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ABSTRACT. Let $L$ be a geometric lattice. We first give the concept of monoidal cosheaf on $L$, and then define the generalized Orlik–Solomon algebra $A^*(L, \mathcal{C})$ over a commutative ring with unit, which is built by the classical Orlik–Solomon algebra and a monoidal cosheaf $\mathcal{C}$ as coefficients. Furthermore, we study the cohomology of the complement $\mathcal{M}(A)$ of a manifold arrangement $A$ with geometric intersection lattice $L$ in a smooth manifold $M$ without boundary. Associated with $A$, we construct a monoidal cosheaf $\mathcal{C}(A)$, so that the generalized Orlik–Solomon algebra $A^*(L, \mathcal{C}(A))$ becomes a double complex with suitable multiplication structure and the associated total complex $\text{Tot}(A^*(L, \mathcal{C}(A)))$ is a differential algebra, also regarded as a cochain complex. Our main result is that $H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(L, \mathcal{C}(A))))$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathcal{M}(A))$ as algebras. Our argument is of topological with the use of a spectral sequence induced by a geometric filtration associated with $A$. As an application, we calculate the cohomology of chromatic configuration spaces, which agrees with many known results in some special cases. In addition, some explicit formulas are also given in some special cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

P. Orlik and L. Solomon [20] introduced the OS-algebra $A^*(A)$ of central hyperplane arrangements $A$ over complex number field $\mathbb{C}$, and proved that $A^*(A)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the complement of $A$. Essentially, $A^*(A)$ only depends on the intersection lattice $L$ of $A$, so the OS-algebra may be defined for every geometric lattice $L$, also denoted by $A^*(L)$. E. Feichtner and G. Ziegler [12] calculated the cohomology ring of the complement of complex subspace arrangements with a geometric intersection lattice.

Dupont [9] studied the cohomology of complement of hypersurface arrangements and built an OS-model for hypersurface arrangements, giving a "global" version of OS-algebra. Bibby [3] studied the abelian arrangements, and also gave a kind of OS-model by Leray spectral sequence. Their works lead many applications. For example, F. Callegaro [6] and Pagaria [21] studied the cohomology of complement of a toric arrangements with integer coefficients, and B. Berceanu et al. [2] studied the cohomology of partial configuration spaces of Riemann surfaces. Also see [5, 22] for more recent work along this direction.

In this paper we are concerned with manifold arrangements, introduced in [11]. The notion of manifold arrangements is a generalization for some classical arrangements, such as hyperplane (or subspace) arrangements and the configuration spaces of manifolds. We study the cohomology ring of complement of manifold arrangements, and give a model with "monoidal cosheaf" as coefficients of manifold arrangements by an
elementary and pure topological approach, which can still be regarded as a "global" version of OS-algebra in a more general sense for the above cases.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{N_i\}$ be a manifold arrangement with geometric intersection lattice $L$ in a smooth manifold $M$ without boundary, where each $N_i$ is a smooth submanifold and a closed subset in $M$, let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ be the complement of $\mathcal{A}$ in $M$, see Section 2 for precise definitions. Then the lattice $L$ defines an OS-algebra $A^*(L)$ in the sense of Orlik and Solomon, which encodes the combinatorical data of $L$ and plays an important role in our discussion. Furthermore, we will carry out our work over a commutative ring $R$ with unit as follows:

(A) First we generalize this OS-algebra $A^*(L)$ to a "global" version $A^*(L,C)$, which is built by $A^*(L)$ and a "cosheaf" $C$ as coefficients, where the cosheaf on a poset is a concept defined in Section 2.4. For any manifold arrangement $\mathcal{A}$, there is an associated cosheaf $C(\mathcal{A})$ as a cochain complex, as we will see in Section 2.5 which encodes topological data of $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, two differentials on $A^*(L)$ and $C(\mathcal{A})$ induce a double complex structure on $A^*(L,C(\mathcal{A}))$ with differentials $\partial$ and $\delta$. In particular, this double complex also becomes a total complex with differential $\partial + \delta$ of degree 1, denoted by $\text{Tot}(A^*(L,C(\mathcal{A})))$, which can be regarded as a cochain complex. We show that $H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(L,C(\mathcal{A}))))$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}))$ as modules. This result can be understood as a "categorification" of Möbius inversion formula, which is essentially based upon a filtration of $A^*(L,C(\mathcal{A}))$ induced by a geometric filtration associated with $\mathcal{A}$.

(B) Generally, $A^*(L,C)$ is not a differential algebra unless the cosheaf $C$ has a suitable product structure (in this case, we call it a "monoidal cosheaf" in Section 2.5). Indeed, the cochain complex $C(\mathcal{A})$ is not a monoidal cosheaf under the natural cup product in general. We construct a monoidal cosheaf $\hat{C}(\mathcal{A})$ on $L$ as a ‘flat’ version of $C(\mathcal{A})$ such that their cohomology groups $H^*(\hat{C}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $H^*(C(\mathcal{A}))$ are isomorphic. Then we obtain a generalized OS-algebra $A^*(L,\hat{C}(\mathcal{A}))$, abbreviate it as $A^*(\mathcal{A})$. We will prove that $A^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a double complex with suitable multiplication structure such that the associated total complex $\text{Tot}(A^*(\mathcal{A}))$ is a differential algebra as a cochain complex, whose cohomology can be realized as $H^*(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}))$ as algebras.

Our main result is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** As algebras,

$$H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(\mathcal{A}))) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})).$$

Furthermore, the spectral sequence associated with double complex $A^*(\mathcal{A})$ is a spectral sequence of algebra.

**Corollary 1.2.** There is a spectral sequence of algebra with $E_1^{i,*} = A^*(L,H^*(C(\mathcal{A})))$ converges to $H^*(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}))$ as algebras.

As an application, we further study the cohomology ring of the chromatic configuration space defined as

$$F(M,G) = \{ (x_1, ..., x_n) \in M^n | (i,j) \in E(G) \Rightarrow x_i \neq x_j \}$$

where $M$ is a smooth manifold without boundary, $G$ is a simple graph on vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $E(G)$ is the edge set of $G$. Clearly $F(M,G)$ would be the classical
configuration space $F(M,n)$ while $G$ is a complete graph. This concept of $F(M,G)$ was first introduced by Eastwood and Huggett [10] and many authors used different names, see [12, 16, 17], where we prefer to call it the "chromatic configuration space" as used in [17] since it is the space of all vertex-colorings of $G$ such that adjacent vertices receive different colors if we consider $M$ as a space of colors. This generalizes the concepts of graphic arrangements and configuration spaces, and it is also an example of manifold arrangements.

Historically, F. Cohen [7] determined the cohomology ring $H^*(F(\mathbb{R}^n,q))$ for $n \geq 2$. Fulton and MacPherson [13] gave a compactification of the configuration space of an algebraic variety and use that to compute $H^*(F(M,n))$. Kriz [16] and Totaro [25] improved Fulton and MacPherson’s result by different methods, showing that if $M$ is a smooth complex projective variety, the rational cohomology ring of $F(M,n)$ is determined by the rational cohomology ring of $M$. The homology group of chromatic space $F(M,G)$ has been studied by Baranovsky and Sazdanović [1]. The compact support cohomology of $F(M,G)$ was studied by Petersen [23]. These works in [1] and [23] actually coincide from the viewpoint of Poincaré duality. On the singular cohomology ring of chromatic configuration spaces, it seems that the only work is what Berceanu [2] does do recently, where Berceanu studied $H^*(F(M,G))$ by Dupont’s result [9] when $M$ is a Riemann surface. If $M$ is a manifold without boundary, we show in Section 6 that $F(M,G)$ is the complement of a manifold arrangement $A_G$. Then using the approach developed in this paper, we obtain an immediate corollary about cohomology ring $H^*(F(M,G))$.

**Corollary 1.3.** $H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(A_G)))$ is isomorphic to $H^*(F(M,G))$ as algebras, where $A_G$ is the associated manifold arrangement such that $M(A_G) = F(M,G)$.

In some special case, we can express $H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(A_G)))$ more explicitly by the spectral sequence associated with double complex $A^*(A_G)$.

**Corollary 1.4.** Assume that the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ is zero and we use $\mathbb{Z}_2$ as coefficients. Then there exists a filtration of $H^*(F(M,G))$ such that $\text{Gr}(H^*(F(M,G)))$ is isomorphic to $A^*(L_G, H^*(C(A_G)))$ as algebras.

By calculating the Poincaré polynomial of each algebra, we have

**Corollary 1.5.** With the same assumption as in Corollary 1.4 let $G$ be a simple graph on vertex set $[n]$ and $M$ be a manifold of dimension $m$. Then

$$P(F(M,G)) = (-1)^n t^{m-1} \chi_G(-P(M)t^{1-m})$$

where $P(-)$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-Poincaré polynomial with variable $t$, and $\chi_G(t)$ is the chromatic polynomial of $G$.

In a more special case, we can overcome the gap between $\text{Gr}(H^*(F(M,G)))$ and $H^*(F(M,G))$.

**Theorem 1.6.** Using $\mathbb{Z}_2$ as coefficients, assume that $M$ is a manifold of dimension $m$ such that the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ is zero and $H^i(M) = 0$ for all $i \geq (m - 1)/2$. Then $H^*(F(M,G))$ is isomorphic to $A^*(L_G, H^*(C(A_G)))$ as algebras.

---

1 see Section 6.2.
Comparation to known results.

- Theorem 1.6 agrees with the classical result of $H^*(F(\mathbb{R}^m, n))$.
- Using the proof process of Theorem 1.6, we may reprove Orlik-Solomon’s result for the complement of complex hyperplane arrangements.
- We may reprove the Zaslavsky’s result [29] for the $f$-polynomial of hyperplane arrangements in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (see Corollary 4.5).
- $E_1$ page in Corollary 5.5 agrees with Dupont’s OS-model in [9] for algebraic hypersurface arrangements.
- Let $G$ be a complete graph on $[n]$. Then $E_1$ page in Theorem 6.2 also agrees with the Kriz [16] and Totaro [25]’s model (denoted it by $E(n)$) of $F(M, n)$ for smooth complex projective variety $M$.
- We may reprove Eastwood and Huggett’s result [10] for Euler characteristic of $F(M, G)$ by the spectral sequence in Theorem 6.2. Corollary 1.5 extends this result to the Poincaré polynomial in a special case.
- Baranovsky and Sazdanović [1] give a complex, denoted it by $C_{BS}(G)$, as $E_1$ page of a spectral sequence converge to $H_*(F(M, G))$. Recently, M. Bökstedt and E. Minuz [4] studied the relation between $C_{BS}(G)$ and Kriz-Totaro’s model $E(n)$, defined a dual $C_{BS}(G)^*$ and generalized $E(n)$ to a model $R_n(A, G)$. They proved that $C_{BS}(G)^*$ and $R_n(A, G)$ are quasi-isomorphic, and $C_{BS}(G)^*$ is $E_1$ page of a spectral sequence converge to $H^*(F(M, G))$ as modules, giving a question if the spectral sequence has a product structure. Actually, $E_1$ page of spectral sequence in Theorem 6.2 coincides with $R_n(A, G)$. Our spectral sequence is equipped with a product structure as we discussed in Section 5, and this product structure seems to be new.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the concepts of manifold arrangements and geometric lattice, and introduce our concept of "momoidal cosheaf". In Section 3, we introduce some filtration induced by manifold arrangements, and use it to prove our main theorem of module structure in Section 4. In Section 5, we discuss the product structure on our construction, and then prove an algebra version of our main theorem. We introduce the chromatic configuration space in Section 6. Then, as an application of main result, we give some explicit formulas in some special cases. In appendix, we review some results for the spectral sequence of filtrated differential algebra, and then give the proof of Theorem 6.3.

