Topological Quantum Phase Transitions Retrieved from Manifold Learning

Yanning Che,†, † Clemens Gneiting,† Tao Liu,† and Franco Nori†, ‡, †

1Theoretical Quantum Physics Laboratory, RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan
2Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1040, USA

(Dated: February 7, 2020)

The discovery of topological features of quantum states plays a central role in modern condensed matter physics and various artificial systems. Due to the absence of local order parameters, the detection of topological quantum phase transitions (TQPTs) remains a challenge. Machine learning may provide effective methods for identifying topological features. In this work, we show that manifold learning can successfully retrieve TQPTs in momentum space. Our results show that the Chebyshev distance (CD) between two data points can successfully capture the main features of TQPTs, while the widely used Euclidean distance in general fails. The similarity matrix built upon the CD thus naturally exhibits uncorrelated cluster structures, corresponding to distinct sectors in the topological phase diagram. Then a diffusion map is applied to implement dimensionality reduction and to learn about TQPTs in an unsupervised manner. Our demonstrations on the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model, the 2D Qi-Wu-Zhang (QWZ) model, and the quenched SSH model show the capability of generic unsupervised learning, when equipped with a suitable distance metric, in exploring TQPTs.

Introduction.—Topological phases of matter have attracted tremendous attention in the past decade [1–5]. Conceptually, topological quantum phase transitions (TQPTs) go beyond the conventional Landau paradigm, which needs local order parameters to distinguish different phases. Instead, topological quantum phases are usually characterized by topological quantum numbers, which reflect global properties of the state manifold defined on a compact Brillouin zone (BZ). Quantum states belonging to the same topological sector can be continuously deformed to each other without closing the bulk energy gap, and are thus called homotopic. When a TQPT occurs, according to the bulk-boundary correspondence, the band gap closes at the critical point. Therefore, a TQPT is usually accompanied by a discontinuous change of the state configuration, such as the sign change of the mass term in the Hamiltonian, or band inversions in topological insulators [1, 2].

Due to the absence of local order parameters, the detection of TQPTs remains a challenge. For a given Hamiltonian in momentum space featuring a set of parameters, it is usually not obvious whether it exhibits TQPTs when sweeping the parameters. Recently, machine learning methods have been successfully used to detect phase transitions in topological systems [6–13] and many-body physics [14–25]. In particular, deep learning has been employed [7] to train a neural network (NN) to recognize different winding numbers of one-dimensional spin systems in a supervised manner. However, prior knowledge and labeled training examples are not always easily accessible in practice. In that respect, the unsupervised learning, without pre-training, provides a more promising learning framework to discover topological patterns. For instance, NNs with autoencoders [26] and predictive models [13] were used to learn topological features without explicit supervisions. Compared with deep learning, manifold learning methods, such as Isomap [27] and diffusion maps [28, 29], usually require lower computational costs. The unsupervised learning based on diffusion maps has been successfully applied for the identification of topological clusters [12]. The application of this method leaves the freedom to choose the distance metric in the original feature space. The Euclidean distance (ED), which has been used in most cases, appears as a natural choice.

In this Letter, we argue that, in the context of identifying TQPTs in momentum space, the ED may be suboptimal, while the Chebyshev distance (CD) prevails in many relevant cases. This is because, as mentioned above, the Hamiltonian or ground state of a quantum system defined in momentum space undergoes rapid changes at specific points in the BZ when the system goes across the topological critical point. The CD highlights these features of TQPTs and is thus a more suitable approximation of the homotopic distance than the ED. Motivated by manifold learning methods, we explore non-Euclidean structures of topological data vectors that are uniformly sampled from the Hamiltonian parameter space. With the CD as a distance metric in the feature space, TQPTs of the two-dimensional (2D) Qi-Wu-Zhang (QWZ) model [30], the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model, and the (1 + 1)-dimensional quenched SSH model [31] are uncovered, respectively. Our results highlight the capability and promising performance of unsupervised machine learning of TQPTs without prior analysis of the Hamiltonian.

