

INELASTIC BOLTZMANN EQUATION DRIVEN BY A PARTICLE THERMAL BATH

RAFAEL SANABRIA

ABSTRACT. We consider spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation for inelastic hard-spheres, with constant restitution coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, under the thermalization induced by a host medium with a fixed Maxwellian distribution. When the restitution coefficient is close to 1 we prove existence of global solutions considering the close-to-equilibrium regime. We also study the long-time behaviour of these solutions and prove a convergence to equilibrium with an exponential rate.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Driven granular gases.	1
1.2. Description of the problem and main results.	2
1.3. Strategy of the proof and organization of the paper.	3
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Kinetic model	3
2.2. Function spaces	4
2.3. Spectral notations	5
2.4. Hypodissipative operators	6
3. Main known results	7
4. Properties of the linearized operator	8
4.1. Preliminaries on steady states	8
4.2. The linearized operator and its splitting.	9
4.3. Hypodissipaty of \mathcal{B}_δ and boundedness of \mathcal{A}_δ	11
4.4. Regularization properties of T_n	16
4.5. Estimates on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1$	19
4.6. Semigroup spectral analysis of the linearized operator	20
5. The nonlinear Boltzmann equation	22
Acknowledgement	24
Appendix A. Interpolation Inequalities and norm bounds	24
Appendix B. Spectral Theorems	24
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Driven granular gases.** Dilute granular flows are commonly modelled by Boltzmann equation for inelastic hard-spheres interacting through binary collisions

Date: February 10, 2020.

[8]. Due to dissipative collisions, energy continuously decreases in time which implies that, in absence of energy supply, the corresponding dissipative Boltzmann equation admits only trivial equilibria. This is no longer the case if the spheres are forced to interact with a forcing term, in which case the energy supply may lead to a non-trivial steady state. For such a driven system we consider hard spheres particles described by their distribution density $f = f(t, x, v) \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$, $t > 0$ satisfying

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(f, f) + \mathcal{L}(f). \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha(f, f)$ is the inelastic quadratic Boltzmann collision operator (see 2.1 for a precise definition), and $\mathcal{L}(f)$ models the forcing term. The parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is the so called “restitution coefficient” that characterized the inelasticity of the binary collisions. The purely elastic case is recover when $\alpha = 1$.

In the literature there exist several possible physically meaningful choices for the forcing term \mathcal{L} in order to avoid the cooling of the granular gas. The first one is the pure diffusion thermal bath, studied in [13, 20, 24], for which

$$\mathcal{L}_1(f) = \mu \Delta_v f,$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a given parameter and Δ_v the Laplacian in the velocity variable. Other fundamental examples of forcing terms are the thermal bath with linear friction

$$\mathcal{L}_2(f) = \mu \Delta_v f + \lambda \operatorname{div}(vf),$$

where μ and λ are positive constants and div is the divergence operator with respect to the velocity variable. Also, we have to mention the fundamental example of anti-drift forcing term which is related to the existence of self-similar solution to the inelastic Boltzmann equation:

$$\mathcal{L}_3(f) = -\lambda \operatorname{div}(vf), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

This problem has been treated in [19, 18] for hard spheres.

1.2. Description of the problem and main results. In this paper we consider a situation in which the system of inelastic hard spheres is immersed into a thermal bath of particles, so that the forcing term \mathcal{L} is given by a linear scattering operator describing inelastic collisions with the background medium. More precisely, the forcing term \mathcal{L} is given by a linear Boltzmann collision operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(f) := \mathcal{Q}_e(f, \mathcal{M}_0), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_e(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Boltzmann collision operator associated to the fixed restitution coefficient $e \in (0, 1)$, and \mathcal{M}_0 stands for the distribution function of the host fluid which we assume to be a given Maxwellian with unit mass, bulk velocity u_0 and temperature $\theta > 0$:

$$\mathcal{M}_0(v) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\theta_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{(v - u_0)^2}{2\theta_0} \right), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3)$$

Our main result is the proof of existence of solutions for the non-linear problem (1) as well as stability and relaxation to equilibrium for these solutions.

The existence of smooth stationary solutions F_α for the inelastic Boltzmann equation under the thermalization given by the forcing term \mathcal{L} has been proved in

[7]. Uniqueness of the steady state is proven in [6] for a smaller range of parameters α . For a precise statement of these results and other qualitative properties of F_α see Section 3.

Here is the main result that we obtain, a precise statement is given in Section 5:

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the functional space $\mathcal{E}_0 = W_x^{s,1}W_v^{2,1}(\langle v \rangle e^{b(v)^\beta})$ where $b > 0$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $s > 6$. For α and e close to 1, and for an initial datum $f \in \mathcal{E}_0$ close enough to the equilibrium F_α , there exists a unique global solution $f \in L_t^\infty(\mathcal{E}_0)$ to (1) which furthermore satisfies for all $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|f_t - F_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq C e^{-at} \|f_{in} - F_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_0},$$

for some constructive constants C and a .

1.3. Strategy of the proof and organization of the paper. The main ideas of proof are inspired by those adopted in [24]. In this work Tristani gives the very first existence theorem of global solution in an inelastic collision regime close to equilibrium (that is excluding the existence theorem proved by Alonso in [1] in a near vacuum regime). The peculiarities of our linear scattering operator such as its lack of symmetry and the exchange of momentum between grains and background will require a different treatment in some points.

The strategy in this paper consists in using the work of Gualdani *et al.* in [15] and the spectral analysis of \mathcal{L} studied by [5], [6] and [10] to obtain new spectral gap estimates for the elastic case. Moreover, we performed a fine study of the spectral properties of \mathcal{L}_α around the steady solution F_α and its associated semigroup. We deduce the spectral properties of \mathcal{L}_α from those of the elastic operator \mathcal{L}_1 by a perturbation argument valid for α (and e) close to 1.

The organization of this paper is as follows. After recalling the precise definitions of the Boltzmann operator \mathcal{Q}_α and the forcing term \mathcal{L} in Section 2, we proceed to define the function spaces as well as some spectral notations and definitions. The main known results are presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we introduce the splitting of the inelastic linearized Boltzmann operator as the sum of a regularizing part and a dissipative part. We show that the inelastic operator is a small perturbation of the elastic one. We also make a fine study of spectrum close to 0, which allows us to prove existence of a spectral gap. Furthermore, we obtain a property of semigroup decay in $W_x^{s,1}W_v^{2,1}(\langle v \rangle e^{b(v)^\beta})$. Finally, we go back to the nonlinear Boltzmann equation in Section 5 and prove our main result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Kinetic model. We assume the granular particles to be perfectly smooth hard spheres of mass $m = 1$ performing inelastic collisions. In the model at stake, the inelasticity is characterized by the so-called normal restitution coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The restitution coefficient quantifies the loss of relative normal velocity of a pair of colliding particles after the collision with respect to the impact velocity (see [8, Chapter 2]). More precisely, if v and v_* (resp. v' and v'_*) denote the velocities of

a pair of particles before (resp. after) collision, we have the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} v + v_* = v' + v'_*, \\ u' \cdot n = -\alpha(u \cdot n), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $u = v - v_*$, $u' = v' - v'_*$ and $n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ stands for the unit vector that points from the v -particle center to the v_* -particle center at the moment of impact. The velocities after collision are then given by

$$v' = v - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}(u \cdot n)n, \quad v'_* = v_* + \frac{1 + \alpha}{2}(u \cdot n)n.$$

Another parametrization that shall be more convenient in the sequel is the following (see [24, 7]). If v and v_* are the velocities of two particles with $v \neq v_*$, we set $\hat{u} = u/|u|$. Then, performing in (4) the change of unknown $\sigma = \hat{u} - 2(\hat{u} \cdot n)n \in \mathbb{S}^2$, it gives an alternative q parametrization of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . The impact velocity then writes $|u \cdot n| = |u|\sqrt{\frac{1 - \hat{u} \cdot \sigma}{2}}$. And the post-collisional velocities v' and v'_* are given by

$$v' = v - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{u - |u|\sigma}{2}, \quad v'_* = v_* + \frac{1 + \alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{u - |u|\sigma}{2}.$$

Given a constant restitution coefficient $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, one defines the weak form of the bilinear Boltzman operator \mathcal{Q}_α for inelastic interactions and hard spheres by its action on test functions $\phi(v)$ (see for example [10, Section 2]),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(f, g)\phi(v)dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} g(v_*)f(v)[\phi(v') - \phi(v)]|v - v_*|d\sigma dv_* dv, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} g(v_*)f(v)[\phi(v'_*) + \phi(v') - \phi(v_*) - \phi(v)]|v - v_*|d\sigma dv_* dv. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Function spaces. Let us introduce the notations we shall use in the sequel (see for instance [7, 24]). Throughout the paper we shall use the notation $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$. For any $p, q \geq 1$ and any weight $m > 0$ on \mathbb{R}^3 we define the weighted Lebesgue space

$$L_x^p L_v^q(\langle v \rangle m) := \{f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \|f\|_{L_x^p L_v^q(\langle v \rangle m)} < +\infty\},$$

where the norm $\|f\|_{L_x^p L_v^q(\langle v \rangle m)}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L_x^p L_v^q(\langle v \rangle m)} := \|\|f(\cdot, v)\|_{L_x^p} \langle v \rangle m(v)\|_{L_v^q}.$$

