

Areas of areas generate the shuffle algebra

Joscha Diehl^{*}, Terry Lyons^{†‡}, Rosa Preiß[§], Jeremy Reizenstein[¶]

February 7, 2020

We consider the anti-symmetrization of the half-shuffle on words, which we call the ‘area’ operator, since it corresponds to taking the signed area of elements of the iterated-integral signature. The tensor algebra is a so-called Tortkara algebra under this operator. We show that the iterated application of the area operator is sufficient to recover the iterated-integral signature of a path. Just as the “information” the second level adds to the first one is known to be equivalent to the area between components of the path, this means that *all* the information added by subsequent levels is equivalent to iterated areas. On the way to this main result, we characterize (homogeneous) generating sets of the shuffle algebra. We finally discuss compatibility between the area operator and discrete integration and stochastic integration, and conclude with some results on the linear span of the areas of areas.

1. Introduction

We give a concise introduction here and spell out the notation more fully in the next section. The shuffle algebra $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ over d letters is the vector space spanned by words in the letters $\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}$ with the commutative shuffle product. This is a free commutative algebra over the Lyndon words. Put differently, it can be viewed a polynomial algebra in new commuting variables x_w , where w ranges over all Lyndon words. That is, as commutative algebras,

$$\mathbb{R}[x_w : w \text{ is Lyndon}] \cong T(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The isomorphism is given by $x_w \mapsto w \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There are many more (free) generators known: any basis for the Lie algebra, coordinates of the first kind, \dots (compare Corollary 5.4).

The relevance for iterated integrals is as follows. Let $X : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a (piecewise smooth) curve and let $f_i \in T(\mathbb{R}^d), i \in I$, be a generating set of the shuffle algebra. Then: any term in the iterated-integrals signature $S(X)_{0,T}$ ([Che1954], [Lyo2007, Chapter 2]) is a polynomial in the

^{*}University of Greifswald

[†]Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

[‡]Alan Turing Institute, London

[§]Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin

[¶]Facebook AI Research

real numbers

$$\langle f_i, S(X)_{0,T} \rangle, i \in I.$$

Indeed, by assumption, any word w can be written as

$$w = P_{\sqcup}(f_i : i \in I),$$

where P_{\sqcup} is some shuffle polynomial in finitely many of the f_i . By the shuffle identity we then get

$$\langle w, S(X)_{0,T} \rangle = \langle P_{\sqcup}(f_i : i \in I), S(X)_{0,T} \rangle = P \left(\langle f_i, S(X)_{0,T} \rangle : i \in I \right),$$

where P is the corresponding polynomial expression in the real numbers $\langle f_i, S(X)_{0,T} \rangle$, $i \in I$. The latter numbers then contain all the information of the iterated-integrals signature, since every iterated integral is a polynomial expression in them.

We are interested in whether there is a shuffle generating set in terms of “areas of areas”. Define the following bilinear operation on $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\text{area}(x, y) := x \succ y - y \succ x,$$

where \succ denotes the half-shuffle. For $v, w \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let

$$V_t = \langle v, S(X)_{0,t} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad W_t = \langle w, S(X)_{0,t} \rangle,$$

and define

$$\text{Area}(V, W)_t := \int_0^t \int_0^s dV_r dW_s - \int_0^t \int_0^s dW_r dV_s.$$

We then have

$$\text{Area}(V, W)_t = \langle \text{area}(v, w), S(X)_{0,t} \rangle.$$

Our naming of **area** and **Area** stems from the fact that $\text{Area}(V, W)$ is (two times) the signed area enclosed by the two-dimensional curve (V, W) , [LP2006].

The following question is inspired by a remark made by T.L. during a talk in 2011:

Is repeated application of the Area operator enough to get the whole signature of a path X ?

For $d = 2$ and the first two levels, this is quickly verified. We start with the increments themselves, which we assume to be given (we think of them as “0-th order” areas), which are $\int dX^1$ and $\int dX^2$. Then we can write, using the integration-by-parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint dX^1 dX^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int dX^1 \cdot \int dX^1 \\ \iint dX^2 dX^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int dX^2 \cdot \int dX^2 \\ \iint dX^1 dX^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\iint dX^1 dX^2 - \iint dX^2 dX^1 + \int dX^1 \cdot \int dX^2 \right) \\ \iint dX^2 dX^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(- \left(\iint dX^1 dX^2 - \iint dX^2 dX^1 \right) + \int dX^1 \cdot \int dX^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

and hence get all iterated integrals up to order 2.

Products of integrals become, on the algebra side, \sqcup -products. This reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{2} \sqcup \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{12} &= \frac{1}{2} (\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) + \mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2}) & \mathbf{21} &= \frac{1}{2} (-\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) + \mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2}) \end{aligned}$$

In general, however, the expansion is non-unique, as the following example illustrates:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{123} &= \frac{1}{3} \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})) + \frac{1}{6} \text{area}(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}) - \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{2} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{3} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} \sqcup \mathbf{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})) - \frac{1}{12} \text{area}(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{2}) + \frac{1}{4} \text{area}(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \mathbf{3}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}) + \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{2} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{3} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} \sqcup \mathbf{3} \end{aligned}$$

To formulate the problem algebraically, let $A \subset T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the smallest linear space containing the letters $\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}$ that is closed under the (bilinear, non-associative) operation area . The question then becomes:

Is A a generating set for the shuffle algebra $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

The affirmative answer to this question is given in this paper.

What we really have in mind here is a two-stage numerically-stable procedure for calculating the signature of a physical path. In the first stage one calculates areas, areas of areas and so forth, possibly using an *analog physical apparatus*.¹ The second stage uses these measurements, say on a *digital computer*, and computes polynomial expressions in these.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In the next section we fix notation. In Section 3 we revisit results by Rocha [Roc2003] in purely algebraic terms. The outcome of this is a formula for the Dynkin operator applied to the signature. This makes the areas operator appear naturally. Together with Section 5 this will prove the generating property of areas-of-areas.

For completeness, we show in Section 4 how to express coordinates of the first kind using only areas-of-areas. Again, this is basically a purely algebraic revisiting of results by Rocha, in which we also correct some of the expressions he gives.

In Section 5 we state a general condition for a set of polynomials to be (free) generators of the shuffle algebra $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This condition is implicitly known in the literature, but seems to never have been explicitly stated. We then show how a couple of well-known generators fall into this formulation and, how using Section 3 (or 4), the generating property of areas-of-areas is established.

Apart from its geometric interpretation, the area operation possesses some interesting properties. Some of them we present in Section 6.1, where it is shown that it is nicely compatible with discrete

¹One physical device that has historically been used to measure area is a planimeter [FS2007]. In general, this is related to nonholonomic control, see for example [BD2015].

integration as well as stochastic integration. In Section 7 we collect some results on the linear span generated by the area operator, as it is of interest in its own right.

Acknowledgements.

T.L is supported by the EPSRC under the program grant EP/S026347/1 and by the Alan Turing Institute under the EPSRC grant EP/N510129/1. R.P. is supported by European Research Council through CoG-683164 and was affiliated to Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig, in autumn 2018.

T.L and J.D. would like to thank Danyu Yang for many insightful discussions and for realizing that it is natural to think of shuffle algebra as a space of operators or sensors that take paths to scalar paths and the consequent insight that it is completely natural to interpret the half-shuffles, and area etc. as binary path operations, and so connect the structures of dendriform algebras with the tensor/shuffle algebra structures in this way.

2. Notation

Denote by $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ the space of formal *infinite* linear combinations of words in the letters $\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}$. Equip it with the **concatenation product** \cdot (often we write $b \cdot b' = bb'$).

Denote by $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ its dual, the space of *finite* linear combinations of words. Equip it with the **shuffle product** \sqcup . It decomposes as

$$a \sqcup a' = a \succ a' + a' \succ a,$$

where \succ is the **half-shuffle**. The half-shuffle is defined on words $a = a_1 \dots a_m, b = b_1 \dots b_n$, where b is not the empty word, as

$$a \succ b = (a \sqcup b_1 \dots b_{n-1}) \cdot b_n.$$

The dual pairing is written for $a \in T(\mathbb{R}^d), b \in T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ as

$$\langle a, b \rangle.$$

Denote the grouplike elements of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ by G . Denote the primitive elements, or Lie elements, of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$, i.e. the free Lie algebra, by \mathfrak{g} .

Denote by $\text{proj}_n, \text{proj}_{\geq n}$, etc, the projection on $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ to level n , to levels larger equal to n , ... We write $T_n((\mathbb{R}^d)) = \text{proj}_n T((\mathbb{R}^d)), T_{\geq n}((\mathbb{R}^d)) = \text{proj}_{\geq n} T((\mathbb{R}^d))$, etc.

Denote the empty word by e .

Denote by $\mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ the (closure of the) free tensor algebra over d generators with coefficients in \mathcal{R} , where $\mathcal{R} = (T(\mathbb{R}^d), \sqcup)$. We can identify this algebra with

$$\mathcal{W} := T(\mathbb{R}^d) \hat{\otimes} T((\mathbb{R}^d)), \tag{1}$$

where we use the shuffle product on the left and the concatenation product on the right. We denote the product on both of these (isomorphic) spaces by \blacksquare .

We use the usual grading on $\mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$, that is in the representation (1), for a, b words, $|a \otimes b| := |b|$. Then, the projection proj_n makes also sense on \mathcal{W} .

Fixing $x \in T((\mathbb{R}^d))$, define for words a, b

$$\text{eval}_x(a \otimes b) := \langle a, x \rangle b,$$

and extend linearly to \mathcal{W} .

