

**HOMOGENIZATION OF PERIODIC PARABOLIC SYSTEMS
IN THE $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -NORM
WITH THE CORRECTOR TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT**

YU. M. MESHKOVA

ABSTRACT. In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, consider a self-adjoint matrix second order elliptic differential operator \mathcal{B}_ε , $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. The principal part of the operator is given in a factorised form, the operator contains first and zero order terms. The operator \mathcal{B}_ε is positive definite, its coefficients are periodic and depend on \mathbf{x}/ε . We study the behaviour in the small period limit of the operator exponential $e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon t}$, $t \geq 0$. The approximation in the $(L_2 \rightarrow L_2)$ -operator norm with error estimate of order $O(\varepsilon^2)$ is obtained. The corrector is taken into account in this approximation. The results are applied to homogenization of the solutions for the Cauchy problem for parabolic systems.

INTRODUCTION

The research is devoted to homogenization of periodic differential operators (DO's). A broad literature is devoted to homogenization problems; see, for example, the books [BaPa, BeLP, ZhKO, Sa]. We rely on the spectral approach to homogenization problems based on the Floquet-Bloch theory and the analytic perturbation theory. This approach was developed in the series of papers [BSu1, BSu2, BSu3, BSu4, BSu5] by M. Sh. Birman and T. A. Suslina. A great deal of considerations is carried out in abstract operator-theoretic terms.

0.1. Problem setting. We study the behavior in the small period limit of the solution for the parabolic system

$$\begin{cases} G(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)\partial_s \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s) = -\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, s > 0; \\ G(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

Here $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, \mathcal{B}_ε is a matrix elliptic second order DO acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$. The measurable $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued function $G(\mathbf{x})$ is assumed to be bounded, uniformly positive definite, and periodic with respect to some lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The coefficients of the operator \mathcal{B}_ε are Γ -periodic functions depending on \mathbf{x}/ε . For any measurable Γ -periodic function $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we use the notation $\varphi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$.

The principal part \mathcal{A}_ε of the operator \mathcal{B}_ε is given in a factorized form

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}), \quad (0.2)$$

where $b(\mathbf{D})$ is a matrix homogeneous first order DO, $g(\mathbf{x})$ is Γ -periodic bounded and positive definite matrix-valued function in \mathbb{R}^d . (The precise assumptions on the coefficients of the operator \mathcal{B}_ε are given below in §4.) The operator \mathcal{B}_ε contains first and zero order terms

$$\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u} + \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) D_j \mathbf{u} + D_j (a_j^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^* \mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u} + \lambda \mathbf{u}. \quad (0.3)$$

Here $a_j(\mathbf{x})$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, are Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued functions belonging to a suitable L_q -space on the cell Ω of the lattice Γ . In general, the potential $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ is a distribution generated by a rapidly oscillating measure with values in the Hermitian matrices. (Since the coefficients are not assumed to be bounded, the precise definition of the operator (0.3) is given via the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B27; Secondary 35K45.

Key words and phrases. Periodic differential operators, parabolic systems, homogenization, operator error estimates.

The research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, grant no. 14-21-00035.

quadratic form.) The constant λ is chosen such that the operator \mathcal{B}_ε is positive definite. The coefficients of the operator \mathcal{B}_ε oscillate rapidly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The principal term of approximation for the solution of the problem (0.1) for small ε was obtained in [M]:

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_1 \varepsilon (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-C_2 s} \|\phi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad s \geq 0. \quad (0.4)$$

Here \mathbf{u}_0 is the solution of the ‘‘homogenized’’ problem

$$\begin{cases} \overline{G} \partial_s \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s) = -\mathcal{B}^0 \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad s > 0; \\ \overline{G} \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{B}^0 is the *effective operator* with constant coefficients, \overline{G} is the mean value of the matrix-valued function G over the cell of periodicity: $\overline{G} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega G(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$. In the case when $G(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}_n$, estimate (0.4) admits an obvious formulation in operator terms

$$\|e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} - e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_1 \varepsilon (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-C_2 s}.$$

Thus, results of such type are called *operator error estimates* in homogenization theory. (In the general case, we deal with the ‘‘bordered’’ exponential $f^\varepsilon e^{-(f^\varepsilon)^* \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon f^\varepsilon} (f^\varepsilon)^*$, where $G^{-1} = f f^*$ and the matrix-valued function f is assumed to be Γ -periodic.)

Our aim is to refine approximation (0.4) by taking the *corrector* into account. In other words, for a fixed time $s > 0$, to approximate the solution $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s)$ of problem (0.1) in the $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ -norm with the error estimate of the order $O(\varepsilon^2)$.

0.2. Main results. In Introduction, we discuss only the case when $G(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}_n$. *Our main result* is the estimate

$$\|e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} - e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} - \varepsilon \mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_3 \varepsilon^2 (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} e^{-C_2 s}, \quad s > 0. \quad (0.5)$$

Here $\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s)$ is the corrector. It turns out that it is equal to sum of three terms and the two terms of the corrector are mutually conjugate and contain rapidly oscillating factors. The third summand has constant coefficients.

0.3. Survey on operator error estimates. An interest to operator error estimates was sparked by the paper [BSu1] of M. Sh. Birman and T. A. Suslina, where for the resolvent of the operator (0.2) it was shown that

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon + I)^{-1} - (\mathcal{A}^0 + I)^{-1}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (0.6)$$

Here $\mathcal{A}^0 = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D})$ is the effective operator, g^0 is the constant effective matrix. Approximation for the operator $(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon + I)^{-1}$ with the corrector taken into account was obtained in [BSu4]:

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon + I)^{-1} - (\mathcal{A}^0 + I)^{-1} - \varepsilon K(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon^2. \quad (0.7)$$

Approximation for the resolvent $(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon + I)^{-1}$ in the energy norm was achieved in [BSu5]:

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon + I)^{-1} - (\mathcal{A}^0 + I)^{-1} - \varepsilon K_1(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (0.8)$$

Here $K_1(\varepsilon)$ corresponds to the traditional corrector in homogenization theory. This operator involves rapidly oscillating factors, so $\varepsilon \|K_1(\varepsilon)\|_{L_2 \rightarrow H^1} = O(1)$. Note that the corrector in (0.7) has the form $K(\varepsilon) = K_1(\varepsilon) + K_1(\varepsilon)^* + K_3$, moreover K_3 does not depend on ε . Later, estimates (0.6)–(0.8) were generalized by T. A. Suslina [Su4, Su7] to a wider class of operators (0.3).

The spectral approach was applied to parabolic systems in the papers [Su1, Su2] by T. A. Suslina, where the principal term of approximation for the operator $e^{-\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon s}$ was obtained, in the paper [V] by E. S. Vasilevskaya, where approximation in the $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ -operator norm with the corrector taken into account was achieved, and also in [Su3], where the approximation for the operator exponential in the energy norm was obtained:

$$\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon s} - e^{-\mathcal{A}^0 s}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2}, \quad s \geq 0; \quad (0.9)$$

$$\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon s} - e^{-\mathcal{A}^0 s} - \varepsilon \mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon^2 (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1}, \quad s \geq 0; \quad (0.10)$$

$$\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon s} - e^{-\mathcal{A}^0 s} - \varepsilon \mathcal{K}_1(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon (s^{-1/2} + s^{-1}), \quad s \geq \varepsilon^2. \quad (0.11)$$

There are no exponentially decaying factors in these estimates because the point zero is the lower edge of the spectra for the operators \mathcal{A}_ε and \mathcal{A}^0 . Generalization of estimates (0.9) and (0.11) to the operator (0.3) was achieved in the paper [M] by the author. Generalization of estimate (0.10) is the main result of the present paper.

Another approach to proving operator error estimates in homogenization theory was suggested by V. V. Zhikov [Zh] and developed by him together with S. E. Pastukhova [ZhPas1, ZhPas2]. The authors of the method called it the “*shift method*” or the “*modified method of the first approximation*.” The method is based on introduction of an additional parameter, specifically, a shift by a vector from \mathbb{R}^d , on careful analysis of the first order approximation to the solution, and subsequent integration over the shift parameter. An important role is played by the Steklov smoothing. In papers [Zh, ZhPas1], analogs of estimates (0.6) and (0.8) were proved for the operators of acoustics and elasticity theory. In [ZhPas2], the shift method was applied to parabolic problems and certain analogues of inequalities (0.9) and (0.11) were obtained. A summary of further results of V. V. Zhikov, S. E. Pastukhova, and their students can be found in the review [ZhPas3].

So far, we discussed operator error estimates for the operators acting in the whole space \mathbb{R}^d . For completeness, note that it is more traditional for homogenization theory to study operators acting in a bounded domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Operator error estimates for such problems were studied by many authors. We highlight, in particular, the works [MoVo, Gr1, Gr2, ZhPas1, KeLiS, Su6, Xu, GeS]. (A more detailed survey of results on operator error estimates can be found in introduction to the paper [MSu].)

0.4. The method of investigation. Consider the case $G = \mathbf{1}_n$. By using the scaling transformation, we reduce the proof of estimate (0.5) to obtaining a similar estimate for the operator exponential $e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{-2}s}$, where $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$ is the operator acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and given by the differential expression

$$\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon) = b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j(\mathbf{x}) D_j + D_j a_j(\mathbf{x})^*) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 \lambda I.$$

Thus, from the study of the exponential of the operator with rapidly oscillating coefficients, we switch to study of the large time behavior for the exponential of the operator with coefficients depending on \mathbf{x} (not on \mathbf{x}/ε).

In accordance with the Floquet-Bloch theory, the operator $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$ decomposes into the direct integral of operators $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$, acting in $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and depending on the parameter $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ called the quasi-momentum. The operator $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ is formally given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = & b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j(\mathbf{x})(D_j + k_j) + (D_j + k_j)a_j(\mathbf{x})^*) \\ & + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon^2 \lambda I \end{aligned}$$

with periodic boundary conditions. The spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ is discrete. In accordance with [Su4, Su7], we distinguish the one-dimensional parameter $\tau = (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}$ and study the family $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ by using the methods of analytic perturbation theory with respect to τ .

0.5. The structure of the paper. The paper consists of Introduction and three chapters. In Chapter 1 (§§1–3), the abstract operator-theoretic scheme is expounded. In Chapter 2 (§§4–9), periodic differential operators are studied. The approximation for the “bordered” operator exponential is obtained (see §9). Chapter 3 (§§10–12) is devoted to homogenization for solutions of the Cauchy problem for parabolic systems. In §10, by using the scaling transformation, from results of §9 we derive the main result of the paper, that is, estimate (0.5). In §11, results in operator terms are applied to homogenization of solutions for parabolic systems. In §12, we consider the scalar elliptic operator as an example.

0.6. Notation. Let \mathfrak{H} , \mathfrak{H}_* be complex separable Hilbert spaces. The symbols $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ denote the scalar product and the norm in \mathfrak{H} ; the symbol $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*}$ denotes the norm of a linear continuous operator from \mathfrak{H} to \mathfrak{H}_* .

By $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and $|\cdot|$ we denote the scalar product and the norm in \mathbb{C}^n , respectively, by $\mathbf{1}_n$ we denote the unit $(n \times n)$ -matrix. If a is an $(m \times n)$ -matrix, then the symbol $|a|$ denotes the norm of the matrix a as an operator from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^m . We use the notation $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $iD_j = \partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, $\mathbf{D} = -i\nabla = (D_1, \dots, D_d)$. The class L_p of vector-valued functions in a domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with values in \mathbb{C}^n is denoted by $L_p(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{C}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The Sobolev class of \mathbb{C}^n -valued functions in a domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by $H^s(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{C}^n)$. For $n = 1$, we simply write $L_p(\mathcal{O})$, $H^s(\mathcal{O})$, and so on, but (if this does not lead to confusion) we use such short notation also for the spaces of vector-valued or matrix-valued functions. The symbol $L_p((0, T); \mathfrak{H})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, means the L_p -space of \mathfrak{H} -valued functions on the interval $(0, T)$.

Various constants in estimates are denoted by $c, C, \mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{C}$ (possibly, with indices and marks).

0.7. The author is grateful to T. A. Suslina for the problem setting and carefully reading of the manuscript and to the administration of the Chebychev Laboratory for stimulating of completion of the present research.

CHAPTER 1. ABSTRACT SCHEME

1. PRELIMINARIES

The content of Sections 1.1–1.9 is borrowed from [Su4, Su5].

1.1. Operators $X(t)$ and $A(t)$. Let \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}_* be complex separable Hilbert spaces. Let $X_0: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ be a densely defined closed operator, and let the operator $X_1: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$ be bounded. On the domain $\text{Dom } X(t) = \text{Dom } X_0$, we define the operator

$$X(t) := X_0 + tX_1: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

In \mathfrak{H} , consider a family of self-adjoint non-negative operators

$$A(t) := X(t)^*X(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

corresponding to closed quadratic forms

$$\|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0,$$

in \mathfrak{H} . Denote $A(0) = X_0^*X_0 =: A_0$. Set

$$\mathfrak{N} := \text{Ker } A_0 = \text{Ker } X_0, \quad \mathfrak{N}_* := \text{Ker } X_0^*.$$

Let P be the orthogonal projection of the space \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{N} , and let P_* be the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{H}_* onto \mathfrak{N}_* .

We impose the following condition.

Condition 1.1. *The point $\lambda_0 = 0$ is isolated in the spectrum of the operator A_0 , and*

$$0 < n := \dim \mathfrak{N} < \infty, \quad n \leq n_* := \dim \mathfrak{N}_* \leq \infty.$$

We denote by d^0 the distance between the point zero and the rest of the spectrum of the operator A_0 .

1.2. The operators $Y(t)$ and Y_2 . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be yet another separable Hilbert space. Let $Y_0: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be a densely defined linear operator such that $\text{Dom } X_0 \subset \text{Dom } Y_0$, and let $Y_1: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be a bounded linear operator. Denote $Y(t) := Y_0 + tY_1$, $\text{Dom } Y(t) = \text{Dom } Y_0$. The following condition is assumed to be satisfied.

Condition 1.2. *There exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\|Y(t)u\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq c_1 \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.3)$$

From estimate (1.3) with $t = 0$ it follows that $\text{Ker } X_0 \subset \text{Ker } Y_0$, i. e., $Y_0P = 0$.

Let $Y_2: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be a densely defined linear operator, and $\text{Dom } X_0 \subset \text{Dom } Y_2$. The following condition is assumed to be satisfied.

Condition 1.3. For any $\nu > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\nu) > 0$ such that

$$\|Y_2 u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq \nu \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 + C(\nu) \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1.3. The form \mathfrak{q} . In the space \mathfrak{H} , let $\mathfrak{q}[u, v]$ be a densely defined Hermitian sesquilinear form, and let $\text{Dom } X_0 \subset \text{Dom } \mathfrak{q}$. We impose the following condition.

Condition 1.4. 1°. There exist constants $c_2 \geq 0$ and $c_3 \geq 0$ such that

$$|\mathfrak{q}[u, v]| \leq (c_2 \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 + c_3 \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2)^{1/2} (c_2 \|X(t)v\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 + c_3 \|v\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2)^{1/2}, \\ u, v \in \text{Dom } X_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2°. There exist constants $0 < \kappa \leq 1$ and $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{q}[u, u] \geq -(1 - \kappa) \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 - c_0 \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1.4. The operator $B(t, \varepsilon)$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. In \mathfrak{H} , we consider the Hermitian sesquilinear form

$$\mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)[u, v] = (X(t)u, X(t)v)_{\mathfrak{H}_*} + \varepsilon \left((Y(t)u, Y_2 v)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} + (Y_2 u, Y(t)v)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \right) \\ + \varepsilon^2 \mathfrak{q}[u, v], \quad u, v \in \text{Dom } X_0. \quad (1.4)$$

By using Conditions 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4, it is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)[u, u] \leq (2 + c_1^2 + c_2) \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 + (C(1) + c_3) \varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0, \\ \mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)[u, u] \geq \frac{\kappa}{2} \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 - (c_0 + c_4) \varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0. \quad (1.5)$$

Here

$$c_4 = 4\kappa^{-1} c_1^2 C(\nu) \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = \kappa^2 (16c_1^2)^{-1}. \quad (1.6)$$

A detailed proof of these inequalities can be found in [Su4, Subsection 1.4]. Thus, the form $\mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)$ is closed and lower semi-bounded.

The self-adjoint operator acting in the space \mathfrak{H} and corresponding to the form (1.4) is denoted by $\mathfrak{B}(t, \varepsilon)$. Formally,

$$\mathfrak{B}(t, \varepsilon) = A(t) + \varepsilon(Y_2^* Y(t) + Y(t)^* Y_2) + \varepsilon^2 Q.$$

Here Q is the formal object that corresponds to the form \mathfrak{q} .

Let $Q_0: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ be a bounded positive definite operator. We add the term λQ_0 to Q in such a way that the operator

$$B(t, \varepsilon) := \mathfrak{B}(t, \varepsilon) + \lambda \varepsilon^2 Q_0 = A(t) + \varepsilon(Y_2^* Y(t) + Y(t)^* Y_2) + \varepsilon^2 (Q + \lambda Q_0) \quad (1.7)$$

corresponding to the form

$$\mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)[u, v] := \mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)[u, v] + \lambda \varepsilon^2 (Q_0 u, v)_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad u, v \in \text{Dom } X_0, \quad (1.8)$$

is positive definite. To guarantee this, we impose the following restriction on λ

$$\lambda > \|Q_0^{-1}\| (c_0 + c_4), \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 0, \\ \lambda > \|Q_0\|^{-1} (c_0 + c_4), \quad \text{if } \lambda < 0 \quad (\text{and } c_0 + c_4 < 0). \quad (1.9)$$

Condition (1.9) leads to the inequality

$$\lambda (Q_0 u, u)_{\mathfrak{H}} \geq (c_0 + c_4 + \beta) \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\beta > 0$ is defined in terms of λ by the rule

$$\beta = \lambda \|Q_0^{-1}\|^{-1} - c_0 - c_4, \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 0, \\ \beta = \lambda \|Q_0\| - c_0 - c_4, \quad \text{if } \lambda < 0 \quad (\text{and } c_0 + c_4 < 0). \quad (1.11)$$

From (1.5) and (1.10) it follows that a lower semi-bound for the form (1.8) looks like this

$$\mathfrak{b}(t, \varepsilon)[u, u] \geq \frac{\kappa}{2} \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2 + \beta \varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom } X_0. \quad (1.12)$$

Thus, $B(t, \varepsilon) > 0$. The operator $B(t, \varepsilon)$ is the main object of investigation in Chapter 1.

1.5. Passage to the parameters τ, ϑ . The operator family $B(t, \varepsilon)$ is an analytic with respect to the parameters t and ε . If $t = \varepsilon = 0$, then the operator $B(0, 0)$ coincides with A_0 and, in accordance with Condition 1.1, has an isolated eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 0$ of multiplicity n . It seems natural to apply the analytic perturbation theory. But, for $n > 1$, we deal with a multiple eigenvalue and a two-dimensional parameter. Analytic perturbation theory is not directly applicable. Thus we distinct a one-dimensional parameter $\tau = (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}$ and trace the dependence on the additional parameters $\vartheta_1 = t\tau^{-1}$ and $\vartheta_2 = \varepsilon\tau^{-1}$. Note that the vector $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)$ belongs to the unit circle. In that follows, the operator $B(t, \varepsilon)$ is denoted by $B(\tau; \vartheta)$ and the corresponding form $b(t, \varepsilon)$ is denoted by $b(\tau; \vartheta)$. According to (1.4) and (1.8), this form can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} b(\tau; \vartheta)[u, v] &= (X_0u, X_0v)_{\mathfrak{H}_*} + \tau\vartheta_1 ((X_0u, X_1v)_{\mathfrak{H}_*} + (X_1u, X_0v)_{\mathfrak{H}_*}) \\ &\quad + \tau^2\vartheta_1^2(X_1u, X_1v)_{\mathfrak{H}_*} + \tau\vartheta_2 \left((Y_0u, Y_2v)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} + (Y_2u, Y_0v)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^2\vartheta_1\vartheta_2 \left((Y_1u, Y_2v)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} + (Y_2u, Y_1v)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^2\vartheta_2^2(\mathfrak{q}[u, v] + \lambda(Q_0u, v)_{\mathfrak{H}}), \quad u, v \in \text{Dom } X_0. \end{aligned}$$

Formally,

$$\begin{aligned} B(\tau; \vartheta) &= X_0^*X_0 + \tau\vartheta_1(X_0^*X_1 + X_1^*X_0) + \tau^2\vartheta_1^2X_1^*X_1 + \tau\vartheta_2(Y_2^*Y_0 + Y_0^*Y_2) \\ &\quad + \tau^2\vartheta_1\vartheta_2(Y_2^*Y_1 + Y_1^*Y_2) + \tau^2\vartheta_2^2(Q + \lambda Q_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F(\tau; \vartheta; \mu)$ be the spectral projector of the operator $B(\tau; \vartheta)$ corresponding to the interval $[0, \mu]$. Fix a constant $\delta \in (0, \kappa d^0/13)$ and choose a number $\tau_0 > 0$ such that

$$\tau_0 \leq \delta^{1/2} \left((2 + c_1^2 + c_2) \|X_1\|^2 + C(1) + c_3 + |\lambda| \|Q_0\| \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (1.13)$$

As was shown in [Su4, Proposition 1.5], for $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$, we have

$$F(\tau; \vartheta; \delta) = F(\tau; \vartheta; 3\delta), \quad \text{rank } F(\tau; \vartheta; \delta) = n, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0.$$

In that follows we often write $F(\tau; \vartheta)$ instead of $F(\tau; \vartheta; \delta)$.

