Reduction of the spin susceptibility in the superconducting state of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ observed by polarized neutron scattering
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Recent observations [A. Pustogow et al. Nature 574, 72 (2019)] of a drop of the $^{17}$O nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) Knight shift in the superconducting state of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ challenged the popular picture of a chiral odd-parity paired state in this compound. Here we use polarized neutron scattering to show that there is a $34 \pm 6\%$ drop in the magnetic susceptibility at the ruthenium site below the superconducting transition temperature. Measurements are made at lower fields $H \sim 4H_{c2}$ than a previous study allowing the suppression to be observed. Our results are consistent with the recent NMR observations and rule out the chiral odd-parity $d = \hat{z}(k_x \pm ik_y)$ state. The observed susceptibility is consistent with several recent proposals including even-parity $B_{1g}$ and odd-parity helical states.

Introduction.—Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ is a strongly correlated oxide metal which superconducts below 1.5 K. It has been considered as a solid state analogue of superfluid $^3$He-A. The superconducting state was widely assumed to possess chiral odd-parity order with broken time-reversal symmetry [3]. An important property of odd-parity (triplet-paired) superconductors is that the spin susceptibility shows no change on entering the superconducting state for an applied field in the RuO$_2$-plane. The present results are consistent with previous NMR observations and rule out the chiral odd-parity $d = \hat{z}(k_x \pm ik_y)$ state. The observed susceptibility is consistent with several recent proposals including even-parity $B_{1g}$ and odd-parity helical states.

The observed reduction in the Knight shift in entering the superconducting state of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ shows that the spin susceptibility ($\chi_{\text{spin}}$) is reduced. This effect should also be observed in polarized neutron scattering measurements. However, a PNS study [8] made with relatively poor statistics and at a field $\approx 0.7H_{c2}$ was unable to observe a reduction. Here we make PNS measurements at a lower field ($\approx 0.35H_{c2}$) and with better statistics. We find a suppression of the susceptibility on entering the superconducting state for an applied field in the RuO$_2$ plane. The present results are consistent with previous PNS measurements made at $\approx 0.7H_{c2}$ where the change in ($\chi_{\text{spin}}$) is reduced because of the presence of more vortices.

The spin susceptibility as a probe of the superconducting state.—The transition to a superconducting state involves the pairing of electrons and hence a change to the spin wavefunction. In the case of singlet pairing where the spin susceptibility is suppressed everywhere its temperature dependence is described by the Yosida function [17,18]. A triplet superconductor is described by a gap matrix,

$$
\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow} & \Delta_{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ \Delta_{\downarrow\uparrow} & \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{bmatrix} = \Delta \begin{bmatrix} d_x + id_y & d_z \\ d_z & d_x + id_y \end{bmatrix},
$$

where the $d$-vector is $d = (d_x, d_y, d_z)$. For this type of pairing, the spin susceptibility is essentially unchanged when the magnetic field is applied perpendicular to $d$ and shows a drop otherwise (see Table I).

The measurement of the spin susceptibility in the superconducting state is complicated by the presence of diamagnetic screening due to supercurrents which pre-
TABLE I. Irreducible representations of superconducting order parameters compatible with the tetragonal point group 4.

| state | g.s. order parameter | Basis function | line nodes | TRSB | Knight shift $H \perp c$ | Knight shift $H \parallel c$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_{1g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$k_x^2 + k_y^2$</td>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$k_x k_y (k_x^2 - k_y^2)$</td>
<td>(v.h)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{1g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$k_x^2 - k_y^2$</td>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{2g}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$k_x k_y$</td>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{g}(a)$</td>
<td>(1,0)</td>
<td>$k_x k_z$</td>
<td>(v.h)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{g}(b)$</td>
<td>(1,1)</td>
<td>$(k_x + k_y) k_z$</td>
<td>(v.h)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{g}(c)$</td>
<td>(1,i)</td>
<td>$(k_x + i k_y) k_z$</td>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Yosida function describes the suppression of the susceptibility of an isotropic superconductor in the absence of spin-orbit coupling and in the zero field limit. Upper the upper and lower panels are even-parity (singlet) and odd-parity (triplet) states respectively. The gap symmetry (g.s.) order parameter corresponds to superpositions in column three. Column four shows whether states have: vertical line nodes, $\parallel k_z$, on a 2D Fermi surface (v), or horizontal line nodes, $\perp k_z$ (h). States with $k_\mu$ transform like $\sin k_\mu$ while states with $k_\mu^2$ transform like $\cos k_\mu$. Ticks indicate whether TRSB or a Knight shift is present.