Throughout Sections 2–5 of this paper, we always choose a commutative ring $R$ with unit as default coefficients. In Section 6, we use $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients for a convenience.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Geometric lattice. Here we are only concerned with finite posets. A lattice is a poset $L$ in which any two elements have both a supremum (join $\lor$), and an infimum (meet $\land$). Denote the unique minimal element (resp. maximal element) by $0$ (resp. $1$). A lattice is ranked if all maximal chains between two elements have the same length. By $r(p)$ we denote the length between $0$ and $p$, which is called the rank of $p$. Of course, $r(0) = 0$. An element of rank 1 is called an atom. If $p > q$ and $r(p) = r(q) + 1$, then we say that $p$ covers $q$, written as $p \triangleright q$ or $q \triangleleft p$. A geometric lattice (or matroid lattice) is a
ranked lattice subject to
\[ r(p \land q) + r(p \lor q) \leq r(p) + r(q) \]
and every element in \( L - \{0\} \) is the join of some set of atoms. For more details about lattices and geometric lattices, see [14].

Example 2.1. Let \( G \) denote a undirected simple graph with vertex set \([n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}\). A spanning subgraph of \( G \) is the subgraph such that its vertex set is \([n]\) and its edge set is a subset of all edges of \( G \). We use \( L_G \) to denote the lattice consisting of all partitions of vertices induced by connected components of spanning subgraphs of \( G \), so \( 0 \in L_G \) is the partition containing every single vertex and \( 1 \in L_G \) is the partition induced by connected components of \( G \). It is well-known that this \( L_G \) is a geometric lattice, also called the bond-lattice by Rota [24]. For any partition \( p \in L_G \), by \(|p|\) we denote the length of \( p \) as a partition, so \( r(p) = n - |p| \). There is a well-known relation between the Möbius function \( \mu \) of \( L_G \) and the chromatic polynomial \( \chi_G(t) \) of graph \( G \) as follows:
\[
\chi_G(t) = \sum_{p \in L_G} \mu(0,p)t^{n-r(p)}
\]
where the Möbius function \( \mu \) is an integer valued function on \( L_G \times L_G \) defined recursively by \( \mu(p,p) = 1 \), \( \sum_{p \leq t \leq q} \mu(p,t) = 0 \) if \( p < q \) and \( \mu(p,q) = 0 \) otherwise. Given a partition \( p \in L_G \), we can remove those edges in \( G \) of crossing different components of \( p \), and then get a subgraph of \( G \), denoted by \( G[p] \). It is easy to check that the associated lattice \( L_{G[p]} \) of this subgraph is the interval \([0, p] \subset L_G \). This fact will be used in Section 6.

The following property is important for geometric lattice, see [14, Chapter IV].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( L \) be a geometric lattice. Then every interval \([a, b]\) of \( L \) is also a geometric lattice.

From now on, we always assume \( L \) is a geometric lattice. Then, for some elements \( p_i \) of \( L \), \( r(\lor p_i) \leq \sum_i r(p_i) \). We say that the \( p_i \)'s are independent if \( r(\lor p_i) = \sum_i r(p_i) \) and dependent otherwise. Here is an easy lemma we will use later.

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) If \( a \) is an atom and \( p \in L \) with \( a \nsubseteq p \) and \( p \neq 0 \), then \( a, p \) are independent, i.e., \( r(a \land p) = r(p) + 1 \). (ii) Every element \( p \neq 0 \) is the join of some independent atoms \( a_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq r(p) \). (iii) For dependent \( p, q \) and write \( q = \lor a_i \) as join of independent atoms, there exists an integer \( s \) such that \( a_s \leq p \lor a_1 \lor a_2 \lor \cdots \lor a_{s-1} \).

**Proof.** (i) First \( r(a \land p) + r(a \lor p) \leq r(a) + r(p) \) by definition. Since \( a \) is an atom and \( a \nsubseteq p \), we have that \( a \land p = 0 \) so \( r(a \lor p) \leq r(p) + 1 \), and \( a \lor p > p \) so \( r(a \lor p) > r(p) \). Thus, \( r(p \lor a) = r(p) + 1 \). (ii) Clearly \( p \) is always the join of some atoms \( \{a_i\} \) by definition. Remove the elements \( a_i \) with \( a_s \leq a_1 \lor a_2 \lor \cdots \lor a_{s-1} \), we get the required independent set of atoms by (i). (iii) If not, \( r(p \lor a_1 \lor a_2 \lor \cdots \lor a_s) = r(p) + s \) by induction. Furthermore, \( r(p \lor q) = r(p) + r(q) \), which contradicts to the condition that \( p, q \) are dependent. \[\square\]

2.2. Orlik-Solomon algebra. P. Orlik and L. Solomon introduced the OS-algebra \( A^*(L) \) in [20] for any finite geometric lattice \( L \).

Let \( \text{Atom}(L) \) be the set of all atoms of \( L \). Recall a subset \( S \subset \text{Atom}(L) \) is said to be dependent if \( r(\lor S) < |S| \). Let \( E^*(L) \) be the exterior algebra over a commutative ring \( R \)
with unit 1, generated by the elements $e_a, a \in \text{Atom}(L)$ with the basis $e_S = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$, $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\} \subset \text{Atom}(L)$, where $e_S = 1$ if $S$ is empty. $E^*(L)$ admits the natural derivation $\partial : E^*(L) \rightarrow E^*(L)$ given by

$$\partial e_S = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } S \text{ is empty} \\
1 & \text{if } S = \{a\} \\
\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1}e_{a_1} \cdots \hat{e}_{a_j} \cdots e_{a_k} & \text{if } S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}.
\end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}(L)$ be the ideal in $E^*(L)$, generated by $\partial e_S$ for all dependent sets $S \subset \text{Atom}(L)$. Then the Orlik-Solomon algebra of $L$ is defined as the graded commutative quotient $R$-algebra $A^*(L) = E^*(L)/\mathcal{I}(L)$.

We list some well known properties of the OS-algebra here. For more details, see [28, 8].

**Proposition 2.2.**

(1) The OS algebra $A^*(L)$ is a $L$-graded algebra, i.e., $A^*(L) = \bigoplus_{p \in L} A^*(L)_p$, where $A^*(L)_p$ denotes the homogeneous submodule of order $p$, which is also a free $R$-module.

(2) $A^*(L)_p \cdot A^*(L)_q \subseteq A^*(L)_{p+q}$. If $r(p + q) < r(p) + r(q)$, then $A^*(L)_p \cdot A^*(L)_q = 0$.

(3) $A^*(\{0, p\})$ is naturally isomorphic to the sub-algebra $\bigoplus_{q \leq p} A^*(L)_q \subset A^*(L)$ for every $p \in L$.

(4) The derivation $\partial$ on $E^*(L)$ induces a derivation (still denoted by $\partial$) on the OS-algebra $A^*(L)$, which maps $A^*(L)_p$ to $\bigoplus_{q > p} A^*(L)_q$. In particular, $(A^*(\{0, p\}), \partial)$ is an exact complex for every $p \neq 0, p \in L$.

(5) $\dim A^*(L)_p = (-1)^{\nu(p)} \mu(0, p)$ for any field as coefficients, where $\mu(-, -)$ is the Möbius function of $L$.

### 2.3. Manifold arrangements.

Given a manifold $M$ and a finite collection of submanifolds $\mathcal{A} = \{N_i\}$, where $M$ and each $N_i$ are smooth without boundaries. As defined in [11], $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a manifold arrangement if it satisfies the Bott’s clean intersection property that for every $x \in M$, there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $x$, a neighborhood $W$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$, a subspace arrangement $\{V_i\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a diffeomorphism $\phi : U \rightarrow W$ such that $\phi$ maps $x$ to the origin and maps $\{N_i \cap U\}$ to $\{V_i \cap W\}$.

Roughly speaking, a manifold arrangement is ‘locally diffeomorphic’ to a subspace arrangement in an Euclidean space. The intersection lattice $L$ of a manifold arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as the set of all intersections of subsets of $\mathcal{A}$ ordered by the reverse inclusion, where we convention that the empty intersection will be $M$. We also follow the convention of lattice theory: by $1$ and $0$ we denote the maximal element and the minimal element respectively, and by $\lor$ we denote the lattice operation join.

In this paper, we always assume the following two additional conditions that are enough for our application to chromatic configuration spaces:

- every submanifold $N_i$ is a closed subset of $M$;
- the intersection lattice $L$ is a geometric lattice.

Given $p \in L$, let $M_p$ be the intersection space associated with $p$, so $M_0 = M$. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ be the complement of arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in $M$, and let $S_p = M_p - \bigcup_{q > p} M_q$. Obviously, $S_0 = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$. By $A_p$ we denote the collection $\{M_q | q > p\}$. Then $A_p$ is a manifold arrangement in $M_p$ and $S_p = \mathcal{M}(A_p)$.
2.4. Cosheaf and sheaf on poset. We see from Proposition [2.2] that \((A^∗([0, p]), ∂)\) is an exact complex, i.e., its all homologies vanish. If we combine this complex with suitable "coefficients", then the corresponding homologies will be more interesting.

For \(p, q \in L\), if \(p \leq q\), then we may understand that there is a unique morphism \(p \to q\), so \(L\) may be regarded as a category.

Definition 2.1. A cosheaf on lattice \(L\) is a contravariant functor \(C\) from \(L\) to the category of \(R\)-modules (or algebras), by mapping \(p \mapsto C_p\) and mapping every \(q \to p\) to \(f_{p,q}: C_p \to C_q\), satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad f_{p,p} = id; \\
(2) & \quad f_{q,s} \circ f_{p,q} = f_{p,s}.
\end{align*}
\]

All cosheaves on \(L\) with natural transformations as morphisms also form a category. Similarly, we may also define a covariant functor from \(L\) to the category of \(R\)-modules (or algebras) by mapping every \(p \to q\) to \(f_{p,q}: L_q \to L_p\), which is called a sheaf on \(L\).

Remark 1. The definition of a sheaf on \(L\) by regarding \(L\) as a topological space with order topology is essentially equivalent to the definition of a covariant functor on \(L\) as defined by Yanagawa in [27]. We follow this equivalence statement and use the terminology "sheaf (cosheaf)" as well. Some construction in this paper is inspired by sheaf theory, but we will not use sheaf (cosheaf) theory explicitly.

Example 2.2. Let \(A\) be a manifold arrangement in \(M\) with intersection lattice \(L\). The cochains \(C^∗(M, M − M_p)\) combined with inclusion maps \(f_{p,q}: C^∗(M, M − M_p) \to C^∗(M, M − M_q)\) for \(p \geq q\) give a cosheaf on \(L\). We will see more details in next section.