Manifold learning and distance metric.—Manifold learning is used when linear unsupervised learning models, like principle components analysis (PCA), fail to uncover nonlinear structures in data sets [27, 32]. A key characteristic of manifold learning methods such as Isomap [27] is that the ED is not suitable to reflect the intrinsic connectivity and similarity between data points.
Adapted distance metrics, such as the manifold geodesic distance, should then be used to characterize the similarities.

When it comes to the unsupervised learning of TQPTs for states in momentum space, one comes across a similar problem: the ED in general cannot successfully retrieve topological clusters in the data set. A homotopic distance metric (see e.g., Ref. [33]) is instead needed to adequately capture the topological structure of the data set. While the generic numerical evaluation of the homotopic distance between two input vectors is difficult at the current stage, some approximative metrics may be used. Motivated by the observation that topological transitions usually are accompanied by sign changes or band inversions, here we investigate the use of the $L^\infty$-norm induced CD to (approximately) measure topological similarities. Across topological transitions, quantum states defined over the compact BZ take sharp changes at certain symmetric points in the BZ [34], while state vectors belonging to the same topological phase vary smoothly. The CD highlights these features of TQPTs, facilitating the learning of TQPTs.

To see this more clearly, we analyze the performance of the distance metric in clustering with the similarity matrix

$$K_{ij}^p = \exp \left(-\frac{\|x_i - x_j\|_p^2}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where $\epsilon$ is the resolution parameter of the kernel, $x_i$ is the $i$th input data vector and $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1$, with $M$ the size of the data set. The $L^p$ norm of vector $z$ is given by $\|z\|_p = \left(\sum_k |z_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$, where $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$ give the ED and the CD, respectively [35]. An equivalent but more useful expression for the $L^\infty$ norm is $\|z\|_\infty = \max_k |z_k|$. For comparison, we show the similarity matrix $K^p$ built upon the CD in Fig. 1(a) and upon the ED in Fig. 1(b) for the 2D QWZ model [30] [see Eq. (2)]. The data points are uniformly sampled in an ordered way such that one can see four equally partitioned clusters. The resolution parameters are optimized with respect to the ideal similarity matrix (see the caption of Fig. 1 for details). Compared to the ED displayed in Fig. 1(b), the similarity matrix from the CD in Fig. 1(a) clearly shows four nearly ideal clusters with good (poor) intra- (inter-) cluster connectivity, which correspond to four distinct sectors in the topological phase diagram in Fig. 2(a). The ED leads to connected clusters, and we find that, even for smaller values of $\epsilon$, the first two sectors with the ED are connected and thus cannot be correctly clustered by the diffusion-map algorithm.

**Diffusion map and dimensionality reduction.**—With the CD as a topologically viable distance measure, we are now ready to seek an appropriate approach for the dimensionality reduction, to learn the topological clusters. Among many manifold learning methods [27, 32, 36–38], diffusion maps [12, 28, 29, 39–41] can successfully discover the connected components in a data manifold if a viable distance measure is used, visualized by the similarity matrix in Fig. 1. In the framework of diffusion maps, a Markovian random walk is launched within the data set, where the transition probability between two data points is given by the normalized similarity matrix, $P_{ij} = K_{ij}^p / \sum_j K_{ij}^p$. After $t$ steps of random walk, the connectivity between two points $x_i$ and $x_j$ characterized by the diffusion distance, $D_t^2 (x_i, x_j) = \sum_k [(P^t)_{ik} - (P^0)_{ik}]^{\gamma/2}/\phi_0 (x_k)$, where $\phi_0 (x_k)$ is the first left eigenvector of $P$ [39]. The map to the Euclidean space $Y$, which best preserves the connectivity of the data set, is determined by minimizing the cost function [36]

$$C = \sum_{ij} [D_t (x_i, x_j) - d_Y (y_i, y_j)]^2,$$

where $d_Y (y_i, y_j)$ is the $Y$-space Euclidean distance between the images $y_i$ and $y_j$ of two data points. The solution of minimizing the above cost function is given by [39] $y_i = [\lambda_0 \psi_0 (x_i), \lambda_1 \psi_1 (x_i), \ldots, \lambda_M \psi_M (x_i)]$, where $\lambda_k$ and $\psi_k (x_i)$ are the $k$th eigenvalue and the right eigenvector’s $i$th component of the $P^t$ matrix, respectively [42]. Statistics and clustering methods such as $k$-means will be used in the $Y$ space to identify the samples in each cluster, and the critical lines are decided automatically by the corresponding cluster boundaries in the parameter space.

