BRANCING RULES FOR KOORNWINDER POLYNOMIALS WITH ONE COLUMN DIAGRAMS AND MATRIX INVERSIONS
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Abstract. We present an explicit formula for the transition matrix \( C \) from the type \( BC_n \) Koornwinder polynomials \( P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \) with one column diagrams, to the type \( BC_n \) monomial symmetric polynomials \( m_{(1^r)}(x) \). The entries of the matrix \( C \) enjoy a set of four terms recursion relations. These recursions provide us with the branching rules for the Koornwinder polynomials with one column diagrams, namely the restriction rules from \( BC_n \) to \( BC_{n-1} \). To have a good description of the transition matrices involved, we introduce the following degeneration scheme of the Koornwinder polynomials: \( P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \leftrightarrow P_{(r)}(x|a, -a, c, d|q, t) \leftrightarrow P_{(r)}(x|t^{1/2}, -t^{1/2}, c, -c|q, t) \leftrightarrow P_{(r)}(x|t^{1/2}, -t^{1/2}, 1, -1|q, t) \). We prove that the transition matrices associated with each of these degeneration steps are given in terms of the matrix inversion formulas of Bressoud or Krattenthaler. As an application, we give an explicit formula for the Kostka polynomials of type \( B_n \), namely the transition matrix from the Schur polynomials \( P_{(1^r)} \) to the Hall–Littlewood polynomials \( P_{(1^r)}^{(B_n, B_n)}(x|q, q) \). We also present a conjecture for the asymptotically free eigenfunctions of the \( B_n \) \( q \)-Toda operator, which can be regarded as a branching formula from the \( B_n \) \( q \)-Toda eigenfunction restricted to the \( A_{n-1} \) \( q \)-Toda eigenfunctions.

1. Introduction

This article is a continuation of [HS], aiming at generalizing the construction of the Koornwinder polynomials [K] \( P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \) associated with one column diagrams with the general six parameters \( a, b, c, d \) and \( q, t \). We investigate the transition matrix \( C \), from the monomial symmetric polynomials \( m_{(1^r)}(x) \) to \( P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \). (As for the definitions of \( P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \) and \( m_{(1^r)}(x) \), see Section 2). For simplicity, write \( P_{(1^r)}^{BC_n} = P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \) and \( m_{(1^r)} = m_{(1^r)}(x) \).

Definition 1.1. Set

\[
\begin{align*}
f(s|a, b, c, d) &= \frac{(1 - abcd/t)(1 - ts)(1 - abs)(1 - acs)(1 - ads)(1 - bcs)(1 - bds)(1 - cds)}{(1 - abcds^2/t)(1 - abcds^2)(1 - abcds^2)} \quad (1a), \\
g(s|a, b, c, d) &= g_1(s|a, b, c, d) - g_1(st|a, b, c, d), \quad (1b), \\
g_1(s|a, b, c, d) &= \frac{a + b + c + d - (abc + abd + acd + bcd)s}{1 - abcds^2/t^2} \frac{1 - s}{1 - t}. \quad (1c)
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Let \( P^{(n)} \) and \( m^{(n)} \) be the infinite column vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
P^{(n)} &= t(P_{(1^n)}^{BC_n}, P_{(1^{n-1})}^{BC_n}, \ldots, P_{(r)}^{BC_n}, 0, \ldots), \\
m^{(n)} &= t(m_{(1^n)}, m_{(1^{n-1})}, \ldots, m_{(r)}, 0, \ldots).
\end{align*}
\]

Theorem 1.2. There exist a unique infinite transition matrix \( C = (C_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) satisfying the conditions
\[ C \text{ is upper triangular}, \]
\[ C_{i,i} = 1 \quad (i \geq 0), \]
\[ C_{i,j} = C_{i-1,j-1} + g(t^j)C_{i,j-1} + f(t^j)C_{i+1,j-1}, \]

and we have \( P^{(n)} = Cm^{(n)} \) for all \( n \geq 1 \) (stability).

First a few terms of the transition matrix \( C \) read
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -g_1(t) & g_1(t)^2 + f(1) & -g_1(t)^3 - g_1(t)f(1) - g_1(t^2)f(1) & \cdots \\
& 1 & -g_1(t^2) & g_1(t^2)^2 + f(1) - g_1(t^2)g(t) + f(t) & \cdots \\
& & 1 & -g_1(t^3) & \cdots \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

A proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in \[ \text{(5.1)} \] As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we establish the following branching rule.

**Theorem 1.3.** Set \( P_{(1^n)}^{BC_n,-1}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = 0 \) and \( P_{(1^n-1)}^{BC_n}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = 0 \) for simplicity. We have
\[
P_{(1^n)}^{BC_n}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n|a, b, c, d|q, t)
= P_{(1^n)}^{BC_n-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}|a, b, c, d|q, t)
+ \left( x_n + 1/x_n + g(t^n-r)|a, b, c, d \right) P_{(1^n-1)}^{BC_n-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}|a, b, c, d|q, t)
+ f(t^n-r|a, b, c, d) P_{(1^n-2)}^{BC_n-1}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}|a, b, c, d|q, t).
\]

A proof of Theorem 1.3 is presented in \[ \text{(5.2)} \]

**Definition 1.4.** Define the symmetric Laurent polynomial \( E_r(x)'s \) by expanding the generating function \( E(x)|y \) as
\[
E(x)|y = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - yx_i)(1 - y/x_i) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r E_r(x)y^r.
\]

**Theorem 1.5** \[ \text{[HS] Theorem 2.2}. \] We have the following fourfold summation formula for the \( BC_n \) Koornwinder polynomial \( P_{(1^n)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \) with one column diagram.
\[
P_{(1^n)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{k,l,i,j \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} E_{r-2k-2l-i-j}(x) \tilde{c}_e(k, l; t^{n-r+1+i+j}) \tilde{c}_o(i, j; t^{n-r+1}),
\]

where
\[
\tilde{c}_e(k, l; s) = \frac{(tc^2/a^2; t^2)_k(s^2c^4/t^2; t^2)_k(1/c^2; t)_l(s/t; t)_{2k+l}}{(t^2; t^2)_k(s^2c^4/t^2; t^2)_k(1/c^2; t)_{2k+l}},
\]
\[
\tilde{c}_o(i, j; s) = \frac{(-a/b; t)_{i+j}(-abc/d; t)_{i+j}((s/t); t)_{i+j}}{((sat/t); t)_{i+j}(s^2abcd/t^3; t)_{i+j}} \times \frac{(-c/d; t)_{i+j}(sab/t; t)_{i+j}}{((st); t)_{i+j}(sac/t^3; t)_{i+j}}.
\]

To have a better description of the transition matrices appearing in the degeneration scheme \[ \text{(14)} \], we need to modify the fourfold summation formula in Theorem 1.5 as follows:

**Theorem 1.6.** We have
\[
P_{(1^n)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{k,l,i,j \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} E_{r-2k-2l-i-j}(x) \tilde{c}_e(k, l; t^{n-r+1+i+j}) \tilde{c}_o^{\text{new}}(i, j; t^{n-r+1}),
\]
where
\[
\hat{c}^{\text{new}}_o(i, j; s) = \frac{(-a/b; t)_i(s; t)_i(sac/t; t)_i(sad/t; t)_i(scd/t; t)_i(-s^2a^2cd/t^3; t)_i}{(t; t)_i(s^2abcd/t^4; t)_i(-s^2a^2cd/t^3; t)_i} b^i
\times \frac{(-c/d; t)_j(t^i s; t)_j(-t^is^2a^2/t; t)_j(t^2s^2a^2c^2/t^3; t)_j d^j}{(t; t)_i(t^2s^2a^2cd/t^2; t)_j(t^2s^2a^2c^2/t^3; t)_j d^j}.
\]

Now we shall treat the Macdonald polynomials of type \((B_n, B_n)\) \cite{Mac, St, RW}
\[
P_{(1^r)}^{(B_n, B_n)}(x|a; q, t) = P_{(1^r)}(x|q^{1/2}, -q^{1/2}, -1, a|q, t).
\]

**Theorem 1.7.** We have
\[
P_{(1^r)}^{(B_n, B_n)}(x|a; q, t) = \sum_{k, j \geq 0} (-1)^j E_{r-2k-j}(x) \hat{c}_c(k, 0; t^{n-r+1}) \hat{c}^{\text{new}}_o(0, j; t^{n-r+1}),
\]
where
\[
\hat{c}_c(k, 0; s) = \frac{(t/q; t^2)_k(st; t^2)_k(s^2/t^2; t^2)_k (s/t; t)_{2k} 1 - st^{2k-1}}{(t^2/t^2)_k(s/t; t^2)_k(s^2q/t; t^2)_k (s/t; t)_{2k} 1 - st^{-1}} t^k,
\]
\[
\hat{c}^{\text{new}}_o(0, j; s) = \frac{(1/j a; t)_j(s; t)_j(-sq/t; t)_j(s^2q/t^3; t)_j a^j}{(t; t)_j(s^2q/t^2; t)_j(s^2q/t^3; t)_j a^j}.
\]

**Corollary 1.8.** When \(a = t = q\), the Macdonald polynomials of type \((B_n, B_n)\) become the Schur polynomials \(s_\lambda(x) = s_\lambda^{B_n}(x)\) of type \(B_n\). It holds that
\[
s_{(1^r)}^{B_n}(x) = P_{(1^r)}^{(B_n, B_n)}(x|q; q, q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n - r + 2j}{j} m_{(1^{r-2j})}(x)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n - r + 2j + 1}{j} m_{(1^{r-2j-1})}(x), \tag{6}
\]
where \(\binom{m}{j} = \frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-j+1)}{j!}\) denotes the ordinary binomial coefficient.