Example 2.3. With the same assumption as Example [2.2], the cohomology rings \(H^∗(M_p)\) combined with \(ϕ_{q,p}\), give a sheaf (of rings) on \(L\), where \(ϕ_{q,p}\) is the cohomology homomorphism induced by the inclusion map \(ϕ_{q,p}: M_q \to M_p\) for \(q \geq p\).

Now, we define a complex \((A^∗(L, C), ∂)\) for a cosheaf \(C\) on \(L\), which is a generalization of \(A^∗(L)\).

Definition 2.2. Let \(C\) is a cosheaf on \(L\). Define

\[
A^∗(L, C) = \bigoplus_{p \in L} A^∗(L, C)_p,
\]

where \(A^∗(L, C)_p = A^∗(L)_p \otimes C_p\) with the differential \(∂\) defined by

\[
∂(x \otimes c) = \sum_i x_i \otimes f_{p,p_i}(c)
\]

for \(x \in A^∗(L)_p\), \(c \in C_p\) and \(∂x = \sum_i x_i\) such that \(x_i \in A^∗(L)_{p_i}\) and \(p\) covers \(p_i\).

It needs to check that \((A^∗(L, C), ∂)\) is well-defined. Actually, let \(∂x_i = \sum_j x_{i,j}\) such that \(x_{i,j}\) belongs to some \(A^∗(L)_{p_j}\), where \(r(p_j) = r(p_i) - 1 = r(p) - 2\). Then we see that \(\sum_i x_{i,j} = 0\) for every \(j\) since \(∂(x) = 0\). Thus \(∂(x \otimes c) = \sum_i x_{i,j} \otimes f_{p,p_i}(c) = \sum_j (\sum_i x_{i,j}) \otimes f_{p,p_i}(c) = 0\), as desired.

Put a negative grading on \(A^∗(L, C)\) such that \(A^∗(L, C)_{-i} = \bigoplus_{r(p) = i} A^∗(L)_p \otimes C_p\). The negative grading will be convenient for the construction of double complex and the use of spectral sequence later. For any interval \([p, q] \subset L\), we will use \(A^∗([p, q], C)\) to
denote \( A^*([p, q], C|_{[p, q]}) \) for a convenience, where \( C|_{[p, q]} \) means the restriction of \( C \) on interval \([p, q]\). Then we can check easily that all \( C \mapsto A^*([p, q], C) \) define a functor from cosheaves on \( L \) to the category of \( R \)-module complexes.

**Remark 2.** Actually we may also define a functor
\[
\Gamma_{[p, q]}(C) = \text{Coker} \left( \bigoplus_{p < \alpha \leq q} C_\alpha \overset{f_{p, q}}{\to} C_p \right).
\]
It can easily be checked that \( \Gamma_{[p, q]} \) is right exact and the left derived functor \( L_i \Gamma_{[p, q]} \) is naturally isomorphic to \( H^{-i}(A^*([p, q], C)) \). However, we do not use this in this article.

The following definition and lemma will be used later.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( \alpha \in L \) and \( A \) be any \( R \)-module. Define a cosheaf \( j_{\alpha \ast} A \) on \( L \) as
\[
(j_{\alpha \ast} A)_p = \begin{cases} A, & p \leq \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
where the map \( f_{p, q} \) is the identity if \( q \leq p \leq \alpha \) and zero otherwise, which is called the sky-scraper cosheaf.

The following lemma is a direct result of the exactness of the OS-algebra.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any sky-scraper cosheaf \( j_{\alpha \ast} A \), \( (A^*([p, q], j_{\alpha \ast} A), \partial) \) is exact if and only if \( \alpha \neq p \). If \( \alpha = p \), then
\[
H^{-i}(A^*([p, q], j_{\alpha \ast} A)) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 0. \end{cases}
\]

2.5. **Monoidal cosheaf on lattice.** With the understanding on a negative grading on \( A^*(L, C) \), we may write each "cohomology group of the cosheaf \( C \) on interval \([p, q]\)" as \( H^{-i}(A^*([p, q], C)) \). This cohomology group will be an \( R \)-algebra if \( C \) has a suitable product structure on it, as we will describe below. It is exactly our main approach for the calculation of the cohomology ring of the complement of manifold arrangements.

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( C \) be a cosheaf on \( L \). Then \( C \) is said to be **monoidal** if \( \bigoplus_p C_p \) is an associative algebra satisfying that \( C_p \cdot C_q \subseteq C_{p \vee q} \) for every \( p, q \in L \) and
\[
b \cdot f_{p, q}(a) = f_{p \vee s, q \vee s}(b \cdot a) \\
f_{p, q}(a) \cdot b = f_{p \vee s, q \vee s}(a \cdot b)
\]
for \( a \in C_p, b \in C_q \).

**Remark 3.** In Definition 2.4 the monoidal cosheaf \( C \) is actually a monoidal functor from \( L \) to the category of \( R \)-modules if there is a unit \( 1 \in C_0 \), but we will not use this general terminology for simplicity. In this case, \( L \) is regarded as a monoidal category and \( \vee \) is the monoidal product.

**Example 2.4.** Let \( A \) be a manifold arrangement in \( M \) with intersection lattice \( L \). Then the cohomology rings \( H^*(M, M - M_p) \) form a monoidal cosheaf with cup product
\[
H^*(M, M - M_p) \otimes H^*(M, M - M_q) \overset{\cup}{\to} H^*(M, M - M_{p \vee q}).
\]

For a monoidal cosheaf \( C \) on \( L \), making use of the OS-algebra \( A^*(L) \) and the monoidal product of \( C \) we can define a product structure on \( A^*(L, C) \) as follows.
**Definition 2.5.** Assume that \( \mathcal{C} \) is a monoidal cosheaf on \( L \). Define the product on \( A^*(L, \mathcal{C}) \) as

\[
(x \otimes c_1) \cdot (y \otimes c_2) = (-1)^{\deg(c_1)r(q)}(x \cdot y) \otimes (c_1 \cdot c_2)
\]

for \( x \in A^*(L)_p, y \in A^*(L)_q, c_1 \in C^i_p, c_2 \in C^j_q \)

**Remark 4.** The algebra \( A^*(L, \mathcal{C}) \) can be viewed as a "global" OS-algebra with \( \mathcal{C} \) as coefficients, and it is actually a differential graded algebra. We will give more properties later.

3. A cosheaf and its filtration for manifold arrangements

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a manifold arrangement in \( M \) with its intersection lattice \( L \). Recall that \( M_p \) is the intersection space associated with \( p \in L \), and \( S_p = M_p - \bigcup_{q_p} M_q \), as defined in last section.

Associated with the manifold arrangement \( \mathcal{A} \), there is a natural cosheaf \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \) that encodes topological data of \( \mathcal{A} \), such that \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p = C^*(M, M - M_p) \), and \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) : p \mapsto \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \) is a graded cosheaf on \( L \) with the inclusion map \( f_{p,q} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_q \) for \( p \geq q \).

3.1. A classical filtration. We consider a classical filtration \( F^*_p M \) of \( M \) for every \( p \in L \) and then study the \( E_1 \)-term of associated spectral sequence. This will be very useful in the proof of our main theorem.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( p \in L \). Define an increasing filtration \( F^0_p M \subset F^1_p M \subset \cdots \subset M \) by

\[
F^i_p M = \begin{cases} 
M - M_p, & \text{if } i < r(p) \\
M - \bigcup_{q \geq p, r(q) = i} M_q, & \text{if } i \geq r(p).
\end{cases}
\]

These filtrations \( F^i_p M, p \in L \) induce the decreasing filtrations of the cosheaf \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \), which are defined as follows.

**Definition 3.2.** Let \( p \in L \). Define a filtration of \( \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \)

\[
F^0\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \supset F^1\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \supset \cdots \supset F^{r(p)}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p
\]

by

\[
F^i\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p = C^*(M, F^i_p M).
\]

Let \( E^*_{r,p}(\mathcal{A}) \) be the \( E_r \)-term of the spectral sequence associated with the filtration \( F^*\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \). Clearly, the inclusion map \( f_{p,q} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_q \) gives \( F^i\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_p \subset F^i\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_q \) by definition, so \( f_{p,q} \) induces the map between two spectral sequences, denoted by \( f_{p,q,r} : E^*_{r,p}(\mathcal{A}) \to E^*_{r,q}(\mathcal{A}) \). Thus \( E^*_{r,p}(\mathcal{A}) \) is also a cosheaf on \( L \) for every \( E_r \)-page.

The following lemma will be useful in the study of the cosheaf on \( L \) for the \( E_1 \)-page.

**Lemma 3.1.** \( F^i_p M \) is an open subset of \( F^{i+1}_p M \), formed by removing some sub-manifolds \( \sqcup_{p \geq p, r(p) = i} S_p \) as closed subsets.
Therefore, we have that
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Now we are going to show that \( \phi \) maps. Then we have the following commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq r(\alpha) = i} H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*_q} E_{1,q}^{i,j} \\
\downarrow \varphi^* \\
\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq p, r(\alpha) = i} H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0) \xrightarrow{\varphi^*_p} E_{1,p}^{i,j}.
\end{array}
\]

Now we are going to show that \( \phi_q^* \circ f_{p,q,1} \circ (\phi^*_p)^{-1} \) is an inclusion map. For this, we notice that the set \( \{ \alpha\mid \alpha \geq p, r(\alpha) = i \} \) can be divided into two disjoint parts: \( \{ \alpha\mid \alpha \geq p, r(\alpha) = i \} \) and \( \{ \alpha\mid \alpha \geq p, \alpha \not\geq p, r(\alpha) = i \} \). Let \( \varphi_0 : (N(S_{\alpha_0}), N(S_{\alpha_0})_0) \to (N_{q,i}, N_{q,i,0}) \) be the inclusion map for any \( \alpha_0 \) in the second part. We first show that \( \varphi_0^* \circ \phi_q^* \circ f_{p,q,1} = 0 \). This follows from fact that \( N(S_{\alpha_0}) \) is contained in \( F_p^iM \) because \( F_p^iM = F_{p+1}^iM - \bigcup_{\alpha \geq p, r(\alpha) = i} S_\alpha \) and \( N(S_{\alpha_0}) \cap N(S_\alpha) = \emptyset \) for any \( \alpha \) lying in the first part; namely \( \chi \circ \phi_q \circ \varphi_0 \) maps \( N(S_{\alpha_0}) \) into \( F_p^iM \). Combining with the above commutative diagram, we see that \( f_{p,q,1} \) must be the inclusion map under the isomorphisms \( \phi_q^* \) and \( \phi_p^* \), i.e.,
\[
E_{1,p}^{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} 
\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq p, r(\alpha) = i} H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0), & i \geq r(p) \\
0, & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

For \( p \geq q \), we know that
\[
\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq p, r(\alpha) = i} H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0) \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha \geq q, r(\alpha) = i} H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0)
\]
and \( f_{p,q,1} \) is just the inclusion map under the sense of the above isomorphisms. Thus, \( E_{1,*}^q(A) \) is isomorphic to the direct sum of sky-scraper cosheaves as follows
\[
E_{1,*}^q(A) \cong \bigoplus_{r(\alpha) = i} j_\alpha H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0).
\]

\[\square\]

4. Construction of main model

In Section 3 for a manifold arrangement \( A \) with a geometric lattice \( L \), the associated cosheaf \( C(A)_p \) is chosen as a cochain complex for every \( p \in L \), and the structure map \( f_{p,q} \) is commutative with the differential \( \delta \) of every complex \( C(A)_p \).

