# ON REGULARIZABLE BIRATIONAL MAPS 
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#### Abstract

Bedford asked if there exists a birational self map $f$ of the complex projective plane such that for any automorphism $A$ of the complex projective plane $A \circ f$ is not conjugate to an automorphism. In this article we give such a $f$ of degree 5 .


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\operatorname{Bir}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right)$ the group of all birational self maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$, also called the $k$-dimensional Cremona group. Let $\operatorname{Bir}_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right)$ be the algebraic variety of all birational self maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ of degree $d$. When $k=2$ and $d \geq 2$ these varieties have many distinct components, of various dimensions $([6,2])$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right)=\operatorname{PGL}(k+1, \mathbb{C})$ acts by left translations, by right translations, and by conjugacy on $\operatorname{Bir}_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right)$. Since this group is connected, these actions preserve each connected component.

A birational map $f: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ is regularizable if there there exist a smooth projective variety $V$ and a birational map $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ such that $g^{-1} \circ f \circ g$ is an automorphism of $V$. To any element $f$ of $\operatorname{Bir}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right)$ we associate the set $\operatorname{Reg}(f)$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{Reg}(f):=\left\{A \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right) \mid A \circ f \text { is regularizable }\right\}
$$

On the one hand Dolgachev asked whether there exists a birational self map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ of degree $>1$ such that $\operatorname{Reg}(f)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}\right)$. In [5] we give a negative answer to this question. More precisely we prove

Theorem 1.1 ([5]). Let $f$ be a birational self map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ of degree $d \geq 2$.
The set of automorphisms $A$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left((A \circ f)^{n}\right) \neq(\operatorname{deg}(A \circ f))^{n}$ for some $n>0$ is a countable union of proper Zariski closed subsets of $\operatorname{PGL}(k+1, \mathbb{C})$.

In particular there exists an automorphism $A$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ such that $A \circ f$ is not regularizable.
On the other hand Bedford asked: does there exist a birational map $f$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ such that $\operatorname{Reg}(f)=\emptyset$ ? We will focus on the case $k=2$. According to [1, 7] if $\operatorname{deg} f=2$, then

[^0]$\operatorname{Reg}(f) \neq \emptyset$. What about birational maps of degree 3 ? Blanc proves that the set
$$
\left\{f \in \operatorname{Bir}_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right) \mid \operatorname{Reg}(f) \neq \emptyset, \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{n}\right)\right)^{1 / n}>1\right\}
$$
is dense in $\operatorname{Bir}_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}\right)$ and that its complement has codimension 1 (see [3]). Blanc also gives a positive answer to Bedford question in dimension 2: if $\chi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the birational map given by
$$
\chi:(x: y: z) \rightarrow\left(x z^{5}+\left(y z^{2}+x^{3}\right)^{2}: y z^{5}+x^{3} z^{3}: z^{6}\right)
$$
then $\operatorname{Reg}(\chi)=\emptyset$.
Remark 1.2. Note that $\chi=\left(x+y^{2}, y\right) \circ\left(x, y+x^{3}\right)$ in the affine chart $z=1$. Indeed Blanc example can be generalized as follows: the birational map given in the affine chart $z=1$ by
$$
\chi_{n, p}=\left(x+y^{n}, y\right) \circ\left(x, y+x^{p}\right)=\left(x+\left(y+x^{p}\right)^{n}, y+x^{p}\right)
$$
satisfies $\operatorname{Reg}\left(\chi_{n, p}\right)=\emptyset$.
In this article we prove that there exist a birational self map $\psi$ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ such that $\operatorname{deg} \psi<6$ and $\operatorname{Reg}(\psi)=\emptyset$ :

Theorem A. If $\psi: \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the birational map given by

$$
\psi:(x: y: z) \rightarrow\left(x^{2} y z^{2}-z^{5}+x^{5}: x^{2}\left(x^{2} y-z^{3}\right): x z\left(x^{2} y-z^{3}\right)\right),
$$

then $\operatorname{Reg}(\psi)=\emptyset$.
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## 2. Proof of Theorem A

Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface. Let $\phi: S \rightarrow S$ be a birational map. This map admits a resolution

where $\pi_{1}: Z \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_{2}: Z \rightarrow S$ are finite sequences of blow-ups. The resolution is minimal if and only if no $(-1)$-curve of $Z$ is contracted by both $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. The base-points Base $(\phi)$ of $\phi$ are the points blown-up by $\pi_{1}$, which can be points of $S$ or infinitely near points. The proper base-points of $\phi$ are called indeterminacy points of $\phi$ and form a set denoted $\operatorname{Ind}(\phi)$. Finally we denote by $\operatorname{Exc}(\phi)$ the set of curves contracted by $\phi$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{b}(\phi)$ the number of base-points of $\phi$; note that $\mathfrak{b}(\phi)$ is equal to the difference of the ranks of $\operatorname{Pic}(Z)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$ and thus equal to $\mathfrak{b}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)$. Let us introduce the dynamical number of the base-points of $\phi$

$$
\mu(\phi)=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{b}\left(\phi^{k}\right)}{k}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{b}(\phi \circ \varphi) \leq \mathfrak{b}(\phi)+\mathfrak{b}(\varphi)$ for any birational self map $\varphi$ of $S, \mu(\phi)$ is a non-negative real number. As $\mathfrak{b}(\phi)=\mathfrak{b}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)$ one gets $\mu\left(\phi^{k}\right)=|k \mu(\phi)|$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore if $Z$ is a smooth projective surface and $\varphi: S \rightarrow Z$ a birational map, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
-2 \mathfrak{b}(\varphi)+\mathfrak{b}\left(\phi^{n}\right) \leq \mathfrak{b}\left(\varphi \circ \phi^{n} \circ \varphi^{-1}\right) \leq 2 \mathfrak{b}(\varphi)+\mathfrak{b}\left(\phi^{n}\right) ;
$$

hence $\mu(\phi)=\mu\left(\varphi \circ \phi \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)$. One can thus state the following result:
Lemma 2.1 ([4]). The dynamical number of base-points is an invariant of conjugation. In particular if $\phi$ is a regularizable birational self map of a smooth projective surface, then $\mu(\phi)=0$.

A base-point $p$ of $\phi$ is a persistent base-point if there exists an integer $N$ such that for any $k \geq N$

$$
\diamond p \in \operatorname{Base}\left(\phi^{k}\right)
$$

$$
\diamond \text { and } p \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\phi^{-k}\right)
$$

Let $p$ be a point of $S$ or a point infinitely near $S$ such that $p \notin \operatorname{Base}(\phi)$. Consider a minimal resolution of $\phi$


Because $p$ is not a base-point of $\phi$ it corresponds via $\pi_{1}$ to a point of $Z$ or infinitely near; using $\pi_{2}$ we view this point on $S$ again maybe infinitely near and denote it $\phi^{\bullet}(p)$. For instance if $S=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}, p=(1: 0: 0)$ and $f$ is the birational self map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ given by

$$
\left(z_{0}: z_{1}: z_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(z_{1} z_{2}+z_{0}^{2}: z_{0} z_{2}: z_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

the point $f^{\bullet}(p)$ is not equal to $p=f(p)$ but is infinitely near to it. Note that if $\varphi$ is a birational self map of $S$ and $p$ is a point of $S$ such that $p \notin \operatorname{Base}(\phi), \phi(p) \notin \operatorname{Base}(\varphi)$, then $(\varphi \circ \phi)^{\bullet}(p)=\varphi^{\bullet}\left(\phi^{\bullet}(p)\right)$. One can put an equivalence relation on the set of points of $S$ or infinitely near $S$ : the point $p$ is equivalent to the point $q$ if there exists an integer $k$ such that $\left(\phi^{k}\right)^{\bullet}(p)=q$; in particular $p \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\phi^{k}\right)$ and $q \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\phi^{-k}\right)$. Remark that the equivalence class is the generalization of set of orbits for birational maps.