First a few terms of \cite{6} read
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
s_{(1)}^{B_n} \\
s_{(1)_{1^1}}^{B_n} \\
\vdots \\
s_{(1)_{1^3}}^{B_n}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 10 & 20 & 35 & 70 & 126 & \cdots \\
1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 10 & 15 & 35 & 56 & 126 & \cdots \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 21 & 28 & 84 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
m_{(1^1)} \\
m_{(1^{1^1})} \\
\vdots \\
m_{(1^{1^3})}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As an application of our results obtained in this paper, we calculate the transition matrix from the Schur polynomials to the Hall–Littlewood polynomials, namely the Kostka polynomials of type \(B_n\), associated with one column diagrams. As for the Kostka polynomials of types \(C_n\) and \(D_n\) associated with one column diagrams, see \cite{HS}.

**Definition 1.9.** Let \(K_{(1^r)}^{B_n}(t)\) be the transition coefficients defined by
\[
s_{(1^r)}^{B_n}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{r} K_{(1^r)}^{B_n}(t) P_{(1^r-t)}^{(B_n, B_n)}(x|t; 0, t). \tag{7}
\]
Theorem 1.10. The $K_{(1^p)(1^{n-p})}^B(t)$ is a polynomial in $t$ with nonnegative integral coefficients. Explicitly, we have

$$K_{(1^p)(1^{n-p})}^B(t) = \begin{cases} t^L \left[ \frac{n-r+2L}{L} \right]_{t^2} & (l = 2L), \\ t^{L+n-r+1} \left[ \frac{n-r+2L+1}{L} \right]_{t^2} & (l = 2L+1). \end{cases}$$ (8)

Here we have used the notation for the $q$-integer $[n]_q$, the $q$-factorial $[n]_q!$ and the $q$-binomial coefficient $\left[ \begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array} \right]_q$ as

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, \quad [n]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q, \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c} m \\ j \end{array} \right]_q = \prod_{k=1}^j \frac{[m-k+1]_q}{[k]_q} = \frac{[m]_q!}{[j]_q! [m-j]_q!}.$$ (9)

We prove this in §6.

The present article is organized as follows. In §2 we derive a slightly improved version of the fourfold summation formula for the Koornwinder polynomial with one column diagram. See [HS] as for the original version. In §3 we give the transition matrices from the Koornwinder polynomials $P_{(1^p)}(x|a,b,c,d;q,t)$ with one column diagrams, to certain degenerations of the Koornwinder polynomials $P_{(1^p)}(x|a,-a,c,d;q,t)$, $P_{(1^p)}(x|a,-a,c,-c;q,t)$, $P_{(1^p)}(x|t^{1/2}c,-t^{1/2}c,c,-c;q,t)$ and $P_{(1^p)}(x|t^{1/2},-t^{1/2},1,-1;q,t)$. We show that these transition matrices are described by matrix inversion formulas of Bressoud or Krattenthaler. In §4 we present some technical preparations for our proof of the Theorem 1.2. Namely, we give a certain set of five term relations for $\phi_3$ series of the basic hypergeometric series associated with the transition matrices. In §5 we prove the Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. In §6 we study some degenerate cases, including the calculation of the Kostka polynomials of type $B_n$. In §7 we give a solution to the recursion relation of the transition matrix in the Theorem 1.2. In Appendix, we recall briefly the asymptotically free eigenfunctions of the Askey-Wilson polynomials and discuss the relation of the transition matrix. In addition, we present a conjecture for the asymptotically free eigenfunctions of the $B_n$ $q$-Toda operator.

Throughout the paper, we use the standard notation (see [GR])

$$(z; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^k z), \quad (z; q)_k = \frac{(z; q)_\infty}{(q^k z; q)_\infty} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r; q)_k = (a_1; q)_k (a_2; q)_k \cdots (a_r; q)_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$r+1\phi_r \left[ \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, \ldots, b_r \end{array} ; q, z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1}; q)_n}{(q, b_1, \ldots, b_r; q)_n} z^n,$$

$$r+1W_r(a_1; a_4, a_5, \ldots, a_{r+1}; q, z) = r+1\phi_r \left[ \begin{array}{c} a_1, qa_1^{1/2}, -qa_1^{1/2}, a_4, \ldots, a_{r+1} \\ a_1^{1/2}, -a_1^{1/2}, qa_1/a_4, \ldots, qa_1/a_{r+1} \end{array} ; q, z \right].$$

2. Fourfold summation formula for Koornwinder polynomials with one column diagram

In this section we introduce a slightly modified version of the fourfold summation formula for the Koornwinder polynomial with one column diagram [HS]. Let $a, b, c, d, q, t$ be complex parameters. We assume that $|q| < 1$. Set $\alpha = (abcd/q)^{1/2}$ for simplicity. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a sequence of independent indeterminates. The hyperoctahedral group of rank $n$ is denoted by $W_n = \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes S_n$. Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm, x_2^\pm, \ldots, x_n^\pm]^{W_n}$ be the ring of $W_n$-invariant Laurent polynomials.
in $x$. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ of length $n$, i.e. $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$, we denote by $m_\lambda = m_\lambda(x)$ the monomial symmetric polynomial being defined as the orbit sums of monomials

$$m_\lambda = \frac{1}{|\text{Stab}(\lambda)|} \sum_{\mu \in W_n \cdot \lambda} \prod_i x_i^{\mu_i},$$

where $\text{Stab}(\lambda) = \{ s \in W_n \mid s\lambda = \lambda \}$.

Koornwinder’s $q$-difference operator $\mathcal{D}_x = \mathcal{D}_x(a, b, c, d|q, t)$ [K] reads

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - ax_i)(1 - bx_i)(1 - cx_i)(1 - dx_i)}{a t^{n-1}(1 - x_i^2)(1 - qx_i^2)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - tx_jx_i)(1 - tx_jx_i/x_j)}{(1 - x_jx_i)(1 - x_jx_i/x_j)} (T_{q,x}^{i-1} - 1)$$

$$+ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - a/x_i)(1 - b/x_i)(1 - c/x_i)(1 - d/x_i)}{a t^{n-1}(1 - 1/x_i^2)(1 - 1/qx_i^2)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - tx_j/x_i)(1 - t/x_jx_i)}{(1 - x_j/x_i)(1 - 1/x_jx_i)} (T_{q,x}^{i-1} - 1),$$

where we have used the notation $T_{q,x}^{i-1} f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, q^{-1} x_i, \ldots, x_n)$.

The Koornwinder polynomial $P_\lambda(x) = P_\lambda(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}] W_n$ is uniquely characterized by the conditions

$$(a) \quad P_\lambda(x) = m_\lambda(x) + \text{lower terms w.r.t. the dominance ordering},$$

$$(b) \quad \mathcal{D}_x P_\lambda = d_\lambda P_\lambda.$$ 

The eigenvalue $d_\lambda$ is explicitly written as

$$d_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle abcdq^{-1} t^{2n-2j} q^{\lambda_j} \rangle \langle q^{\lambda_j} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle a t^{n-j} q^{\lambda_j}; a t^{n-j} \rangle,$$

where we have used the notations $\langle x \rangle = x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}$ and $\langle x; y \rangle = \langle xy \rangle / \langle y \rangle = x + x^{-1} - y - y^{-1}$ for simplicity of display.

In this article, we consider the following degeneration scheme of the Koornwinder polynomials associated with one column diagrams:

$$P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \leftrightarrow P_{(1^r)}(x|a, -a, c, d|q, t) \leftrightarrow P_{(1^r)}(x|a, -a, c, -c|q, t)$$

$$\leftrightarrow P_{(1^r)}(x|t^{1/2} c, -t^{1/2} c, c, -c|q, t) \leftrightarrow P_{(1^r)}(x|t^{1/2}, -t^{1/2}, 1, -1|q, t).$$

We prove that the transition matrices associated with each of these degeneration steps are given in terms of the matrix inversion formulas of Bressoud or Krattenthaler.

In order to prove Theorem 1.6 we prepare the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** $\sum_{i=0}^m \zeta_{o}^{new}(i, m - i; s) = \sum_{i=0}^m \zeta_{o}(i, m - i; s)$.

