

Model of Algal Growth Depending on Nutrients and Inorganic Carbon in a Poorly Mixed Water Column*

Jimin Zhang^a, Junping Shi^{b†}, Xiaoyuan Chang^c

a. School of Mathematical Sciences, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150080, P.R. China

b. Department of Mathematics, William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA, 23187-8795, USA

c. School of Science, Harbin University of Science and Technology, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150080, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we establish a reaction-diffusion-advection partial differential equation model to describe the growth of algae depending on both nutrients and inorganic carbon in a poorly mixed water column. Nutrients from the water bottom and inorganic carbon from the water surface form an asymmetric resource supply mechanism on the algal growth. The existence and stability of semi-trivial steady state and coexistence steady state of the model are proved, and a threshold condition for the regime shift from extinction to survival of algae is established. The influence of environmental parameters on the vertical distribution of algae is investigated in the water column. It is shown that the vertical distribution of algae can exhibit many different profiles under the joint limitation of nutrients and inorganic carbon.

Keywords and phrases: Reaction-diffusion-advection model; Effect of nutrients and inorganic carbon; Vertical distribution of algae; Environmental parameters

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35J25, 92D25, 35A01

1 Introduction

*Partially supported by NSFC-11971088, NSFC-11901140, NSFHLJ-LH2019A022, and NSF-DMS-1853598

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: jxshix@wm.edu

Algae are the basis of earth food web and preserve the balance of the global aquatic ecosystem, and they are adaptable and widely distributed in rivers, lakes and oceans. Photosynthesis of algae consumes inorganic carbon to produce organic matter and release oxygen by using light energy. In this procedure, inorganic carbon and light are involved in energy flow and material cycle of the aquatic ecosystem. Nutrient elements, such as phosphorus and nitrogen, are key factors for algal growth and important indicators of water eutrophication. Therefore the growth of algae is supported and restricted by three essential resources: light, nutrients (i.e. nitrogen and phosphorus) and inorganic carbon (i.e. dissolved CO_2 , carbonic acid and bicarbonate). Understanding the algae growth in aquatic environment is of fundamental importance to the ecosystem studies.

Mathematical models have been constructed to study the growth mechanism of algae and its dependence on algae and nutrients, or light, or both of them. Three different situations have been studied and discussed. First, algae compete only for nutrients in oligotrophic aquatic ecosystems with ample supply of light [11, 23, 27, 30, 36]; Second, algae compete only for light in eutrophic aquatic ecosystems [5, 6, 7, 10, 16, 19, 24, 35]; Third, algae compete for both light and nutrients simultaneously in some aquatic ecosystems [3, 4, 13, 15, 18, 20, 25, 29, 33, 34].

The connection between algae and inorganic carbon is more complicated with a variety of biological mechanisms. Carbon dioxide enters the water by exchange at the water surface, and reacts with water molecules to form carbonic acid and bicarbonate. Algae could take up dissolve CO_2 , carbonic acid and bicarbonate by photosynthesis. An ODE model was constructed in [28] to describe competition for dissolved inorganic carbon in dense algal blooms in a completely well-mixed water column. In [22] and [9], the authors established PDE models to deal with the interaction between algae and inorganic carbon in a poorly mixed habitat.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a mathematical model to describe the interaction of algae, nutrients and inorganic carbon in a poorly mixed water column with ample supply of light. The growth of algae only depends on nutrients from the bottom and inorganic carbon from the surface (see Figure 1). The increase of the algal biomass on the water surface inhibits the algal growth on the deep layer since limited inorganic carbon from the surface decreases its supply to the deep layer. On the other hand, an increase of the algal biomass on the water bottom also suppresses the algal growth in the surface layer since limited nutrients from the water bottom decreases its supply to the surface layer. This forms an asymmetric competition for the algae to gain the two resources. It is important and of interest to explore the effect of this asymmetric resource competition for nutrients and inorganic carbon on the algal growth and vertical distribution.

The vertical distribution of algae is highly heterogeneous and exhibits the most prominent vertical aggregation phenomena. For example, algae usually float on the water surface during

the daytime and sink to the water bottom at night. The spatial heterogeneity of algae is generally related to the uneven distribution of essential resources. Previous studies have shown that algae have complex vertical distribution with supply of light and nutrients in poorly mixed water columns [18, 25, 33, 34]. In the present paper, we will reveal that algae also exhibit vertically spatial heterogeneity and vertical aggregation phenomena under the asymmetric resource supply mechanism of nutrients and inorganic carbon, which has not been considered in previous studies.




Figure 1: Interactions of algae, nutrients and inorganic carbon in a water column.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive a reaction-diffusion-advection PDE model to describe the growth of algae depending on both nutrients and inorganic carbon in a poorly mixed water column. We then investigate the basic dynamics of this model including the existence and stability of steady states in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider the influence of environmental parameters on the vertical distribution of algae, and explain what mechanisms drive these vertical distributions. Finally, we summarize our findings and state some questions for future study in Section 5. Throughout the paper, numerical simulations under reasonable parameter values from literature are presented to illustrate or complement our mathematical findings.

2 Model construction

In this section, we establish a mathematical model to describe the interactions of algae, nutrients and inorganic carbon in a poorly mixed water column with ample supply of light (see Figure 1). Let z denote the depth coordinate of the water column. We assume that $z = 0$ is the surface of the water column, and $z = L$ is the bottom of the water column. Three partial differential equations are established below to describe the dynamics of biomass density of algae A , concentration of dissolved nutrients N (i.e. nitrogen and phosphorus),

and concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon C (i.e. dissolved CO_2 , carbonic acid and bicarbonate). All the variables and parameters of the model and their biological significance are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Variables and parameters of model (2.4) with biological meanings.

Symbol	Meaning	Symbol	Meaning
t	Time	z	Depth
A	Biomass density of algae	N	Concentration of dissolved nutrients
C	Concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon	D_a	Vertical turbulent diffusivity of algae
D_n	Vertical turbulent diffusivity of dissolved nutrients	D_c	Vertical turbulent diffusivity of dissolved inorganic carbon
s	Sinking or buoyant velocity of algae	r	Maximum specific production rate of algae
m	Loss rate of algae	l	Respiration rate of algae
Q	Carbon quota of algae	γ_n, γ_c	Half saturation constant for nutrient-limited and inorganic carbon-limited production of algae respectively
c_n	Phosphorus to carbon quota of algae	p_n	Proportion of nutrient in algal losses that is recycled
p_c	The proportion of carbon dioxide released by algae respiration in the water column	α	Nutrient exchange rate at the bottom of the water column
β	CO_2 gas exchange rate between air and water at the surface of the water column	N^0	Concentration of dissolved nutrients at the bottom of the water column
C^0	Concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon at the surface of the water column	L	Depth of the water column

Let $A(z, t)$ denote the biomass density of algae at depth $z \in [0, L]$ and time t . The growth rate of algae has two limiting factors: concentration of dissolved nutrients $N(z, t)$ and concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon $C(z, t)$. It takes a form of multiplication of two Monod type equations:

$$f(N)g(C) = \frac{N}{\gamma_n + N} \frac{C}{\gamma_c + C}.$$

Biomass density of algae is lost at density-independent rate m , caused by death and grazing, and respiration l/Q , where l is the respiration rate and Q is the carbon quota of algae. On the other hand, algae may move up or down by turbulence with a depth independent diffusion coefficient D_a . In addition, it also has an active movement to sinking or buoyant with speed s because of photokinesis or seeking resources. Combining these assumptions gives the following reaction-diffusion-advection equation with no-flux boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A(z, t)}{\partial t} &= \text{turbulent diffusion} - \text{sinking(or buoyant)} + \text{growth} - \text{loss}, \\ &= D_a \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} - s \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + r f(N)g(C)A - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q} \right) A, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_a A_z(0, t) - s A(0, t) &= 0, \quad D_a A_z(L, t) - s A(L, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The function $N(z, t)$ describes the concentration of dissolved nutrients in the water column at depth $z \in [0, L]$ and time t . The nutrients are supplied from the bottom of the