4.1. Cosheaf of cochain complex. Let \( C \) be a cosheaf on a lattice \( L \) in a general sense.

**Definition 4.1.** We call \( C \) is a **cosheaf of cochain complex** if for \( p \in L \), \( C_p = \bigoplus_i C^i_p \) is a cochain complex with the differential
\[
\delta : C^i_p \to C^{i+1}_p
\]
and \( f_{p,q} \) is the cochain map between cochain complexes.

We have seen from Definition 2.2 that \( A^*(L, C) \) is a complex with differential \( \partial \), where \( \partial \) is induced by the differential on \( A^*(L) \). Now assume that \( C \) is a cosheaf of
cochain complex. Then we can use the differential on $C$ to define another differential on $A^*(L, C)$ by
\[
\delta(x \otimes c) = (-1)^{r(p)} x \otimes \delta(c)
\]
where $x \in A^*(L)_p, c \in C^r_p$ and $\delta$ in the right side is the differential of complex $C_p$. This means that $A^*(L, C)$ becomes a double complex.

Furthermore, consider the operation $\partial + \delta$ on $A^*(L, C)$. We claim that $\partial + \delta$ is a differential on $A^*(L, C)$. It suffices to check that $(\delta \partial + \partial \delta)(x \otimes c) = 0$ for $x \in A^*(L)_p$ and $c \in C^r_p$. In fact, let $\partial x = \sum_j x_j$ as in Definition 2.2 where $x_j \in A^*(L)_p$ and $p$ covers $p_j$. Then $\delta \partial(x \otimes c) = (-1)^{r(p)-1} \sum_j x_j \otimes f_{p,p,1} \delta(c)$ and $\partial \delta(x \otimes c) = (-1)^{r(p)} \sum_j x_j \otimes f_{p,p,1} \delta(c)$ by definition, from which follows that $(\delta \partial + \partial \delta)(x \otimes c) = 0$ as desired. As well-known, $A^*(L, C)$ with $\partial + \delta$ is called the **associated total complex**, denoted by $Tot(A^*(L, C))$, and $\partial + \delta$ is called the **total differential**, which is of degree +1. In addition, for $x \in A^*(L)_p$ and $c \in C^r_p$, the total degree of $x \otimes c$ is defined as $\deg(x \otimes c) = i - r(p)$.

Combining the above arguments, we have that

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $C$ be a cosheaf of cochain complex on a geometric lattice $L$. Then $A^*(L, C)$ naturally admits a double complex structure with two differentials $\partial$ and $\delta$, and the associated total complex $Tot(A^*(L, C))$ is also a cochain complex with the total differential $\partial + \delta$ of degree +1.

It is well known that for every double complex, there are two filtrations and two spectral sequences associated with it. For the double complex $A^*(L, C)$, we choose the "column-wise" filtration
\[
F^k Tot(A^*(L, C)) = \bigoplus_{q \text{ with } r(q) \leq k} A^*(L, C)_q
\]
It is a decreasing filtration of modules, satisfying the condition of [18, Theorem 2.6]. Thus we have

**Corollary 4.1.** There is a spectral sequence with $E^{-i,j}_2 = H^{-i}(A^*(L, H^j(C)), \partial)$, which converges to $H^*(Tot(A^*(L, C)))$ as modules.

4.2. **Double complex model of manifold arrangements.**

For a manifold arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in $M$, clearly $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ is a cosheaf of cochain complex. Then $A^*(L, \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$ has a double complex structure. Furthermore, $A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))$ is also a double complex for any $p \in L$ since $[p, 1]$ is a geometric lattice.

**Theorem 4.2.** $H^*(Tot(A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))))$ and $H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0)$ are isomorphic as module.

**Proof.** Consider the filtration of $C(\mathcal{A})$ in Definition 3.2. Denote $F^*(\mathcal{A}) : p \mapsto F^*(\mathcal{A})_p$. Then $F^*(\mathcal{A})$ is also a cosheaf of cochain complex on $L$ since the inclusion map $f_{p,q}$ is compatible with the filtration $F^*(\mathcal{A})_p$. Moreover, we may use $F^*(\mathcal{A})$ to give a filtration $Tot(A^*([p, 1], F^*(\mathcal{A})))$ of $Tot(A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})))$, also denoted by $F^*(Tot(A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))))$.

Now we calculate $H^*(Tot(A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))))$ by this filtration. By Definition 3.2, we see that
\[
F^*(\mathcal{A})_p/F^{i+1}*(\mathcal{A})_p = C^*(F^i_p M, F^{i+1}_p M)
\]
which is also a cosheaf of cochain complex on $L$ when $p$ runs over $L$. Then $E_0$-term is
\[
F^i Tot(A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))) / F^{i+1} Tot(A^*([p, 1], \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}))) = Tot(A^*([p, 1], F^*(\mathcal{A}) / F^{i+1}*(\mathcal{A}))).
\]
We may calculate the homology of this total complex by "calculating homology twice" as seen in [18] Theorem 2.15, we firstly calculate homology under differential \( \delta \): 

\[
H^{i+j}(A^*[p, 1], F^iC(A)/F^{i+1}C(A)), \delta) = A^*[p, 1], E_{i,j}(A)
\]

where \( E_{i,j}(A) \cong \bigoplus_{r(\alpha)=i} j_\alpha^*H^{i+j}(N(S_\alpha), N(S_\alpha)_0) \) is the direct sum of some sky-scrapers as we calculated in Theorem 3.1. Then we secondly calculate homology 

\[
\text{E}_W^n \text{e may calculate the homology of this total complex by "calculating homology twice" words, the induces an isomorphism of cohomologies.}
\]

\[
\text{When restricted on } [p, 1], \text{since } F^{r(p)}C(A)_\alpha \text{ always equals } C^*(M, M - M_p) \text{ for all } \alpha \geq p \text{ by the definition of } F^rC(A), \text{ we see that } F^{r(p)}C(A)/F^{r(p)+1}C(A) \text{ can only have nonzero elements of } C^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M) \text{ on } p, \text{ and zero otherwise. So}
\]

\[
\text{Tot}(A^*[p, 1], F^{r(p)}C(A))/F^{r(p)+1}C(A)) = (C^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M), \delta).
\]

Combining the quotient map and the above equality, we conclude that the map

\[
\text{Tot}(A^*[p, 1], C(A)) \rightarrow C^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M)
\]

induces an isomorphism of cohomologies. When restricted on \([p, 1]\), since \( F^{r(p)}C(A) \alpha \) equals \( C^*(M, M - M_p) \) for all \( \alpha \geq p \) by the definition of \( F^rC(A) \), we see that \( F^{r(p)}C(A)/F^{r(p)+1}C(A) \) can only have nonzero elements of \( C^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M) \) on \( p \), and zero otherwise. So

\[
\text{Tot}(A^*[p, 1], F^{r(p)}C(A)/F^{r(p)+1}C(A)) = (C^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M), \delta).
\]

Combining the quotient map and the above equality, we conclude that the map

\[
\text{Tot}(A^*[p, 1], C(A)) \rightarrow C^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M)
\]

induces an isomorphism of cohomologies. On the other hand, we know by Remark 5 that \( H^*(F^r_p + 1 M, F^r_p M) \cong H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 6. Theorem 4.2 gives the equivalence expression of \( H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0) \) for every \( p \) rather than only \( H^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \).

Let \( p = 0 \) be minimal element in \( L \). Then we have following corollary

**Corollary 4.3.** \( H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*[L, C(A)])) \) and \( H^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) are isomorphic as modules. In particular, this isomorphism is actually induced by the quotient map \( A^*[L, C(A)] \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) that maps \( A^*[L, C(A)]_q \) to zero for \( q > 0 \) and \( A^*[L, C(A)]_0 = C^*(M) \) to \( C^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) (naturally induced by the inclusion \( \mathcal{M}(A) \hookrightarrow M \)).

**Proof.** Let \( p = 0 \) in the proof of Theorem 4.2. We note that \( N(S_0) = S_0 = \mathcal{M}(A), N(S_0)_0 = 0, F^1_0 M = \mathcal{M}(A), \) and \( F^1_0 M = 0 \). Then the quotient map in the proof of Theorem 4.2

\[
\text{Tot}(A^*[p, 1], F^{r(p)}C(A)) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(A^*[p, 1], F^{r(p)}C(A)/F^{r(p)+1}C(A))
\]

becomes

\[
\text{Tot}(A^*[L, C(A)]) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(A^*[L, C(A)/F^1C(A)])
\]

Now, since the quotient cosheaf \( C(A)_p/F^1C(A)_p \) is zero if \( p > 0 \) and \( C^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) if \( p = 0 \), we see that the above quotient map would be a zero-morphism if \( p > 0 \) and the quotient map \( C^*(M) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) induced by the inclusion \( \mathcal{M}(A) \hookrightarrow M \) if \( p = 0 \). \( \square \)

Consider the spectral sequence associated with the double complex \( A^*[p, 1], C(A) \), we have an immediate corollary.
Corollary 4.4. Associated with double complex $A^*([p, 1], C(A))$, there is a spectral sequence with
\[ E_2^{-i,j} = H^{-i}(A^*([p, 1], H^j(C(A))), \partial) \]
converges to $H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0)$ as modules. In particular, for $p = 0$, there exists a spectral sequence with
\[ E_2^{-i,j} = H^{-i}(A^0(L, H^j(C(A))), \partial) \]
converges to $H^*(M(A))$ as modules.

Using the approach developed in this section, we can easily reprove Zaslavsky’s result [29] about the $f$-polynomials of hyperplane arrangements in $M = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Corollary 4.5. Let $A$ be a central hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with intersection lattice $L$. Then the number of $k$-faces equals
\[ \sum_{p \in L, r(p) = d - k} \dim(A^*([p, 1], \mathbb{Z}_2)) \]

Proof. Every $k$-face is a region of some $S_p$ with $r(p) = d - k$. Then the number of $k$-faces equals $\sum_{p \in L, r(p) = d - k} \dim(H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0, \mathbb{Z}_2))$ by Thom isomorphism. Since $M_p = \mathbb{R}^{d-r(p)}$, the spectral sequence in Corollary 4.4 collapses on $E_1$-term because of the dimensional reason, so $\dim(H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0, \mathbb{Z}_2)) = \dim(A^*([p, 1], \mathbb{Z}_2)).$ \hfill $\square$

Remark 7. Above corollary agrees with the original description of Zaslavsky [29]. In this view point, Theorem 4.2 essentially considers the information of all "faces" of a manifold arrangement $A$, so it can be regarded as a topological generalization of Zaslavsky’s $f$-polynomial. Actually, $\bigoplus_p H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0)$ is a differential algebra as the "categorification" of $f$-polynomial, which will be our goal of next paper.