perconducting are made in the mixed state and typically at relatively high magnetic fields $\sim 1$ T. It is well known that introduction of vortices creates low-energy electronic states $[26, 27]$. In the mixed state of conventional superconductors the associated electronic density of states $N(0) \propto N_F H/H_c 2$ comes from low-energy localized states in the vortex cores $[26]$. While in superconductors with lines of gap nodes $N(0) \propto N_F \sqrt{H/H_c}\ 2$ comes from near the gap nodes and outside the vortex core $[27]$. The aforementioned states give rise to a linear heat capacity and also contribute to the spin susceptibility. For example, a linear field dependence of $\chi = M/H$ has been observed $[22]$ in the superconducting state of Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$.

Experimental method.— The experimental set up and crystal were the same as described in Duffy et al. $[8]$. A single crystal ($C_{117}$) of Sr$_x$Ru$_4$O$_{14}$ with dimensions of $1.5\times2\times5\times5$ mm was cut from a crystal grown by floating-zone method and glued with GE-varnish on a copper stage with $[010]$ aligned vertically. The sample size was chosen so as not to saturate the detector. To ensure good thermal contact on the sample the copper stage was connected via two $1\times2$ mm diameter copper wires to the dilution refrigerator. $T_c$ of this sample is $1.47 \ K$ and $\mu_0 H_{c2}(100\mK) = 1.43 \ T$ for $H \parallel [110]$ $[8, 28]$. 

The use of standard techniques such as SQUID magnetometry. Two techniques which have successfully observed the drop in the spin susceptibility are NMR and polarized neutron scattering. NMR probes the susceptibility $\chi$ at a particular atomic site through hyperfine coupling. The susceptibility is measured by the Knight shift $K$ of a particular NMR resonance. PNS directly measures the magnetization density $M(\mathbf{r})$ induced by an external magnetic field $\mu_0 H$. The spatially varying density $M(\mathbf{r})$ is measured by diffraction. This technique was first introduced by Shull and Wedgwood $[20]$. It has been applied to V$_3$Si by Shull and Wedgwood $[20]$. It has been used to probe cuprate $[21]$ and iron-based $[22, 23]$ superconductors where singlet pairing has been observed.

NMR and PNS studies of the susceptibility in the superconducting state $\approx 0.1 H_{c2}$. This residual susceptibility is the orbital (van Vleck) susceptibility $[21, 22]$ present in transition metals due to inter-band contributions enabled by the spin-orbit interaction.

The Yosida function describes the suppression of the susceptibility of an isotropic superconductor in the absence of spin-orbit coupling and in the zero field limit. Early PNS measurements by Shull and Wedgwood $[20]$ of the temperature dependence of the induced magnetisation in V$_3$Si showed that the susceptibility only dropped to about $\frac{1}{3}$ of its normal state value for applied fields of $\approx 0.1 H_{c2}$. This residual susceptibility is the orbital (van Vleck) susceptibility $[21, 22]$ present in transition metals due to inter-band contributions enabled by the spin-orbit interaction.

NMR and PNS studies of the susceptibility in the superconducting state $\approx 0.1 H_{c2}$. This residual susceptibility is the orbital (van Vleck) susceptibility $[21, 22]$ present in transition metals due to inter-band contributions enabled by the spin-orbit interaction.
We used the three-axis spectrometer IN20 at the Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble [29]. A vertical magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the scattering plane along the [010] direction. Measurements of the nuclear Bragg reflections (002) and (101) verified the field was within 0.11 deg. of the [010] direction. The PNS experiments were performed in the superconducting state at the (101) Bragg reflection with $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T. The beam was monochromatic with $E = 63$ meV. The spectrometer beam polarization was 93.2(1)% measured at the (101) reflection using a Heusler monochromator and analyser. Detector counts are normalized by time or monitor with consistent results being obtained. The sample was field cooled and test measurements with polarization analysis were made to check for depolarization from the vortex lattice. We measured the beam polarization at the (002) and (101) Bragg reflections for $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T, no measurable difference between superconducting and normal states could be detected. Susceptibility measurements were made with a polarized beam without the analyzer as in Duffy et al. [8].