water column with a fixed concentration N^0 and nutrient exchange rate α . The nutrient transport is also governed by passive movement due to turbulence with a diffusion coefficient D_n . $N(z, t)$ decreases as it is consumed by algae with consumption rate $c_n r f(N)g(C)A$, and increases as a result of recycling from loss of algal biomass with proportion $p_n \in [0, 1]$. The dynamics of $N(z, t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial N(z, t)}{\partial t} &= \text{turbulent diffusion} + \text{recycling} - \text{consumption} \\ &= D_n \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z^2} + p_n c_n m A - c_n r f(N)g(C)A, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ N_z(0, t) &= 0, \quad D_n N_z(L, t) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L, t)) \quad (\text{nutrients exchange}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let $C(z, t)$ be the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon in the water column at depth $z \in [0, L]$ and time t . Inorganic carbon in the water column mainly comes from the atmosphere, and a very small part comes from the sediment. In order to study the asymmetry of resource supply in the present paper, we assume that inorganic carbon is only supplied from the atmosphere at the surface of the water column with a fixed concentration C^0 . The change of dissolved inorganic carbon depends on turbulent diffusion with a diffusion coefficient D_c , consumption by algae, recycling from respiration of algae with proportion $p_c \in [0, 1]$, and CO_2 gas exchange between air and water with exchange rate β . The dynamics of $C(z, t)$ is described as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial C(z, t)}{\partial t} &= \text{turbulent diffusion} + \text{recycling} - \text{consumption} \\ &= D_c \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{p_c l}{Q} A - r f(N)g(C)A, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_c C_z(0, t) &= \beta(C(0, t) - C^0) \quad (\text{CO}_2 \text{ exchange}), \quad C_z(L, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Combining all equations (2.1)-(2.3), we have the following full system of algae-nutrient-inorganic carbon model:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} &= D_a \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} - s \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + r f(N)g(C)A - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q}\right) A, & 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} &= D_n \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z^2} + p_n c_n m A - c_n r f(N)g(C)A, & 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= D_c \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + \frac{p_c l}{Q} A - r f(N)g(C)A, & 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0, \\ D_a A_z(0, t) - s A(0, t) &= 0, \quad D_a A_z(L, t) - s A(L, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ N_z(0, t) &= 0, \quad D_n N_z(L, t) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L, t)), & t > 0, \\ D_c C_z(0, t) &= \beta(C(0, t) - C^0), \quad C_z(L, t) = 0, & t > 0. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (2.4)$$

Due to the biological meaning of variables in (2.4), we will deal with the solutions of (2.4) with nonnegative initial values, i.e.

$$A(z, 0) = A_0(z) \geq 0, \quad N(z, 0) = N_0(z) \geq 0, \quad C(z, 0) = C_0(z) \geq 0.$$

We also assume that $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_n, p_c \in [0, 1]$ and all remaining parameters are positive constants unless explicitly stated otherwise.

3 Existence and stability of steady states

In this section, we explore the existence and stability of steady state solutions of (2.4). The steady state solutions of (2.4) satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_a A''(z) - sA'(z) + rf(N(z))g(C(z))A(z) - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q}\right)A(z) = 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_n N''(z) + p_n c_n m A(z) - c_n r f(N(z))g(C(z))A(z) = 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_c C''(z) + \frac{p_c l}{Q}A(z) - r f(N(z))g(C(z))A(z) = 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_a A'(0) - sA(0) = D_a A'(L) - sA(L) = 0, \\ N'(0) = 0, \quad D_n N'(L) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L)), \\ D_c C'(0) = \beta(C(0) - C^0), \quad C'(L) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

To establish the local stability of the steady state solutions, we consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda\varphi(z) = D_a \varphi''(z) - s\varphi'(z) + [rf(\bar{n})g(\bar{c}) - (m + (l/Q))] \varphi(z) \\ \quad + g(\bar{c}) \frac{r\gamma_n \bar{a}}{(\gamma_n + \bar{n})^2} \psi(z) + f(\bar{n}) \frac{r\gamma_c \bar{a}}{(\gamma_c + \bar{c})^2} \phi(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \\ \lambda\psi(z) = D_n \psi''(z) + c_n (p_n m - rf(\bar{n})g(\bar{c})) \varphi(z) \\ \quad - g(\bar{c}) \frac{c_n r \gamma_n \bar{a}}{(\gamma_n + \bar{n})^2} \psi(z) - f(\bar{n}) \frac{c_n r \gamma_c \bar{a}}{(\gamma_c + \bar{c})^2} \phi(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \\ \lambda\phi(z) = D_c \phi''(z) + ((p_c l/Q) - rf(\bar{n})g(\bar{c})) \varphi(z) \\ \quad - g(\bar{c}) \frac{r\gamma_n \bar{a}}{(\gamma_n + \bar{n})^2} \psi(z) - f(\bar{n}) \frac{r\gamma_c \bar{a}}{(\gamma_c + \bar{c})^2} \phi(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_a \varphi'(0) - s\varphi(0) = D_a \varphi'(L) - s\varphi(L) = 0, \\ \psi'(0) = 0, \quad D_n \psi'(L) + \alpha\psi(L) = 0, \\ -D_c \phi'(0) + \beta\phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi'(L) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

where $(\bar{a}(z), \bar{n}(z), \bar{c}(z))$ is a steady state solution of (2.4). When all eigenvalues of (3.2) have negative real part, $(\bar{a}(z), \bar{n}(z), \bar{c}(z))$ is locally asymptotically stable, otherwise it is unstable with at least one eigenvalue having positive real part.

3.1 Nutrient-inorganic carbon-only semi-trivial steady state

A nutrient-inorganic carbon-only semi-trivial steady state $E_1 : (0, N_1(z), C_1(z))$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} N''(z) = 0, N'(0) = 0, D_n N'(L) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L)), & 0 < z < L, \\ C''(z) = 0, D_c C'(0) = \beta(C(0) - C^0), C'(L) = 0, & 0 < z < L. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

We have the following results regarding the existence, uniqueness and local stability of E_1 .

Theorem 3.1. (i) *The system (2.4) has a unique nutrient-inorganic carbon-only steady state solution $E_1 \equiv (0, N^0, C^0)$;*

(ii) *If*

$$m + \frac{l}{Q} > rf(N^0)g(C^0), \quad (3.4)$$

then E_1 is locally asymptotically stable with respect to (2.4), and if

$$m + \frac{l}{Q} < rf(N^0)g(C^0), \quad (3.5)$$

then E_1 is unstable.

Proof. It follows from (3.3) that (i) holds. From (3.2), the stability of E_1 is determined by the eigenvalue problem

$$\lambda\varphi(z) = D_a\varphi''(z) - s\varphi'(z) + [rf(N^0)g(C^0) - (m + (l/Q))]\varphi(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \quad (3.6a)$$

$$\lambda\psi(z) = D_n\psi''(z) + c_n(p_n m - rf(N^0)g(C^0))\psi(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \quad (3.6b)$$

$$\lambda\phi(z) = D_c\phi''(z) + ((p_c l/Q) - rf(N^0)g(C^0))\phi(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \quad (3.6c)$$

$$D_a\varphi'(0) - s\varphi(0) = D_a\varphi'(L) - s\varphi(L) = 0, \quad (3.6d)$$

$$\psi'(0) = 0, \quad D_n\psi'(L) + \alpha\psi(L) = 0, \quad (3.6e)$$

$$-D_c\phi'(0) + \beta\phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi'(L) = 0. \quad (3.6f)$$

Note that the linearized system (3.6) is partially decoupled. We consider the following two cases: $\varphi \neq 0$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$.

Case 1: $\varphi \neq 0$. In this case, the stability of E_1 is determined by (3.6a) and its boundary condition (3.6d). Let $\varphi = e^{(s/D_a)z}\eta$. Then (3.6a) translates into

$$\begin{cases} \lambda\eta(z) = D_a\eta''(z) + s\eta'(z) + [rf(N^0)g(C^0) - (m + (l/Q))]\eta(z) & 0 < z < L, \\ \eta'(0) = \eta'(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

It is not difficult to show that the dominant eigenvalue of (3.7) is $rf(N^0)g(C^0) - (m + (l/Q))$ and the corresponding eigenfunction is $\eta(z) \equiv 1$. This implies that $\lambda_1 = rf(N^0)g(C^0) - (m + (l/Q))$ is also an eigenvalue of (3.6), the corresponding eigenfunction is $\varphi(z) = e^{(s/D_a)z}$, and (ψ, ϕ) can be solved from (3.6).