We refer to [Reu1993] for more details on all of this, except for the half-shuffle, for which a nice entry point to the literature is for example [FP2013]

3. The Dynkin operator

We recall the linear maps $r, D : T((\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ from [Reu1993, Section 1, p.20]. The right-bracketing map or **Dynkin operator** r is given on a word $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ as

$$r(w_1 \dots w_n) := [w_1, [w_2, \dots [w_{n-1}, w_n]]]. \quad (2)$$

The map D (for *derivation*) is given on a word w as

$$D(w) := |w|w,$$

where $|w|$ is the length of the word. On $T_{\geq 1}((\mathbb{R}^d))$, D is invertible with inverse $D^{-1}(w) = \frac{1}{|w|}w$.

Remark 3.1. 1. *The seemingly simple Dynkin operator r has found several applications. It for example characterizes Lie elements of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ [Reu1993, Theorem 3.1 (vi)]: $x \in T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ is a Lie series if and only if $\langle e, x \rangle = 0$ and $r(x) = D(x)$. See also [PR2002], [Gar1990] and references therein. In the backward error analysis of numerical schemes it is used for example in [LMK2013].*

2. *Truncated at a fixed level, the grouplike elements / signatures of tree-reduced paths, form a Lie group. The Dynkin operator r is a logarithmic derivative, i.e. the derivative pulled-back to the tangent space at the identity, of an endomorphism of this group in the following sense (see [MP2013] for more on this). Let δ_ϵ be the dilation operator, i.e. the operation on tensors which multiplies each level m by ϵ^m , which corresponds to dilating or scaling a path by the factor ϵ . For $g \in G$, let $g^\epsilon := \delta_\epsilon g$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g^\epsilon\right) \cdot (g^\epsilon)^{-1} &= \left(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g^\epsilon\right) \cdot \alpha[g^\epsilon] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}D[g^\epsilon]\right) \cdot \alpha[g^\epsilon] \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\text{conc} \circ (D \otimes \alpha))[g^\epsilon \otimes g^\epsilon] \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\text{conc} \circ (D \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta_{\sqcup})[g^\epsilon] \quad \text{as } g^\epsilon \in G, \text{ [Reu1993, Theorem 3.2]} \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}r[g^\epsilon], \quad \text{see [Reu1993, p32 or Lemma 1.5]} \end{aligned}$$

where α is the antipode on $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ (which is the inverse in the Lie group, and corresponds to reversing a path), \otimes is the external tensor product, conc is the linear map taking $a \otimes b$ to $a \cdot b$, and Δ_{\sqcup} is the unshuffle coproduct, which [Reu1993] calls δ .

Let \underline{r} , \underline{D} , \underline{D}^{-1} act on \mathcal{W} by letting r, D, D^{-1} act on the right side of the tensor, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{r}(a \otimes b) &:= a \otimes r(b) \\ \underline{D}(a \otimes b) &:= a \otimes D(b) \\ \underline{D}^{-1}(a \otimes b) &:= a \otimes D^{-1}(b).\end{aligned}$$

Define²

$$\begin{aligned}S &:= \sum_w w \otimes w \\ R &:= \underline{r}(S) = \sum_w w \otimes r(w) = \sum_v \rho(v) \otimes v.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Both are elements of \mathcal{W} . The last equality implicitly defines ρ . There also exists a recursive definition, see [Reu1993, p.32]. We note that $r(e) = \rho(e) = 0$, so the sum in (3) is actually only over words of strictly positive length.

We record the following for future use ([Reu1993, Theorem 1.12]). For any word w ³

$$Dw = \sum_{uv=w} \rho(u) \sqcup v = \sum_{uv=w, |u| \geq 1} \rho(u) \sqcup v.\tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The map $r : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is invertible. To be specific, define for $x \in T_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the linear map*

$$\begin{aligned}A_x &: T(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ z &\mapsto D^{-1}(xz).\end{aligned}$$

Then for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{aligned}r^{-1}[x] &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} A_x^\ell e \\ &= e + D^{-1}(x) + D^{-1}(xD^{-1}(x)) + D^{-1}(xD^{-1}(xD^{-1}(x))) + \dots\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Equivalently, with $\underline{A}_R z := \underline{D}^{-1}[R \blacksquare z]$,

$$\begin{aligned}S &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} (\underline{A}_R)^\ell (e \otimes e) \\ &= e \otimes e + \underline{D}^{-1}[R] + \underline{D}^{-1}[R \blacksquare \underline{D}^{-1}[R]] + \underline{D}^{-1}[R \blacksquare \underline{D}[R \blacksquare \underline{D}^{-1}[R]]] + \dots,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Remark 3.3. Compare [EGP2007, Theorem 4.1] for a statement in a more general setting.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The claimed equivalence is shown as follows. For $t \in \mathcal{W}$, with zero coefficient for $e \otimes e$,

$$\text{eval}_g(\underline{D}^{-1}(t)) = D^{-1}(\text{eval}_g(t)).$$

²From now on, if we sum over a variable with no given index set, we sum over all words in the alphabet $\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}$, including the empty word e .

³If $|w| = 0$ then both sides are equal to zero.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= e + D^{-1}(r(g)) + D^{-1}(r(g)D^{-1}(r(g))) + \dots \quad \forall g \in G \\
&\Leftrightarrow \\
\text{eval}_g(S) &= \text{eval}_g(e) + D^{-1}(\text{eval}_g(R)) + D^{-1}(\text{eval}_g(R)D^{-1}(\text{eval}_g(R))) + \dots \quad \forall g \in G \\
&= \text{eval}_g(e) + \text{eval}_g(\underline{D}^{-1}(R)) + \text{eval}_g(\underline{D}^{-1}(R \blacksquare \underline{D}^{-1}(R))) + \dots \\
&\Leftrightarrow \\
S &= e + \underline{D}^{-1}(R) + \underline{D}^{-1}(R \blacksquare \underline{D}^{-1}(R)) + \dots,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the homomorphism property of eval_g and the fact that grouplike elements linearly span $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$.

We now show (5). Write $x := r[g] = D[g] \cdot g^{-1}$ (compare Remark 3.1.2). Then

$$g = 1 + D^{-1}[x \cdot g],$$

i.e.

$$g = 1 + A_x g.$$

Hence

$$g = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} A_x^\ell e.$$

This shows that (5) gives a left-inverse.

It is also a right inverse. Indeed, first note that for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $n \geq 2$ we have $r[A_x^n e] = 0$. For $n = 2$, using Lemma 4.3, this follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
r[A_x^2 e] &= r[D^{-1}(xD^{-1}(x))] \\
&= D^{-1}(r[xD^{-1}(x)]) \\
&= D^{-1}(r[r[D^{-1}x]D^{-1}(x)]) \\
&= D^{-1}([r[D^{-1}x], r[D^{-1}(x)]]) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume it is true for A_x^{n-1} , then

$$\begin{aligned}
r[A_x^n e] &= r[D^{-1}(xA_x^{n-1}e)] \\
&= D^{-1}(r[xA_x^{n-1}e]) \\
&= D^{-1}(r[r[D^{-1}x]A_x^{n-1}e]) \\
&= D^{-1}([r[D^{-1}x], r[A_x^{n-1}e]]) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$r[e + D^{-1}(x) + D^{-1}(xD^{-1}(x)) + \dots] = x,$$

so that the Lemma indeed provides a right inverse. \square

Definition 3.4. Define the following product on \mathcal{W} ,

$$(p \otimes q) \triangleright (p' \otimes q') := (p \succ p') \otimes [q, q'],$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket in $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and \succ is the half-shuffle in $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 3.5. This product is pre-Lie, although we will not use this fact. It comes from the dendriform structure

$$\begin{aligned} (p \otimes q) \succeq (p' \otimes q') &:= (p \succ p') \otimes qq' \\ (p \otimes q) \preceq (p' \otimes q') &:= (p \prec p') \otimes qq', \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $x \triangleright y = x \succeq y - y \preceq x$. For more background on pre-Lie products and this relation to dendriform algebras see for example [KM2009] and references therein.

The object R satisfies a quadratic fix-point equation.

Lemma 3.6.

$$(\underline{D} - \text{id})R = R \triangleright R. \quad (7)$$

Remark 3.7. We sketch the connection to the ODE approach of [Roc2003]. Let $S_t^\epsilon := \delta_\epsilon S(X)_t$ be the signature at time t , dilated by a factor $\epsilon > 0$. Define

$$Z_t^\epsilon := \frac{d}{d\epsilon} S_t^\epsilon \cdot (S_t^\epsilon)^{-1},$$

which, as we have seen in Remark 3.1.2, is equal to $\epsilon^{-1}r[S_t^\epsilon]$. One can show (see [AGS1989, (1.8)]), that Z_t^ϵ satisfies

$$\partial_\epsilon Z_t^\epsilon = \int_0^t [Z_r^\epsilon, \dot{Z}_r^\epsilon] dr,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket in \mathfrak{g} . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\epsilon Z_t^\epsilon &= \partial_\epsilon (\epsilon^{-1}r[S_t^\epsilon]) \\ &= -\epsilon^{-2}r[S_t^\epsilon] + \epsilon^{-1}r[\partial_\epsilon S_t^\epsilon] \\ &= -\epsilon^{-2}r[S_t^\epsilon] + \epsilon^{-2}r[DS_t^\epsilon] \\ &= \epsilon^{-2}r[(D - \text{id})S_t^\epsilon], \end{aligned}$$

which relates to the left-hand side of (7). On the other hand, for $p \otimes q, p' \otimes q' \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t [\langle S_s, p \rangle q, \langle \dot{S}_s, p' \rangle q'] ds &= \int_0^t \langle S_s, p \rangle d\langle S_s, p' \rangle [q, q'] \\ &= \langle S_t, p \succ p' \rangle [q, q'] \\ &= \text{eval}_{S_t} [(p \otimes q) \triangleright (p' \otimes q')]. \end{aligned}$$

This relates to the right-hand side of (7).