Let us give the relationship between the dynamical number of base-points and the equivalence classes of persistent base-points:

Proposition 2.2 ([4]). Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface. Let $\phi$ be a birational self map of $S$.

Then $\mu(\phi)$ coincides with the number of equivalence classes of persistent base-points of $\phi$. In particular $\mu(\phi)$ is an integer.

This interpretation of the dynamical number of base-points allows to prove the following result that gives a characterization of regularizable birational maps:
Theorem 2.3 ([4]). Let $\phi$ be a birational self map of a smooth projective surface. Then $\phi$ is regularizable if and only if $\mu(\phi)=0$.
2.1. Base-points of $\psi$. The birational map

$$
\psi:(x: y: z) \rightarrow\left(x^{2} y z^{2}-z^{5}+x^{5}: x^{2}\left(x^{2} y-z^{3}\right): x z\left(x^{2} y-z^{3}\right)\right)
$$

has only one proper base-point, namely $p_{1}=(0: 1: 0)$, and all its base-points are in tower that is: the nine base-points of $\psi$ that we denote $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{9}$ are such that $p_{i}$ is infinitely near to $p_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 9$. We denote by $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ the blow up of the 9 base-points, and still write $L_{x}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}$ ) the strict transform of the line $L_{x} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of equation $x=0$ (resp. the curve of equation $x^{2} y-z^{3}=0$ ) which is contracted by $\psi$. We denote by $E_{i} \subset S$ the strict transform of the curve obtained by blowing up $p_{i}$. The configuration of the curves $E_{1}, E_{2}$, $\ldots, E_{9}, L_{x}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is


Figure 1.

Two curves are connected by an edge if their intersection is positive. Let us write $\psi_{A}=$ $A \circ \psi$ where $A$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. Because $\pi$ is the blow-up of the base-points of $\psi$, which are also the base-points of $\psi_{A}$, the map $\eta=\psi_{A} \circ \pi$ is a birational morphism $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ which is the blow-up of the base-points of $\psi_{A}^{-1}$. In fact

is the minimal resolution of $\psi_{A}$.
The morphism $\eta$ contracts $L_{x}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ as well as the union of eight other irreducible curves which are among the curves $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{9}$. The configuration of Figure 1 shows that $\eta$ contracts the curves $L_{x}, E_{2}, E_{3}, E_{4}, E_{5}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, C$ following this order.
We can see $\eta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ as a sequence of nine blow-ups in the same way as we did for $\pi$. We denote by $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{9}$ the base-points of $\psi_{A}^{-1}$ (or equivalently the points blown up by $\eta$ ) so that $q_{1} \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ and $q_{i}$ is infinitely near to $q_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 9$. We denote by $D \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ ) the line contracted by $\psi_{A}^{-1}$ which is the image by $A$ of the line $y=0$ (resp. of the conic $z^{2}-x y=0$ ). We denote by $F_{i} \subset S$ the strict transform of the curve obtained by blowing up $q_{i}$. Because of the order of the curves contracted by $\eta$ we get equalities between $L_{x}, \mathcal{C}, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{9}$ and $D, C^{\prime}, F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{9}$ as follows


Figure 2.

In particular we see that the configuration of the points $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{9}$ is not the same as that of the points $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{9}$. Saying that a point $m$ is proximate to a point $m^{\prime}$ if $m$ is infinitely near to $m^{\prime}$ and that it belongs to the strict transform of the curve obtained by blowing up $m^{\prime}$ the configurations of the points $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ are


Figure 3
We will prove that for any integer $i>0$ the point $p_{3}$ belongs to Base $\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)$ and does not belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)$. It implies that $\mu\left(\psi_{A}\right)>0$ and that $\psi_{A}$ is not regularizable.