4.3. Inclusion map of sub-arrangements. In this section, we will consider the sub-arrangements $A|p = \{ M_{a_i} | r(a_i) = 1, a_i \leq p \}$. It is easy to see that $A|p$ is also a manifold arrangement with intersection lattice $[0, p]$. $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is obviously a subspace of $\mathcal{M}(A|p)$, so there is the inclusion $i : \mathcal{M}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(A|p)$. A natural question arises: what is the induced map $i^* : H^*(\mathcal{M}(A|p)) \to H^*(\mathcal{M}(A))$ under the isomorphism in Theorem 4.2?

For an OS-algebra $A^*(L)$, we know that there is an inclusion map $A^*([0, p]) \to A^*(L)$ for every $p \in L$, which maps $A^*([0, p])_q$ isomorphically onto $A^*(L)_q$ for every $q \leq p$. Then we have an inclusion map $j : A^*([0, p], C(A)) \to A^*(L, C(A))$ as double complexes.

Proposition 4.2. There is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^*(\mathcal{M}(A|p)) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*([0, p], C(A)))) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(L, C(A))))
\end{array}
\]
where $j^*$ is the induced map of cohomology by $j$, and every column arrow is an isomorphism as in Corollary 4.3.

Proof. We see in the proof of Theorem 4.2 that the isomorphism $H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*(L, C(A)))) \to H^*(\mathcal{M}(A))$ is induced by the quotient $A^*(L, C(A)) \to C^*(\mathcal{M}(A))$ by mapping $A^*(L)_q \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to$
\(C(A)_q\) to zero for all \(q \neq 0\) and mapping \(C(A)_0 = C^*(M)\) to \(C^*(\mathcal{M}(A))\). Now it suffices to check that the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^*(\mathcal{M}(A[p])) & \longrightarrow & C^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
A^*[0, p, C(A)] & \longrightarrow & A^*[L, C(A)].
\end{array}
\]

Choose an element \(\sum x_q \otimes c_q \in A^*[0, p, C(A)]\) where \(x_q \in A^*[0, p]_q\) and \(c_q \in C(A)_q\), the image of this element in \(C^*(\mathcal{M}(A))\) is the image of \(x_0c_0\) under the quotient \(C^*(M) \to C^*(\mathcal{M}(A))\) regardless of the 'path' we choose. \(\square\)

5. **Product Structure**

In this section, we are going to study the product structure on the double complex \(A^*[p, 1, C(A)]\). We first discuss some general construction in subsection 5.1. We will see that if \(C\) is a monoidal cosheaf of DGA, then \(\text{Tot}(A^*[L, C])\) is a differential algebra. Unfortunately, \(\mathcal{C}(A)_p = C^*(M, M - M_p)\) is not a monoidal cosheaf under the cup product because the cup product \(c_1 \cup c_2\) for \(c_1 \in C^*(M, M - M_p)\) and \(c_2 \in C^*(M, M - M_q)\) may not be contained in \(C^*(M, M - M_{p \vee q})\), where \(C^*(M, M - M_p)\) and \(C^*(M, M - M_q)\) are regarded as sub-complexes of \(C^*(M)\). We will modify \(C(A)\) into a monoidal cosheaf \(\mathcal{C}(A)\) in subsection 5.2.

5.1. **Monoidal cosheaf of DGA.**

**Definition 5.1.** Let \(C\) be a cosheaf of cochain complex. We call \(C\) a monoidal cosheaf of DGA if \(C\) is a monoidal cosheaf with the monoidal product satisfying

\[
\delta(c_1c_2) = \delta(c_1)c_2 + (-1)^i c_1 \delta(c_2)
\]

for all \(c_1 \in C^i_p, c_2 \in C^j_q\), where \(\delta\) is the differential of every cochain complex \(C^p\).

**Remark 8.** For the monoidal product on \(C\), we have \(C^p \cdot C^p \subset C^p\) since \(p \vee p = p\), so every \(C^p\) be a differential graded algebra. This is the reason why we use the name 'monoidal cosheaf of DGA'.

Let \(C\) be a monoidal cosheaf of DGA and \(L\) be a geometric lattice. Then the associated double complex \((A^*[L, C], \partial, \delta)\) has a natural product structure induced by the OS-algebra and the monoidal product of \(C\), that is

\[
(5.1) \quad (x \otimes c_1)(y \otimes c_2) = (-1)^{r(q)l}(xy) \otimes (c_1 c_2)
\]

for \(x \in A^*(L)_p, y \in A^*(L)_q, c_1 \in C^i_p\) and \(c_2 \in C^j_q\).

**Proposition 5.1.** Two differentials \(\partial\) and \(\delta\) with respect to the product \((5.1)\) of \(A^*[L, C]\) satisfy the Leibniz laws

\[
\partial(\alpha \beta) = \partial(\alpha) \beta + (-1)^{l-p} \alpha \partial(\beta) \\
\delta(\alpha \beta) = \delta(\alpha) \beta + (-1)^{l-p} \alpha \delta(\beta)
\]

for all \(\alpha \in A^*(L, C)_p = A^*(L)_p \otimes C^i_p\) and \(\beta \in A^*(L, C)_q = A^*(L)_q \otimes C^j_q\).
Proof. Write \( \alpha = x_1 \otimes c_1 \) and \( \beta = x_2 \otimes c_2 \) where \( x_1 \in A^r(L)_p, x_2 \in A^r(L)_q, c_1 \in C^i_p \), and \( c_2 \in C^j_q \). Let \( \partial(x_1) = \sum x_{pu} \) and \( \partial(x_2) = \sum x_{qv} \) such that \( p \) covers \( p_u \) and \( q \) covers \( q_v \). Since the differential of the OS-algebra \( A^r(L) \) satisfies the Leibniz law, we have that
\[
\partial(x_1 x_2) = \partial(x_1)x_2 + (-1)^{r(p)} x_1 \partial(x_2) = \sum x_{pu} x_2 + (-1)^{r(p)} \sum x_1 x_{qv}.
\]
So
\[
(-1)^{r(q)i} \partial(\alpha \beta) = \partial((x_1 x_2) \otimes (c_1 c_2))
\]
from which our first equation follows, and
\[
(-1)^{r(q)i} \delta(\alpha \beta) = \delta((x_1 x_2) \otimes (c_1 c_2))
\]
which induces our second required equation.

Corollary 5.1. Let \( C \) be a monoidal cosheaf of DGA and \( L \) be a geometric lattice. Then the total complex \( \text{Tot}(A^r(L, C)) \) is a differential algebra with the total differential \( \delta + \partial \).

Proof. It suffices to show that \( \delta + \partial \) satisfies the Leibniz law, that is,
\[
(\delta + \partial)(\alpha \beta) = (\delta + \partial)(\alpha \beta) + (-1)^{1-r(p)} \alpha \delta(\beta).
\]
This immediately follows from the Leibniz laws of \( \delta \) and \( \partial \) in Proposition 5.1.

Now let \( C \) be a monoidal cosheaf of DGA and \( L \) be a geometric lattice. Choose the "column-wise" filtration of the double complex \( A^r(L, C) \)
\[
F^{-k}\text{Tot}(A^r(L, C)) = \bigoplus_{q \text{ with } r(q) \leq k} A^r(L, C)_q.
\]
This is a decreasing filtration of algebra, satisfying the condition of [18, Theorem 2.14]. Thus we have

Corollary 5.2. There is a spectral sequence with
\[
E^{r-i,j}_2 = H^{r-j}(A^r([p, 1], H^j(C)), \partial)
\]
which converges to \( H^*(\text{Tot}(A^r([p, 1], C))) \) as algebras.
Our spectral sequence with the product structure may induce some good conditions of degeneration.

**Theorem 5.3.** Assume that the algebra $H^{-i}(A^*([p, 1], H^*(C)))$ is generated by $H^0$ and $H^{-1}$ of the chain complex $(A^*([p, 1], H^*(C)), \partial)$. Then the spectral sequence in Corollary 5.2 collapse at $E_2$; namely, there exists a filtration such that

$$\bigoplus_{i,j} E^{-i,j}_2 \cong H^*(\text{Tot}(A^*([p, 1], C)))$$

as algebras.

**Proof.** The "column-wise" filtration

$$F^{-k}\text{Tot}(A^*([p, 1], C)) = \bigoplus_{q \text{ with } r(q) \leq k+r(p)} A^*([p, 1], C)_q$$

is an decreasing filtration, so the differential $d_2$ maps $E^{-i,j}_2$ to $E^{-i+2,j-1}_2$. Then $d_2(E^{-1,j}_2) = 0$ and $d_2(E^{0,j}_2) = 0$ since $E^{-i,j}_2 = H^{-i}(A^*([p, 1], H^j(C))) = 0$ for $i < 0$. Since we have assumed that $H^{-i}(A^*([p, 1], H^*(C)))$ is generated by degree $H^0$ and $H^{-1}$, this means that $E^{-i,j}_2$ is generated by $E^{0,j}_2$ and $E^{-i,0}_2$. Furthermore, $d_2(E^{-i,j}_2) = 0$ for all $i, j$ since $d_2$ satisfies the Leibniz law on $E_2$ term, so $E^{-i,j}_2 = E^{-i,j-1}_2$, and all $d_r$ for $r \geq 2$ are zero. \(\square\)

5.2. Construction of monoidal cosheaf $\hat{C}(A)$. Firstly, let us review a definition and some facts that can be found in [15] Chapter 3.

**Definition 5.2.** Let $X$ be a topological space, $A$ be an open subspace of $X$, and $\mathcal{U}$ be an open covering of $A$. Define $C^*_\mathcal{U}(X, A)$ be a sub-complex of $C^*(X)$ such that

$$C^*_\mathcal{U}(X, A) = \{ f \in C^*(X)| f(\Delta) = 0 \text{ if } \Delta \text{ is contained in some element of } \mathcal{U} \}$$

**Remark 9.** We see that $C^*_\mathcal{U}(X, A) \subseteq C^*_\mathcal{V}(X, A)$ if $\mathcal{V}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$ (i.e., every element of $\mathcal{V}$ is a subset of some element of $\mathcal{U}$), and $C^*(X, A) = C^*_\mathcal{U}(X, A)$ if $\mathcal{U}$ is the covering consisting of only one element $A$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ be the open coverings of two open subspaces $A$ and $B$, respectively, such that the union $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$ is an open covering of $A \cup B$. Then it is easy to check that we may define the cup product

$$\cup : C^*_\mathcal{U}_1(X, A) \otimes C^*_\mathcal{U}_2(X, B) \to C^*_\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2(X, A \cup B).$$

In addition, we also know that the inclusion map $C^*(X, A) \to C^*_\mathcal{U}(X, A)$ induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups by the discussion of subdivision, see [15].

