

# A note on factorial $\mathbb{A}^1$ -forms with retractions

Prosenjit Das

*Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute,  
203, B.T. Road, Kolkata 700 108, India.*

---

## Abstract

Let  $k$  be a field. In this paper we will show that any factorial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form  $A$  over any  $k$ -algebra  $R$  is trivial if  $A$  has a retraction to  $R$ .

Keywords:  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form, Factorial domain, Retraction

AMS Subject classifications (2000). Primary 13B25; Secondary 12F05, 13B10

---

Throughout this paper, by “ring”, we shall mean “commutative ring with unity”.

**Definitions.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $R$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra.  $A$  is said to be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over  $R$  (with respect to  $k$ ) if there exists an algebraic field extension  $k'|_k$  such that  $A \otimes_k k' \cong (R \otimes_k k')[X]$ . An  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form is called *purely inseparable* (resp. *separable*), if we can take the extension  $k'|_k$  to be a purely inseparable (resp. a separable) extension.

A ring homomorphism  $\Phi : A \longrightarrow R$  is said to be a *retraction* if  $\Phi$  is  $R$ -linear. If a retraction  $\Phi : A \longrightarrow R$  exists, we say  $R$  is a *retract* of  $A$ .

It is well known that any separable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over any field is trivial. More generally, it has been shown that a separable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over an arbitrary commutative algebra is trivial ([Dut00], Theorem 7), i.e.,

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  be a field,  $L$  a separable field extension of  $k$ ,  $R$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra such that  $A \otimes_k L \cong \text{Sym}_{(R \otimes_k L)}(P')$  for a finitely generated rank one projective module  $P'$  over  $R \otimes_k L$ . Then  $A \cong \text{Sym}_R(P)$  for a finitely generated rank one projective module  $P$  over  $R$ .*

If  $k$  is not perfect, there exist non-trivial purely inseparable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -forms. Asanuma gave a complete structure theorem for purely inseparable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -forms over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 2$  ([Asa05], Theorem 8.1). However, from Asanuma’s results, it can be deduced that any factorial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over a field  $k$  with a  $k$ -rational point is trivial, i.e.,

---

*Email address:* `prosenjit.das@gmail.com` (Prosenjit Das)

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  be a field and  $A$  an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over  $k$  such that*

- (1)  *$A$  is a UFD.*
- (2)  *$A$  has a  $k$ -rational point.*

*Then  $A \cong k[X]$ .*

In this paper we shall show that Theorem 2 has a generalization, in the spirit of Theorem 1, to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -forms over  $k$ -UFDs (see Corollary 4 for precise formulation). The generalization turns out to be a special case of our main theorem (Theorem 3) which may be envisaged as an example of “faithfully flat descent”.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra such that*

- (1)  *$A$  is a UFD.*
- (2) *There is a retraction  $\Phi : A \longrightarrow R$ .*
- (3) *There exists a faithfully flat ring homomorphism  $\eta : R \longrightarrow R'$  such that  $A \otimes_R R' \cong R'[X]$ .*

*Then  $A \cong R[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A' = A \otimes_R R' = R'[f]$ ,  $P = \text{Ker } \Phi$ ,  $P' = PA' (= P \otimes_R R')$ , and let  $\Phi' = \Phi \otimes 1$  be the induced retraction from  $A'$  to  $R'$ . Then  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $A$  and we have a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\Phi} R \longrightarrow 0$$

and hence a short exact sequence of  $R'$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow A' (= R'[f]) \xrightarrow{\Phi'} R' \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then  $P' = \text{Ker } \Phi' = (f - \Phi'(f))R'[f]$ . Replacing  $f - \Phi'(f)$  by  $f$ , we assume  $P' = fA' = fR'[f]$ . Since  $A'$  is faithfully flat over  $A$ , going-down theorem holds between  $A$  and  $A'$  ([Mat89], Pg. 68, Theorem 9.5) and also  $P' \cap A = P$  ([Mat89], Pg. 49, Theorem 7.5). As  $ht(P') = 1$ , it follows that  $ht(P) = 1$ .

Now, since  $A$  is a UFD, there exists  $g \in A$  such that  $P = gA$ . Thus we get  $gA' = P' = fA'$ . Since  $f$  is a non-zero divisor in  $A' (= R'[f])$ , it follows that  $g = \lambda f$  for some unit  $\lambda$  in  $A'$ . Let

$$\lambda = a_0 + a_1 f + a_2 f^2 + \cdots + a_n f^n$$

where  $a_0$  is a unit in  $R'$  and  $a_i$  is nilpotent in  $R'$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $I = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)R'$ . Then  $I$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $R'$ . Let  $N$  be the least positive integer such that  $I^N = (0)$ . Since  $g \equiv a_0 f \pmod{I}$ , we have

$$R'[f] = R'[g] + IR'[f] = \cdots = R'[g] + I^N R'[f] = R'[g].$$

Thus we have  $R[g] \subseteq A$  and  $R[g] \otimes_R R' = R'[g] = A \otimes_R R'$ . Since  $R'$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ , it follows that  $A = R[g] \cong R[X]$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $k$  be a field,  $R$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $A$  an  $R$ -algebra such that*

- (1)  *$A$  is a UFD.*
- (2)  *$R$  is a retract of  $A$ .*
- (3)  *$A$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over  $R$ .*

*Then  $A \cong R[X]$ .*

The following two well-known examples ([KMT74], Pg. 70–71, Remark 6.6(a), Examples (i) and (ii)) respectively show that in Theorem 2 (and hence in Theorem 3), the hypothesis on the existence of a retraction and the hypothesis “ $A$  is a UFD” are necessary.

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be the prime field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $k = \mathbb{F}_p(t, u)$  be a purely transcendental extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with variables  $t$  and  $u$ . Then  $A = k[X, Y]/(Y^p - t - X - uX^p)$  is a factorial non-trivial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over  $k$  which does not have a retraction to  $k$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 2$  and  $A = k[X, Y]/(Y^p - X - aX^p)$  where  $a \in k \setminus k^p$ . Then  $A$  is a non-trivial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -form over  $k$  with a retraction to  $k$ . Here  $A$  is not a UFD.

### Acknowledgement:

In the earlier version of the article, Corollary 4 was presented as the main theorem. I thank the referee for his observation that the arguments give the more general statement Theorem 3.

I thank Amartya K. Dutta for suggesting the problem and for his useful comments and suggestions. I am grateful to T. Asanuma for his lectures on purely inseparable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -forms in Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata. I also thank S. M. Bhatwadekar and N. Onoda for helpful discussions.

### References

- [Asa05] Teruo Asanuma, *Purely inseparable  $k$ -forms of affine algebraic curves*, Affine algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 369, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 31–46.
- [Dut00] Amartya K. Dutta, *On separable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -forms*, Nagoya Math. J. **159** (2000), 45–51.

- [KMT74] Tatsuji Kambayashi, Masayoshi Miyanishi, and Mitsuhiro Takeuchi, *Unipotent algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 414, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [Mat89] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.