Case 2: $\varphi \equiv 0$. In this case, (3.6b) and (3.6c) with their boundary conditions (3.6e) and (3.6f) reduce to

$$\lambda\psi(z) = D_n\psi''(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \quad \psi'(0) = 0, \quad D_n\psi'(L) + \alpha\psi(L) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\lambda\phi(z) = D_c\phi''(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \quad -D_c\phi'(0) + \beta\phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi'(L) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

The eigenvalues of (3.8) must be negative. In fact, if $\lambda > 0$ in (3.8), then we have $\psi(z) = \cosh(\sigma z)$ for $\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda/D_n}$ since $\psi'(0) = 0$. But from $D_n\psi'(L) + \alpha\psi(L) = 0$, we obtain $D_n \sinh(\sigma L) = -\alpha \cosh(\sigma L)$, which is a contradiction. It is also easy to see $\lambda = 0$ cannot be an eigenvalue of (3.8). If $\lambda < 0$, then from $\psi'(0) = 0$ we have $\psi(z) = \cos(\sigma z)$ for $\sigma = \sqrt{-\lambda/D_n}$. It follows from $D_n\psi'(L) + \alpha\psi(L) = 0$ that $\tan \sigma L = \alpha/(\sigma D_n)$. Then the dominant eigenvalue of (3.8) is $\lambda_1 = -D_n\sigma_1^2$, where σ_1 is the smallest positive root of $\tan \sigma L = \alpha/(\sigma D_n)$. Similarly, the eigenvalues of (3.9) are also negative.

Summarizing above discussions, we conclude that if (3.4) holds, then $\lambda_1 < 0$ and E_1 is locally asymptotically stable. On the other hand, if (3.5) holds, then $\lambda_1 > 0$ and E_1 is unstable. \square

The condition (3.4) in Theorem 3.1 shows that a large algal loss rate m or respiration rate l leads to the extinction of algae population. We next prove that if the composition of algal loss rate and respiratory rate exceeds its maximum specific production rate, then the extinction of algae population is global for all initial conditions.

Theorem 3.2. *If*

$$m + \frac{l}{Q} > r, \quad (3.10)$$

then E_1 is globally asymptotically stable with respect to (2.4) for any nonnegative initial value.

Proof. From the first equation of (2.4) and (3.10), we have

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \leq D_a \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} - s \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + \left(r - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \right) A, \quad 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.11)$$

which implies that $A(z, t)$ converges to 0 uniformly for $z \in [0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by the comparison theorem of parabolic equations. It follows from the theory of asymptotic autonomous systems [21] that the dynamics of (2.4) reduces to the one of limiting system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = D_n \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z^2}, & 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_c \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2}, & 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0, \\ N_z(0, t) = 0, \quad D_n N_z(L, t) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L, t)), & t > 0, \\ D_c C_z(0, t) = \beta(C(0, t) - C^0), \quad C_z(L, t) = 0, & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

To obtain our conclusions, we define the following Lyapunov functional $V : C([0, L]) \times C([0, L]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$V(N, C) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (N(z) - N^0)^2 dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (C(z) - C^0)^2 dz.$$

Let $(N(z, t), C(z, t))$ be an arbitrary solution of (3.12) with nonnegative initial values. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV(N(z, t), C(z, t))}{dt} &= \int_0^L (N(z, t) - N^0) \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} dz + \int_0^L (C(z, t) - C^0) \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} dz \\ &= D_n \int_0^L (N(z, t) - N^0) \frac{\partial N^2}{\partial z^2} dz + D_c \int_0^L (C(z, t) - C^0) \frac{\partial C^2}{\partial z^2} dz \\ &= D_n \frac{\partial N}{\partial z} (N(z, t) - N^0) \Big|_0^L - D_n \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial z} \right)^2 dz \\ &\quad + D_c \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} (C(z, t) - C^0) \Big|_0^L - D_c \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right)^2 dz \\ &= -\alpha (N(z, t) - N^0)^2 - D_n \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial z} \right)^2 dz \\ &\quad - \beta (C(z, t) - C^0)^2 - D_c \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right)^2 dz \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $dV(\cdot)/dt = 0$ holds if and only if $N(z, t) \equiv N^0$ and $C(z, t) \equiv C^0$. From the LaSalle's Invariance Principle [8, Theorem 4.3.4], we conclude that $(N(z, t), C(z, t))$ converges to (N^0, C^0) uniformly for $z \in [0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This means that E_1 is globally asymptotically stable with respect to (2.4) for any nonnegative initial value. \square

We next show that if the recycling of nutrients and carbon dioxide is not considered, then the local stability of E_1 implies that the global stability of E_1 .

Corollary 3.3. *If $p_n = p_c = 0$ and (3.4) hold, then E_1 is globally asymptotically stable with respect to (2.4) for any nonnegative initial value.*

Proof. From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} \leq D_n \frac{\partial N^2}{\partial z^2}, & 0 < z < L, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \leq D_c \frac{\partial C^2}{\partial z^2}, & 0 < z < L, t > 0, \\ N_z(0, t) = 0, D_n N_z(L, t) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L, t)), & t > 0, \\ D_c C_z(0, t) = \beta(C(0, t) - C^0), C_z(L, t) = 0, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

By using the comparison principle of parabolic equations, we get

$$N(z, t) \leq N^0, C(z, t) \leq C^0, 0 \leq z \leq L, t > 0.$$

It follows from (3.11) that

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \leq D_a \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} - s \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + \left(rf(N^0)g(C^0) - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \right) A, \quad 0 < z < L, \quad t > 0.$$

Then $A(z, t)$ converges to 0 uniformly for $z \in [0, L]$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if (3.4) holds. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

3.2 Coexistence steady state

The main purpose of this subsection is to investigate the coexistence of algae, nutrients and inorganic carbon in a water column by using bifurcation theory. A coexistence steady state solution $E_2 : (A(z), N(z), C(z))$ satisfies (3.1) and each of $A(z), N(z)$ and $C(z)$ is positive.

Define

$$m_* = rf(N^0)g(C^0) - \frac{l}{Q} > 0. \quad (3.13)$$

We first consider the local bifurcation of the coexistence steady state E_2 from nutrients-inorganic carbon-only semi-trivial steady state E_1 at $m = m_*$. In order to obtain our results, we define function spaces

$$X_1 := \{u \in C^2[0, L] : D_a u'(0) - su(0) = D_a u'(L) - su(L) = 0\},$$

$$X_2 := \{u \in C^2[0, L] : u'(0) = 0\}, \quad X_3 := \{u \in C^2[0, L] : u'(L) = 0\}, \quad Y := C[0, L],$$

and a nonlinear mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \rightarrow Y \times Y \times Y \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(m, A(z), N(z), C(z)) = \begin{pmatrix} D_a A''(z) - sA'(z) + rf(N)g(C)A - (m + l/Q)A \\ D_n N''(z) + p_n c_n mA - c_n rf(N)g(C)A \\ D_c C''(z) + (p_c l/Q)A - rf(N)g(C)A \\ D_n N'(L) - \alpha(N^0 - N(L)) \\ D_c C'(0) - \beta(C(0) - C^0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $(\varphi, \psi, \phi) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$, the Fréchet derivatives of F at (m, A, N, C) are

$$F_{(A,N,C)}(m, A(z), N(z), C(z))[\varphi, \psi, \phi] = \begin{pmatrix} D_a \varphi'' - s\varphi' + \left[rf(N)g(C) - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \right] \varphi + \frac{r\gamma_n Ag(C)}{(\gamma_n + N)^2} \psi + \frac{r\gamma_c Af(N)}{(\gamma_c + C)^2} \phi \\ D_n \psi'' + c_n(p_n m - rf(N)g(C))\varphi - \frac{c_n r \gamma_n Ag(C)}{(\gamma_n + N)^2} \psi - \frac{c_n r \gamma_c Af(N)}{(\gamma_c + C)^2} \phi \\ D_c \phi'' + \left(\frac{p_c l}{Q} - rf(N)g(C) \right) \varphi - \frac{r\gamma_n Ag(C)}{(\gamma_n + N)^2} \psi - \frac{r\gamma_c Af(N)}{(\gamma_c + C)^2} \phi \\ D_n \psi'(L) + \alpha\psi(L) \\ D_c \phi'(0) - \beta\phi(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

For the convenience of the following discussion, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}(z) &= e^{(s/D_a)z}, \quad \bar{\psi}(z) = c_1 e^{(s/D_a)z} + c_2 z + c_3, \\ \bar{\phi}(z) &= \frac{D_a^2 m_*}{D_c s^2} e^{(s/D_a)z} + c_4 z + c_5, \quad 0 < z < L, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{D_a^2(l/Q + m_*(1 - p_n))}{D_n s^2}, \quad c_2 = -\frac{D_a(l/Q + m_*(1 - p_n))}{D_n s},$$

$$c_3 = -\left(\frac{D_a(l/Q + m_*(1 - p_n))}{D_n s \alpha}\right) \left(\frac{(D_n s + D_a \alpha)e^{(s/D_a)L}}{s} + \alpha L + D_n\right),$$

$$c_4 = -\frac{D_a(m_* + (1 - p_c)l/Q)}{D_c s} e^{(s/D_a)L}, \quad c_5 = -\frac{D_a(m_* + (1 - p_c)l/Q)}{s \beta} (e^{(s/D_a)L} - 1) - \frac{D_a^2 m_*}{D_c s^2}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that (3.13) holds. Then*