**Proof.** We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \zeta_{o}^{new}(i, m - i; s) = (c/d|t)_{i}(s|t)_{i}(-sa^2/t|t)_{i}(s^2a^2c^2/t^3|t)_{m}$$

$$\times (t|t)_{i} (sac/t^{3/2}|t)_{i} (-sac/t^{3/2}|t)_{i} (-s^2a^2cd/t^2|t)_{m} d^m$$

$$\times sW_7(-s^3 a^2 c^2/t^3; t^m s^2 a^2 c^2/t^3; sad/t, -a/b, scd/t, t^{-m}; t, -tb/c).$$

By Watson’s transformation formula [GR, p. 43, (25.1)], $sW_7$ series in (15) equals

$$\left(\frac{-s^2 a^2 c^2/t^3; sad/t}{t^2; t} \right)_m 4\Phi_3 \left[ \begin{array}{c} t^{-m}, -a/b, scd/t, -t^{-m+2}/sac \\ -t^{-m+1}d/c, -sac/t, t^{-m+2}/sab \end{array} \right].$$


On the other hand, we have
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \hat{c}_0(i, m - i; s) = \frac{(s, s^2a^2c^2/t^3, -c/d, sab/t; t)_m}{(sabcd/t^2, sac/t^3/2, -sac/t^3/2, t)_m} d^{m\phi}_3 \left[ \begin{array}{c} -a/b, scd/t, t^{-m}, -t^{-m+2}/sac \\ -sac/t, -t^{-m+1}d/c, t^{-m+2}/sab \end{array} \right] (t, t). \tag{16}
\]
This shows that \( \sum_{i=0}^{m} \hat{c}_0^{\text{new}}(i, m - i; s) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \hat{c}_0(i, m - i; s) \).

Proof of Theorem 1.6 By Theorem 1.5 we have
\[
P_{(1)}(x[a, b, c, d|q, t]) = \sum_{k,l,j \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} E_{r-2k-2l-i-j}(x) \hat{c}_e'(k, l; t^{n-r+1+i+j}) \hat{c}_o(i, j; t^{n-r+1})
\]
\[
= \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m \hat{c}_e'(k, l; t^{n-r+1+m}) E_{r-2k-2l-m}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{m} \hat{c}_o(i, m - i; t^{n-r+1})
\]
\[
= \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m \hat{c}_e'(k, l; t^{n-r+1+m}) E_{r-2k-2l-m}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{m} \hat{c}_o^{\text{new}}(i, m - i; t^{n-r+1}). \tag{17}
\]
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

3. Matrix Inversions for Degeneration Scheme of Koornwinder Polynomials

In this section we give the transition matrices in terms of the degeneration scheme of the Koornwinder polynomials. We obtain explicit formulas for these transition matrices described by Bressoud or Krattenthaler’s matrix inversions.

**Theorem 3.1** ([13], p.1, Theorem, [14], p.5, Corollary). Define the matrix \( M(u, v; x, y; q) \) with entries given by
\[
M_{r,r-2i}(u, v; x, y; q) = y^i v^i \begin{pmatrix} (x/y; q)_i \\ (q; q)_i \\ (uyq^{-2i}; q)_i \\ (uyq^{-2i+1}; q)_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (uq^{-2i}; q)_i \\ (uxq^{-i}; q)_i \\ (uyq^{-2i+1}; q)_i \\ (uyq^{-2i+1}; q)_i \end{pmatrix} (r, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i \leq [r/2]).
\]
Then we have \( M(u, v; x, y; q) M(u, v; y, z; q) = M(u, v; x, z; q) \). In particular, \( M(u, v; x, y; q) \) and \( M(u, v; y, x; q) \) are mutually inverse.

**Definition 3.2.** Set
\[
d_M(u, v, r) = \begin{pmatrix} t^2u^{1/2}/t \\ u^{1/2}/t \\ t \\ u^{3/4}/v^{3/4} \end{pmatrix} (t^2u^{1/2}/t)_r u^{1/4}/v^{3/4}.\]
Let \( \widetilde{M}(u, v; x, y; t) \) denotes the conjugation of the matrix \( M(u, v, x, y; t^2) \) by the \( d_M(u, v, r) \) with entries
\[
\widetilde{M}_{r,r-2i}(u, v; x, y; t) = M_{r,r-2i}(u, v; x, y; t^2) \times d_M(u, v, r)/d_M(u, v, r-r-2i)
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix} (x/y; t^2)_i \begin{pmatrix} (x/y; t^2)_i \\ (x/y; t^2)_i \\ (x/y; t^2)_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (xq^{i+j}; q)_i \\ (xq^{i+j}; q)_i \\ (xq^{i+j}; q)_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (yq^{i+j}; q)_i \\ (yq^{i+j}; q)_i \\ (yq^{i+j}; q)_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (yq^{i+j}; q)_i \\ (yq^{i+j}; q)_i \\ (yq^{i+j}; q)_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Note that \( \widetilde{M}(u, v; x, y; t) \) and \( \widetilde{M}(u, v; y, x; t) \) are mutually inverse.

**Theorem 3.3** ([15], p.3, Corollary). Define the matrix \( K(x, y; q) \) with entries
\[
K_{i,j}(x, y; q) = y^{-j} \begin{pmatrix} (x/y; q)_{j-i} \\ (q; q)_{j-i} \\ (xq^{i+j}; q)_{j-i} \\ (yq^{i+j}; q)_{j-i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then \( K(x, y; q) \) and \( K(y, x; q) \) are mutually inverse.
Definition 3.4. Set
\[ d_N(u, v)_r = v^{-r}(u_1; t)_r(u_2; t)_r(u_3; t)_r(u_4; t)_r \]
for \( u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \). Let \( \tilde{N}(u, v; x, y; t) \) denotes the conjugation of the matrix \( K(x, y; t) \) by the \( d_N(u, v)_r \) with entries defined by
\[
N_{r, r-i}(u, v, x, y; t) = K_{r, r-i}(xv, yv; t) \times d_N(u, v)_r / d_N(u, v)_{r-i}
\]
\[
y^i(x/y; t_i)(u_1t^{-i}; t)_i(u_2t^{-i}; t)_i(u_3t^{-i}; t)_i(u_4t^{-i}; t)_i (r, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})
\]
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6. □

Proposition 3.5. We have
\[
\hat{c}_e^{new}(i, 0; t^{n-r+1}) = (-1)^i \tilde{N}_{r, r-i}(t^{-n}, t^{-n+1}/ac, t^{-n+1}/ad, t^{-n+1}/cd, -t^{-2n}/acd, -t/b, t/a; t),
\]
\[
\hat{c}_e^{new}(0, j; t^{n-r+1}) = (-1)^j \tilde{N}_{r, r-j}(t^{-n}, -t^{-n+1}/a^2, t^{-n+1}/ac, -t^{-n+1}/ac, t^{-2n}/a^2c, -t/d, t/c; t),
\]
\[
\hat{c}_e^{new}(k, 0; t^{n-r+1}) = \tilde{M}_{r, r-2k}(t^{-2n+2}/c^4, t^{-2n-4}, c^2/ta^2, 1/t^2; t),
\]
\[
\hat{c}_e^{new}(0, l; t^{n-r+1}) = \tilde{M}_{r, r-2l}(t^{-n}, 1/c^2, 1, t).
\]

Theorem 3.6. We have
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \hat{c}_e^{new}(i, 0; t^{n-r+1})P_{(1^{r-i})}(x|a, -a, c, d|q, t),
\]
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, -a, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \hat{c}_e^{new}(0, j; t^{n-r+1})P_{(1^{r-j})}(x|a, -a, c, -c|q, t),
\]
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, -a, c, -c|q, t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{c}_e^{new}(k, 0; t^{n-r+1})P_{(1^{r-2k})}(x|t^{1/2}c, -t^{1/2}c, c, -c|q, t),
\]
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|t^{1/2}c, -t^{1/2}c, c, -c|q, t) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \hat{c}_e^{new}(0, l; t^{n-r+1})E_{r-2l}(x).
\]

Hence we have
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{i, j, k, l \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} \hat{c}_e^{new}(i, 0; t^{n-r+1}) \hat{c}_e^{new}(0, j; t^{n-r+i+1}) \hat{c}_e^{new}(k, 0; t^{n-r+i+j+1}) \hat{c}_e^{new}(0, l; t^{n-r+i+j+2k+1}) \times E_{r-i-j-2k-2}(x).
\]

Proof. By Theorem [1.6] we have
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \hat{c}_e^{new}(i, 0; t^{n-r+1})P_{(1^{r-i})}(x|a, -a, c, d|q, t),
\]
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, -a, c, d|q, t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \hat{c}_e^{new}(0, j; t^{n-r+1})P_{(1^{r-j})}(x|a, -a, c, -c|q, t),
\]
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|a, -a, c, -c|q, t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{c}_e^{new}(k, 0; t^{n-r+1})P_{(1^{r-2k})}(x|t^{1/2}c, -t^{1/2}c, c, -c|q, t),
\]
\[
P_{(1^{r})}(x|t^{1/2}c, -t^{1/2}c, c, -c|q, t) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \hat{c}_e^{new}(0, l; t^{n-r+1})E_{r-2l}(x).
\]
This completes the proof of Theorem [3.6].
4. Five term relations

In this section we give some preparations in order to prove Theorem 1.2. We need to recall some of the results in [HS] concerning the four term relations for the $4\phi_3$ series associated with the $M$. They give the five term relations for the $4\phi_3$ series associated with the $N$.