Proof. Let $|w| \geq 1$. Starting from (4) and concatenating a letter a from the right on both sides, we get

$$\sum_{uv=w, |u| \geq 1} (\rho(u) \sqcup v)a = (Dw)a = (D - \text{id})(wa).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{uv=w, |u| \geq 1} \rho(u) \succ va = (D - \text{id})(wa),$$

which means, for $|\bar{w}| \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{uv=\bar{w}, |u| \geq 1, |v| \geq 1} \rho(u) \succ v = (D - \text{id})\bar{w}. \quad (8)$$

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_v w &= [v, w] \\ \text{Ad}_v w &= [v_1, [v_2, \dots, [v_n, w] \dots]]. \end{aligned}$$

By [Reu1993, Theorem 1.4], for a Lie polynomial P one has

$$\text{ad}_P = \text{Ad}_P. \quad (9)$$

For a word w define the linear map I_w as

$$I_w x := w \succ x,$$

and extend linearly to the whole tensor algebra. The map

$$I_\bullet \otimes \text{ad}_\bullet : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}),$$

is defined as

$$(I_x \otimes \text{ad}_y)a \otimes b = (I_x a) \otimes (\text{ad}_y b).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (I_\bullet \otimes \text{ad}_\bullet)R &= (I_\bullet \otimes \text{ad}_\bullet) \sum w \otimes r(w) \\ &= (I_\bullet \otimes \text{Ad}_\bullet) \sum w \otimes r(w) \\ &= (I_\bullet \otimes \text{Ad}_\bullet) \sum \rho(v) \otimes v \\ &= \sum_{|v| \geq 1} I_{\rho(v)} \otimes \text{Ad}_v, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (9) and then (3). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
R \triangleright R &= ((I_\bullet \otimes \text{ad}_\bullet)R)R \\
&= \left(\sum_{|v| \geq 1} I_{\rho(v)} \otimes \text{Ad}_v \right) \sum_{|w| \geq 1} w \otimes r(w) \\
&= \sum_{|v|, |w| \geq 1} (\rho(v) \succ w) \otimes r(vw) \\
&= \sum_{|x| \geq 2} \sum_{vw=x, |v|, |w| \geq 1} (\rho(v) \succ w) \otimes r(vw) \\
&= \sum_{|x| \geq 2} (|x| - 1)x \otimes r(x) \\
&= \sum_{|x| \geq 2} x \otimes ((|x| - 1)r(x)) \\
&= \sum_{|x| \geq 2} x \otimes r[(D - \text{id})x] \\
&= (\underline{D} - \text{id})R. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetrizing in the previous statement, we make the area-operator appear.

Corollary 3.8. *Define*

$$\begin{aligned}
(p \otimes q) \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} (p' \otimes q') &:= (p \otimes q) \triangleright (p' \otimes q') + (p' \otimes q') \triangleright (p \otimes q) \\
&= \text{area}(p, p') \otimes [q, q'].
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\underline{D} - \text{id})R = \frac{1}{2}R \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R.$$

Let $R_n := \text{proj}_n R = \sum_{|w|=n} w \otimes r[w]$ be the n -th level of R . Then for $n \geq 2$ this spells out as

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)R_n &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^n R_\ell \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_{n-\ell} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{\ell=1}^{(n-1)/2} R_\ell \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_{n-\ell} & n \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n/2} R_\ell \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_{n-\ell} + \frac{1}{2}R_{n/2} \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_{n/2} & n \text{ even} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 3.6. □

Remark 3.9. Note that [Roc2003b, Proposition 6.8] has a slightly more complicated recursion. This stems from the facts that the Z^n there relates to our $\frac{R_n}{n!}$ here.

Example 3.10. Let $R_n := \text{proj}_n R$ be the n -th level of R . Then Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 3.8 give

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &= R_1 \triangleright R_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} R_1 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_1 \\
2R_3 &= R_1 \triangleright R_2 + R_2 \triangleright R_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} R_1 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_2 + \frac{1}{2} R_2 \triangleright R_1 \\
&= R_1 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_2 \\
3R_4 &= R_1 \triangleright R_3 + R_2 \triangleright R_2 + R_3 \triangleright R_1 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} R_1 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_3 + \frac{1}{2} R_2 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_2 + \frac{1}{2} R_3 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_1 \\
&= R_1 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_3 + \frac{1}{2} R_2 \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_2
\end{aligned}$$

For $d = 2$ this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} \\
R_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}] + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]) \\
R_3 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]] \right) \\
R_4 &= \frac{1}{12} \left(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]]] \right. \\
&\quad + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]]] \\
&\quad + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]]] \\
&\quad \left. + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}]]] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

In general this looks as follows.

Definition 3.11. Denote by $\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_n$ the set of (complete, rooted) binary planar trees with n leaves. Given $\tau \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_n$ and a word w of length n we define $\text{area}_\tau(w)$ (resp. $\text{lie}_\tau(w)$) by attaching the letters of w to the leaves of τ from left to right and then bracketing out using area (resp. $[\cdot, \cdot]$). For example

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{area} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} (\mathbf{123}) &= \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})) \\
\text{lie} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} (\mathbf{1234}) &= [[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}], [\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}]].
\end{aligned}$$

Define recursively

$$c(\bullet) = 1$$

$$c(\begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \quad \tau_2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \end{array}) = \frac{c(\tau_1)c(\tau_2)}{2(|\tau_1|_L + |\tau_2|_L - 1)},$$

where $|\tau|_L$ denotes the number of leaves of the tree τ . For example

$$c(\bullet) = 1$$

$$c(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}) = \frac{1 \cdot 1}{2(2 - 1)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$c(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}) = \frac{1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{2(3 - 1)} = \frac{1}{8}$$

Lemma 3.12.

$$R_n = \sum_{\tau \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_n, |w|=n} c(\tau) \text{ area}_\tau(w) \otimes \text{ lie}_\tau(w).$$

Remark 3.13. We note that [Roc2003, Lemma 1] has a slightly more complicated expression for R_n , since he factors out some of the redundant terms, owing to antisymmetry. We do not pursue this here since his end result still contains redundant terms, which we do not know how to explicitly get rid off.

Proof of 3.12. For the purpose of this proof, let R_n be defined as in Corollary 3.8 and

$$R'_n := \sum_{\tau \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_n, |w|=n} c(\tau) \text{ area}_\tau(w) \otimes \text{ lie}_\tau(w).$$

We proceed by induction over n . We have

$$R_1 = \sum_{|w|=1} w \otimes r(w) = \sum_{|w|=1} w \otimes w = \sum_{|w|=1} c(\bullet) \text{ area}_\bullet(w) \otimes \text{ lie}_\bullet(w) = R'_1.$$

Assuming $R_n = R'_n$ holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} R_l \triangleright_{\text{Sym}} R_{n-l} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{\tau_1 \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_l, \\ \tau_2 \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_{n+1-l}}} \sum_{\substack{|w_1|=l, \\ |w_2|=n+1-l}} c(\tau_1)c(\tau_2) \text{ area}(\text{area}_{\tau_1}(w_1), \text{area}_{\tau_2}(w_2)) \otimes [\text{lie}_{\tau_1}(w_1), \text{lie}_{\tau_2}(w_2)] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{\tau_1 \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_l, \\ \tau_2 \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_{n+1-l}}} \sum_{\substack{|w_1|=l, \\ |w_2|=n+1-l}} c(\tau_1\tau_2) \text{ area}_{\tau_1\tau_2}(w_1w_2) \otimes \text{ lie}_{\tau_1\tau_2}(w_1w_2) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}_{n+1}, |w|=n+1} c(\tau) \text{ area}_\tau(w) \otimes \text{ lie}_\tau(w) \\ &= R'_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Coordinates of the first kind

Let $(P_h)_{h \in H}$ be a basis for the free Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . For the index set H we have a Hall set in mind ([Reu1993, Section 4]), but this is not necessary at this stage.

Any grouplike element $g \in G$ can be written as the exponential of a Lie series,

$$g = \exp \left(\sum_{h \in H} c_h(g) P_h \right), \quad (10)$$

for some uniquely determined $c_h(g) \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, there exist unique $\zeta_h \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $h \in H$ such that $c_h(g) = \langle \zeta_h, g \rangle$. The ζ_h are called the **coordinates of the first kind** (corresponding to $(P_h)_{h \in H}$), see for example [Kaw2009].

We now formulate this in a way, where we do not have to test against $g \in G$. Recall the product \blacksquare on \mathcal{W} : shuffle product on the left and concatenation product on the right.

For words a, b

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eval}_g(a \otimes b) \cdot \text{eval}_g(a' \otimes b') &= \langle a, g \rangle \langle a', g \rangle bb' \\ &= \langle a \sqcup a', g \rangle bb' \\ &= \text{eval}_g((a \sqcup a') \otimes (b \cdot b')) \\ &= \text{eval}_g((a \otimes b) \blacksquare (a' \otimes b')). \end{aligned}$$

Both expressions are bilinear, so this is true for general elements in \mathcal{W} . Hence eval_g is an algebra homomorphism from $(\mathcal{W}, \blacksquare)$ to $(T(\mathbb{R}^d), \cdot)$. Then on one hand, using first (10) and then the homomorphism property

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \exp \left(\sum_{h \in H} c_h P_h \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\sum_{h \in H} \langle \zeta_h, g \rangle P_h \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\text{eval}_g \left(\sum_{h \in H} \zeta_h \otimes P_h \right) \right) \\ &= \text{eval}_g \left(\exp_{\blacksquare} \left(\sum_{h \in H} \zeta_h \otimes P_h \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, of course, for $x \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\exp_{\blacksquare}(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{\blacksquare n}}{n!} := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\overbrace{x \blacksquare \cdots \blacksquare x}^{n \text{ times}}}{n!}.$$

On the other hand, trivially

$$g = \sum_w \langle w, g \rangle w = \text{eval}_g \left(\sum_w w \otimes w \right).$$

Since grouplike elements linearly span⁴ all of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ we get that for all $x \in T((\mathbb{R}^d))$

$$\text{eval}_x \left(\exp_{\bullet} \left(\sum_{h \in H} \zeta_h \otimes P_h \right) \right) = \text{eval}_x \left(\sum_w w \otimes w \right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_w w \otimes w = \exp_{\bullet} \left(\sum_{h \in H} \zeta_h \otimes P_h \right), \quad (11)$$

respectively

$$\log_{\bullet} \sum_w w \otimes w = \sum_{h \in H} \zeta_h \otimes P_h.$$

We have arrived at a definition of coordinates of the first kind which does not rely on testing against grouplike elements.