In Chapter 1, we trace the dependence of constants in estimates on the following ‘‘data’’:

$$\kappa^{1/2}, \kappa^{-1/2}, \delta, \delta^{-1/2}, \tau_0, c_1, c_2^{1/2}, c_3^{1/2}, C(1)^{1/2}, |\lambda|, \|X_1\|, \|Y_1\|, \|Q_0\| \quad (1.14)$$

(and also on the constant \check{c}_*^{-1} introduced in Subsection 2.1 below). It is important for application of the results of the present chapter to differential operators that the constants in estimates (possibly after completion) depend polynomially on these quantities, and the coefficients of polynomials are positive numbers.

1.6. The operators Z and \tilde{Z} . In the present and next subsections, we define operators appearing in considerations inspired by the analytic perturbation theory.

Set $\mathcal{D} := \text{Dom } X_0 \cap \mathfrak{N}^\perp$. Since the point $\lambda_0 = 0$ is isolated in the spectrum of A_0 , the form $(X_0\phi, X_0\psi)_{\mathfrak{H}_*}$, $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ defines a scalar product in \mathcal{D} , so \mathcal{D} becomes a Hilbert space. Let $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}$. Consider an equation for the element $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ (cf. [BSu2, Chapter 1, (1.7)]):

$$X_0^*(X_0\phi + X_1\omega) = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

which is understood in a weak sense. In other words, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the identity

$$(X_0\phi, X_0\zeta)_{\mathfrak{H}_*} = -(X_1\omega, X_0\zeta)_{\mathfrak{H}_*}, \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (1.16)$$

Thus the right-hand side in (1.16) is a continuous anti-linear functional on $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}$, from the Riesz theorem it follows that equation (1.15) has a unique solution $\phi(\omega)$. Obviously, $\|X_0\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*} \leq \|X_1\omega\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}$. Define a bounded operator $Z : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ by identities

$$Z\omega = \phi(\omega), \quad \omega \in \mathfrak{N}; \quad Zx = 0, \quad x \in \mathfrak{N}^\perp.$$

Obviously,

$$ZP = Z, \quad PZ = 0, \quad PZ^* = Z^*, \quad Z^*P = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

It is easy to check that (see [Su5, (1.21)])

$$\|Z\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq \kappa^{1/2} (13\delta)^{-1/2} \|X_1\|. \quad (1.18)$$

Similarly, for given $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}$ consider the equation

$$X_0^* X_0 \psi + Y_0^* Y_2 \omega = 0 \quad (1.19)$$

for an element $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$. This equation is understood as the identity

$$(X_0 \psi, X_0 \zeta)_{\mathfrak{H}_*} = -(Y_2 \omega, Y_0 \zeta)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

By Condition 1.2, the right-hand side is a continuous anti-linear functional of $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}$. By the Riesz theorem, there exists a unique solution $\psi(\omega)$. Define a bounded operator $\tilde{Z} : \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ by the formulas

$$\tilde{Z}\omega = \psi(\omega), \quad \omega \in \mathfrak{N}; \quad \tilde{Z}x = 0, \quad x \in \mathfrak{N}^\perp.$$

Note that \tilde{Z} maps \mathfrak{N} onto \mathfrak{N}^\perp , and \mathfrak{N}^\perp onto $\{0\}$. So,

$$\tilde{Z}P = \tilde{Z}, \quad P\tilde{Z} = 0, \quad P\tilde{Z}^* = \tilde{Z}^*, \quad \tilde{Z}^*P = 0. \quad (1.20)$$

We need the estimate (see [Su5, (1.25)]):

$$\|\tilde{Z}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq c_1 \kappa^{1/2} C(1)^{1/2} (13\delta)^{-1/2}. \quad (1.21)$$

1.7. The operators R and S . Define an operator $R : \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*$ (see [BSu2, Chapter 1, Subsection 1.2]) as follows $R\omega = X_0 \psi(\omega) + X_1 \omega \in \mathfrak{N}_*$. Another definition of the operator R is given by the formula $R = P_* X_1|_{\mathfrak{N}}$.

By the *spectral germ* of the operator family (1.2) for $t=0$ we call (see [BSu2, Chapter 1, Subsection 1.3]) the self-adjoint operator $S = R^* R : \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$, which also satisfies the identity

$$S = P X_1^* P_* X_1|_{\mathfrak{N}}.$$

1.8. Analytic branches of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the operator $B(\tau; \vartheta)$. According to the analytic perturbation theory, (see [Ka]), for $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$ there exist real-analytic functions $\lambda_l(\tau; \vartheta)$ and real-analytic (in τ) \mathfrak{H} -valued functions $\phi_l(\tau; \vartheta)$ such that

$$B(\tau; \vartheta)\phi_l(\tau; \vartheta) = \lambda_l(\tau; \vartheta)\phi_l(\tau; \vartheta), \quad l = 1, \dots, n, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0, \quad (1.22)$$

moreover, $\phi_l(\tau; \vartheta)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, form an orthogonal basis in the eigenspace $F(\tau; \vartheta)\mathfrak{H}$. For sufficiently small $\tau_*(\vartheta)$ ($\leq \tau_0$) and $|\tau| \leq \tau_*(\vartheta)$ we have the following convergent power series expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_l(\tau; \vartheta) &= \gamma_l(\vartheta)\tau^2 + \mu_l(\vartheta)\tau^3 + \dots, \quad \gamma_l(\vartheta) \geq 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, n; \\ \phi_l(\tau; \vartheta) &= \omega_l(\vartheta) + \tau\phi_l^{(1)}(\vartheta) + \tau^2\phi_l^{(2)}(\vartheta) + \dots, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

The elements $\omega_l(\vartheta)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, form an orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{N} .

In [Su4, (1.32), (1.33)], it was shown that the elements

$$\tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta) := \phi_l^{(1)}(\vartheta) - \vartheta_1 Z \omega_l(\vartheta) - \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z} \omega_l(\vartheta), \quad l = 1, \dots, n,$$

satisfy the identities

$$(\tilde{\omega}_k(\vartheta), \omega_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} + (\omega_k(\vartheta), \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} = 0, \quad k, l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.24)$$

In accordance with [Su4, Subsection 1.8], the *spectral germ of the operator family $B(\tau; \vartheta)$* for $\tau = 0$ is the operator acting in \mathfrak{N} and given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} S(\vartheta) &= \vartheta_1^2 S + \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 \left(-(X_0 Z)^* X_0 \tilde{Z} - (X_0 \tilde{Z})^* X_0 Z + P(Y_2^* Y_1 + Y_1^* Y_2) \right) \Big|_{\mathfrak{N}} \\ &\quad + \vartheta_2^2 \left(-(X_0 \tilde{Z})^* X_0 \tilde{Z} \Big|_{\mathfrak{N}} + Q_{\mathfrak{N}} + \lambda Q_{0\mathfrak{N}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

Here $Q_{\mathfrak{N}}$ is the self-adjoint operator in \mathfrak{N} , generated by the form $q[\omega, \omega]$ on $\omega \in \mathfrak{N}$, and $Q_{0\mathfrak{N}} := P Q_0|_{\mathfrak{N}}$.

In [Su4, Proposition 1.6], it was proved that the numbers $\gamma_l(\vartheta)$ and elements $\omega_l(\vartheta)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, are, respectively, eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the operator $S(\vartheta)$:

$$S(\vartheta)\omega_l(\vartheta) = \gamma_l(\vartheta)\omega_l(\vartheta), \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.26)$$

The quantities $\gamma_l(\vartheta)$ and $\omega_l(\vartheta)$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, are called the *threshold characteristics at the bottom of the spectrum* for the operator family $B(\tau; \vartheta)$.

1.9. Threshold approximations. As was shown in [Su5, Theorem 3.1],

$$F(\tau; \vartheta) - P = \Phi(\tau; \vartheta), \quad \|\Phi(\tau; \vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_1 |\tau|, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0. \quad (1.27)$$

Along with (1.27), we need a sharper approximation for the spectral projection obtained in [Su5, Theorem 3.1]:

$$F(\tau; \vartheta) = P + \tau F_1(\vartheta) + F_2(\tau; \vartheta), \quad \|F_2(\tau; \vartheta)\| \leq C_2 \tau^2, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0. \quad (1.28)$$

By [Su5, (1.41)], the operator $F_1(\vartheta)$ has the form

$$F_1(\vartheta) = \vartheta_1(Z + Z^*) + \vartheta_2(\tilde{Z} + \tilde{Z}^*). \quad (1.29)$$

From (1.17), (1.20), and (1.29) it follows that

$$F_1(\vartheta)P = \vartheta_1 Z + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}, \quad P F_1(\vartheta) = \vartheta_1 Z^* + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}^*. \quad (1.30)$$

From (1.18), (1.21), and (1.29), we derive the estimate

$$\|F_1(\vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_{F_1} = 2\kappa^{1/2}(13\delta)^{-1/2}(\|X_1\| + c_1 C(1)^{1/2}). \quad (1.31)$$

We need an approximation obtained in [Su4, Theorem 2.2]:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\tau; \vartheta)F(\tau; \vartheta) - \tau^2 S(\vartheta)P &= \Phi_1(\tau; \vartheta), \\ \|\Phi_1(\tau; \vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} &\leq C_3 |\tau|^3, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.32)$$

A refinement of approximation (1.32) was achieved in [Su5, Theorem 3.3]:

$$B(\tau; \vartheta)F(\tau; \vartheta) = \tau^2 S(\vartheta)P + \tau^3 K(\vartheta) + \Phi_2(\tau; \vartheta), \quad (1.33)$$

$$\|\Phi_2(\tau; \vartheta)\| \leq C_4 \tau^4, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0. \quad (1.34)$$

By [Su5, (3.18)–(3.20)], we have $K(\vartheta) = K_0(\vartheta) + N(\vartheta)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\vartheta) &= \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_l(\vartheta) \left((\cdot, \omega_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} (\vartheta_1 Z \omega_l(\vartheta) + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z} \omega_l(\vartheta)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\cdot, \vartheta_1 Z \omega_l(\vartheta) + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z} \omega_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} \omega_l(\vartheta) \right), \\ N(\vartheta) &= N_0(\vartheta) + N_*(\vartheta), \\ N_0(\vartheta) &= \sum_{l=1}^n \mu_l(\vartheta) (\cdot, \omega_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} \omega_l(\vartheta), \\ N_*(\vartheta) &= \sum_{l=1}^n \gamma_l(\vartheta) \left((\cdot, \omega_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta) + (\cdot, \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta))_{\mathfrak{H}} \omega_l(\vartheta) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.35)$$

In the basis $\{\omega_l(\vartheta)\}_{l=1}^n$, the operators $N(\vartheta)$, $N_0(\vartheta)$, and $N_*(\vartheta)$ (after restriction to \mathfrak{N}) are represented by the $(n \times n)$ -matrices. The operator $N_0(\vartheta)$ is diagonal: $(N_0(\vartheta)\omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_l(\vartheta)) = \mu_j(\vartheta)\delta_{jl}$, $j, l = 1, \dots, n$. By (1.24), the matrix elements of the operator $N_*(\vartheta)$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} (N_*(\vartheta)\omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_l(\vartheta)) &= \gamma_l(\vartheta) (\omega_j(\vartheta), \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta)) + \gamma_j(\vartheta) (\tilde{\omega}_j(\vartheta), \omega_l(\vartheta)) \\ &= (\gamma_j(\vartheta) - \gamma_l(\vartheta)) (\tilde{\omega}_j(\vartheta), \omega_l(\vartheta)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.36)$$

$j, l = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, the diagonal elements $N_*(\vartheta)$ are equal to zero. Moreover,

$$(N_*(\vartheta)\omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_l(\vartheta)) = 0,$$

if $\gamma_j(\vartheta) = \gamma_l(\vartheta)$. In the case when $n = 1$, we have $N_*(\vartheta) = 0$, i. e., $N(\vartheta) = N_0(\vartheta)$.

Invariant representations for the operators $K_0(\vartheta)$ and $N(\vartheta)$ were found in [Su5, (3.21), (3.30)–(3.34)]:

$$K_0(\vartheta) = \vartheta_1 (ZS(\vartheta)P + S(\vartheta)PZ^*) + \vartheta_2 \left(\tilde{Z}S(\vartheta)P + S(\vartheta)P\tilde{Z}^* \right), \quad (1.37)$$

$$N(\vartheta) = \vartheta_1^3 N_{11} + \vartheta_1^2 \vartheta_2 N_{12} + \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2^2 N_{21} + \vartheta_2^3 N_{22}. \quad (1.38)$$

Here

$$N_{11} = (X_1 Z)^* R P + (R P)^* X_1 Z, \quad (1.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{12} &= (X_1 \tilde{Z})^* R P + (R P)^* X_1 \tilde{Z} + (X_1 Z)^* X_0 \tilde{Z} \\ &\quad + (X_0 \tilde{Z})^* X_1 Z + (Y_2 Z)^* Y_0 Z + (Y_0 Z)^* Y_2 Z \\ &\quad + (Y_2 Z)^* Y_1 P + (Y_1 P)^* Y_2 Z + (Y_2 P)^* Y_1 Z + (Y_1 Z)^* Y_2 P, \end{aligned} \quad (1.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{21} &= (X_0 \tilde{Z})^* X_1 \tilde{Z} + (X_1 \tilde{Z})^* X_0 \tilde{Z} + (Y_2 Z)^* Y_0 \tilde{Z} \\ &\quad + (Y_0 \tilde{Z})^* Y_2 Z + (Y_2 \tilde{Z})^* Y_0 Z + (Y_0 Z)^* Y_2 \tilde{Z} + (Y_2 \tilde{Z})^* Y_1 P \\ &\quad + (Y_1 P)^* Y_2 \tilde{Z} + (Y_1 \tilde{Z})^* Y_2 P + (Y_2 P)^* Y_1 \tilde{Z} \\ &\quad + Z^* Q P + P Q Z + \lambda(Z^* Q_0 P + P Q_0 Z), \end{aligned} \quad (1.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{22} &= (Y_0 \tilde{Z})^* Y_2 \tilde{Z} + (Y_2 \tilde{Z})^* Y_0 \tilde{Z} + \tilde{Z}^* Q P + P Q \tilde{Z} \\ &\quad + \lambda(\tilde{Z}^* Q_0 P + P Q_0 \tilde{Z}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.42)$$

We clarify that, in (1.41), the formal notation $Z^* Q P + P Q Z$ is used for the bounded self-adjoint operator acting in \mathfrak{H} and corresponding to the form $\mathfrak{q}[P u, Z u] + \mathfrak{q}[Z u, P u]$, $u \in \mathfrak{H}$. Similarly, in (1.42), $\tilde{Z}^* Q P + P Q \tilde{Z}$ is understood as the bounded self-adjoint operator in \mathfrak{H} corresponding to the form $\mathfrak{q}[P u, \tilde{Z} u] + \mathfrak{q}[\tilde{Z} u, P u]$, $u \in \mathfrak{H}$.

By (1.17), (1.20), and (1.37)–(1.42), for the operator $K(\vartheta) = K_0(\vartheta) + N(\vartheta)$ we have

$$P K(\vartheta) P = P N(\vartheta) P = N(\vartheta). \quad (1.43)$$

We need the following estimates obtained in [Su5, (3.35), (3.36), (3.44)–(3.49)]:

$$\|N(\vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_N, \quad (1.44)$$

$$\|K(\vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_K. \quad (1.45)$$

Remark 1.5. *The constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 , and C_{F_1} introduced above depend on the data (1.14) polynomially. The corresponding polynomials have positive numerical coefficients. The constants C_N and C_K can be estimated in terms of polynomials with numeric coefficients and variables (1.14). The dependence of constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_N , and C_K on the data (1.14) was traced in [Su4, Su5].*

2. APPROXIMATION OF THE OPERATOR $e^{-B(t,\varepsilon)s}$ WITH THE CORRECTOR TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT

2.1. Approximation of the operator $e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s}$. *Assume that for some $c_* > 0$ we have*

$$A(t) \geq c_* t^2 I, \quad c_* > 0, \quad |t| \leq \tau_0. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, by (1.12),

$$B(\tau; \vartheta) \geq \check{c}_* \tau^2 I, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0; \quad \check{c}_* = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\kappa c_*, 2\beta\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Together with (1.22) this implies that the eigenvalues $\lambda_l(\tau; \vartheta)$ of the operator $B(\tau; \vartheta)$ satisfy the estimates

$$\lambda_l(\tau; \vartheta) \geq \check{c}_* \tau^2, \quad l = 1, \dots, n, \quad |\tau| \leq \tau_0. \quad (2.3)$$

Bringing (1.23) and (2.3) together, we see that $\gamma_l(\vartheta) \geq \check{c}_*$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, and so (see (1.26))

$$S(\vartheta) \geq \check{c}_* I_{\mathfrak{H}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$. We rewrite the operator $e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s}$, $s \geq 0$, as

$$e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} = e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta)^\perp + e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta). \quad (2.5)$$

Let $s > 0$. By (2.2), the first summand on the right-hand side (2.5) satisfies the estimate

$$\|e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta)^\perp\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq e^{-\delta s/2} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2} \leq 2(\delta s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2}. \quad (2.6)$$

The second summand can be represented as

$$e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta) = P e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta) + P^\perp e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta). \quad (2.7)$$

By (1.28),

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) &= (I - P)e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) \\ &= (\tau F_1(\vartheta) + F_2(\tau;\vartheta))e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) \\ &= (\tau F_1(\vartheta) + F_2(\tau;\vartheta)) \left((e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) - e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P) + e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Pi(\tau;\vartheta;s) := e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) - e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P. \quad (2.8)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) &= \tau F_1(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P + \tau F_1(\vartheta) \Pi(\tau;\vartheta;s) \\ &\quad + F_2(\tau;\vartheta) e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We need the estimate obtained in [M, Subsection 2.2]:

$$\|\Pi(\tau;\vartheta;s)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq (2C_1|\tau| + C_3|\tau|^3 s) e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s}. \quad (2.10)$$

Together with (1.31), this implies

$$\|\tau F_1(\vartheta) \Pi(\tau;\vartheta;s)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_{F_1} (2C_1 \tau^2 + C_3 \tau^4 s) e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s}.$$

Introducing the parameter $\alpha = \tau^2 s$, we arrive at the inequality

$$\|\tau F_1(\vartheta) \Pi(\tau;\vartheta;s)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_{F_1} (2C_1 \alpha + C_3 \alpha^2) e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha s^{-1}}. \quad (2.11)$$