**Learning TQPTs in 2D.**—First we consider the QWZ model in 2D [30]. The Hamiltonian in momentum space is $H (k) = d_0 (k) + d (k) \cdot \sigma$, with $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ the vector of Pauli matrices and $d (k)$ a three-dimensional
vector with components

\[ d_x = \sin(k_x), \quad d_y = \sin(k_y), \]

\[ d_z = \mu - 2b[2 - \cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y)] \quad (2) \]

where \( \mu \) is the chemical potential and \( b \) is the hopping energy, and we have taken a unit lattice constant. The 2D BZ is given by \([-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]\). We can further assume \( d_0(k) = 0 \), because it trivially contributes to the topology. The normalized unit vector \( \hat{d}(k) = d(k)/|d(k)| \) defines a mapping from the compact 2D BZ (i.e., \( \mathbb{T}^2 \)) to the unit sphere \( S^2 \). The topological Chern number \( C_{2D} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}_y d\mathbf{d} \cdot \left( \partial_{k_x} \hat{d} \times \partial_{k_y} \hat{d} \right) \) measures how many times the mapping wraps over the unit sphere.

Shown in Fig. 2(a) are the topological critical lines automatically learned in an unsupervised manner by scanning through the hopping energy \( b \). For fixed \( b \), the data set consists of \( M \) uniformly sampled \( \hat{d} \) vectors from \( \mu = -5b \) to \( \mu = 15b \), and \( \hat{d}(k) \) is vectorized to a high-dimensional feature vector in \( \mathbb{R}^{3(N+1)^2} \), where we have discretized the BZ into \( N \times N \) patches. The learned black triangles, red dots and blue stars precisely trace three critical lines that divide the parameter \( (\mu, b) \) space into four topological sectors. It should noted that further topological information may be retrieved from Fig. 1(a), where the first and fourth, the second and third clusters are quasi-symmetric, respectively; indicating that the corresponding two sectors in the phase diagram may have related topology. This can be verified by calculating the Chern numbers within each phase, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The number of degenerate eigenvalues with \( \lambda^t \approx 1 \) in Fig. 2(b) determines the number of distinct topological sectors. Fig. 2(c) shows the data set embedded in the diffusion space. The size of each cluster is sufficiently small such that points belonging to the same sector almost collapse. Compared to supervised learning, here the learning is automatically achieved without prior training.
Learning the SSH model.—The SSH model describes electrons in an one-dimensional lattice [43, 44], with \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) the staggered hopping energies. The Hamiltonian of the SSH model in momentum space reads
\[
H(k) = \left[ t_1 + t_2 \cos(k) \right] \sigma_x + t_2 \sin(k) \sigma_y.
\]
In the Bloch vector formulation as in the 2D case, we have \( d_x(k) = [t_1 + t_2 \cos(k)] \), \( d_y(k) = t_2 \sin(k) \) and \( d_z = 0 \). Note that the absence of the third component is related to the chiral symmetry of the SSH Hamiltonian. The unit vector \( \mathbf{d}(k) = \mathbf{d}(k) / |\mathbf{d}(k)| \) defines a map \( \mathbf{d}(k) : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \), where the topological winding number is [44]
\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} dk \ q^{-1}(k) \partial_k q(k), \quad \text{with } q(k) = d_x(k) - i d_y(k).
\]
The input data is given by the reshaped \( \mathbf{d}(k) \) (\( k \in \text{BZ} \)) vector in high-dimensional feature space \( \mathbb{R}^{2(N+1)} \), after slicing the BZ into \( N \) patches. For fixed \( t_1 \), the data set is obtained from uniformly sampled feature vectors from \( t_2 = 0 \) to \( t_2 = 5t_1 \). Shown in Fig. 3(a) is the learned phase diagram indicating that there are only two topologically distinct sectors (also indicated in Fig. 3(b) by the degeneracy of \( \lambda^t \) with a large \( t \)). The topological winding numbers are calculated according to the above winding-number formula. Figs. 3(c)-(d) show the distribution of data points in the low-dimensional diffusion space. The critical line in Fig. 3(a) is decided by fixing \( t_1 \) and identifying the \( t_2 \) parameters in each topological cluster in Fig. 3(c). The obtained TQPT coincides with the theoretical prediction [44].

