CLASSIFICATION OF HIGHER WIDE SUBCATEGORIES FOR HIGHER
AUSLANDER ALGEBRAS OF TYPE $A$

MARTIN HERSCHEND AND PETER JØRGENSEN

Abstract. A subcategory $W$ of an abelian category is called wide if it is closed under kernels, cokernels, and extensions. Wide subcategories are of interest in representation theory because of their links to other homological and combinatorial objects, established among others by Ingalls–Thomas and Marks–Šťovíček.

If $d \geq 1$ is an integer, then Jasso introduced the notion of $d$-abelian categories, where kernels, cokernels, and extensions have been replaced by longer complexes. Wide subcategories can be generalised to this situation.

Important examples of $d$-abelian categories arise as the $d$-cluster tilting subcategories $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ of $\text{mod } A^{d-1}_n$, where $A^{d-1}_n$ is a higher Auslander algebra of type $A$ in the sense of Iyama. This paper gives a combinatorial description of the wide subcategories of $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ in terms of what we call non-interlacing collections.
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1. Introduction

There has recently been considerable interest in wide subcategories of abelian categories, which are full subcategories closed under kernels, cokernels, and extensions. It was shown in [IT, thm. 1.1] that in the category of finite dimensional representations of a finite, acyclic quiver $Q$, wide subcategories have strong links to other objects from homological algebra. For instance, there is a bijection between wide subcategories and torsion classes. If $Q$ is of extended Dynkin type, then there are also links to combinatorial objects, such as a bijection to the noncrossing partitions associated with $Q$. The bijection between wide subcategories and torsion classes was extended to a large class of module categories over finite dimensional algebras in [MS, cor. 3.11].

The notion of wide subcategories was generalised to $d$-abelian categories in [HJV, def. 2.11], where $d \geq 1$ is an integer. In a $d$-abelian category, kernels, cokernels and extensions are replaced...
by longer complexes, see [J] def. 3.1. As mentioned, a $d$-cluster tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ is $d$-abelian, and this is, in a sense, the canonical example.

A general theory of wide subcategories of $d$-abelian categories was developed in [HJV], and some simple examples were worked out in [HJV sec. 7]. In this paper we use the theory of [HJV] and [OT] to describe combinatorially all wide subcategories of the $d$-abelian categories which arise from higher Auslander algebras of type $A$. These algebras where introduced by Iyama in [I2] and where the first known to admit $d$-cluster tilting subcategories. Recently, they have been used in [D JL] as a tool to study partially wrapped Fukaya categories of symmetric products of the unit disc with finitely many stops.

The main result is Theorem 3.1 and the description is in terms of what we call non-interlacing collections. It has as a special case the bijection in [IT, thm. 1.1] between classic wide subcategories and non-crossing partitions in Dynkin type $A$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Conventions. Let $K$ be a field. All categories and functors are assumed to be $K$-linear. In all contexts we denote the $K$-dual $\text{Hom}_K(-, K)$ by $D$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a category and $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$. A diagram $M \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow N$, where $\leftrightarrow$ represents a non-zero morphism in either direction is called a walk from $M$ to $N$. We call a category connected if any two non-zero objects are connected by a walk.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an additive category. By an additive subcategory $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ we mean a full subcategory closed under direct sums and summands. For a collection of objects $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ we denote by add $\mathcal{C}$ the smallest additive subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ containing $\mathcal{C}$.

We call $\mathcal{M}$ Krull-Schmidt if each object decomposes into a direct sum of finitely many indecomposable objects and each indecomposable object has local endomorphism algebra. For such a category we denote by $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{M}}$ its Jacobson radical, i.e.,

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N) = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}(M, N) \mid 1_M - g \circ f \text{ is invertible for all } g \in \mathcal{M}(N, M) \}.$$ 

The square of the Jacobson radical is

$$\text{Rad}^2_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N) = \{ f \circ g \mid f \in \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{M}}(U, N), g \in \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, U) \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{M} \}.$$ 

Next assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is skeletally small, Hom-finite and $\mathcal{M}(M, M)/\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, M) = K$ for all indecomposable $M \in \mathcal{M}$. We then define the quiver $Q$ of $\mathcal{M}$ as follows. As vertices $Q_0$ choose a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{M}$. For $M, N \in Q_0$ we choose as arrows form $M$ to $N$ a subset of $\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N)$, that gives a $K$-basis of $\text{Rad}^2_{\mathcal{M}}(M, N)$.

By an algebra we mean a finite dimensional $K$-algebra. For an algebra $A$ we denote the category of finitely generated right $A$-modules by $\text{mod} A$. By $A$-module we always mean an object in $\text{mod} A$. We use the terminology of quivers, quiver representations, and path algebras following the conventions in [ASS].

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be an additive subcategory of $\text{mod} A$. By a $\mathcal{W}$-resolution of $M \in \text{mod} A$ we mean an exact sequence

$$0 \to W_m \to \cdots \to W_0 \to M \to 0,$$

where $m \geq 0$ and $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$.

2.2. $d$-abelian categories and wide subcategories. In this section we introduce some basic results for $d$-abelian categories. We mainly rely on [J], in which $d$-abelian categories were first introduced. We then recall the notion of a wide subcategory of a $d$-abelian category following [HJV].

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an additive category and

$$\mathbb{E} : M_{d+1} \xrightarrow{f} M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \xrightarrow{\beta} M_0,$$

a sequence in $\mathcal{M}$,
(1) We call
\[ M_{d+1} \xrightarrow{f} M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \]
a \textit{d-kernel} of \( g \) if
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{M}(M, M_{d+1}) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{M}(M, M_d) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{M}(M, M_0) \]
is exact for all \( M \in \mathcal{M} \).

(2) We call
\[ M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \xrightarrow{g} M_0 \]
a \textit{d-cokernel} of \( f \) if
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{M}(M_0, M) \xrightarrow{-g} \mathcal{M}(M, M_1) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{M}(M, M_d) \]
is exact for all \( M \in \mathcal{M} \).

(3) If both (1) and (2) are satisfied we call \( E \) a \textit{d-extension} (or a \textit{d-extension} of \( M_0 \) by \( M_{d+1} \)).

(4) We say that \( M \) is \textit{d-abelian} if it is idempotent split, every morphism admits a d-kernel and d-cokernel, and every monomorphism \( f \) respectively epimorphism \( g \) fits into a d-exact sequence of the form \( E \).

There is a natural equivalence relation on d-extensions introduced in \([J]\), which we now recall.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a d-abelian category. We call two d-extensions
\[ E : X \to E_d \to \cdots \to E_1 \to Y \]
and
\[ E' : X \to E_d' \to \cdots \to E_1' \to Y \]
equivalent if there is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{E_d} & E_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{E_d'} & E_1'
\end{array}
\]
It follows from \([J] \text{ Proposition 4.10}\) that this indeed does define an equivalence relation.

Next we introduce the notion of wide subcategories.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a d-abelian category. We call an additive subcategory \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \) \textit{wide} if the following conditions hold.

1. Every morphism \( f : M \to N \) in \( \mathcal{W} \) admits a d-kernel and d-cokernel in \( \mathcal{M} \) with terms in \( \mathcal{W} \).
2. Every d-extension
   \[ E : X \to E_d \to \cdots \to E_1 \to Y \]
in \( \mathcal{M} \) with \( X, Y \in \mathcal{W} \) is equivalent to a d-extension
   \[ E' : X \to E_d' \to \cdots \to E_1' \to Y \]
   with \( E_i' \in \mathcal{W} \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq d \).