The third summand on the right-hand side of (2.9) is estimated with the help of (1.28) and (2.2):

$$\|F_2(\tau;\vartheta) e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_2 \tau^2 e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s} = C_2 \alpha e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha s^{-1}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Bringing (2.9), (2.11), and (2.12) together, we obtain

$$\|P^\perp e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) - \tau F_1(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq \phi(\alpha) s^{-1}, \quad s > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\phi(\alpha) = C_{F_1} (2C_1 \alpha + C_3 \alpha^2) e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha} + C_2 \alpha e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha}. \quad (2.14)$$

Now, consider the first summand on the right-hand side of (2.7):

$$P e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) = e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P + \Upsilon(\tau;\vartheta;s), \quad (2.15)$$

where $\Upsilon(\tau;\vartheta;s) := P e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)s} F(\tau;\vartheta) - e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P$. In [M, Subsection 2.2], it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\tau;\vartheta;s) &= e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \Phi(\tau;\vartheta) - \mathcal{J}(\tau;\vartheta;s), \\ \mathcal{J}(\tau;\vartheta;s) &:= \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P \Phi_1(\tau;\vartheta) e^{-B(\tau;\vartheta)\tilde{s}} F(\tau;\vartheta) d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the operators $\Phi(\tau;\vartheta)$ and $\Phi_1(\tau;\vartheta)$ are defined in (1.27) and (1.32). Taking (1.27) and (1.28) into account, we represent the operator $\Upsilon(\tau;\vartheta;s)$ as

$$\Upsilon(\tau;\vartheta;s) = e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)Ps} P \tau F_1(\vartheta) + e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)Ps} P F_2(\tau;\vartheta) - \mathcal{J}(\tau;\vartheta;s). \quad (2.16)$$

The second summand on the right-hand side of (2.16) can be estimated with the help of (1.28) and (2.4):

$$\|e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)Ps} P F_2(\tau;\vartheta)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \leq C_2 \tau^2 e^{-\tau^2 \check{c}_* s} = C_2 \alpha e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha s^{-1}}. \quad (2.17)$$

By (1.32), (1.33), (1.43), and (2.8), the third summand on the right-hand side of (2.16) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{J}(\tau; \vartheta; s) \\
&= \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P(\tau^3 K(\vartheta) + \Phi_2(\tau; \vartheta)) e^{-B(\tau; \vartheta)\tilde{s}} F(\tau; \vartheta) d\tilde{s} \\
&= \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P(\tau^3 K(\vartheta) + \Phi_2(\tau; \vartheta)) \left(\Pi(\tau; \vartheta; \tilde{s}) + e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)\tilde{s}} P \right) d\tilde{s} \\
&= \mathcal{J}_1(\tau; \vartheta; s) + \mathcal{J}_2(\tau; \vartheta; s) + \mathcal{J}_3(\tau; \vartheta; s).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_1(\tau; \vartheta; s) &:= \tau^3 \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P N(\vartheta) P e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)\tilde{s}} P d\tilde{s}, \\
\mathcal{J}_2(\tau; \vartheta; s) &:= \tau^3 \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P K(\vartheta) \Pi(\tau; \vartheta; \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s}, \\
\mathcal{J}_3(\tau; \vartheta; s) &:= \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P \Phi_2(\tau; \vartheta) e^{-B(\tau; \vartheta)\tilde{s}} F(\tau; \vartheta) d\tilde{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the term $\mathcal{J}_2(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ with the help of (1.45), (2.4), and (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \mathcal{J}_2(\tau; \vartheta; s) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} &\leq |\tau|^3 \int_0^s e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 (s-\tilde{s})} C_K (2C_1 |\tau| + C_3 |\tau|^3 \tilde{s}) e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 \tilde{s}} d\tilde{s} \\
&= |\tau|^3 e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s} C_K \int_0^s (2C_1 |\tau| + C_3 |\tau|^3 \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \\
&= e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s} C_K (2C_1 \tau^4 s + 2^{-1} C_3 \tau^6 s^2) \\
&= C_K e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha} (2C_1 \alpha^2 + 2^{-1} C_3 \alpha^3) s^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Using (1.34), (2.2), and (2.4), we estimate $\mathcal{J}_3(\tau; \vartheta; s)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \mathcal{J}_3(\tau; \vartheta; s) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} &\leq \int_0^s e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 (s-\tilde{s})} C_4 \tau^4 e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 \tilde{s}} d\tilde{s} \\
&= e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s} C_4 \tau^4 s = C_4 e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha} \alpha^2 s^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

We summarise the results. By (2.15)–(2.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| P e^{-B(\tau; \vartheta)s} F(\tau; \vartheta) - e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P - \tau e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P F_1(\vartheta) + \tau^3 \mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s) \right\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} \\
&\leq (C_2 \alpha + 2C_1 C_K \alpha^2 + 2^{-1} C_3 C_K \alpha^3 + C_4 \alpha^2) e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha} s^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s) := \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P(s-\tilde{s})} P N(\vartheta) P e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)P\tilde{s}} d\tilde{s}. \tag{2.22}$$

Now from (1.30), (2.5)–(2.7), (2.13), (2.14), (2.21), and (2.22), we derive the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-B(\tau; \vartheta)s} &= e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P + \tau(\vartheta_1 Z + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \\
&\quad + e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \tau(\vartheta_1 Z^* + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}^*) - \tau^3 \mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s) + \mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where the remainder term $\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ is subject to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} &\leq C_5 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2}, \quad s > 0; \\ C_5 &= 2\delta^{-1} + \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \check{\phi}(\alpha) e^{-\check{c}_* \alpha/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Here we introduced the notation

$$\check{\phi}(\alpha) = 2(C_1 C_{F_1} + C_2)\alpha + (C_3 C_{F_1} + 2C_1 C_K + C_4)\alpha^2 + 2^{-1} C_3 C_K \alpha^3.$$

Changing the variables $\check{c}_* \alpha/2 =: \alpha$, we can show that the constant C_5 is controlled by the polynomial of \check{c}_*^{-1} and the data (1.14).

Obviously, for $s \geq 1$ one has $s^{-1} \leq 2(s+1)^{-1}$, and, consequently, $\|\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)\| \leq 2C_5(s+1)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2}$. For $0 \leq s < 1$, from (1.44), (2.22) it follows that for $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$ we have the inequality $|\tau|^3 \|\mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s)\| \leq \tau_0^3 C_N e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s}$. Thus, using (1.18), (1.21), (2.2), and (2.4), from (2.23) we derive

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)\| \leq C_6 (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2}, \quad 0 \leq s < 1,$$

where $C_6 = 4 + 4\kappa^{1/2} (13\delta)^{-1/2} \tau_0 (\|X_1\| + c_1 C(1)^{1/2}) + 2\tau_0^3 C_N$.

Taking Remark 1.5 into account, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *For $|\tau| \leq \tau_0$, $s \geq 0$, identity (2.23) makes sense, where the operator $\mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ is defined in (2.22), and the operator $\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ is subject to the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)\| &\leq C_7 (s+1)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2}, \quad s \geq 0; \\ \|\mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)\| &\leq C_5 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \tau^2 s/2}, \quad s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The constant C_5 was defined in (2.24). The constants C_5 and $C_7 = \max\{2C_5; C_6\}$ are controlled by polynomials of \check{c}_*^{-1} and the data (1.14).

2.2. Enumeration of the matrix elements of the operator $\mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s)$. Let us look at the operator (2.22) in more detail. By (1.35),

$$\mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s) = \mathcal{M}_0(\tau; \vartheta; s) + \mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s), \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_0(\tau; \vartheta; s) &:= \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P(s-\tilde{s})} P N_0(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P \tilde{s}} d\tilde{s}, \\ \mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s) &:= \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P(s-\tilde{s})} P N_*(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P \tilde{s}} d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned}$$

The operators $S(\vartheta)$ and $N_0(\vartheta)$ are diagonal in the basis $\{\omega_l(\vartheta)\}_{l=1}^n$, thus $N_0(\vartheta)S(\vartheta) = S(\vartheta)N_0(\vartheta)$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_0(\tau; \vartheta; s) = N_0(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P s} P s. \quad (2.26)$$

We calculate the matrix elements of the operator $\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ in the basis $\{\omega_l(\vartheta)\}_{l=1}^n$. According to (1.26) we have $e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P s} \omega_j(\vartheta) = e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_j(\vartheta) s} \omega_j(\vartheta)$. Thus, the matrix elements of the operator $\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s) \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta) \right) \\ &= \int_0^s \left(e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P(s-\tilde{s})} N_*(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta) P \tilde{s}} \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta) \right) d\tilde{s} \\ &= (N_*(\vartheta) \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta)) e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_k(\vartheta) s} \int_0^s e^{-\tau^2 (\gamma_j(\vartheta) - \gamma_k(\vartheta)) \tilde{s}} d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (1.36), this implies that the functions $\gamma_j(\vartheta) = \gamma_k(\vartheta)$ satisfy

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s) \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta) \right) = 0.$$

Now, let $\gamma_j(\vartheta) \neq \gamma_k(\vartheta)$. By (1.24) and (1.36),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s) \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta) \right) \\ &= (N_*(\vartheta) \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta)) e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_k(\vartheta)s} \frac{e^{-\tau^2(\gamma_j(\vartheta) - \gamma_k(\vartheta))s} - 1}{(\gamma_k(\vartheta) - \gamma_j(\vartheta))\tau^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_j(\vartheta)s} - e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_k(\vartheta)s}}{\tau^2} (\omega_j(\vartheta), \tilde{\omega}_k(\vartheta)) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_j(\vartheta)s}}{\tau^2} (\omega_j(\vartheta), \tilde{\omega}_k(\vartheta)) + \frac{e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_k(\vartheta)s}}{\tau^2} (\tilde{\omega}_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s) \omega_j(\vartheta), \omega_k(\vartheta) \right) \\ &= \tau^{-2} \left((e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_j(\vartheta)s} \omega_j(\vartheta), \tilde{\omega}_k(\vartheta)) + (\tilde{\omega}_j(\vartheta), e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_k(\vartheta)s} \omega_k(\vartheta)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

By (1.24), for $\gamma_j(\vartheta) = \gamma_k(\vartheta)$ the right-hand side in (2.27) is equal to zero, so equality (2.27) holds true in this case. From (2.27) with the help of identities (1.24) we get the representation

$$\mathcal{M}_*(\tau; \vartheta; s) = -\tau^{-2} \sum_{l=1}^n e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_l(\vartheta)s} \left((\cdot, \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta)) \omega_l(\vartheta) + (\cdot, \omega_l(\vartheta)) \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta) \right). \quad (2.28)$$

Combining (2.25), (2.26), and (2.28), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\tau; \vartheta; s) &= N_0(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)Ps} P_s \\ &\quad - \tau^{-2} \sum_{l=1}^n e^{-\tau^2 \gamma_l(\vartheta)s} \left((\cdot, \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta)) \omega_l(\vartheta) + (\cdot, \omega_l(\vartheta)) \tilde{\omega}_l(\vartheta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that formula (2.23) simplifies if $N(\vartheta) = 0$ or $N_*(\vartheta) = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $N(\vartheta) = 0$. Then, under conditions of Theorem 2.1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-B(\tau; \vartheta)s} &= e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P + \tau(\vartheta_1 Z + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \\ &\quad + e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \tau(\vartheta_1 Z^* + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}^*) + \mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Under conditions of Theorem 2.1, let $N_*(\vartheta) = 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-B(\tau; \vartheta)s} &= e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P + \tau(\vartheta_1 Z + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P + e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)s} P \tau(\vartheta_1 Z^* + \vartheta_2 \tilde{Z}^*) \\ &\quad - \tau^3 N_0(\vartheta) e^{-\tau^2 S(\vartheta)Ps} P_s + \mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Returning to parameters t and ε . Let us return back to the original parameters t, ε , recalling that $t = \tau\vartheta_1$, $\varepsilon = \tau\vartheta_2$. By (1.25), the operator $\tau^2 S(\vartheta) =: L(t, \varepsilon)$ is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} L(t, \varepsilon) &= t^2 S + t\varepsilon \left(- (X_0 Z)^* X_0 \tilde{Z} - (X_0 \tilde{Z})^* X_0 Z + P(Y_2^* Y_1 + Y_1^* Y_2) \right) \Big|_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \left(- (X_0 \tilde{Z})^* X_0 \tilde{Z} \Big|_{\mathfrak{H}} + Q_{\mathfrak{H}} + \lambda Q_{0\mathfrak{H}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Note an estimate, which follows from (2.4):

$$L(t, \varepsilon) \geq \check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2) I_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad (2.30)$$

By (1.38), the operator $\tau^3 N(\vartheta) =: N(t, \varepsilon)$ has the form

$$N(t, \varepsilon) = t^3 N_{11} + t^2 \varepsilon N_{12} + t \varepsilon^2 N_{21} + \varepsilon^3 N_{22}. \quad (2.31)$$

By (1.44), we have

$$\|N(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq C_N (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{3/2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad (2.32)$$

Rewriting (2.23) in terms of original parameters, we give an equivalent formulation of Theorem 2.1 that is convenient for further applications to differential operators. By the *corrector* we mean the operator

$$K(t, \varepsilon, s) := (tZ + \varepsilon\tilde{Z})e^{-L(t, \varepsilon)s}P + e^{-L(t, \varepsilon)s}P(tZ^* + \varepsilon\tilde{Z}^*) - \int_0^s e^{-L(t, \varepsilon)(s-\tilde{s})}PN(t, \varepsilon)e^{-L(t, \varepsilon)\tilde{s}}P d\tilde{s}. \quad (2.33)$$

By (2.30) and (2.32),

$$\|K(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq 2 \max\{\|Z\|; \|\tilde{Z}\|\}(t + \varepsilon)e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s} + C_N s(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{3/2} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s}. \quad (2.34)$$

Combining (1.18), (1.21), and (2.34) and using the elementary inequalities $e^{-\alpha} \leq \alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha/2}$ and $e^{-\alpha} \leq 3\alpha^{-2}e^{-\alpha/2}$, $\alpha > 0$, we get

$$\|K(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq C_8 s^{-1}(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s > 0; \quad (2.35)$$

$$C_8 = 2^{3/2}\check{c}_*^{-1}\kappa^{1/2}(13\delta)^{-1/2} \max\{\|X_1\|; c_1 C(1)^{1/2}\} + 3\check{c}_*^{-2}C_N.$$

For $s \geq 0$, the estimate is more bulky: now for the exponentials we use inequalities of the form $e^{-\alpha} \leq 2(1 + \alpha)^{-1}e^{-\alpha/2}$ and $e^{-\alpha} \leq 4(1 + \alpha)^{-1}e^{-\alpha/2}$, where $\alpha \geq 0$. As a result, we obtain

$$\|K(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq C_9(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}(1 + \check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s \geq 0; \quad (2.36)$$

$$C_9 = 2^{5/2}\kappa^{1/2}(13\delta)^{-1/2} \max\{\|X_1\|; c_1 C(1)^{1/2}\} + 4\check{c}_*^{-1}C_N.$$

Theorem 2.4. *We have*

$$e^{-B(t, \varepsilon)s} = e^{-L(t, \varepsilon)s}P + K(t, \varepsilon, s) + \mathcal{R}(t, \varepsilon, s), \quad (2.37)$$

where the operators $B(t, \varepsilon)$, $L(t, \varepsilon)$, and $K(t, \varepsilon, s)$ are defined in (1.7), (2.29), and (2.33), respectively. For the operator $K(t, \varepsilon, s)$ we have estimates (2.35) and (2.36). The operator $\mathcal{R}(t, \varepsilon, s) := \mathcal{R}(\tau; \vartheta; s)$ for $t^2 + \varepsilon^2 \leq \tau_0^2$ is subject to the inequalities:

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq C_7(s + 1)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s \geq 0; \quad (2.38)$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq C_5 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s > 0. \quad (2.39)$$

The constants C_5 , C_7 , C_8 , and C_9 are controlled in terms of polynomials whose coefficients are positive numbers and whose variables are \check{c}_*^{-1} and the data set (1.14).

3. APPROXIMATION FOR THE BORDERED OPERATOR EXPONENTIAL

3.1. The operator family $A(t) = M^*\hat{A}(t)M$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ be yet another Hilbert space, and let $\hat{X}(t) = \hat{X}_0 + t\hat{X}_1: \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_*$ be the family of the form (1.1) satisfying conditions of Subsection 1.1. We emphasize that the space $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_*$ is the same as before. *All the objects corresponding to $\hat{X}(t)$ will be denoted by the mark “ $\hat{}$ ”.* Let $M: \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{H}}$ be an isomorphism

$$M\text{Dom } X_0 = \text{Dom } \hat{X}_0, \quad (3.1)$$

$X(t) = \hat{X}(t)M: \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_*$; $X_0 = \hat{X}_0M$, $X_1 = \hat{X}_1M$. Then $A(t) = M^*\hat{A}(t)M$, where $\hat{A}(t) = \hat{X}(t)^*\hat{X}(t)$. Note that $\hat{\mathfrak{N}} = M\mathfrak{N}$, $\hat{n} = n$, and $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}_* = \mathfrak{N}_*$, $\hat{n}_* = n_*$, $\hat{P}_* = P_*$. Set

$$G = (MM^*)^{-1}: \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{H}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $G_{\hat{\mathfrak{N}}}$ be the block of the operator G in the subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$: $G_{\hat{\mathfrak{N}}} = \hat{P}G|_{\hat{\mathfrak{N}}}: \hat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$. Obviously, $G_{\hat{\mathfrak{N}}}$ is an isomorphism in $\hat{\mathfrak{N}}$.

Let $\hat{S} = \hat{R}^*\hat{R}: \hat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{N}}$ be the spectral germ of the operator family $\hat{A}(t)$ with $t = 0$. According to [BSu2, Chapter 1, Subsection 1.5], we have

$$R = \hat{R}M|_{\mathfrak{N}}, \quad \text{rank } R = \text{rank } \hat{R}, \quad (3.3)$$

and $S = PM^*\hat{S}M|_{\mathfrak{N}}$.

3.2. The operator family $B(t, \varepsilon) = M^* \widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon) M$. Assume that the operator $\widehat{Y}_0: \widehat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ satisfies the conditions of Subsection 1.2. Note that the space $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ does not change. Set $Y_0 := \widehat{Y}_0 M$, $M \text{Dom } Y_0 = \text{Dom } \widehat{Y}_0$. By (3.1) and condition $\text{Dom } \widehat{X}_0 \subset \text{Dom } \widehat{Y}_0$, the inclusion $\text{Dom } X_0 \subset \text{Dom } Y_0$ makes sense. Let $\widehat{Y}_1: \widehat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ be a bounded operator and let $Y_1 = \widehat{Y}_1 M: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$. Set $\widehat{Y}(t) := \widehat{Y}_0 + t\widehat{Y}_1: \widehat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$, $\text{Dom } \widehat{Y}(t) = \text{Dom } \widehat{Y}_0$. Let $Y(t) = \widehat{Y}(t) M = Y_0 + tY_1: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$, $\text{Dom } Y(t) = \text{Dom } Y_0$. Assume that the operators $\widehat{X}(t)$ and $\widehat{Y}(t)$ are subject to Condition 1.2 with some constant \widehat{c}_1 . Then we automatically have $\|Y(t)u\|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq c_1 \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}^*}$, $u \in \text{Dom } X_0$, where $c_1 = \widehat{c}_1$.

Let the operator $\widehat{Y}_2: \widehat{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ satisfy conditions of Subsection 1.2. Put $Y_2 := \widehat{Y}_2 M: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$, $M \text{Dom } Y_2 = \text{Dom } \widehat{Y}_2$. Since M is an isomorphism, and the operator \widehat{Y}_2 is densely defined, the operator Y_2 is also densely defined. By (3.1), the inclusion $\text{Dom } X_0 \subset \text{Dom } Y_2$ holds. Assume that the operators $\widehat{X}(t)$ and \widehat{Y}_2 are subject to Condition 1.3 with some constants $\widehat{C}(\nu) > 0$. Then, automatically, for any $\nu > 0$ where exists a constant $C(\nu) = \widehat{C}(\nu) \|M\|^2 > 0$ such that for $u \in \text{Dom } X_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\|Y_2 u\|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}}^2 \leq \nu \|X(t)u\|_{\mathfrak{H}^*}^2 + C(\nu) \|u\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2$.