Next let us return back to the manifold arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ with the intersection lattice $L$. We try to construct the open covering $\mathcal{U}_p$ of $M - M_p$ for every $p$, and then make $C^*_\mathcal{U}_p(M, M - M_p)$ become a monoidal cosheaf on $L$. These covering are made by a "grinding" process, as described precisely in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $X$ be a topological space, $\mathcal{U}$ a finite open covering of $X$, and $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$ a collection of open subsets produced by finite union and intersection of elements in $\mathcal{U}$. Define "ground" open neighborhood $N_\mathcal{U}(x) = \bigcap_{x \in X, \mathcal{U}} X$, for every $x \in X$ and "ground" collection $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U) = \{N_\mathcal{U}(x) | x \in U \}$. Then we have that

1. for $U \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U)$ is an open covering of $U$;
2. for $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U_1 \cup U_2) = \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U_1) \cup \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U_2)$. 
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(3) for $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ with $U_1 \subseteq U_2$, $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U_1) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U_2)$.

Proof. (1) Clearly each element $U \in \mathcal{T}$ can be written as $U = \bigcup_{i,j} X_{i,j}$ for some elements $X_{i,j} \in \mathcal{U}$. Then for every $x \in U$, there exists some $j_0$ that $x \in \bigcap_{i} X_{i,j_0}$, so $N_\mathcal{U}(x) \subseteq \bigcap_{i} X_{i,j_0} \subseteq U$ is an open subset of $U$. Of course, $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(U)$ covers $U$ obviously. It is easy to see that (2) and (3) follow from the definition of $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(-)$.

Now we can see clearly that $\mathcal{U} = \{ M - M_a | a \in \text{Atom}(L) \}$ forms a finite open covering of $M - M_1$. Using the notation in Lemma 5.1, it is obvious that $M - \bigcup_{\ell \subseteq L} M_\ell$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{U}$ for any subset $\ell \subseteq L$. We abbreviate the associated "ground" covering $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(M - \bigcup_{\ell \subseteq L} M_\ell)$ as $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(\ell)$, and for $ell = \{p\}$, by $\mathcal{G}_p$ we denote $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{U}(\{p\})$. So $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$, which is regarded as an open covering of $M - M_0 = \emptyset$.

Notice that for $p, q \in L$, $M - M_{p \lor q} = (M - M_p) \cup (M - M_q)$, and for every pair $p \leq s$ in $L$, $M - M_p \subseteq M - M_s$. Then applying Lemma 5.1 gives

**Lemma 5.2.** (i) For every $p \in L$, $\mathcal{U}_p$ defined above is an open covering of $M - M_p$; (ii) For $p, q \in L$, $\mathcal{U}_p \cup \mathcal{U}_q = \mathcal{U}_{p \lor q}$; (iii) For every pair $p \leq s$ in $L$, $\mathcal{U}_p \subseteq \mathcal{U}_s$.

**Definition 5.3.** Let $p \in L$. Define $\hat{C}(A)_p$ as

$$\hat{C}(A)_p = C_{\mathcal{G}_p}(M, M - M_p)$$

which is regarded as a "flat" version of $C(A)_p$.

**Lemma 5.3.** $\hat{C}(A) : p \mapsto \hat{C}(A)_p$ is a monoidal cosheaf of DGA on $L$.

Proof. If $p \geq s$, then $\mathcal{U}_s \subseteq \mathcal{U}_p$ by Lemma 5.2, so there is the inclusion $\hat{C}(A)_p \subseteq \hat{C}(A)_s$, denoted by $f_{p,s} : \hat{C}(A)_p \to \hat{C}(A)_s$. This means that $\hat{C}(A)$ is a cosheaf on $L$. Since $\mathcal{U}_p \cup \mathcal{U}_q = \mathcal{U}_{p \lor q}$ by Lemma 5.2, we can define the cup product $\cup : \hat{C}(A)_p \otimes \hat{C}(A)_q \to \hat{C}(A)_{p \lor q}$ such that $b \cup f_{p,q}(a) = f_{p \lor s, q \lor s}(b \cup a)$ and $f_{p,q}(a) \cup b = f_{p \lor s, q \lor s}(a \cup b)$, and $\delta$ on this cup product satisfies the Leibniz law. So $\hat{C}(A)$ is a monoidal cosheaf of DGA.

**Remark 10.** As two monoidal cosheaves of cohomology groups, $H^*(\hat{C}(A))$ and $H^*(C(A))$ have no any difference by the discussion of subdivision. So we always use the symbol $H^*(C(A))$ to denote these two monoidal cosheaves.

**Notation.** For any manifold arrangement $A$ with the geometric lattice $L$, the double complex $A^*(L, \hat{C}(A))$ is an algebra as discussed in last subsection, abbreviate it as $A^*(A)$, which is called the **global OS-algebra** associated with $A$.

We are going to prove a similar result of Theorem 4.2 in the sense of algebras. For each $p \in L$, given a filtration of $\hat{C}(A)$ as

$$F^i \hat{C}(A)_p = C_{\mathcal{G}_p}(\ell_p^i)(M, M - \bigcup_{q \in \ell_p^i} M_q)$$

where

$$\ell_p^i = \begin{cases} \{p\} & i < r(p) \\ \{q \in L | q \geq p, r(q) = i\}, & i \geq r(p) \end{cases}$$

This filtration $F^i \hat{C}(A)_p$ is a similar "flat" version of the filtration $F^i C(A)_p$ appeared in Definition 3.2. It is also easy to check that the inclusion $f_{p,q}$ respect this "flat" filtration, so we can calculate the cohomology of $\text{Tot}(A^*([p, 1], \hat{C}(A)))$. 
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Theorem 5.4. \( H^*(\Tot(A^*([p, 1], \hat{C}(A)))) \) and \( H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0) \) are isomorphic as algebras. In particular, for \( p = 0 \), \( H^*(\Tot(A^*(A))) \) and \( H^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) are isomorphic as algebras.

Proof. There is no difference between the homologies of \( F^i\hat{C}(A)_p \) and \( F^i\hat{C}(A)_p \) by subdivision. So all processes in the proof of theorem 4.2 can still be carried out very well for the filtration \( F^i\hat{C}(A)_p \). Then we first have that \( H^*(\Tot(A^*([p, 1], \hat{C}(A)))) \cong H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0) \) as modules. This isomorphism is induced by a quotient map of algebras, so it is also an isomorphism of algebras. \( \square \)

5.3. Product structure on our spectral sequence. Now applying Corollary 5.2, we have

Corollary 5.5. There is a spectral sequence associated with the double complex \( A^*([p, 1], \hat{C}(A)) \) such that

\[
E_1^{ij} = A^*([p, 1], H^j(C(A))) \quad \text{with } d_1 = \partial, \text{ and } \\
E_2^{ij} = H^i(A^*([p, 1], H^j(C(A))), \partial),
\]

which converges to \( H^*(N(S_p), N(S_p)_0) \) as algebras. In particular, for \( p = 0 \), there exists a spectral sequence with

\[
E_2^{ij} = H^i(A^*(L, H^j(C(A))), \partial),
\]

which converges to \( H^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) as algebras.

Remark 11. Note that \( H^*(C(A)) \) is a monoidal cosheaf on \( L \), and the product structure on \( E_2 \) is given by the 'global' OS-algebra \( A^*([p, 1], H^*(C(A))) \).

6. Application to chromatic configuration spaces

6.1. Chromatic configuration space. In the classical vertex coloring problem of a graph \( G \), a usual way we use is to color the vertices of \( G \) with \( m \) colors from \( [m] = \{1, ..., m\} \), so that adjacent vertices would receive different colors, a so-called proper \( m \)-coloring. It is well-known that the number \( \chi_G(m) \) of proper \( m \)-colorings is a polynomial of \( m \), called the chromatic polynomial of \( G \). In this section, we consider the problem, but we will use a manifold \( M \) as a color set to color the vertices of \( G \), and the resulting colorings will also form a manifold, called the chromatic configuration space of \( M \) on \( G \).

Definition 6.1. Let \( G \) be a simple graph with vertex set \( [n] = \{1, ..., n\} \) and \( M \) be a smooth manifold without boundary. Then the chromatic configuration space of \( M \) on \( G \) consists of all the proper colorings of \( G \) with all points of \( M \) as colors

\[
F(M, G) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in M^n | (i, j) \in E(G) \Rightarrow x_i \neq x_j \}
\]

where \( E(G) \) denotes the set of all edges of \( G \).

This generalizes the concept of the classical configuration space

\[
F(M, n) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in M^n | i \neq j \Rightarrow x_i \neq x_j \}.
\]

Actually, \( F(M, G) = F(M, n) \) when \( G \) is complete graph. In the viewpoint of configuration spaces, the definition of \( F(M, M) \) first appeared in the work of Eastwood and Huggett [10], where \( F(M, G) \) was called the generalized configuration space, and the case in which \( M \) is a Riemann surface was studied in [2]. In addition, Dupont in [9]...
also studied the hypersurface arrangements. In this section, we will study the more
general case of \(F(M, G)\) by our approach about manifold arrangements.

Following the assumption and the notion in Definition 6.1 by \(L_G\) we denote the
associated geometric lattice of \(G\), also see Example 2.11. It is well-known that \(L_G\) is a
geometric lattice (the matroid associated with this lattice is also known as the cycle
matroid of \(G\)), the partition induced by a single edge is an atom of \(L_G\). By 0 and 1 we
also denote the minimum and maximum element of \(L_G\), respectively.

**Definition 6.2.** For \(x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in M^n\), define \(G(x)\) to be the spanning subgraph
given by those edges \((i, j)\) in \(E(G)\) with \(x_i = x_j\). By \(L_G(x)\) we denote the element of \(L_G\)
induced by the subgraph \(G(x)\). For \(p \in L_G\), define the \(L_G\)-indexed 'diagonal' of \(M^n\) as
\(
\Delta_p = \{x \in M^n | L_G(x) \geq p\}.
\)

**Theorem 6.1.** The set of diagonal \(\mathcal{A}_G = \{\Delta_a | a \in \text{Atom}(L_G)\}\) is a manifold arrangement
in \(M^n\) such that each \(\Delta_a\) is closed in \(M^n\), and the intersection lattice of \(\mathcal{A}_G\) is just \(L_G\). In
particular, for \(p \in L_G\), \((M^n)_p\) equals the diagonal \(\Delta_p\) defined as above.

**Proof.** In order to showing that \(\mathcal{A}_G = \{\Delta_a | a \in \text{Atom}(L_G)\}\) is a manifold arrange-
ment, it suffices to check that \(\mathcal{A}_G\) is locally diffeomorphic to a subspace arrangement.
In fact, choose \(x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in M^n\) and its sufficient small neighborhood \(U = U_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n\) with \(x_i \neq x_j \Rightarrow U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset\) and \(x_i = x_j \Rightarrow U_i = U_j\), such that
each \(U_i\) is diffeomorphic to some \(\mathbb{R}\)-linear space \(W_i\). Clearly, the intersection \(\Delta_a \cap U\) is
diagonal subspace (i.e., the subspace with some coordinates being equal) of \(\prod U_i\),
which is diffeomorphic to some diagonal subspace of \(W = \prod W_i\). Since each diagonal
subspace of \(W\) is linear, the diffeomorphism between \(U\) and \(W\) maps \(\{\Delta_a \cap U\}\) onto a
subspace arrangement in \(W\).

