

EQUIVARIANT CARTAN HOMOTOPY FORMULAE FOR DG ALGEBRA

SAFDAR QUDDUS

ABSTRACT. We study the equivariant Cartan Homotopy Formula for the DG -algebra obtained by a finite group action.

0. INTRODUCTION

The tool of paracyclic modules was used in [GJ1] and [BGJ] to understand the cyclic homology of the cross product algebras. It is an analogue of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for bi-paracyclic modules. Let A be a unital DG algebra over a commutative ring k . Let G be a finite discrete group which acts on A by automorphisms. We then consider the cross product algebra $A \rtimes G$.

THEOREM 0.1 ([GJ1]). *If G is finite and $|G|$ is invertible in k , then there is a natural isomorphism of cyclic homology and*

$$HC_{\bullet}(A \rtimes G; W) = HC_{\bullet}(H_0(G, A_G^{\natural}); W)$$

where $H_0(G, A_G^{\natural})$ is the cyclic module

$$H_0(G, A_G^{\natural})(n) = H_0(G, k[G] \otimes A^{(n+1)}).$$

Where W is a finite dimensional graded module over the polynomial ring $k[u]$, where $\deg(u) = -2$; the above result when considered for different coefficients W yield several theories, some are illustrated below:

- 1) $W = k[u]$ gives negative cyclic homology $HC^{-}(A)$;
- 2) $W = k[u, u^{-1}]$ gives periodic cyclic homology $HP_{\bullet}(A)$;
- 3) $W = k[u, u^{-1}]/uk[u]$ gives cyclic homology $HC_{\bullet}(A)$;
- 4) $W = k[u]/uk[u]$ gives the Hochschild homology $HH_{\bullet}(A)$.

The above decomposition has been studied and used by several to understand the homological properties of cross product algebra [CGGV] [P1] [P2] [P3] [Q1] [Q2][Q3] [Q4] [ZH]. The (co)homology modules of the cross product algebra decomposes into twisted (co)homology modules relative to the conjugacy classes of the group elements. Thus understanding the homological property of cross product algebra is simplified.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E40

Date: February 14, 2020.

Key words and phrases. Cartan Homotopy Formula, Hochschild.

The above result can also be extended to DG -algebra, we shall prove the existence of such a decomposition relative to its differential structure and then finally prove the Cartan homotopy formulae for the components. Let us first recall the definition of a DG -algebra. A unital DG algebra (A, d) is a differentially graded algebra A , with k -bilinear maps

$$A_n \times A_m \rightarrow A_{n+m}, \text{ sending } (a, b) \mapsto ab \text{ such that}$$

$$d_{n+m}(ab) = d_n(a)b + (-1)^n ad_m(b)$$

and such that $\bigoplus A_n$ becomes an associative and unital k -algebra. Through out this article we demand that the finite group action on (A, d) to preserve grading and to commute with the differential structure; *i.e.* for $g \in G$, $dg = gd$. The (co)homology theories on DG algebras have been studied extensively [T] [K] [GJ2]. The above algebra can also be considered as a special A_∞ -algebra (A, m_i) with $m_i = 0$ for $i > 2$. Here m_2 (2-cochain for A) defines product on A and m_1 is the mixed differential.

The Cartan homotopy formulae for algebras was first observed by Rinehart [R] in the case where D is a derivation on commutative algebra, and later in full generality by Getzler [G] for A_∞ -algebras. The formulae is stated below:

Given $D \in C^k(A, A)$, we consider its Lie derivative L_D and the cyclic chain maps b and B . Let ι_D denote the contraction associated to D and S_D be the corresponding suspension.

THEOREM 0.2 (Cartan Homotopy Formula).

$$[b, L_D] + L_{\delta D} = 0, [B, L_D] = 0 \text{ and } [L_D, L_E] = L_{[D, E]}$$

$$[b + B, \iota_D + S_D] = L_D + \iota_{\delta D} + S_{\delta D}$$

where $[,]$ is the graded Gerstenhaber bracket for $C^\bullet(A, A)$. Along with the cup product it induces the Gerstenhaber algebra structure on the $H^\bullet(A)$.