The weighted Sobolev space $W_x^{s,p} W_v^{\sigma,q}$ for any $p, q \geq 1$ and $\sigma, s \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W_x^{s,p} W_v^{\sigma,q}(\langle v \rangle m)} &:= \\ &\sum_{0 \leq s' \leq s, 0 \leq \sigma' \leq \sigma, s' + \sigma' \leq \max(s, \sigma)} \|\|\nabla_x^{s'} \nabla_v^{\sigma'} f(\cdot, v)\|_{L_x^p} \langle v \rangle m(v)\|_{L_v^q}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $s > 1$ and is not an integer then we write $s = t + r$, where $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. In this case, the space $W_x^{s,p} W_v^{\sigma,q}(\langle v \rangle m)$ consist of those equivalence classes of functions $f \in W_x^{t,p} W_v^{\sigma,q}(\langle v \rangle m)$ whose distributional derivatives $\nabla_x^t f$ belong to $W_x^{r,p} W_v^{\sigma,q}(\langle v \rangle m)$. This last space is determined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{W_x^{r,p}W_v^{\sigma,q}(\langle v \rangle_m)} := \|f\|_{L_x^pW_v^{\sigma,q}(\langle v \rangle_m)} + \sum_{0 \leq \sigma' \leq \sigma, t + \sigma' \leq \max(t, \sigma)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla_v^{\sigma'} f(x, v) - \nabla_v^{\sigma'} f(y, v)|^p}{|x - y|^{3+r_p}} dx dy \right)^{q/p} \langle v \rangle m dv \right)^{1/q}.$$

2.3. Spectral notations. Given a real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ let us defined

$$\Delta_a := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z > a\}.$$

For some given Banach spaces $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$, we denote the space of bounded linear operators from E to F by $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ and we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(E, E)}$ or $\|\cdot\| : E \rightarrow E$ the associated operator norm. We write $\mathcal{B}(E) = \mathcal{B}(E, E)$ when $F = E$. Moreover, we denote by $C(E, F)$ the space of closed unbounded linear operators from E to F with dense domain, and $C(E) = C(E, E)$ in the case $E = F$.

For a Banach space X and $\Lambda \in C(X)$ its associated semigroup is denoted by $S_\Lambda(t)$, for $t \geq 0$, when it exists. Also denote by $D(\Lambda)$ its domain, by $N(\Lambda)$ its null space and by $R(\Lambda)$ its range. Let us introduce the $D(\Lambda)$ -norm defined as

$$\|f\|_{D(\Lambda)} = \|f\|_X + \|\Lambda f\|_X \text{ for } f \in D(\Lambda).$$

More generally, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\|f\|_{D(\Lambda^k)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \|\Lambda^j f\|_X, \quad f \in D(\Lambda^k).$$

Its spectrum is denoted by $\Sigma(\Lambda)$, and the resolvent set $\rho(\Lambda) := \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma(\Lambda))$. So for any $z \in \rho(\Lambda)$ the operator $\Lambda - z$ is invertible and the resolvent operator

$$R_\Lambda(z) := (\Lambda - z)^{-1}$$

is well-defined, belongs to $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and has range equal to $D(\Lambda)$. A number $\xi \in \Sigma(\Lambda)$ is said to be an eigenvalue if $N(\Lambda - \xi) = \{0\}$. Moreover, an eigenvalue $\xi \in \Sigma(\Lambda)$ is said to be isolated if there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\Sigma(\Lambda) \cap \{|z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \xi| < r\} = \{\xi\}.$$

If ξ is an isolated eigenvalue we define the associated spectral projector

$$\Pi_{\Lambda, \xi} := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z - \xi| = r'} R_\Lambda(z) dz \in \mathcal{B}(X),$$

which is independent of $0 < r' < r$ since $z \mapsto R_\Lambda(z)$ is holomorphic. It is well-known that $\Pi_{\Lambda, \xi}^2 = \Pi_{\Lambda, \xi}$ so it is a projector and the ‘‘associated projected semigroup’’ is

$$S_{\Lambda, \xi}(t) := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z - \xi| = r'} e^{tz} R_\Lambda(z) dz, \quad t > 0;$$

which satisfies that for all $t > 0$

$$S_{\Lambda, \xi}(t) = \Pi_{\Lambda, \xi} S_{\Lambda, \xi}(t) = S_{\Lambda, \xi} \Pi_{\Lambda, \xi}.$$

When the ‘‘algebraic eigenspace’’ $R(\Pi_{\Lambda, \xi})$ is finite dimensional we say that ξ is a discrete eigenvalue, written as $\xi \in \Sigma_d(\Lambda)$. For more about these results we refer

the reader to [16, Chapter III-6].

Finally for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Sigma(\Lambda) \cap \Delta_a = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}$ where ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are distinct discrete eigenvalues, we define without ambiguity

$$\Pi_{\Lambda, a} = \Pi_{\Lambda, \xi_1} + \dots + \Pi_{\Lambda, \xi_k}.$$

If one considers some Banach spaces X_1, X_2, X_3 , for two given functions $S_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2))$ and $S_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(X_2, X_3))$, the convolution

$$S_1 * S_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(X_1, X_3)),$$

is defined for all $t \geq 0$ as

$$(S_1 * S_2)(t) := \int_0^t S_2(s)S_1(t-s)ds.$$

When $S_1 = S_2$ and $X_1 = X_2 = X_3$, $S^{(*l)}$ is defined recursively by $S^{(*1)} = S$ and for any $l \geq 2$, $S^{(*l)} = S * S^{(*(l-1))}$.

2.4. Hypodissipative operators. Let us introduce the notion of *hypodissipative* operators. Consider a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and some operator $\Lambda \in C(X)$, $(\Lambda - a)$ is said to be hypodissipative on X if there exists some norm $|||\cdot|||_X$ on X equivalent to the initial norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ such that for every $f \in D(\Lambda)$ there exist $\phi \in F(f)$ such that

$$\Re \langle \phi, (\Lambda - a)f \rangle \leq 0,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality bracket for the duality in X and X^* and, $F(f) \subset X^*$ is the dual set of f defined by

$$F(f) := \{\phi \in X^* : \langle \phi, f \rangle = |||f|||_{X^*} = |||f|||_X\}.$$

The following theorem is a non standard formulation of the classical Hille-Yosida theorem on m -dissipative operators and semigroups. It summarizes the link between PDE's, the semigroup theory and spectral analysis.

Theorem 2.1. *Consider X a Banach space and Λ the generator of a C^0 -semigroup S_Λ . We denote by R_Λ its resolvent. For given constants $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $M > 0$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\Lambda - a$ is hypodissipative;
- (2) the semigroup satisfies the growth estimate for every $t \geq 0$

$$\|S_\Lambda(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} \leq Me^{at};$$

- (3) $\Sigma(\Lambda) \cap \Delta_a = \emptyset$ and for all $z \in \Delta_a$

$$\|R_\Lambda(z)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\Re z - a)^n};$$

- (4) $\Sigma(\Lambda) \cap (a, \infty) = \emptyset$ and there exist some norm $|||\cdot|||$ on X equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that for all $f \in X$

$$\|f\| \leq |||f||| \leq M\|f\|,$$

and such that for every $\lambda > a$ and every $f \in D(\Lambda)$

$$|||(\Lambda - \lambda)f||| \geq (\lambda - a)|||f|||.$$

For the proof of this result we refer the reader to [23, Chapter 1], and for more about hypodissipative operators see [15, Section 2.3] and [21, Section 2.1].

3. MAIN KNOWN RESULTS

The existence of smooth stationary solutions for the inelastic Boltzmann equation under the thermalization given by (2) has already been proved by Bisi, Carrillo, Lods in [7, Theorem 5.1] for any choice of restitution coefficient α . Moreover, the uniqueness of the solution was obtained by Bisi, Cañizo, Lods in [6, Theorem 1.1]. These results can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *For any $\rho > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, there exists a steady solution $F_\alpha \in L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^2)$, $F_\alpha(v) \geq 0$ to the problem with*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\alpha(F_\alpha, F_\alpha) + \mathcal{L}(F_\alpha) = 0, \quad (5)$$

with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\alpha(v) dv = \rho$.

Moreover, there exists $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that such a solution is unique for $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1]$. This (unique) steady state is radially symmetric and belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{G}_α the set of functions F_α solutions of (5) with mass 1, that satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\alpha(v) dv = 1$. We recall a quantitative estimate on the distance between F_α and the Maxwellian \mathcal{M} :

$$\mathcal{M}(v) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\theta^\sharp} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(v-u_0)}{2\theta^\sharp} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

with $\theta^\sharp = \frac{1+\epsilon}{3-\epsilon}\theta_0$ where θ_0 is defined in (3). This Maxwellian is the unique solution of (5) in the elastic case, i.e. when $\alpha = 1$, (see [6, Theorems 2.3 and 5.5]).