Polarized neutron scattering experiments can directly measure the real-space magnetization density $M(\mathbf{r})$ in the unit cell, induced by a large magnetic field $\mu_0 H$. Further details of the method and theory are given in our previous studies [8, 22]. Due to the periodic crystal structure, the applied magnetic field induces a magnetization density with spatial Fourier components $M(\mathbf{G})$,

where $G$ are the reciprocal lattice vectors, and

$$M(\mathbf{G}) = \int_{\text{unit cell}} M(\mathbf{r}) \exp(i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}. \quad (2)$$

We measure the flipping ratio $R$, defined as the ratio of the cross-sections, $I_+ / I_-$, of a polarized incident beam with neutrons parallel or anti-parallel to the applied magnetic field. A detector insensitive to the scattered spin polarization and summing over the final spin states is used to make the measurement. Because the induced moment is small, the experiment is carried out in the limit $(\gamma_0 / 2H) M(\mathbf{G}) / F_N(\mathbf{G}) \ll 1$. In this limit [8], the flipping ratio is,

$$R = 1 - \frac{2 \gamma_0 \langle M(\mathbf{G}) \rangle}{\mu_B F_N(\mathbf{G})}, \quad (3)$$

where the nuclear structure factor $F_N(\mathbf{G})$ is known from the crystal structure and $\gamma_0 = 5.36 \times 10^{-15}$ m. For the (101) reflection we used $F_N = 4.63 \times 10^{-15} m$ f.m.$^{-1}$.

**Results.**—In the present experiment we apply magnetic fields along the [010] direction to allow comparison with NMR measurements [13, 14]. We first established the normal state susceptibility by making measurements at $T = 1.5$ K and $\mu_0 H = 2.5$ T as shown in Fig. 1. Our signal, $1 - R$, is proportional to the induced moment (Eq. 3) and our error bars are determined by the number of counts in $I_{\pm}$ and hence the counting time. Thus, the 2.5 T measurement (closed diamond) provides the most accurate estimate of the normal state susceptibility, this is shown by the horizontal solid line. Data has been
converted to $\chi$ without correcting by the magnetic form factors.

A further measurement (closed circle) is made in the superconducting state at $T = 0.06$ K and $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T with a total counting time of 52 hours in order to obtain good statistics and a small error bar. The difference between $\chi_{\text{normal}}$ and the $\chi(T = 0.06$ K, $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T) point demonstrates a clear drop in $\chi$ of $34 \pm 6\%$ on entering the superconducting state.

Fig. 1 also shows previous results of Duffy et al. [8] (open squares) measured at a higher field of 1 T. These were measured at the (002) Bragg peak and have therefore been scaled by the ratio of the Ru form factors [39, 40] at (101) and (002) for comparison. The previous results [8] were measured at higher field where the suppression effect is smaller and with lower precision, they were also measured with $H || [110]$. Thus, the absence of an observable effect could be because it could not be resolved or because it does not occur for $H || [110]$, this is the case for the $E_u (b)$ representation $d = (x - y) k_z$. Fig. 2 shows data presented as function of magnetic field.

Our results are qualitatively consistent with recent $^{17}$O-NMR studies [13, 14] in that we observe a drop in the susceptibility. However the NMR shows data presented as function of magnetic field. Thus a potential second or van Vleck contribution to the susceptibility which in the spin-orbit interaction [25]. Thus a potential second

---

$$\chi(T = 0.06$ K, $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T) point demonstrates a clear drop in $\chi$ of $34 \pm 6\%$ on entering the superconducting state.