For a class of objects \( C \) in \( \mathcal{M} \) we denote the smallest wide subcategory of \( \mathcal{M} \) containing \( C \) by \( \text{wide} \mathcal{C} \).

A difference between abelian and d-abelian categories is that d-kernels and d-cokernels are not unique up to isomorphism. Similarly, equivalent d-extensions may be non-isomorphic. However, it is shown in \([J]\) that uniqueness holds if we replace isomorphism of complexes by homotopy equivalence.

Next we consider the case when \( \mathcal{M} \) is a Krull-Schmidt category. We start by showing that representatives of d-kernels, d-cokernels and d-extensions can be chosen in a certain minimal way that is unique.
Proposition 2.4. Let $M$ be a $d$-abelian Krull-Schmidt category and $X, Y \in M$. 

(1) Let $f \in M(X, Y)$. Then there is a $d$-kernel

$$K_d \xrightarrow{k_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{k_2} K_1 \xrightarrow{k_1} X$$

of $f$ such that $k_i \in \text{Rad}_M(K_i, K_{i-1})$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover, this $d$-kernel appears as a direct summand (in the category of $M$-complexes) of any other $d$-kernel of $f$.

(2) Let $f \in M(X, Y)$. Then there is a $d$-cokernel

$$Y \rightarrow C_d \xrightarrow{c_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{c_2} C_1$$

of $f$ such that $c_i \in \text{Rad}_M(C_i, C_{i-1})$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover, this $d$-cokernel appears as a direct summand (in the category of $M$-complexes) of any other $d$-cokernel of $f$.

(3) In every equivalence class of $d$-extensions of $Y$ by $X$ there is a representative

$$\Xi : X \rightarrow E_d \xrightarrow{c_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{c_2} E_1 \rightarrow Y$$

such that $c_i \in \text{Rad}_M(E_i, E_{i-1})$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover, $\Xi$ is a direct summand (in the category of $M$-complexes) of every other equivalent $d$-extension.

Proof. (1) Let

$$K_d \xrightarrow{k_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{k_2} K_1 \xrightarrow{k_1} X$$

be a $d$-kernel of $f$ such that the number of indecomposable direct summands of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d K_i$ is minimal. If $k_i$ is not a radical morphism for some $2 \leq i \leq d$, then we may write $K_i = U \oplus \tilde{K}_i$ and $K_{i-1} = U \oplus \tilde{K}_{i-1}$ such that $k_i = 1_U \oplus \tilde{k}_i$ for some $\tilde{k}_i : \tilde{K}_i \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{i-1}$ where $U$ is indecomposable. It follows that replacing $k_i : K_i \rightarrow K_{i-1}$ in the $d$-kernel by $k'_i : K'_i \rightarrow K'_{i-1}$, gives a $d$-kernel with smaller total number of indecomposable direct summands in its terms, which contradicts minimality.

Next let

$$K'_d \xrightarrow{k'_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{k'_2} K'_1 \xrightarrow{k'_1} X$$

be another $d$-kernel of $f$. Using the defining property of $d$-kernels we obtain the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
K_d & \xrightarrow{k_d} & K_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{k_{d-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{k_2} K_1 & k_1 \\
\downarrow{a_d} & & \downarrow{a_{d-1}} & & & \downarrow{a_1} & \\
K'_d & \xrightarrow{k'_d} & K'_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{k'_{d-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{k'_2} K'_1 & k'_1 \\
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
K'_d & \xrightarrow{k'_d} & K'_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{k'_{d-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{k'_2} K'_1 & \xrightarrow{k'_1} X \\
\downarrow{b_d} & & \downarrow{b_{d-1}} & & & \downarrow{b_1} & \\
K_d & \xrightarrow{k_d} & K_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{k_{d-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{k_2} K_1 & \xrightarrow{k_1} X \\
\end{array}$$

Now consider $c_i = 1_{K_i} - b_i \circ a_i \in M(K_i, K_i)$. Using the property of $d$-kernels we find morphisms $h_i$ in the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
K_d & \xrightarrow{k_d} & K_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{k_{d-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{k_2} K_1 & k_1 \\
\downarrow{c_d} & \downarrow{h_{d-1}} & \downarrow{c_{d-1}} & \downarrow{h_{d-2}} & \downarrow{h_{d-3}} & \downarrow{h_1} & \downarrow{c_2} \downarrow{0} \\
K_d & \xrightarrow{k_d} & K_{d-1} & \xrightarrow{k_{d-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{k_2} K_1 & \xrightarrow{k_1} X \\
\end{array}$$
such that
\[
\begin{align*}
  c_1 &= k_2 \circ h_1, \\
  c_i &= k_{i+1} \circ h_i + h_{i-1} \circ k_i, & 2 \leq i \leq d - 1, \\
  c_d &= h_{d-1} \circ k_d.
\end{align*}
\]
In particular, \( c_i \in \text{Rad}_\mathcal{M}(K_i, K_i) \) and so \( b_i \circ a_i = 1_{K_i} - c_i \) is an isomorphism. Hence we may write
\( 1_{K_i} = (b_i \circ a_i)^{-1} h_i \circ a_i \). The claim follows as \( \mathcal{M} \) has split idempotents.

(2) This is dual to (1).

(3) Existence of \( E \) follows in the same way as in (1). Now let
\[
E' : X \overset{e_{d+1}}{\to} E_d \overset{e_d}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2}{\to} E_1 \overset{e_1}{\to} Y
\]
be an equivalent \( d \)-extension. Then there is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \overset{e_{d+1}}{\to} E_d \overset{e_d}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2}{\to} E_1 \overset{e_1}{\to} Y \\
X \overset{e_{d+1}'}{\to} E_d' \overset{e_d'}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2'}{\to} E_1' \overset{e_1'}{\to} Y
\end{array}
\]
By [4] Proposition 4.10], there is also a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \overset{e_{d+1}}{\to} E_d \overset{e_d}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2}{\to} E_1 \overset{e_1}{\to} Y \\
X \overset{e_{d+1}'}{\to} E_d' \overset{e_d'}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2'}{\to} E_1' \overset{e_1'}{\to} Y
\end{array}
\]
As in (1) we proceed to show that \( c_i = 1_{E_i} - b_i \circ a_i \in \text{Rad}_\mathcal{M}(E_i, E_i) \) by constructing a diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
X \overset{e_{d+1}}{\to} E_d \overset{e_d}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2}{\to} E_1 \overset{e_1}{\to} Y \\
X \overset{e_{d+1}'}{\to} E_d' \overset{e_d'}{\to} \cdots \overset{e_2'}{\to} E_1' \overset{e_1'}{\to} Y
\end{array}
\]
such that
\[
\begin{align*}
  c_1 &= e_2 \circ h_1, \\
  c_i &= e_{i+1} + h_{i-1} \circ e_i, & 2 \leq i \leq d, \\
  0 &= h_d \circ e_{d+1}.
\end{align*}
\]
By the defining property of \( d \)-cokernels, the last condition implies that \( h_d \) factors through \( e_d \) and so is a radical morphism. Hence \( c_i \in \text{Rad}_\mathcal{M}(E_i, E_i) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and the claim follows as in (1).

**Definition 2.5.** We call the \( d \)-kernels, \( d \)-cokernels and \( d \)-extensions appearing in Proposition 2.4 minimal. By the Krull-Schmidt property it follows immediately that they are unique up to isomorphism.