Theorem 3.2. *There exist an explicit functions $\eta_1(\alpha)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \eta_1(\alpha) = 0$ and such that for any $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$*

$$\sup_{F_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha} \|F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \eta_1(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1].$$

Here, $\mathcal{Y} = L_v^1(\langle v \rangle e^{a|v|})$ with $a > 0$.

Consider the space $\mathcal{H} = L_v^2(\mathcal{M}^{-1})$. In [5] Arlotti and Lods performed the spectral analysis of \mathcal{L} in \mathcal{H} . They proved that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^+ - \mathcal{L}^-$ where \mathcal{L}^+ is compact in \mathcal{H} and

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^-(f), \phi \rangle = \langle \sigma f, \phi \rangle, \quad (7)$$

with $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product in L^2 . Furthermore, in [5, Theorem 3.7] they established the following:

Theorem 3.3. *The spectrum of \mathcal{L} as an operator in \mathcal{H} consist of the spectrum of $-\mathcal{L}^-$ and of, at most, eigenvalues of finite multiplicities. Precisely, setting $\sigma_0 = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(v) > 0$, we have*

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \leq -\sigma_0\} \cup \{\lambda_n : n \in I\},$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $(\lambda_n)_n$ is a decreasing sequence of real eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} with finite algebraic multiplicities: $\lambda_0 = 0 > \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \cdots > \lambda_n > \cdots$, which unique possible cluster point is $-\sigma_0$. Moreover, 0 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} and $N(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Span}\{\mathcal{M}\}$.

Furthermore, Mouhot, Lods and Toscani in [17] give some quantitative estimates of the spectral gap.

4. PROPERTIES OF THE LINEARIZED OPERATOR

4.1. Preliminaries on steady states. As mentioned in Section 3, Bisi, Cañizo, Lods [6, Theorem 1.1] proved that there exist $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that for every $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1]$ there exist a unique solution of (5) in $L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^2)$ with mass 1. We denote this solution F_α .

We linearize our equation around the equilibrium F_α with the perturbation $f = F_\alpha + h$. That is, by substituting f in (1) we obtain

$$\partial_t h = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h, h) + \mathcal{L}_\alpha(h). \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(h) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(F_\alpha, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h, F_\alpha) + \mathcal{L}(h) - v \cdot \nabla_x h$.

If we consider only the linear part we obtain the first order linearized equation around the equilibrium F_α

$$\partial_t h = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(h). \quad (9)$$

Throughout the paper, we shall use the notation $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$ and denote

$$m(v) := \exp\left(b \langle v \rangle^\beta\right),$$

with $b > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Let's state several lemmas on steady states F_α that will be needed several times in the future. First of all, we prove an estimate for the Sobolev norm

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $k' = 8k + 7(1 + 3/2)$. Then there exist $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|F_\alpha\|_{W_v^{k,p}(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \leq C.$$

Proof. First we recall that by [6, Theorem 3.3] there exist some constants $A > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and any solution F_α to (5) one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\alpha(v) e^{a|v|^2} dv < M.$$

Using the inequalities of Cauchy and Bernoulli we get that, if $6\beta < A$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\alpha(v) m(v) dv \leq e^{(b^2+1)/2} M =: C_1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\alpha(v) m^{12}(v) dv \leq e^{6(b^2+1)} M =: C_2.$$

Moreover, from [6, Corollary 3.6] we know that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_k > 0$ such that $\|F_\alpha\|_{H_v^k} \leq C_k$. Thus, using Lemma A.1 we get

$$\|F_\alpha\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \leq C C_{k'}^{1/8} C_1^{1/8} C_2^{3/4} =: C',$$

that concludes our proof. \square

Now we estimate the difference between F_α and the elastic equilibrium \mathcal{M} , which is the Maxwellian given in (6).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $k' = 8k + 7(1 + 3/2)$. Then there exists a function $\eta(\alpha)$ such that for any $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1]$*

$$\|F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq \eta(\alpha),$$

with $\eta(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 there exists an explicit function $\eta_1(\alpha)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \eta_1(\alpha) = 0$ and such that for any $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$

$$\|F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} \leq \eta_1(\alpha).$$

Since, $1 \leq \langle v \rangle$ for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\|F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{L_v^1(m)} \leq \eta_1(\alpha).$$

Then, using Lemma A.1 and the proof of Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\|F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq C(2C_{k'})^{1/8}(2C_2)^{1/8}\eta_1^{3/4}(\alpha) =: \eta(\alpha),$$

which concludes our proof. \square

4.2. The linearized operator and its splitting. The weak form of the collision operator suggests the natural splitting between gain and loss parts $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+ - \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^-$. For the loss part notice that

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^-(f, g), \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} g(v_*)f(v)\psi(v)|v - v_*|d\sigma dv_* dv = \langle fL(g), \psi \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represents the inner product in L^2 and L is the convolution operator

$$L(g)(v) = 4\pi(|\cdot| * g)(v). \quad (10)$$

Remark 4.3. *Notice that L and \mathcal{Q}_α^- are independent of the restitution coefficient.*

Furthermore, is easy to see that σ defined in (7) is such that $\sigma := L(\mathcal{M}_0)$ and satisfies

$$0 < \sigma_0 \leq \sigma_0 \langle v \rangle \leq \sigma(v) \leq \sigma_1 \langle v \rangle, \quad (11)$$

for some constants σ_0 and σ_1 (σ_0 coincides with the one defined in Theorem 3.3).

We also introduce the collision frequency $\nu := L(\mathcal{M})$, where \mathcal{M} is given by (6). It also satisfies $\nu(v) \approx \langle v \rangle$, in other words, there exist some constants $\nu_0, \nu_1 > 0$ such that for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$0 < \nu_0 \leq \nu_0 \langle v \rangle \leq \nu(v) \leq \nu_1 \langle v \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Let e_0 such that for every $e \in [e_0, 1]$ (with e fix)

$$\nu_0 + \sigma_0 - p(e)\|\mathcal{M}_0\|_{W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} > 0, \quad (13)$$

where p is given by Proposition A.3. For now on fix $e \in [e_0, 1]$.

We now define the polar form of the bilinear collision operator $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h, g)\psi dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} g(v_*)h(v)|v - v_*| [\psi(v'_*) + \psi(v') - \psi(v_*) - \psi(v)] d\sigma dv_* dv. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h, g) = (\mathcal{Q}_\alpha(g, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h, g))/2$. The formula above also suggest a splitting between gain and loss parts $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+ - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^-$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, g) = (\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+(g, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+(h, g))/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^-(h, g) = (\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^-(g, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^-(h, g))/2.$$

In the elastic case, i.e. $\alpha = 1$, we can define the collision operator in strong form

$$\mathcal{Q}_1(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [f(v')g(v'_*) - f(v)g(v_*)]|v - v_*|dv_*d\sigma.$$

We now focus into the study of the linear equation $\partial_t h = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(h)$ introduce in (9) for $h = h(t, x, v)$ with $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We define the operator $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha$ by

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(F_\alpha, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h, F_\alpha) = 2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h, F_\alpha).$$

As before, using the weak formulation we have for any test function ψ

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h)\psi dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} F_\alpha(v)h(v_*)|v - v_*| [\psi(v'_*) + \psi(v') - \psi(v_*) - \psi(v)] d\sigma dv_* dv. \end{aligned}$$

Let us give a decomposition of the linear operator \mathcal{L}_α . In order to do this, for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ consider the bounded (by one) operator $\Theta_\delta = \Theta_\delta(v, v_*, \sigma) \in C^\infty$, which equals one

$$\{|v| \leq \delta^{-1}, 2\delta \leq |v - v_*| < \delta^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\cos \sigma| \leq 1 - 2\delta\},$$

and whose support is included in

$$\{|v| \leq 2\delta^{-1}, \delta \leq |v - v_*| < 2\delta^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\cos \sigma| \leq 1 - \delta\}.$$

Using this function we can give the following decomposition of the linearized collision operator $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) := \mathcal{Q}_1(\mathcal{M}, h) + \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M})$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h) + \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,S}^+(h) - L(\mathcal{M})(h),$$

where $L(\mathcal{M})$ is defined as in (10) and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,S}^+$ is the truncated operator given by Θ_δ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+$ the corresponding reminder. By this we mean

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,S}^+(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\delta [\mathcal{M}(v'_*)h(v') + \mathcal{M}(v')h(v'_*) - \mathcal{M}(v)h(v_*)]|v - v_*|dv_*d\sigma$$

and,

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\delta) [\mathcal{M}(v'_*)h(v') + \mathcal{M}(v')h(v'_*) - \mathcal{M}(v)h(v_*)]|v - v_*|dv_*d\sigma.$$

In a similar way we can give the following decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0)$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) = \mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - L(\mathcal{M}_0)(h),$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{1,S}$ is the truncated operator given by Θ_δ and $\mathcal{Q}_{1,R}$ the corresponding reminder. By this we mean

$$\mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\delta \mathcal{M}_0(v'_*)h(v')|v - v_*|dv_*d\sigma$$

and,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\delta) \mathcal{M}_0(v'_*)h(v')|v - v_*|dv_*d\sigma.$$

Remember that $\sigma = L(\mathcal{M}_0)$ and $\nu = L(\mathcal{M})$. Hence we can give a decomposition for the linearized operator \mathcal{L}_α :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_\alpha(h) &= \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) + \mathcal{L}(h) - v \cdot \nabla_x h, \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) + \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) + \mathcal{Q}_e(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0), \\
 &\quad + \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x h, \\
 &= \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) + \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,S}^+(h) + \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h) - (\nu + \sigma)(h) + \mathcal{Q}_e(h, \mathcal{M}_0), \\
 &\quad - \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x h, \\
 &= \mathcal{A}_\delta(h) + \mathcal{B}_\delta(h).
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\delta(h) := \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,S}^+(h) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,S}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\delta(h)$ is the remainder.

