BERGMAN SPACES UNDER MAPS OF MONOMIAL TYPE

ALEXANDER NAGEL AND MALABIKA PRAMANIK

Abstract. For appropriate domains $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ we consider mappings $\Phi_A : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ of monomial type. We obtain an orthogonal decomposition of the Bergman space $A^2(\Omega_1)$ into finitely many closed subspaces indexed by characters of a finite Abelian group associated to the mapping $\Phi_A$. We then show that each subspace is isomorphic to a weighted Bergman space on $\Omega_2$. This leads to a formula for the Bergman kernel on $\Omega_1$ as a sum of weighted Bergman kernels on $\Omega_2$.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be open sets and let $\Phi_A : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ be a surjective holomorphic mapping of monomial type. In this paper we obtain a decomposition of weighted Bergman spaces on $\Omega_1$ associated to the mapping $\Phi_A$ as well as relationships between the weighted Bergman kernels of $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$. In this Introduction we begin by recalling the definitions of these concepts, and then state our main results.

1.1. Bergman projections and kernels. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 1$ with Lebesgue measure $dV$. Given a continuous weight function $\omega : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$, denote by $L^2(\Omega, \omega)$ the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) Lebesgue-measurable functions on $\Omega$ that are square-integrable with respect to the measure $\omega(z)dV(z)$. The closed subspace $A^2(\Omega, \omega) \subseteq L^2(\Omega, \omega)$ consisting of functions that are holomorphic on $\Omega$ is the corresponding weighted Bergman space. The orthogonal projection $\mathcal{P}^\omega_\Omega : L^2(\Omega, \omega) \to A^2(\Omega, \omega)$ is the weighted Bergman projection. For $f \in L^2(\Omega, \omega)$ and $z \in \Omega$ the projection $\mathcal{P}^\omega_\Omega[f]$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}^\omega_\Omega[f](z) = \int_\Omega B_\Omega(z, w; \omega)f(w)\omega(w)dV(w).$$

The integration kernel $B_\Omega(\cdot, \cdot; \omega) : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is the weighted Bergman kernel. If $\{\psi_j : j \geq 1\}$ is any complete orthonormal basis for $A^2(\Omega, \omega)$ then

$$B_\Omega(z, w; \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j(z)\overline{\psi_j(w)},$$
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where the series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega \times \Omega$. The value of the Bergman kernel when $z = w$ is the solution of an extremal problem:

\begin{equation}
B_\Omega(z, z; \omega) = \sup \left\{ |h(z)|^2 : h \in A^2(\Omega, \omega) \text{ and } ||h||_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)} \leq 1 \right\},
\end{equation}

where $|| \cdot ||_{L^2(\Omega, \omega)}$ denotes the norm in $L^2(\Omega, \omega)$. It follows that

\begin{equation}
\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2 \implies B_{\Omega_2}(z, z; \omega) \leq B_{\Omega_1}(z, z; \omega)
\end{equation}

for all $z \in \Omega_1$. See [6] for the basic facts about the Bergman kernel and projection. We often omit $\omega$ when $\omega \equiv 1$, in which case $A^2(\Omega)$ and $B_\Omega$ are referred to respectively as the standard Bergman space and standard Bergman kernel of $\Omega$.

In this paper we are concerned with one aspect of the following general question:

If $\Omega_1$, $\Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ are open and $\Phi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is a surjective holomorphic mapping, how are weighted Bergman spaces on $\Omega_1$ related to those on $\Omega_2$?

When $\omega \equiv 1$ and $\Phi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is biholomorphic, the answer to the above question is well-known. Specifically, we have that $\int_{\Omega_2} f(w) dV(w) = \int_{\Omega_1} f(\Phi(z)) |\det J\Phi(z)|^2 dV(z)$ for every $f \in L^1(\Omega_2)$ where $J\Phi$ is the complex Jacobian matrix of $\Phi$. Since $\det J\Phi(z)$ is nonvanishing and holomorphic, it follows that

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_{\Omega_1}(\det J\Phi \cdot [f \circ \Phi]) = [\det J\Phi] \cdot [\mathcal{P}_{\Omega_2} f \circ \Phi],
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega_1} B_{\Omega_2}(z, w) f(\Phi(u)) \det J\Phi(u) du = \det J\Phi(z) \int_{\Omega_2} B_{\Omega_2}(\Phi(z), v) f(v) dv.
\end{equation}

Since $v = \Phi(w)$ for a unique $w \in \Omega_1$, it follows from (1.6) that

\begin{equation}
B_{\Omega_2}(z, w) = [\det J\Phi(z)] [B_{\Omega_2}(\Phi(z), \Phi(w))] [\det J\Phi(w)].
\end{equation}

For details see for example [6], Proposition 1.4.12, page 52.

In [2] and [3] Steven Bell generalized these results by showing that equations (1.5) and (1.6) continue to hold if each $\Omega_i$ is a bounded domain and $\Phi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is a proper holomorphic mapping (i.e. $\Phi^{-1}(K) \subset \Omega_1$ is compact for each compact subset $K \subset \Omega_2$). Proper mappings are finite branched coverings. If $\Phi$ is an $m$-fold branched covering let $\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_m$ denote the $m$ local inverses of $\Phi$. In this case the identity (1.7) is replaced by the formula

\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} B_{\Omega_1}(z, \Psi_i(v)) [\det J\Psi_i(v)] = \det J\Phi(z) B_{\Omega_2}(\Phi(z), v), \quad z \in \Omega_1, \ v \in \Omega_2.
\end{equation}

Finally we mention that in another direction, Siqi Fu [6], using the Poisson summation formula, established similar formulas in certain cases of infinite covering maps from tube domains to Reinhardt domains.