Put $Q_0 := M^* M$. Then Q_0 is a bounded positive definite operator in \mathfrak{H} . (The role of \widehat{Q}_0 is played by the identity operator in $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$.)

In the space $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$, consider the form $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ satisfying the conditions of Subsection 1.3. Define a form \mathfrak{q} acting by the rule $\mathfrak{q}[u, v] = \widehat{\mathfrak{q}}[Mu, Mv]$, $u, v \in \text{Dom } \mathfrak{q}$, $M \text{Dom } \mathfrak{q} = \text{Dom } \widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$. Formally, $Q = M^* \widehat{Q} M$. Assume that the operator $\widehat{X}(t)$ and the form $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$ satisfy Condition 1.4 with some constants κ , \widehat{c}_0 , \widehat{c}_2 , and \widehat{c}_3 . Taking (3.1) into account, one can check that the operator $X(t) = \widehat{X}(t) M$ and the form \mathfrak{q} also satisfy Condition 1.4 with the constants

$$c_0 = \|M\|^2 \widehat{c}_0, \quad \text{if } \widehat{c}_0 \geq 0, \quad c_0 = \|M^{-1}\|^{-2} \widehat{c}_0, \quad \text{if } \widehat{c}_0 < 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$c_2 = \widehat{c}_2$, $c_3 = \|M\|^2 \widehat{c}_3$, and the same constant κ . From (1.6) it follows that the constants c_4 and $\widehat{c}_4 = 4\kappa^{-1} \widehat{c}_1^2 \widehat{C}(\nu)$ for $\nu = \kappa^2 (16\widehat{c}_1^2)^{-1}$ are related by the identity

$$c_4 = \|M\|^2 \widehat{c}_4. \quad (3.5)$$

Under the above assumptions, the operator pencil

$$\widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon) = \widehat{A}(t) + \varepsilon(\widehat{Y}_2^* \widehat{Y}(t) + \widehat{Y}(t)^* \widehat{Y}_2) + \varepsilon^2 \widehat{Q} + \lambda \varepsilon^2 I \quad (3.6)$$

is related to the pencil (1.7) by the identity

$$B(t, \varepsilon) = M^* \widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon) M. \quad (3.7)$$

The constant λ is chosen from condition (1.9) for the operator (1.7). By using relations (3.4), (3.5), and the equality $Q_0 = M^* M$, we find that, under our choice of λ , condition (1.9) for the operator (3.6) is also satisfied.

Note that for the operator (3.6) both variants of relation (1.11) has the form $\widehat{\beta} = \lambda - \widehat{c}_0 - \widehat{c}_4$. Together with (1.11), (3.4), and (3.5), this implies that

$$\beta \leq \|M^{-1}\|^{-2} \widehat{\beta}. \quad (3.8)$$

3.3. The relationship between the spectral germs $S(\vartheta)$ and $\widehat{S}(\vartheta)$. In expressions for the operators $B(t, \varepsilon)$ and $\widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon)$, we now switch to the parameters τ, ϑ . Consider the spectral germ (1.25) and a similar germ for the family (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}(\vartheta) = & \vartheta_1^2 \widehat{S} - \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})^* (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} - \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})^* (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} \\ & - \vartheta_2^2 (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})^* (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} + \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 \widehat{P} (\widehat{Y}_2^* \widehat{Y}_1 + \widehat{Y}_1^* \widehat{Y}_2)|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} + \vartheta_2^2 (\widehat{Q}|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} + \lambda I_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In [M, Proposition 1.8], the following relation between operators (1.25) and (3.9) was proved:

$$S(\vartheta) = P M^* \widehat{S}(\vartheta) M|_{\mathfrak{H}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let's go back to the parameters t and ε . The operator $\tau^2 \widehat{S}(\vartheta) =: \widehat{L}(t, \varepsilon)$ is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}(t, \varepsilon) = t^2 \widehat{S} + t\varepsilon \left(-(\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z})^* \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}} - (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}})^* \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{Z} + \widehat{P}(\widehat{Y}_2^* \widehat{Y}_1 + \widehat{Y}_1^* \widehat{Y}_2) \right) \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} \\ + \varepsilon^2 \left(-(\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}})^* \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}} \Big|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} + \widehat{Q}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} + \lambda I_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.10), $L(t, \varepsilon) = PM^* \widehat{L}(t, \varepsilon) M \Big|_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Here $L(t, \varepsilon)$ is the operator (2.29).

3.4. The operators \widehat{Z}_G and $\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G$. Let \widehat{Z}_G be the operator in $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ mapping an element $\widehat{u} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ into the (unique) solution $\widehat{\phi}_G$ of the equation

$$\widehat{X}_0^* (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\phi}_G + \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{\omega}) = 0, \quad G \widehat{\phi}_G \perp \widehat{\mathfrak{N}},$$

where $\widehat{\omega} = \widehat{P} \widehat{u}$. The equation is understood in a weak sense (cf. (1.16)). Thus, as was shown in [BSu3, Lemma 6.1],

$$\widehat{Z}_G = M Z M^{-1} \widehat{P}. \quad (3.12)$$

Similarly, let $\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G$ be the operator in $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ mapping an element $\widehat{u} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ into the (unique) solution $\widehat{\psi}_G$ of the equation

$$\widehat{X}_0^* \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\psi}_G + \widehat{Y}_0^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\omega} = 0, \quad G \widehat{\psi}_G \perp \widehat{\mathfrak{N}},$$

where $\widehat{\omega} = \widehat{P} \widehat{u}$. The equation is understood in a weak sense. By recalculation in equation (1.19) and the identities $M \mathfrak{N} = \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$, (3.1), and (3.2), we get

$$\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G = M \widehat{Z} M^{-1} \widehat{P}. \quad (3.13)$$

3.5. The operator $\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon)$. Using relationship between operators X_0, X_1, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 , and Q and the corresponding operators marked by the ‘‘hat’’, applying the identities $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}} = M \mathfrak{N}$, $Q_0 = M^* M$, (1.39)–(1.42), (2.31), (3.3), (3.12), and (3.13), we conclude that the operator

$$\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon) := \widehat{P} (M^*)^{-1} N(t, \varepsilon) M^{-1} \widehat{P} \quad (3.14)$$

has the form

$$\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon) = t^3 \widehat{N}_{G,11} + t^2 \varepsilon \widehat{N}_{G,12} + t \varepsilon^2 \widehat{N}_{G,21} + \varepsilon^3 \widehat{N}_{G,22}, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\widehat{N}_{G,11} = (\widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{R} \widehat{P} + (\widehat{R} \widehat{P})^* \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_G, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_{G,12} = & (\widehat{X}_1 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{R} \widehat{P} + (\widehat{R} \widehat{P})^* \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G \\ & + (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{Z}_G + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{Z}_G + (\widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{Z}_G \\ & + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{P} + (\widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{P})^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{Z}_G + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{P})^* \widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{Z}_G + (\widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{P}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_{G,21} = & (\widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{X}_1 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{X}_1 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{X}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G \\ & + (\widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{Z}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{Z}_G + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{Z}_G + (\widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G \\ & + (\widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{P})^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{P} + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{P})^* \widehat{Y}_1 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G \\ & + \widehat{Z}_G^* \widehat{Q} \widehat{P} + \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \widehat{Z}_G + \lambda (\widehat{Z}_G^* \widehat{P} + \widehat{P} \widehat{Z}_G), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{N}_{G,22} = & (\widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{Y}_2 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Y}_0 \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G + (\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{Q} \widehat{P} + \widehat{P} \widehat{Q} \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G \\ & + \lambda \left((\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G)^* \widehat{P} + \widehat{P} \widehat{\widehat{Z}}_G \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Note the estimate that follows from (2.32) and (3.14):

$$\|\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon)\| \leq C_G (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{3/2}; \quad C_G = C_N \|M^{-1}\|^2. \quad (3.20)$$

By Remark 1.5, the following observation holds true.

Remark 3.1. *The constant C_G is controlled in terms of a polynomial with positive numerical coefficients and variables $\|M^{-1}\|$ and (1.14).*

3.6. Approximation for the bordered operator exponential. In the present subsection, our goal is to approximate the operator exponential $e^{-B(t,\varepsilon)s}$ generated by the operator (3.7) in terms of the isomorphism M and the threshold characteristics of the operator $\widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon)$.

Assume that the operator $A(t)$ is subject to inequality (2.1) with some constant $c_* > 0$: $A(t) \geq c_* t^2 I$, $|t| \leq \tau_0$. Here τ_0 satisfies condition (1.13) for the operator $B(t, \varepsilon)$. Then estimate (2.2) is fulfilled for $B(t, \varepsilon)$.

Therefore, the operator $B(t, \varepsilon)$ of the form (3.7) satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.4. Applying that theorem and multiplying (2.37) by the operator M from the left and by M^* from the right, we obtain

$$Me^{-B(t,\varepsilon)s}M^* = Me^{-L(t,\varepsilon)s}PM^* + MK(t, \varepsilon, s)M^* + MR(t, \varepsilon, s)M^*. \quad (3.21)$$

By (2.33),

$$\begin{aligned} MK(t, \varepsilon, s)M^* &= M(tZ + \varepsilon\widetilde{Z})e^{-L(t,\varepsilon)s}PM^* \\ &\quad + Me^{-L(t,\varepsilon)s}P(tZ^* + \varepsilon\widetilde{Z}^*)M^* \\ &\quad - \int_0^s Me^{-L(t,\varepsilon)(s-\widetilde{s})}PN(t, \varepsilon)Pe^{-L(t,\varepsilon)\widetilde{s}}PM^* d\widetilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Now we invoke the identity obtained in [M, Proposition 3.1]:

$$Me^{-L(t,\varepsilon)s}PM^* = M_0e^{-M_0\widehat{L}(t,\varepsilon)M_0s}M_0\widehat{P}. \quad (3.23)$$

Here $L(t, \varepsilon)$ and $\widehat{L}(t, \varepsilon)$ are the operators (2.29) and (3.11), respectively, and

$$M_0 := (G_{\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}})^{-1/2}. \quad (3.24)$$

Denote $K_G(t, \varepsilon, s) := MK(t, \varepsilon, s)M^*$. We note at once two estimates following from (2.35) and (2.36):

$$\|K_G(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq C_8 \|M\|^2 s^{-1} (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s > 0; \quad (3.25)$$

$$\|K_G(t, \varepsilon, s)\| \leq C_9 \|M\|^2 (t^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} (1 + \check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$s \geq 0.$$

By (3.12)–(3.14), (3.22), and (3.23), the operator $K_G(t, \varepsilon, s)$ can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} K_G(t, \varepsilon, s) &= (t\widehat{Z}_G + \varepsilon\widetilde{Z}_G)M_0e^{-M_0\widehat{L}(t,\varepsilon)M_0s}M_0\widehat{P} \\ &\quad + M_0e^{-M_0\widehat{L}(t,\varepsilon)M_0s}M_0\widehat{P}\left(t\widehat{Z}_G^* + \varepsilon(\widetilde{Z}_G^*)^*\right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^s M_0e^{-M_0\widehat{L}(t,\varepsilon)M_0(s-\widetilde{s})}M_0\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon)M_0e^{-M_0\widehat{L}(t,\varepsilon)M_0\widetilde{s}}M_0\widehat{P} d\widetilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Combining (2.38), (2.39), (3.21), (3.23), and (3.27), we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Subsections 3.1 and 3.2 be satisfied. Suppose that the operator $A(t)$ is subject to inequality (2.1). Let the operator $\widehat{L}(t, \varepsilon)$ be defined in (3.11). Let M_0 be the operator (3.24). Then*

$$Me^{-B(t,\varepsilon)s}M^* = M_0e^{-M_0\widehat{L}(t,\varepsilon)M_0s}M_0\widehat{P} + K_G(t, \varepsilon, s) + MR(t, \varepsilon, s)M^*.$$

Here $K_G(t, \varepsilon, s)$ is the operator (3.27) that satisfies estimates (3.25) and (3.26), and the remainder is subject to the inequalities

$$\|MR(t, \varepsilon, s)M^*\| \leq C_7 \|M\|^2 (s+1)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s \geq 0;$$

$$\|MR(t, \varepsilon, s)M^*\| \leq C_5 \|M\|^2 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s > 0.$$

The constants C_5 , C_7 , C_8 , and C_9 are controlled in terms of polynomials with positive numerical coefficients and with the variables \check{c}_*^{-1} and (1.14).

CHAPTER 2. PERIODIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS IN $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$

4. PRELIMINARIES

4.1. The lattices Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Let Γ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d generated by a basis $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$: $\Gamma = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^d n^j \mathbf{a}_j, n^j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By Ω we denote the elementary cell of the lattice Γ : $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^d \xi^j \mathbf{a}_j, 0 < \xi^j < 1\}$. The basis $\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d$, dual to $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$, is defined by the relations $\langle \mathbf{b}^l, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle = 2\pi \delta_j^l$. This basis generates a lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}$ dual to the lattice Γ . By $\tilde{\Omega}$ we denote the first Brillouin zone of the lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}$:

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{k}| < |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{b}|, 0 \neq \mathbf{b} \in \tilde{\Gamma}\}.$$

The domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ is fundamental for $\tilde{\Gamma}$. We use the notation $|\Omega| = \text{meas } \Omega$, $|\tilde{\Omega}| = \text{meas } \tilde{\Omega}$. Let r_0 be the radius of the ball inscribed in $\text{clos } \tilde{\Omega}$ and let $2r_1 = \text{diam } \tilde{\Omega}$.

If $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic matrix-valued function in \mathbb{R}^d , denote

$$\bar{\Phi} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \underline{\Phi} := \left(|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\mathbf{x})^{-1} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Here in the definition of $\bar{\Phi}$ it is assumed that $\Phi \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and in the definition of $\underline{\Phi}$ it is assumed that Φ is squared non-singular matrix-valued function and $\Phi^{-1} \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

4.2. The factorized second-order operator families. (See [BSu2].) Let $b(\mathbf{D}) = \sum_{l=1}^d b_l D_l : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m)$ be a first order DO. Here b_l are $(m \times n)$ -matrices with constant entries. Suppose that $m \geq n$. The symbol $b(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{l=1}^d b_l \xi_l$ is assumed to satisfy $\text{rank } b(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = n$, $0 \neq \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then for some $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 > 0$ we have

$$\alpha_0 \mathbf{1}_n \leq b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \alpha_1 \mathbf{1}_n, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad 0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

Let an $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued function $f(\mathbf{x})$ and an $(m \times m)$ -matrix-valued function $h(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, be bounded together with the inverses:

$$f, f^{-1} \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d); \quad h, h^{-1} \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4.3)$$

The functions f and h are Γ -periodic. Consider the DO

$$\mathcal{X} := hb(\mathbf{D})f : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\text{Dom } \mathcal{X} := \{\mathbf{u} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) : f\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)\}. \quad (4.5)$$

The operator (4.4) is closed on the domain (4.5). In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, consider a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{X}^* \mathcal{X}$ corresponding to the quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \|\mathcal{X}\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}. \quad (4.6)$$

Formally, $\mathcal{A} = f^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g b(\mathbf{D}) f$, where $g = h^* h$. By using the Fourier transform and (4.2), and (4.3), it is easily seen that for $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_{\infty}}^{-1} \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_{\infty}} \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \quad (4.7)$$

4.3. The operators \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Y}_2 . Now we introduce lower order terms. Let the operator $\mathcal{Y} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{dn})$ act by the rule

$$\mathcal{Y}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u}) = \text{col}\{D_1(f\mathbf{u}), \dots, D_d(f\mathbf{u})\}, \quad \text{Dom } \mathcal{Y} = \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}. \quad (4.8)$$

The lower estimate (4.7) means that

$$\|\mathcal{Y}\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_1 \|\mathcal{X}\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}; \quad c_1 = \alpha_0^{-1/2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_{\infty}}^{1/2}. \quad (4.9)$$

In \mathbb{R}^d , let Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued functions $a_j(\mathbf{x})$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, be such that

$$a_j \in L_{\varrho}(\Omega), \quad \varrho = 2 \text{ for } d = 1, \quad \varrho > d \text{ for } d \geq 2; \quad j = 1, \dots, d. \quad (4.10)$$

Let the operator $\mathcal{Y}_2 : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{dn})$ act on the domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{Y}_2 = \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$ by the rule $\mathcal{Y}_2\mathbf{u} = \text{col}\{a_1^* f\mathbf{u}, \dots, a_d^* f\mathbf{u}\}$. Formally, $(\mathcal{Y}_2^* \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{Y}^* \mathcal{Y}_2)\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^d (f^* a_j D_j(f\mathbf{u}) + f^* D_j(a_j^* f\mathbf{u}))$.

Using the Hölder inequality, conditions (4.3), (4.10), and the compactness of the embedding $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_p(\Omega)$ for $p = 2\rho/(\rho - 2)$, one can show that (cf. [Su4, Subsection 5.2]) for any $\nu > 0$ there exist a constant $C(\nu) > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{Y}_2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \nu \|\mathcal{X} \mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + C(\nu) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}. \quad (4.11)$$

For ν fixed, the constant $C(\nu)$ depends on the norms $\|a_j\|_{L_\rho(\Omega)}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, on $\|f\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, α_0 , d , ρ , and on the parameters of the lattice Γ .

From (4.9) and (4.11) one can derive the inequality

$$2\varepsilon |\text{Re}(\mathcal{Y} \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{Y}_2 \mathbf{u})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\mathcal{X} \mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + c_4 \varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}; \quad c_4 = 4\kappa^{-1} c_1^2 C(\nu) \text{ for } \nu = \kappa^2 (16c_1^2)^{-1}.$$

4.4. The operator \mathcal{Q}_0 , the form $q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]$. Let \mathcal{Q}_0 be the operator acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ as multiplication by a Γ -periodic positive definite bounded matrix-valued function $\mathcal{Q}_0(\mathbf{x}) := f(\mathbf{x})^* f(\mathbf{x})$.

Suppose that $d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \{d\mu_{jl}(\mathbf{x})\}$, $j, l = 1, \dots, n$ is a Γ -periodic Borel σ -finite measure in \mathbb{R}^d with values in the class of Hermitian $(n \times n)$ -matrices. In other words, $d\mu_{jl}(\mathbf{x})$ is a complex Γ -periodic measure in \mathbb{R}^d and $d\mu_{jl} = d\mu_{lj}^*$. Assume that the measure $d\mu$ is such that the function $|v(\mathbf{x})|^2$ is integrable with respect to each measure $d\mu_{jl}$ for any function $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, consider the form

$$q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) f \mathbf{u}, f \mathbf{u} \rangle, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}.$$

Assume that the measure $d\mu$ is subject to the following condition.

Condition 4.1. 1°. *There exist constants $\tilde{c}_2 \geq 0$ and $\hat{c}_3 \geq 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ we have*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \right| \leq \left(\tilde{c}_2 \|\mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \hat{c}_3 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\tilde{c}_2 \|\mathbf{D} \mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \hat{c}_3 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

2°. *We have*

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq -\tilde{c} \|\mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 - \hat{c}_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n),$$

with some constants $\hat{c}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and \tilde{c} such that $0 \leq \tilde{c} < \alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1}$.

Note that Condition 4.1 implies estimates

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) f \mathbf{u}, f \mathbf{v} \rangle \right| \leq \left(\tilde{c}_2 \|\mathbf{D}(f \mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\tilde{c}_2 \|\mathbf{D}(f \mathbf{v})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) f \mathbf{u}, f \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq -\tilde{c} \|\mathbf{D}(f \mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 - c_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (4.14)$$

$f \mathbf{u}, f \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$, with constant $c_0 = \hat{c}_0 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2$ if $\hat{c}_0 \geq 0$ and $c_0 = \hat{c}_0 \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-2}$ if $\hat{c}_0 < 0$; $c_3 = \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \hat{c}_3$.

For $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$, we write inequalities (4.13), (4.14) over shifted cells $\Omega + \mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{a} \in \Gamma$, and sum up. We get

$$\begin{aligned} |q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]| &\leq \left(\tilde{c}_2 \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\tilde{c}_2 \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{v})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\ q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] &\geq -\tilde{c} \|\mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - c_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.7), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} |q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]| &\leq \left(c_2 \|\mathcal{X}\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(c_2 \|\mathcal{X}\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

$$q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \geq -(1 - \kappa) \|\mathcal{X}\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - c_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad (4.16)$$

$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$. Here $c_2 = \tilde{c}_2 \alpha_0^{-1} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, $\kappa = 1 - \tilde{c} \alpha_0^{-1} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, $0 < \kappa \leq 1$.