Moreover, by the Carleman representation for the elastic case (see [11, 9], [25, Chapter 1] or [12, Appendix C]) we can write the truncated operator as

$$\mathcal{A}_\delta(h)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\delta(v, v_*) h(v_*) dv_*, \quad (14)$$

for some smooth kernel $k_\delta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark 4.4. *Similar results regarding the Carleman representation for the inelastic case have been obtained in [5] and [18].*

In the remainder of the paper we are going to consider the following Banach spaces for $s \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_1 &:= W_x^{s+2,1} W_v^{4,1}(\langle v \rangle^2 m), \\
 E_0 = E &:= W_x^{s,1} W_v^{2,1}(\langle v \rangle m), \\
 E_{-1} &:= W_x^{s-1,1} L_v^1(m), \\
 \mathcal{E} &:= W_x^{s,1} L_v^1(m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that from [24, Lemma 2.6] we have that the operators $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha$ and \mathcal{L} are bounded from $W_x^{s,1} W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)$ to $W_x^{s,1} W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$. Hence, using the fact that the operator $v \cdot \nabla_x$ is bounded from E_j to E_{j-1} for $j = 0, 1$, we can conclude that the operator \mathcal{L}_α is bounded from E_j to E_{j-1} for $j = 0, 1$.

4.3. Hypodissipaty of \mathcal{B}_δ and boundedness of \mathcal{A}_δ .

Lemma 4.5. *Let us consider $k \geq 0$, $s \geq k$ and $q \geq 0$. We denote $k' = 8k + 7(1 + 3/2)$. Then, there exist $\alpha_1 \in (\alpha_0, 1]$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $a_0 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, 1]$, the operator $\mathcal{B}_\delta + a_0$ is hypodissipative in $W_x^{s,1} W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$.*

Proof. Since the x -derivatives commute with the operator \mathcal{B}_δ , we can do the proof for $s = 0$ without loss of generality.

We consider a solution h to the linear equation $\partial_t h = \mathcal{B}_\delta(h)$ with given initial datum h_0 .

Case 1: Let's first look at the case $L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(h) \operatorname{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \left[\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) \right] \operatorname{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \left[\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,R}(h, \mathcal{M}_0) \right] \operatorname{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \left[\mathcal{Q}_e(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) \right] \operatorname{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} v \cdot \nabla_x h \operatorname{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} (\nu + \sigma)(h) \operatorname{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv, \\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with I_1 remember that \mathcal{Q}_α^- does not depend on α so $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^- = \mathcal{Q}_1^-$ thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) &= \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+(F_\alpha, h) - \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^-(F_\alpha, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+(h, F_\alpha) - \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^-(h, F_\alpha) \quad (15) \\
&- [\mathcal{Q}_1^+(\mathcal{M}, h) - \mathcal{Q}_1^-(\mathcal{M}, h) + \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, \mathcal{M}) - \mathcal{Q}_1^-(h, \mathcal{M})] \\
&+ \mathcal{Q}_1^+(F_\alpha, h) - \mathcal{Q}_1^-(F_\alpha, h) + \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, F_\alpha) - \mathcal{Q}_1^-(h, F_\alpha), \\
&= 2 \left[\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, F_\alpha) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, F_\alpha) + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^-(h, F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Proposition A.2

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^\pm(h, F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq C \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \|F_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)}.$$

By Lemma 4.2 there exist $\eta_1(\alpha)$ going to 0 as α goes to 1 such that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_1^\pm(h, F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq C \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \eta_1(\alpha). \quad (16)$$

For the term $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, F_\alpha) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, F_\alpha)$, using Proposition A.3 and Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, F_\alpha) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, F_\alpha)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \quad (17) \\
& \leq p(\alpha - 1) \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \|F_\alpha\|_{W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}, \\
& \leq Cp(\alpha - 1) \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)},
\end{aligned}$$

that clearly goes to 0 as α goes to 1. Gathering the inequalities in (16) and (17) we obtain

$$I_1(h) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \left| \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) \right| dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \leq \eta(\alpha) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}, \quad (18)$$

where η goes to 0 as α goes to 1.

Concerning the term I_2 we recall from the proof of [24, Lemma 2.7] that for all $h \in L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)$ there holds

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq \Lambda_1(\delta) \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)},$$

where $\Lambda_1(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. In a similar way we also have

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq \Lambda_2(\delta) \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$I_2(h) \leq \Lambda(\delta) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}, \quad (19)$$

with $\Lambda(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

We now consider I_3 . Using the fact that $\mathcal{Q}_e^- = \mathcal{Q}_1^-$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{Q}_e(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_e^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_e^-(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) + \mathcal{Q}_1^-(h, \mathcal{M}_0), \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_e^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Again as in (17) we have

$$I_3(h) \leq Cp(e-1) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}, \quad (20)$$

where $C = \|\mathcal{M}_0\|_{W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}$.

Since $v \cdot \nabla_x h$ has a divergence structure in x , we notice that

$$I_4(h) = 0. \quad (21)$$

By (11) and (12) we know that $\nu(v)$ and $\sigma(v)$ are bounded below by $\nu_0 \langle v \rangle$ and $\sigma_0 \langle v \rangle$ respectively. Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_5(h) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} |h| dx (\nu + \sigma) \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv, \\ &\leq -(\nu_0 + \sigma_0) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus, gathering (18), (19), (20), (21) and (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(h) \text{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\ &\leq (\Lambda(\delta) + \eta(\alpha) + Cp(e-1) - (\nu_0 + \sigma_0)) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since e satisfies (13), taking α_1 big enough we can suppose that for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, 1]$ we have $\eta(\alpha) < \nu_0 + \sigma_0 - Cp(e-1)$. Then, we choose δ close enough to 0 in order to have

$$a_0 := - \left(\Lambda(\delta) + \max_{\alpha \in [\alpha_1, 1]} \eta(\alpha) + Cp(e-1) - (\nu_0 + \sigma_0) \right) > 0. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(h) \text{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \leq -a_0 \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)},$$

where we deduce that for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, 1]$, $\mathcal{B}_\delta + a_0$ is dissipative in $L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)$.

Case 2: In order to deal with the v -derivatives, we consider the case $W_x^{1,1} W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$. The higher-order cases are treated in a similar way. We use the property

$$\partial_v \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^\pm(f, g) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^\pm(\partial_v f, g) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^\pm(f, \partial_v g), \quad (24)$$

to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_v \mathcal{B}_\delta(h) &= \partial_v \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(h, \mathcal{M}) - (\nu + \sigma)(h) \right) + \partial_v \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) \right) \\ &\quad + \partial_v \left(\mathcal{Q}_e(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) \right) - \partial_x h - v \cdot \nabla_x \partial_v h. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_v \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(h) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - (\nu + \sigma)(h) \right) \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{1,R}^+(\partial_v h) + \mathcal{Q}_{1,R}^+(\partial_v h, \mathcal{M}_0) - (\nu + \sigma)(\partial_v h) + \mathcal{R}(h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(h) = \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{Q}_1(\partial_v \mathcal{M}, h) + \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0) - (\partial_v \mathcal{A}_\delta)(h) + \mathcal{A}_\delta(\partial_v h).$$

Using (14) and performing one integration by parts, we have

$$\|(\partial_v \mathcal{A}_\delta)(h)\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} + \|(\mathcal{A}_\delta)(\partial_v h)\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \leq C_\delta \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})}.$$

Using this and the estimates of Proposition A.2 on the elastic operator \mathcal{Q}_1 we obtain, for some constant $C_\delta > 0$

$$\|\mathcal{R}(h)\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \leq C_\delta \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1m})}. \quad (25)$$