The above formulae was proved in generality for the A_∞ -algebras by Getzler. The case $k = 1$ in his paper corresponds to the DG -algebra (A, d) . We refer to [G] for detailed expressions for A_∞ -algebras, here we shall prove the equivariant Cartan homotopy formulae for the twisted Hochschild complexes resulting by the paracyclic decomposition of $(A, d) \rtimes G$. We now introduce the statements of the article.

1. STATEMENT

For G a finite discrete group acting on a DG -algebra (A, d) over a ring k such that $|G|$ is invertible in k . For $g \in G$ define a g -twisted A -left-module structure on A by the following formula:

$$a \bullet (u_g m) := u_g g^{-1}(a) \cdot m,$$

where $a, m \in A$. We tag by u_g the twisted element of A with this left bimodule structure and the left twisted bimodule is denoted by A_g (refer [Q1], pp 332).

Define

$$C_0(A)_g := A_g \quad \text{and} \quad C_n(A)_g := A_g \otimes A^{\otimes n}.$$

Let b^g, B^g denote the chain differentials of the complex $C_\bullet(A)_g$ and L_D^g be the twisted Lie derivative associated to $D \in C^k(A, A)$. We shall observe that the paracyclic decomposition exists for DG -algebras and also describe the maps below explicitly.

THEOREM 1.1. *The Lie derivative L_D^g , chain maps b^g and B^g satisfy the following:*

$$[b^g, L_D^g] + L_{\delta D}^g = 0 \quad [B^g, L_D^g] = 0 \quad [L_D^g, L_E^g] = L_{[D,E]}^g \quad \text{and} \quad [b^g + B^g, \iota_D^g + S_D^g] = L_D^g + \iota_{\delta D}^g + S_{\delta D}^g.$$

The $[\cdot, \cdot]$ above is the Gerstenhaber bracket for $C^\bullet(A, A)$ and δ is the Hochschild cochain map.

2. PARACYCLIC DECOMPOSITION FOR CROSS PRODUCT $(A, d) \rtimes G$

2.1. Hochschild Chain Complex for (A, d) . Define the differentials $d : C_\bullet(A) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)$, $b : C_\bullet(A) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)[-1]$ and $B : C_\bullet(A) \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)[1]$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\sum_{k < i} (|a_k| + 1) + 1} (a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes da_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \\ b(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^k (|a_i| + 1) + 1} (a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|a_n| + (|a_n| + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (|a_i| + 1) + 1} (a_n a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i| + 1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i| + 1)} (e \otimes a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k)$$

The above formula satisfies $B(e, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 0$. These sign conventions ensures that the elements a_i for $i > 0$ occur with an implicit suspension reducing the degree to $|a_i| - 1$. The homology of $(C_\bullet(A, A), b + d)$ is the Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in A endowed with the bimodule structure. While the module $H_\bullet(C_\bullet \boxtimes W, b + d + uB)$ is the cyclic homology of the mixed complex $(A, b + d, B)$ with coefficients in W .

2.2. Paracyclic decomposition of $A \rtimes G$. Consider the DG -algebra with a discrete group acting on it and preserving the grading. Abiding the notations of [GJ1], we have the following result

LEMMA 2.1. *Let (A, d) be a unital algebra over the commutative ring k , and let G be a discrete group acting by preserving the grading. There is a quasi-isomorphism, of mixed complexes*

$$f_0 + u f_1 : Tot(N(A \natural G)) \cong N((A \rtimes G)^\natural)$$

Hence we obtain the following isomorphism of cyclic homology groups

$$HC_\bullet(A \rtimes G, ; W) = HC_\bullet(Tot(N(A \natural G)); W).$$

Proof. The proof of the above statement works mutatis mutandis with the proof in [GJ1, Theorem 3.1] by considering the bi-paracyclic module $N(A \natural G)$ derived from the complex $C_\bullet(A, m_1)$, where $m_1 = b + d + uB$. \square

Hence $|G|$ invertible in k [GJ1, Theorem 4.5] gives us the paracyclic decomposition of the cyclic homology of $A \rtimes G$.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Since, we know that a decomposition of the cyclic homology of $A \rtimes G$ exists and we have the following isomorphism of complexes

$$HC_\bullet(A \rtimes G) = \bigoplus_{[g]} HC_\bullet(H_0(G^g, A_g^\natural)) = \bigoplus_{[g]} HC_\bullet(A_g)^G$$

with the maps; $b^g : C_\bullet(A)_g \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)_g[-1]$ and $B^g : C_\bullet(A)_g \rightarrow C_\bullet(A)_g[1]$ defined as:

$$b^g(u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^k (|a_i|+1)+1} (u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)$$

$$+ (-1)^{|a_n|+(|a_n|+1)\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (|a_i|+1)+1} (u_g g^{-1}(a_n) a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1})$$

and

$$B^g(u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i|+1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i|+1)} (u_g e \otimes g^{-1}(a_{k+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g^{-1}(a_n) \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k)$$