Examples of measures $d\mu$ satisfying Condition 4.1 were given in [Su4, Subsection 5.5]. Here we write only the main example.

Example 4.2. Let the measure $d\mu$ be absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure: $d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$, where $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic Hermitian $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued function in \mathbb{R}^d , and

$$\mathcal{Q} \in L_\sigma(\Omega), \quad \sigma = 1 \text{ for } d = 1; \quad \sigma > d/2 \text{ for } d \geq 2. \quad (4.17)$$

Then

$$q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle d\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}.$$

By the embedding theorem, under condition (4.17) for any $\nu > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_Q(\nu)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x})| |\mathbf{v}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \nu \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}|^2 d\mathbf{x} + C_Q(\nu) \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{v}|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n).$$

For ν fixed, the constant $C_Q(\nu)$ is controlled by d , σ , the norm $\|\mathcal{Q}\|_{L_\sigma(\Omega)}$ and the parameters of the lattice Γ . So, Condition 4.1 is satisfied and the constants can be chosen in the following way: $\tilde{c}_2 = 1$, $\hat{c}_3 = C_Q(1)$, $\tilde{c} = \nu$, and $\hat{c}_0 = C_Q(\nu)$ for $2\nu = \alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1}$.

4.5. The operator $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$. In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we consider the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] &= \mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + 2\varepsilon \text{Re}(\mathcal{Y}\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{Y}_2\mathbf{u})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^2 q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \\ &\quad + \lambda \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{Q}_0 \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and the parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &> \|\mathcal{Q}_0^{-1}\|_{L_\infty} (c_0 + c_4), \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 0, \\ \lambda &> \|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} (c_0 + c_4), \quad \text{if } \lambda < 0 \text{ (and } c_0 + c_4 < 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Now we estimate the form (4.18) from below. Let $\beta > 0$ be defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \lambda \|\mathcal{Q}_0^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} - c_0 - c_4, \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 0, \\ \beta &= \lambda \|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L_\infty} - c_0 - c_4, \quad \text{if } \lambda < 0 \text{ (and } c_0 + c_4 < 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Combining (4.12), (4.16), (4.19), and (4.20), we arrive at

$$\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \geq \frac{\kappa}{2} \mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + \varepsilon^2 \beta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad (4.21)$$

Thus, the form $\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)$ is positive definite. Bringing together (4.9), (4.11) for $\nu = 1$, and estimate (4.15) for the quadratic form $q[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] &\leq (2 + c_1^2 + c_2) \mathfrak{a}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 (C(1) + c_3 + |\lambda| \|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L_\infty}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Inequalities (4.21) and (4.22) imply that the form $\mathfrak{b}(\varepsilon)$ is closed. *The corresponding positive definite operator acting in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$.* Formally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\varepsilon) &= \mathcal{A} + \varepsilon(\mathcal{Y}_2^* \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{Y}^* \mathcal{Y}_2) + \varepsilon^2 f^* \mathcal{Q} f + \varepsilon^2 \lambda \mathcal{Q}_0 \\ &= f^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g b(\mathbf{D}) f + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^d f^* (a_j D_j + D_j a_j^*) f + \varepsilon^2 f^* \mathcal{Q} f + \varepsilon^2 \lambda \mathcal{Q}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where \mathcal{Q} should be interpreted as a generalized matrix potential generated by the measure $d\mu$.

For convenience of the further references, by the „initial data” we call the following set of parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} & d, m, n, \varrho; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|a_j\|_{L_\varrho(\Omega)}, \\ & j = 1, \dots, d; \tilde{c}, \hat{c}_0, \tilde{c}_2, \hat{c}_3 \text{ from Condition 4.1; } \lambda; \text{ the parameters of the lattice } \Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

We will trace the dependence of constants in estimates on these data. The values of $c_1, C(1), \kappa, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_0$, and β are completely determined by initial data (4.24).

5. DIRECT INTEGRAL DECOMPOSITION FOR THE OPERATOR $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$

5.1. The Gelfand transformation. The Gelfand transformation \mathcal{U} is initially defined on functions from the Schwartz class $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ by the rule

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{U}\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}) = |\tilde{\Omega}|^{-1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \Gamma} \exp(-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} \rangle) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Moreover, $\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$, so \mathcal{U} can be extended by continuity to the unitary transformation

$$\mathcal{U} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) d\mathbf{k} =: \mathcal{H}.$$

By $\tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ we denote the subspace of functions from $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ whose Γ -periodic extension to \mathbb{R}^d belongs to the class

$$H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n).$$

The inclusion $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot) \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$$

for a. e. $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} (|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x})|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{k} < \infty.$$

Under the transformation \mathcal{U} , the operator of multiplication by a bounded periodic matrix-valued function in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ turns into the operator of multiplication by the same function in the fibers of the direct integral \mathcal{H} . The action of the operator $b(\mathbf{D})$ on the function $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ turns into the fiberwise action of the operator $b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot) \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$.

5.2. The operators $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$. (See [BSu2, Subsection 2.2.1].) We set

$$\mathfrak{H} = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad \mathfrak{H}_* = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^{dn}) \quad (5.1)$$

and consider the closed operator $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_*$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, defined by the relations

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) = h b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) f, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\mathfrak{d} := \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{H} : f\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)\}. \quad (5.3)$$

The self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) := \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})^* \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k}) : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is generated by the closed quadratic form $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] := \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathfrak{H}_*}^2$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By (4.2) and (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty} \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \\ \mathbf{v} &= f\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

From (5.4) and the compactness of the embedding of $\tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ into \mathfrak{H} , it follows that the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})$ is discrete. Put $\mathfrak{N} := \text{Ker } \mathcal{A}(0) = \text{Ker } \mathcal{X}(0)$. Inequalities (5.4) with $\mathbf{k} = 0$ imply that

$$\mathfrak{N} = \text{Ker } \mathcal{A}(0) = \{\mathbf{u} \in L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) : f\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^n\}, \quad \dim \mathfrak{N} = n. \quad (5.5)$$

As was shown in [BSu2, (2.2.11), (2.2.12)],

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) \geq c_* |\mathbf{k}|^2 I, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \text{clos } \tilde{\Omega}; \quad c_* = \alpha_0 \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1}. \quad (5.6)$$

According to [BSu2, (2.2.14)], the distance d^0 from the point $\lambda_0 = 0$ to the rest of the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{A}(0)$ satisfies the estimate

$$d^0 \geq 4c_* r_0^2. \quad (5.7)$$

5.3. The operators $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k})$ and Y_2 . Consider the operator $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k}): \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ acting on the domain $\text{Dom } \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathfrak{D}$ by the rule

$$\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})f\mathbf{u} = \text{col} \{(D_1 + k_1)f\mathbf{u}, \dots, (D_d + k_d)f\mathbf{u}\}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}. \quad (5.8)$$

From the lower estimate (5.4), it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq c_1 \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad (5.9)$$

where the constant c_1 was defined in (4.9).

Consider the operator $Y_2: \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ defined by the relation

$$Y_2\mathbf{u} = \text{col} \{a_1^* f\mathbf{u}, \dots, a_d^* f\mathbf{u}\}, \quad \text{Dom } Y_2 = \mathfrak{D}. \quad (5.10)$$

As was shown in [Su4, Subsection 5.7], for any $\nu > 0$ there exist constants $C_j(\nu) > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, such that for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\|a_j^* \mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \nu \|(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + C_j(\nu) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Let $\mathbf{v} = f\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then, summing up the mentioned inequalities over j and taking (4.3) and (5.4) into account, we obtain that for any $\nu > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\nu) > 0$ (the same as in (4.11)) such that

$$\|Y_2\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2 \leq \nu \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*}^2 + C(\nu) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (5.11)$$

5.4. The operator \mathcal{Q}_0 and the form $q_\Omega[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]$. Let \mathcal{Q}_0 be the bounded operator in \mathfrak{H} , acting as multiplication by the matrix-valued function $\mathcal{Q}_0(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})^* f(\mathbf{x})$.

In $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$, consider the form

$$q_\Omega[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\Omega} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x}) f\mathbf{u}, f\mathbf{u} \rangle, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

In (4.13) and (4.14), we replace the function $f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ by $f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)$ (these functions simultaneously belong to the space $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$) and $f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ by $f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \exp(i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)$. By using (5.4), we get

$$|q_\Omega[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]| \leq (c_2 \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2)^{1/2} (c_2 \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{v}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*}^2 + c_3 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2)^{1/2}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$q_\Omega[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \geq -(1 - \kappa) \|\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*}^2 - c_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2; \quad \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (5.13)$$

Here the constants κ , c_0 , c_2 , and c_3 are the same as in (4.15) and (4.16).

5.5. The operator pencil $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$. In \mathfrak{H} , consider the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] &= \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + 2\varepsilon \text{Re} (\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{u}, Y_2\mathbf{u})_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 q_\Omega[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + \lambda \varepsilon^2 (\mathcal{Q}_0\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.19), (4.20), (5.9), (5.11), and (5.13) it follows that

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \geq \frac{\kappa}{2} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + \beta \varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{D}. \quad (5.14)$$

Next, from (5.9), (5.11) for $\nu = 1$, and (5.12) we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] &\leq (2 + c_1^2 + c_2)\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \\ &\quad + (C(1) + c_3 + |\lambda| \|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L^\infty})\varepsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Inequalities (5.14) and (5.15) show that the form $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ is positive definite and closed on the domain (5.3). The self-adjoint positive-definite operator in \mathfrak{H} corresponding to this form is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$. Formally we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) &= \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon(Y_2^* \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{k})^* Y_2) + \varepsilon^2 f^* \mathcal{Q} f + \lambda \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{Q}_0 \\ &= f^* b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* g b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) f + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^d f^* (a_j(D_j + k_j) + (D_j + k_j)a_j^*) f \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 f^* \mathcal{Q} f + \lambda \varepsilon^2 f^* f. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

5.6. The direct integral decomposition for the operator $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$. Under the Gelfand transformation \mathcal{U} , the operator (4.23) acting in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ is decomposed into the direct integral of operators (5.16) acting in $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$\mathcal{U} \mathcal{B}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{U}^{-1} = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) d\mathbf{k}.$$

This means the following. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathcal{U} \mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{b}(\varepsilon) = \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{d} \text{ for a. e. } \mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$\mathbf{b}(\varepsilon)[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{k}, \cdot)] d\mathbf{k}. \quad (5.18)$$

Conversely, if for $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{H}$ one has (5.17) and the integral in (5.18) is finite, then $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathbf{b}(\varepsilon) = \text{Dom } \mathcal{X}$ and (5.18) is fulfilled.

6. INCORPORATION OF THE OPERATORS $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ INTO THE ABSTRACT SCHEME

The content of Subsections 6.1–7.5 is borrowed from [Su7].

6.1. For $d > 1$, the operator $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ depends on the multi-dimensional parameter \mathbf{k} . According to [BSu2, Chapter 2], we distinct a one-dimensional parameter t , setting $\mathbf{k} = t\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $t = |\mathbf{k}|$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We apply the scheme of Chapter 1. In this case, the resulting objects depend on an additional parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and we must make our considerations and estimates uniform in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. The spaces \mathfrak{H} , \mathfrak{H}_* , and $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ are defined in (5.1). Put $X(t) = X(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathcal{X}(t\boldsymbol{\theta})$. By (5.2), we have $X(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = X_0 + tX_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where

$$X_0 = \mathcal{X}(0) = hb(\mathbf{D})f, \quad \text{Dom } X_0 = \mathfrak{d}; \quad X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = hb(\boldsymbol{\theta})f. \quad (6.1)$$

Next, we set $A(t) = A(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathcal{A}(t\boldsymbol{\theta})$. In accordance with (5.5), the kernel $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Ker } X_0 = \text{Ker } \mathcal{A}(0)$ in n -dimensional. Condition 1.1 is satisfied. The quantity d^0 admits estimate (5.7). As was shown in [BSu2, Chapter 2, §3], the condition $n \leq n_* = \dim \text{Ker } X_0^*$ is also satisfied. Estimate (5.6) corresponds to inequality (2.1).

Next, the role of $Y(t)$ is played by the operator $Y(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathcal{Y}(t\boldsymbol{\theta})$. By (5.8), we have the identity $Y(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = Y_0 + tY_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{D}(f\mathbf{u}) = \text{col} \{D_1 f\mathbf{u}, \dots, D_d f\mathbf{u}\}, \quad \text{Dom } Y_0 = \mathfrak{d}; \\ Y_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{u} &= \text{col} \{\theta_1 f\mathbf{u}, \dots, \theta_d f\mathbf{u}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Condition 1.2 holds true because of estimate (5.9). The operator Y_2 is defined in (5.10). Condition 1.3 is satisfied due to (5.11). The role of the form \mathbf{q} from Subsection 1.3 is played by the form q_Ω . Condition 1.4 is valid due to (5.12), (5.13). The role of the operator \mathcal{Q}_0 from Subsection 1.4 is played by the operator of multiplication by the matrix-valued function $\mathcal{Q}_0(\mathbf{x})$. The restriction (1.9) on the parameter λ holds true by (4.19).

Finally, the role of the operator pencil $B(t, \varepsilon)$ (see Subsection 1.4) is played by the operator family (5.16): $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta}) := \mathcal{B}(t\boldsymbol{\theta}, \varepsilon)$.

Thus, all the assumptions of the abstract scheme are fulfilled.

6.2. According to Subsection 1.5, we should fix a positive number δ such that $\delta < \kappa d^0/13$. Taking (5.6) and (5.7) into account, we put

$$\delta = \frac{1}{4}\kappa c_* r_0^2 = \frac{1}{4}\kappa \alpha_0 \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} r_0^2.$$

Note that (4.2), (4.3), (6.1), and (6.2) imply the relations

$$\|X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| \leq \alpha_1^{1/2} \|g\|_{L_\infty}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \quad \|Y_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\| = \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \quad (6.3)$$

Now the right-hand side in estimate (1.13) takes the form

$$\delta^{1/2} ((2 + c_1^2 + c_2) \|X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|^2 + C(1) + c_3 + |\lambda| \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2)^{-1/2}$$

and depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We choose the following value for the constant τ_0 suitable for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$:

$$\tau_0 = \delta^{1/2} ((2 + c_1^2 + c_2) \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 + C(1) + c_3 + |\lambda| \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2)^{-1/2}. \quad (6.4)$$

By (5.6) and (5.14), the operator $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ satisfies a condition of the form (2.2):

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \check{c}_*(t^2 + \varepsilon^2)I, \quad \mathbf{k} = t\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1; \quad (6.5)$$

$$\check{c}_* = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\kappa c_*; 2\beta\}. \quad (6.6)$$

6.3. **The case when $f = \mathbf{1}_n$.** In what follows, all the objects corresponding to the case of $f = \mathbf{1}_n$, are marked by the upper sing “ $\hat{}$ ”. We have $\hat{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{H} = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$. In accordance with Subsection 6.1, $\hat{X}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{X}_0 + t\hat{X}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where

$$\hat{X}_0 = hb(\mathbf{D}), \quad \text{Dom } \hat{X}_0 = \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad (6.7)$$

and $\hat{X}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a bounded operator of multiplication by the matrix $h(\mathbf{x})b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$:

$$\hat{X}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = hb(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (6.8)$$

Formally, $\hat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{X}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})^* \hat{X}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})$. In the case when $f = \mathbf{1}_n$, the kernel (5.5) coincides with the subspace of constants $\hat{\mathfrak{N}} = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{H} : \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$. The orthogonal projection \hat{P} of the space $\mathfrak{H} = L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ onto the subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{N}} = \mathbb{C}^n$ is the operator of averaging over the cell Ω :

$$\hat{P}\mathbf{u} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) dx. \quad (6.9)$$

Next, $\hat{Y}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{Y}_0 + t\hat{Y}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$, where

$$\hat{Y}_0\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} = \text{col}\{D_1\mathbf{u}, \dots, D_d\mathbf{u}\}, \quad \text{Dom } \hat{Y}_0 = \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad (6.10)$$

$$\hat{Y}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{u} = \text{col}\{\theta_1\mathbf{u}, \dots, \theta_d\mathbf{u}\}. \quad (6.11)$$

The operator $\hat{Y}_2 : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ acts by the rule

$$\hat{Y}_2\mathbf{u} = \text{col}\{a_1^*\mathbf{u}, \dots, a_d^*\mathbf{u}\}, \quad \text{Dom } \hat{Y}_2 = \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n). \quad (6.12)$$

The role of the form $\hat{q}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}]$ is played by the form $\int_{\Omega} \langle d\mu(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$, $\mathbf{u} \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$. The role of the operator \hat{Q}_0 is played by the identity operator I .

The operator pencil $\hat{B}(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is formally given by the expression

$$\hat{B}(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{A}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon(\hat{Y}_2^* \hat{Y}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \hat{Y}(t; \boldsymbol{\theta})^* \hat{Y}_2) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{Q} + \lambda \varepsilon^2 I.$$

(We emphasise that the formal object \mathcal{Q} is not changed.)

6.4. The case when $f \neq \mathbf{1}_n$. The implementation of the assumptions of §3. Now we return to consideration of the operators $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$ of the general form (4.23) and the corresponding families $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ defined in Subsection 6.1. We hold the upper mark “ $\widehat{}$ ” to indicate the objects corresponding to the case where $f = \mathbf{1}_n$ and $b(\mathbf{D})$, g , a_j , $j = 1, \dots, d$, λ , and $d\mu$ are the same as before.

Let us show that the operator families $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ satisfy the assumptions from §3 of the abstract scheme. Indeed, identity (3.7) corresponds to the obvious equality $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = f^* \widehat{B}(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta}) f$. The role of the isomorphism M is played now by the operator of multiplication by the matrix-valued function $f(\mathbf{x})$. Then the role of the operator G (see (3.2)) is played by the operator of multiplication by the matrix-valued function $(f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^*)^{-1}$. The block of G in the kernel $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}} = \mathbb{C}^n$ is the operator of multiplication by the constant matrix $\overline{G} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (f(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})^*)^{-1} dx$. The role of the operator M_0 (see (3.24)) is played by the operator of multiplication by the matrix

$$f_0 := (\overline{G})^{-1/2} = (\underline{f f^*})^{1/2}. \quad (6.13)$$

Note that

$$|f_0| \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}, \quad |f_0^{-1}| \leq \|f^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}. \quad (6.14)$$

6.5. On the constants in estimates. Our aim is to apply the results of Chapter 1 to the operator $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ depending on the additional parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. To realise the abstract scheme, we need to make all the estimates from Chapter 1 for this operator uniform in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, i. e., for each constant to choose a value suitable for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. In Chapter 1, it was traced that (see Remarks 1.5 and 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) the values of the constants C_N , C_5 , C_7 , C_8 , and C_9 are controlled in terms of polynomials with positive numerical coefficients and the variables (1.14) and \check{c}_*^{-1} , and the constant C_G is controlled in terms of the same variables and on $\|M^{-1}\|$. In the case under consideration, $\|M^{-1}\| = \|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L_\infty}^{1/2}$, the data (1.14) reduce to the set of data $\delta, \delta^{-1/2}, \tau_0, \kappa^{1/2}, \kappa^{-1/2}, c_1, c_2^{1/2}, c_3^{1/2}, C(1)^{1/2}, |\lambda|, \|X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|, \|Y_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|$, and $\|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L_\infty}$. The quantities $\delta, \delta^{-1/2}, \kappa^{1/2}, \kappa^{-1/2}, c_1, c_2^{1/2}, c_3^{1/2}$, and $C(1)^{1/2}$ do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and can be controlled in terms of the initial data (4.24); the number τ_0 is already chosen independent on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (see (6.4)). By using (6.3), instead of $\|X_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|$ we can take $\alpha_1^{1/2} \|g\|_{L_\infty}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}$, and instead of $\|Y_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})\|$ we can take the norm $\|f\|_{L_\infty}$. Thus, the following observation holds true.