Now we consider the second term. By adding and subtracting the term $2\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h, \partial_v F_\alpha)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_v \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(h) \right) &= \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(\partial_v h) - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1(\partial_v h) \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, \partial_v F_\alpha) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, \partial_v F_\alpha) \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, \partial_v(F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^-(h, \partial_v(F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Hence, using (16) and (17) as before we obtain $\eta(\alpha)$ going to 0 if α goes to 1 such that

$$2 \left\| \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, \partial_v F_\alpha) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, \partial_v F_\alpha) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \leq \eta(\alpha) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1m})}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \left\| \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, \partial_v(F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^-(h, \partial_v(F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \\ &\leq \eta(\alpha) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1m})}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In a similar manner, for the third term we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_v \left(\mathcal{Q}_e(h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}_0) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_e(\partial_v h, \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1(\partial_v h, \mathcal{M}_0) + \left(\mathcal{Q}_e^+(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as before we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathcal{Q}_e^+(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0) \right\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{qm})} \\ &\leq \eta(e) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1m})}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{B}_\delta(h) = \mathcal{B}_\delta(\partial_v h) + \mathcal{R}'(h),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}'(h) = & \mathcal{R}(h) + 2 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha^+(h, \partial_v F_\alpha) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, \partial_v F_\alpha) \right) \\ & + 2 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^+(h, \partial_v (F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})) - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^-(h, \partial_v (F_\alpha - \mathcal{M})) \right) \\ & + (\mathcal{Q}_e^+(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{Q}_1^+(h, \partial_v \mathcal{M}_0)) - \partial_x h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the bounds found in (25), (27), (28) and (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}'(h)\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} & \leq C_\delta \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \\ & + (\eta(\alpha) + \eta(e)) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the proof presented in **Case 1** we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \partial_v (\mathcal{B}_\delta(h)) \text{sign}(\partial_v h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\ \leq -a_0 \|\nabla_v h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + C_\delta \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \\ + (\eta(\alpha) + \eta(e)) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}. \end{aligned}$$

where a_0 is defined in (23). Moreover, since the x -derivatives commute with \mathcal{B}_δ , using again the proof of **Case 1** we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(h) \text{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \partial_x (\mathcal{B}_\delta(h)) \text{sign}(\partial_x h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\ \leq -a_0 \left(\|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ to be fixed later, we define the norm

$$\|h\|_* = \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_v h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^q m)}.$$

Notice that this norm is equivalent to the classical $W_{x,v}^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$ -norm. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(h) \text{sign}(h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \partial_x (\mathcal{B}_\delta(h)) \text{sign}(\partial_x h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\ + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \partial_v (\mathcal{B}_\delta(h)) \text{sign}(\partial_v h) dx \langle v \rangle^q m(v) dv \\ \leq -a_0 \left(\|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_v h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \right) \\ + \varepsilon \left(C_\delta \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + (\eta(\alpha) + \eta(e)) \|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \|\nabla_x h\| \right), \\ \leq (-a_0 + o(\varepsilon)) \left(\|h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \right) \\ + \varepsilon \|\nabla_v h\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $o(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as ε goes to 0. We choose ε close enough to 0 so that $a_1 = a_0 - o(\varepsilon) > 0$. Hence, we obtain that $\mathcal{B}_\delta + a_1$ is dissipative in $W_{x,v}^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ and thus hypodissipative in $W_{x,v}^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$.

□

The following result comprises what we proved above:

Lemma 4.6. *There exist $\alpha_1 \in (\alpha_0, 1]$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $a_0 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_1, 1]$, the operator $\mathcal{B}_\delta + a_0$ is hypodissipative in E_j , $j = -1, 0, 1$ and \mathcal{E} .*

Now let us prove a regularity estimate on the truncated operator \mathcal{A}_δ . This results improves the results [22, Proposition 2.4] and [15, Lemma 4.16]. The first one was established for a slightly weaker truncation function Θ_δ . In both cases they use a similar operator \mathcal{A}_δ , but the same proof would apply here.

Lemma 4.7. *For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator \mathcal{A}_δ maps $L_v^1(\langle v \rangle)$ into H_v^s functions with compact support, with explicit bounds (depending on δ) on the $L_v^1(\langle v \rangle) \mapsto H_v^s$ norm and on the size of the support. More precisely, there are two constants $C_{s,\delta}$ and R_δ such that for any $h \in L_v^1(\langle v \rangle)$*

$$K := \text{supp } \mathcal{A}_\delta h \subset B(0, R_\delta), \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{A}_\delta h\|_{H_v^s(K)} \leq C_{s,\delta} \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle)}.$$

In particular, we deduce that \mathcal{A}_δ is in $\mathcal{B}(E_j)$ for $j = -1, 0, 1$ and \mathcal{A}_δ is in $B(\mathcal{E}, E)$.

Proof. It is clear that the range of the operator \mathcal{A}_δ is included into a compactly supported functions thanks to the truncation. Moreover, the bound on the size of the support is related to δ .

On the other hand, the proof of the smoothing estimate follows as in [15, Lemma 4.16] since

$$\mathcal{A}_\delta(h) = \mathcal{Q}_\theta^+(h, \mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{Q}_\theta^+(\mathcal{M}, h) - \mathcal{Q}_\theta^-(\mathcal{M}, h) + \mathcal{Q}_\theta^+(\mathcal{M}_0, h) - \mathcal{Q}_\theta^-(\mathcal{M}_0, h),$$

where \mathcal{Q}_θ^+ (resp. \mathcal{Q}_θ^-) is the gain (resp. loss) part of the collision operator associated to the mollified collision kernel $\Theta_\delta B$. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta^+(h, \mathcal{M}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\delta \mathcal{M}(v'_*) h(v') |v - v_*| dv_* d\sigma.$$

□

4.4. Regularization properties of T_n . Let us recall the notation

$$T_n(t) := (\mathcal{A}_\delta S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta})^{(*n)},$$

for $n \geq 1$, where $S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta(t)}$ is the semigroup generated by the operator \mathcal{B}_δ and $*$ denotes the convolution. We remind the reader that the $T_n(t)$ operators are merely time-indexed family of operators which do not have the semigroup property in general.

Lemma 4.8. *Let us consider α_1 and a_0 as in Lemma 4.6 and let α be in $[\alpha_1, 1]$. The time indexed family T^n of operators satisfies the following: for any $a' \in (0, a_0)$, there are some constructive constants $C_\delta > 0$ and R_δ such that for any $t \geq 0$*

$$\text{supp } T_n(t)h \subset K := B(0, R_\delta),$$

and

- If $s \geq 1$ then

$$\|T_1(t)h\|_{W_{x,v}^{s+1,1}(K)} \leq C \frac{e^{-a't}}{t} \|h\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}. \quad (30)$$

- If $s \geq 0$ then

$$\|T_2(t)h\|_{W_{x,v}^{s+1,1}(K)} \leq C e^{-a't} \|h\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. Let us consider $h_0 \in W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle m)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the x -derivatives commute with \mathcal{A}_δ and \mathcal{B}_δ , using Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} &= \|\mathcal{A}_\delta S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)}, \\ &\leq C\|S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{1,1}(K)}, \\ &\leq C\|S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}h_0\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_\delta + a_0$ is hypodissipative in $W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle m)$ from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\|T_1(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} \leq e^{-a_0 t} C \|h_0\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle m)}. \quad (32)$$

Now let us assume $h_0 \in W_x^{s,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(\langle v \rangle m)$ and consider the function $g_t = S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}(t)(\partial_x^\beta h_0)$, for any $|\beta| \leq s$. Notice that, since $\partial_t(S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}) = \mathcal{B}_\delta S_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}$, this operator satisfies

$$\partial_t g_t = \mathcal{L}_\alpha g_t - \mathcal{A}_\delta g_t = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(g_t) + \mathcal{Q}_e(g_t, \mathcal{M}_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x g_t - \mathcal{A}_\delta g_t.$$

Introducing the differential operator $D_t := t\nabla_x + \nabla_v$, we observe that D_t commutes with the free transport operator $\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x$, so that using the property (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(D_t g_t) + v \cdot \nabla_x(D_t g_t) &= D_t(\partial_t g_t + v \cdot \nabla_x g_t), \\ &= \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha(D_t g_t) + \mathcal{Q}_e(D_t g_t, \mathcal{M}_0) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(\nabla_v F_\alpha, g_t) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(g_t, \nabla_v F_\alpha) + \mathcal{Q}_e(g_t, \nabla_v \mathcal{M}_0) - D_t(\mathcal{A}_\delta g_t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation in (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_t(\mathcal{A}_\delta g_t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\delta(v, v_*) t \nabla_x g_t(v_*) dv_* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v k_\delta(v, v_*)) g_t(v_*) dv_*, \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\delta(v, v_*) (D_t g_t)(v_*) dv_* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v k_\delta(v, v_*)) g_t(v_*) dv_* \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\delta(v, v_*) \nabla_{v_*} g_t(v_*) dv_*, \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\delta(v, v_*) (D_t g_t)(v_*) dv_* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_v k_\delta(v, v_*)) g_t(v_*) dv_* \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_{v_*} k_\delta(v, v_*)) g_t(v_*) dv_*, \\ &= \mathcal{A}_\delta(D_t g_t) + \mathcal{A}_\delta^1(g_t) + \mathcal{A}_\delta^2(g_t) \end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we have performed one integration by parts. All together, we may write

$$\partial_t(D_t g_t) = \mathcal{B}_\delta(D_t g_t) + \mathcal{I}_\delta(g_t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_\delta(g_t) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(\nabla_v F_\alpha, g_t) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(g_t, \nabla_v F_\alpha) + \mathcal{Q}_e(g_t, \nabla_v \mathcal{M}_0) - \mathcal{A}_\delta^1(g_t) - \mathcal{A}_\delta^2(g_t).$$