A consequence of Proposition 2.4 is the following characterisation of wide subcategories.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a \( d \)-abelian Krull-Schmidt category and \( \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \) a full subcategory closed under direct sums and direct summands. Then \( \mathcal{W} \) is wide if and only if the following conditions hold.

1. For any morphism \( f : M \to N \) in \( \mathcal{W} \), the terms of its minimal \( d \)-kernel and \( d \)-cokernel in \( \mathcal{M} \) lie in \( \mathcal{W} \).
2. For any \( X, Y \in \mathcal{W} \), every minimal \( d \)-extension of \( Y \) by \( X \) in \( \mathcal{M} \) has terms in \( \mathcal{W} \).

The following result about \( d \)-kernels will be useful to compute wide subcategories.
Proposition 2.7. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a d-abelian Krull-Schmidt category, \( M \in \mathcal{M} \) indecomposable and \( K_d \to \cdots \to K_1 \to M \) be a d-kernel of a non-zero morphism \( M \to N \). If \( U \in \mathcal{M} \) is indecomposable and there exists \( g \in \mathcal{M}(U, M) \setminus \text{Rad}^2(1)(U, M) \) such that \( f \circ g = 0 \), then \( U \) appears as a summand in \( K_1 \).

Proof. Assume that \( U \) does not appear as a summand in \( K_1 \). Since \( f \circ g = 0 \) we may write \( g = k \circ h \) for some \( h \in \mathcal{M}(U, K_1) = \text{Rad}^2(1)(U, K_1) \). Since \( f \) is non-zero and \( M \) is indecomposable, \( k \in \text{Rad}^2(1)(K_1, M) \) and so \( g \in \text{Rad}^2(1)(U, M) \), which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

2.3. Wide subcategories of d-cluster tilting subcategories. Let \( A \) be a finite dimensional algebra and mod \( A \) the category of finitely generated right \( A \)-modules. We recall the the definition of d-cluster tilting from [2] (see also [HJY, Section 2])

**Definition 2.8.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a functorially finite subcategory of mod \( A \). We say that \( \mathcal{M} \) is d-cluster tilting if
\[
\mathcal{M} = \{ X \in \text{mod} \ A \mid \text{Ext}^i_A(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{M}, 1 \leq i \leq d-1 \} = \{ X \in \text{mod} \ A \mid \text{Ext}^i_A(M, X) = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{M}, 1 \leq i \leq d-1 \}.
\]

As mentioned in the introduction it is shown in [3] that any d-cluster tilting subcategory \( \mathcal{M} \) is d-abelian. Moreover, the following characterisation of d-kernels, d-cokernels and d-exact sequences in \( \mathcal{M} \) holds.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{mod} \ A \) be d-cluster tilting. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is d-abelian. Let
\[
M_{d+1} \to M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0
\]
be a sequence in \( \mathcal{M} \).

1. The sequence \( M_{d+1} \to M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0 \) is a d-kernel of \( g \) if and only if
\[
0 \to M_{d+1} \to M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0
\]
is exact in mod \( A \).

2. The sequence \( M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0 \) is a d-cokernel of \( f \) if and only if
\[
M_{d+1} \to M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0 \to 0
\]
it is exact in mod \( A \).

3. The sequence \( M_{d+1} \to M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0 \) is d-exact if and only if
\[
0 \to M_{d+1} \to M_d \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0 \to 0
\]
is exact in mod \( A \).

4. Let \( X, Y \in \mathcal{M} \). There is a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of d-extensions of \( Y \) by \( X \) in \( \mathcal{M} \) to \( \text{Ext}^d_A(Y, X) \) that sends the equivalence class of a d-extension
\[
0 \to X \to E_0 \to \cdots \to E_1 \to Y \to 0
\]
to its Yoneda-class
\[
[0 \to X \to E_0 \to \cdots \to E_1 \to Y \to 0].
\]

**Proof.** The fact that \( \mathcal{M} \) is d-abelian is shown in [3] Theorem 3.16.

The statements (1), (2), (3) are well-known and easily shown using the fact that \( \text{Ext}^i_A(M, M') = 0 \) for all \( M, M' \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq d \).

Statement (4) follows from [HJY Proposition A.1]. \( \square \)

It will be convenient to construct wide subcategories from several smaller wide subcategories. For this purpose the following result is useful.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{mod} \ A \) be d-cluster tilting and \( \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M} \) wide subcategories. If
\[
\text{Hom}_A(X_1, X_2) = \text{Hom}_A(X_2, X_1) = \text{Ext}^d_A(X_1, X_2) = \text{Ext}^d_A(X_2, X_1) = 0
\]
for all \( X_1 \in \mathcal{W}_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{W}_2 \). Then add\( \{ \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2 \} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \) is wide.
Proof. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{W}_1 \cup \mathcal{W}_2$. Then we may write $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ and $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ for some $X_1, Y_1 \in \mathcal{W}_1$ and $X_2, Y_2 \in \mathcal{W}_2$.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism. By assumption we may write $f = f_1 \oplus f_2$ for some $f_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \to Y_2$. Since $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2$ are wide there are $d$-kernels respectively $d$-cokernels of $f_1$ and $f_2$ with terms in $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2$. Taking their direct sums gives a $d$-kernel respectively $d$-cokernel of $f$.

Next consider the natural map

$$\text{Ext}_A^d(Y_1, X_1) \oplus \text{Ext}_A^d(Y_2, X_2) \to \text{Ext}_A^d(Y, X)$$

defined by the biadditivity of $\text{Ext}_A^d$. It maps $([E_1], [E_2])$ to $[E_1 \oplus E_2]$ and is bijective since $\text{Ext}_A^d(Y_1, X_2) = \text{Ext}_A^d(Y_2, X_1) = 0$. Hence, by Proposition 2.9, any $d$-extension of $Y$ by $X$ is equivalent to $E_1 \oplus E_2$ for some $d$-extensions $E_1$ in $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $E_2$ in $\mathcal{W}_2$. \hfill \square

To compute wide subcategories of $d$-cluster tilting subcategories, we will apply the following result.

**Theorem 2.11.** [HJV, Theorem B] Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ a $d$-cluster tilting subcategory of $\mod A$. Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be an additive subcategory. Let $P \in \mathcal{W}$ be a module and set $B = \text{End}_A(P)$, so that $P$ becomes a $B$-$A$-bimodule. Assume the following:

1. As an $A$-module $P$ has finite projective dimension.
2. $\text{Ext}_A^i(P, P) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.
3. Each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ admits an add $P$-resolution

$$0 \to P_m \to \cdots \to P_0 \to W \to 0, \quad P_i \in \text{add} P.$$

4. $\text{Hom}_A(P, \mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mod B$ is $d$-cluster tilting.

Then $\mathcal{W}$ is a wide subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ and there is an equivalence of categories

$$- \otimes_B P : \text{Hom}_A(P, \mathcal{W}) \to \mathcal{W}.$$ 

2.4. Higher Auslander algebras of type $A$. Next we introduce a description of the higher Auslander algebras of type $A$ by quivers and relations. Our notation differs slightly from the one in [OT].

We begin by introducing some combinatorial data that is needed to make our definitions.