One important point here to be noted is that since the action of G on (A, d) preserves grading, the crucial sign term $\epsilon_k = \sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i|+1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i|+1)$ remains unchanged (in fact under this assumption the signs for A_∞ -algebras are also invariant under the unified algebraic structure for chains and cochains of A_∞ -algebras) and is a necessary condition to yield the Cartan homotopy formulae for twisted components.

For $D \in C^k(A, A)$, while the maps ι_D and S_D^g can be computed using the signed cyclic permutation map t [BGJ]. They are as follows:

$$\iota_D(u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = (-1)^{|D||a_0|} a_0 D(a_1, \dots, a_n);$$

$$L_D^g(u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-d} (-1)^{\nu_k(D, n)} u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes D(a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+d}) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$$

$$+ \sum_{k=n+1-d}^n (-1)^{\eta_k(D, n)} u_g D(g^{-1}(a_{k+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k.$$

where the terms inside D in the second summand must contain a_0 (without g action) and be cyclically permuted.

$$S_D(u_g a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{j \geq 0; k \geq j+d} (-1)^{\epsilon_{jk}(D, n)} u_g e \otimes g^{-1}(a_{k+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g^{-1}(a_n) \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes D(a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{j+d}) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k.$$

where, $|D| = (\text{degree of the linear map } D) + d$, $D \in C^d(A, A)$ is being considered as a linear map $D : A^{\otimes d} \rightarrow A$; and the sign coefficients are $\nu_k(D, n) = (|D|+1)(|a_0| + \sum_{i=1}^k (|a_i| + 1))$, $\eta_k(D, n) = |D| + \sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i| + 1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i| + 1)$ and

$$\epsilon_{jk}(D, n) = (|D| + 1) \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n (|a_i| + 1) + |a_0| + \sum_{i=1}^j (|a_i| + 1) \right).$$

We briefly describe the Gerstenhaber algebra structure on the cohomology $H^\bullet(A, A)$, the cup product is defined as below, for $D \in C^d(A, A)$ and $E \in C^e(A, A)$.

$$(D \smile E)(a_1, \dots, a_{d+e}) = (-1)^{|E| \sum_{i \leq e} (|a_i| + 1)} D(a_1, \dots, a_e) E(a_{d+1}, \dots, a_{d+e});$$

and the product \circ is defined as:

$$(D \circ E)(a_1, \dots, a_{d+e}) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{(|E|+1) \sum_{i=1}^j (|a_i| + 1)} D(a_1, \dots, a_j, E(a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{j+e}), \dots).$$

The Lie bracket is hence $[D, E] = D \circ E - (-1)^{|D|+1} E \circ D$. The cochain map δ on $C^\bullet(A, A)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta D)(a_1, \dots, a_{d+1}) &= (-1)^{|a_1| |D| + |D| + 1} a_1 D(a_2, \dots, a_{d+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^d (-1)^{|D|+1 + \sum_{i=1}^j (|a_i| + 1)} D(a_1, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{d+1}) + (-1)^{|D| \sum_{i=1}^d (|a_i| + 1)} D(a_1, \dots, a_d) a_{d+1} \end{aligned}$$

The operator δD can also be described as $\delta D = [m_2, D]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

$$(3.1) \quad [b^g, L_D^g] + L_{\delta D}^g = b^g L_D^g - L_D^g b^g + L_{\delta D}^g.$$

We evaluate the above expression on the element $(u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$. We define the bar complex map on the equivariant Hochschild chain complex by $b^{g'}$, it is as follows

$$b^{g'}(u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^k (|a_i| + 1) + 1} (u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$$

Similarly we define the operator $L_d^{g'}$ as follows:

$$L_d^{g'}(u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-d} (-1)^{\nu_k(D, n)} u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes D(a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+d}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$$

