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ABSTRACT. The inequality $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$ has been proved to be true
for Lindelöf spaces (Arhangel’skiï, 1969), H-closed spaces (Dow-
Porter, 1982) and ccc spaces (Hajnal- Juász 1967), by quite dif-
ferent arguments. We present a common extension of all these
properties which allows us to give a unified proof of these three
theorems.

All spaces in this note are assumed to be Hausdorff.
For all undefined notions see Engelking [6] or Porter-Woods [9]. $[S]^{<\kappa}$
(resp. $[S]^{\leq\kappa}$) denotes the collection of all subsets of $S$ of cardinality $< \kappa$
(resp. $\leq \kappa$). The character $\chi(X)$ of a space $X$ is the smallest infinite
Cardinal $\kappa$ such that every point of $X$ has a local base of cardinality
not exceeding $\kappa$.

The starting point here is the cardinal inequality $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$, proved
by Arhangel’skiï for a Lindelöf space and by Hajnal and Juász for a
ccc space.

Lindelöf means that every open cover has a countable subcover. ccc
(= countable chain condition) means that every family of pairwise
disjoint open sets is countable.

A space $X$ is H-closed if every open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of $X$ has a finite sub-
family $\mathcal{W}$ such that $X = \bigcup \mathcal{W}$.

The previous results are special instances of two cardinal inequalit-
ies which were a milestone in the theory of cardinal invariants (see [1] and
[7]).

spaces to demonstrate that $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$ for any H-closed space $X$. In
2006 Hodel [8] gave a proof of the Dow-Porter result using a closing-off
argument. This approach plays a key role here, as it did in [2].
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Since Lindelöf, H-closed and ccc are mutually independent properties in the class of Hausdorff spaces, a natural general question is the following:

**Question 1.** Is there a property $\mathcal{P}$ of a Hausdorff space $X$ that a) generalizes H-closed, ccc and Lindelöf simultaneously, and such that b) $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$ for spaces $X$ with property $\mathcal{P}$?

A positive answer to the weaker question concerning only the couple Lindelöf and H-closed is given in [2] and [4]. By combining the results from [2] and [3], in this short note we present a property $\mathcal{P}$ which provides a full positive answer to Question 1.

For the proof of some relevant results given in [2], we obviously refer to that paper.

Following [8], for a given cardinal $\kappa$, a $\kappa$-net in a space $X$ is a set $\xi = \{x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}\}$.

**Definition 2.** (Hodel) Let $X$ be a space, $A \subseteq X$ and $\xi = \{x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}\} \subseteq A$. A point $x \in A$ is a $\theta$-cluster point of $\xi$ relative to $A$ if, given any open set $U$ in $X$ with $x \in U$ and any $\alpha < \kappa$, there exists $F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}$ such that $\alpha \in F$ and $x_F \in U \cap A$. If $A = X$, we say that $x$ is a $\theta$-cluster point of $\xi$.

Recall that, given a space $X$ a set $A \subseteq X$ is an H-set if for any collection $\mathcal{U}$ of open sets in $X$, with $A \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$, there is a finite subcollection $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$.

**Lemma 3.** [8, Lemma 4.8] Let $X$ be a space and $\xi = \{x_F : F \in [\chi(X)]^{<\omega}\}$ a $\chi(X)$-net in $X$. If $\xi$ has a $\theta$-cluster point $x$ in $X$, then there exists a set $A(\xi)$ such that:

1. $\xi \subseteq A(\xi)$ and $|A(\xi)| \leq \chi(X)$;
2. $x \in A(\xi)$ and $x$ is a $\theta$-cluster point of $\xi$ relative to $A(\xi)$.

The key notion in [2] was the following:

**Definition 4.** Let $X$ be a space and $A \subseteq X$. $A$ is said to be $\chi$-net-closed provided that the following condition holds:

Given any net $\xi = \{x_F : F \in [\chi(X)]^{<\omega}\} \subseteq A$, if $\xi$ has a $\theta$-cluster point in $X$, then $\xi$ has a $\theta$-cluster point in $A$ relative to $A$.

**Lemma 5** ([2], Lemma 10). Let $X$ be a space and $A \subseteq X$. If $A$ is $\chi$-net-closed, then $A$ is closed in $X$.

**Lemma 6** ([2], Lemma 11). If $X$ is an $H$-closed space, then any $\chi$-net-closed set is an $H$-set in $X$. 
We present now the property $\mathcal{P}$ for a possible answer to Question 1.

**Definition 7.** A space $X$ is $\chi$-Lindelöf if for any $\chi$- net-closed set $A \subseteq X$ and any collection $U = \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \chi(X)\}$ of open sets in $X$ which covers $A$ there are countable subcollections $V_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \chi(X)$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup \{\bigcup V_\alpha : \alpha < \chi(X)\}$.

By Lemma 5 we immediately have:

**Proposition 8.** Every Lindelöf space is $\chi$-Lindelöf.

And by Lemma 6:

**Proposition 9.** Every H-closed space is $\chi$-Lindelöf.

The next result requires a proof.