**Definition 2.12.** Throughout we fix two integers $n$ and $d$ with $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 0$. Let

1. Set $N_{n,d} := \{1, \ldots, n+d\}$.
2. Set $\mathcal{V}_{n,d} := \{(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in N_{n,d+1} | x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_d\}$.
3. For each $k \in N_{n,d}$, define the partial functions

$$\sigma_k^+: \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \to \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k^- : \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \to \mathcal{V}_{n,d}$$

by

$$\sigma_k^+(x) = y, \quad \sigma_k^-(x) = y,$$

where

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_i + 1 & \text{if } i = k, \\ x_i & \text{if } i \neq k, \end{cases}$$

whenever such $y \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d}$ exists.

4. Define the relations $\preceq$ and $\preceq$ on $\mathcal{V}_{n,d}$ by

$$x \preceq y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_0 \leq y_0 < x_1 \leq y_1 < \cdots < x_d \leq y_d,$$

and

$$x \preceq y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_0 < y_0 \leq x_1 < y_1 < \cdots \leq x_d < y_d.$$

5. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d}$. We say that $x$ and $y$ interlace in case $x \preceq y$, $y \preceq x$, $x \preceq y$ or $y \preceq x$ hold.

6. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{n,d}$. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ interlace in case there are $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $x$ and $y$ interlace.

7. For $S \subseteq N_{n,d}$ we let $\mathcal{X}_S$ be the set of all $x \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d}$ such that $x_i \in S$ for all $i$. We say that $S, S' \subseteq N_{n,d}$ interlace in case $\mathcal{X}_S$ and $\mathcal{X}_{S'}$ interlace.
Moreover, Theorem 2.14.

Let $n$ and $d$ be positive integers. Then $A_n^{d-1}$ has global dimension $d$ and admits a unique basic $d$-cluster tilting module

$$M = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d}} M_x.$$ 

Moreover, $\text{End}_{A_n^{d-1}}(M)$ is isomorphic to $A_n,d$.

We let $\mathcal{M}_{n,d} = \text{add}\{M\}$, where $M$ is the $d$-cluster tilting module in Theorem 2.14. Then $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ is $d$-abelian. Our aim is to classify the wide subcategories of $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$.
The isomorphism from $A_{n,d}$ to $\text{End}_{A_{n,d}^{d-1}}(M)$ comes from realising the quiver of $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ as $Q^{n,d}$.

We illustrate this in one example.

**Example 2.15.** Consider the case $n = 4$ and $d = 2$. Below is the quiver of $\mathcal{M}_{4,2}$ (i.e, $Q^{4,2}$).

Note in particular, that there is a path from $M_{136}$ to $M_{246}$, the modules appearing in Example 2.13. Considering the relations defining $A_{4,2}$, this path should correspond to a nonzero morphism $\phi : M_{136} \to M_{246}$. Indeed, such a $\phi$ is easy to find. As a morphism of representations we may define it by $\phi_{24} = \phi_{25} = 1_K$ and $\phi_{ij} = 0$ for all other indices $ij$. Compare this with the fact that $(1,3,6) \lessdot (2,4,6)$.

As in the above example, there is in general an obvious bijection between the indecomposables in $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ and $Q_{0,n,d}^{n,d}$. Moreover, morphisms corresponding to the arrows in $Q_{0,n,d}^{n,d}$ are easy to write down. In fact morphisms and extensions between indecomposables in $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ have been computed in [OT]. Here we recall some of their results rewritten in our notation.

**Theorem 2.16.** [OT] Theorem 3.6]

1. Let $x \in V_{n,d-1}$ and $x' = (1, x_0 + 1, \ldots, x_{d-1} + 1) \in V_{n,d}$. Then $e_x A_{n,d-1}^{d-1} = M_{x'}$.

2. Let $y \in V_{n,d-1}$ and $y' = (y_0, \ldots, y_{d-1}, n + d) \in V_{n,d}$. Then $D(A_{n,d}^{d-1}e_y) = M_{y'}$.

3. For $x, y \in V_{n,d}$ we have

$$\dim_K \text{Hom}_{A_{n,d}^{d-1}}(M_x, M_y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \lessdot y, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

4. For $x, y \in V_{n,d}$ we have

$$\dim_K \text{Ext}_{A_{n,d}^{d-1}}^d(M_y, M_x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \lessdot y, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Note that in particular Theorem 2.16(3) implies that $\text{End}_{A_{n,d}^{d-1}}(M_x) = K$, which verifies that $M_x$ is indeed indecomposable.

By Theorem 2.16(3) we find that if $x \lessdot y$, then any path from $x$ to $y$ in $Q^{n,d}$ gives a basis of $\text{Hom}_{A_{n,d}^{d-1}}(M_x, M_y)$. Similarly, Theorem 2.16(4) can be made more explicit.
Theorem 2.17. [OT, Theorem 3.8] If \( x \preceq y \), then there is an exact sequence

\[
\mathbb{E}_{xy} : 0 \to M_x \to E_d \to \cdots \to E_1 \to M_y \to 0,
\]

where \( E_k = \bigoplus z M_z \), taken over all \( z \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \) such that \( z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\} \) for all \( i \) and

\[
|\{i \mid z_i = x_i\}| = k.
\]

Note that \( x \preceq y \) implies \( x_i \neq y_i \) for all \( i \), and so \( |\{i \mid z_i = x_i\}| = k \) may be replaced with

\[
|\{i \mid z_i = y_i\}| = d - k + 1
\]

in the above condition. Moreover, we may deduce the following result which is useful for computing wide subcategories

Proposition 2.18. If \( x \preceq y \), then \( M_z \in \text{wide} \{M_x, M_y\} \) for any \( z \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \) that satisfies \( z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\} \) for all \( i \).

Proof. Each module \( M_z \) satisfying \( z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\} \) for all \( i \), appears as a summand in exactly one term of \( \mathbb{E}_{xy} \). Hence the morphisms in \( \mathbb{E}_{xy} \) are all radical morphisms. The claim now follows from Corollary 2.6 and Proposition 2.9. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.19. Let \( x \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \) and \( s \in \mathcal{N}_{n,d} \) such that \( s < x_0 \). Then there is an exact sequence

\[
0 \to M_{s0} \to \cdots \to M_{s} \to M_{x} \to 0
\]

where \( x^i = (s, x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \). In particular, if \( s = 1 \), this is the minimal projective resolution of \( M_x \).

Proof. The sequence is precisely \( \mathbb{E}_{x,s} \) from Theorem 2.17. If \( s = 1 \), then each \( M_{x^i} \) is projective by Theorem 2.16(1). \( \square \)

3. Wide subcategories

3.1. Main result. In this section we classify wide subcategories of \( \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \). We start by introducing the basic building blocks for such subcategories. Let \( S \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{n,d} \) and recall the subset \( \mathcal{X}_S \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{n,d} \) from Definition 2.12(7). We set

\[
\mathcal{W}_S = \text{add}\{M_x \mid x \in \mathcal{X}_S\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n,d}.
\]

Since \( S_x = \{x\} \) (see Definition 2.12(8)), we have in particular that \( \mathcal{W}_{S_x} = \text{add}\{M_x\} \).

Note that \( \mathcal{W}_S \) is non-zero if and only if \( |S| \geq d + 1 \). In that case we call \( S \) admissible. In the interest of brevity a set of admissible subsets of \( \mathcal{N}_{n,d} \) is called a collection. A collection is called non-interlacing if it has no two distinct members that interlace. With this terminology we are now ready to state the main result of our paper.