Each diagonal \(\Delta_a\) being closed is obvious. Since \(\Delta_p \cap \Delta_q = \{x \in M^n \mid L_G(x) \geq p\) and \(L_G(x) \geq q\} = \Delta_{p \vee q}\), we see that the intersection lattice
of arrangements \(\Delta_a, a \in \text{Atom}(L_G)\) is just \(L_G\). Furthermore, \(\Delta_p = \bigcap a \leq p \Delta_a\), which is
exactly equals to \((M^n)_p\), the intersection space as defined in Section 2. \(\square\)

Consider the monoidal cosheaf \(\hat{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}_G)\) and the model \(\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_G) = \mathcal{A}^*(L_G, \hat{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}_G))\) associated
with arrangement \(\mathcal{A}_G\).

**Theorem 6.2.** \(H^*(F(M, G))\) is isomorphic to \(H^*(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{A}_G)))\) as algebras. In particular,
there is a spectral sequence with
\[
E_1^{-i,j} = \mathcal{A}^*(L_G, H^j(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}_G)))_{-i} \\
\text{with } d_1 = \partial,
\]
which converges to \(H^*(F(M, G))\) as algebras.

**Proof.** Applying theorem 5.4 \(\square\)

Using a field as coefficients, the dimension of \(E_1^{-i,j}\) term would be easy to calculate
as follows:
\[
\dim E_1^{-i,j} = \sum_{p \text{ with } r(p) = i} \dim A^*(L_G)_p \dim H^{j-r(p)m}(\Delta_p)
\]
by definition. This formula will be more elegant if we consider a polynomial with two
variables
\[
P_{M,G}(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} \dim E_1^{-i,j} s^{-i} t^j.
\]
Lemma 6.1. Let $M$ be a $m$-dimensional manifold without boundary, and $G$ be a simple graph with $n$ vertexes. Then

$$P_{M,G}(s,t) = (-1)^n s^{-n} t^m \chi_G(-P(M,t)s^{-m})$$

where $\chi_G$ is the chromatic polynomial of $G$, and $P(M,t) = \sum_i \dim H^i(M)t^i$ is the Poincaré polynomial of $M$.

Proof. It is well-known that $\dim(A^*(L_G)_p) = (-1)^{r(p)} \mu(0,p)$ where $\mu$ is the Möbius function on $L_G$ and notice that $\dim H^j(M^n, M^n - \Delta_p) = \dim H^{j-r(p)m}(\Delta_p)$ by Thom isomorphism. Then we have

$$P_{M,G}(s,t) = \sum_{i,j} \dim E^{-i,j}_i s^{-i} t^j = \sum_{p \in L_G} \dim A^*(L_G)_p \dim H^{j-r(p)m}(\Delta_p) s^{-r(p)} t^j = \sum_{p \in L_G} (-1)^{r(p)} \mu(0,p) s^{-r(p)} P(M,t)^{n-r(p)} t^{r(p)m}.$$ 

Moreover, the required equation follows from the following known result for the chromatic polynomial

$$\chi_G(t) = \sum_{p \in L_G} \mu(0,p) t^{n-r(p)}.$$ 

□

Remark 12. The "Deletion–contraction" formula $\chi_G = \chi_{G-e} - \chi_{G/e}$ of chromatic polynomial induces a "Deletion–contraction" formula of $P_{M,G}$. It is easy to check that $P_{M,G} = P_{M,G-e} + s^{-1} t^m P_{M,G/e}$.

Remark 13. We can also consider the 2-variable polynomial of $E_\infty$ term for every manifold arrangements $A$, i.e., $P_{\infty,A}(s,t) = \sum_{i,j} \dim(E^{-i,j}_i) s^{-i} t^j$, which is hard to calculate in general. This polynomial is a natural invariant of $A$ that contains more information than the Poincaré polynomial of $M(A)$.

6.2. More explicit result about $H^*(F(M,G))$. For some special case, the spectral sequence in Section 4 is simpler.

Recall (see Milnor’s book [19]) that the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ is the image of the Thom class under the map $H^*(M^2, M^2 - \Delta(M^2)) \to H^*(M^2)$, where $\Delta(M^2)$ is the diagonal of $M^2$. In this subsection, we always use $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients, and assume that the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ vanishes. This is exactly the case if $M = M' \times \mathbb{R}$ where $M'$ is another manifold.

Under the above assumption, the monoidal cosheaf $H^*(C(A_G))$ becomes simpler. Firstly, observe that $H^*(C(A_G)_p) = H^*(M^n, M^n - \Delta_p)$ is a free $H^*(\Delta_p)$-module generated by a Thom class $u_p$, i.e., the Thom class of the normal bundle $N(\Delta_p)$ in $M^n$, so every element of $H^*(C(A_G)_p)$ can be expressed as $m_p \cdot u_p$, where $m_p$ is some element of $H^*(\Delta_p)$.

Theorem 6.3. Assume that the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ is zero. Then $(m_p \cdot u_p) \cup (m_q \cdot u_q) = u_{p\lor q} \cdot (\psi_{p,q}(m_p) \cup \psi_{q,p}(m_q))$ for independent $p, q$ and zero otherwise, where
Let $m_p \in \Delta_p, m_q \in \Delta_q$ and $\psi_{p,q}$ is the structure map from $H^* (\Delta_p)$ to $H^* (\Delta_q)$ in Example 2.3. The structure maps $f^*_{s,t}$ of the cosheaf $H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G))$ are all zero-morphisms.

The proof will be completed in appendix.

Remark 14. Of course, there also exists a similar result for the structure of the monoidal cosheaf $H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G))$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients if we consider the orientation of the Thom class carefully. Here we use $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients only for a simplicity since it is enough to illustrate the application of our approach in this section.

**Corollary 6.4.** Assume that the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ is zero and we use $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients. Let $G$ be a simple graph with vertex set $[n]$. Then there exists a filtration of $H^* (F(M, G))$ such that $Gr (H^* (F(M, G)))$ is isomorphic to $A^* (L_G, H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G)))$ as algebras.

**Proof.** The differential $\partial$ of the global OS-algebra $A^* (L_G, H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G)))$ is zero since the structure map $f^*_{s,t}$ of the cosheaf $H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G))$ is zero by Theorem 6.3, so

$$E_2^{i,j} = E_1^{i,j} = A^* (L_G, H^j (\mathcal{C}(A_G)))_{-i}.$$

Now we only need to show that this algebra is generated by elements of $E_2^{-1,j}$ and $E_2^{0,j}$, by making use of the degeneration condition in Theorem 5.3. Let $x_p \otimes y_p$ be an element of $E_2^{-1,j}$ where $x_p \in A^* (L_G)_p$ and $y_p = m_p \cdot u_p \in H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G)_p)$. We know that the OS-algebra is generated by elements of degree one, so $x_p = \prod x_{a_i}$, where the $a_i$’s are some independent atoms of $L_G$ such that $p = \sqrt{a_i}$, and $x_{a_i} \in A^* (L_G)_a$. Since every $m_p \in \bigwedge^*(\Delta_p)$ is the image of some $m_0 \in H^* (M^n)$ under the map $\psi_{0,p} : H^* (M^n) \to \bigwedge^*(\Lambda_p)$, we have that $m_p \cdot u_p = m_0 \cdot \prod x_{a_i}$ by Theorem 6.3, so $x_p \otimes y_p = m_0 \cdot \prod x_{a_i} \otimes u_{a_i}$. Thus $E_2^{-1,j}$ is generated by $E_2^{-1,j}$ and $E_2^{0,j}$. □

Consider the Poincaré polynomial, we have

**Corollary 6.5.** With the same assumption as in Corollary 6.4. Let $G$ be a simple graph with vertex set $[n]$. Then

$$P(F(M, G)) = (-1)^{n(-p(m-1) \chi_G(-P(M)t^{1-m})$$

where $P(-)$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Poincaré polynomial with a variable $t$, $\dim M = m$, and $\chi_G(t)$ is the chromatic polynomial of $G$.

**Proof.** In the proof of Corollary 6.4, the spectral sequence collapse on $E_1$ term, so the Poincaré polynomial of $F(M, G)$ equals $P_{M,G}(t, t)$, where $P_{M,G}$ is the two-variable polynomial of $E_1$ term which we defined in Lemma 6.1. The required equation is a direct result of Lemma 6.1. □

Remark 15. With the same assumption of the above Corollary, $P(F(M, G))$ satisfies a similar "Deletion–contraction" formula

$$P(F(M, G)) = P(F(M, G - e)) + t^{m-1}P(F(M, G/e))$$

by the "Deletion–contraction" formula of chromatic polynomial.

In a more special condition, we can overcome the gap between $Gr (H^* (F(M, G)))$ and $H^* (F(M, G))$ by a simple method of "counting degree".

**Theorem 6.6.** Using $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients, assume $\dim M = m$ and the diagonal cohomology class of $M^2$ is zero and $H^i (M) = 0$ for all $i \geq (m-1)/2$. Then $H^* (F(M, G))$ is isomorphic to $A^* (L_G, H^* (\mathcal{C}(A_G)))$ as algebras.
Proof. Firstly, observe that if $G$ is a disjoint union of some connected graphs $G_i$, then $F(M,G) = \prod F(M,G_i)$ and $A^\ast(A_G) = \bigoplus A^\ast(A_{G_i})$, so it suffices to prove the case that $G$ is connected.

We perform our work by induction on the number of edges. If $G$ has no edge, then the result is obvious. Now we assume that the result is true for all connected $G$ that $|E(G)| < s$. Consider the case in which $G$ is connected with $|E(G)| = s$.

Corollary 6.4 says that there is an isomorphism $\eta : A^\ast(L_G, H^\ast(C(A_G))) \to H^\ast(F(M,G))$ as modules, so we need to show this is also an isomorphism as algebras.

Given two elements $x_p \in A^\ast(L_G, H^\ast(C(A_G)))_p$ and $x_q \in A^\ast(L_G, H^\ast(C(A_G)))_q$, where $p, q \in L_G$ with $r(p) = i$ and $r(q) = j$. If $p \lor q < 1$, since we have assumed $G$ is connected, this means that $p \lor q$ must have two or more components, so the subgraph $G_{p \lor q}$ must have less edges than $G$. Consider the arrangement $A_{G_{p \lor q}}$ associated with the space $F(M,G_{p \lor q})$, we know that this arrangement is a sub-arrangement of $A_G$ as discussed in Section 4.3

Then Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 6.4 give us a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^\ast(F(M,G_{p \lor q})) & \xrightarrow{i^\ast} & H^\ast(F(M,G)) \\
\downarrow{\eta} & & \downarrow{\theta} \\
A^\ast([0,p \lor q], H^\ast(C(A_{G_{p \lor q}}))) & \xrightarrow{j^\ast} & A^\ast(L_G, H^\ast(C(A_G)))
\end{array}
$$

where $\eta, \theta$ are two module-isomorphisms (note that $\theta$ is also an algebra-isomorphism by induction hypothesis), $j^\ast$ is a natural inclusion of algebra, and $i^\ast$ is induced by the inclusion $F(M,G) \to F(M,G_{p \lor q})$. It is easy to see that those two elements $x_p, x_q$ and their product are located in sub-algebra $A^\ast([0,p \lor q], H^\ast(C(A_{G_{p \lor q}})))$ since $\theta, i^\ast$ preserve product, so $\eta$ is also compatible with the product of those two elements by the diagram.