The untwisted expression in (3.1) is $b^{g'} L_D^{g'} - L_D^{g'} b^{g'} + L_{\delta D}^{g'}$. We collect the coefficients and the terms do cancel subject to the matching of sign, expressions like below show up in order to justify the cancellation.

$$\nu_w(D, n) + \sum_{i=0}^w (|a_i| + 1) + 1 + \nu_{w-1}(\delta D, n) + |a_w| |D| + |D| + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Using $|\delta D| = |D| + 1$ we ascertain the above claim. In the equivariant case the elements are twisted by group action and (3.1) holds in the untwisted case hence the untwisted terms in

the equivariant case cancel each other. On the other hand the cancellation of the twisted terms in (3.1) is non-trivial. To see this we firstly observe that

$$|D(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i+d})| = |D| \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+d} (|a_j| + 1).$$

Also, since the action of G is grade preserving we have $|g^{-1}(a)| = |a|$. Hence the parity of signs remain the same as it were for the untwisted case. For example,

$$\eta_k(\delta D, n) + |D| \sum_{i=1}^d (|b_i| + 1) + \eta_k(D, n) + |D(b_1, \dots, b_d)| + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

where $(b_1, \dots, b_d) = (g^{-1}(a_{k+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots, a_{d-n+k})$ and hence the terms of kind $u_g D(g^{-1}(a_{k+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots, a_{d-n+k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_k$ cancel each other. Similarly, it is easy to check that all other types of twisted terms cancel each other and the appropriate parity of signs are ensured by the grade preserving group action.

$$(3.2) \quad [L_D^g, L_E^g] = L_{[D, E]}^g$$

The proof of the above relation is straight forward, the bracket $[,]$ is the Gerstenhaber Lie algebra commutator as described above.

$$(3.3) \quad [B^g, L_D^g] = B^g L_D^g - L_D^g B^g.$$

$$\begin{aligned} B^g L_D^g (u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= B^g \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{n-d} (-1)^{\nu_l(D, n)} u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes D(a_{l+1}, \dots, a_{l+d}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{l=n+1-d}^n (-1)^{\eta_l(D, n)} u_g D(g^{-1}(a_{l+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots) \otimes \dots \otimes a_l \right\} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-d} (-1)^{\nu_l(D, n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-d+1} (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq k} (|a'_i|+1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a'_i|+1)} u_g e \otimes g^{-1}(a'_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes g^{-1}(a'_n) \otimes a'_0 \dots \otimes a_k \end{aligned}$$

Such that any of a'_i could be $D(\dots)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{l=n+1-d}^n (-1)^{\eta_l(D, n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-d+1} (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq k} (|a''_k|+1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a''_k|+1)} u_g e \otimes g^{-1}(a_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes D(g^{-1}(a_{l+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots) \otimes \\ &\quad \dots \otimes a_k \end{aligned}$$

such that for each l one of the a''_k in the sign expression is $D(g^{-1}(a_{l+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots)$ and the rest are remaining a_i 's that do not appear in $D(g^{-1}(a_{l+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots)$.

While,

$$\begin{aligned} L_D^g B^g (u_g a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= L_D^g \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i|+1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i|+1)} (u_g e \otimes g^{-1}(a_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes g^{-1}(a_n) \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k) \right\} \\ &= L_D^g \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{\sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i|+1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i|+1)} (u_g e \otimes g^{-1}(a_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes g^{-1}(a_n) \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k) \right\} + 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n+1-d} (-1)^{\nu_l(D, n+1) + \sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i| + 1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i| + 1)} u_g e \otimes \cdots \otimes D(g^{-1}(a_{l+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k.$$

In the above expressions we have repeatedly used the fact that $|g^{-1}(a)| = |a|$. We also observe that $|D(g^{-1}(a_{l+1}), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), a_0, \dots)| = |D|(\sum_{j=0}^{d-n+l} (|a_j| + 1) + \sum_{j=l+1}^n (|a_j| + 1))$. Hence along with the term the sign also match up for cancellation to yield that L_D^g and B^g commute.