4.1. Matrices $M, N$ and series $B(n, r, p)$.

**Definition 4.1.** Set the lower triangle matrices $M, N$ by

\[
M_{i,j} = \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{(i-j)}{2}]} \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{i-2l}(t^{-2n+2}/c^4, t^{-2n-4}, c^2/|ta|^2, 1/t^2; t)M_{i-2l,j}(t^{-n}, t, 1/c^2, 1/t)
\]

\[
N_{i,j} = \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{(i-j)}{2}]} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_n(i, 0; t^{n-i+2l+1}) \hat{\mathcal{C}}_n(0, [i-j]/2 - l; t^{n-i+2l+1}) \quad (i \geq j),
\]

\[
N_{i,j} = \sum_{l=0}^{i-j} \mathcal{N}_{i-l}(t^{-n}, t^{-n+1}/ac, t^{-n+1}/ad, t^{-n+1}/cd, -t^{-2n}/acd, -t/b, t/a; t)
\times \mathcal{N}_{i-l,j}(t^{-n}, -t^{-n+1}/a^2, t^{-n+1}/ac, -t^{-n+1}/ac, t^{-2n}/a^2c, -t/d, t/c; t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l=0}^{i-j} (-1)^{i-j} \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(l, 0; t^{n-i+1}) \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(0, i - j - l; t^{n-i+l+1}) \quad (i \geq j).
\]

**Definition 4.2.** For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define

\[
B(n, r, 2p) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} M_{r-2k, r-2p} N_{r, r-2k}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-k} \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \hat{c}_n(i, 0; t^{n-r+2k+1}) \hat{c}_n(0, p - k - i; t^{n-r+2k+2i+1})
\times (1)^{2k} \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(j, 0; t^{n-r+1}) \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(0, 2k - j; t^{n-r+j+1}),
\]

\[
B(n, r, 2p + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} M_{r-2k+1, r-2p} N_{r, r-2k+1}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \hat{c}_n(i, 0; t^{n-r+2k}) \hat{c}_n(0, p - k + 1 - i; t^{n-r+2k+2i})
\times (1)^{2k-1} \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(j, 0; t^{n-r+1}) \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(0, 2k - 1 - j; t^{n-r+j+1}).
\]

Note we have

\[
B(n, r, p)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i+2k+2l \leq p} N_{r, r+2l+2k+i-p}(t^{-n}, t^{-n+1}/ac, t^{-n+1}/ad, t^{-n+1}/cd, -t^{-2n}/acd, -t/b, t/a; t)
\times \mathcal{N}_{r+2l+2k+i-p, r+2l+2k-p}(t^{-n}, -t^{-n+1}/a^2, t^{-n+1}/ac, -t^{-n+1}/ac, t^{-2n}/a^2c, -t/d, t/c; t)
\times \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{r+2l+2k+p, r+2l+p}(t^{-2n+2}/c^4, t^{-2n-4}, c^2/|ta|^2, 1/t^2; t)
\times \hat{M}_{r+2l+p, r+p}(t^{-n}, t, 1/c^2, 1/t; t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i+2k+2l \leq p} (-1)^{p+i} \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(p - 2l - 2k - i, 0; t^{n-r+1}) \hat{c}_{\text{new}}(0, i; t^{n-r-2l-2k-i+p+1})
\times \hat{c}_n(k, 0; t^{n-r-2l-2k+p+1}) \hat{c}_n(0, l; t^{n-r-2l+p+1}).
\]
Recall the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.3** ([HS] Lemma 3.3). We have

\[ E_r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-r+2k}{k} m_{(1^r-2k)}(x), \]

where \( \binom{m}{j} \) denotes the ordinary binomial coefficient.

For the transition matrix \( C = (C_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) from the Koornwinder polynomial \( P_{(1^r)}(x|a, b, c, d|q, t) \) to the monomial symmetric polynomial \( m_\lambda(x) \) of type \( BC_n \) we have the following proposition by Definition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

**Proposition 4.4.** We have

\[
C_{n-r,n-r+2h} = \sum_{p=0}^{h} B(n, r, 2p) \binom{n-r+2h}{h-p} \quad (0 \leq h \leq \lfloor r/2 \rfloor), \tag{19}
\]

\[
C_{n-r,n-r+2h+1} = \sum_{p=0}^{h} B(n, r, 2p+1) \binom{n-r+2h+1}{h-p} \quad (0 \leq h \leq \lfloor (r-1)/2 \rfloor). \tag{20}
\]

### 4.2. Four term relations for \( M \)

We remark that the matrix \( M_{i,j} \) is denoted by \( B_{i,j} \) in [HS].

**Definition 4.5.** Define

\[
m_1(s, k) := \frac{(t^2/a^2; t^2)_k(s^2t; t^2)_k(s^2c^4/t^2)_k(s; t)_{2k}a^{2k}}{(t^2; t^2)_k(s^2c^2/t; t^2)_k(s^2a^2c^2/t; t^2)_k(s^2c^2; t)_{4k}}, \tag{21}
\]

\[
m_0(s, l) := \frac{(1/c^2; t)_l(s/t; t)_l(s; t)_{2l}c^{2l}}{(t; t)_l(s^2c^2; t)_l(s^2t; t)_{2l}}. \tag{22}
\]

**Definition 4.6.** Define

\[
M(s, l) := (-1)^l s^{-l}(s^2/t^2; t^2)_l 1 - s^2t^2l^2 - 4s_c^2 \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{-sa^2, -sc^2, s^2t^2l^2, -t^2l}{(t^2; t^2)_l} \left[ -s, -st, s^2a^2c^2/t, t^2 \right]. \tag{23}
\]

By Definition 4.6 we can show the following Proposition.

**Proposition 4.7.** For \( s = t^{n-r+1} \), we have

\[
M_{n-r-2l} = M(s, l) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} m_1(s, k)m_0(st^{2k}, l-k). \tag{24}
\]

We rewrite Theorem 6.1 (6.1a) in [HS] as follows.

**Theorem 4.8** ([HS] Theorem 6.1 (6.1a)). We have

\[
M_{r-2l, r-2k} + M_{r-2l, r-2k+2} = M_{r-2l-1, r-2k+1} + f(t^{n-r+2l}|a, -a, c, -c)M_{r-2l-1, r-2k+1}. \tag{25}
\]

Rewrite the Theorem 4.8 for generic \( s \) as follows.

**Theorem 4.9** ([HS] Theorem 6.1 (6.1a)). For generic \( s \) we have

\[
M(st, l) + M(st, l-1) = M(s, l) + f(s|a, -a, c, -c)M(st^2, l-1). \tag{26}
\]

Note that this follows from the following Lemma:
Lemma 4.10. We have
\[
m_1(s, k) + f(s|a, -b, c, -d)m_1(st^2, k - 1)
= m_1(st, k) + f(st^{2k-2}|t^{1/2}c, -t^{1/2}c, c, -c)m_1(st, k - 1),
\]
\[
m_0(s, l) + f(s|t^{1/2}c, -t^{1/2}c, c, -c)m_0(st^2, l - 1) = m_0(st, l) + m_0(st, l - 1).
\]
Note that \( f(st^{2k-2}|t^{1/2}, -t^{1/2}, 1, -1) = 1. \)

4.3. Five term relations for \( N \).

Definition 4.11. Define
\[
n_1(s, i) := \frac{(-a/b; t)_i(s; t)_i(sac/t; t)_i(scd/t; t)_i(-s^2a^2c^2/t^3; t)_i(-b)^i}{(t; t)_i(s^2abcd/t^3; t)_i(-s^2a^2c^2/t^3; t)_i(-b)^i}, \tag{28a}
\]
\[
n_0(s, j) := \frac{(-c/d; t)_j(s; t)_j(-sa^2/t; t)_j(s^2a^2c^2/t^3; t)_j(s^2a^2c^2/t^3; t)_j(-d)^j}{(t; t)_j(s^2cd/t^3; t)_j(s^2c^2/t^3; t)_j(-d)^j}. \tag{28b}
\]

Definition 4.12. Define
\[
N(s, j) := \frac{(-c/d, s, s^2a^2c^2/t^3, sab/t; t)_j}{(t, sac/t^3, -sac/t^3, s^2abcd/t^3; t)_j}(-d)^j \times 4\Phi_3 \left[ t^{-j}, -a/b, scd/t, -t^{-j+2}/sac, -t^{-j+2}d/c, -sac/t, t^{-j+2}/sab, t, t \right]. \tag{29}
\]

Proposition 4.13. For \( s = t^{n-r+1} \), we have
\[
N_{r,r-j} = N(s, j) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} n_1(s, i)n_0(st^i, j - i). \tag{30}
\]

We obtain a five term relation for \( N(s, j) \) as follows.