Theorem 3.1. Let \( n \) and \( d \) be positive integers. Then there is a bijection

\[
\{\text{non-interlacing collections of subsets of } \mathcal{N}_{n,d}\} \to \{\text{wide subcategories of } \mathcal{M}_{n,d}\}
\]

that sends a collection \( \Sigma \) to \( \text{add}\{\mathcal{W}_S \mid S \in \Sigma\} \).

Remark 3.2. Note that by Theorem 2.16 two admissible subsets \( S, S' \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{n,d} \) interlace if and only if there are \( M_x \in \mathcal{W}_S \) and \( M_{x'} \in \mathcal{W}_{S'} \) such that one of the following conditions hold:

\[
\text{Hom}_{A^d_{n-1}}(M_x, M_{x'}) \neq 0, \quad \text{Ext}_{A^d_{n-1}}^{d}(M_x, M_{x'}) \neq 0,
\]

\[
\text{Hom}_{A^d_{n-1}}(M_{x'}, M_x) \neq 0, \quad \text{Ext}_{A^d_{n-1}}^{d}(M_{x'}, M_x) \neq 0.
\]

In the remaining sections we will prove Theorem 3.1.
3.2. Injectivity. In this section we show that the map in Theorem 3.1 is well-defined and injective. We begin by showing that categories of the form $\mathcal{W}_S$ are wide.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $S \subseteq N_{n,d}$ be admissible. Then

1. The subcategory $\mathcal{W}_S \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{n,d}$ is wide.
2. Let $n' = |S| - d$ and $i : N_{n',d} \to S$ be the unique order preserving bijection. Then there is an equivalence from $\mathcal{W}_{n',d}$ to $\mathcal{W}_S$ sending $M_x$ to $M_i(x')$, where $i(x') = (\iota(x'_0), \ldots, \iota(x'_{d-1}))$.
3. In particular, the terms of $d$-kernels, $d$-cokernels and $d$-extensions can be computed for $\mathcal{W}_S$ as in $\mathcal{W}_{n',d}$ using $i$.

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 2.11. Let $s = \min S$. Set

$$P = \bigoplus_{x \in X_S, x_0 = s} M_x.$$ 

Since $A_{n'}^{d-1}$ has global dimension $d$ the projective dimension of $P$ is at most $d$. Theorem 2.10 implies $\text{Ext}^d_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P, P) = 0$. The exact sequence in Corollary 2.19 provides an add{P}-resolution for each $M_x$, with $x \in X_S$.

We claim that $\text{End}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P)$ is isomorphic to $A_{n'}^{d-1}$. To see this note that $\iota$ gives a bijection between the the vertices of $Q_{n',d-1}$ and the indecomposable summands of $P$ by sending $(x'_0, \ldots, x'_{d-1})$ to $M_x$, where

$$x = (s, \iota(x'_0 + 1), \iota(x'_1 + 1), \ldots, \iota(x'_{d-1} + 1)).$$

Given the descriptions of $A_{n'}^{d-1}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{n,d}$ by quivers and relations, it is readily checked that this bijection extends to an isomorphism from $A_{n'}^{d-1}$ to $\text{End}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P)$.

Hence $\text{mod End}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P)$ has a unique $d$-cluster tilting subcategory that we may identify with $\mathcal{W}_{n',d}$. Next we claim that under this identification $\text{Hom}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P, -)$ sends $M_x \in \mathcal{W}_S$ to $M_{i(x)}$. If $x_0 = s$, then $M_x \in \text{add}\{P\}$ and the claim is immediate. Otherwise consider the add{P}-resolution of $M_x$ given in Corollary 2.19. Applying $\text{Hom}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P, -)$ to this resolution we find the minimal projective resolution of $M_{i(x)} \in \mathcal{W}_{n',d}$ and the claim follows. Hence $\text{Hom}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P, \mathcal{W}_S)$ is the $d$-cluster tilting subcategory of $\text{mod End}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P)$.

Thus Theorem 2.11 applies and the equivalence claimed in part (2) is given by the functor $- \otimes_{\text{End}_{A_{n'}^{d-1}}(P)} P$.

**Corollary 3.4.** The map in Theorem 3.1 is well-defined and injective.

**Proof.** For a non-interlacing collection $\Sigma$ we need to show that $\text{add}\{|\mathcal{W}_S | S \in \Sigma\}$ is wide. By Proposition 3.3.1, each $\mathcal{W}_S$ is wide. Moreover, since the sets $S$ are non-interlacing, there are no non-trivial morphisms or $d$-extensions between modules in $\mathcal{W}_S$ and $\mathcal{W}_S'$ for $S \neq S'$ (see Remark 3.2). It follows from Proposition 2.11 that $\text{add}\{|\mathcal{W}_S | S \in \Sigma\}$ is wide.

To show injectivity consider a wide subcategory $\mathcal{W}$ in the image of the map in Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{W}$ be the poset of all admissible sets $S$ satisfying $\mathcal{W}_S \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ ordered by inclusion. Now write $\mathcal{W} = \text{add}\{|\mathcal{W}_S | S \in \Sigma\}$ for a non-interlacing collection $\Sigma = \{S_1, \ldots, S_l\}$. We claim that $\Sigma$ equals the set of maximal elements in $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{W}$. This implies injectivity as we can recover $\Sigma$ from $\mathcal{W}$.

To show the claim first note that for $i \neq j$ we have $S_i \not\subseteq S_j$ as $S_i$ and $S_j$ do not interlace. It remains to show for all $S \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{W}$ that $S \subseteq S_i$ for some $i$. To do this note that $\mathcal{W}_S$ is connected and satisfies

$$\mathcal{W}_S \subseteq \text{add}\{|\mathcal{W}_{S_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_{S_l}\}.$$ 

Since $\Sigma$ is non-interlacing there is no walk in $\mathcal{W}$ connecting some $M_x \in \mathcal{W}_{S_i}$ with some $M_y \in \mathcal{W}_{S_j}$ for $i \neq j$, and so $\mathcal{W}_S \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{S_i}$ for some $i$, which implies $S \subseteq S_i$.

3.3. Surjectivity. It remains to show that the map in Theorem 3.1 is surjective. We will do this using several Lemmas, each stating that a certain wide subcategory is of the form $\mathcal{W}_S$ for some admissible set $S$. Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7 take care of certain special cases that have to be dealt with separately.
Lemma 3.5. Assume $n \geq 2$. Let $l \geq d + 2$ and $s_1 < \cdots < s_l$ be elements in $N_{n,d}$. Set $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{l-1}\}$ and $S' = \{s_2, \ldots, s_l\}$. Then
\[
\text{wide}\{\mathcal{W}_S, \mathcal{W}_{S'}\} = \mathcal{W}_{S\cup S'}.
\]

Proof. We apply Proposition 3.3(3) to $S \cup S'$. Hence we may assume that $S = \{1, \ldots, n + d - 1\}$ and $S' = \{2, \ldots, n + d\}$ so that $\mathcal{W}_{S\cup S'} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$. By Proposition 2.13 any module $M_x \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ satisfies $M_x \in \text{wide}\{M_x, M_y\}$ for some $M_x \in \mathcal{W}_S$ and $M_y \in \mathcal{W}_{S'}$. The claim follows.
\[\square\]

Lemma 3.6. We have
\[
\text{wide}\{M_{(1,2,\ldots,d+1)}', \mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d)}\} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d}.
\]