Remark 6.1. *The values of constants C_G, C_5, C_7, C_8 , and C_9 for the operator $B(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ can be chosen independent on $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$.*

7. THE EFFECTIVE CHARACTERISTICS

7.1. The operators $\widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, \widetilde{Z} , and $\widehat{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. The operator \widehat{Z} defined in Subsection 1.6 now depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We define a Γ -periodic $(n \times m)$ -matrix-valued function $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ as the weak solution of the equation

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x})(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{1}_m) = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) dx = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

As was shown in [Su4, Subsection 6.3], the operator $\widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ is defined by the equality

$$\widehat{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Lambda b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}, \quad (7.2)$$

where \widehat{P} is the projector (6.9).

According to [Su4, Subsection 6.3]

$$\widetilde{Z} = \widetilde{\Lambda} \widehat{P}, \quad (7.3)$$

where $\widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued solution of the problem

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d D_j a_j(\mathbf{x})^* = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) dx = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

The operator $\widehat{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) : \widehat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*$ acts as multiplication by the matrix-valued function

$$\widehat{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda + \mathbf{1}_m) b(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (7.5)$$

7.2. The operator $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. The effective matrix. The spectral germ \widehat{S} introduced in Subsection 1.7 now depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. According to [BSu2, Chapter 3, §1], the operator $\widehat{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) : \widehat{\mathfrak{N}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ acts as the operator of multiplication by the matrix $b(\boldsymbol{\theta})^* g^0 b(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Here g^0 is a constant $(m \times m)$ -matrix called the *effective matrix* and defined by the expression

$$g^0 = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{x})(b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{1}_m). \quad (7.6)$$

We need the following properties of the effective matrix, see [BSu2, Chapter 3, §1].

Proposition 7.1. *The effective matrix g^0 is subject to estimates*

$$\underline{g} \leq g^0 \leq \overline{g}.$$

(Here \underline{g} and \overline{g} are defined according to (4.1).) For $m = n$ one has $g^0 = \underline{g}$.

Proposition 7.2. *The equality $g^0 = \overline{g}$ is equivalent to the relations*

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* \mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (7.7)$$

for the columns $\mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{x})$ of the matrix $g(\mathbf{x})$.

Proposition 7.3. *The identity $g^0 = \underline{g}$ is equivalent to the representations*

$$\mathbf{l}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{l}_k^0 + b(\mathbf{D})\mathbf{w}_k, \quad \mathbf{l}_k^0 \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad \mathbf{w}_k \in \widetilde{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m), \quad k = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\mathbf{l}_k(\mathbf{x})$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, are the columns of the matrix $g(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$.

7.3. The operator $\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$. The operator $\widehat{L}(t, \varepsilon)$ defined according to (2.29) and acting in the space $\widehat{\mathfrak{N}}$ now depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. It turns out (see [Su4, (7.2), (7.3), (7.8)]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) &= b(\mathbf{k})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon(b(\mathbf{k})^* V + V^* b(\mathbf{k})) + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^d (\overline{a_j + a_j^*}) k_j + \varepsilon^2(-W + \overline{Q} + \lambda I), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant matrices $(\overline{a_j + a_j^*})$ are defined in accordance with (4.1),

$$V := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (b(\mathbf{D})\Lambda(\mathbf{x}))^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (7.8)$$

$$W := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (b(\mathbf{D}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}))^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad (7.9)$$

$$\overline{Q} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} d\mu(\mathbf{x}). \quad (7.10)$$

In consistence with (5.6), $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}) \geq \widehat{c}_* |\mathbf{k}|^2 I$, $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, where $\widehat{c}_* = \alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}$. Note that the constants c_* and \widehat{c}_* are related by the identity $c_* = \|f^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{-2} \widehat{c}_*$. In accordance with (3.8), $\beta \leq \|f^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{-2} \widehat{\beta}$. By (6.6), $\check{c}_* = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\kappa c_*; 2\beta\}$, $\widehat{c}_* = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\kappa \widehat{c}_*; 2\widehat{\beta}\}$. So, $\check{c}_* \leq \|f^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{-2} \widehat{c}_*$. According to (2.30),

$$\widehat{L}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) \geq \widehat{c}_* (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{1}_n.$$

Together with (6.14) this implies the estimate

$$f_0 \widehat{L}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) f_0 \geq \check{c}_* (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{1}_n, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (7.11)$$

Set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k}) = b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^0(\mathbf{k}) = -b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* V + \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{a_j} (D_j + k_j),$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^0(\mathbf{k}) + \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^0(\mathbf{k})^*) + \varepsilon^2(\overline{Q} - W + \lambda I). \quad (7.12)$$

Then

$$\widehat{L}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) \widehat{P} = \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) \widehat{P}. \quad (7.13)$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) := f_0 \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) f_0, \quad (7.14)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ is the operator (7.12). Since the symbol of the operator $\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ is subject to estimate (7.11), using the Fourier series expansion, one can show that

$$\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) \geq \check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)I, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}. \quad (7.15)$$

7.4. The case when $f \neq \mathbf{1}_n$. The operators $\widehat{Z}_G(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\widehat{\widetilde{Z}}_G$. Now we return to the analysis of the general case where $f \neq \mathbf{1}_n$. We give a realisation for the operators discussed in Subsection 3.4. Define a Γ -periodic $(n \times m)$ -matrix-valued function $\Lambda_G(\mathbf{x})$ as a (weak) solution of the problem

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) (b(\mathbf{D}) \Lambda_G(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{1}_m) = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda_G(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (7.16)$$

Cf. [BSu4, §5]. Obviously, $\Lambda_G(\mathbf{x})$ differs from the solution $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ of problem (7.1) by the constant summand:

$$\Lambda_G(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) + \Lambda_G^0, \quad \Lambda_G^0 = -(\overline{G})^{-1} (\overline{G\Lambda}). \quad (7.17)$$

In [BSu4, Subsection 7.3] it was obtained that

$$|\Lambda_G^0| \leq \mathfrak{C}_G = m^{1/2} (2r_0)^{-1} \alpha_0^{-1/2} \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2} \|g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|f^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2. \quad (7.18)$$

According to [BSu4, §5], the role of the operator \widehat{Z}_G from Subsection 3.4 is played by the operator

$$\widehat{Z}_G(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Lambda_G b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \widehat{P}. \quad (7.19)$$

By using the relation $b(\mathbf{D}) \widehat{P} = 0$, we get $t \widehat{Z}_G(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) \widehat{P}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Next, similarly to (7.4), we consider a Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued solution $\widetilde{\Lambda}_G(\mathbf{x})$ of the problem

$$b(\mathbf{D})^* g(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) \widetilde{\Lambda}_G(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^d D_j a_j(\mathbf{x})^* = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} G(\mathbf{x}) \widetilde{\Lambda}_G(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (7.20)$$

Note that

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_G(\mathbf{x}) = \widetilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) + \widetilde{\Lambda}_G^0, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}_G^0 = -(\overline{G})^{-1} (\overline{G\widetilde{\Lambda}}). \quad (7.21)$$

In [M, (7.12)], it was shown that

$$|\widetilde{\Lambda}_G^0| \leq \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_G = (2r_0)^{-1} C_a n^{1/2} \alpha_0^{-1} \|g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|f^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 |\Omega|^{-1/2}. \quad (7.22)$$

Here $C_a^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\Omega} |a_j(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$. By the definition of the operator $\widehat{\widetilde{Z}}_G$ and the matrix-valued function $\widetilde{\Lambda}_G$, it follows that

$$\widehat{\widetilde{Z}}_G = \widetilde{\Lambda}_G \widehat{P}. \quad (7.23)$$

7.5. The operator $\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$. The operator $\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon)$ defined according (3.15)–(3.19) now depends on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and looks like this:

$$\widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = t^3 \widehat{N}_{G,11}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + t^2 \varepsilon \widehat{N}_{G,12}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + t \varepsilon^2 \widehat{N}_{G,21}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon^3 \widehat{N}_{G,22}, \quad (7.24)$$

where the operators $\widehat{N}_{G,jl}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are defined by (3.16)–(3.19) with the operators \widehat{X}_1 , \widehat{Z}_G , \widehat{R} , and \widehat{Y}_1 depending on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. (It is clear that $\widehat{N}_{G,22}$ does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.)

By using equalities (6.8), (6.9), (7.5), and (7.19), one can show (cf. [BSu4, Subsection 5.3]) that the first summand in the right-hand side of (7.24) has the form

$$\widehat{N}_{G,11}(\mathbf{k}) := t^3 \widehat{N}_{G,11}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = b(\mathbf{k})^* M_G(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{P},$$

where $M_G(\mathbf{k}) = \overline{\Lambda_G^* b(\mathbf{k})^* \widehat{g}} + \overline{\widehat{g}^* b(\mathbf{k}) \Lambda_G}$. Note that $M_G(\mathbf{k})$ is a Hermitian $(m \times m)$ -matrix-valued function of \mathbf{k} homogeneous of the degree one. Thus, $M_G(\mathbf{k})$ is a symbol of a self-adjoint first order DO $M_G(\mathbf{D})$ with constant coefficients.

Put $\widehat{N}_{G,12}(\mathbf{k}) := t^2 \widehat{N}_{G,12}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Using identities (6.7)–(6.12), (7.5), (7.19), and (7.23), by analogy with [Su7, Subsection 5.2], one can show that

$$\varepsilon \widehat{N}_{G,12}(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon (b(\mathbf{k})^* T_{G,0} b(\mathbf{k}) + M_{G,1}(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}) + b(\mathbf{k})^* M_{G,1}(\mathbf{k})^*) \widehat{P},$$

where

$$M_{G,1}(\mathbf{k}) = \overline{\tilde{\Lambda}_G^* b(\mathbf{k})^* \tilde{g}} + \overline{(b(\mathbf{D})\tilde{\Lambda}_G)^* g b(\mathbf{k}) \Lambda_G} + \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{(a_j + a_j^*) \Lambda_G k_j},$$

$$T_{G,0} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \operatorname{Re} \overline{\tilde{\Lambda}_G^* a_j D_j \Lambda_G}.$$

Let $\widehat{N}_{G,21}(\mathbf{k}) := t\widehat{N}_{G,21}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. By analogy with [Su7, Subsection 5.2], one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \widehat{N}_{G,21}(\mathbf{k}) &= \varepsilon^2 (M_{G,2}(\mathbf{k}) + M_{G,2}(\mathbf{k})^* + T_G^* b(\mathbf{k}) + b(\mathbf{k})^* T_G) \widehat{P} \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^d \operatorname{Re} \overline{(a_j + a_j^*) \tilde{\Lambda}_G k_j} \widehat{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{G,2}(\mathbf{k}) = \overline{(b(\mathbf{D})\tilde{\Lambda}_G)^* g b(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{\Lambda}_G},$$

$$T_G = \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\overline{\Lambda_G^* a_j (D_j \tilde{\Lambda}_G)} + \overline{(D_j \Lambda_G)^* a_j^* \tilde{\Lambda}_G} \right) + \overline{\Lambda_G^* \mathcal{Q}} + \lambda \overline{\Lambda_G^*}.$$

Here $\overline{\Lambda_G^* \mathcal{Q}} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_G(\mathbf{x})^* d\mu(\mathbf{x})$.

Finally, similarly to [Su7, (5.30), (5.31)], $\widehat{N}_{G,22} = (\tilde{T}_G + \tilde{T}_G^*) \widehat{P}$. Here

$$\tilde{T}_G = \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{\tilde{\Lambda}_G^* a_j (D_j \tilde{\Lambda}_G)} + \overline{\tilde{\Lambda}_G^* \mathcal{Q}} + \lambda \overline{\tilde{\Lambda}_G^*},$$

where $\overline{\tilde{\Lambda}_G^* \mathcal{Q}} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Lambda}_G(\mathbf{x})^* d\mu(\mathbf{x})$.

As a result, the operator $\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = \widehat{N}_G(t, \varepsilon; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ defined in (7.24) is represented as

$$\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = \widehat{N}_{G,11}(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon \widehat{N}_{G,12}(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon^2 \widehat{N}_{G,21}(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon^3 \widehat{N}_{G,22}.$$

According to Remark 6.1, for the operator $\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$, estimate of the form (3.20) holds true and the constant C_G can be chosen independent on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. So, for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ we have

$$\|\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)\|_{\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}} = |\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)| \leq C_G (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{3/2}. \quad (7.25)$$

(We taken into account that, for the operator of multiplication by a constant matrix, the operator norm in $L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n)$ matches with the matrix norm.)

Since the projection \widehat{P} stands in the expressions defining the operators $\widehat{N}_{G,11}(\mathbf{k})$, $\widehat{N}_{G,12}(\mathbf{k})$, and $\widehat{N}_{G,21}(\mathbf{k})$, we can replace \mathbf{k} by $\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}$ in these expressions: $\widehat{N}_G(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) \widehat{P}$, where $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ is a self-adjoint third order DO:

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{N}_{11}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon \mathcal{N}_{12}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{N}_{21}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon^3 \mathcal{N}_{22}.$$

Here the summands in the right-hand side are DO's of the third, second, first, and zero order, respectively, given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{11}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) &= b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* M_G(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}), \\ \mathcal{N}_{12}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) &= b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* T_{G,0} b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + M_{G,1}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad + b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* M_{G,1}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^*, \\ \mathcal{N}_{21}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) &= M_{G,2}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + M_{G,2}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* + T_G^* b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* T_G \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \operatorname{Re} \overline{(a_j + a_j^*) \tilde{\Lambda}_G (D_j + k_j)}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{22} &= \tilde{T}_G + \tilde{T}_G^*. \end{aligned} \quad (7.26)$$

Remark 7.4. If the Γ -periodic solutions of problems (7.16) and (7.20) are equal to zero: $\Lambda_G = 0$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_G = 0$, then, by construction, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) = 0$.

8. APPROXIMATION FOR THE OPERATOR $f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f^*$

8.1. Now we apply Theorem 3.2 to the operator $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$. Taking equalities (7.2), (7.3), (7.13), and (7.14) into account, from Theorem 3.2 with $|\tau| = (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} \leq \tau_0$ we deduce that

$$f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f^* = f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f_0 \widehat{P} + \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) + f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^*. \quad (8.1)$$

Here the operator $\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ was defined in (7.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) := & \left(\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon \widetilde{\Lambda}_G \right) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f_0 \widehat{P} \\ & + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f_0 \widehat{P} \left(b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k})^* \Lambda_G^* + \varepsilon \widetilde{\Lambda}_G^* \right) \\ & - \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)\tilde{s}} f_0 \widehat{P} d\tilde{s}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

and the remainder term $f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^*$ for $(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} \leq \tau_0$ satisfies

$$\|f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq C_7 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 (s+1)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s \geq 0; \quad (8.3)$$

$$\|f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq C_5 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s > 0. \quad (8.4)$$

8.2. **Estimates for $|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 > \tau_0^2$.** If $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 > \tau_0^2$, it suffices to estimate each operator in (8.1) separately. By (6.5) and the elementary inequalities $e^{-\alpha} \leq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha/2}$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $e^{-\alpha} \leq 2(1+\alpha)^{-1} e^{-\alpha/2}$ for $\alpha \geq 0$, we see that for $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, $|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 > \tau_0^2$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} & \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s} \\ & \leq \tau_0^{-2} \check{c}_*^{-1} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2, \quad s > 0; \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} & \leq 2 \max\{1; \check{c}_*^{-1} \tau_0^{-2}\} \\ & \times (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2, \quad s \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

Similarly, by (6.14) and (7.15) for $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$, $|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 > \tau_0^2$ we have

$$\|f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f_0 \widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq \tau_0^{-2} \check{c}_*^{-1} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2, \quad s > 0; \quad (8.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} f_0 \widehat{P}\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} & \leq 2 \max\{1; \check{c}_*^{-1} \tau_0^{-2}\} \\ & \times (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2, \quad s \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.8)$$

By (3.25), (3.26) and Remark 6.1, for the corrector we have estimates with constants independent of θ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} & \leq C_8 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 s^{-1} (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2} \\ & \leq C_8 \tau_0^{-1} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

$$s > 0, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}, \quad |\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 > \tau_0^2;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} & \leq C_9 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 (|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2} (1 + \check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2} \\ & \leq C_9 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 (r_1^2 + 1)^{1/2} \max\{1; \check{c}_*^{-1} \tau_0^{-2}\} (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \\ & s \geq 0, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}, \quad |\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2 > \tau_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

Combining (8.4), (8.5), (8.7), and (8.9), we conclude that for $s > 0$ and any $\mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ the representation (8.1) holds true with the error estimate

$$\|f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq C_{10} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s > 0, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \widetilde{\Omega}. \quad (8.11)$$

Here $C_{10} = \max\{C_5; 2\tau_0^{-2} \check{c}_*^{-1} + C_8 \tau_0^{-1}\} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2$.

By (8.3), (8.6), (8.8), and (8.10), for the remainder term in (8.1) for $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have the estimate

$$\|f\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s)f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \leq C_{11}(1+s)^{-1}e^{-\tilde{c}_*(|\mathbf{k}|^2+\varepsilon^2)s/2}, \quad s \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \quad (8.12)$$

with the constant $C_{11} = \max\{C_7; (4 + C_9(1 + r_1^2)^{1/2}) \max\{1; \tilde{c}_*^{-1}\tau_0^{-2}\}\} \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2$.

We have got the following result.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{B}^0(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$ be the operators (5.16) and (7.14), respectively, and let $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s)$ be the corrector (8.2). Then for $s \geq 0$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have the representation (8.1), and the remainder term satisfies estimates (8.11) and (8.12), where the constants C_{10} and C_{11} are controlled in terms of the initial data (4.24).*

9. APPROXIMATION FOR THE OPERATOR $f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)s} f^*$

9.1. Now we return to the analysis of the operator $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$ acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and defined in Subsection 4.5. Approximation for the bordered operator exponential $f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)s} f^*$ can be derived from Theorem 8.1 by using the direct integral decomposition.

We introduce the effective operator with constant coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon) &:= f_0 b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) f_0 \\ &+ \varepsilon f_0 \left(-b(\mathbf{D})^* V - V^* b(\mathbf{D}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{(a_j + a_j^*)} D_j \right) f_0 \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 f_0 (-W + \overline{Q} + \lambda I) f_0. \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

The symbol of the operator (9.1) is the matrix $f_0 \widehat{L}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon) f_0$ (see Subsection 7.3).

Define the third order DO with constant coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) &= \mathcal{N}_{11}(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon \mathcal{N}_{12}(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{N}_{21}(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon^3 \mathcal{N}_{22}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{11}(\mathbf{D}) &= b(\mathbf{D})^* M_G(\mathbf{D}) b(\mathbf{D}), \\ \mathcal{N}_{12}(\mathbf{D}) &= b(\mathbf{D})^* T_{G,0} b(\mathbf{D}) + M_{G,1}(\mathbf{D}) b(\mathbf{D}) + b(\mathbf{D})^* M_{G,1}(\mathbf{D})^*, \\ \mathcal{N}_{21}(\mathbf{D}) &= M_{G,2}(\mathbf{D}) + M_{G,2}(\mathbf{D})^* + T_G^* b(\mathbf{D}) + b(\mathbf{D})^* T_G \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{\operatorname{Re}(a_j + a_j^*)} \widehat{\Lambda}_G D_j. \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

(Recall that the matrix \mathcal{N}_{22} was defined in (7.26).)

We use the direct integral decomposition for the operator $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$, see Subsection 5.6. Then for the operator exponential one has the representation

$$e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)s} = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus e^{-\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)s} d\mathbf{k} \right) \mathcal{U}.$$

A similar identity holds true for $e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s}$. The operator

$$\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon \widehat{\Lambda}_G$$

decomposes into the direct integral with fibers $\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon \widehat{\Lambda}_G$. For the operator $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$, we have decomposition into the direct integral of the operators $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon)$. Thus, Remark 7.4 implies the following observation.

