

ON REGULARIZABLE BIRATIONAL MAPS

JULIE DÉSERTI

ABSTRACT. BEDFORD asked if there exists a birational self map f of the complex projective plane such that for any automorphism A of the complex projective plane $A \circ f$ is not conjugate to an automorphism. In [3] BLANC gave such a f of degree 6 and asked if there exists an example of smaller degree. In this article we give an example of degree 5.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k)$ the group of all birational self maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$, also called the *k-dimensional CREMONA group*. Let $\text{Bir}_d(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k)$ be the algebraic variety of all birational self maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ of degree d . When $k = 2$ and $d \geq 2$ these varieties have many distinct components, of various dimensions ([6, 2]). The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k) = \text{PGL}(k+1, \mathbb{C})$ acts by left translations, by right translations, and by conjugacy on $\text{Bir}_d(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k)$. Since this group is connected, these actions preserve each connected component.

A birational map $f: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ is *regularizable* if there exist a smooth projective variety V and a birational map $g: V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ such that $g^{-1} \circ f \circ g$ is an automorphism of V . To any element f of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k)$ we associate the set $\text{Reg}(f)$ defined by

$$\text{Reg}(f) := \{A \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k) \mid A \circ f \text{ is regularizable}\}.$$

DOLGACHEV asked whether there exists a birational self map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ of degree > 1 such that $\text{Reg}(f) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k)$. In [5] we give a negative answer to this question. More precisely we prove

Theorem 1.1 ([5]). *Let f be a birational self map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ of degree $d \geq 2$.*

The set of automorphisms A of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ such that $\deg((A \circ f)^n) \neq (\deg(A \circ f))^n$ for some $n > 0$ is a countable union of proper ZARISKI closed subsets of $\text{PGL}(k+1, \mathbb{C})$.

In particular there exists an automorphism A of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ such that $A \circ f$ is not regularizable.

BEDFORD asked: does there exist a birational map f of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^k$ such that $\text{Reg}(f) = \emptyset$? We will focus on the case $k = 2$. According to [1, 7] if $\deg f = 2$, then $\text{Reg}(f) \neq \emptyset$. What about birational

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14E07, 14J50.

Key words and phrases. Cremona group, birational map, automorphisms of surfaces, regularization.

The author was partially supported by the ANR grant Fatou ANR-17-CE40-0002-01 and the ANR grant Foliage ANR-16-CE40-0008-01.

maps of degree 3 ? BLANC proves that the set

$$\{f \in \text{Bir}_3(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) \mid \text{Reg}(f) \neq \emptyset, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\deg(f^n))^{1/n} > 1\}$$

is dense in $\text{Bir}_3(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ and that its complement has codimension 1 (see [3]). BLANC also gives a positive answer to BEDFORD question in dimension 2: if $\chi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is the birational map given by

$$\chi: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (xz^5 + (yz^2 + x^3)^2 : yz^5 + x^3z^3 : z^6)$$

then $\text{Reg}(\chi) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1.2. Note that $\chi = (x + y^2, y) \circ (x, y + x^3)$ in the affine chart $z = 1$. Indeed BLANC example can be generalized as follows: the birational map given in the affine chart $z = 1$ by

$$\chi_{n,p} = (x + y^n, y) \circ (x, y + x^p) = (x + (y + x^p)^n, y + x^p)$$

satisfies $\text{Reg}(\chi_{n,p}) = \emptyset$ (see §3).