Learning topological phases of the quenched SSH model.—As a final demonstration, we provide the unsupervised learning of the dynamically quenched SSH model [31]. Here the hopping energies \((t_1, t_2) = (J_0, 0)\) in the SSH model experience a sudden change during the quench, which leads to the pre- and post-quenched Bloch vectors \( \mathbf{d}(k) = (J_0, 0, 0) \) and \( \mathbf{d}'(k) = [J_1 + J_0 \cos(k), J_0 \sin(k), 0] \), respectively. With the K-theory classification of quench dynamics, the parent Bloch Hamiltonian reads
\[
H(t, k) = \mathbf{d}(t, k) \cdot \mathbf{\sigma},
\]
where the components of \( \mathbf{d}(t, k) \) are given by [31]
\[
d_x(t, k) = -J_0 + 2J_0 [J_0 \sin(k) \chi(t, k)]^2, \quad d_y(t, k) = -2J_0^2 [J_1 + J_0 \cos(k)] \sin(k) \chi(t, k)^2, \quad \text{and} \quad d_z(t, k) = -J_0 \sin(k) \sin[2d'(k) t]/d'(k),
\]
respectively, where \( d'(k) \) is the norm of \( \mathbf{d}'(k), \chi(t, k) = \sin[d'(k) t]/d'(k) \) and \( (t, k) \in [0, \pi/d'(k)] \times [0, \pi] \). The same learning protocol as above can be used to learn the phase transition in \((J_0, J_1)\) space, and shown in Fig. 4(a) is the retrieved critical line (blue stars), which fits the theoretical result (dashed blue line) well. The dynamical topological numbers \( C \) in each sector are calculated with the method provided in Ref. [31]. Figure 4(b) shows that there are two topological sectors in the phase diagram (we have taken \( t = 100 \)). Figure 4(c) displays the diffusion-space distribution of uniformly sampled \( M = 1000 \) data points from \( J_1 = 0 \) to \( J_1 = 2J_0 \) with \( J_0 = 1 \). The color code indicates the value of \( J_1/J_0 \). The k-means clustering method is used in this low-dimensional Euclidean space to learn the critical line automatically.

Discussion.—While the ED may, in simple cases and for fine-tuned choices of \( \varepsilon \), deliver correct clustering, the CD is much more consistent and generic in its performance. This is because it naturally exploits the characteristic features of TQPTs. Moreover, it is inspired by manifold learning, where the Euclidean similarity measure may fail in general. The \( L^\infty \)-norm distance metric approximately captures the features of TQPTs for states in momentum space. Based on the mathematical fact that the Fourier transform of a \( L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) space is the \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) space, the corresponding dual distance metric in real space could be given by the \( L^1 \)-norm. Future di-
rections include considering quantum speedups for these methods [24, 45–49], and applications in other topological quantum systems [50–57].

Summary.— In summary, we have proposed to use the $L^\infty$-norm as an approximative topological distance measure in momentum space, and to use manifold learning to retrieve TQPTs. The Chebyshev distance in general captures the relevant similarities and differences between topological states defined on the compact BZ. In the examples considered, the critical lines in the phase diagrams were precisely identified without pre-training. Our work thus paves the way toward automatic detections of topological phase diagrams without prior analysis of the Hamiltonian.

Note added.—After this work was completed, two related preprints [58, 59] appeared, studying unsupervised clustering of topological states using different distance metrics and with different emphases compared to our work.
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