Remark 9.1. *If $\Lambda_G = 0$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}_G = 0$, then $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) = 0$.*

Define a bounded operator Π in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ by the relation

$$\Pi = \mathcal{U}^{-1} [\widehat{P}] \mathcal{U},$$

where $[\widehat{P}]$ is the operator acting in the space $\mathcal{H} = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus L_2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) d\mathbf{k}$ fiber-wise as the operator \widehat{P} of averaging over the cell. As was obtained in [BSu4, Subsection 6.1], the operator Π can be

written as

$$(\Pi \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle} (\mathcal{F} \mathbf{u})(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d\boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (9.3)$$

where $(\mathcal{F} \mathbf{u})(\cdot)$ is the Fourier image of the function \mathbf{u} . Thus, Π is PDO with the symbol $\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, where $\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\tilde{\Omega}$. This operator is a smoothing one. Note that Π commutes with differential operators with constant coefficients.

Theorem 8.1 implies the identity

$$f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)s} f^* = f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 \Pi + \mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s) + \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, s). \quad (9.4)$$

Here the corrector $\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) d\mathbf{k} \right) \mathcal{U}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s) &= \left(\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon \tilde{\Lambda}_G \right) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 \Pi \\ &\quad + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 \Pi \left(b(\mathbf{D})^* \Lambda_G^* + \varepsilon \tilde{\Lambda}_G^* \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)\tilde{s}} f_0 \Pi d\tilde{s}, \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

and the operator $\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, s) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \oplus f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^* d\mathbf{k} \right) \mathcal{U}$ is subject to the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathbf{k} \in \tilde{\Omega}} \|f \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}, \varepsilon, s) f^*\|_{L_2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C_{10} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s > 0; \\ \|\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C_{11} (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

9.2. Elimination of the operator Π . Now we discuss the possibility to remove the smoothing operator from the corrector in the approximation (9.4). Put

$$\Xi(\varepsilon, s) := f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 (I - \Pi). \quad (9.6)$$

Since the matrix $f_0 \widehat{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varepsilon) f_0$ is the symbol of the operator $\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)$ and Π is a PDO with the symbol $\chi_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, by (6.14), (7.11), and the elementary inequality $e^{-\alpha} \leq 2(1+\alpha)^{-1} e^{-\alpha/2}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, for $s \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-f_0 \widehat{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varepsilon) f_0 s} (1 - \chi_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}))| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \geq r_0} e^{-\check{c}_* (|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 + \varepsilon^2) s} \\ &\leq 2 \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \max\{1; \check{c}_*^{-1} r_0^{-2}\} (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the smoothing operator Π can always be removed from the principal term of approximation (9.4).

For $s > 0$, we also can replace Π by I in the third term of the corrector (9.5). Note that $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$ is DO with the symbol $\widehat{N}_G(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varepsilon)$ (see Subsection 7.5) satisfying estimate (7.25). From this, (6.14), and estimate (7.11) for the symbol of the operator $\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)$ we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)\tilde{s}} f_0 (I - \Pi) d\tilde{s} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}^4 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^s |e^{-f_0 \widehat{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varepsilon) f_0 (s-\tilde{s})}| |\widehat{N}_G(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varepsilon)| |e^{-f_0 \widehat{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \varepsilon) f_0 \tilde{s}}| d\tilde{s} (1 - \chi_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_\infty}^4 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \geq r_0} s e^{-\check{c}_* (|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 + \varepsilon^2) s} C_G (|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{3/2} \\ &\leq 3 \check{c}_*^{-2} r_0^{-1} C_G \|f\|_{L_\infty}^4 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

(We have used the elementary inequality $e^{-\alpha} \leq 3\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha/2}$, $\alpha > 0$.)

Now, we discuss the possibility of elimination of the operator Π from the other terms of the corrector. Since the matrix-valued functions Λ_G and Λ , $\tilde{\Lambda}_G$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ differ by a constant summand (see (7.17) and (7.21)), we use the multiplier properties of the matrix-valued functions Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ to eliminate the smoothing operator from the terms of the corrector containing Λ_G and $\tilde{\Lambda}_G$. The following result was obtained in [BSu4, Proposition 6.8] for $d \leq 4$ and [V, (7.19) and (7.20)] for $d > 4$.

Lemma 9.2. *Let Λ be a Γ -periodic $(n \times m)$ -matrix-valued solution of the problem (7.1). Let $l = 1$ for $d \leq 4$ and $l = d/2 - 1$ for $d > 4$. Then the operator $[\Lambda]$ of multiplication by the matrix-valued function Λ maps $H^l(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m)$ into $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ continuously, and*

$$\|[\Lambda]\|_{H^l(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_\Lambda.$$

The constant \mathfrak{C}_Λ is controlled in terms of $m, n, d, \alpha_0, \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}$, and the parameters of the lattice Γ .

For $d \leq 6$, we apply Proposition 6.9 from [Su7], and for $d > 6$ we rely on Lemma 6.5(1°) from [MSu].

Lemma 9.3. *Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be a Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued solution of the problem (7.4). Put $\sigma = 2$ for $d \leq 6$ and $\sigma = d/2 - 1$ for $d > 6$. Then the operator $[\tilde{\Lambda}]$ of multiplication by the matrix-valued function $\tilde{\Lambda}$ maps $H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ into $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ continuously and*

$$\|[\tilde{\Lambda}]\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}.$$

The constant $\mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ is controlled in terms of the initial data (4.24).

The following result was obtained in [M, Proposition 8.3].

Proposition 9.4. *Let $\Xi(\varepsilon, s)$ be the operator (9.6). Then for $s > 0$ and all $l > 0$ the operators $b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s)$ and $\varepsilon\Xi(\varepsilon, s)$ are bounded from $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ into $H^l(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and*

$$\|b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^l(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \alpha_1^{1/2} \mathcal{C}(l) s^{-(l+1)/2} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad (9.7)$$

$$\|\varepsilon\Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^l(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}(l) s^{-(l+1)/2} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}. \quad (9.8)$$

The constant $\mathcal{C}(l)$ depends only on l and on initial data (4.24).

Now we can consider the first summand in (9.5). (The second summand is adjoint to the first one and does not require additional considerations.) By (7.17),

$$\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s) = \Lambda b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s) + \Lambda_G^0 b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s). \quad (9.9)$$

Combining (4.2), (6.14), (7.11), (7.18), and (9.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda_G^0 b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} & \leq \mathfrak{C}_G \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d} |b(\boldsymbol{\xi})| e^{-\check{c}_*(|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 + \varepsilon^2)s} (1 - \chi_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})) \\ & \leq \alpha_1^{1/2} \check{c}_*^{-1} r_0^{-1} \mathfrak{C}_G \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

In what follows, we are only interested in large values of s , so now it suffices to consider $s \geq 1$. Lemma 9.2 and estimate (9.7) imply that

$$\|\Lambda b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{12} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s \geq 1. \quad (9.11)$$

Here $C_{12} = \mathfrak{C}_\Lambda \alpha_1^{1/2} \mathcal{C}(l)$, where $l = 1$ for $d \leq 4$ and $l = d/2 - 1$ for $d > 4$. Combining (9.9)–(9.11), we get

$$\|\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D})\Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{13} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s \geq 1,$$

where $C_{13} = \alpha_1^{1/2} \check{c}_*^{-1} r_0^{-1} \mathfrak{C}_G \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 + C_{12}$.

Similarly, using Lemma 9.3 and (7.21), (7.22), and (9.8), we arrive at the estimate

$$\|\varepsilon \tilde{\Lambda} \Xi(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{14} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad s \geq 1,$$

with the constant $C_{14} = \check{c}_*^{-1} r_0^{-1} \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_G \|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 + \mathfrak{C}_\Lambda \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma = 2$ for $d \leq 6$ and $\sigma = d/2 - 1$ for $d > 6$. Thus, we have shown that for $s \geq 1$ the smoothing operator Π can be removed from all the terms of the corrector (9.5).

Theorem 9.5. *Let $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)$ be the operators (4.23) and (9.1), respectively.*

1°. *Let $\mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s)$ be the operator (9.5). Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s \geq 0$ we have the estimate*

$$\|f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)s} f^* - f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 - \mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{15} (1+s)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2} \quad (9.12)$$

with the constant $C_{15} = C_{11} + 2\|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \max\{1; \check{c}_*^{-1} r_0^{-2}\}$.

2°. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^0(\varepsilon, s) := & \left(\Lambda_G b(\mathbf{D}) + \varepsilon \tilde{\Lambda}_G \right) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 \\ & + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 \left(b(\mathbf{D})^* \Lambda_G^* + \varepsilon \tilde{\Lambda}_G^* \right) \\ & - \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)\tilde{s}} f_0 d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.13)$$

Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s \geq 1$ we have

$$\|f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon)s} f^* - f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon)s} f_0 - \mathcal{K}^0(\varepsilon, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{16} s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* \varepsilon^2 s/2}, \quad (9.14)$$

where $C_{16} = C_{10} + 2\|f\|_{L_\infty}^2 \max\{1; \check{c}_*^{-1} r_0^{-2}\} + 3\check{c}_*^{-2} r_0^{-1} C_G \|f\|_{L_\infty}^4 + 2C_{13} + 2C_{14}$.

CHAPTER 3. HOMOGENIZATION PROBLEM FOR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

10. HOMOGENIZATION OF THE OPERATOR $f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^*$

10.1. The problem setting. For any Γ -periodic function $\phi(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we use the notation $\phi^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) := \phi(\mathbf{x}/\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, we consider the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}),$$

corresponding to the quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle g^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u} \rangle dx \quad (10.1)$$

on the domain $\mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon = \{\mathbf{u} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) : f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)\}$. The form (10.1) is subject to estimates that are similar to inequalities (4.7):

$$\alpha_0 \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}^{-1} \|\mathbf{D}(f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \leq \alpha_1 \|g\|_{L_\infty} \|\mathbf{D}(f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u})\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \quad (10.2)$$

Next, let $\mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{dn})$ be the operator, acting by the rule

$$\mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{D}(f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}) = \text{col} \{D_1(f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}), \dots, D_d(f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u})\}, \quad \text{Dom } \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon = \mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon,$$

and let $\mathcal{Y}_{2,\varepsilon} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{dn})$ be the operator of multiplication by the $(dn \times d)$ -matrix-valued function consisting of the blocks $a_j^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})^* f^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$, $j = 1, \dots, d$:

$$\mathcal{Y}_{2,\varepsilon} \mathbf{u} = \text{col} \{(a_1^\varepsilon)^* f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, \dots, (a_d^\varepsilon)^* f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}\}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon.$$

Let $d\mu$ a matrix-valued measure on \mathbb{R}^d defined in Subsection 4.4. By using it, we build the measure $d\mu^\varepsilon$ as follows. For any Borel set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the set $\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta = \{\mathbf{y} = \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \Delta\}$ and put $\mu^\varepsilon(\Delta) = \varepsilon^d \mu(\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta)$. Define the quadratic form q_ε by the rule

$$q_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle d\mu^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})(f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}), (f^\varepsilon \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon.$$

All the assumptions of Subsections 4.2–4.4 are assumed to be satisfied. In the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, consider the quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{b}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \mathfrak{a}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + 2\text{Re}(\mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{Y}_{2,\varepsilon} \mathbf{u})_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + q_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}], \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon. \quad (10.3)$$

Let T_ε be the scaling transformation unitary in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$(T_\varepsilon \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon^{d/2} \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon \mathbf{x}), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (10.4)$$

The forms (4.6) and (10.1), (4.18) and (10.3) satisfy the obvious identities

$$\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \varepsilon^{-2} \mathbf{a}[T_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, T_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}], \quad \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] = \varepsilon^{-2} \mathbf{b}(\varepsilon)[T_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, T_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}], \quad \mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon.$$

Together with estimates (4.21) and (4.22), this implies that for $\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{d}_\varepsilon$ we have

$$\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \geq \frac{\kappa}{2} \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + \beta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad (10.5)$$

$$\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] \leq (2 + c_1^2 + c_2) \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}] + (C(1) + c_3 + |\lambda| \|\mathcal{Q}_0\|_{L_\infty}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2. \quad (10.6)$$

By (10.2), (10.5), and (10.6), the form \mathbf{b}_ε is closed and positive definite. By \mathcal{B}_ε we denote the corresponding self-adjoint operator in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$. Formally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon &= \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon + (\mathcal{Y}_{2,\varepsilon}^* \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Y}_{2,\varepsilon}) + (f^\varepsilon)^* \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon + \lambda \mathcal{Q}_0^\varepsilon \\ &= (f^\varepsilon)^* b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) f^\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^d (f^\varepsilon)^* (a_j^\varepsilon D_j + D_j (a_j^\varepsilon)^*) f^\varepsilon \\ &\quad + (f^\varepsilon)^* \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon + \lambda \mathcal{Q}_0^\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (10.7)$$

where \mathcal{Q}^ε should be interpreted as a generalised matrix-valued potential generated by the rapidly oscillating measure $d\mu^\varepsilon$.

10.2. The principal term of approximation for $f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^*$. The principal term of approximation was found at [M, Subsection 9.3]. To formulate the result, we need to write out the effective operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^0 &= f_0 b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) f_0 \\ &\quad + f_0 \left(-b(\mathbf{D})^* V - V^* b(\mathbf{D}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{(a_j + a_j^*)} D_j \right) f_0 \\ &\quad + f_0 (-W + \overline{\mathcal{Q}} + \lambda I) f_0. \end{aligned} \quad (10.8)$$

Here the constant matrices f_0 , g^0 , V , W , $\overline{(a_j + a_j^*)}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are defined according to (6.13), (7.6), (7.8), (7.9), (4.1), and (7.10). The symbol of the operator (10.8) is the matrix $f_0 \widehat{L}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, 1) f_0$ (see Subsection 7.3). Thus, estimate (7.11) implies that the operator \mathcal{B}^0 is positive definite: $\mathcal{B}^0 \geq \check{c}_* I$.

In [M, Theorem 9.1], the following result was obtained.

Theorem 10.1. *Let the assumptions of Subsections 4.2–4.4 be satisfied. Let \mathcal{B}_ε be the operator (10.7) and let \mathcal{B}^0 be the effective operator (10.8). Then for $s \geq 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* - f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{17} \varepsilon (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\check{c}_* s/2}.$$

The constant C_{17} depends only on the initial data (4.24).

10.3. Approximation for the operator $f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^*$ with the corrector taken into account. Now we are going to derive a sharper approximation for the operator exponential from the results of §9 with the help of the scaling transformation (10.4). The operators (10.7) and (4.23), (10.8) and (9.1) are related by the identities $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2} T_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{B}(\varepsilon) T_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{B}^0 = \varepsilon^{-2} T_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon) T_\varepsilon$. Thus,

$$f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* = T_\varepsilon^* f e^{-\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{-2} s} f^* T_\varepsilon, \quad (10.9)$$

$$f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 = T_\varepsilon^* f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{-2} s} f_0 T_\varepsilon. \quad (10.10)$$

Next, by Π_ε we define a PDO acting in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ whose symbol is the characteristic function $\chi_{\widetilde{\Omega}/\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ of the set $\widetilde{\Omega}/\varepsilon$:

$$(\Pi_\varepsilon \mathbf{f})(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}/\varepsilon} e^{i\langle \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle} (\mathcal{F}\mathbf{f})(\boldsymbol{\xi}) d\boldsymbol{\xi}. \quad (10.11)$$

The operators (9.3) and (10.11) are related via the formula

$$\Pi_\varepsilon = T_\varepsilon^* \Pi T_\varepsilon. \quad (10.12)$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{11}(\mathbf{D}) + \mathcal{N}_{12}(\mathbf{D}) + \mathcal{N}_{21}(\mathbf{D}) + \mathcal{N}_{22}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{N} = \varepsilon^{-3} T_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) T_\varepsilon, \quad (10.13)$$

where the operator $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$ was defined in (9.2).

We point out the identities

$$[\Lambda_G^\varepsilon]b(\mathbf{D}) = \varepsilon^{-1} T_\varepsilon^* [\Lambda_G]b(\mathbf{D}) T_\varepsilon, \quad [\tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon] = T_\varepsilon^* [\tilde{\Lambda}_G] T_\varepsilon. \quad (10.14)$$

We introduce the correctors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(s) &:= \left(\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) + \tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \right) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \Pi_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \Pi_\varepsilon \left(b(\mathbf{D})^* (\Lambda_G^\varepsilon)^* + (\tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon)^* \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N} f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 \tilde{s}} f_0 \Pi_\varepsilon d\tilde{s}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^0(s) &:= \left(\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) + \tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \right) f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \\ &\quad + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \left(b(\mathbf{D})^* (\Lambda_G^\varepsilon)^* + (\tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon)^* \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N} f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 \tilde{s}} f_0 d\tilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.16)$$

Combining (10.9), (10.10), and (10.12)–(10.14), we conclude that the operators (9.5) and (10.15) are related by the identity

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(s) = T_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{K}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-2} s) T_\varepsilon. \quad (10.17)$$

For the operators (9.13) and (10.16), a similar identity holds true. Now (9.12), (9.14), (10.9), (10.10), and (10.17) imply the following result.

Theorem 10.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 10.1 be satisfied. Let $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(s)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^0(s)$ be the operators (10.15) and (10.16), respectively. Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s \geq 0$ we have the approximation*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* - f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 - \varepsilon \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ \leq C_{15} \varepsilon^2 (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* s/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.18)$$

For $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s \geq \varepsilon^2$ we have

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* - f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 - \varepsilon \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^0(s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{16} \varepsilon^2 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* s/2}.$$

The constants C_{15} , C_{16} , and \check{c}_* depend only on the initial data (4.24).

10.4. The case when the corrector is equal to zero. Assume that $g^0 = \bar{g}$, i. e., relations (7.7) hold true. Then the Γ -periodic solutions of problems (7.1), (7.16) are equal to zero: $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda_G(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. In addition, we assume that $\sum_{j=1}^d D_j a_j(\mathbf{x})^* = 0$. Then the Γ -periodic solutions of problems (7.4), (7.20) are also equal to zero: $\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{\Lambda}_G(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Thus, (7.8) and (7.9) imply that $V = 0$ and $W = 0$. The effective operator (10.8) takes the form

$$\mathcal{B}^0 = f_0 b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) f_0 + f_0 \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{(a_j + a_j^*)} D_j f_0 + f_0 (\bar{\mathcal{Q}} + \lambda I) f_0. \quad (10.19)$$

Using (10.13) and Remark 9.1, we conclude that $\mathcal{N} = 0$ in the case under consideration. Thus, all the corrector terms in (10.15) are equal to zero and (10.18) implies the following result.

Proposition 10.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 10.2, let relations (7.7) and $\sum_{j=1}^d D_j a_j(\mathbf{x})^* = 0$ hold true. Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s \geq 0$ we have*

$$\|f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* - f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{15} \varepsilon^2 (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} e^{-\tilde{c}_* s/2}.$$

Here \mathcal{B}^0 is the operator (10.19).

11. HOMOGENIZATION FOR SOLUTIONS OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

11.1. Application to the Cauchy problem for a homogeneous equation. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon$ be the operator of the form (10.7) with $f = \mathbf{1}_n$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) + \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j^\varepsilon D_j + D_j (a_j^\varepsilon)^*) + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon + \lambda I.$$

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$G^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s} = -\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s > 0; \quad G^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad (11.1)$$

where $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$, and the Γ -periodic $(n \times n)$ -matrix-valued function G is assumed to be bounded and positive definite. We rewrite $G(\mathbf{x})$ in a factorised form: $G(\mathbf{x})^{-1} = f(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x})^*$, where $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic matrix-valued function. Put $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon = (f^\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$. Then $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s)$ is the solution of the problem

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s} = -(f^\varepsilon)^* \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon f^\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s > 0; \quad \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) = (f^\varepsilon)^* \phi(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = (f^\varepsilon)^* \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon f^\varepsilon$. Then $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) = e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* \phi$. This implies that the solution $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon$ of the problem (11.1) admits the representation

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) = f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* \phi. \quad (11.2)$$

The corresponding effective problem has the form

$$\overline{G} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s} = -\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0 \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s > 0; \quad \overline{G} \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (11.3)$$

Here

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0 = b(\mathbf{D})^* g^0 b(\mathbf{D}) - b(\mathbf{D})^* V - V^* b(\mathbf{D}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{(a_j + a_j^*)} D_j - W + \overline{\mathcal{Q}} + \lambda I.$$

Let $f_0 = (\overline{G})^{-1/2}$ and $\mathcal{B}^0 = f_0 \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0 f_0$. Then

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) = f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \phi. \quad (11.4)$$

From (11.2), (11.4), and Theorem 10.2 we derive the following result.