Then BLANC asked: does there exist $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ such that $\deg < 6$ and $\text{Reg}(f) = \emptyset$? The following statement gives a positive answer to this question:

Theorem A. If $\varphi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is the birational map given by

$$\varphi: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (y^3(z^2 - xy) : z^5 - y^5 - xyz^3 : y^2z(z^2 - xy)),$$

then $\text{Reg}(\varphi) = \emptyset$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Serge CANTAT for many interesting discussions.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let S be a smooth projective surface. Let $\phi: S \dashrightarrow S$ be a birational map. This map admits a resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ S & \dashrightarrow & S \\ & \phi & \end{array}$$

where $\pi_1: Z \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_2: Z \rightarrow S$ are finite sequences of blow-ups. The resolution is *minimal* if and only if no (-1) -curve of Z is contracted by both π_1 and π_2 . The *base-points* $\text{Base}(\phi)$ of ϕ are the points blown-up by π_1 , which can be points of S or infinitely near points. The proper base-points of ϕ are called *indeterminacy points* of ϕ and form a set denoted $\text{Ind}(\phi)$. Finally we denote by $\text{Exc}(\phi)$ the set of curves contracted by ϕ .

Denote by $\mathfrak{b}(\phi)$ the number of base-points of ϕ ; note that $\mathfrak{b}(\phi)$ is equal to the difference of the ranks of $\text{Pic}(Z)$ and $\text{Pic}(S)$ and thus equal to $\mathfrak{b}(\phi^{-1})$. Let us introduce the *dynamical number of the base-points* of ϕ . Since $\mathfrak{b}(\phi \circ \psi) \leq \mathfrak{b}(\phi) + \mathfrak{b}(\psi)$ for any birational self map ψ of S , $\mu(\phi)$ is a

non-negative real number. As $\mathfrak{b}(\phi) = \mathfrak{b}(\phi^{-1})$ one gets $\mu(\phi^k) = |k\mu(\phi)|$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore if Z is a smooth projective surface and $\psi: S \dashrightarrow Z$ a birational map, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$-2\mathfrak{b}(\psi) + \mathfrak{b}(\phi^n) \leq \mathfrak{b}(\psi \circ \phi^n \circ \psi^{-1}) \leq 2\mathfrak{b}(\psi) + \mathfrak{b}(\phi^n);$$

hence $\mu(\phi) = \mu(\psi \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1})$. One can thus state the following result:

Lemma 2.1 ([4]). *The dynamical number of base-points is an invariant of conjugation. In particular if ϕ is a regularizable birational self map of a smooth projective surface, then $\mu(\phi) = 0$.*

A base-point p of ϕ is a *persistent base-point* if there exists an integer N such that for any $k \geq N$

$$\begin{cases} p \in \text{Base}(\phi^k) \\ p \notin \text{Base}(\phi^{-k}) \end{cases}$$

Let p be a point of S or a point infinitely near S such that $p \notin \text{Base}(\phi)$. Consider a minimal resolution of ϕ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \pi_2 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_1 \\ S & \text{---} & S \\ & \phi & \end{array}$$

Because p is not a base-point of ϕ it corresponds via π_1 to a point of Z or infinitely near; using π_2 we view this point on S again maybe infinitely near and denote it $\phi^\bullet(p)$. For instance if $S = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, $p = (1 : 0 : 0)$ and f is the birational self map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ given by

$$(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \dashrightarrow (z_1 z_2 + z_0^2 : z_0 z_2 : z_2^2)$$

the point $f^\bullet(p)$ is not equal to $p = f(p)$ but is infinitely near to it. Note that if ψ is a birational self map of S and p is a point of S such that $p \notin \text{Base}(\phi)$, $\phi(p) \notin \text{Base}(\psi)$, then $(\psi \circ \phi)^\bullet(p) = \psi^\bullet(\phi^\bullet(p))$. One can put an equivalence relation on the set of points of S or infinitely near S : the point p is *equivalent* to the point q if there exists an integer k such that $(\phi^k)^\bullet(p) = q$; in particular $p \notin \text{Base}(\phi^k)$ and $q \notin \text{Base}(\phi^{-k})$. Remark that the equivalence class is the generalization of set of orbits for birational maps.

Let us give the relationship between the dynamical number of base-points and the equivalence classes of persistent base-points:

Proposition 2.2 ([4]). *Let S be a smooth projective surface. Let ϕ be a birational self map of S .*

Then $\mu(\phi)$ coincides with the number of equivalence classes of persistent base-points of ϕ . In particular $\mu(\phi)$ is an integer.