Theorem 4.14. For generic \( s \), we have
\[
N(s, j) + g(s|a, b, c, d)N(st, j - 1) + f(s|a, b, c, d)N(st^2, j - 2)
= N(st, j) + f(st^{2i-2}|a, -a, c, -c)N(st, j - 2). \tag{31}
\]

We prepare the following Lemma in order to show Theorem 4.14.

Lemma 4.15. \( n_1(s, i) \) and \( n_0(s, j) \) satisfy
\[
n_1(s, i) + g(s|a, b, c, d)n_1(st, i - 1) + f(s|a, b, c, d)n_1(st^2, i - 2)
= n_1(st, i) + g(st^{i-1}|a, -a, c, -d)n_1(st, i - 1) + f(st^{i-2}|a, -a, c, -d)n_1(st, i - 2), \tag{32a}
\]
\[
n_0(s, j) + g(s|a, -a, c, -d)n_0(st, j - 1) + f(s|a, -a, c, d)n_0(st^2, j - 2)
= n_0(st, j) + f(st^{j-2}|a, -a, c, -c)n_0(st, j - 2). \tag{32b}
\]

Note that \( g(s|a, -a, c, -c) = 0. \)

Proof. By a direct calculation. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 4.14. We have
\[
N(st, j) + f(st^{j-2}|a, -a, c, -c)N(st, j - 2)
= \sum_{i=0}^{j} n_1(st, i)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i) + f(st^{j-2}|a, -a, c, -c) \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} n_1(st, i)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - 2 - i)
= \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} n_1(st, i) \left( n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i) + f(st^{j-2}|a, -a, c, -c)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 2) \right)
+ n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^i, 1) + n_1(st, j)n_0(st^{j+1}, 0). \tag{33}
\]
Applying Lemma 4.15 (32b), and noting that $n_0(st^{j+1}, 0) = 1$, we have
RHS of (33)
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{j-2} n_1(st, i) \left( n_0(st^i, j - i) + g(st^i|a, -a, c, d)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1) \\
+ f(st^i|a, -a, c, d)n_0(st^{i+2}, j - i - 2) \right) + n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^j, 1) + n_1(st, j)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_1(st, i + 1)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1) \\
+ n_1(st, 0)n_0(s, j) + n_1(st, 1)n_0(st, j - 1) - n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^{j-1}, 1) - n_1(st, j)n_0(st^j, 0) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} g(st^i|a, -a, c, d)n_1(st, i)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1) \\
+ g(s|a, -a, c, d)n_1(st, 0)n_0(st, j - 1) - g(st^{j-1}|a, -a, c, d)n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^j, 0) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(st^{i-1}|a, -a, c, d)n_1(st, i - 1)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1) \\
+ n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^j, 1) + n_1(st, j). \tag{34}
\]
Concerning the l.h.s of (31), we have
\[
\mathcal{N}(s, j) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} n_1(s, i)n_0(st^i, j - i)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_1(s, i + 1)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1) + n_1(s, 0)n_0(s, j) + n_1(s, 1)n_0(st, j - 1), \tag{35}
\]
\[
g(s|a, b, c, d)\mathcal{N}(st, j - 1) = g(s|a, b, c, d)\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} n_1(st, i)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} g(s|a, b, c, d)n_1(st, i)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1) + g(s|a, b, c, d)n_1(st, 0)n_0(st, j - 1), \tag{36}
\]
\[
f(s|a, b, c, d)\mathcal{N}(st^2, j - 2) = f(s|a, b, c, d)\sum_{i=0}^{j-2} n_1(st^2, i)n_0(st^{i+2}, j - i - 2)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(s|a, b, c, d)n_1(st^2, i - 1)n_0(st^{i+1}, j - i - 1). \tag{37}
\]
By the equations (34), (35), (36) and (37), and applying Lemma 4.15 (32b), the equation (31) shown to be equivalent to the identity
\[
n_1(s, 0)n_0(s, j) + n_1(s, 1)n_0(st, j - 1) + g(s|a, b, c, d)n_1(st, 0)n_0(st^j, 0)n_0(st^j, 0)
\]
\[
= n_1(st, 0)n_0(s, j) + n_1(st, 1)n_0(st, j - 1) - n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^{j-1}, 1) - n_1(st, j)n_0(st^j, 0) \\
+ g(s|a, -a, c, d)n_1(st, 0)n_0(st, j - 1) - g(st^{j-1}|a, -a, c, d)n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^j, 0) \\
+ n_1(st, j - 1)n_0(st^j, 1) + n_1(st, j). \tag{38}
\]
By a direct calculation, one can show that the equation (38) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.15. ☐
4.4. Five term relations for $B(n,r,p)$. Now, we obtain the five term relations associated with the $B(n,r,p)$ as follows.

Theorem 4.16. We have

$$B(n,r,p) + B(n,r,p - 2) = B(n,r + 1,p) + f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)B(n,r - 1,p - 2) + g(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)B(n,r - 1,p - 1).$$

(39)

Proof. First, we shall show (39) for $p = 2k$. We have

$$\text{LHS of (39)} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l,r-2k}N_{r,r-2l} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} M_{r-2l,r-2k+2}N_{r,r-2l}$$

$$= M_{r-2k,r-2k}N_{r,r-2k} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} M_{r-2l,r-2k}N_{r,r-2l} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} M_{r-2l,r-2k+2}N_{r,r-2l}$$

$$= N_{r,r-2k} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \left( M_{r-2l,r-2k} + M_{r-2l,r-2k+2} \right) N_{r,r-2l}. \quad (40)$$

By Theorem 4.8, we have

$$\text{RHS of (40)} = N_{r,r-2k} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \left( M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} + f(t^{n-r+2l-2}|a,-a,c,-c)M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \right) N_{r,r-2l}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} f(t^{n-r+2l-2}|a,-a,c,-c)M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l}.$$ 

Then we have

$$(\text{LHS} - \text{RHS}) \text{ of (39)}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} f(t^{n-r+2l}|a,-a,c,-c)M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l}$$

$$\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r+1-2l,r+1-2k}N_{r+1,r+1-2l} - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)M_{r-1-2l+1,r+2k+1}N_{r-1,r+1-2l}$$

$$\quad - g(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d) \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l+1}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \left( N_{r,r-2l} - N_{r+1,r+1-2l} \right)$$

$$\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \left( f(t^{n-r+2l}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-1-2l} \right)$$

$$\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \left( f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r,r-2l+1} \right). \quad (41)$$
Here we have used Theorem 4.14.

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} M_{r-2l-1,r-2k+1} \left( f(t^{n-r+2l}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-1-2l} \right) \\
= \sum_{l=1}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \left( f(t^{n-r+2l-2}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+2} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l+1} \right) \\
= \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \left( f(t^{n-r+2l-2}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+2} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l+1} \right)
\]

Hence, the right hand side of (41) deduce to

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \left( N_{r,r-2l} - N_{r+1,r+1-2l} \\
+ f(t^{n-r+2l}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-1-2l} - g(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r,r-2l+1} \right) = 0. \tag{42}
\]

Here we have used Theorem 4.14.

Second, we shall show (39) for \( p = 2k + 1 \).

LHS of (43)  
\[
= \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k-1}N_{r,r-2l+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l+1} \\
= M_{r-2k-1,r-2k-1}N_{r,r-2k-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k-1}N_{r,r-2l+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1}N_{r,r-2l+1} \\
= N_{r,r-2k-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left( M_{r-2l+1,r-2k-1} + M_{r-2l+1,r-2k+1} \right)N_{r,r-2l+1}. \tag{43}
\]

By Theorem 4.8 we have

RHS of (43)  
\[
= N_{r,r-2k-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left( M_{r-2l+2,r-2k} + f(t^{n-r+2l-1}|a,-a,c,-c)M_{r-2l,r-2k} \right)N_{r,r-2l+1} \\
= \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2k}N_{r,r-2l+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} f(t^{n-r+2l-1}|a,-a,c,-c)M_{r-2l,r-2k}N_{r,r-2l+1}.
\]
Then we have

\[(\text{LHS} - \text{RHS}) \text{ of (39)}\]

\[
= \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2l} N_{r,r-2l+1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} f(t^{n-r+2l-1}|a,-a,c,-c)M_{r-2l-2k} N_{r,r-2l+1} \\
- \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2l} N_{r+1,r-2l+2} - \sum_{l=1}^{k} f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)M_{r-2l,r-2k} N_{r-1,r-2l} \\
- g(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d) \sum_{l=0}^{k} M_{r-2l,r-2k} N_{r,r-2l} \\
= \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2l} N_{r,r-2l+1} - N_{r+1,r-2l+2} \\
+ \sum_{l=1}^{k} M_{r-2l,r-2k} f(t^{n-r+2l-1}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+1} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l} \\
- \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2k} g(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r,r-2l+2}. \tag{44}
\]

The second summation in the right hand side of (44) can be modified as

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{k} M_{r-2l,r-2k} f(t^{n-r+2l-1}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+1} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l} \\
= \sum_{l=2}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2k} f(t^{n-r+2l-3}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+3} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l+2} \\
= \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2k} f(t^{n-r+2l-3}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+3} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l+2} \tag{44}
\]

Hence, the right hand side of (44) deduce to

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{k+1} M_{r-2l+2,r-2k} (N_{r,r-2l+1} - N_{r+1,r-2l+2} \\
+ f(t^{n-r+2l-3}|a,-a,c,-c)N_{r,r-2l+3} - f(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r-1,r-2l+2} - g(t^{n-r}|a,b,c,d)N_{r,r-2l+2}) = 0. \tag{45}
\]

Here we have used Theorem 4.14.

\[\square\]

5. **Proof of the Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3**

Now we are ready to present a proof of our main Theorems. In this section we set \(f(s) = f(s|a,b,c,d)\) and \(g(s) = g(s|a,b,c,d)\) for simplicity.