Denote by $k$ the lowest positive integer such that $p_{1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-k}\right)$. If no such integer exists we write $k=\infty$. For any $1 \leq i<k$ the point $p_{1}$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)$
so $\psi_{A}$ and $\psi_{A}^{-1}$ have no common base-point. As a consequence the set of base-points of the map $\psi_{A}^{i+1}=\psi_{A} \circ \psi_{A}^{i}$ is the union of the base-points of $\psi_{A}^{i}$ and of the points $\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 9$. Since the map $\psi_{A}^{-i}$ is defined at $p_{1}$ the point $\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right)$ is proximate to the point $\left(\Psi_{A}^{-i}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{k}\right)$ if and only if $p_{j}$ is proximate to $p_{k}$. Proceeding by induction on $i$ we get the following assertions:
$\diamond$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ integer $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)=\left\{\left(\psi_{A}^{-m}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq 9,0 \leq m \leq i-1\right\} ;$
$\diamond$ for any $0 \leq-\ell \leq k$ the configuration of the points $\left\{\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq 9\right\}$ is given by

$$
\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{1}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell} \cdot \bullet\left(p_{2}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(p_{3}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(p_{4}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(p_{5}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(p_{6}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{7}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(p_{8}\right) \longleftarrow\left(\psi_{A}^{\ell}\right) \cdot\left(p_{9}\right)\right.
$$

Hence the point $p_{3}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$.
If $k=\infty$, then $p_{3}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)$ for any $i>0$ and by definition of $k$ the point $p_{1}$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)$ for any $i>0$, and so neither $p_{3}$. We can thus assume that $k$ is a positive integer.

Assume that $q_{1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then $q_{1}$ is equal to $\left(\psi_{A}^{-m}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right)$ for some $0 \leq m \leq k-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq 9$. This implies that $p_{j}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{m+1}\right)$ which is impossible because $m+1 \leq k-1$. Hence $q_{1}$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

We thus see that $\psi_{A}^{-1}$ has no common base-point with $\psi_{A}^{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. In particular if $B$ denotes $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{k}\right)$, then

$$
B=\left\{\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq 9\right\} \cap\left\{q_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 9\right\}
$$

Let us remark that $p_{1}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-k}\right)$ and $p_{1}$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)$; as a result $\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{1}\right)$, which is a base-point of $\psi_{A}^{k}$, is also a base-point of $\psi_{A}^{-1}$. The set $B$ is thus not empty.

The configurations of the two sets of points $\left\{\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq 9\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{j} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq 9\}$ imply that $q_{1}=\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{1}\right)$.

Moreover either $B=\left\{q_{1}\right\}$, or $B=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$. Indeed $\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ is proximate to $\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{1}\right)$ whereas $q_{3}$ is proximate to $q_{2}$ but not to $q_{1}$.

The point $\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ is thus a point infinitely near to $q_{1}$ in the second neighborhood which is maybe infinitely near to $q_{2}$ but not equal to $q_{3}$. Recalling that $\eta$ is the blow up of $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{9}$ the point $\left(\eta^{-1} \circ \psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ corresponds to a point that belongs, as a proper or infinitely near point, to one of the curves $F_{1}, F_{2} \subset S$. So $\left(\pi \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \psi_{A}^{-(k-1)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ is a point infinitely near to $p_{3}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$ the point $p_{3}$ does not belong to Base $\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)$; therefore there is no base-point of $\psi_{A}^{-i}$ which is infinitely near to $p_{3}$. As a result $\left(\psi_{A}^{-k}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ does not
belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)$ and $p_{3}$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{1}^{-(k+i)}\right)$. Moreover $\left(\psi_{A}^{-(k+i)}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ is infinitely near to $\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$. Choosing $i=k$ we see that $\left(\psi_{A}^{-2 k}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ is infinitely near to $\left(\Psi_{A}^{-k}\right)^{\bullet}\left(p_{3}\right)$ which is infinitely near to $p_{3}$. Continuing like this we get

$$
\forall i \geq 1 \quad p_{3} \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{-i}\right) .
$$