---

As discussed above, the expected $\chi_{\text{spin}}(T)$ for an isotropic $s$-superconductor follows the Yosida function [17]. This function can be easily modified [18] to yield a corresponding result for a superconductor with vertical line nodes i.e. $p$- or $d$-wave symmetry. At low temperatures the drop in $\chi = M/H$ will be field dependent due to the introduction of vortices. Modelling the effect of vortices on the spin susceptibility is theoretically difficult, in addition, Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ shows a first order phase transition at $H_c2$ with a step in the spin magnetization [37, 38]. Nevertheless, in Fig. 2 we fit two simple illustrative low-temperature field dependences of $\chi(H)$ with $\chi_{s}(H) = \chi_0 + \Delta \chi H/H_c2$ and $\chi_{n}(H) = \chi_0 + \Delta \chi \sqrt{H/H_c2}$ for the $s$-wave and nodal cases using the actual $H_c2$ [23, 27]. Our $s$-wave and nodal field-dependent fits yield zero field values of $\chi_0/\chi_{\text{normal}}$ of $0.49 \pm 0.1$ and $0.2 \pm 0.1$ respectively. Both fits yield a value of $\chi \approx 8 \times 10^{-4} \mu_B T^{-1} \mu m^{-1}$ for $\mu_0 H = 1$ T. In Fig. 1 it can be seen that this value appears consistent with the data of Duffy et al. [8], if the susceptibility suppression were the same for $H || [110]$ and $H || [100]$. Both $\chi_{\text{spin}}(H)$ and the linear coefficient of specific heat $\gamma(H) = C/T$ can detect the low-energy states introduced by vortices. For a singlet superconductor, they are expected show similar behavior [38]. In Fig. 2 we also showed the measured $\gamma(H)$ for $H || [100]$. 

Discussion.—Many superconducting states have been proposed for Sr$_2$RuO$_4$. Some of these are shown in Table 1 and following the important observations of Pustogow et al. [17] there have also been new theoretical proposals [39, 40]. Our polarized neutron scattering measurements do not rule out all odd-parity states but they do rule out those without ticks in column six of Table 1. This includes the previously widely considered chiral $p$-wave $d = \hat{z}(k_x \pm i k_y)$ state. Odd-parity states with in-plane $d$ vectors such as $d = \hat{x}k_x \pm \hat{y}k_y$ have a partial (50%) suppression of $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ and might be ruled out with more accurate and lower field measurements.

Possible states which account for the drop in susceptibility for in-plane fields include even-parity order parameters such as nodal $s'$-wave and $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave with deep minima or nodes in the gap. These are supported by thermal conductivity [41], angle-dependent specific heat [32], penetration depth [42] and quasiparticle interference experiments [43]. Rømer et al. [40] have also recently proposed TRSB non-unitary pair $s' + id_{x^2-y^2}$ and helical $\hat{x}k_x \pm i \hat{y}k_y$ states. These states are predicted to show a partial (~50%) suppression of the spin susceptibility in the $T \rightarrow 0$ and $H \rightarrow 0$ limit.

We observe a large $\chi$ at $\frac{1}{2}H_c2$ and $T = 0.06$ K shown in Fig. 2 which is $66 \pm 6\%$ of $\chi_{\text{normal}}$. There will be residual ($H \rightarrow 0$) contributions from the orbital susceptibility and impurity scattering [44]. Ru NMR measurements [45] show that the orbital (van Vleck) susceptibility in Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ is about $12\%$ of the total $\chi_{\text{normal}}$. Our data
is consistent with two interesting scenarios as $H \to 0$: (i) a rapid reduction of $\chi_{\text{spin}}$ below $\pm H_{c2}$ (solid line in Fig. 2); (ii) a large residual contribution to $\chi$ in the $H \to 0$ limit (dotted line). Case (i) would be consistent with an even-parity (singlet) state with deep minima or nodes [27] in the gap where $\chi_{\text{spin}}(T \to 0, H \to 0) \to 0$. In case (ii) there would be a large low-field residual spin susceptibility. This would be qualitatively consistent with a rapid reduction of $\chi$ below $H_{c2}$ (solid line in Fig. 2).
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The available form factor Ru$^{+}$ was used.


[46] A quantitative comparison would require Landau Fermi liquid corrections [16, 47] to be taken into account as in the superfluid \(^3\)He-B phase.