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2** We shall show Theorem 1.2 \((26)\) when \(i = n - r\) and \(j = n - r + 2h\) \((h \geq 0)\). By Proposition 4.1 the right hand side of Theorem 1.2 \((26)\) is written as
Hence, from (47) and (48), the right hand side of (46) is follows.

\[
C_{n-r-1,n-r+2h-1} + g(t^{n-r})C_{n-r,n-r+2h-1} + f(t^{n-r})C_{n-r+1,n-r+2h-1}
= \sum_{p=0}^{h} B(n, r + 1, 2p) \binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h - p} + g(t^{n-r}) \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} B(n, r, 2p + 1) \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p}
+ f(t^{n-r}) \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} B(n, r - 1, 2p) \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p}.
\]  

(46)

Concerning the first term in the right hand side of (46), we have

\[
\sum_{p=0}^{h} B(n, r + 1, 2p) \binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h - p}
= \binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h} + \sum_{p=1}^{h} B(n, r + 1, 2p) \binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h - p}
= \binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h} + \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} B(n, r + 1, 2p + 2) \binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h - p - 1}.
\]  

(47)

By Theorem 4.16, rest of the right hand side of (46) are calculated as

\[
\sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p} \left( g(t^{n-r})B(n, r, 2p + 1) + f(t^{n-r})B(n, r - 1, 2p) \right) \right)
= \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p} \left( B(n, r, 2p + 2) - B(n, r + 1, 2p) \right) \right)
= \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p} \left( B(n, r, 2p + 2) - B(n, r + 1, 2p + 2) \right) \right)
+ \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h}{h - p} - \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - p} \right) B(n, r, 2p)
= \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p} \left( B(n, r, 2p + 2) - B(n, r + 1, 2p + 2) \right) \right)
+ \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h}{h - p} - \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - p} \right) B(n, r, 2p).
\]  

(48)

Hence, from (47) and (48), the right hand side of (46) is

\[
\binom{n - r - 1 + 2h}{h} + \sum_{p=0}^{h} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h}{h - p} \right) B(n, r, 2p)
+ \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - 1 - p} \right) B(n, r, 2p + 2) - \sum_{p=0}^{h} \left( \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - p} \right) B(n, r, 2p).
\]  

(49)
Then noting that the last term of (49) is recast as
\[
\binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h} + \sum_{p=1}^{h} \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - p} B(n, r, 2p) = \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h} + \sum_{p=0}^{h-1} \binom{n - r + 2h - 1}{h - p - 1} B(n, r, 2p + 2),
\]
the right hand side of (46) is calculated as
\[
\sum_{p=0}^{h} \binom{n - r + 2h}{h - p} B(n, r, 2p) = C_{n-r,n-r+2h}.
\]
We can prove the case \(i = n - r\) and \(j = n - r + 2h + 1\) in the same way.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

By Theorem 1.2 we have
\[
P^{BC_{(1^r)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} C_{n-r,n-r+k} m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).
\]
Noting that
\[
m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + \left(x_n + 1/x_n\right) m_{(1^r-k-1)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}),
\]
we have
\[
C_{n-r,n-r+k} m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = C_{n-r,n-r+k} m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + C_{n-r,n-r+k} \left( x_n + 1/x_n \right) m_{(1^r-k-1)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}).
\]
On the first term of (53), by Theorem 1.2 (2c) we have
\[
C_{n-r,n-r+k} m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \left( C_{n-r-1,n-r+k-1} + g(t^{n-r}) C_{n-r,n-r+k-1} + f(t^{n-r}) C_{n-r+1,n-r+k-1} \right) m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}).
\]
Then we have
\[
P^{BC_{(1^r)}} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} C_{n-r-1,n-r-1+k} m_{(1^r-k)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})
+ \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left( x_n + 1/x_n \right) g(t^{n-r}) C_{n-r,n-r+k} m_{(1^r-k-1)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})
+ \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} f(t^{n-r}) C_{n-r+1,n-r+1+k} m_{(1^r-k-2)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}).
\]
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.
6.1. Macdonald polynomials of type \((B_n, B_n)\). Setting the parameters as \((a, b, c, d; q, t) \to (q^{1/2}, -q^{1/2}, -1, t; q, t)\) in the Koornwinder polynomial \(P_\lambda\), we obtain the Macdonald polynomials of type \((B_n, B_n)\)

\[
P^{(B_n, B_n)}_\lambda(x|a; q, t) = P_\lambda(x|q^{1/2}, -q^{1/2}, -1, a|q, t).
\]

(56)

**Theorem 6.1.** We have

\[
P^{(B_n, B_n)}_{(1^r)}(x|t; q, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{(1/t, t^{n-r+1}, -t^{n-r}q, t^{2n-2r}q/t; t)_j}{(t, t^{2n-2r+1}q/t; t)_j} (-t)^j
\]

\[
\times \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \frac{(t/q; t^2)_k}{(t^2; t^2)_k} \frac{(t^{n-r+2j}; t^2)_k}{(t^2; t^2)_k} (t^{n-r+j}; t)_k \frac{1 - t^{n-r+2k+j}}{1 - t^{n-r+j}} q^k E_{r-2k-j}(x),
\]

(57)

\[
E_r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \frac{(t^{n-r+1}; t)_2k}{(t^n; t^2)_2k} \frac{(q/t; t^2)_k}{(t^2; t^2)_k} \frac{(t^{2n-2r+2}; t^2)_k}{(t^{2n-2r-1}; t^2)_k} \frac{1 - t^{n-r+2k}}{1 - t^{n-r}} q^k P^{(B_n, B_n)}_{(1^r-2k-1)}(t; q, t).
\]

(58)

**Corollary 6.2.** Setting \(t = q\), we have the formula for the Schur polynomials \(s^{B_n}_{(1^r)}(x) = P^{(B_n, B_n)}_{(1^r)}(x|q; q, q)\):

\[
s^{B_n}_{(1^r)}(x) = E_r(x) + E_{r-1}(x),
\]

(59)

\[
E_r(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j s^{B_n}_{(1^{r-j})}(x).
\]

(60)

Hence, from Lemma 4.3, we have

\[
s^{B_n}_{(1^r)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-r+2j}{j} m_{(1^{r-2j})}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-r+2j+1}{j} m_{(1^{r-2j-1})}(x).
\]

(61)

6.2. Hall-Littlewood polynomials \(P^{(B_n, B_n)}_{(1^r)}(x|t; 0, t)\) and Kostka polynomials.

**Theorem 6.3.** Setting \(q = 0\), we have

\[
P^{(B_n, B_n)}_{(1^r)}(x|t; 0, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{n-r+2k}{n-r}_t \frac{1}{k} \frac{n-r+k-1}{k} \frac{1}{t^2} E_{r-2k}(x)
\]

\[
+ (1 - t^{n-r+1})^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{n-r+2k}{n-r}_t \frac{1}{k} \frac{n-r+k}{k} \frac{1}{t^2} E_{r-2k}(x),
\]

(62)

\[
E_r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \frac{(t^{n-r+1}; t)_2k}{(t^n; t^2)_2k} \frac{(t^{2n-2r}; t^2)_2k}{(t^{2n-2r+2}; t^2)_2k} \frac{1}{t^{2k}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-2k} (-1)^j (t^{n-r+2k+1}; t)_j \frac{1}{t^2} E_{r-2k}(x),
\]

(63)
Definition 6.4. Let $K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t)$ be the transition coefficient defined by

$$s_{(1^r)}(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{r} K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t) \tau_{(1^r)}^{(B_n,B_n)} (t; 0, t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)$$

Then we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 6.5. The $K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t)$ is given by

$$K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(t^{2n-2r}; t_2)^k (t^{n-r+1}; t_2)^{l-1} t^k (-1)^l}{(t^2; t_2)^k (t^{n-r}; t_2)^k (t^{2n-2r+2}; t_2)^k}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(t^{2n-2r+2}; t_2)^k (t^{n-r+2}; t_2)^{l-1} t^k (-1)^{l-1}}{(t^2; t_2)^k (t^{n-r+1}; t_2)^{k-1} (t^{2n-2r+4}; t_2)^k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)$$

Theorem 6.6. We have

$$K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t) = \begin{cases} t^L \left[ \frac{n - r + 2L}{L} \right]_t^2 & (l = 2L), \\ t^{L+n-r+1} \left[ \frac{n - r + 2L + 1}{L} \right]_t^2 & (l = 2L + 1). \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)$$

In particular, the $K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t)$ is a polynomial in $t$ with nonnegative integral coefficients.