Finally we want to show that:

$$(3.4) \quad [b^g + B^g, \iota_D^g + S_D^g] = L_D^g + \iota_{\delta D}^g + S_{\delta D}^g$$

The expression above can be written as below:

$$[b^g, \iota_D^g] + [B^g, \iota_D^g] + [b^g, S_D^g] + [B^g, S_D^g] = P + Q + R + 0$$

Observe that $[b^g, \iota_D^g] = \iota_{\delta D}^g$, the twisted terms are $(b^g - b^{g'}) \iota_D^g$ and $\iota_D^g (b^g - b^{g'})$, they cancel each other. Hence we are left to show that

$$Q + R = L_D^g + S_{\delta D}^g.$$

The above relation can be seen by comparing the parity of sign indices for the terms, *e.g.* the sign for the term $u_g D(g^{-1}(a_k), \dots, g^{-1}(a_n), \dots) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k$ for the RHS is $\eta_k(D, n)$ while for LHS such terms originate from $[B^g, L_D^g]$ have sign coefficient as $|D||e| + \sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i| + 1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i| + 1)$; but indeed

$$\eta_k(D, n) \equiv |D||e| + \sum_{i \leq k} (|a_i| + 1) \sum_{i \geq k} (|a_i| + 1) \pmod{2}$$

since $|e| = 1$. Similar relations involving $\eta_k(D, n)$, $\nu_k(D, n)$, $\epsilon_{jk}(D, n)$ and $\epsilon_{jk}(\delta D, n)$ yield the desired result. \square

REMARK 3.1. *We expect that the above twisted Cartan Homotopy formula to hold for A_∞ -algebras. Similar proof as stated above should work.*

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This result grew out of the discussion and collaboration with Xiang Tang and Sayan Chakraborty on equivariant Gauss-Manin connections, and the discussion has contributed to the outcome of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [A] Banerjee, A.; Cartan homotopy formulae and the bivariant Hochschild complex. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 347 (2009), no. 17-18, 997-1000.
- [BGJ] Block, J.; Getzler, E.; Jones, J. D. S. The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras. II. Topological algebras. J. Reine Angew. Math. 466 (1995), 19-25.
- [CGGV] Carboni, G.; Guccione, J. A.; Guccione, J. J.; Valqui, C.; Cyclic homology of Brzezinski's crossed products and of braided Hopf crossed products. Adv. Math. 231 (2012), no. 6, 3502-3568
- [G] Getzler, E.; Cartan homotopy formulas and the Gauss-Manin connection in cyclic homology. Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations (Ramat-Gan, 1991/1992; Rehovot, 1991/1992), 6578, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 7, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993.
- [GJ1] Getzler, E.; Jones, J. D. S. The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras. J. Reine Angew. Math. 445 (1993), 161-174
- [GJ2] Getzler, E., and J. D. S. Jones. "A ∞ -algebras and the cyclic bar complex." Illinois Journal of Mathematics 34, no. 2 (1990): 256-283
- [K] Khalkhali, M.; On Cartan homotopy formulas in cyclic homology, Manuscripta Math. 94 (1) (1997) 111-132.

- [P1] Ponge, R.; The cyclic homology of crossed-product algebras, I. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 355 (2017), no. 6, 618-622.
- [P2] Ponge, R.; The cyclic homology of crossed-product algebras, II. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 355 (2017), no. 6, 623-627.
- [P3] Ponge, R.; Cyclic homology and group actions. J. Geom. Phys. 123 (2018), 30-52.
- [R] Rinehart, G. S.; Differential forms on general commutative algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 108 (1963), 195-222.
- [Q1] Quddus, S.; Hochschild and cyclic homology of the crossed product of algebraic irrational rotational algebra by finite subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. J. Algebra 447 (2016), 322-366.
- [Q2] Quddus, S.; Cyclic cohomology and Chern-Connes pairing of some crossed product algebras. J. Algebra 481 (2017), 120-157.
- [Q3] Quddus, S.; Cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{alg} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and its Chern-Connes pairing. J. Noncommut. Geom. 11 (2017), no. 3, 827-843.
- [Q4] Quddus, S.; Invariants of the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifolds of the Podle' two spheres. J. Noncommut. Geom. 13 (2019), no. 1, 257-267.
- [T] Tsygan, B.; On the GaussManin connection in cyclic homology, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology, 13 (2007), no. 1, 83-94.
- [ZH] Zhang, J.; Hu, N.; Cyclic homology of strong cross product algebras. J. Reine Angew. Math. 663 (2012), 177-207

Email: safdarquddus@iisc.ac.in.