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Assume $n > 1$. By induction hypothesis
\[
\text{wide}\{M_{(1,2,\ldots,d+1)}', \mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d-1)}\} = \mathcal{W}_{(1,2,\ldots,n+d-1)}.
\]
Since $\mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d-1)} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d)}$ we have
\[
\text{wide}\{M_{(1,2,\ldots,d+1)}', \mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d)}\} \supseteq \text{wide}\{\mathcal{W}_{(1,2,\ldots,n+d-1)}, \mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d)}\}.
\]
Now applying Lemma 3.5 with $S = \{1, 2, \ldots, n + d - 1\}$ and $S' = \{2, 3, \ldots, n + d\}$ we get
\[
\text{wide}\{M_{(1,2,\ldots,d+1)}', \mathcal{W}_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d)}\} \supseteq \mathcal{W}_{S\cup S'} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d}.
\]

\[\square\]

Lemma 3.7. Let $x, x' \in N_{n,d}$ such that $x_k \neq x'_k$ for some $k$ and $x_i = x'_i$ for all $i \neq k$. Then
\[
\text{wide}\{M_x, M_{x'}\} = \mathcal{W}_{S_x \cup S_{x'}}.
\]

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $x_k < x'_k$. Apply Corollary 2.19 to $y = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, x'_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d)$ with $s = x_0$ to obtain the exact sequence
\[
E: 0 \rightarrow M_{y'_d} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_{y'_1} \rightarrow M_y \rightarrow 0.
\]
Then $y^k = x$ and $y^{k-1} = x'$. Extracting the morphism $M_{y^d} \rightarrow M_{y^{d-1}}$ we get a non-zero morphism $f: M_{y'} \rightarrow M_x$. Moreover, we may view the sequence $E$ as the concatenation of the map $f$ with its minimal $d$-kernel and $d$-cokernel. In particular, the terms of the sequence all belong to $\text{wide}\{M_x, M_{x'}\}$. But the terms are exactly the indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{W}_{S_x \cup S_{x'}}$.

\[\square\]

In general the $d$-kernels and $d$-cokernels of morphisms $M_x \rightarrow M_y$ are not as easy to compute as in the proof of Lemma 3.7. Fortunately we will not need complete information about such $d$-kernels and $d$-cokernels to prove our results. More precisely we will make do with the following statement.

Lemma 3.8. Let $f: M_x \rightarrow M_y$ be a non-zero morphism.

1. If $x_k - 1 < y_k - 1 < x_k$, then for $x' = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d)$ the module $M_{y'}$ appears as a summand in the term $K_1$ in any $d$-kernel $K_d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow M_x$ of $f$. In particular, $M_{y'} \in \text{wide}\{M_x, M_y\}$ and there is a non-zero morphism $M_{y'} \rightarrow M_x$.

2. If $y_k < x_{k+1} < y_{k+1}$, then for $y' = (y_0, y_1, x_k, y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \ldots, y_d)$ the module $M_{y'}$ appears as a summand in the term $C_1$ of any $d$-cokernel $C_d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ of $f$. In particular, $M_{y'} \in \text{wide}\{M_x, M_y\}$ and there is a non-zero morphism $M_y \rightarrow M_{y'}$.

Proof. We only prove (1) as (2) is dual.

The $d$-kernels of $f$ may be computed in the wide subcategory $\mathcal{W}_S$, where $S = S_x \cup S_y$. By Proposition 3.3(3), we may assume that $S = N_{n,d}$ and $\mathcal{W}_S = \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$. Then $x' = \sigma_{y'_d}(x)$ and so there is an arrow in $Q^n_d$ from $x'$ to $x$. Hence there is a corresponding morphism $g \in \text{Rad}_{M_{y'_d}}(M_x, M_y) \setminus \text{Rad}^\ast_{\mathcal{W}_S}(M_{y'}, M_x)$. Notice that $x' \neq y$ and so $\text{Hom}_{A^n_{d-1}}(M_{y'}, M_y) = 0$ by Theorem 2.10(3). In particular, $f \circ g = 0$ and the claim follows from Proposition 2.7.

\[\square\]

Before continuing with the proof we illustrate the utility of Lemma 3.8 in an example.
Example 3.9. Let $n = 4$ and $d = 2$ as in Example 2.15 and consider $\mathcal{W} = \text{wide}\{M_{136}, M_{246}\}$. Since $\{1, 3, 6\}$ and $\{2, 4, 6\}$ interlace, we must have $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}}$ in order for Theorem 3.3 to hold. Indeed, if $\mathcal{W} = \text{add}\{\mathcal{W}_S \mid S \in \Sigma\}$ for some non-interlacing collection $\Sigma$, we must have $\{1,3,6\} \subseteq S$ and $\{2,4,6\} \subseteq S$ for some common $S \in \Sigma$ and so the smallest possible collection is $\Sigma = \{\{1,2,3,4,6\}\}$. We apply Lemma 3.8 repeatedly to show that this is the case.

Considering the 2-kernel of the nonzero morphism $M_{136} \to M_{246}$ we find that $M_{126}, M_{134} \in \mathcal{W}$. Considering the 2-cokernel we find $M_{346} \in \mathcal{W}$. Similarly, the 2-cokernel of the the nonzero morphism $M_{134} \to M_{136}$ gives $M_{146} \in \mathcal{W}$.

Next we consider the nonzero morphism $M_{126} \to M_{136}$ and get $M_{123}, M_{236} \in \mathcal{W}$. This allows us to consider $M_{236} \to M_{246}$, which gives $M_{234} \in \mathcal{W}$, and then $M_{134} \to M_{234}$, which gives $M_{124} \in \mathcal{W}$.

Hence all 10 indecomposables in $\mathcal{W}_{\{1,2,3,4,6\}}$ lie in $\mathcal{W}$. Below is the quiver of $\mathcal{M}_{4,2}$ with the indecomposables in $\mathcal{W}$ underlined.

![Quiver diagram]

We now continue with the general case. The following Lemma is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 3.3 and can be thought of as a generalisation of Example 3.9. The proof is similar to the strategy of Example 3.9 in that we successively build up more and more elements in a certain wide subcategory.

Lemma 3.10. Let $x \in N_{n,d}$ and $S \subseteq N_{n,d}$ admissible. If

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_n^{d-1}}(\mathcal{W}_S, M_x) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_n^{d-1}}(M_x, \mathcal{W}_S) \neq 0,$$

then $\text{wide}\{\mathcal{W}_S, M_x\} = \mathcal{W}_{S \cup S_x}$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 2.16(3) that

$$\dim_K \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_n^{d-1}}(M_x, M_y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \preceq y, \\ 0 & \text{else}. \end{cases}$$

We will use this freely throughout the proof to characterize when $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_n^{d-1}}(M_x, M_y) = 0$.

Set $\mathcal{W} = \text{wide}\{\mathcal{W}_S, M_x\}$. It is enough to show the inclusion $\mathcal{W}_{S \cup S_x} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Note that the claim is trivial if $S_x \subseteq S$. We proceed in several steps.

Step 1: First consider the case when $S_x$ contains only one element $a$ that is not in $S$, i.e., $S \cup S_x = S \cup \{a\}$. We use induction on $|S|$. If $|S| = d+1$, then $\mathcal{W}_S$ consists of a single indecomposable $M_x$, and the claim follows by Lemma 3.7.
Next assume that |S| > d + 1. By Proposition 3.3, we may assume S ∪ {a} = Nn,d so that \( W_{S∪\{a\}} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \). We proceed with a case by case analysis depending on the value of a. Our strategy in each case is to produce more and more elements of \( W \) to finally reach \( \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \).