- (i) *The point $(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$ is a bifurcation point of the coexistence steady state solutions of (2.4). Moreover, near $(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$, there exists a positive constant $\delta > 0$ such that the bifurcating coexistence steady state solutions near $(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$ are on a smooth curve $\Gamma_1 = \{E_2(\tau) = (m(\tau), A(\tau, z), N(\tau, z), C(\tau, z)) : 0 < \tau < \delta\}$ with*

$$\begin{cases} A(\tau, z) = \tau \bar{\varphi}(z) + o(\tau), \\ N(\tau, z) = N^0 + \tau \bar{\psi}(z) + o(\tau), \\ C(\tau, z) = C^0 + \tau \bar{\phi}(z) + o(\tau) \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

and $m'(0) < 0$;

- (ii) *m_* is the unique bifurcation value for bifurcation of positive steady state solutions from the line of semi-trivial solutions $\Gamma_0 = \{(m, 0, N^0, C^0) : m > 0\}$;*
- (iii) *For $\tau \in (0, \delta)$, the bifurcating solution $E_2(\tau) = (m(\tau), A(\tau, z), N(\tau, z), C(\tau, z))$ is locally asymptotically stable with respect to (2.4).*

Proof. It is easy to see that $F(m, 0, N^0, C^0) = 0$ and

$$F_{(U,N,C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)[\varphi, \psi, \phi] = \begin{pmatrix} D_a \varphi''(z) - s \varphi'(z) \\ D_n \psi''(z) + ((p_n - 1)m_* - l/Q) \varphi \\ D_c \phi''(z) - (m_* + (1 - p_c)l/Q) \phi \\ D_n \psi'(L) + \alpha \psi(L) \\ D_c \phi'(0) - \beta \phi(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

Let $H := F_{(U,N,C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$. The remaining proof of Theorem 3.4 is divided into the following several steps.

- (i) We first show that $\dim \ker H = 1$. If $(\bar{\varphi}(z), \bar{\psi}(z), \bar{\phi}(z)) \in \ker H$, then

$$D_a \bar{\varphi}''(z) - s \bar{\varphi}'(z) = 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \quad (3.18a)$$

$$D_n \bar{\psi}''(z) + ((p_n - 1)m_* - l/Q) \bar{\varphi}(z) = 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \quad (3.18b)$$

$$D_c \bar{\phi}''(z) - (m_* + (1 - p_c)l/Q) \bar{\varphi}(z) = 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \quad (3.18c)$$

$$D_n \bar{\psi}'(L) + \alpha \bar{\psi}(L) = 0, \quad (3.18d)$$

$$D_c \bar{\phi}'(0) - \beta \bar{\phi}(0) = 0. \quad (3.18e)$$

It follows from (3.18a) and $\bar{\varphi} \in X_1$ that $\bar{\varphi}(z) = e^{(s/D_a)z}$. By substituting $\bar{\varphi}(z)$ into (3.18b) and (3.18c) respectively and combining their boundary conditions (3.18d), (3.18e), we obtain the expression of $\bar{\psi}(z)$ and $\bar{\phi}(z)$ in (3.15). This means that

$$\dim \ker H = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ker H = \text{span}\{(\bar{\varphi}(z), \bar{\psi}(z), \bar{\phi}(z))\}.$$

Next we prove that $\text{codim range } H = 1$. If $(\xi_1(z), \xi_2(z), \xi_3(z), \xi_4, \xi_5)^T \in \text{range } H$, then there exists $(\hat{\varphi}(z), \hat{\psi}(z), \hat{\phi}(z)) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_a \hat{\varphi}''(z) - s \hat{\varphi}'(z) &= \xi_1(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_n \hat{\psi}''(z) + ((p_n - 1)m_* - l/Q) \hat{\varphi}(z) &= \xi_2(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_c \hat{\phi}''(z) - (m_* + (1 - p_c)l/Q) \hat{\varphi}(z) &= \xi_3(z), \quad 0 < z < L, \\ D_n \hat{\psi}'(L) + \alpha \hat{\psi}(L) &= \xi_4, \\ D_c \hat{\phi}'(0) - \beta \hat{\phi}(0) &= \xi_5. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.18a) and the first equation of (3.19) by $\hat{\varphi}e^{-(s/D_a)z}$ and $\bar{\varphi}e^{-(s/D_a)z}$, respectively, subtracting and integrating on $[0, L]$, we have $\int_0^L \xi_1(z) \bar{\varphi}(z) e^{-(s/D_a)z} dz = 0$. Then

$$\text{range } H = \left\{ (\xi_1(z), \xi_2(z), \xi_3(z), \xi_4, \xi_5) \in Y^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : \int_0^L \xi_1(z) \bar{\varphi}(z) e^{-(s/D_a)z} dz = 0 \right\}.$$

and $\text{codim range } H = 1$.

We next prove that $F_{m, (U, N, C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}) \notin \text{range } H$. A direct calculation gives

$$F_{m, (U, N, C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)[\bar{\varphi}(z), \bar{\psi}(z), \bar{\phi}(z)] = (-\bar{\varphi}(z), c_n p_n \bar{\varphi}(z), 0, 0, 0)^T.$$

This shows that $F_{m, (U, N, C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}) \notin \text{range } H$ since $\int_0^L \bar{\varphi}^2(z) e^{-(s/D_a)z} dz \neq 0$.

By applying the Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem (see [1, Theorem 1.7]), we conclude that there exists a positive constant $\delta > 0$ such that all the coexistence steady state solutions of (2.4) lie on a smooth curve $\Gamma_1 = \{(m(\tau), A(\tau, z), N(\tau, z), C(\tau, z)) : 0 < \tau < \delta\}$ satisfying (3.16). In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m'(0) &= - \frac{\left\langle \hat{l}, F_{(A, N, C)(A, N, C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)[\varphi(z), \psi(z), \phi(z)]^2 \right\rangle}{2 \left\langle \hat{l}, F_{m, (A, N, C)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)[\varphi(z), \psi(z), \phi(z)] \right\rangle} \\ &= - \frac{\int_0^L \left(\frac{r\gamma_n g(C^0)}{(\gamma_n + N^0)^2} \bar{\psi}(z) + \frac{r\gamma_c f(N^0)}{(\gamma_c + C^0)^2} \bar{\phi}(z) \right) \bar{\varphi}(z) e^{-(s/D_a)z} dz}{-\int_0^L \bar{\varphi}^2(z) e^{-(s/D_a)z} dz}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where \hat{l} is a linear functional on $Y^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as

$$\langle \hat{l}, (\xi_1(z), \xi_2(z), \xi_3(z), \xi_4, \xi_5) \rangle = \int_0^L \xi_1(z) \bar{\varphi}(z) e^{-(s/D_a)z} dz.$$

It follows from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}'(z) &= \frac{D_a(l/Q + m_*(1 - p_n))}{D_n s} e^{(s/D_a)z} - \frac{D_a(l/Q + m_*(1 - p_n))}{D_n s} \geq 0, \\ \bar{\phi}'(z) &= \frac{D_a m_*}{D_c s} e^{(s/D_a)z} - \frac{D_a(m_* + (1 - p_c)l/Q)}{D_c s} e^{(s/D_a)L} \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in [0, L]$. This implies that $\bar{\psi}(z)$ is nondecreasing and $\bar{\phi}(z)$ is nonincreasing in z . It is clear that $\bar{\psi}(L) < 0$ and $\bar{\phi}(0) < 0$. Then $\bar{\psi}(z) < 0$ and $\bar{\phi}(z) < 0$ for any $z \in [0, L]$. From (3.20) and $\bar{\varphi}(z) = e^{(s/D_a)z}$, we have $m'(0) < 0$. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) In this installment, we will prove the uniqueness of bifurcation value m_* . Assume that \hat{m} is another bifurcation value from Γ_0 , then there exists a positive solutions sequence $\{(m_n, A_n, N_n, C_n)\}$ of (3.1) such that $\{(m_n, A_n, N_n, C_n)\} \rightarrow (\hat{m}, 0, N^0, C^0)$ in $C([0, L])$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\kappa_n = A_n/\|A_n\|_\infty$. From (3.1), κ_n satisfies

$$\begin{cases} D_a \kappa_n''(z) - s \kappa_n'(z) + r f(N_n) g(C_n) \kappa_n(z) - (m_n + (l/Q)) \kappa_n(z) = 0, & 0 < z < L, \\ D_a \kappa_n'(0) - s \kappa_n(0) = D_a \kappa_n'(L) - s \kappa_n(L) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from $0 < f(N_n)g(C_n) < 1$ for all $z \in [0, L]$ that $f(N_n)g(C_n) \rightarrow f(N^0)g(C^0)$ in $C([0, L_1])$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\{\kappa_n\}, \{m_n\}$ are both bounded in $L^\infty[0, L]$. By using L^p theory for elliptic operators and the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a subsequence of $\{\kappa_n\}$, denoted by itself, and a function $\zeta \in C^2([0, L])$ such that $\kappa_n \rightarrow \zeta$ in $C^1([0, L_1])$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and ζ satisfies (in the weak sense)

$$\begin{cases} D_a \zeta''(z) - s \zeta'(z) + r f(N^0) g(C^0) \zeta(z) - (\hat{m} + (l/Q)) \zeta(z) = 0, & 0 < z < L, \\ D_a \zeta'(0) - s \zeta(0) = D_a \zeta'(L) - s \zeta(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