Remark 4.1. *Considering S as an element of $\mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$, it is grouplike. Indeed, for $a, b \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \otimes b, \Delta_{\sqcup} S \rangle &= \langle a \otimes b, \Delta_{\sqcup} \sum_w w \underline{w} \rangle \\ &= \sum_w w \langle a \otimes b, \Delta_{\sqcup} \underline{w} \rangle \\ &= \sum_w w \langle a \sqcup b, \bar{w} \rangle \\ &= a \sqcup b \\ &= \langle a \otimes b, \sum_{w, v} w \sqcup v \underline{w} \otimes \underline{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle a \otimes b, \sum_w w \underline{w} \otimes \sum_v v \underline{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle a \otimes b, S \otimes S \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here for a word $w \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we write \underline{w} as its realization in $\mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$. Then

$$\Lambda := \log_{\bullet} S,$$

is primitive. The search for coordinates of the first kind then amounts to finding a “simple” expression for this primitive element.

⁴This is true level by level, i.e. projectively. See for example [DR2018, Lemma 8].

One can construct the coordinates ζ_h as follows. Pick any $S_h \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $h \in H$, such that

$$\langle S_h, P_{h'} \rangle = \delta_{h,h'}.$$

Using [Reu1993, Theorem 5.3], if P_h is a Hall basis, one can actually pick the S_h in such a way that they extend to the dual of the corresponding PBW basis of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$, but this is not necessary here. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle S_h, \log \left(\exp \left(\sum_{h' \in H} c_{h'} P_{h'} \right) \right) \right\rangle &= \left\langle S_h, \sum_{h' \in H} c_{h'} P_{h'} \right\rangle \\ &= c_h. \end{aligned}$$

We want “to put the logarithm on the other side”. This is indeed possible, since the logarithm on grouplike elements extends to a *linear* map π_1 on all of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ (see [Reu1993, Section 3.2]), given as

$$\pi_1(u) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_n \text{ non-empty}} \langle v_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup v_n, u \rangle v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_n. \quad (12)$$

Denote its dual map by π_1^\top .⁵ It is given as

$$\pi_1^\top(v) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sum_{u_1, \dots, u_n \text{ non-empty}} \langle v, u_1 \cdot \dots \cdot u_n \rangle u_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup u_n.$$

Then for all $h \in H$

$$\left\langle \pi_1^\top S_h, \exp \left(\sum_{h' \in H} c_{h'} P_{h'} \right) \right\rangle = c_h,$$

that is, the coordinate of first kind are given by (compare [GK2008, Theorem 1])

$$\zeta_h = \pi_1^\top S_h \quad h \in H. \quad (13)$$

We note that ζ_h must of course be independent of the choice of the S_h and this is indeed the case, since $\ker \pi_1^\top = (\text{im } \pi_1)^\perp = \mathfrak{g}_n^\perp$.

Example 4.2. Let $(P_h)_{h \in H}$ be the Lyndon basis (which is a Hall basis, [Reu1993, Section 5]). In the case $d = 2$, we give the first few elements for P_h, S_h and $\pi_1^\top S_h$, where we take S_h as in [Reu1993, Theorem 5.3].

⁵[Reu1993, Section 6.2] uses the notation π_1^* .

Lyndon word h	P_h	S_h	$\pi_1^\top S_h$
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2
12	[1, 2]	12	$+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{12} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{21}$
112	[1, [1, 2]]	112	$+\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{112} - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{121} + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{211}$
122	[[1, 2], 2]	122	$+\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{122} - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{212} + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{221}$
1112	[1, [1, [1, 2]]]	1112	$-\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1121} + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1211}$
1122	[1, [[1, 2], 2]]	1122	$+\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1122} - \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1212} + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{2121} - \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{2211}$
1222	[[[1, 2], 2], 2]	1222	$-\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{2122} + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{2212}$
11112	[1, [1, [1, [1, 2]]]]	11112	$-\frac{1}{30}\mathbf{11112} - \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{11121} + \frac{2}{15}\mathbf{11211} - \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{12111} - \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{21111}$
11122	[1, [1, [[1, 2], 2]]]	11122	$+\frac{1}{15}\mathbf{11122} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{11212} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{11221} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{12112} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{12121}$
11222	[1, [[[1, 2], 2], 2]]	11222	$-\frac{1}{10}\mathbf{12211} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{21112} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{21121} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{21211} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{22111}$
12122	[[1, 2], [[1, 2], 2]]	12122 + 3 11222	$+\frac{1}{15}\mathbf{11222} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{12122} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{12212} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{12221} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{21122}$
11212	[[1, [1, 2]], [1, 2]]	11212 + 2 11122	$+\frac{1}{15}\mathbf{21212} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{21221} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{22112} - \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{22121} + \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{22211}$
12222	[[[[1, 2], 2], 2], 2]	12222	$-\frac{1}{15}\mathbf{12122} - \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{21122} + \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{21212} - \frac{1}{15}\mathbf{21221} + \frac{1}{10}\mathbf{11222}$
			$+\frac{1}{30}\mathbf{11212} - \frac{2}{15}\mathbf{12112} + \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{12121} + \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{21112} - \frac{2}{15}\mathbf{21121}$
			$+\frac{1}{30}\mathbf{21211} + \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{11122} + \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{11221} + \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{12211} + \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{22111}$
			$-\frac{1}{30}\mathbf{12222} - \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{21222} + \frac{2}{15}\mathbf{22122} - \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{22212} - \frac{1}{30}\mathbf{22221}$

The expressions given by (13) can become quite unwieldy. This motivated Rocha to look for more tractable expressions in [Roc2003]. We will now reproduce his results using purely algebraic arguments.

4.1. Coordinates of first kind in terms of areas-of-areas

As in Remark 4.1 we consider $S \in \mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$. The goal is to find a “simple expression” for

$$\Lambda := \log S.$$

Following Rocha, we sneak in $\underline{r}^{-1} \circ \underline{r}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \log_{\bullet}(\underline{r}^{-1}(\underline{r}[S])) \\ &= \log_{\bullet}(\underline{r}^{-1}(R)). \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(\underline{r} \circ \exp_{\bullet})[\Lambda] = R.$$

The last step consists now in inverting $\underline{r} \circ \exp_{\bullet}$ here. We shall need the following version of Baker’s identity [Reu1993, (1.6.5)].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $L, Q \in \mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ with Q having no coefficient in the empty word and L primitive. Then*

$$\underline{r}(LQ) = [L, \underline{r}(Q)].$$

Proof. For L Lie, by [Reu1993, Theorem 1.4], $\text{ad}_L = \text{Ad}_L$. Hence for L Lie, Q any polynomial having no coefficient in the empty word,

$$r[LQ] = \text{ad}_L r[Q] = \text{Ad}_L r[Q] = [L, r[Q]]. \quad \square$$

We denote by $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\blacksquare}$ the Lie bracket on \mathcal{W} coming from the product \blacksquare . Note that

$$[p \otimes p', q \otimes q']_{\blacksquare} = (p \sqcup q) \otimes [p', q'].$$

Remark 4.4. *This is the Lie structure for the pre-Lie structure \triangleright , i.e. $[x, y]_{\blacksquare} = x \triangleright y - y \triangleright x$.*

For $x \in \mathcal{W}$ denote by $\text{ad}_{\blacksquare, x}$ the corresponding adjunction operator, i.e. $\text{ad}_{\blacksquare, x} y := [x, y]_{\blacksquare}$.

Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}\langle \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ be primitive. Then, using Lemma 4.3,

$$\underline{r}(\Lambda^{\blacksquare n}) = [\Lambda, \underline{r}(\Lambda^{n-1})]_{\blacksquare}.$$

Iterating this, we get

$$\underline{r}(\Lambda^{\blacksquare n}) = (\text{ad}_{\blacksquare, \Lambda})^{n-1} \underline{D}\Lambda.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \underline{r}[\exp_{\blacksquare}(\Lambda)] \\ &= \underline{r} \left[\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\Lambda^{\blacksquare n}}{n!} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\text{ad}_{\blacksquare, \Lambda})^{n-1}}{n!} \underline{D}\Lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

This can now be used, to recursively construct Λ from R .