This interpretation of the dynamical number of base-points allows to prove the following result that gives a characterization of regularizable birational maps:

Theorem 2.3 ([4]). *Let ϕ be a birational self map of a smooth projective surface. Then ϕ is regularizable if and only if $\mu(\phi) = 0$.*

The birational map

$$\varphi: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (y^3(z^2 - xy) : z^5 - y^5 - xyz^3 : y^2z(z^2 - xy))$$

blows down the conic \mathcal{C} given by $z^2 - xy = 0$ onto the point $p = (0 : 1 : 0)$ and the line L_y defined by $y = 0$ onto p . Furthermore φ has only one point of indeterminacy which is $q = (1 : 0 : 0) = L_y \cap \mathcal{C}$. The inverse of φ is the map

$$\varphi^{-1}: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (x^2yz^2 - z^5 + x^5 : x^2(x^2y - z^3) : xz(x^2y - z^3))$$

which blows down \mathcal{C}' given by $x^2y - z^3 = 0$ onto q and the line L_x defined by $x = 0$ onto q . Moreover $\text{Ind}(\varphi^{-1}) = \mathcal{C}' \cap L_x = \{p\}$.

If A is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ let us set $\varphi_A = A \circ \varphi$. We will prove the two following statements:

Lemma 2.4. *The positive orbit of any point $p_i^{(1)} \in \text{Base}(\varphi_A^{-1})$ is infinite.*

Lemma 2.5. *The negative orbit of any point $q_i \in \text{Base}(\varphi_A)$ is infinite.*

Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 imply that $\mu(\varphi_A) = 0$; Theorem A thus follows from Theorem 2.3. We will now prove Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5.

The set of base points of φ is

$$\text{Base}(\varphi) = \{q, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_6, q_7, q_8\}$$

and the set of base points of φ^{-1} is

$$\text{Base}(\varphi^{-1}) = \{p, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8\}.$$

We have

$$\text{Base}(\varphi_A) = \text{Base}(\varphi), \quad \text{Exc}(\varphi_A) = \text{Exc}(\varphi).$$

However

$$\text{Base}(\varphi_A^{-1}) = \{p^{(1)}, p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, p_3^{(1)}, p_4^{(1)}, p_5^{(1)}, p_6^{(1)}, p_7^{(1)}, p_8^{(1)}\}$$


where $p^{(1)} = A(p)$ and $p_j^{(1)} = A(p_j)$. Moreover

$$\text{Exc}(\varphi_A^{-1}) = \{A(L_x), A(\mathcal{C}')\}.$$


The map φ_A (resp. φ_A^{-1}) has only one proper base point, and all its base points are in tower, that is q_i (resp. p_i) is infinitely near to q_{i-1} (resp. p_{i-1}) for $i = 2, \dots, 8$. We denote by $\pi_1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ (resp. $\pi_2 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$) the blow-up of the 8 base points of φ_A (resp. φ_A^{-1}). We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S & \\ \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 & \dashrightarrow_{\varphi_A} & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \end{array}$$

We still denote by L_y and C (resp. L_x and C') the strict transform of L_y and C (resp. L_x and C'). Let $E_i \subset V_1$ (resp. $F_i \subset V_2$) be the strict transform of the curve obtained by blowing up q_i (resp. p_i). The configuration of the curves E_1, E_2, \dots, E_8, C and L_y on S is




where two curves are connected by an edge if their intersection is positive. We will denote by \mathcal{T}' this tree. The configuration of the curves F_1, F_2, \dots, F_8, C' and L_x on S is:



Let us denote by \mathcal{T} this tree.