It follows from the strong maximum principle that $\zeta > 0$ on $[0, L]$ since $\zeta \geq 0$ and $\|\zeta\|_\infty = 1$. From (3.13) and (3.21), we have

$$\begin{cases} D_a \zeta''(z) - s \zeta'(z) + (m_* - \hat{m}) \zeta(z) = 0, & 0 < z < L, \\ D_a \zeta'(0) - s \zeta(0) = D_a \zeta'(L) - s \zeta(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

Integrating from 0 to L on the both sides of the first equation of (3.22), we have

$$(m_* - \hat{m}) \int_0^L \zeta(z) dz = 0,$$

which means that $m_* = \hat{m}$.

(iii) We now consider the local stability of $E_2(\tau)$. By using Corollary 1.13 and Theorem 1.16 in [2], there exist continuously differentiable functions

$$\omega_1 : [m_*, m_* + \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad [\varphi_1, \psi_1, \phi_1] : [m_*, m_* + \delta) \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3, \quad \omega_2 : [0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and $[\varphi_2, \psi_2, \phi_2] : [0, \delta) \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(U,R,W)}(m, 0, N^0, C^0) [\varphi_1^m(z), \psi_1^m(z), \phi_1^m(z)] &= \omega_1(m) [\varphi_1^m(z), \psi_1^m(z), \phi_1^m(z), 0]^T, \\ F_{(U,R,W)}(m(\tau), A(\tau, z), N(\tau, z), C(\tau, z)) [\varphi_2^\tau(z), \psi_2^\tau(z), \phi_2^\tau(z)] &= \omega_2(\tau) [\varphi_2^\tau(z), \psi_2^\tau(z), \phi_2^\tau(z), 0]^T \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in [0, L]$ and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{-\tau m'(\tau) \omega_1'(m_*)}{\omega_2(\tau)} = 1, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\omega_1(m_*) = \omega_2(0) = 0, \quad (\varphi_1^{m_*}(z), \psi_1^{m_*}(z), \phi_1^{m_*}(z)) = (\varphi_2^0(z), \psi_2^0(z), \phi_2^0(z)) = (\bar{\varphi}(z), \bar{\psi}(z), \bar{\phi}(z)).$$

It follows from (3.14) that $\omega_1(m) = m_* - m$, and then $\omega_1'(m_*) = -1$. From (3.17) and proof of (i), $\omega_1(m_*) = 0$ is the principal eigenvalue of $F_{(U,R,W)}(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$. By the perturbation theory of linear operators [17], we know that $\omega_2(\tau)$ is also the principal eigenvalue of $F_{(U,R,W)}(m(\tau), A(\tau, z), N(\tau, z), C(\tau, z))$ when τ is sufficiently small. Combining with $m'(0) < 0$ and (3.23) gives $\omega_2(\tau) < 0$ for $\tau \in [0, \delta)$. This means that $E_2(\tau)$ is locally asymptotically stable with respect to (2.4). \square

We now consider the global existence of E_2 bifurcating from Γ_0 at $m = m_*$ with the help of the global bifurcation theory (see Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.4 in [26]). First we establish the following *A priori* estimates for nonnegative solutions of (3.1).

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $(A(z), N(z), C(z))$ is a nonnegative solution of (3.1) with $A, N, C \not\equiv 0$. Then*

(i) $0 < m < m^* := r - l/Q$;

(ii) $0 < N(z) < h_1, 0 < C(z) < h_2$ for any $z \in [0, L]$, where

$$h_1 = N^0 + \frac{\alpha(r + p_n m^*) L Q N^0}{D_n l}, \quad h_2 = C^0 + \frac{\alpha(r Q + p_c l) L Q N^0}{D_c c_n l Q},$$

(iii) *There exists a positive constant B such that $\|A\|_\infty \leq B$ if $m \in (0, m^*)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $U = e^{-(s/D_a)z} A$. Then

$$-D_a U''(z) - sU'(z) + \left(m + \frac{l}{Q}\right) U = r f(N) g(C) U \geq 0, \quad 0 < z < L,$$

$$U'(0) = U'(L) = 0.$$

By the strong maximum principle, we get $U > 0$ on $[0, L]$, and then $A > 0$ on $[0, L]$. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} -D_a A'(z) + sA'(z) - r f(N(z)) g(C(z)) A(z) = -\left(m + \frac{l}{Q}\right) A(z), & 0 < z < L, \\ D_a A'(0) - sA(0) = D_a A(L) - sA(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

Hence the principal eigenvalue of (3.24) is $\lambda_1(-rf(N(\cdot))g(C(\cdot))) = -(m + l/Q)$ with eigenfunction A . It follows from the monotonicity of the principal eigenvalue on the weight functions that

$$-r = \lambda_1(-r) < \lambda_1(-rf(N(\cdot))g(C(\cdot))) = -(m + l/Q).$$

This means that $0 < m < r - l/Q = m^*$.

(ii) It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L \left(rf(N(z))g(C(z)) - \left(m + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \right) A(z) dz = 0, \\ & \alpha(N^0 - N(L)) + \int_0^L c_n (p_n m - rf(N(z))g(C(z))) A(z) dz = 0, \\ & -\beta(C(0) - C^0) + \int_0^L \left(\frac{p_n l}{Q} - rf(N(z))g(C(z)) \right) A(z) dz = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

which imply that $N(L) < N^0$ and $C(0) < C^0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & -D_n N''(z) + \left(c_n r A g(C) \int_0^1 f'(sN) ds \right) N = c_n p_n m A \geq 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \\ & -D_c C''(z) + \left(r A f(N) \int_0^1 g'(sC) ds \right) C = \frac{p_n l}{Q} A \geq 0, \quad 0 < z < L, \end{aligned}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$N'(0) = 0, \quad D_n N'(L) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L)) > 0, \quad -D_c C'(0) = \beta(C^0 - C(0)) > 0, \quad C'(L) = 0.$$

From the strong maximum principle, we have $N > 0$ and $C > 0$ on $[0, L]$.