Proof. Set

$$\alpha_k := \frac{(t^{2n-2r}; t_2)^k (1 - t^{n-r+1})}{(t^2; t_2)^k (t^{n-r+1}; t_2)^k (t^{2n-2r+2}; t_2)^k} t^k,$$

$$\beta_k := \frac{(t^{2n-2r+2}; t_2)^k (1 - t^{n-r+2})}{(t^2; t_2)^k (t^{n-r+1}; t_2)^k (t^{2n-2r+4}; t_2)^k} t^k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)$$

Then we have

$$K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t) = (t^{n-r+2}; t_2)^{L-1} (-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} \alpha_k + (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor} \beta_k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)$$

We shall prove when $l = 2L$ by induction on $L$. We have

$$K_{(1^r)(1^r)}^{B_n} (t) (2L+2) = (t^{n-r+2}; t_2)^{L+1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{L} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=0}^{L} \beta_k \right)$$

$$= (t^{n-r+2}; t_2)^{L+1} (\alpha_{L+1} - \beta_{L+1})$$

$$+ (1 - t^{n-r+2L+1}) (1 - t^{n-r+2L+2}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{L} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \beta_k \right)$$

$$= (t^{n-r+2}; t_2)^{L+1} (\alpha_{L+1} - \beta_{L+1}) + (1 - t^{n-r+2L+1}) (1 - t^{n-r+2L+2}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{L} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \beta_k \right)$$

$$= (t^{n-r+2}; t_2)^{L+1} (\alpha_{L+1} - \beta_{L+1}) + (1 - t^{n-r+2L+1}) (1 - t^{n-r+2L+2}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{L} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \beta_k \right)$$

$$= \frac{(t^{2n-2r+2(L+1)+2}; t_2)^{L+1} (t^{2n-2r+2L+2}; t_2)^{L+1} t^{L+1}}{(t^2; t_2)^{L+1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)$$

We can prove when $l = 2L + 1$ similarly. □
7. Solution to the recursion relation

We give a combinatorial expression of the entries $C_{r,r+i}$ in the transition matrix $C$ for $r, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In this section we set $f(s) = f(s|a,b,c,d)$ and $g(s) = g(s|a,b,c,d)$ for simplicity.

For $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ let us define the finite set

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,n}^{(r)} = \left\{ X_1X_2 \ldots X_{m+n} | X_i = f(t^{-d_i}) \text{ or } g(t^{-d_i}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m+n, d_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

which satisfies three conditions:

1. $0 \leq d_1 \leq r$,
2. $\sharp \{ i | X_i = f(t^{-d_i}) \} = m$ and $\sharp \{ j | X_j = g(t^{-d_j}) \} = n$,
3. If $(X_i, X_{i+1}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (f(t^{-d_i}), f(t^{-d_{i+1}})) \text{ or } (f(t^{-d_i}), g(t^{-d_{i+1}})) & \text{then } d_i - 1 \leq d_{i+1} \leq r \\ (g(t^{-d_i}), g(t^{-d_{i+1}})) \text{ or } (g(t^{-d_i}), f(t^{-d_{i+1}})) & \text{then } d_i \leq d_{i+1} \leq r. \end{array} \right.$

**Theorem 7.1.** Let us define $C_{0,0} = 1$. For $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$C_{r, r+2k} \text{ resp. } C_{r, r+2k+1} = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P}_{k_1+k_2}^{(r)}} X.$$

8. Appendix

8.1. Asymptotically free eigenfunctions of Askey-Wilson polynomials. Let $a, b, c, d, q \in \mathbb{C}$ be parameters with the condition $|q| < 1$. Let $D$ denote the Askey-Wilson $q$-difference operator $[AW]$

$$D = \frac{(1 - ax)(1 - bx)(1 - cx)(1 - dx)}{(1 - x^2)(1 - qx^2)} (T_{q,x}^{+1} - 1)$$
$$+ \frac{(1 - a/x)(1 - b/x)(1 - c/x)(1 - d/x)}{(1 - 1/x^2)(1 - q/x^2)} (T_{q,x}^{-1} - 1),$$

where the $q$-shift operators are defined by $T_{q,x}^{+1}f(x) = f(q^{-1}x)$. Recall the fundamental facts about the Askey-Wilson polynomial $p_n(x; a, b, c, d|q)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). It is a symmetric Laurent polynomial in $x$ and characterized by the two conditions: (i) $p_n(x)$ has the highest degree $n$, (2) $p_n(x)$ is an eigenfunction of the operator $D$. Askey-Wilson’s celebrated formula reads $[AW]$

$$p_n(x) = a^{-n}(ab, ac, ad; q)_n \phi_3 \left[ q^{-n}, abcdq^{n-1}, ax, a/x ; q, q \right],$$

$$Dp_n(x) = (q^{-n} + abcdq^{n-1} - 1 - abcdq^{-1}) p_n(x).$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ be a parameter. Introduce $\lambda$ satisfying $s = q^{-\lambda}$. Then we have $T_{q,x}x^{-\lambda} = sx^{-\lambda}$. Let $f(x; s) = f(x; s|a,b,c,d|q)$ be a formal series in $x$

$$f(x; s) = x^{-\lambda} \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x^n, \quad c_0 \neq 0,$$

satisfying the $q$-difference equation

$$Df(x; s) = \left( s + \frac{abcd}{qs} - 1 - \frac{abcd}{q} \right) f(x; s).$$

With the normalization $c_0 = 1$, $[33]$ determines the coefficients $c_n = c_n(s|a,b,c,d|q)$ uniquely as rational functions in $a, b, c, d, q$ and $s$. 

Definition 8.1 ([HNS]). Set \( c_e(k, l; s) = c_e(k, l; s|a, c|q) \) and \( c_o(i, j; s) = c_o(i, j; s|a, b, c, d|q) \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
c_e(k, l; s) &= \frac{(a^2; q^2)_k(q^4s^2; q^2)^k}{(q^2; q^2)_k(q^4q^2s^2/a^2; q^2)^k} (q^2/a^2)^k \\
&\quad \times \frac{(c^2/q; q^2)_l(s^2/a^2; q^2)}{(q^2; q^2)_l(q^2s^2/a^2c^2; q^2)} ((qs/a^2; q)_2(s^2/a^2; q^2)_2 (q^2/c^2)), \\
c_o(i, j; s) &= \frac{(-b/a; q)_i(s; q)_i(qs/cd; q)_i(qs^2/a^2c^2; q)_i}{(q; q)_i(q^2s^2/abcd; q)_i(qs^2/a^2c^2; q^2)} (q/b)_i \\
&\quad \times \frac{(-d/c; q)_j(q^2s^2; q)_j(qs/ab; q)_j(-qs^2/ac; q)_j(q^2qs^2/a^2c^2; q^2)}{(q; q)_j(q^2s^2/a^2c^2; q^2)} (q/d)_j.
\end{align*}
\]

Theorem 8.2 ([HNS]). Let \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) be generic. We have

\[
f(x; s) = x^{-\lambda} \sum_{k, l, i, j \geq 0} c_e(k, l; q^{i+j}s)c_o(i, j; s)x^{2k+2l+i+j}.
\]

Here, we can rewrite above Theorem as follows.

Theorem 8.3. Let \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) be generic. We have

\[
f(x; s) = x^{-\lambda} \sum_{k, l, i, j \geq 0} c_e(k, l; q^{i+j}s)c_o^{\text{new}}(i, j; s)x^{2k+2l+i+j},
\]

where

\[
c_o^{\text{new}}(i, j; s) = \frac{(-b/a, s, qs/ac, gs/ad, qs/cd, -qs^2/a^2c^2; q)_i}{(q; q)_i(q^2s^2/abcd; q)_i(qs^2/a^2c^2; q^2)} (q/b)_i \\
&\quad \times \frac{(-d/c; q)_j(q^2s^2; q)_j(qs/ab; q)_j(-qs^2/ac; q)_j(q^2qs^2/a^2c^2; q^2)}{(q; q)_j(q^2s^2/a^2c^2; q^2)} (q/d)_j.
\]

For (1c) in Definition 1.1 note that we have

\[
g_1(s) = (c_o^{\text{new}}(1, 0; s) + c_o^{\text{new}}(0, 1; s)|_{a=t/a, b=t/b, c=t/c, d=t/d, q=t},
\]

where \( c_o^{\text{new}}(1, 0; s) + c_o^{\text{new}}(0, 1; s) \) is the coefficient of \( x^{-\lambda+1} \) in \( f(x; s) \).