Because of the order of the curves contracted by π_2 we get equalities between C, E_1, E_2, \dots, E_9 and C', F_1, F_2, \dots, F_9 according to the following figure:




Furthermore φ sends

L_y to F_9	E_1 to C'	E_2 to F_8	E_3 to F_7
E_4 to F_6	E_5 to F_5	E_6 to F_4	E_7 to F_3
E_8 to F_2	E_9 to L_x	C to F_1	

Let us study the positive orbits of the base points of $\text{Base}(\varphi_A^{-1})$. Set $p^{(k)} = \varphi_A^k(p^{(1)})$ and $p_i^{(k)} = \varphi_A^k(p_i^{(1)})$. As soon as $p^{(\ell)}$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{L_y, C\}$, φ_A sends the tree



onto the tree




We will denote by $\mathcal{T}^{(\ell)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}'^{(\ell)}$) the tree above $p^{(\ell)}$ (resp. $q^{(\ell)}$) and we will say that φ_A sends $(p^{(\ell)}, \mathcal{T}^{(\ell)})$ onto $(p^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}^{(\ell+1)})$.

The orbit of $p^{(1)}$ is finite if there exists an integer ℓ such that

- either $p^{(\ell+1)}$ lies on $L_y \setminus \{q\}$
- or $p^{(\ell+1)}$ belongs to $C \setminus \{q\}$;
- or $p^{(\ell+1)} = q$.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. (1) Let us first assume that $p^{(\ell+1)}$ lies on $L_y \setminus \{q\}$ or on $C \setminus \{q\}$. Then φ_A sends $(p^{(\ell)}, \mathcal{T}^{(\ell)})$ onto $(p^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}^{(\ell+1)})$ and $(p^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}^{(\ell+1)})$ onto




(2) Suppose finally that $p^{(\ell+1)} = q$. Since φ_A is a local diffeomorphism at $p^{(\ell)}$ the map φ_A sends $(p^{(\ell)}, \mathcal{T}^{(\ell)})$ onto $(q = p^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}')$.


The curve $F_1^{(\ell)}$ has to be sent onto E_1 since $F_1^{(\ell)}$ is the exceptional divisor obtained from the first blow up of $p^{(\ell)}$. Then

- either $F_2^{(\ell)}$ is sent onto E_2 ,
- or not.


If $F_2^{(\ell)}$ is sent onto E_2 , then φ_A sends the tree




onto the tree



If $F_2^{(\ell)}$ is not sent onto E_2 , then ϕ_A sends the tree



onto the tree




□

Similarly the study of the positive orbits of the base points of $\text{Base}(\varphi_A^{-1})$ allows to prove Lemma 2.5. Let us denote by $\{q^{(i)}\}$ the orbit of q under the action of φ_A^{-1} . As soon as $q^{(\ell)}$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{A(L_x), A(C)\}$ the map φ_A^{-1} sends $(q^{(\ell)}, \mathcal{T}'^{(\ell)})$ onto $(q^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}'^{(\ell+1)})$.

The orbit of q is finite if one of the following holds

- $q^{(\ell+1)}$ lies on $A(L_x) \setminus \{p^{(1)}\}$;
- $q^{(\ell+1)}$ belongs to $A(C) \setminus \{p^{(1)}\}$;
- $q^{(\ell+1)} = p^{(1)}$.


Proof of Lemma 2.5. (1) Let us first assume that $q^{(\ell+1)}$ belongs to $A(L_x) \setminus \{p^{(1)}\}$ or to $A(C) \setminus \{p^{(1)}\}$. Then φ_A^{-1} sends $(q^{(\ell)}, \mathcal{T}'^{(\ell)})$ onto $(q^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}'^{(\ell+1)})$ and $(q^{(\ell+1)}, \mathcal{T}'^{(\ell+1)})$ onto the tree




(2) Suppose finally that $q^{(\ell+1)} = p^{(1)}$. The curve $E_1^{(\ell)}$ has to be sent onto F_1 since $E_1^{(\ell)}$ is the exceptional divisor obtained from the first blow up of $q^{(\ell)}$. Then

- either $E_2^{(\ell)}$ is sent onto F_2 ,
- or not.