Hence, since \mathcal{A}_δ^1 stands for the integral operator associated with the kernel $\nabla_v k_\delta$ and \mathcal{A}_δ^2 stands for the integral operator associated with the kernel $\nabla_{v_*} k_\delta$, using Lemma 4.7 and Proposition A.2 \mathcal{I}_δ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{I}_\delta(f)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^2 m)}.$$

Arguing as in Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} |D_t g_t| \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv & (33) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t(D_t g_t) \operatorname{sign}(D_t g_t) \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv, \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(D_t g_t) \operatorname{sign}(D_t g_t) \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv + \|\mathcal{I}_\delta(f)\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)}, \\
&\leq -a_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} |D_t g_t| \langle v \rangle^2 m(v) dx dv + C_\delta \|g_t\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^2 m)},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} |g_t| \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t(g_t) \operatorname{sign}(g_t) \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv, & (34) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} \mathcal{B}_\delta(g_t) \operatorname{sign}(g_t) \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv, \\
&\leq -a_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^3} |g_t| \langle v \rangle^2 m(v) dx dv
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the differential inequalities (33) and (34) we obtain, for any $a' \in [a_0, 1)$ and for ε small enough

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{a't} \int (\varepsilon |D_t g_t| + |g_t|) \langle v \rangle m(v) dx dv \right) \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$\|D_t g_t\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} + \|g_t\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} \leq \varepsilon^{-1} e^{-a't} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1} W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle m)}. \quad (35)$$

Now, notice that since the x -derivatives commute with \mathcal{A}_δ and \mathcal{B}_δ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
t \nabla_x T_1(t) (\partial_x^\beta h_0) &= t \nabla_x \mathcal{A}_\delta \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_\delta}(t) (\partial_x^\beta h_0), \\
&= \mathcal{A}_\delta(t \nabla_x g_t), \\
&= \mathcal{A}_\delta(D_t g_t - \nabla_v g_t), \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_\delta(v, v_*) (D_t g_t)(v_*) dv_* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla_{v_*} k_\delta(v - v_*)) g_t(v_*), \\
&= \mathcal{A}_\delta(D_t g_t) + \mathcal{A}_\delta^2(g_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (35) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
t \|\nabla_x T_1(t) (\partial_x^\beta) \|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(K)} &\leq C_\delta (\|D_t g_t\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} + \|g_t\|_{L_x^1 L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)}), \\
&\leq C_\delta \varepsilon^{-1} e^{-a't} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1} W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this together with (32) and Lemma 4.7, for $s \geq 0$ we have

$$\|T_1(t) (\partial_x^\beta) \|_{W_x^{1,1} W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} \leq \frac{C e^{-a't}}{t} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1} W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle m)}.$$

This concludes the proof of (30).

In order to prove (31) we interpolate between the last inequality for a given $s \in [0, 1]$ i.e.

$$\|T_1(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s+1,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} \leq \frac{Ce^{-a't}}{t} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)},$$

and

$$\|T_1(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} \leq Ce^{-a_0t} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)},$$

obtained in (32) written for the same s , it gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s+1/2,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} & \quad (36) \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{e^{-a't}}{t} \right)^{1/2} (e^{-a_0t})^{1/2} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}, \\ & \leq C \frac{e^{-a't}}{\sqrt{t}} \|h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together (32) and (36) for $s \geq 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(t)h_0\|_{W_x^{s+1/2,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} & \\ & = \int_0^t \|T_1(t-\tau)T_1(\tau)h_0\|_{W_x^{s+1/2,1}W_v^{s+1,1}(K)} d\tau, \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \frac{e^{-a'(t-\tau)}}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} \|T_1(\tau)h_0\|_{W_x^{s,1}W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)} d\tau, \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^t \frac{e^{-a'(t-\tau)}}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} e^{-a_0\tau} d\tau \right) \|h_0\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}, \\ & \leq Ce^{-a't} \left(\int_0^t \frac{e^{-(a_0-a')\tau}}{\sqrt{t-\tau}} d\tau \right) \|h_0\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}, \\ & \leq C'e^{-a't} \|h_0\|_{W_{x,v}^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes our proof. \square

Combining Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.8 we get the assumptions of Lemma B.2, so applying it we get the following result:

Lemma 4.9. *Let us consider α_1 and a_0 as in Lemma 4.6 and let α be in $[\alpha_1, 1)$. For any $a' \in (0, a_0)$, there exist some constructive constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{a'} \geq 1$ such that for all $t \geq 0$ and $j = -1, 0$*

$$\|T_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_j, E_{j+1})} \leq C_{a'} e^{a't}.$$

4.5. Estimates on $\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1$. Using estimates from the proof of Lemma 4.6, we can prove the following result:

Lemma 4.10. *There exists a function $\eta(\alpha)$ such that it tends to 0 as α tends to 1 and the difference $\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1$ satisfies for $j = 0, 1$*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_j, E_{j-1})} \leq \eta(\alpha).$$

Proof. First of all notice that

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1 = \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1.$$

Hence, using (15) we have $\eta_1(\alpha)$

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^m)} \leq \eta_1(\alpha) \|h\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{2m})},$$

with $\eta_1(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 and using (26) there exist $\eta_2(\alpha)$ such that

$$\|\partial_v \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_\alpha - \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \right)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^m)} \leq \eta_2(\alpha) \|h\|_{W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle^{2m})},$$

with $\eta_2(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. For higher-order derivatives we proceed in the same way and we can conclude that there exist $\eta(\alpha)$ such that it tends to 0 as α tends to 1, and satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1\|_{E_0} \leq \eta(\alpha) \|h\|_{E_1}.$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\alpha - \mathcal{L}_1\|_{E_{-1}} \leq \eta(\alpha) \|h\|_{E_0}.$$

□

For now on we fix δ as in Lemma 4.6 and we write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\delta$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_\delta$.

4.6. Semigroup spectral analysis of the linearized operator. This section is dedicated to present some results regarding on the geometry of the spectrum of the linearized inelastic collision operator for a parameter close to 1.

Proposition 4.11. *There exist $\alpha_2 \in [0, 1)$ such that for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_2, 1)$, \mathcal{L}_α satisfies the following properties in $E = W_x^{s,1} W_v^{2,1}(\langle v \rangle^m)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$:*

- (a) $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) \cap \Delta_{-a_1} = \{0\}$ where a_1 is given by Theorem 4.12. Moreover, 0 simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_α and $N(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \text{Span}\{F_\alpha\}$.
- (b) For any $a \in (0, \min(a_0, a_1))$, where a_0 is given by Lemma 4.6, the semigroup generated by \mathcal{L}_α has the following decay property

$$\|S_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}(t)(I - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha,0})\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq C e^{-at}, \quad (37)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and for some $C > 0$.

The proof of the proposition stated above is a straightforward adaptation of one presented in [24, Section 2.7]. We shall only mention the main steps of the proof and we emphasize the few points which differs here (due to the replacement of the diffusive term by a linear scattering operator).

Proof of Proposition 4.11

Step 1 of the proof: the linearized elastic operator.

The first step is to prove some hypodissipative results for the semigroup associated to the linearized elastic Boltzmann equation. These results are consequence of [15, Theorem 4.2] and the spectral analysis of the homogeneous operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1 := \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{Q}_1(\mathcal{M}, h) + \mathcal{L}(h)$ in $\mathcal{H} = L_v^2(\mathcal{M}^{-1})$ performed by Bisi, Cañizo and Lods in [6] using arguments of [14] and [5].

Theorem 4.12. *There exist constructive constants $C \geq 1$, $a_1 > 0$ such that the operator \mathcal{L}_1 satisfies in E_0 and E_1 :*

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_1) \cap \Delta_{-a_1} = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad N(\mathcal{L}_1) = \text{Span}\{\mathcal{M}\}.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{L}_1 is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $h(t) = S_{\mathcal{L}_1} h_{in}$ in E_0 and E_1 , solution to the initial value problem (8) with $\alpha = 1$, which satisfies that for all $t \geq 0$ and $i = 0, 1$:

$$\|h(t) - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_1, 0} h_{in}\|_{E_i} \leq C e^{-a_1 t} \|h_{in} - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_1, 0} h_{in}\|_{E_i}.$$

Proof. The idea of the proof consist in deducing the spectral properties in E_i from the much easier spectral analysis in $H_{x,v}^{s'}(\mathcal{M}^{-1})$. More precisely, we will see that the assumptions of a more abstract theorem regarding the enlargement of the functional space semigroup decay are satisfied. We enunciate this result in Theorem B.1.

Consider $\mathcal{E}' = E_i$ and $E' = H_{x,v}^{s'}(\mathcal{M}^{-1})$ with s' large enough so $E' \subset \mathcal{E}'$ in Theorem B.1. The assumptions in (B.) in Theorem B.1 are a direct consequence of the Lemmas 4.6, 4.7 and 4.9. So we are left with the task of verifying that (A.) is satisfied.