Step 1.1: Assume \( a = 1 \), i.e., \( S = \{2, \ldots, n + d\} \). Then \( x_0 = 1 \) and we have \( \text{Hom}_{A^{n-1}}(W_S, M_2) = 0 \) so that \( \text{Hom}_{A^{n-1}}(M_2, W_S) \neq 0 \) which implies \( x_1 \geq 3 \). Next let \( x' \in N_{n,d} \) be such that \( x'_0 = 1, x'_1 \geq 3, M_{x'} \in W \) and the value of \( \sum_{i=1}^d x'_i \) is as small as possible. We claim that

\[
x' = (1, 3, 4, \ldots, d+2).
\]

Otherwise there is some \( b \geq 3 \) satisfying \( x'_{k-1} < b < x'_k \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Set

\[
y = \begin{cases} 
(2, x'_1, \ldots, x'_{k-2}, b, x'_k, \ldots, x'_d), & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\
(b, x'_1, \ldots, x'_d), & \text{if } k = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( M_y \in W_S \) and applying Lemma 3.8(1) to a non-zero morphism \( M_{x'} \to M_y \) we get that \( M_{x''} \in \text{wide}(M_{x'}, M_y) \subseteq W \) for

\[
x'' = (1, x'_1, \ldots, x'_{k-1}, b, x'_{k+1}, \ldots, x'_d)
\]

contradicting the minimality of \( \sum_{i=1}^d x'_i \).

Next set

\[
y' = (2, 3, \ldots, d + 2).
\]

Then \( M_{y'} \in W \) and applying Lemma 3.7 to \( M_x \) and \( M_{y'} \) we find that

\[
M_{(1,2,\ldots,d+1)} \in \text{wide}(M_{x'}, M_{y'}) \subseteq W.
\]

Finally by Lemma 3.6

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n,d} = \text{wide}(M_{(1,2,\ldots,d+1)}, W_{(2,3,\ldots,n+d)}) \subseteq W.
\]

Step 1.2: Assume \( a = n + d \), i.e., \( S = \{1, \ldots, n + d - 1\} \). This is similar to Step 1.1 and the proof is omitted.

Step 1.3: Assume \( 1 < a < n + d \), i.e., \( S = \{1, \ldots, a - 1, a + 1, \ldots, n + d\} \). Then \( x_k = a \) for some \( k \). The condition

\[
\text{Hom}_{A^{n-1}}(W_S, M_a) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Hom}_{A^{n-1}}(M_a, W_S) \neq 0,
\]

implies that \( x_{k-1} < a - 1 \) or \( x_{k+1} > a + 1 \). We treat these inequalities in three separate substeps.

Step 1.3.1: Assume both \( x_{k-1} < a - 1 \) and \( x_{k+1} > a + 1 \) hold. In particular \( 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \). Set

\[
x = (x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}, a - 1, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d).
\]

Then \( M_x \in W_S \subseteq W \) and applying Lemma 3.7 to \( M_x \) and \( M_{y'} \) we find that \( M_{x''} \in W \) for

\[
x'' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-2}, a - 1, a, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d).
\]

Set

\[
y = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-2}, a - 1, a + 1, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d)
\]

and \( S' = S \setminus \{1\} \). Then \( M_{x''} \in W_{S'∪\{a\}} \) and \( M_y \in W_{S'} \). Moreover, \( \text{Hom}_{A^{n-1}}(M_{x''}, M_y) \neq 0 \) and so by induction hypothesis

\[
\text{wide}(M_{x''}, W_{S'}) = W_{S'∪\{a\}} = W_{(2,\ldots,n+d)}.
\]

Hence

\[
W \supseteq \text{wide}(M_{x''}, W_{S'}) = \text{wide}(W_{(2,\ldots,n+d)}, W_S).
\]

Set

\[
z = \begin{cases} 
(1, 3, \ldots, a - 1, a + 1, \ldots, d + 3), & \text{if } a \leq d + 2, \\
(1, 3, \ldots, d + 2), & \text{if } a > d + 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( M_z \in W_S \) and \( \text{Hom}_{A^{n-1}}(M_z, W_{(2,\ldots,n+d)}) \neq 0 \). By Step 1.1

\[
\text{wide}(W_{(2,\ldots,n+d)}, W_S) = \mathcal{M}_{n,d}.
\]
Step 1.3.2: Assume $x_{k-1} < a - 1$ and $x_{k+1} = a + 1$. Since $|S| > d + 1$ there is some $b \in S \setminus (S_2 \cup \{a - 1\})$. Choose $b$ as small as possible. There are two cases to consider: $b < a - 1$ and $b > a + 1$.

Step 1.3.2.1: Assume $b < a - 1$. First we replace $x$ with something more suitable. For this purpose let $x' \in N_{n,d}$ be such that $x'_i = x_i$ for all $i \geq k$, $x'_{k-1} < a - 1$, $M_{x'} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\sum_i x'_i$ as large as possible. Notice that such $x'$ exists since $x$ is a candidate. We claim that $x'_0 > 1$. Otherwise there is $b' < a - 1$ such that $x'_l < b' < x'_{l+1}$ for some $0 \leq l < k - 1$. Set

$$y = \begin{cases} (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{i-1}, b', x'_{i+1}, \ldots, x'_d), & \text{if } l < k - 1, \\ (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{i-1}, b', x'_{k+1}, \ldots, x'_d), & \text{if } l = k - 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $M_y \in \mathcal{W}_S \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and applying Lemma 3.8(2) to a non-zero morphism $M_y \to M_{x'}$, we find that $M_{x''} \in \mathcal{W}$ for

$$x'' = (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{i-1}, b', x'_{i+1}, \ldots, x'_d)$$

contradicting the maximality of $\sum_i x'_i$.

Now set

$$y' = (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{k-1}, a - 1, x'_{k+1}, \ldots, x'_d).$$

Then $\text{Hom}_{A_{n-1}}(M_{x'}, M_{y'}) \neq 0$ and $M_{y'} \in \mathcal{W}_S$, for $S' = S \setminus \{1\}$. As in step 1.3.1 we get by induction that

$$\text{wide}(M_{x'}, \mathcal{W}_S) = \mathcal{W}_{S' \cup \{a\}} \neq \mathcal{W}(2, \ldots, n + d)$$

and Step 1.1 yields

$$\mathcal{W} \supseteq \text{wide}(M_{x'}, \mathcal{W}_S, \mathcal{W}_S) = \mathcal{M}_{n,d}.$$

Step 1.3.2.2: Assume $b > a + 1$. As before we replace $x$ with something more suitable. Let $x' \in N_{n,d}$ be such that $x'_i = x_i$ for all $i \leq k$, $M_{x'} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\sum_i x'_i$ as large as possible. Note that there is a $b'$ such that $x'_i < b' < x'_{i+1}$ for some $l \geq k$ or $x'_d < b'$.

We claim that $l = k$ so that Step 1.3.1 can be applied after replacing $x$ by $x'$. To show this we assume $l > k$ and reach a contradiction.