Now assume that $p \lor q = 1$. We know that $\eta$ induces an algebra-isomorphism: $A^\ast(L_G, H^\ast(C(A_G))) \to Gr(H^\ast(F(M,G)))$. Then we can write the image of the product as

$$
\eta(x_p x_q) = \eta(x_p) \cup \eta(x_q) + \sum_i \eta(x_{p_i})
$$

for some $x_{p_i} \in A^\ast(L_G, H^\ast(C(A_G)))_{p_i}, p_i < 1$. We see that $r(p) + r(q) \geq r(1) = n - 1$ since $G$ is connected, $\eta(x_p x_q)$ should have degree at least $(n - 1)(m - 1)$ in $H^\ast(F(M,G))$.

Let us observe the degree of elements $x_{p_i}$ with $p_i < 1$. Write $k = r(p_i)$ and $x_{p_i} = a_{p_i} \otimes c_{p_i}$, where $a_{p_i} \in A^\ast(L_G)_{p_i}$ and $c_{p_i} \in H^\ast(C(A_G)_{p_i}) = H^\ast(M^{n_i}, M^n - \Delta_{p_i})$. Then $a_{p_i}$ has degree $-k$, and $c_{p_i}$ equals the product of a Thom class $u_{p_i}$ with $\deg u_{p_i} = mk$ and some nonzero elements of degree less than $(n-k)(m-1)/2$ by the assumption of this theorem. Thus, $\deg(a_{p_i} \otimes c_{p_i}) < (m-1)k + (n-k)(m-1)/2 = (m-1)(n+k)/2$, which implies that $k \leq n - 2$, so $\deg x_{p_i} < (m-1)(n-1)$, a contradiction of $\deg \eta(x_p x_q) \geq (n-1)(m-1)$. Then this forces these items $x_{p_i}$ to be zero. Therefore, $\eta(x_p x_q) = \eta(x_p) \cup \eta(x_q)$.

Remark 16. If $M = \mathbb{R}^m$, then Theorem 6.6 agrees with the classical result of $H^\ast(\mathbb{R}^m, n)$ given by F. Cohen. In addition, Theorem 6.6 also shows a "standard" process how to apply our main result: (i) Determine the structure of monoidal cosheaf $H^\ast(C(A))$. (ii) Calculate the $E_2$-term $H^{-1}(A^\ast(L, H^\ast(C(A))), \partial)$ and check the condition of degeneration

\footnote{For definition of subgraph $G_{p \lor q}$, see Example 2.1}
(iii) Observe the gap between \( H^*(\mathcal{M}(A)) \) and \( Gr(H^*(\mathcal{M}(A))) \). Using this process, we can also easily reprove the classical result of Orlik-Solomon for complex hyperplane arrangements.

7. Appendix

In this section, we review some known result of spectral sequence of filtrated differential algebra, see [18].

7.1. Spectral sequence of filtrated differential algebra. Let \( A = \bigoplus_p A^p \) be a graded module, and \( \ldots \subset F^p A^{p+q} \subset F^{p-1} A^{p+q} \subset \ldots \) be an decreasing filtration with the differential \( d \) such that \( d(F^p A^{p+q}) \subset F^p A^{p+q+1} \). Define

\[
Z^p_{r,q} = F^p A^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^{p+r} A^{p+q})
\]

\[
B^p_{r,q} = F^p A^{p+q} \cap d(F^{p-r} A^{p+q-1})
\]

\[
Z^\infty_{r,q} = F^p A^{p+q} \cap \ker(d)
\]

\[
B^\infty_{r,q} = F^p A^{p+q} \cap \im(d)
\]

\[
E^p_{r,q} = Z^p_{r,q} / (Z^p_{r+1,q-1} + B^p_{r,q})
\]

**Proposition 7.1.** The differential \( d \) which maps \( Z^p_{r,q} \) to \( Z^p_{r+r,q-r+1} \) induces the differential \( d_r: E^p_{r,q} \rightarrow E^{p+r,q-r+1} \) of the associated spectral sequence, such that

\[
H^*(E^*_r, d_r) = E^*_{r+1}
\]

\[
E^p_1 = H^{p,q}(F^p A / F^{p+1} A)
\]

\[
E^\infty_p = F^p H^{p,q}(A, d) / F^{p+1} H^{p,q}(A, d)
\]

where \( F^p H^*(A, d) = \text{Im}(H^*(F^p A, d) \rightarrow H^*(A, d)) \).

Furthermore, if \( A \) is also an algebra, then we have

**Proposition 7.2.** Suppose that \( (A, d, F^* A) \) is a decreasing filtered differential graded algebra with product \( A \otimes A \rightarrow A \) satisfying

\[
F^p A \cdot F^q A \subset F^{p+q} A
\]

Then there is an induced product on \( E^*_r \) satisfying

\[
E^p_{r,q} \cdot E^s_{r,t} \subset E^r_{p+s, q+t}
\]

and

\[
d_r(x \cdot y) = d_r(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{p+q} x \cdot d_r(y)
\]

where \( x \in E^p_{r,q} \) and \( y \in E^s_{r,t} \). If the filtration \( F^* A \) is bounded, then the spectral sequence \( (E^*_r, d_r) \) converges to \( H(A, d) \) as algebras, i.e., \( \bigoplus_{p,q} E^p_{r,q} \) is isomorphic to the associated graded algebra \( Gr(H(A, d)) = \bigoplus_{p,q} F^p H^{p,q}(A, d) / F^{p+1} H^{p,q}(A, d) \) and this isomorphism obeys the bigrading \( (p, q) \).

**Remark 17.** \( Gr(H^*(A, d)) \) determines \( H^*(A, d) \) up to the extension problem. If we use a field as coefficients or \( E^\infty_{r,q} \) is free with \( \mathbb{Z} \) coefficients, the extension problem is trivial, i.e., \( H^*(A, d) \cong Gr(H^*(A, d)) \) as modules. This isomorphism will also obey the product of \( A \) in some special case. For example, if there exists an integer \( k \) such that \( x \in F^i H^*(A, d) \iff \deg x \leq k l \) for any homogeneous element \( x \), then \( H^*(A, d) \cong Gr(H^*(A, d)) \) as algebras by dimensional reason.
7.2. **Proof of Theorem 6.3.** In this subsection, we give the proof of Theorem 6.3. First we prove a lemma.

**Lemma 7.1.** Assume that $V_1, V_2$ are two linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = 0$, $\dim(V_1) = i$ and $\dim(V_2) = n - i$. By $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ we denote the fundamental classes of $H^{n-i}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - V_1), H^i(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - V_2), H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - 0)$, respectively. Then $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 = \mu_3$.

**Proof.** Write $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_n$ be the product of $n$-copies of $\mathbb{R}$. Without the loss of generality, assume that $V_1 = \mathbb{R}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_i \times 0$ and $V_2 = 0 \times \mathbb{R}_{i+1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_n$. Let $e_i$ be the fundamental class of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_i, \mathbb{R}_i - 0)$. Then we can write $\mu_1 = 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times e_{i+1} \times \cdots \times e_n$, $\mu_2 = e_1 \times \cdots \times e_i \times 1 \times \cdots \times 1$, so $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 = e_1 \times e_2 \times \cdots \times e_n$, which is just the fundamental class of $H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - 0)$.

**Proof of Theorem 6.3.** Assume that $p, q$ are independent. Then $r(p) + r(q) = r(p \lor q)$, which means that $\dim \Delta_p + \dim \Delta_q = \dim M^n + \dim \Delta_{p \lor q}$. Let $F_x$ be the fiber at $x$ of the normal bundle $N(\Delta_{p \lor q})$ (here we do not distinguish the normal bundle and the tubular neighborhood). We can choose suitable local charts and tubular neighborhoods such that $F_x \cap \Delta_p$ and $F_x \cap \Delta_q$ are linear subspaces of $F_x$, denoted by $V_1, V_2$, respectively. Then we know that $V_1 \cap V_2 = 0$ and $V_1 \oplus V_2 = F_x$ since $p, q$ are independent. Moreover, $N(\Delta_p)_{0} \cap F_x = F_x - V_1$ and $N(\Delta_q)_{0} \cap F_x = F_x - V_2$, so $u_p|_{(F_x, F_x - V_1)}$ is the fundamental class of $H^{r(p)m}(F_x, F_x - V_1)$ and $u_q|_{(F_x, F_x - V_2)}$ is the fundamental class of $H^{r(q)m}(F_x, F_x - V_1)$. By Lemma 7.1 $(u_p \cup u_q)|_{(F_x, F_x - 0)}$ is the fundamental class of $H^{r(p)m \lor r(q)m}(F_x, F_x - 0)$ for every $x \in \Delta_{p \lor q}$. Thus, $u_p \cup u_q$ must be the Thom class $u_{p \lor q}$ of $H^*(M^n, M^n - \Delta_{p \lor q})$ by uniqueness of Thom class.

Now we want to check that $u_p \cup u_q = 0$ if $p, q$ are dependent. Firstly, assume that $p$ is an atom. Then we know that $(M^n, M^n - \Delta_p) = (M^2, M^2 - \Delta(M^2)) \times M^{n-2}$, so $u_p$ is just the product of the Thom class $u$ of $(M^2, M^2 - \Delta(M^2))$ with the unit of $H^*(M^2)$, and $u^2 = u \cdot w_m(T(M))$ by Milnor’s book [19], where $w_m(T(M))$ is the top Stiefel-Whitney class of the tangent bundle $T(M)$. Furthermore, $u^2 = 0$ since $w_m(T(M))$ is the image of the diagonal cohomology class, so $u^2$ is also zero for the atom $p$. Thus, for every $p$, $u^2 = 0$ since $p$ is always a join of some independent atoms, and so $u_p \cup u_q = 0$ for $p \leq q$ since $u_q$ can be expressed as $u_p \cup u_{s_1} \cup u_{s_2} \cdots$ for some independent atoms $s_i$. For any dependent $p, q$, let $q = s_1 \lor s_2 \cdots$ for some independent atoms $s_i$, then there exists some $k$ such that $p \lor s_1 \lor \cdots \lor s_k \geq s_{k+1}$, so $u_p \cup u_q = u_{p \lor s_1 \lor \cdots \lor s_k} \cup u_{s_{k+1}} \cup \cdots$, which must be equal to zero by the above discussion.

Since every $H^*(C(A)_p)$ is an $H^*(M^n)$-algebra such that $u_p \cup m = u_p \cdot \psi_0(p)(m)$ for $m \in H^*(M^n)$, it is not difficult to check that $(u_p \cdot m_p) \cup (u_q \cdot m_q) = u_{p \lor q} \cdot (\psi_{p \lor q}(m_p) \cup \psi_{p \lor q}(m_q))$. To show that $f^*_{p \lor q} = 0$ for all $p \geq q$, we only need to check the case that $p$ covers $q$ by the functorial property of $f^*$. Assume that $p = q \lor s$ for an atom $s$. Then we have that $f^*_{p \lor q}(u_p) = f^*_{p \lor q}(u_q \cup u_s) = u_q \cup f^*_{s, 0}(u_s)$, which is zero since $f^*_{s, 0}(u_s)$ is the product of the diagonal cohomology class with some unit. □
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