It follows from (3.25) that

$$\int_0^L A(z) dz < \frac{\alpha Q N^0}{c_n l}.$$

For any $z \in [0, L]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |D_n N'(z)| &= \left| D_n \int_0^z N''(x) dx \right| = \left| c_n \int_0^z (rf(N)g(C) - p_n m) A(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha(r + p_n m^*) Q N^0}{l}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |N(z)| &= |N(L) + N(z) - N(L)| \leq |N(L)| + |N(z) - N(L)| \\ &\leq N^0 + \left| \int_z^L N'(x) dx \right| \leq N^0 + \frac{\alpha(r + p_n m^*) Q N^0}{D_n l} (L - z) \\ &< N^0 + \frac{\alpha(r + p_n m^*) L Q N^0}{D_n l} = h_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $z \in [0, L]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |D_c C'(z)| &= \left| D_c \int_z^L C''(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_z^L \left(r f(N) g(C) - \frac{p_c l}{Q} \right) A(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha(rQ + p_c l) Q N^0}{c_n l Q}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |C(z)| &= |C(0) + C(z) - C(0)| \leq |C(0)| + |C(z) - C(0)| \\ &\leq C^0 + \left| \int_0^z C'(x) dx \right| \leq C^0 + \frac{\alpha(rQ + p_c l) Q N^0}{D_c c_n l Q} (z - 0) \\ &< C^0 + \frac{\alpha(rQ + p_c l) L Q N^0}{D_c c_n l Q} = h_2. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We now establish the boundedness of $A(z)$ for $m \in (0, m^*)$. If it is not true, then we assume that there are a sequence $m_i \in (0, m^*)$ and corresponding positive solutions $(A_i(z), N_i(z), C_i(z))$ of (3.1) such that $\|A_i\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $m_i \rightarrow m_0 \in (0, m^*)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. From (ii), we obtain $0 < f(N_i(z))g(C_i(z)) < f(h_1)g(h_2)$ for all i and $z \in [0, L]$, and hence we also may assume $f(N_i(z))g(C_i(z)) \rightarrow \zeta(z)$ weakly in $L^2(0, L)$ for some function $\zeta(z)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $a_i = A_i/\|A_i\|_\infty$. From the first equation of (3.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} -(D_a a_i'(z) - s a_i(z))' + \left(m_i + \frac{l}{Q} \right) a_i(z) = r f(N_i(z)) g(C_i(z)) a_i(z), & 0 < z < L, \\ D_a a_i'(0) - s a_i(0) = D_a a_i'(L) - s a_i(L) = 0, \\ \int_0^L \left(r f(N_i(z)) g(C_i(z)) - \left(m_i + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \right) a_i(z) dz = 0. \end{cases}$$

By using L^p theory for elliptic operators and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we may assume (passing to a subsequence if necessary) that $a_i \rightarrow \xi$ in $C^1([0, L])$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and ξ satisfies (in the weak sense)

$$\begin{cases} -(D_a \xi'(z) - s \xi(z))' + \left(m_0 + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \xi(z) = r \zeta(z) \xi(z), & 0 < z < L, \\ D_a \xi'(0) - s \xi(0) = D_a \xi'(L) - s \xi(L) = 0, \\ \int_0^L \left(r \zeta(z) - \left(m_0 + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \right) \xi(z) dz = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

It follows from the strong maximum principle that $\xi > 0$ on $[0, L]$ since $\xi \geq 0$ and $\|\xi\|_\infty = 1$.

Note that N_i satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{D_n N_i''(z)}{\|A_i\|_\infty} = c_u (r f(N_i(z)) g(C_i(z)) - p_n m_i) a_i(z), & 0 < z < L, \\ N_i'(0) = 0, \quad D_n N_i'(L) = \alpha(N^0 - N(L)). \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

Integrating (3.27) from 0 to L , we have

$$\frac{\alpha(N^0 - N(L))}{\|A_i\|_\infty} = c_n \int_0^L (rf(N_i(z))g(C_i(z)) - p_n m_i) a_i(z) dz$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$0 = c_n \int_0^L (r\zeta(z) - p_n m_0) \xi(z) dz = c_n \int_0^L \left((1 - p_n) m_0 + \frac{l}{Q} \right) \xi(z) dz > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence (iii) holds. \square

We have the following global bifurcation theorem of the branch of the coexistence steady state solution E_2 .

Theorem 3.6. *If (3.13) holds, then there exists a connected component Υ^+ of the set Υ of positive solutions to (3.1) such that*

- (i) *the closure of Υ^+ includes the bifurcation point $(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$;*
- (ii) *the projection of Υ^+ onto \mathbb{R}^+ via $(m, A_2(m, z), N_2(m, z), C_2(m, z)) \rightarrow m$ contains the interval $(0, m_*)$. In particular, (3.1) has at least one positive solution for any $m \in (0, m_*)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the conditions of Theorem 3.3 and Remark 3.4 in [26] hold by using standard ways (see [26, 27, 31]). This means that there exists a connected component Υ^+ of Υ containing $\Gamma_1 = \{(m(\tau), A_2(\tau, z), N_2(\tau, z), C_2(\tau, z)) : 0 < \tau < \delta\}$. Moreover, the closure of Υ^+ includes the bifurcation point $(m_*, 0, N^0, C^0)$ and Υ^+ satisfies one of the following three alternatives:

- (1) it is not compact in $\mathbb{R} \times X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$;
- (2) it contains another bifurcation point $(\hat{m}, 0, N^0, C^0)$, where \hat{m} is another bifurcation value satisfying (3.18) with $\hat{m} \neq m_*$;
- (3) it contains a point $(m, \hat{A}(z), N^0 + \hat{N}(z), C^0 + \hat{C}(z))$, where $0 \neq (\hat{A}(z), \hat{N}(z), \hat{C}(z)) \in Z$, Z is a closed complement of $\ker L = \text{span}\{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi})\}$ in $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$.

It follows from the uniqueness of m_* in Theorem 3.4 that case (2) cannot happen. If case (3) holds, then

$$\int_0^L \hat{A}(z) \bar{\varphi}(z) dz = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

From Lemma 3.5, we have $\hat{A}(z) > 0$ on $[0, L]$, which is a contradiction to (3.28). Hence case (1) must occur for Υ^+ .

From case (1), Υ^+ is unbounded in $\mathbb{R} \times X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3$. By Lemma 3.5, if $m \in (0, m^*)$, then $(A_2(z), N_2(z), C_2(z))$ is bounded. Therefore, the projection of Υ^+ onto the m -axis contains the interval $(0, m_*)$ since $m_* < m^*$. \square

Theorem 3.6 shows that when $0 < m < m_*$, (2.4) always has a positive steady state solution E_2 while the nutrient-inorganic carbon-only semi-trivial steady state E_1 is unstable from Theorem 3.1. It is not known whether the positive steady state solution E_2 is unique although it appears to be from numerical simulations. In [36], the uniqueness of positive steady state was shown for the case that algae only depends on nutrient.

3.3 Simulations

In this subsection, some numerical simulations are shown to illustrate our analysis of steady states for system (2.4). Here the set of parameter values we use is mainly from [14, 22, 28, 29]. The values of all biologically reasonable parameters are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Numerical values of parameters of model (2.4) with references.

Symbol	Values	Units	Source	Symbol	Values	Units	Source
D_a, D_n, D_c	0.02 (0.001-10)	m^2/day	[12, 13, 15, 18, 25]	s	0.02(-0.5-0.5)	m/day	[12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 25, 29]
r	1.5	day^{-1}	[29]	m	0.1	day^{-1}	[14, 29]
l	50×10^{-9}	μmolC $\text{cell}^{-1}/\text{day}$	[22]	Q	2500×10^{-9}	μmolC cell^{-1}	[22]
γ_n	3	mgP/m^3	[14, 29]	γ_c	500	$\mu\text{molC}/\text{m}^3$	[28]
c_n	0.008	$\text{mgP}/\mu\text{molC}$	[14, 29]	p_n	0.05 (0-1)	–	Assumption
p_c	0.05(0-1)	–	Assumption	α	0.05	m/day	[14, 29]
β	0.264	m/day	[28]	N^0	50 (5-400)	mgP/m^3	[14]
C^0	6250 (500-15000)	$\mu\text{molC}/\text{m}^3$	[28]	L	4	m	Assumption




Figure 2: Numerical bifurcation diagram of steady state algae density in (3.1) for $m \in (0, 2)$. Here $D_a = D_n = D_c = 0.1$ and other parameters except m are from Table 2, and bifurcation values are $m^* = 1.48$ and $m_* = 1.29$.




Figure 3: Left: the solution converges to the nutrients-inorganic carbon-only semi-trivial steady state E_1 in (a)-(c) with $m = 1.4$; Right: the solution converges to a coexistence steady state E_2 in Figure (d)-(f) with $m = 0.1$. Here $D_a = D_n = D_c = 0.1$ and other parameters except m are from Table 2.

According to Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.4 and 3.6, we can divide the parameter regime for m according to two threshold values: $m_* = rf(N^0)g(C^0) - l/Q$ and $m^* = r - l/Q$. E_1 always exists for all $m > 0$, and the stability of E_1 has the following three cases: (1) globally asymptotically stable when $m > m^*$; (2) locally asymptotically stable when $m_* < m < m^*$; (3) unstable when $0 < m < m_*$. The bifurcation point $m = m_*$ is a threshold value for the regime shift from extinction to survival of algae, such that the positive steady state solution E_2 exists when $m < m_*$ (see Figure 2). The numerical bifurcation diagram in Figure 2 shows

that the positive steady state E_2 decreases in m .