8.2. Conjecture concerning \( B_n \ q \)-Toda eigenfunctions. In this appendix, we present a conjecture for the asymptotically free eigenfunctions for the \( B_n \ q \)-Toda operator, which can be regarded as a branching formula from the \( B_n \ q \)-Toda eigenfunction restricted to the \( A_{n-1} \ q \)-Toda eigenfunctions.

8.2.1. \( A_{n-1} \) case. First we briefly recall the asymptotically free eigenfunctions for \( A_{n-1} \ q \)-Toda operator. Let \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) and \( s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \) be a pair of \( n \)-tuples of indeterminates. Let \( \delta = (n - 1, n - 2, \ldots, 0) \), and write \( t^\delta u = (t^{n-1}u_1, t^{n-2}u_2, \ldots, u_n) \) etc. for short. Let

\[
D^{A_{n-1}}(x|q, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j} T_{q, x_i},
\]

be the Macdonald operator of type \( A_{n-1} \).

Definition 8.4. Set

\[
D^{A_{n-1}}(x|s|q, t) = x^{-\lambda} D^{A_{n-1}}(x|q, t)x^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \prod_{j<i} \frac{1 - tx_i/x_j}{1 - x_i/x_j} \prod_{k>i} \frac{1 - x_k/tx_i}{1 - x_k/x_i} T_{q, x_i},
\]

where \( x^\lambda = \prod_i x_i^{\lambda_i} \), and \( s = q^{\lambda}t^\delta \) namely \( s_i = q^{\lambda_i}t^{n-i} \).
**Definition 8.5.** Set
\[
D^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i (1 - x_{i+1}/x_i) T_{q,x_i} + s_n T_{q,x_n}.
\]

**Proposition 8.6.** We have
\[
D^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) = \lim_{t \to 0} D^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(t^{-\delta}x|s|q,t).
\]

**Definition 8.7.** Let \( M^{(n)} \) be the set of strictly upper triangular matrices with nonnegative integer entries: \( M^{(n)} = \{ \theta = (\theta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} | \theta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_0, \theta_{i,j} = 0 \text{ if } i \geq j \} \). Set
\[
c_n(\theta; s_1, \cdots, s_n; q, t) = \prod_{k=2}^{n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \left( \frac{(q^{s_{i,j}+\theta_{i,j}} / q^{s_{j,i}+\theta_{j,i}})}{(q^{s_{i,j}} / q^{s_{j,i}})} \right)^{t(\theta_{i,j})},
\]
and
\[
f^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q,t) = \sum_{\theta \in M^{(n)}} c_n(\theta; s_1, \cdots, s_n; q, t) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j / x_i)^{\theta_{i,j}}.
\]

**Proposition 8.9** ([NS BFS]). Let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \), and set \( s = t^\delta q^\lambda \) (\( s_i = t^{n-i}q^{\lambda_i} \)). Then we have
\[
D^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q,t) f^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i f^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q,t).
\]

**Definition 8.10.** Set
\[
c^{\text{Toda}}_n(\theta; s_1, \cdots, s_n; q) = \lim_{t \to 0} c_n(\theta; s_1, \cdots, s_n; q, t) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t^{(\theta_{i,j})},
\]
and
\[
f^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) = \sum_{\theta \in M^{(n)}} c^{\text{Toda}}_n(\theta; s|q) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j / x_i)^{\theta_{i,j}}.
\]

**Corollary 8.11.** We have
\[
D^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) f^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i f^{n-1}_{\text{Toda}}(x|s|q).
\]

**8.2.2. \( B_n \) case.**

**Definition 8.12.** Set
\[
D^n_B(x|q,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - tx_i)}{t^{n-1/2}(1-x_i)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - tx_i x_j)(1 - tx_j x_i)}{(1 - x_i x_j)(1 - x_i/x_j)} T_{q,x_i}^{+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - t/x_i)}{t^{n-1/2}(1-1/x_i)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - tx_j x_i)(1 - t/x_i x_j)}{(1 - x_j x_i)(1 - x_i/x_j)} T_{q,x_i}^{-1}.
\]

Then we have the Koornwinder operator for the parameter \( (a, b, c, d) = (t, -1, q^{1/2}, -q^{1/2}) \) as
\[
D_x(t, -1, q^{1/2}, -q^{1/2}|q,t) = D^n_B(x|q,t) = \frac{t^n - t^{-n}}{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}.
\]
Definition 8.13. Set

\[ D^{B_n}(x|s|q, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \frac{1 - 1/t x_i}{1 - 1/x_i} \prod_{j<i} \frac{1 - 1/t x_j/x_j}{1 - 1/x_j/x_j} \prod_{k>i} \frac{1 - 1/t x_k/x_k}{1 - 1/x_k/x_k} T_{q,x_i}^{+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{-1} \frac{1 - t/x_i}{1 - 1/x_i} \prod_{j<i} \frac{1 - x_j/x_j}{1 - 1/x_j/x_j} \prod_{k>i} \frac{1 - t/x_k/x_k}{1 - 1/x_k/x_k} T_{q,x_i}^{-1}. \]

We have

\[ D^{B_n}(x|s|q, t) = x^{-\lambda} D^{B_n}(x|q, t)x^\lambda, \]

where \( s = q^\lambda t^{i+1/2} \), namely \( s_i = q^\lambda t^{n-i+1/2} \).

Definition 8.14. Set

\[ D^{B_n\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i (1 - x_{i+1}/x_i) T_{q,x_i} + s_n (1 - 1/x_n) T_{q,x_n} + s_i^{-1} T_{q,x_i}^{-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} s_i^{-1} (1 - x_i/x_{i-1}) T_{q,x_i}^{-1}. \]

Proposition 8.15. We have

\[ D^{B_n\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) = \lim_{t \to 0} D^{B_n}(t^{-\delta-1}x|s|q, t), \]

where \( t^{-\delta-1}x \) means \( (t^{-n}x_1, t^{-n+1}x_2, \ldots, t^{-1}x_n) \).

Definition 8.16. The asymptotically free eigenfunction \( f^{B_n\text{Toda}}(s|x|q) \) of type \( B_n q\text{-Toda} \) system is defined as

\[ f^{B_n\text{Toda}}(s|x|q) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_n, n \geq 0} B_{i_1, \ldots, i_n}(s_1, \ldots, s_n, q)(x_2/x_1)^{i_1} \cdots (x_n/x_{n-1})^{i_{n-1}} (1/x_n)^{i_n}, \]

\[ D^{B_n\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) f^{B_n\text{Toda}}(s|x|q) = (s_1 + \cdots + s_n + 1/s_1 + \cdots + 1/s_n) f^{B_n\text{Toda}}(s|x|q). \]

Conjecture 8.17. Let \( \theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \). Assume that \( f^{B_n\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) \) is expanded in terms of \( f^{A_{n-1}\text{Toda}}(x|s|q) \) as the following branching formula

\[ f^{B_n\text{Toda}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|s_1, \ldots, s_n|q) = \prod_{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n} e_{\theta}^{B_n/A_{n-1}}(s|q) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-\theta_i} f^{A_{n-1}\text{Toda}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|q^{-\theta_1}s_1, \ldots, q^{-\theta_n}s_n|q). \]

Then we have

\[ e_{\theta}^{B_n/A_{n-1}}(s|q) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q^{(n-k+1)\theta_k}}{(q)_{\theta_k} (q^2 s_k)_{\theta_k}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{(q s_j/s_i)_{\theta_i} (q^{\theta_i-\theta_j} q s_i/s_j)_{\theta_i} (q s_i/s_j)_{\theta_i} (q/s_j)_{\theta_i}}. \]
For example, we expect that
\[
e^{B_3/A_1}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)}(s_1, s_2|q) = \frac{q^{2\theta_1}}{(q)_{\theta_1}(q/s^2_1)_{\theta_1}(q/s^2_2)_{\theta_2}(q/s_1 s_2)_{\theta_1}(q/s_1 s_2)_{\theta_2}} \frac{1}{(q/s_1 s_2)_{\theta_1+\theta_2}}.
\]

\[
e^{B_3/A_2}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)}(s_1, s_2, s_3|q) = \frac{q^{3\theta_1}}{(q)_{\theta_1}(q/s^2_1)_{\theta_1}(q/s^2_2)_{\theta_2}(q/s^2_3)_{\theta_3}} \frac{1}{(q/s_1 s_2)_{\theta_1+\theta_2}} \frac{1}{(q/s_1 s_3)_{\theta_1+\theta_3}} \frac{1}{(q/s_2 s_3)_{\theta_2+\theta_3}}.
\]

**References**


A. HOSHINO: HIROSHIMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-1-1 MIYAKE, HIROSHIMA 731-5193, JAPAN

E-mail address: a.hoshino.c3@it-hiroshima.ac.jp

J. SHIRAISHI: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: shiraish@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp