yangians in complex rank*, International Mathematics Research Notices **20** (2020), 6967–6998.
- [12] L. Sciarappa, *Simple commutative algebras in Deligne’s categories  $\text{Rep}(S_t)$* , arXiv:1506.07565 (2015).
- [13] A. Utiralova, *Harish-Chandra bimodules of finite  $K$ -type in Deligne categories*, with an appendix by S. Hu, arXiv:2107.03173 (2021).