Let

$$x'' = (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{k-1}, a - 1, x'_{k+1}, \ldots, x'_d)$$

and apply Lemma 3.7 to $M_{x'}$ and $M_{x''}$ to obtain $M_y \in \mathcal{W}$ for

$$y = (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{k-1}, a - 1, a, x'_{k+2}, \ldots, x'_d).$$

Next set

$$y' = (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{k-1}, a - 1, x'_{k+1}, x'_{k+2}, \ldots, x'_{l-1}, b', x'_{l+1}, \ldots, x'_d).$$

Then $M_{y'} \in \mathcal{W}_S \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. Applying Lemma 3.8(2) to a non-zero morphism $M_y \to M_{y'}$ we obtain $M_{y''} \in \mathcal{W}$ for

$$y'' = (x'_0, \ldots, x'_{k-1}, a, x'_{k+1}, x'_{k+2}, \ldots, x'_{l-1}, b', x'_{l+1}, \ldots, x'_d).$$

But then $\sum_i y''_i > \sum_i x'_i$, which is a contradiction.

Step 1.3.3: Assume $x_{k-1} = a - 1$ and $x_{k+1} > a + 1$. This is similar to step 1.3.2 and the proof is omitted.

Step 2: Now consider the general case. We proceed by induction on $m = |S_\lambda \setminus S|$. Note that the cases $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ have already been proved. Thus consider the case $m > 1$. We assume $\text{Hom}_{A_{n-1}}(M_x, \mathcal{W}_S) \neq 0$ (the case $\text{Hom}_{A_{n-1}}(\mathcal{W}_S, M_x) \neq 0$ is similar). Then there exists $y$ with $S_y \subseteq S$ such that there is a non-zero morphism $f : M_x \to M_y$. Hence $x \leq y$. Since $m > 1$ there must be a $1 \leq k \leq d$ such that $x_k \in S_y$. In particular $y_{k-1} \neq x_{k-1}$ and so

$$x_{k-1} < y_{k-1} < x_k.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.8(1), we get that $M_{x'} \in \mathcal{W}$ for

$$x' = (x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d).$$
Moreover, there is a non-zero morphism \( g : M_{x'} \to M_x \). Now \( x_{k-1} < y_{k-1} < x_k \) also means that \( x_{k-1} < x'_k < x_k \) so by Lemma 3.3(2) we find that \( M_{x''} \in \mathcal{W} \) for
\[
x'' = (x_0, \ldots, x_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d).
\]
Notice that \( x'' \) is obtained from \( x \) by replacing \( x_{k-1} \) (which is not in \( S \)) by \( y_{k-1} \) (which is in \( S \)). In particular, \( |S_{x''} \setminus S| < m \) and setting \( S' = S \cup S_{x''} \) we get by induction that \( \mathcal{W}_{S'} \subset \text{wide}\{\mathcal{W}_S, M_{x''}\} \) and so \( \mathcal{W}_{S'} \subset \mathcal{W} \). On the other hand \( \text{Hom}_{M_{x''}}(M_{x'}, \mathcal{W}_{S'}) \neq 0 \) and \( S_x \setminus S' = \{x_{k-1}\} \) so by Step 1 we get that \( \mathcal{W}_{S_x} \subset \text{wide}\{\mathcal{W}_{S'}, M_x\} \subset \mathcal{W} \). But \( S' \cup S_x = S \cup S_x \) so the claim follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a wide subcategory, \( M_x \in \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{W}_S \subset \mathcal{W} \) for some admissible set \( S \) that is maximal (with respect to inclusion) with this property. If there is \( M_y \in \mathcal{W}_S \) such that there is a walk of morphisms in \( \mathcal{W} \) connecting \( M_x \) and \( M_y \) then \( M_x \in \mathcal{W}_S \).

**Proof.** The claim follows in case the walk consists of a single morphism by maximality and applying Lemma 3.10. Propagating along an arbitrary walk gives the general result. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.12.** The map in Theorem 3.7 is surjective.

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a wide subcategory. Consider again the poset \( \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{W} \) of all admissible subsets \( S \) satisfying \( \mathcal{W}_S \subset \mathcal{W} \) ordered by inclusion. Let \( \Sigma \) be the collection of maximal elements in \( \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{W} \). Since every module \( M_x \in \mathcal{W} \) lies in some \( \mathcal{W}_S \subset \mathcal{W} \) (e.g., \( S = S_x \)) it follows that \( \mathcal{W} = \text{add}\{\mathcal{W}_S \mid S \in \Sigma\} \). It remains to show that \( \Sigma \) is non-interlacing. We do this by showing that if \( S, S' \in \Sigma \) interlace, then \( \mathcal{W}_S = \mathcal{W}_{S'} \) and so \( S = S' \). Note that if \( S, S' \in \Sigma \) interlace, then by Remark 2.2 there are \( M_x \in \mathcal{W}_S \) and \( M_{x'} \in \mathcal{W}_{S'} \) such that there is either a non-zero morphism connecting \( M_x \) and \( M_{x'} \) or a non-trivial \( d \)-extension in \( \mathcal{W} \) with endpoints \( M_x \) and \( M_{x'} \) (in some order) as described in Theorem 2.17. In either case there is a walk in \( \mathcal{W} \) from \( M_x \) to \( M_{x'} \). Moreover, since \( \mathcal{W}_S \) and \( \mathcal{W}_{S'} \) are connected there is in fact a walk in \( \mathcal{W} \) from any indecomposable in \( \mathcal{W}_S \) to any indecomposable in \( \mathcal{W}_{S'} \). The claim now follows by Lemma 3.11. \( \square \)

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.11.

### 3.4. Number of wide subcategories.

Finally, we briefly discuss the number of wide subcategories of \( \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \), which we denote by \( w_{n,d} \). For \( d = 1 \) it is well-known that the numbers \( w_{n,1} \) are Catalan numbers:
\[
w_{n,1} = \frac{1}{n+2} \binom{2n+2}{n+1}.
\]

For \( n = 1 \) we have \( w_{1,d} = 2 \) since \( \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \) has precisely one indecomposable in this case.

For \( n = 2 \) the category \( \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \) has \( d+1 \) indecomposables
\[
M_1 = M_{1,2,\ldots,d+1}, \quad M_2 = M_{1,2,\ldots,d+2}, \ldots, \quad M_d = M_{1,3,\ldots,d+1,d+2}, \quad M_{d+1} = M_{2,3,\ldots,d+1,d+2}.
\]

Hence a wide subcategory of \( \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \) is determined by a subset of \( \{M_1, \ldots, M_{d+1}\} \), which is naturally encoded as word of length \( d+1 \) in letters \( 0, 1 \). Such a word corresponds to a wide subcategory if and only if it avoids any occurrence of \( 11 \) when read cyclically or is the word \( 11 \ldots 1 \). Counting the number of such words is straightforward. In fact, \( (w_{2,d})_d \) appears as A001612 in [OEIS](https://oeis.org) and satisfies the recurrence relation
\[
w_{2,d} = w_{2,d-1} + w_{2,d-2} - 1.
\]

For general \( n \) and \( d \), we have not found an easy formula \( w_{n,d} \). However, due to the simple combinatorial description of wide subcategories in Theorem 3.1 it is straightforward to write an algorithm that computes the number \( w_{n,d} \) for any \( n \geq 1, d \geq 1 \). For instance one may generate each \( \Sigma \) by iteratively attaching admissible sets \( S \) that do not interlace. Running such an algorithm
on a computer one finds the following values for small \( n \) and \( d \).

Some values of \( w_{n,d} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( d )</th>
<th>( w_{1,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{2,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{3,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{4,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{5,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{6,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{7,d} )</th>
<th>( w_{8,d} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>1,430</td>
<td>4,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>4,083</td>
<td>62,824</td>
<td>1,376,012</td>
<td>42,579,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>16,830</td>
<td>4,597,078</td>
<td>3,499,884</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1,724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17,934</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>273,092</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5,732,137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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