If $E_2^{(\ell)}$ is sent onto F_2 , then φ_A^{-1} sends




onto



If $E_2^{(\ell)}$ is not sent onto F_2 , then ϕ_A^{-1} sends



onto the tree



□

3. BLANC EXAMPLE IN HIGHER DEGREE

Let us deal with Remark 1.2.

In [3] BLANC consider the birational map $\chi_{23} = \varphi_2 \circ \psi_3$ with

$$\varphi_2: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (xz + y^2 : yz : z^2), \quad \psi_3: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (xz^2 : yz^2 + x^3 : z^3).$$


BLANC proves that for any $A \in \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$

- the positive orbit of any point of $\text{Base}((A \circ \chi_{23})^{-1})$ is infinite,
- the negative orbit of any point of $\text{Base}(A \circ \chi_{23})$ is infinite.


This implies that $A \circ \chi_{23}$ is not regularizable, and so $\text{Reg}(\chi_{23}) = \emptyset$. It can be generalize in higher degree. Let us set

$$\varphi_n: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (xz^{n-1} + y^n : yz^{n-1} : z^n), \quad \psi_p: (x : y : z) \dashrightarrow (xz^{p-1} : yz^{p-1} + x^p : z^p).$$


The tree of rational curves obtained by solving the indeterminacy of φ_n is




The tree of rational curves obtained by solving the indeterminacy of φ_n^{-1} is



The tree of rational curves obtained by solving the indeterminacy of ψ_p is




The tree of rational curves obtained by solving the indeterminacy of ψ_p^{-1} is




Let us now consider $\chi_{n,p} = \varphi_n \circ \psi_p$.

The tree of rational curves obtained by solving the indeterminacy of $\chi_{n,p}$ is



The tree of rational curves obtained by solving the indeterminacy of $\chi_{n,p}^{-1}$ is



Furthermore $\chi_{n,p}$ sends

L_z to $F_{2p+2n-2}$	E_1 to F_p	E_{2n} to F_1	$E_{2p+2n-2}$ to L_z
E_2 to $F_{2p+2n-3}$	E_3 to $F_{2p+2n-4}$	\dots	E_{n-1} to F_{2p+n}
E_n to F_{2p+n-1}	E_{n+1} to F_{2p+n-2}	\dots	E_{2n+p-2} to F_{p+1}
E_{p+2n-1} to F_p	E_{p+2n} to F_{p-1}	\dots	$E_{2p+2n-1}$ to F_2

As a result using [3] we can state:

Theorem 3.1. *If*

$$\varphi_n : (x : y : z) \mapsto (xz^{n-1} + y^n : yz^{n-1} : z^n) \quad \psi_p : (x : y : z) \mapsto (xz^{p-1} : yz^{p-1} + x^p : z^p)$$

and $\chi_{n,p} = \varphi_n \circ \psi_p$, then $\text{Reg}(\chi_{n,p}) = \emptyset$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms: linear fractional recurrences. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 19(3):553–583, 2009.
- [2] C. Bisi, A. Calabri, and M. Mella. On plane Cremona transformations of fixed degree. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 25(2):1108–1131, 2015.
- [3] J. Blanc. Dynamical degrees of (pseudo)-automorphisms fixing cubic hypersurfaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 62(4):1143–1164, 2013.
- [4] J. Blanc and J. Déserti. Degree growth of birational maps of the plane. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 14(2):507–533, 2015.
- [5] S. Cantat, J. Déserti, and J. Xie. In preparation.
- [6] D. Cerveau and J. Déserti. *Transformations birationnelles de petit degré*, volume 19 of *Cours Spécialisés*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
- [7] J. Diller. Cremona transformations, surface automorphisms, and plane cubics. *Michigan Math. J.*, 60(2):409–440, 2011. With an appendix by Igor Dolgachev.

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D’AZUR, LABORATOIRE J.-A. DIEUDONNÉ, UMR 7351, NICE, FRANCE
E-mail address: deserti@math.cnrs.fr