The spectral analysis in the space E' of the linearized operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1(h) := \mathcal{Q}_1(h, \mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{Q}_1(\mathcal{M}, h) - v \cdot \nabla_x,$$

follows from [15, Theorem 4.2]. In particular

$$N(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1) = \text{Span}\{\mathcal{M}, v_1 \mathcal{M}, v_2 \mathcal{M}, v_3 \mathcal{M}, |v|^2 \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Remembering Theorem 3.3 we conclude that

$$N(\mathcal{L}_1) = \text{Span}\{\mathcal{M}\},$$

and taking $a_1 \in (0, \min(a_0, \sigma_0))$, where a_0 is given by Lemma 4.6 and σ_0 is defined in (11), we also have $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_1) \cap \Delta_{-a_1} = \{0\}$.

Again from [15, Theorem 4.2] we know that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(S_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_1}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and since $\mathcal{L}(h) = \mathcal{L}^+(h) - \sigma h$ with \mathcal{L}^+ compact in $\mathcal{H} = L_v^2(\mathcal{M}^{-1})$ we conclude that \mathcal{L}_1 generates a C^0 -semigroup in E' . Hence, the result follows by the enlargement Theorem B.1. \square

Step 2 of the proof: localization of the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_α and dimension of eigenspaces..

Notice that by the result [24, Lemma 2.16] we know that there exist $\alpha' > \alpha_1$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha - z$ is invertible for any $z \in \Omega_\alpha = \Delta_{-a_1} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, we have that

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) \cap \Delta_{-a_1} \subset B(0, \eta'(\alpha)),$$

where η' goes to 0 as α goes to 1. Furthermore, by [24, Lemma 2.17] there exist a function $\eta''(\alpha)$ such that

$$\|\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, -a_1} - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_1, -a_1}\|_{\mathfrak{B}(E_0)} \leq \eta''(\alpha), \quad (38)$$

with $\eta''(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. It implies that for α close to 1, we have

$$\dim R(\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, -a_1}) = \dim R(\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_1, -a_1}) = 1.$$

Hence, there exist $\alpha_2 > \alpha'$ such that $\eta''(\alpha) < 1$ for every $\alpha \in (\alpha', 1]$. Also there exist $\xi_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) \cap \Delta_{-a_1} = \{\xi_\alpha\}.$$

Let us prove that $\xi_\alpha = 0$. We argue by contradiction and assume that for α close to 1 $\xi_\alpha \neq 0$. Let φ_α be some normalized eigenfunction of \mathcal{L}_α associated to ξ_α , i.e. satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \varphi_\alpha = \xi_\alpha \varphi_\alpha$. Integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_\alpha(v) dv = 0.$$

For any $h \in E_0$ there exist $\rho = \rho(\alpha, h)$ and $\rho' = \rho'(h)$ such that $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \xi_\alpha} h = \rho \varphi_\alpha$ while $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_1, 0} h = \rho' \mathcal{M}$. Hence, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \xi_\alpha} h dv = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_1, 0} h dv = \rho',$$

which contradicts (38). Therefore, $\xi_\alpha = 0$. Furthermore, 0 is a simple eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}_α since F_α is the unique steady state of \mathcal{L}_α satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\alpha dv = 1$.

Step 3 of the proof: semigroup decay.

In order to prove the estimate on the semigroup decay (37) we apply the Spectral Mapping Theorem B.3 with $a = \max\{-a_0, -a_1\} < 0$.

First of all notice that $E_1 \subset D(\mathcal{L}_\alpha^2) \subset E_0 \subset E_{-1}$. Moreover, by the results presented in Lemmas 4.6, 4.7 and 4.9 the assumptions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem B.3 are satisfied.

Furthermore, the condition (A) in Theorem B.3 is also satisfied by the previous steps of the proof. Thus we have the decay result (37) for any $a' \in (0, \min\{a_0, a_1\})$.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.11.

Combining the results of Proposition 4.11 and Theorem B.1, whose assumptions are fulfilled thanks to Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 4.13. *There exist $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1]$ such that for any $\alpha \in [\alpha_2, 1)$, \mathcal{L}_α satisfies the following properties in $\mathcal{E} = W_x^{s,1} L_v^1(m)$, $s \geq 2$:*

- (1) *The spectrum $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ satisfies the separation property: $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) \cap \Delta_{-a_1} = \{0\}$ where a_1 is given by Proposition 4.11 and $N(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \{F_\alpha\}$.*
- (2) *For any $a \in (0, \min\{a_0, a_1\})$, where a_0 is provided by Lemma 4.6, the semigroup generated by \mathcal{L}_α has the following decay property for every $t \geq 0$*

$$\|S_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}(t)(\text{id} - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, 0})\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})} \leq C e^{-at}, \quad (39)$$

for some $C > 0$.

5. THE NONLINEAR BOLTZMANN EQUATION

Let us fix the integer $s > 6$. Consider the following norm in \mathcal{E}

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \eta \|h\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \int_0^{+\infty} \|S_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}(\tau)(I - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha, 0})h\|_{\mathcal{E}} d\tau, \quad (40)$$

for $\eta > 0$. This norm is well-defined thanks to estimate (39) for α close to 1.

Furthermore, we define $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}^1}$ as as in (40) for the space $\mathcal{E}^1 = W_x^{s,1}L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)$.

Let us recall some notation from [10]: consider the weighted spaces

$$\mathcal{X} = L^1(e^{a|v|}) \quad \hat{\mathcal{X}} = \{f \in \mathcal{X} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dv = 0\}.$$

Notice that, if $f \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ then $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha,0}f = 0$. Moreover, Cañizo and Lods proved in [10, Proposition 3.2] that $R(\text{id} - \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha,0}) = \hat{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Consider $\alpha \in [\alpha_2, 1)$. There exist $\eta > 0$ and $a_2 > 0$ such that for any initial datum $h_{in} \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $h_{in} \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$, the solution $h_t := S_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}(t)h_{in}$ to the initial value problem (9) satisfies for every $t \geq 0$*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq -a_2 \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{E}^1}.$$

The proof of this result follows as in [24, Proposition 2.23]. A fundamental observation in our case is that

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha,0}h_t = \Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha,0}S_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}h_{in} = S_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha}\Pi_{\mathcal{L}_\alpha,0}h_{in} = 0.$$

Therefore, Tristani's argument remains valid even though we no longer have conservation of the momentum.

Bilinear estimates on the nonlinear term of the equation (8) have been established in [24, Lemma 3.1]. That is:

Lemma 5.2. *Denoting $X^q := W_v^{\sigma,1}W_x^{s,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)$ with $s, \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 6$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and X^{q+1} in a similar way. The collision operator \mathcal{Q}_α satisfies*

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\alpha(f, g)\|_{X^q} \leq C (\|g\|_{X^{q+1}}\|f\|_{X^q} + \|g\|_{X^q}\|f\|_{X^{q+1}}),$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

We can now proceed to prove our main result. Namely, the existence of solutions of (1) in the close-to-equilibrium regime:

Theorem 5.3. *Consider constant restitution coefficients $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 1]$ and $e \in [e_0, 1]$, where α_0 is given by Theorem 4.13 and e_0 defined by (13). There exist constructive constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any initial datum $f_{in} \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying*

$$\|f_{in} - F_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

and f_{in} has the same global mass as the equilibrium F_α , there exist a unique global solution $f \in L_t^1(\mathcal{E}) \cap L_t^1(\mathcal{E}^1)$ to (1).

Moreover, this solution satisfies that for $a \in (0, \min\{a_0, a_1\})$, for some constructive constant $C \geq 1$ and for every $t \geq 0$

$$\|f - F_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq Ce^{-at}\|f_{in} - F_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 5.2, Theorem 4.13 and Proposition 5.1, the proof of this result follows as in the proof of [24, Theorem 3.2].

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank R. Alonso and B. Lods for helpful discussions on the topic and the suggestion of the problem. The author was partially founded by CAPES/PROEX, PUC-Rio and Petrobras.

APPENDIX A. INTERPOLATION INEQUALITIES AND NORM BOUNDS

Let's recall an interpolation inequality given by [19, Lemma B.1] which can be easily extended to other weights of type $\langle v \rangle^q m$.

Lemma A.1. *For any $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $h \in H^{k'} \cap L^1(m^{12})$ with $k' = 8k + 7(1 + 3/2)$*

$$\|h\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq C \|h\|_{H_v^{k'}}^{1/8} \|h\|_{L_v^1(m^{12})}^{1/8} \|h\|_{L_v^1(m)}^{3/4}.$$

Now we present an useful result given by Mischler Mouhot in [19, Proposition 3.1]:

Proposition A.2. *For any $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $C > 0$ such that for any smooth functions f, g (say $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ there holds*

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^\pm(f, g)\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^q m)} \leq C_{k,m} \|f\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \|g\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}.$$

Moreover, from [19, Proposition 3.2]

Proposition A.3. *For any $\alpha, \alpha' \in (0, 1]$, and any $g \in L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)$, $f \in W_v^{1,1}(\langle v \rangle m)$ there holds*

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+(f, g) - \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'}(f, g)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} \leq p(\alpha - \alpha') \|f\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \|g\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)},$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^+(g, f) - \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha'}(g, f)\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle m)} \leq p(\alpha - \alpha') \|f\|_{W_v^{k,1}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)} \|g\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{q+1} m)}.$$

Where $p(r)$ is an explicit polynomial converging to 0 if r goes to 0.