Example 4.5. *Let us spell out the first few summands of (14),*

$$R = \underline{D}\Lambda + \frac{1}{2!} [\Lambda, \underline{D}\Lambda]_{\blacksquare} + \frac{1}{3!} [\Lambda, [\Lambda, \underline{D}\Lambda]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} + \frac{1}{4!} [\Lambda, [\Lambda, [\Lambda, \underline{D}\Lambda]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} + \dots$$

Level by level (remember that $\Lambda_0 = 0$), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= R_1 \\ 2\Lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2!} [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare} &= R_2 \\ 3\Lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2!} \left([\Lambda_1, 2\Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare} \right) + \frac{1}{3!} [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} &= R_3 \\ 4\Lambda_4 + \frac{1}{2!} \left([\Lambda_1, 3\Lambda_3]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_2, 2\Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_3, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare} \right) + \frac{1}{3!} \left([\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, 2\Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_2, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4!} [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} = R_4 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the expressions from Example 3.10 in for R , we get for $d = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1 &= R_1 = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} \\
\Lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{2}R_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}] + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}] \\
\Lambda_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(R_3 - \frac{1}{2}[\Lambda_1, 2\Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare} - \frac{1}{2}[\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare} - \frac{1}{3}[\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left(R_3 - \frac{1}{2}[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{6} \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]] + \frac{1}{6} \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12} (\mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]] - \frac{1}{12} (\mathbf{2} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]] \\
\Lambda_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(R_4 - \frac{1}{2!} \left([\Lambda_1, 3\Lambda_3]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_2, 2\Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_3, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare} \right) \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3!} \left([\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, 2\Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} + [\Lambda_2, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} \right) \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4!} [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_1]_{\blacksquare}]]_{\blacksquare} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(R_4 - [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_3]_{\blacksquare} - \frac{1}{3!} [\Lambda_1, [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]_{\blacksquare}]_{\blacksquare} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{24} \left(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] \right. \\
&\quad + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] + \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] \\
&\quad - (\mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] - (\mathbf{2} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] \\
&\quad \left. - (\mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{1}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] - (\mathbf{2} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}))) \otimes [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{2}, [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]]] \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.6. Comparing with [Roc2003, p.322] we note that we correct some of the coefficients appearing in Λ_3 and Λ_4 there.

Definition 4.7. Let $\widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_n$ be binary planar trees, with two types of inner nodes, \bullet and \blacksquare , and such that the subset of all nodes \blacksquare is either empty or forms a subtree with the same root as the tree itself.

Define $e : \widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. If the root of τ is \bullet , then

$$e(\tau) := \frac{1}{n}c(\tau),$$

where c was defined in Lemma 3.12. Otherwise, we can write τ uniquely as

$$\tau = (\tau_1 \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} (\tau_2 \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} (\cdots \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} (\tau_{\ell-1} \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} \tau_{\ell}))))),$$

for some $\ell \geq 2$, $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\ell-1} \in \widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}$ and $\tau_\ell \in \text{BinaryPlanarTrees}$. Here $\sigma \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} \rho$ is the grafting, to a new root of type \blacksquare , with σ on the left and ρ on the right. Then

$$e(\tau) := - \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} e(\tau_i) \right) |_{\tau_{\geq j}} |_{L} e(\tau_{\geq j}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\geq j} &:= (\tau_j \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} (\tau_{j+1} \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} (\dots \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} (\tau_{\ell-1} \rightarrow_{\blacksquare} \tau_\ell)))) \\ \tau_{\geq \ell} &:= \tau_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Finally for a tree $\tau \in \widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}$ and a word w of length n , define $\widetilde{\text{area}}_\tau(w)$ as first attaching the letters of w from left to right to the leaves of τ and then bracketing out using area if a node of type \bullet is encountered and multiplying using \sqcup when a node \blacksquare is encountered.

Example 4.8. *The following are all the trees in $\widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_2$*



The following are all the trees in $\widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_3$



We have

$$\begin{aligned} e(\bullet) &= c(\bullet) = 1 \\ e(\bullet \blacktriangledown \bullet) &= -\frac{1}{2} e(\bullet) |_{\bullet} |_{L} e(\bullet) = -\frac{1}{2} \\ e(\bullet \blacktriangledown \bullet \bullet) &= -\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2!} e(\bullet) |_{\bullet \blacktriangledown \bullet} |_{L} e(\bullet \bullet) + \frac{1}{3!} e(\bullet) e(\bullet) |_{\bullet} |_{L} e(\bullet) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} \right) = \frac{1}{36}. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\widetilde{\text{area}}_{\bullet \blacktriangledown \bullet \bullet}(\mathbf{123}) = \mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{2, 3}).$$

Theorem 4.9.

$$\Lambda = \sum_{\tau \in \widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_{n, |w|=n}} e(\tau) \widetilde{\text{area}}_\tau(w) \otimes \text{lie}_\tau(w).$$

Since the expansion in this theorem is not in terms of a *basis* of the Lie algebra, these are not yet coordinates of the first kind. But, by a straightforward projection procedure we get

Corollary 4.10.

$$S = \exp_{\blacksquare}(\Lambda),$$

with

$$\Lambda = \sum_h \zeta_h \otimes P_h,$$

where h runs over Hall words, P_h are the corresponding Lie Hall basis elements, and the ζ_h are expressed as linear combinations of shuffles of areas-of-areas.

Remark 4.11. Again, this result is, owing to the non-explicit form of the ζ_h , not satisfying. We mention it only for completeness.

Proof of Corollary 4.10. Let P_h be Lie basis and P_h^* its dual basis. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \sum_h \sum_{\tau \in \widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_{n,|w|=n}} e(\tau) \widetilde{\text{area}}_{\tau}(w) \langle P_h^*, \text{lie}_{\tau}(w) \rangle \otimes P_h \\ &=: \sum_h \zeta_h \otimes P_h. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5. Shuffle generators

For a countable index set I consider the free commutative algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_i : i \in I]$ over the indeterminates $x_i, i \in I$ ([Row1988, Definition 1.2.12]). If V is a vector space with a countable basis, we also write $\mathbb{R}[V]$ for $\mathbb{R}[x_i : i \in I]$ where x_i is some basis of V . A commutative algebra \mathcal{A} is **generated** by some elements $z_i \in \mathcal{A}, i \in I$, if the commutative algebra morphism

$$\mathbb{R}[x_i : i \in I] \rightarrow \mathcal{A},$$

extended from $x_i \mapsto z_i$, is surjective. If it is also injective, the algebra is **freely generated** by the elements z_i . The goal of this section is to find a simple condition on a countable family $z_i \in T(\mathbb{R}^d), i \in I$ to be (freely) generating.

Lemma 5.1. For each $n \geq 1$ let $X_n \subset T_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a subset of the shuffle algebra at level n . Let $X := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_n$. Then:

For all $n \geq 1$, for all nonzero $L \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ there is an $x \in X_n$ such that $\langle x, L \rangle \neq 0$

if and only if

X generates the shuffle algebra $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

If moreover $|X_n| = \dim \mathfrak{g}_n, n \geq 1$, then X is freely generating.

Remark 5.2. This lemma can also be seen as a consequence of (the proof of) the Milnor-Moore theorem, see for example [Car2007, p.48]. Let us sketch this. Let $T(\mathbb{R}^d)^{* \text{gr}}$ be the graded dual of $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the subspace of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ consisting of only finite linear combinations of words. Endowed

with the unshuffle coproduct, the dual of the shuffle product, this is a cocommutative, conilpotent coalgebra. Then, by (the proof of) [Car2007, Theorem 3.8.1], there exists an isomorphism of cocommutative coalgebras

$$e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}} : \Gamma[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}.$$

Here $\Gamma[\mathfrak{g}] \subset T(\mathfrak{g})$ are the symmetric tensors over \mathfrak{g} , generated, as a vector space, by the elements $\underbrace{v \otimes \cdots \otimes v}_{n \text{ times}}$, $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \geq 0$, and endowed with the deconcatenation coproduct. The map $e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}}$ acts on these elements as

$$e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}} \left(\underbrace{v \otimes \cdots \otimes v}_{n \text{ times}} \right) = \frac{v^n}{n!},$$

where the n -th power on the right hand side is taken with respect to the concatenation product (under which $T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}$ is closed). The grading on $T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}$ induces a grading on $\Gamma[\mathfrak{g}]$ via the isomorphism $e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}}$. The graded dual (with respect to this induced grading) of $\Gamma[\mathfrak{g}]$ is then given by $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{g}^* \text{gr}]$, i.e. the symmetric algebra over $\mathfrak{g}^* \text{gr}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^* \text{gr}$ is the graded dual of \mathfrak{g} . Since $e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}}$ is an isomorphism of cocommutative coalgebras, the dual map

$$e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}}^* : T(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{g}^* \text{gr}],$$

is an isomorphism of commutative algebras. X (freely) generating $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is then equivalent to $e_{T(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \text{gr}}^*(X)$ (freely) generating $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{g}^* \text{gr}]$, which is equivalent to our condition, using Lemma A.1.

Proof. We show for every level N :

$$\forall n \leq N \forall 0 \neq L \in \mathfrak{g}_n \text{ there is } x \in X_n \text{ with } \langle x, L \rangle \neq 0$$

if and only if

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq n \leq N} X_n \text{ shuffle generates } T_{\leq N}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It is clearly true for $N = 1$. Let it be true for some N . We show it for $N + 1$.

Let $\text{shuff}_{N+1} \subset T_{N+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the linear space of shuffles of everything “from below”, i.e.

$$\text{shuff}_{N+1} := \bigcup_{n=1}^N \left\{ T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) \sqcup T_{N-n}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

By [Reu1993, Theorem 3.1 (iv)]

$$\langle \text{shuff}_{N+1}, L \rangle = 0,$$

for all $L \in \mathfrak{g}_{N+1}$. In other words, shuff_{N+1} is contained in the annihilator of \mathfrak{g}_{N+1} . By [Reu1993, Theorem 6.1], the shuffle algebra is freely generated by the Lyndon words in $\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}$, which have dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g}_n$ on level n . Hence

$$\dim \text{shuff}_{N+1} = \dim T_{N+1}(\mathbb{R}^d) - \dim \mathfrak{g}_{N+1}.$$

By dimension counting we hence have that shuff_{N+1} must actually be *equal* to the annihilator of \mathfrak{g}_{N+1} . Then, a fortiori, \mathfrak{g}_{N+1} is the annihilator of shuff_{N+1} .

By Lemma 5.3,

$$T_{N+1}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \text{shuff}_{N+1} + \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} X_{N+1}$$

if and only if

$$\forall 0 \neq L \in \mathfrak{g}_{N+1} \text{ there is } x \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} X_{N+1} \text{ with } \langle x, L \rangle \neq 0.$$

But this is the case if and only if $\forall 0 \neq L \in \mathfrak{g}_{N+1}$ there is $x \in X_{N+1}$ with $\langle x, L \rangle \neq 0$. This finishes the proof regarding the generating property.