To get the result it remains to show that $p_{3}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)$ for any $i \geq 1$. Reversing the order of $\psi_{A}$ and $\psi_{A}^{-1}$ we prove as previously that

$$
\forall i \geq 1 \quad q_{3} \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)
$$

Let us now see that

$$
\left(\forall i \geq 1 \quad q_{3} \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)\right) \Rightarrow\left(\forall i \geq 1 \quad p_{3} \in \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i}\right)\right) .
$$

For $i=1$ it is obvious. Assume $i>1$; let us decompose
$\diamond \psi_{A}^{i}$ into $\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \psi_{A}$,
$\diamond \pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ into $\pi_{12} \circ \pi_{39}$ where $\pi_{12}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the blow up of $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $\pi_{39}: S \rightarrow Y$ is the blow up of $p_{3}, p_{4}, \ldots, p_{9}$,
$\diamond \eta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ into $\eta_{12} \circ \eta_{39}$ where $\eta_{12}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ is the blow up of $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $\eta_{39}: S \rightarrow Z$ is the blow up of $q_{3}, q_{4}, \ldots, q_{9}$.
Note that $\eta_{39}$ contracts $F_{9}, F_{8}, \ldots, F_{3}$ onto the point $Z \ni q_{3} \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \eta_{12}\right)$. Consider the system of conics of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ passing through $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$. Denote by $\Lambda$ its lift on $Y$; it is a system of smooth curves passing through $q_{3}$ with movable tangents and $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=2$. The strict transform of $\Lambda$ on $S$ is a system of curves intersecting $E_{3}$ at a general movable point. The map $\eta_{39}$ contracts the curves $L_{x}, E_{2}, E_{3}, E_{4}, E_{5}, E_{6}, E_{7}$. As the curve $E_{3}$ is contracted and is not the last one, the image of the system by $\eta_{39}$ passes through $q_{3}$ with a fixed tangent corresponding to the point $q_{4}$. Since $q_{3} \notin \operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \eta_{12}\right)$ the image of $\Lambda \subset Y$ by $\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \eta \circ\left(\pi_{39}\right)^{-1}$ has a fixed tangent at the point $\left(\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \eta_{12}\right)\left(q_{3}\right)$. As a consequence $p_{3}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Base}\left(\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \eta \circ\left(\pi_{39}\right)^{-1}\right)$ and thus to $\operatorname{Base}(\underbrace{\psi_{A}^{i-1} \circ \eta \circ\left(\pi_{39}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\pi_{12}\right)^{-1}}_{\psi_{A}^{i}})$.

## References

[1] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms: linear fractional recurrences. J. Geom. Anal., 19(3):553-583, 2009.
[2] C. Bisi, A. Calabri, and M. Mella. On plane Cremona transformations of fixed degree. J. Geom. Anal., 25(2):1108-1131, 2015.
[3] J. Blanc. Dynamical degrees of (pseudo)-automorphisms fixing cubic hypersurfaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 62(4):1143-1164, 2013.
[4] J. Blanc and J. Déserti. Degree growth of birational maps of the plane. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 14(2):507-533, 2015.
[5] S. Cantat, J. Déserti, and J. Xie. Three chapters on Cremona groups. arXiv:2007.13841.
[6] D. Cerveau and J. Déserti. Transformations birationnelles de petit degré, volume 19 of Cours Spécialisés. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
[7] J. Diller. Cremona transformations, surface automorphisms, and plane cubics. Michigan Math. J., 60(2):409-440, 2011. With an appendix by Igor Dolgachev.

Université Côte d’Azur, Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné, UMR 7351, Nice, France
Email address: deserti@math.cnrs.fr


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J50, 14E07.
    Key words and phrases. Cremona group, birational map, automorphisms of surfaces, regularization.
    The author was partially supported by the ANR grant Fatou ANR-17-CE40-0002-01 and the ANR grant Foliage ANR-16-CE40-0008-01.