Theorem 11.1. *Let \mathbf{u}_ε and \mathbf{u}_0 be the solutions of problems (11.1) and (11.3), respectively. Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s \geq \varepsilon^2$ we have the approximation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) - \varepsilon \left(\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) + \widetilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) \right) \right. \\ & \quad + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \left((\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}))^* + (\widetilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon)^* \right) \phi \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq C_{16} \varepsilon^2 s^{-1} e^{-\tilde{c}_* s/2} \|\phi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.5)$$

Note that the third term of the corrector in (11.5), i. e., the function

$$\mathbf{v}^{(3)}(\cdot, s) := \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s},$$

is the solution of the problem

$$\overline{G} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s} = -\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0 \mathbf{v}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}, s) + \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s > 0; \quad \overline{G} \mathbf{v}^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0.$$

11.2. The Cauchy problem for an inhomogeneous equation. Now, we consider a more general Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} G^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s} &= -\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, s) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s \in (0, T); \\ G^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= \phi(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (11.6)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $s \in (0, T)$, $T > 0$. Let

$$\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad \mathbf{F} \in L_p((0, T); L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)) =: \mathcal{H}_p$$

for some $1 < p \leq \infty$. Let \mathbf{u}_0 be the solution of the ‘‘homogenized’’ problem

$$\overline{G} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s)}{\partial s} = -\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^0 \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, s) + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, s), \quad s \in (0, T); \quad \overline{G} \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (11.7)$$

By analogy with the proof of representation (11.2), one can shown that

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) = f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} (f^\varepsilon)^* \phi + \int_0^s f^\varepsilon e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(s-\tilde{s})} (f^\varepsilon)^* \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s}, \quad (11.8)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) = f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \phi + \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s}. \quad (11.9)$$

The principal term of approximation for the solution \mathbf{u}_ε was obtained in [M, Theorem 10.1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) - \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C_{17} \varepsilon (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\check{c}^* s/2} \|\phi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\quad + C_{18} \theta_1(\varepsilon, p) \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (11.10)$$

where the constant C_{18} depends only on p and the problem data (4.24),

$$\theta_1(\varepsilon, p) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{2-2/p}, & 1 < p < 2, \\ \varepsilon(1 + |\ln \varepsilon|)^{1/2}, & p = 2, \\ \varepsilon, & 2 < p \leq \infty. \end{cases} \quad (11.11)$$

Repeating considerations of [V, Subsection 10.1], from Theorem 10.2 and representations (11.8), (11.9) we derive the following result.

Theorem 11.2. *Let \mathbf{u}_ε and \mathbf{u}_0 be the solutions of problems (11.6) and (11.9), respectively, where $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{H}_p$. Then for $0 < s < T$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $2 < p \leq \infty$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) &= \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) + \varepsilon \left(\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) \Pi_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) + \widetilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \left((\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) \Pi_\varepsilon)^* + (\widetilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon)^* \right) \phi \right) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N} \Pi_\varepsilon f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 \tilde{s}} f_0 \phi d\tilde{s} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \left((\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) \Pi_\varepsilon)^* + (\widetilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon)^* \right) \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{s}) d\tilde{s} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^s d\tilde{s} \int_0^{s-\tilde{s}} ds' f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s}-s')} f_0 \mathcal{N} \Pi_\varepsilon f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s'} f_0 \mathbf{F}(\cdot, \tilde{s}) + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s), \end{aligned}$$

where the remainder term $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s)$ admits the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{15} \varepsilon^2 (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}^* s/2} \|\phi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + C_{19} \varepsilon^{2/p'} \theta_2(\varepsilon, p) \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{H}_p},$$

$p^{-1}+(p')^{-1} = 1$. Here the constant C_{19} depends only on p and the initial data (4.24), $\theta_2(\varepsilon, p) = 1$ for $p < \infty$ and $\theta_2(\varepsilon, p) = 1 + |\ln \varepsilon|$ for $p = \infty$.

For $0 < s < T$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $1 < p \leq 2$ we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s) &= \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot, s) + \varepsilon \left(\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) \Pi_\varepsilon f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \phi + \tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} f_0 \left((\Lambda_G^\varepsilon b(\mathbf{D}) \Pi_\varepsilon)^* + (\tilde{\Lambda}_G^\varepsilon \Pi_\varepsilon)^* \right) \phi \right) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^s f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} f_0 \mathcal{N} \Pi_\varepsilon f_0 e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 \tilde{s}} f_0 \phi d\tilde{s} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(\cdot, s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{15} \varepsilon^2 (s + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} e^{-\check{c}^* s/2} \|\phi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + C_{18} \theta_1(\varepsilon, p) \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}.$$

Here $\theta_1(\varepsilon, p)$ is quantity (11.11).

Remark 11.3. The different approximations of the solution \mathbf{u}_ε for different conditions on p in Theorem 11.2 are caused by the fact that the corrector term taken into account in the first summand on the right-hand side of (11.8) makes the approximation more precise compared to (11.10) for any $1 < p \leq \infty$, while the corrector taken into account in the second summand does the same only for $2 < p \leq \infty$.

12. EXAMPLE OF APPLICATION OF THE GENERAL RESULTS: THE SCALAR ELLIPTIC OPERATOR

In the present section, we consider an example of application of the general method. For elliptic problems, this example was earlier studied in [Su4, Su7]. Other examples also can be found there.

12.1. The scalar elliptic operator. Consider the case, when $n = 1$, $m = d$, $b(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{D}$, and $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a Γ -periodic symmetric $(d \times d)$ -matrix with *real entries*, that is bounded and positive definite. Then the operator \mathcal{A}_ε has the form

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{D}^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} = -\operatorname{div} g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \nabla.$$

Obviously, in the case under consideration, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 1$; see (4.2).

Next, let $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{col} \{A_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, A_d(\mathbf{x})\}$, where $A_j(\mathbf{x})$ are Γ -periodic real-valued functions, and

$$A_j \in L_\varrho(\Omega), \quad \varrho = 2 \text{ for } d = 1, \quad \varrho > d \text{ for } d \geq 2; \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Let $v(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x})$ be real-valued Γ -periodic functions such that

$$v, \mathcal{V} \in L_\sigma(\Omega), \quad \sigma = 1 \text{ for } d = 1, \quad \sigma > \frac{d}{2} \text{ for } d \geq 2; \quad \int_\Omega v(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

In $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we consider the operator \mathfrak{B}_ε , formally given by the differential expression

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon = (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) + \varepsilon^{-1} v^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}). \quad (12.1)$$

The precise definition of the operator \mathfrak{B}_ε is given via the quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{b}_\varepsilon[u, u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\langle g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}^\varepsilon)u, (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}^\varepsilon)u \rangle + (\varepsilon^{-1} v^\varepsilon + \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon) |u|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x}, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The operator (12.1) can be treated as a periodic Schrödinger operator with the metric g^ε , the magnetic potential \mathbf{A}^ε , and the electric potential $\varepsilon^{-1} v^\varepsilon + \mathcal{V}^\varepsilon$ containing a “singular” first summand.

In [Su4, Subsection 13.1], it was obtained that the operator (12.1) can be written in a required form

$$\mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{D}^* g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D} + \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) D_j + D_j (a_j^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}))^*) + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}).$$

The real-valued function $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) + \langle g(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle. \quad (12.2)$$

The complex-valued functions $a_j(\mathbf{x})$ are given by

$$a_j(\mathbf{x}) = -\eta_j(\mathbf{x}) + i\zeta_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad (12.3)$$

where the functions $\eta_j(\mathbf{x})$ are the components of the vector-valued function $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ and the functions $\zeta_j(\mathbf{x})$ are defined in terms of the Γ -periodic solution of the equation $\Delta\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = v(\mathbf{x})$ by the relation $\zeta_j(\mathbf{x}) = -\partial_j\Phi(\mathbf{x})$. Moreover,

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j \zeta_j(\mathbf{x}). \quad (12.4)$$

It is easily seen that the functions (12.3) satisfy the assumption (4.10) for a suitable exponent $\tilde{\varrho}$ (depending on ϱ and σ); herewith, the norms $\|a_j\|_{L_{\tilde{\varrho}}(\Omega)}$ are controlled in terms of $\|g\|_{L_\infty}$, $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{L_\varrho(\Omega)}$, $\|v\|_{L_\sigma(\Omega)}$, and the parameters of the lattice Γ . The function (12.2) is subject to condition (4.17) with a suitable factor $\tilde{\sigma} = \min\{\sigma; \varrho/2\}$. Thus, now Example 4.2 is implemented.

Let the parameter λ be chosen in accordance with condition (4.19) with c_0 and c_4 corresponding to the operator (12.1). Denote $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon := \mathfrak{B}_\varepsilon + \lambda I$. We are interested in approximation of the exponential for this operator. In the case under consideration, initial data (4.24) match with the data:

$$d, \varrho, \sigma; \|g\|_{L_\infty}, \|g^{-1}\|_{L_\infty}, \|\mathbf{A}\|_{L_\varrho(\Omega)}, \|v\|_{L_\sigma(\Omega)}, \|\mathcal{V}\|_{L_\sigma(\Omega)}, \lambda; \quad (12.5)$$

the parameters of the lattice Γ .

12.2. The effective operator. We write out the effective operator. In our case, the Γ -periodic solution of problem (7.1) is a row-matrix: $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = i\Psi(\mathbf{x})$, $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = (\psi_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \psi_d(\mathbf{x}))$, where $\psi_j \in \tilde{H}^1(\Omega)$ is the solution of the problem

$$\operatorname{div} g(\mathbf{x})(\nabla\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{e}_j) = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Here \mathbf{e}_j , $j = 1, \dots, d$, form the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^d . It is clear that the functions $\psi_j(\mathbf{x})$ are real-valued and the elements of the row-matrix $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ are purely imaginary. By (7.6), the columns of the $(d \times d)$ -matrix-valued function $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x})$ are the vector-valued functions $g(\mathbf{x})(\nabla\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{e}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. The effective matrix is defined in accordance with (7.6): $g^0 = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$. It is clear that $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x})$ and g^0 have real entries.

By (12.3) and (12.4), the periodic solution of problem (7.4) can be represented as $\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x}) + i\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x})$, where the real-valued Γ -periodic functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x})$ are the solutions of the problems

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} g(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x}) + v(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, & \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= 0; \\ -\operatorname{div} g(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x}) + \operatorname{div} g(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) &= 0, & \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The column V (see (7.8)) has the form $V = V_1 + iV_2$, where V_1, V_2 are the columns with real entries defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{x}))^t g(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}, \\ V_2 &= -|\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{x}))^t g(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7.9), the constant W can be written as

$$W = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(\langle g(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x}), \nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbf{x}) \rangle + \langle g(\mathbf{x})\nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x}), \nabla\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

The effective operator for \mathcal{B}_ε acts by the rule

$$\mathcal{B}^0 u = -\operatorname{div} g^0 \nabla u + 2i \langle \nabla u, V_1 + \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rangle + (-W + \bar{\mathcal{Q}} + \lambda)u, \quad u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The corresponding differential expression can be written as follows

$$\mathcal{B}^0 = (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}^0)^* g^0 (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}^0) + \mathcal{V}^0 + \lambda,$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}^0 = (g^0)^{-1} (V_1 + \overline{g\mathbf{A}}), \quad \mathcal{V}^0 = \overline{V} + \overline{\langle g\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} \rangle} - \langle g^0 \mathbf{A}^0, \mathbf{A}^0 \rangle - W.$$

12.3. The operator \mathcal{N} . In the case under consideration, the structure of the operator \mathcal{N} was found in [Su7, (9.12)–(9.15)]. We write out the result:

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{k,l=1}^d \mathcal{N}_{12,kl} D_k D_l + \sum_{k=1}^d \mathcal{N}_{21,k} D_k + \mathcal{N}_{22},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{12,kl} &= \overline{2\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \tilde{g}_{kl}} + \overline{v\psi_k \psi_l} - \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{(g_{jl}\psi_k + g_{jk}\psi_l)\partial_j \tilde{\Lambda}_1}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{21,k} &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \overline{g_{jk} (\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \partial_j \tilde{\Lambda}_2 - \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \partial_j \tilde{\Lambda}_1)} \\ &\quad + \overline{2\psi_k \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \nabla \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \rangle} - \overline{2\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \nabla \psi_k \rangle} + \overline{2v\tilde{\Lambda}_2 \psi_k} - \overline{4\eta_k \tilde{\Lambda}_1}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{22} &= \overline{2\tilde{\Lambda}_2 \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \nabla \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \rangle} - \overline{2\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \nabla \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \rangle} + \overline{v(\tilde{\Lambda}_1^2 + \tilde{\Lambda}_2^2)} + \overline{2\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathcal{Q} + \lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

12.4. Approximation for the exponential. According to the equality $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = i\Psi(\mathbf{x})$, the operator (10.16) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^0(s) &= (\Psi^\varepsilon \nabla + \tilde{\Lambda}^\varepsilon) e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} + e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} (\Psi^\varepsilon \nabla + \tilde{\Lambda}^\varepsilon)^* - \int_0^s e^{-\mathcal{B}^0(s-\tilde{s})} \mathcal{N} e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 \tilde{s}} d\tilde{s} \\ &= (\Psi^\varepsilon \nabla + \tilde{\Lambda}^\varepsilon) e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} + e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} (\Psi^\varepsilon \nabla + \tilde{\Lambda}^\varepsilon)^* - s \mathcal{N} e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s}. \end{aligned} \quad (12.6)$$

We have taken into account that, in the our case, the scalar differential operator \mathcal{N} commutes with the exponential generated by the effective operator \mathcal{B}^0 with constant coefficients.

From Theorem 10.2 we derive the following result.

Theorem 12.1. *Let the operators \mathcal{B}_ε and \mathcal{B}^0 be defined in Subsections 12.1 and 12.2, respectively, and let $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^0(s)$ be the corrector (12.6). Then for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $s > 0$ we have the approximation*

$$\|e^{-\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon s} - e^{-\mathcal{B}^0 s} - \varepsilon \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon^0(s)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{16} \varepsilon^2 s^{-1} e^{-\check{c}_* s/2}.$$

The constants C_{16} and \check{c}_* depend only on the initial data (12.5).

REFERENCES

- [BaPa] Bakhvalov N. S., Panasenko G. P., *Homogenization: averaging processes in periodic media. Mathematical problems in the mechanics of composite materials*, “Nauka”, Moscow, 1984; English transl., Math. Appl. (Soviet Ser.), vol. 36, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Group, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [BeLP] Bensoussan A., Lions J.-L., Papanicolaou G., *Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*, Stud. Math. Appl., vol. 5, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam–New York, 1978.
- [BSu1] Birman M., Suslina T., *Threshold effects near the lower edge of the spectrum for periodic differential operators of mathematical physics*, Systems, Approximation, Singular Integral Operators, and Related Topics (Bordeaux, 2000), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 129, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 71–107.
- [BSu2] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Second order periodic differential operators. Threshold properties and homogenization*, Algebra i Analiz **15** (2003), no. 5, 1–108; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **15** (2004), no. 5, 639–714.
- [BSu3] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Threshold approximations with corrector for the resolvent of a factorized selfadjoint operator family*, Algebra i Analiz **17** (2005), no. 5, 69–90; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **17** (2006), no. 5, 745–762.
- [BSu4] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Homogenization with corrector term for periodic elliptic differential operators*, Algebra i Analiz **17** (2005), no. 6, 1–104; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **17** (2006), no. 6, 897–973.

- [BSu5] Birman M. Sh., Suslina T. A., *Homogenization with corrector term for periodic differential operators. Approximation of solutions in the Sobolev class $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Algebra i Analiz **18** (2006), no. 6, 1–130; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **18** (2007), no. 6, 857–955.
- [V] Vasilevskaya E. S., *A periodic parabolic Cauchy problem: Homogenization with corrector*, Algebra i Analiz **21** (2009), no. 1, 3–60; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **21** (2010), no. 1, 1–41.
- [GeS] Geng J., Shen Zh., *Convergence rates in parabolic homogenization with time-dependent periodic coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), no. 5, 2092–2113.
- [Gr1] Griso G., *Error estimate and unfolding for periodic homogenization*, Asymptot. Anal. **40** (2004), no. 3/4, 269–286.
- [Gr2] Griso G., *Interior error estimate for periodic homogenization*, Anal. Appl. **4** (2006), no. 1, 61–79.
- [ZhKO] Zhikov V. V., Kozlov S. M., and Oleinik O. A., *Homogenization of differential operators*, “Nauka”, Moscow, 1993; English transl., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Zh] Zhikov V. V., *On operator estimates in homogenization theory*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **403** (2005), no. 3, 305–308; English transl., Dokl. Math. **72** (2005), no. 1, 534–538.
- [ZhPas1] Zhikov V. V., Pastukhova S. E., *On operator estimates for some problems in homogenization theory*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **12** (2005), no. 4, 515–524.
- [ZhPas2] Zhikov V. V., Pastukhova S. E., *Estimates of homogenization for a parabolic equation with periodic coefficients*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **13** (2006), no. 2, 224–237.
- [ZhPas3] Zhikov V. V., Pastukhova S. E., *On operator estimates on homogenization theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **71** (2016), no. 3, 27–122; English transl., Russian Math. Surveys **71** (2016), no. 3, 471–511.
- [Ka] Kato T., *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Bd. 132, Springer-Verlag, Berlin — New York, 1976.
- [KeLiS] Kenig C. E., Lin F., Shen Zh., *Convergence rates in L^2 for elliptic homogenization problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **203** (2012), no. 3, 1009–1036.
- [M] Meshkova Yu. M., *Homogenization of the Cauchy problem for parabolic systems with periodic coefficients*, Algebra i Analiz **25** (2013), no. 6, 125–177; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **25** (2014), no. 6, 981–1019.
- [MSu] Meshkova Yu. M., Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of the first initial boundary-value problem for parabolic systems: operator error estimates*, Algebra i Analiz **29** (2017), no. 6, 99–158; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **29** (2018), no. 6, 935–978.
- [MoVo] Moskow Sh., Vogelius M., *First-order corrections to the homogenised eigenvalues of a periodic composite medium. A convergence proof*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **127** (1997), no. 6, 1263–1299.
- [Sa] Sanchez-Palencia E., *Nonhomogeneous media and vibration theory*, Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [Su1] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of periodic parabolic systems*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **38** (2004), no. 4, 86–90; English transl., Funct. Anal. Appl. **38** (2004), no. 4, 309–312.
- [Su2] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of a periodic parabolic Cauchy problem*, Nonlinear equations and spectral theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), vol. 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 201–233.
- [Su3] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of a periodic parabolic Cauchy problem in the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. **5** (2010), no. 4, 390–447.
- [Su4] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization in the Sobolev class $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for periodic elliptic second order differential operators including first order terms*, Algebra i Analiz **22** (2010), no. 1, 108–222; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **22** (2011), no. 1, 81–162.
- [Su5] Suslina T. A., *Approximation of the resolvent of a twoparametric quadratic operator pencil near the bottom of the spectrum*, Algebra i Analiz **25** (2013), no. 5, 221–251; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **25** (2014), no. 5, 869–891.
- [Su6] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic systems: L_2 -operator error estimates*, Matematika **59** (2013), no. 2, 463–476.
- [Su7] Suslina T. A., *Homogenization of elliptic systems with periodic coefficients: operator error estimates in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with corrector taken into account*, Algebra i Analiz **26** (2014), no. 4, 195–263; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **26** (2015), no. 4, 643–693.
- [Xu] Xu Q., *Convergence rates for general elliptic homogenization problems in Lipschitz domains*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **48** (2016), no. 6, 3742–3788.

ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, CHEBYSHEV LABORATORY, 199178, 14TH LINE V. O. 29B, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA; *Current address of the author*: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, P. O. BOX 68 (GUSTAF HÄLLSTRÖMIN KATU 2), FI-00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND; *Current address of Chebyshev Laboratory*: 199178, 14TH LINE V. O. 29, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: y.meshkova@spbu.ru, iuliia.meshkova@helsinki.fi