Regarding freeness: denote $\iota : \mathbb{R}[x_v : v \in X] \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the extension, as a commutative algebra morphism, of the map $x_v \mapsto v$. Denote $\iota_{Lyndon} : \mathbb{R}[y_w : w \in L] \rightarrow T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the extension, as a commutative algebra morphism, of the map $y_w \mapsto w$, where L are the Lyndon words. By [Reu1993, Theorem 6.1], ι_{Lyndon} is an isomorphism. By what we have shown so far, ι is surjective. Since X consists of homogeneous elements, we can grade $\mathbb{R}[x_v : v \in X]$ induced from the grading of $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and analogously for $\mathbb{R}[y_w : w \in L]$. By assumption, the graded dimensions match. Hence, there is an isomorphism of graded, commutative algebras

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}[x_v : v \in X] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[y_w : w \in L].$$

Since ι_{Lyndon} is an isomorphism of graded, commutative algebras and ι is epimorphism of graded, commutative algebras (where each homogeneous subspace is finite dimensional!) we must have that ι is in fact an isomorphism. \square

We used the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with dual $W := V^*$. We denote the pairing by $\langle w, v \rangle$, for $w \in W, v \in V$. Let W_1, W_2 be subspaces of W and let*

$$W_1^\perp := \{v \in V : \langle w_1, v \rangle = 0 \ \forall w_1 \in W_1\},$$

be the annihilator of W_1 . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall 0 \neq v_1 \in W_1^\perp \text{ there is } w_2 \in W_2 \text{ with } \langle w_2, v_1 \rangle \neq 0 \\ \text{if and only if} \\ W_1 + W_2 = W. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall the well-known identity ([Hal2017, Exercise 17.8.c])

$$(W_1 + W_2)^\perp = W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp.$$

Then

$$W_1 + W_2 = W \Leftrightarrow W_1^\perp \cap W_2^\perp = \{0\},$$

which is the claim. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Any homogeneous basis for the image of π^\top , where π is any graded projection $\pi : T((\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \subset T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ ⁶, freely shuffle generates $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Examples include:*

1. $\pi := \pi_1$, the Eulerian idempotent (12)
 \rightsquigarrow Coordinates of the first kind.
2. $\pi := r$, the Dynkin map (2)
 \rightsquigarrow A basis for the image of ρ , which by Corollary 3.8 can be expressed as areas-of-areas.
3. π the orthogonal projection (with respect to the inner product in the ambient space $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$) onto \mathfrak{g} (the Garsia idempotent, [Duc1991])
 \rightsquigarrow Any (homogeneous) basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset T((\mathbb{R}^d))$, identified as elements of $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
4. Any realization of a basis dual to a homogeneous basis of the Lie algebra.

Remark 5.5. 1. Point 3. is shown in [Reu1993, Section 6.5.1], We include it here, as it falls nicely into the setting of Lemma 5.1.

2. Coordinates of the first kind must - by definition - contain all the information of the signature, so it is reasonable that they shuffle generate $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For the other sets this is not immediately evident. The basis for a the Lie algebra is one such example and it does not even live in the correct space (formally, it is an element of the concatenation algebra $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ not of the shuffle algebra $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

Proof. π is graded so it makes sense to speak of its component $\pi_n : T_n((\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow T_n((\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then $\pi_n^\top : T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow T_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\text{im} \left(\pi_n^\top \right)^\perp = \ker(\pi_n).$$

Since π_n itself is also a projection, we have that

$$T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) = \ker(\pi_n) \oplus \text{im}(\pi_n).$$

Hence, for every $L \in \text{im}(\pi)$ there is $x \in \text{im}(\pi^\top)$ with $\langle x, L \rangle \neq 0$. Then Lemma 5.1 applies.

We Remark only on point 4: Let $P_h \in T((\mathbb{R}^d)), h \in H$, be some homogeneous basis for the Lie algebra. Let $D_h \in T(\mathbb{R}^d), h \in H$ be a realization of a dual basis. That is

$$\langle D_h, P_{h'} \rangle = \delta_{h,h'}.$$

Then choose π such that $\ker \pi = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{D_h : h \in H\})^\top$. □

Point 3.2 in Corollary 5.4 proves, using Corollary 3.8, what we set out to prove: areas-of-areas do shuffle generate $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Corollary 5.6. *The set A of the Introduction is a generating set for $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A free generating set is given by any basis for the image of ρ .*

⁶Identifying \mathfrak{g} as a subset of $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Remark 5.7. *Corollary 5.6 is an a priori stronger statement than the following easy-to-prove statement, with which it is occasionally confused.*

(A) Any word is a linear combination of shuffles of letters and areas of arbitrary words.

An illustration of (A) is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{123} &= (\mathbf{1} \succ \mathbf{2}) \succ \mathbf{3} = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})\} \succ \mathbf{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[\{\mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})\} \sqcup \mathbf{3} + \text{area}(\{\mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})\}, \mathbf{3})] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[\mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} \sqcup \mathbf{3} + \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \sqcup \mathbf{3} + \text{area}(\mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}) + \text{area}(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \mathbf{3})] \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.6 implies that this can be done with all the shuffles outside all the areas, namely

(B) Any word is a linear combination of shuffles of letters and iterated areas of letters.

For example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{123} &= \frac{1}{3}\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})) + \frac{1}{6}\text{area}(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}), \mathbf{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{1} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}) - \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{2} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{3} \sqcup \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{1} \sqcup \mathbf{2} \sqcup \mathbf{3}. \end{aligned}$$

6. Applications

The antisymmetrizing feature of the area operation leads to pleasant properties for piecewise linear paths and semimartingales.

6.1. Piecewise linear paths: computational aspects

For two time series $a_0, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ define the new time series

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DiscreteArea}(a, b)_\ell &:= \text{Corr}_1(a, b)_\ell - \text{Corr}_1(b, a)_\ell \\ &:= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} a_{i+1}b_i - \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} b_{i+1}a_i, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

set to be 0 for $\ell = 0$. It is known ([DR2018, Section 3.2]), that for a piecewise linear curve X through the points $0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$, one has

$$\left\langle \text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), S(X)_{0,n} \right\rangle = \text{DiscreteArea}(x^1, x^2)_n. \quad (15)$$

We will show that this iterates nicely.

Lemma 6.1. *If X, Y are piecewise linear then $\text{Area}(X, Y)$ is piecewise linear.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\text{Area}(X, Y)_t &= \int_0^t dX_r \dot{Y}_t - \int_0^t dY_r \dot{X}_t \\
\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^2 \text{Area}(X, Y)_t &= \int_0^t dX_r \ddot{Y}_t + \dot{X}_t \dot{Y}_t - \int_0^t dY_r \ddot{X}_t - \dot{Y}_t \dot{X}_t \\
&= \int_0^t dX_r \ddot{Y}_t - \int_0^t dY_r \ddot{X}_t \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since X, Y are piecewise linear. Hence, $\text{Area}(X, Y)$ is indeed piecewise linear. \square

In particular, for $\phi \in A$ (defined in the Introduction) and X piecewise linear

$$t \mapsto \langle \phi, S(X)_{0,t} \rangle,$$

is piecewise linear. Note that by Lemma 7.1, ϕ can be written as linear combination of elements of the form $w(ij - ji)$. One can also see directly that such elements yield something piecewise linear:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^2 \langle w(ij - ji), S(X)_{0,t} \rangle \\
&= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^2 \left\{ \int_0^t \int_0^s \langle w, S(X)_{0,r} \rangle dX_r^{(i)} dX_s^{(j)} - \int_0^t \int_0^s \langle w, S(X)_{0,r} \rangle dX_r^{(j)} dX_s^{(i)} \right\} \\
&= \int_0^t \langle w, S(X)_{0,r} \rangle dX_r^{(i)} \ddot{X}_t^{(j)} + \langle w, S(X)_{0,t} \rangle \dot{X}_t^{(i)} \dot{X}_t^{(j)} \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \langle w, S(X)_{0,r} \rangle dX_r^{(j)} \ddot{X}_t^{(i)} - \langle w, S(X)_{0,t} \rangle \dot{X}_t^{(i)} \dot{X}_t^{(j)} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.2. *This calculation makes it evident that elements $\phi \in A$ should be the only elements that, for all piecewise linear X , pick out something piecewise linear from $S(X)_{0,t}$, although we do not have a formal proof of this.*

The fact that “being linear” is preserved under the Area-operation immediately leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let X in \mathbb{R}^d be a piecewise linear curve through the points $0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then: for every tree τ and every word w ,*

$$\langle \text{area}_\tau(w), S(X)_{0,n} \rangle = \text{DiscreteArea}_\tau(w, x)_n.$$

Here, area_τ is defined in Lemma 3.12 and DiscreteArea_τ is defined similarly, as iterated bracketing using the DiscreteArea -operator.

Example 6.4. For $\tau = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{array}$, $w = \mathbf{123}$ the statement reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \text{area}_{\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{array}}(\mathbf{123}), S(X)_{0,n} \right\rangle &:= \left\langle \text{area}(\text{area}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \mathbf{3}), S(X)_{0,n} \right\rangle \\ &= \\ \text{DiscreteArea}_{\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \end{array}}(\mathbf{123}, x)_n &:= \text{DiscreteArea} \left(\text{DiscreteArea}(x^{(\mathbf{1})}, x^{(\mathbf{2})}), x^{(\mathbf{3})} \right)_n, \end{aligned}$$

which one can verify by a direct, but tedious, calculation.