APPENDIX B. SPECTRAL THEOREMS

In this section we present a more abstract theorem regarding enlargement of the functional space semigroup decay. More specifically:

Theorem B.1. [15, Theorem 2.13] *Let E', \mathcal{E}' be two Banach spaces with $E' \subset \mathcal{E}'$ dense with continuous embedding, and consider $L \in \mathcal{C}(E')$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}')$ with $\mathcal{L}|_{E'} = L$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume*

A. *L generates a semigroup e^{tL} in E' , $L - a$ is hypodissipative on $R(\text{id} - \Pi_{L,0})$ and*

$$\Sigma(L) \cap \Delta_a := \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\} \subset \Sigma_d(L).$$

B. *There exist $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}')$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}|_{E'} = A$, $\mathcal{B}|_{E'} = B$, some $n \geq 1$ and $C_a > 0$ such that*

(B.1) *$\mathcal{B} - a$ is hypodissipative in \mathcal{E}' ,*

(B.2) *$\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}')$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(E')$,*

(B.3) *$T_n := (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B})^{(*n)}$ satisfice $\|T_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}', E')} \leq C_a e^{at}$.*

Then \mathcal{L} is hypodissipative in \mathcal{E}' with

$$\|S_{\mathcal{L}}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^k S_L(t)\Pi_{\mathcal{L},\xi_j}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}')} \leq C'_a t^n e^{at},$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and for some $C'_a > 0$.

Actually, the assumption (B.3) follows from [15, Lemma 2.17] which yields an estimate on the norms $\|T_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(E_j, E_{j+1})}$ for $j = -1, 0$:

Lemma B.2. *Let X, Y be two Banach space with $X \subset Y$ dense with continuous embedding, and consider $L \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_X = L$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that there exist some intermediate spaces*

$$X = \mathcal{E}_J \subset \mathcal{E}_{J-1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 = Y,$$

with $J \geq 2$, such that if we denote $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{E}_j}$ and $\mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{B}|_{\mathcal{E}_j}$

- (1) $(\mathcal{B}_j - a)$ is hypodissipative and \mathcal{A}_j is bounded on \mathcal{E}_j for $j = 1, \dots, J$.
- (2) There are some constants $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $C \geq 1$, $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $t \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, J-1$

$$\|T_l(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{E}_{j+1})} \leq C \frac{e^{Kt}}{t^\gamma}.$$

Then for any $a' > a$, there exist some constructive constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_{a'} \geq 1$ such that for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|T_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{E}_{j+1})} \leq C_{a'} e^{a't}.$$

Furthermore, we state a quantitative spectral mapping theorem. A proof for this result can be found in [24, Proposition 2.20]. A more general version of this theorem can be found in [21].

Theorem B.3. *Consider a Banach space X and an operator $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ so that $\Lambda = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} - a$ is hypodissipative on X for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume furthermore that there exist a family X_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$, $m \geq 2$ of intermediate spaces such that*

$$X_m \subset D(\Lambda^2) \subset X_{m-1} \subset \cdots \subset X_2 \subset X_1 = X,$$

and a family of operators $\Lambda_j, \mathcal{A}_j, \mathcal{B}_j \in \mathcal{C}(X_j)$ such that

$$\Lambda_j = \mathcal{A}_j + \mathcal{B}_j, \quad \Lambda_j = \Lambda|_{X_j}, \quad \mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}|_{X_j}, \quad \mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{B}|_{X_j},$$

and that there holds

- (i) $(\mathcal{B}_j - a)$ is hypodissipative on X_j ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{A}_j \in \mathcal{B}(X_j)$;
- (iii) there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_n(t) := (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}(t))^{*n}$ satisfies

$$\|T_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(X, X_m)} \leq C e^{at}.$$

Hence, the following localization of the principal part of the spectrum

- (A) There are some distinct complex numbers $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \Delta_a$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Sigma(\Lambda) \cap \Delta_a = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\} \subset \Sigma_d(\Lambda);$$

implies the following quantitative growth estimate on the semigroup:

(B) for any $a' \in (a, \infty) \setminus \{\Re \xi_j, j = 1, \dots, k\}$, there exist some constructive constant $C_{a'} > 0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$

$$\left\| S_{\Lambda}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^k S_{\Lambda}(t) \Pi_{\Lambda, \xi_j} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} \leq C_{a'} e^{a't}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Ricardo J Alonso. Existence of global solutions to the cauchy problem for the inelastic boltzmann equation with near-vacuum data. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, pages 999–1022, 2009.
- [2] Ricardo J Alonso and Irene M Gamba. Propagation of l1 and l maxwellian weighted bounds for derivatives of solutions to the homogeneous elastic boltzmann equation. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 89(6):575–595, 2008.
- [3] Ricardo J Alonso, Irene M Gamba, and M Tasković. Exponentially-tailed regularity and decay rate to equilibrium for the boltzmann equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.06596*, 2017.
- [4] Ricardo J Alonso and Bertrand Lods. Uniqueness and regularity of steady states of the boltzmann equation for viscoelastic hard-spheres driven by a thermal bath. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 11(4):850–906, 2013.
- [5] Luisa Arlotti and Bertrand Lods. Integral representation of the linear boltzmann operator for granular gas dynamics with applications. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 129(3):517–536, 2007.
- [6] Marzia Bisi, José A Cañizo, and Bertrand Lods. Uniqueness in the weakly inelastic regime of the equilibrium state to the boltzmann equation driven by a particle bath. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 43(6):2640–2674, 2011.
- [7] Marzia Bisi, José A Carrillo, and Bertrand Lods. Equilibrium solution to the inelastic boltzmann equation driven by a particle bath. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 133(5):841–870, 2008.
- [8] Nikolai V Brilliantov and Thorsten Pöschel. *Kinetic theory of granular gases*. Oxford University Press, 2010.
- [9] José A Cañizo, Amit Einav, and Bertrand Lods. On the rate of convergence to equilibrium for the linear boltzmann equation with soft potentials. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 462(1):801–839, 2018.
- [10] José A Cañizo and Bertrand Lods. Exponential trend to equilibrium for the inelastic boltzmann equation driven by a particle bath. *Nonlinearity*, 29(5):1687, 2016.
- [11] Torsten Carleman. *Problèmes mathématiques dans la théorie cinétique de gaz*, volume 2. Almqvist & Wiksell, 1957.
- [12] IM Gamba, V Panferov, and C Villani. Upper maxwellian bounds for the spatially homogeneous boltzmann equation. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*, 194(1):253–282, 2009.
- [13] Irene M Gamba, Vladislav Panferov, and Cedric Villani. On the boltzmann equation for diffusively excited granular media. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 246(3):503–541, 2004.
- [14] Robert T Glassey. *The Cauchy problem in kinetic theory*, volume 52. Siam, 1996.
- [15] Maria Pia Gualdani, Stéphane Mischler, and Clément Mouhot. Factorization for non-symmetric operators and exponential h-theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.5523*, 2010.
- [16] Tosio Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, volume 132. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [17] Bertrand Lods, Clément Mouhot, and Giuseppe Toscani. Relaxation rate, diffusion approximation and fick’s law for inelastic scattering boltzmann models. *Kinetic & Related Models*, 1(2):223–248, 2008.
- [18] Stéphane Mischler and Clément Mouhot. Cooling process for inelastic boltzmann equations for hard spheres, part ii: Self-similar solutions and tail behavior. *Journal of statistical physics*, 124(2-4):703–746, 2006.
- [19] Stéphane Mischler and Clément Mouhot. Stability, convergence to self-similarity and elastic limit for the boltzmann equation for inelastic hard spheres. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 288(2):431–502, 2009.

- [20] Stéphane Mischler and Clément Mouhot. Stability, convergence to the steady state and elastic limit for the boltzmann equation for diffusively excited granular media. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series A*, 24(1):159–185, 2009.
- [21] Stéphane Mischler and Justine Scher. Spectral analysis of semigroups and growth-fragmentation equations. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis*, volume 33, pages 849–898. Elsevier, 2016.
- [22] Clément Mouhot. Rate of convergence to equilibrium for the spatially homogeneous boltzmann equation with hard potentials. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 261(3):629–672, 2006.
- [23] Amnon Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 44. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [24] Isabelle Tristani. Boltzmann equation for granular media with thermal force in a weakly inhomogeneous setting. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 270(5):1922–1970, 2016.
- [25] Cédric Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, 1(71-305):3–8, 2002.

PUC–R. MARQUÊS DE SÃO VICENTE 225, GAVEA. RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL.
E-mail address: rafachesky@mat.puc-rio.br