In Figure 3, dynamic numerical simulations of solutions of (2.4) for parameter values from Table 2 are shown. For different algal loss rates m , the solution of (2.4) converges to different steady states regardless of initial conditions. For the case of $m = 1.4 > m_*$, algae becomes extinct and the concentration of dissolved nutrients and dissolved inorganic carbon reach the concentration of dissolved nutrients at the bottom of the water column and the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon at the surface of the water column respectively (see Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and Figure 3 (a)-(c)). For the case of $m = 0.1 < m_*$, algae, nutrients and inorganic carbon can coexist together at a positive level (see Theorems 3.4, 3.6 and Figure 3 (d)-(f)), and algae exhibit vertical aggregation phenomena (see Figure 3 (d)).

4 The vertical distribution of algae

The vertical distribution of algae in the aquatic ecosystem is highly heterogeneous and affects the whole aquatic ecosystem in terms of primary productivity, trophic levels and cycle of matter. Especially, algae can exhibit the most prominent vertical aggregation phenomena, where the equivalent of 90% of algal biomass sometimes gathers in a relatively thin layer [18, 25, 33, 34]. In the present section, we will explore the influence of environmental parameters in (2.4) on the vertical distribution of algae under the jointly limitation of nutrients and inorganic carbon when the solution of (2.4) appears to converge to a coexistence steady state E_2 . In figures below, we compare the variation of the vertical distribution of coexistence steady state $A(z)$ for different parameter values.




Figure 4: Vertical distribution of the coexistence steady state $A(z)$ for varying $D = D_a = D_n = D_c$ (left) and s (right). Here other parameters are from Table 2.

We first explore the effect of diffusion coefficients D_a, D_n, D_c and advection rate s on the vertical distribution of algae. It has been proved that vertical turbulent diffusivity in lake and oceans has obvious difference when the season changes [32]. It is generally true

that turbulent diffusivity is small in summer, but large in winter. In order to facilitate the discussion, we let $D_a = D_n = D_c = D$. From Figure 4 (a), one can see that the spatial heterogeneity of algal biomass gradually changes from aggregation to even distribution when D increases. This is because the increase of turbulent diffusivity leads to the full transfer of nutrients and organic carbon in the water column, so that every part of the water column is well adapted to the growth of algae. This confirms once again that algae are prone to aggregation in summer and not in winter because of turbulent diffusion. Therefore, to study the vertical distribution of algae better, we will consider only a poorly mixed water column and let $D_a = D_n = D_c = 0.02$ in the remaining part of this section.

Suspension ($s = 0$), subsidence ($s > 0$) and floating upward ($s < 0$) of algae are not only important ways to obtain the best growth opportunities, but also greatly affect the vertical accumulation of algae. From Figure 4 (b), one can observe that the vertical distribution of algae changes greatly with the decrease of s in a poorly mixed water column. For the case of $s = 0.36$ and $s = -0.33$, the algal biomass is mainly concentrated at the bottom or the surface of the water column due to the larger sinking or buoyant velocity. When $s = 0$, the maximum of the algal biomass, also described as deep chlorophyll maxima (DCMs), arises from the middle of the water column. This is because the nutrients are concentrated at the bottom, while inorganic carbon is concentrated at the surface for a lower turbulent diffusion, which leads to the optimal growth of algae in the middle of the water column. This indicates that the shift from subsidence to floating upward can cause algal blooms and bring out a large amount of algae gathering on the water surface.

Here an interesting phenomenon is the stratification of algae for the smaller sinking or buoyant velocity in Figure 4 (b). For $s = 0.1$, the algal biomass is concentrated in the middle and bottom of the water column and are mainly divided into two layers: 2m-3m and 3.7m-4m. Similar phenomena also occurs for $s = -0.1$, where the algal biomass is concentrated in the middle and surface of the water column. In both cases, there are two local maximums




Figure 5: Vertical distribution of the coexistence steady state $A(z)$ for varying N^0 (left) and C^0 (right). Here other parameters are from Table 2.

of algae biomass density. This phenomenon shows that there may be one, two or even more layers for the optimal growth of algae in a water column.

In our model (2.4), the algal growth is limited by organic carbon and nutrients. Nutrients from the water bottom and inorganic carbon from the water surface form an asymmetric resource supply mechanism on the algal growth. An increasing concentration N^0 of dissolved nutrients at the bottom reduces the dependence of the algal growth on nutrients in the whole water column, such that the algal biomass gradually increases and the aggregation location shifts from the bottom to the surface (see Figure 5 (a)). When the nutrient level on the water surface is very high, algae accumulate on the water surface and algal blooms occur (see $N^0 = 400$ in Figure 5 (a)). Hence eutrophication of water body caused by warm conditions, industrial waste water and sewage is an important factor of algal blooms. On the other hand, increasing organic carbon causes the algal biomass vertical aggregation transferring from the surface to the bottom (see Figure 5 (b)). This implies that the input of organic carbon can change the vertical distribution of algae, thus reduce the risk of algal blooms.




Figure 6: Vertical distribution of the coexistence steady state $A(z)$ for varying m (left) and p_n (right). Here $p_n = 0$ in (a), $m = 0.7$ in (b) and other parameters are from Table 2.

The loss rate m of algae only changes the total biomass of algae, but has no significant impact on the vertical distribution of algae (see Figure 6 (a)). Similarly, the respiration rate l of algae also has no essential effect on the vertical distribution of algae (see Figure 7 (a)). From Figure 6 (b) and Figure 7 (b), one can observe that the nutrient recycling proportion p_n and the organic carbon recycling proportion p_c can both affect the vertical distribution of algae. As is often observed, a large amount of algae float on the surface during the daytime and sink to the bottom at night. The most important reason is the phototaxis of algae. Our results suggest that the high nutrient recycling proportion from loss of algal biomass is also a factor for the algal concentration on the surface during the day (see $p_n = 0.9$ in Figure 6 (b)). This happens because rapid decomposition of dead algae under high daytime temperature produces enough nutrients. In contrast, at night, the algal respiration releases a large amount of organic carbon, so that algae gather at the bottom (see $p_c = 0.9$ in Figure




Figure 7: Vertical distribution of the coexistence steady state $A(z)$ for varying l (left) and p_c (right). Here $p_c = 0$ in (a), $l = 1.75 \times 10^{-6}$ in (b) and other parameters are from Table 2.

7 (b)).

Let z_{\max} be the depth coordinate of the maximum of the algal biomass in the water column when the vertical aggregation occurs. In view of Figures 4-7, z_{\max} describes the change of algal aggregation layer. The increase of z_{\max} indicates that algae aggregate to the deep layer, while the decrease of z_{\max} indicates that algae aggregate to the surface layer. Let A^* be the algal biomass on the water surface and A_* be the algal biomass on the water bottom. Hence A^* and A_* are both important indices to measure algal blooms and the change of water quality. As a summary of the above discussion, the influence of environmental parameters on z_{\max} , A^* and A_* are listed in Table 3. Here we do not list the effects of turbulent diffusion. This is because with the increase of diffusion, the aggregation layer gradually disappears, and algae tend to be more evenly distributed.

Table 3: The influence of environmental parameters on z_{\max} , A^* and A_* .

Parameters	z_{\max}	A^*	A_*
$s \uparrow$	\uparrow	\downarrow	\uparrow
$N^0 \uparrow$	\downarrow	\uparrow	\downarrow
$C^0 \uparrow$	\uparrow	\downarrow	\uparrow
$m \uparrow$	—	\uparrow	\uparrow
$p_n \uparrow$	\downarrow	\uparrow	\downarrow
$l \uparrow$	—	\uparrow	\uparrow
$p_c \uparrow$	\uparrow	\downarrow	\uparrow

\uparrow : Increasing \downarrow : Decreasing —: No significant effect

5 Discussion

In this paper, we establish a reaction-diffusion-advection model (2.4) to describe the dynamic interactions among algae, nutrients and inorganic carbon in a water column. The threshold conditions for the regime shift from extinction to existence of algae is rigorously derived. By using theory of partial differential equations, dynamical systems, and bifurcation theory, we establish theoretical results showing that algae become extinct when the loss rate m exceeds the threshold value m_* (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2), while algae invade and persist when m is below the threshold value m_* (see Theorems 3.4 and 3.6).

The algal growth depends on limited nutrients from the water bottom and limited inorganic carbon from the water surface, which is an asymmetric supply of resources. Our studies suggest that algae exhibit vertically spatial heterogeneity and vertical aggregation in the water column under the joint effect of nutrient and inorganic carbon supply (see Figures 4-7). Moreover, the environmental parameters of model (2.4) could influence the algal vertical distribution (see Table 3).