Remark 6.5. This is not obvious at all. Indeed, if we just look at the discrete integration operator (still assuming $x_0 = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{12}, S(X)_{0,n} \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} (x_i^1 + x_{i+1}^1) (x_{i+1}^2 - x_i^2) \\ &=: \text{DiscreteIntegral}(x^1, x^2)_n, \end{aligned}$$

this does not iterate. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{123}, S(X)_{0,n} \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{2} (x_j^1 + x_{j+1}^1) x_{j,j+1}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} x_i^1 + \frac{1}{3!} x_{i,i+1}^1 \right) x_{i,i+1}^2 \right) x_{i,i+1}^3 \\ &\neq \text{DiscreteIntegral}(\text{DiscreteIntegral}(x^1, x^2), x^3)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If Y, Z are piecewise linear between the points $0, y_1, \dots$ and $0, z_1, \dots$, then $\text{Area}(Y, Z)$ is piecewise linear between the points

$$0, \text{DiscreteArea}(y, z)_1, \dots, \text{DiscreteArea}(y, z)_n.$$

We can hence iterate (15). □

6.2. Semimartingale paths: Itô vs Stratonovich

Another pleasant property of the area-operation presents itself when working with a continuous semimartingale M . One has (see [IW1988, Chapter III])

$$\int_0^T M_{0,r}^i d_{\text{Strat}} M_r^j = \int_0^T M_{0,r}^i d_{\text{Itô}} M_r^j + \frac{1}{2} [M^i, M^j]_T. \quad (16)$$

where d_{Strat} denotes Stratonovich integration, $d_{\text{Itô}}$ denotes Itô integration and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the quadratic covariation.

Lemma 6.6. Let M be a continuous semimartingale. If $\phi \in A$ (defined in the Introduction) then

$$\left\langle \phi, S_{\text{Strat}}(M)_{0,T} \right\rangle = \left\langle \phi, S_{\text{Itô}}(M)_{0,T} \right\rangle.$$

Proof. It is trivially true for letters. Let it be true for some $\phi, \psi \in A$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \text{area}(\phi, \psi), S_{\text{Strat}}(M)_{0,T} \right\rangle \\
&= \int_0^t \langle \phi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle d_{\text{Strat}} \langle \psi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \psi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle d_{\text{Strat}} \langle \phi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle \\
&= \int_0^t \langle \phi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle d_{\text{Ito}} \langle \psi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \psi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle d_{\text{Ito}} \langle \phi, S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \rangle \\
&= \left\langle \text{area}(\phi, \psi), S_{\text{Ito}}(M)_{0,T} \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where for the second to last equality we used (16) and the fact that the quadratic covariation is symmetric in its two arguments. Hence it is true for $\text{area}(\phi, \psi)$, and then for all of A . \square

In particular for M a *martingale* with all iterated integrals being in $L^1(\Omega)$, we have, by Lemma 3.6,

$$\mathbb{E} [r(S_{\text{Strat}}(M)_{0,T})] = 0.$$

And, more interestingly, for any smooth deterministic path X

$$\mathbb{E} [r(S_{\text{Strat}}(X + M)_{0,T})] = \mathbb{E} [r(S_{\text{Ito}}(X + M)_{0,T})] = r(S(X)_{0,T}),$$

since all terms involving M contain at least one Itô integral, and hence have vanishing expectation. This has applications to independent identically-distributed observations of $X + M$ and will be explored in future work.

7. Linear span of area expressions

In [Dzh2007] the antisymmetric, non-associative operation area was studied in detail. It was shown that

- area does not satisfy any new identity of degree 3; in particular it does *not* satisfy the Jacobi identity.
- On degree 4 there is exactly one new identity, the *tortkara identity*. Over a field of characteristic different from 2, it reads as

$$\text{area}(\text{area}(a, b), \text{area}(c, d)) + \text{area}(\text{area}(a, d), \text{area}(c, b)) = \text{area}(J(a, b, c), d) + \text{area}(J(a, d, c), b),$$

where $J(x, y, z) := \text{area}(\text{area}(x, y), z) + \text{area}(\text{area}(y, z), x) + \text{area}(\text{area}(z, x), y)$. Over a field of characteristic 0, we equivalently have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \cdot \text{area}(\text{area}(a, b), \text{area}(c, d)) \\
&= \text{area}(J(a, b, c), d) + \text{area}(J(a, d, c), b) + \text{area}(J(b, a, d), c) + \text{area}(J(b, c, d), a)
\end{aligned}$$

In [DIM2018, Section 6] it is shown that in $d = 2$, (A, area) is the free tortkara algebra.⁷

⁷ Recall the definition of A from the introduction: the smallest linear space containing the letters $\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}$ and being closed under the area operation.

This linear space has a surprisingly simple description. The following is [DIM2018, Theorem 2.1] (see also [Rei2018, Section 3.2, Theorem 31] for another proof in $d = 2$).

Lemma 7.1.

$$A = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{i : i \text{ a letter}\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w(ij - ji) : w \text{ a word, } i, j \text{ letters}\}. \quad (17)$$

We note the following conjecture, which was shown to hold true in the case $d = 2$ in [DIM2018, Section 6] as well as in [Rei2018, Section 3.2, Theorem 31]. The case $d \geq 3$ is still open.

Conjecture 7.2. *A is linearly generated by strict left-bracketings of the area operation. In particular, a linear basis for A (without the single letters) is given by*

$$\text{area}(\text{area}(\text{area}(i_1, i_2), i_3), \dots, i_n), \quad n \geq 2, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}\}, i_1 < i_2.$$

While trying to find a proof for Conjecture 7.2 for $d \geq 3$, we investigated in detail the operator $\overleftarrow{\text{area}}$ given by the following definition.

Definition 7.3. If $w = l_1 \dots l_n$ is a word, we define $\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(w)$ to be the left-bracketing expression

$$\text{area}(\dots \text{area}(\text{area}(\text{area}(l_1, l_2), l_3), l_4), \dots, l_n).$$

This is expanded linearly to an operation on the tensor algebra with $\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(e) = 0$ and $\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l) = l$ for any letter l .

We came across some interesting properties.

First, we show that there is an expansion formula for $\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(w)$ in terms of permutations of the letters in the word w . To this end, define the right action of a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ on words of length n as

$$w\sigma := w_{\sigma(1)} \dots w_{\sigma(n)}.$$

Note that this is indeed a group action, since

$$(\sigma\tau)(i) = \sigma(\tau(i)),$$

i.e. compatibility with group multiplication in S_n .

Proposition 7.4. *We have*

$$\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l_1 \dots l_n) = l_1 \dots l_n \theta_n,$$

where

$$\theta_n := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_n(\sigma) \sigma$$

and $f_n : S_n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is given as

$$f_n(\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(\sigma)$$

with

$$g_i(\sigma) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(j) < \sigma^{-1}(i) \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } j < i, \\ -1, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, there is only the identity permutation and $f_1(\text{id}) = g_1(\text{id}) = 1$, thus the statement is obviously true. For $n = 2$, we have $S_2 = \{\text{id}, (12)\}$, $f_2(\text{id}) = -f_2((12)) = 1$ and

$$\text{area}(l_1 l_2) = l_1 l_2 - l_2 l_1 = f_2(\text{id})l_1 l_2 + f_2((12))l_2 l_1.$$

Assume the statement holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l_1 \cdots l_{n+1}) &= \text{area}(\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l_1 \cdots l_n), l_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_n(\sigma) l_{\sigma(1)} \cdots l_{\sigma(n)} l_{n+1} - \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_n(\sigma) l_{n+1} \succ (l_{\sigma(1)} \cdots l_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\sigma} \in S_{n+1}: \\ g_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma})=1}} f_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma}) l_{\tilde{\sigma}(1)} \cdots l_{\tilde{\sigma}(n+1)} - \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_n(\sigma) (l_{n+1} \sqcup (l_{\sigma(1)} \cdots l_{\sigma(n-1)})) l_{\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\sigma} \in S_{n+1}: \\ g_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma})=1}} f_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma}) l_{\tilde{\sigma}(1)} \cdots l_{\tilde{\sigma}(n+1)} + \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\sigma} \in S_{n+1}: \\ g_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma})=-1}} f_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma}) l_{\tilde{\sigma}(1)} \cdots l_{\tilde{\sigma}(n+1)} \\ &= \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in S_{n+1}} f_{n+1}(\tilde{\sigma}) l_{\tilde{\sigma}(1)} \cdots l_{\tilde{\sigma}(n)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We furthermore have the following surprising identity.

Proposition 7.5. *For all integers $n > 2$, we have*

$$\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1} l(\mathbf{2} \cdots \mathbf{n})) = \mathbf{1} \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l(\mathbf{2} \cdots \mathbf{n})), \quad (18)$$

where l is the left Lie bracketing. This implies (by freeness of the half-shuffle algebra)

$$\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(v l(w)) = \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(v) \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l(w))$$

for words v, w such that $|w| \geq 2$.

Remark 7.6. *Note that due to the well known fact that strict left Lie bracketings linearly generate the free Lie algebra, more generally formulated, it holds that*

$$\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(vx) = \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(v) \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(x)$$

for any $v \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any Lie polynomial x (with $\langle x, \mathbf{i} \rangle = 0$, for all letters \mathbf{i}).

Remark 7.7. *In particular, we have*

$$\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l_1 \cdots l_n l_{n+1}) - \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l_1 \cdots l_{n+1} l_n) = 2 \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(l_1 \cdots l_{n-1})(l_n l_{n+1} - l_{n+1} l_n)$$

for any letters l_1, \dots, l_{n+1} .