**Proposition 10.** Every ccc space $X$ is $\chi$-Lindelöf.

*Proof.* Let $A$ be a $\chi$-net-closed set and $U = \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \chi(X)\}$ be a collection of open sets satisfying $A \subseteq \bigcup U$. For any $\alpha < \chi(X)$ let $C_\alpha$ be a maximal collection of pairwise disjoint non-empty open sets of $X$ such that for each $C \in C_\alpha$ there is some $U_C \in U_\alpha$ with $C \subseteq U_C$. By letting $W_\alpha = \{U_C : C \in C_\alpha\}$, the maximality of $C_\alpha$ implies $\bigcup U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup W_\alpha$ and so $A \subseteq \bigcup \{\bigcup W_\alpha : \alpha < \chi(X)\}$. Since $|W_\alpha| \leq |C_\alpha| \leq \omega$, the space is $\chi$-Lindelöf. □

The above propositions show that the property $\mathcal{P}$ of being $\chi$-Lindelöf is a common extension of Lindelöf, H-closed and ccc.

If $S$ is the Sorgenfrey line and $A([0, 1])$ the Aleksandroff duplicate of the unit interval, then the space $X = (S \times S) \oplus A([0, 1])$ is first countable and $\chi$-Lindelöf, but $X$ is neither Lindelöf nor H-closed nor ccc.

$\chi$-Lindelöfness gives a positive answer to Question 1 thanks to the following:

**Theorem 11.** If the space $X$ is $\chi$-Lindelöf, then $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$.

*Proof.* Let $\chi(X) \leq \kappa$ and for each $x \in X$ fix a local base $U_x$ at $x$ satisfying $|U_x| \leq \kappa$. For any $\kappa$-net $\xi = \{x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}\} \subseteq X$ which has a $\theta$-cluster point in $X$, fix a set $A(\xi) \subseteq X$ satisfying conditions 1) and 2) in Lemma 3. If $\xi$ has no $\theta$-cluster point, we simply put $A(\xi) = \xi$. By transfinite induction, we will construct a non-decreasing collection $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ of subsets of $X$ in such a way that for any $\alpha$ the following conditions hold:

1. $|H_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$;
(2) if $\xi = \{ x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega} \} \subseteq H_\alpha$, then $A(\xi) \subseteq H_{\alpha+1}$;

(3) if $X \setminus \bigcup \{ \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \in \Gamma \} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\Gamma \in \left[ \bigcup \{ \mathcal{U}_p : p \in H_\alpha \} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$, then $H_{\alpha+1} \setminus \bigcup \{ \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \in \Gamma \} \neq \emptyset$.

Take $x_0 \in X$ and fix a choice function $\phi$ on $X$ extended by letting $\phi(\emptyset) = x_0$. Let $H_0 = \{ \phi(\emptyset) \}$ and assume to have already defined the sets $\{ H_\beta : \beta < \alpha \}$ according to the previous conditions. If $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal, then put $H_\alpha = \bigcup \{ H_\beta : \beta < \alpha \}$. If $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ we put

$$H_\alpha = \left\{ \phi(X \setminus \bigcup \{ \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \in \Gamma \}) : \Gamma \in \left[ \bigcup \{ \mathcal{U}_p : p \in H_\gamma \} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \right\} \cup \bigcup \{ A(\xi) : \xi = \{ x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega} \} \subseteq H_\gamma \}.$$  

A simple counting argument shows that $|H_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$.

Now, let $H = \bigcup \{ H_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+ \}$. Since $\kappa^+$ is a regular cardinal and a $\kappa$-net is a set of cardinality at most $\kappa$, every $\kappa$-net $\xi \subseteq H$ is actually contained in some $H_\alpha$. Therefore, Condition 2) in our inductive construction ensures that the set $H$ is $\chi$-net-closed. Since $|H| \leq 2^\kappa$, if $X = H$ we are done. Assume the contrary and choose some $q \in X \setminus H$. Let $\mathcal{U}_q = \{ U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \}$ and let $\mathcal{V}_\alpha = \{ U_p : p \in H, U_p \in \mathcal{U}_p, U_p \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset \}$. Since $X$ is $T_2$, we see that the collection $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{V}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \}$ is a cover of $H$. Since $X$ is $\chi$-Lindelöf, we may choose $\mathcal{W}_\alpha \in [\mathcal{V}_\alpha]^{<\omega}$ for every $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $H \subseteq \bigcup \{ \mathcal{W}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \} \subseteq X \setminus \{ q \}$. But the regularity of $\kappa^+$ implies that there is some $\beta < \kappa^+$ such that $\mathcal{W}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \} \subseteq [\bigcup \{ \mathcal{U}_p : p \in H_\beta \}]^{<\omega}$. This is in contrast with condition 3) in our inductive construction and we reach a contradiction. \hfill \Box
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