From Figure 4 (a), one can see that vertical turbulent diffusion has an important effect on the vertically heterogeneous distribution of algae. If turbulent kinetic energy is large enough, algae tend to distribute evenly throughout the water column, while small turbulent diffusion leads to aggregation of algae. The loss rate m and the respiration rate l only change the total biomass of algae, but have no effect on the location of algae aggregation layer (see Figures 6 (a) and 7 (a)). Parameters s, N^0, C^0, p_n, p_c could affect the vertical distribution of algae, and are important indicators to measure the occurrence of algal blooms. Algal floating upward ($s < 0$) causes algae to gather on the water surface, whereas the sinking of algae ($s > 0$) causes algae to concentrate on the bottom (see Figure 4 (b)). It is also observed that there are algal vertical aggregation in multiple water layers for the intermediate advection rate (see $s = 0.1, -0.1$ in Figure 4 (b)). In the nutrient-limited or inorganic carbon-limited water, the excessive input of nutrients is easy to cause algal blooms due to algal concentration on the water surface, while the input of organic carbon reduces the risk of algal blooms as a result of algal aggregation on the water bottom (see Figure 5). The recycling rates p_n, p_c are both important indices to measure algal aggregation and blooms (see Figures 6 (b) and 7 (b)).

In previous studies, it has been confirmed that algae have vertical distribution and aggregation under the action of light and nutrients. In the present paper, our analysis shows that algae also exhibit complex spatial heterogeneity and vertical aggregation under the mechanism of asymmetric supply of nutrients and inorganic carbon. This study complements and further develops earlier studies of algae population growth in the water column. Based on the present discussion, it will be of interest to explore more biological questions. For example, two or more algae compete for nutrients and inorganic carbon; the dynamics of algae population if all three resources (light, nutrients, inorganic carbon) are considered; the

effect of toxic plankton species, zooplankton and fishes.

References

- [1] M.G. Crandall and P.H. Rabinowitz. Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 8(2):321–340, 1971.
- [2] M.G. Crandall and P.H. Rabinowitz. Bifurcation, perturbation of simple eigenvalues and linearized stability. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 52(2):161–180, 1973.
- [3] Y.H. Du and S.B. Hsu. Concentration phenomena in a nonlocal quasi-linear problem modelling phytoplankton. I. Existence. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 40(4):1419–1440, 2008.
- [4] Y.H. Du and S.B. Hsu. Concentration phenomena in a nonlocal quasi-linear problem modelling phytoplankton. II. Limiting profile. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 40(4):1441–1470, 2008.
- [5] Y.H. Du and S.B. Hsu. On a nonlocal reaction-diffusion problem arising from the modeling of phytoplankton growth. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 42(3):1305–1333, 2010.
- [6] Y.H. Du, S.B. Hsu, and Y. Lou. Multiple steady-states in phytoplankton population induced by photoinhibition. *J. Differential Equations*, 258(7):2408–2434, 2015.
- [7] Y.H. Du and L.F. Mei. On a nonlocal reaction-diffusion-advection equation modelling phytoplankton dynamics. *Nonlinearity*, 24(1):319–349, 2011.
- [8] D. Henry. *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, volume 840 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [9] S.B. Hsu, K.Y. Lam, and F.B. Wang. Single species growth consuming inorganic carbon with internal storage in a poorly mixed habitat. *J. Math. Biol.*, 75(6-7):1775–1825, 2017.
- [10] S.B. Hsu and Y. Lou. Single phytoplankton species growth with light and advection in a water column. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 70(8):2942–2974, 2010.
- [11] S.B. Hsu, F.B. Wang, and X.Q. Zhao. Global dynamics of zooplankton and harmful algae in flowing habitats. *J. Differential Equations*, 255(3):265–297, 2013.
- [12] J. Huisman, M. Arrayás, U. Ebert, and B. Sommeijer. How do sinking phytoplankton species manage to persist? *Am. Nat.*, 159(3):245–254, 2002.
- [13] J. Huisman, M. Arrayás, U. Ebert, and B. Sommeijer. Reduced mixing generates oscillations and chaos in the oceanic deep chlorophyll maximum. *Nature*, 439(7074):322, 2006.

- [14] C.G. Jäger and S. Diehl. Resource competition across habitat boundaries: asymmetric interactions between benthic and pelagic producers. *Ecol. Monogr.*, 84(2):287–302, 2014.
- [15] C.G. Jäger, S. Diehl, and M. Emans. Physical determinants of phytoplankton production, algal stoichiometry, and vertical nutrient fluxes. *Am. Nat.*, 175(4):91–104, 2010.
- [16] D.H. Jiang, K.Y. Lam, Y. Lou, and Z.C. Wang. Monotonicity and global dynamics of a nonlocal two-species phytoplankton model. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 79(2):716–742, 2019.
- [17] T. Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966.
- [18] C.A. Klausmeier and E. Litchman. Algal games: The vertical distribution of phytoplankton in poorly mixed water columns. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 46(8):1998–2007, 2001.
- [19] L.F. Mei and X.Y. Zhang. Existence and nonexistence of positive steady states in multi-species phytoplankton dynamics. *J. Differential Equations*, 253(7):2025–2063, 2012.
- [20] L.F. Mei and X.Y. Zhang. On a nonlocal reaction-diffusion-advection system modeling phytoplankton growth with light and nutrients. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 17(1):221–243, 2012.
- [21] K. Mischaikow, H. Smith, and H.R. Thieme. Asymptotically autonomous semiflows: chain recurrence and Lyapunov functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 347(5):1669–1685, 1995.
- [22] H. Nie, S.B. Hsu, and J.P. Grover. Algal competition in a water column with excessive dioxide in the atmosphere. *J. Math. Biol.*, 72(7):1845–1892, 2016.
- [23] H. Nie, S.B. Hsu, and J.H. Wu. Coexistence solutions of a competition model with two species in a water column. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 20(8):2691–2714, 2015.
- [24] R. Peng and X.Q. Zhao. A nonlocal and periodic reaction-diffusion-advection model of a single phytoplankton species. *J. Math. Biol.*, 72(3):755–791, 2016.
- [25] A.B. Ryabov, L. Rudolf, and B. Blasius. Vertical distribution and composition of phytoplankton under the influence of an upper mixed layer. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 263(1):120–133, 2010.
- [26] J.P. Shi and X.F. Wang. On global bifurcation for quasilinear elliptic systems on bounded domains. *J. Differential Equations*, 246(7):2788–2812, 2009.
- [27] J.P. Shi, J.M. Zhang, and X.Y. Zhang. Stability and asymptotic profile of steady state solutions to a reaction-diffusion pelagic-benthic algae growth model. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 18(5):2325–2347, 2019.

- [28] D. Van de Waal, J.M.H. Verspagen, J.F. Finke, V. Vournazou, A.K. Immers, W.E.A. Kardinaal, L. Tonk, S. Becker, E. Van Donk, P.M. Visser, and J. Huisman. Reversal in competitive dominance of a toxic versus non-toxic cyanobacterium in response to rising CO₂. *ISME J.*, 5(9):1438–1450, 2011.
- [29] F.R. Vasconcelos, S. Diehl, P. Rodríguez, P. Hedström, J. Karlsson, and P. Byström. Asymmetrical competition between aquatic primary producers in a warmer and browner world. *Ecology*, 97(10):2580–2592, 2016.
- [30] F.B. Wang, S.B. Hsu, and X.Q. Zhao. A reaction-diffusion-advection model of harmful algae growth with toxin degradation. *J. Differential Equations*, 259(7):3178–3201, 2015.
- [31] X.F. Wang and Q. Xu. Spiky and transition layer steady states of chemotaxis systems via global bifurcation and Helly’s compactness theorem. *J. Math. Biol.*, 66(6):1241–1266, 2013.
- [32] A. Wüest and A. Lorke. Small-scale hydrodynamics in lakes. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 35(1):373–412, 2003.
- [33] K. Yoshiyama, J.P. Mellard, E. Litchman, and C.A. Klausmeier. Phytoplankton competition for nutrients and light in a stratified water column. *Am. Nat.*, 174(2):190–203, 2009.
- [34] K. Yoshiyama and H. Nakajima. Catastrophic transition in vertical distributions of phytoplankton: alternative equilibria in a water column. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 216(4):397–408, 2002.
- [35] A. Zagaris and A. Doelman. Emergence of steady and oscillatory localized structures in a phytoplankton-nutrient model. *Nonlinearity*, 24(12):3437–3486, 2011.
- [36] J.M. Zhang, J.P. Shi, and X.Y. Chang. A mathematical model of algae growth in a pelagic-benthic coupled shallow aquatic ecosystem. *J. Math. Biol.*, 76(5):1159–1193, 2018.