Proof. For the base case, we compute

$$\overleftarrow{\text{area}}(\mathbf{123} - \mathbf{132}) = 2(\mathbf{123} - \mathbf{132}) = \mathbf{1} \overleftarrow{\text{area}}(\mathbf{23} - \mathbf{32}).$$

Assume (18) holds for some integer $n > 2$ and let w be a word of length $n - 1$. Then, for any letter \mathbf{i} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}l(w\mathbf{i})) &= \overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}l(w)\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{1}\mathbf{i}l(w)) = \overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}l(w)\mathbf{i}) - \overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}\mathbf{i})\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) \\
&= \overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}l(w))\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i} \succ \overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}l(w)) - \overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{1}\mathbf{i})\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) \\
&= \mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w))\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i} \succ (\mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w))) - \mathbf{1}\mathbf{i}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{i}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) \\
&= \mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w))\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{i} \succ \overline{\text{area}}(l(w))) - \mathbf{1}\mathbf{i}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) \\
&= \mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)\mathbf{i}) - \mathbf{1}\mathbf{i}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) \\
&= \mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)\mathbf{i}) - \mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(\mathbf{i}l(w)) \\
&= \mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w\mathbf{i})),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\mathbf{i} \succ (\mathbf{1}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w))) = \mathbf{1}\mathbf{i}\overline{\text{area}}(l(w)) + \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{i} \succ \overline{\text{area}}(l(w)))$ due to the combinatorial expansion formula for the half-shuffle. \square

A. Appendix

Lemma A.1. *Let $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} V_n$ be a graded vector space, each V_n finite dimensional, and denote the grading $|\cdot|_V$.*

Consider $\mathbb{R}[V]$, the symmetric algebra over V (see Section 5), with two different gradings, defined on monomials as follows

- $|x^m|_{\text{deg}} := m$ (denote the corresponding projection onto degree 1 by $\text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}}$).
- $|x^m|_{\text{weight}} := m \cdot |x|_V$.

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}[V]$, countable, be such that every $y \in Y$ is homogeneous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\text{weight}}$. Then:

Y generates $\mathbb{R}[V]$ (as commutative algebra)

if and only if

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} Y = V$$

If moreover $\text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} y$, $y \in Y$, are linearly independent, then Y freely generates $\mathbb{R}[V]$ (as commutative algebra).

Proof. We show the first statement.

\Rightarrow : Assume $v \in V \subset \mathbb{R}[V]$ is not in the span of $\text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} Y$. Then it is clearly not in the algebra generated by Y . Hence Y does not generate $\mathbb{R}[V]$. This proves the contrapositive.

\Leftarrow : Denote, local to this proof, by $\langle M \rangle$ the subalgebra generated by $M \subset \mathbb{R}[V]$.

Claim: $\langle V_1 \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$. Indeed, $v \in V_1$ can, by assumption be written as linear combination of some

$$\text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} y_i,$$

where $y_i \in Y$. Since the y_i are homogeneous they must be of weight 1. Hence $\text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} y_i = y_i$, hence $V_1 \subset \langle Y \rangle$, hence $\langle V_1 \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$, which proves the claim.

Now let $\langle V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$. Claim: $V_{n+1} \subset \langle Y \rangle$. Indeed, $v \in V_{n+1}$ can be written as linear combination of some

$$\text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} y_i,$$

where $y_i \in Y$, of weight $n + 1$. Then

$$y_i = \text{proj}_1^{\text{deg}} y_i + r_i,$$

with r_i monomials (of order 2 and higher) in terms from $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$, i.e. $r_i \in \langle V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$. Hence $v \in \langle Y \rangle$.

Hence $\langle V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n \oplus V_{n+1} \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$. Iterating, we see that $\mathbb{R}[V] = \langle V \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$, which proves the first claim. \square

Symbol index

\blacksquare , 4
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, 4
 $\triangleright_{\text{Sym}}$, 10
 γ , 4
 \triangleright , 8
 $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\blacksquare}$, 17

 A , 3
 ad_v and Ad_v , 9
 $\text{ad}_{\blacksquare;x}$, 17
 Area , 2
 area , 2
 $\overleftarrow{\text{area}}()$, 28
 area_{τ} , 11
 $\widetilde{\text{area}}_{\tau}$, 19

 BinaryPlanarTrees , 11
 $\widetilde{\text{BinaryPlanarTrees}}_n$, 18
 lie_{τ} , 11

 D , 5
 \underline{D} , 6
 \underline{D}^{-1} , 5
 $\underline{\underline{D}}^{-1}$, 6

 e , 4
 eval_x , 5

 G , 4
 \mathfrak{g} , 4

 I_w , 9

 P_h , 13
 π_1 and π_1^{\top} , 15
 proj_n and $\text{proj}_{\geq n}$, 4

 R , 6
 r , 5
 ρ , 6
 \underline{r} , 6
 R_n , 10

 S , 6
 S_h , 15
 \sqcup , 4
 shuff , 21

 $T_n((\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $T_{\geq n}((\mathbb{R}^d))$, 4
 $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$, 4
 $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, 4
 \mathcal{W} , 4

 ζ_h , 13

References

- [AGS1989] Agrachev, Andrei A., R. V. Gamkrelidze, and A. V. Sarychev. “Local invariants of smooth control systems.” *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 14.3 (1989): 191-237. [8](#)
- [Bre2018] Bremner, M. “On tortkara triple systems.” *Communications in Algebra* 46.6 (2018): 2396-2404.
- [BD2015] Bailleul, I., and Diehl, J. “The inverse problem for rough controlled differential equations.” *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 53.5 (2015): 2762-2780. [3](#)
- [Car2007] Cartier, P. “A primer of Hopf algebras.” *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry II*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. 537-615. [20](#), [21](#)
- [Che1954] Chen, K., “Iterated integrals and exponential homomorphisms.” *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 4, 502-512, 1954. [1](#)
- [DR2018] Diehl, J., and Reizenstein, J. “Invariants of multidimensional time series based on their iterated-integral signature.” *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 164.1 (2019): 83-122. [14](#), [24](#)
- [Duc1991] Duchamp, G. “Orthogonal projection onto the free Lie algebra.” *Theoretical computer science* 79.1 (1991): 227-239. [23](#)
- [Dzh2007] Dzhumadil’daev, A. S. “Zinbiel algebras under q-commutators.” *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 144.2 (2007): 3909-3925. [27](#)
- [DIM2018] Dzhumadil’daev, A. S., Ismailov, N. A., and Mashurov, F. A., “Embeddable algebras into Zinbiel algebras via the commutator.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.10550* (2018). [27](#), [28](#)
- [EGP2007] Kurusch, E.-F., José M., G.-B., and Patras, F. “A Lie theoretic approach to renormalization.” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 276.2 (2007): 519-549. [6](#)
- [KM2009] Kurusch, E.-F., and Manchon, D. “Dendriform equations.” *Journal of Algebra* 322.11 (2009): 4053-4079. [8](#)
- [FP2013] Foissy, L., and Patras, F. “Natural endomorphisms of shuffle algebras.” *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 23.04 (2013): 989-1009. [APA](#) [5](#)
- [FS2007] Foote, R. L., and Sandifer, E. “Area Without Integration: Make Your Own Planimeter.” *Hands-On History: A Resource for Teaching Mathematics*, MAA Notes Series 72 (2007). [3](#)
- [Gar1990] Garsia, A. M. “Combinatorics of the free Lie algebra and the symmetric group.” *Analysis, et cetera*. 1990. 309-382. [5](#)
- [GK2008] Gehrig, E., and Kawski, M. “A Hopf-algebraic formula for compositions of noncommuting flows.” *Decision and Control, 2008. CDC 2008. 47th IEEE Conference on*. IEEE, 2008. [15](#)
- [Hal2017] Halmos, P. R. “Finite-dimensional vector spaces.” *Courier Dover Publications*, 2017. [22](#)
- [IW1988] Ikeda, N., and Watanabe, S. “Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes.”. 2nd edition. North-Holland, 1988. [26](#)
- [Kaw2009] Kawski, M. “Chronological calculus in systems and control theory.” *Encyclopedia of*

- Complexity and Systems Science. Springer New York, 2009. 1027-1041. [13](#)
- [Lod2001] Loday, J.-L. “Dialgebras.” *Dialgebras and related operads* (2001): 7-66.
- [LMK2013] Lundervold, A., and Munthe-Kaas, H. “Backward error analysis and the substitution law for Lie group integrators.” *Foundations of Computational Mathematics* 13.2 (2013): 161-186. [5](#)
- [LP2006] Lyons, T.J., Phillip, S.Y. “On Gauss-Green theorem and boundaries of a class of Hölder domains.” *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 85(1), 38–53 (2006). [2](#)
- [Lyo2007] Lyons, T. J., Caruana, M., and Lévy, T. “Differential equations driven by rough paths.” Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. [1](#)
- [MP2013] Menous, F., and Patras, F. “Logarithmic derivatives and generalized Dynkin operators.” *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 38.4 (2013): 901-913. [5](#)
- [PR2002] Patras, F., and Reutenauer, C. “On Dynkin and Klyachko idempotents in graded bialgebras.” *Advances in Applied Mathematics* 28.3-4 (2002): 560-579. [5](#)
- [PC2002] Patras, F., and Reutenauer, C. “Lie representations and an algebra containing Solomon’s.” *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 16.3 (2002): 301-314.
- [Rei2018] Reizenstein, J.F. “Iterated-Integral Signatures in Machine Learning.” PhD Thesis. University of Warwick, 2018. [27](#), [28](#)
- [Reu1993] Reutenauer, C. “Free Lie algebras”, Volume 7 of London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. (1993). [5](#), [6](#), [9](#), [13](#), [15](#), [16](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#)
- [Roc2003] Rocha, E. M. “On computation of the logarithm of the Chen-Fliess series for nonlinear systems.” *Nonlinear and Adaptive Control*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003. 317-326. [3](#), [8](#), [12](#), [16](#), [18](#)
- [Roc2003b] Rocha - Thesis - 2003. [10](#)
- [Row1988] Rowen, L H. “Ring theory.” Vol. 1. Academic Press, 1988. [20](#)