There is an extensive literature addressing the fundamental role of the Bergman projection and its kernel in complex function theory. This paper is one in a series [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] dealing with estimates for the Bergman kernel in various domains. Our results in this paper are motivated by our interest in estimates for complex monomial balls, discussed in Section [?]. Our results and objectives are of a different nature than in the earlier work of Bell [2], [3], and are based on the algebraic structure of the mapping $\Phi_A$. 
1.2. Monomial mappings. In this paper, we consider mappings \( \Phi_A \) and functions \( F_b \) of monomial type. If \( b = (b_1, \cdots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), if \( A = (a_{j,k}) \) is a non-singular \( n \times n \) matrix with integer entries, and if \( z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \), we set

\[
F_b(z) := z_1^{b_1} z_2^{b_2} \cdots z_n^{b_n}, \quad \Phi_A(z) := (F_{a_1}(z), \cdots, F_{a_n}(z)),
\]

where \( a_j = (a_{j,1}, \cdots, a_{j,n}) \) denotes the \( j \)th row vector of \( A \). If all the entries of the matrix \( A \) are non-negative integers, then \( \Phi_A \) is holomorphic on all of \( \mathbb{C}^n \). If \( A \) has at least one negative entry, then \( \Phi_A \) is holomorphic at \( z \) if and only if \( z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}_A \) where

\[
\mathbb{H}_A = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_k = 0, \text{ and there exists } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ such that } a_{j,k} < 0 \}.
\]

In particular, for any non-singular \( n \times n \) matrix with arbitrary integer entries, the mapping \( \Phi_A \) is always holomorphic on \( \mathbb{C}_+^n := \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{H} \), where \( \mathbb{H} \) is the union of coordinate hyperplanes:

\[
H := \{ z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n = 0 \}.
\]

For any integer-valued matrix \( A \), the Jacobian of \( \Phi_A \) can be singular only at points in \( \mathbb{H} \). Basic properties of monomial type functions and mappings are presented in Section 2.

1.3. The groups \( \mathbb{G}_A \) and \( \hat{\mathbb{G}}_A \). We now introduce algebraic objects associated with monomial mappings. In this paper, all vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are considered row vectors, i.e., \( 1 \times n \) matrices. Matrix multiplication is denoted by \( \cdot \). \( \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) denote the spaces of \( n \times n \) matrices with integer and real entries respectively. The transpose and inverse of a matrix \( M \) are denoted by \( M^t \) and \( M^{-1} \). The notation \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) stands for the real inner product, i.e., if \( z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n) \), \( w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \) then \( \langle z, w \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n z_j w_j \). Let \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) denote the standard basis elements of \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

**Definition 1.1.**

(a) If \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) then \( \mathcal{C}(A) := \{ m \cdot A^t : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \} \) denotes the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{Z}^n \) generated by the columns of \( A \), and \( \mathcal{C}(A^t) := \{ m \cdot A : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \} \) denotes the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-submodule of \( \mathbb{Z}^n \) generated by the rows of \( A \).

(b) \( \mathbb{G}_A := \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathcal{C}(A) \) and \( \mathbb{G}_A^t := \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathcal{C}(A^t) \) denote the quotient groups; if \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) then \( [m] \) denotes its equivalence class in \( \mathbb{G}_A \) and \( [m] \) denotes its equivalence class in \( \mathbb{G}_A^t \).

(c) If \( [m] \in \mathbb{G}_A \) set

\[
\xi_j([m]) := \exp[2\pi i \langle m, e_j \cdot A^{-1} \rangle] \quad \text{and} \quad \xi([m]) := (\xi_1([m]), \cdots, \xi_n([m])).
\]

(d) If \( v = (v_1, \cdots, v_n) \), \( w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \) then \( v \otimes w = (v_1 w_1, \cdots, v_n w_n) \) denotes the Hadamard vector product.

(e) \( \hat{\mathbb{G}}_A \) denote the group of characters of \( \mathbb{G}_A \), i.e., the set of group homomorphisms from \( \mathbb{G}_A \) to the unit circle \( \mathbb{T} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \} \), equipped with point-wise multiplication. An element of \( \hat{\mathbb{G}}_A \) is thus a map \( \chi : \mathbb{G}_A \to \mathbb{T} \) such that \( \chi([m] + [n]) = \chi([m]) \chi([n]) \).

(f) If \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) the function \( \chi_b : \mathbb{G}_A \to \mathbb{T} \) given by \( \chi_b([m]) := \exp [2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle] \) is a character of \( \mathbb{G}_A \).
In Section 2 we study the algebraic structure of \( \mathfrak{C}(A) \), \( G_A \), and \( \widehat{G}_A \). We see that \( G_A \) and \( \widehat{G}_A \) are finite abelian groups of order \( \det(A) \). We also show that the binary operation
\[
([m], z) \to \xi([m]) \otimes z = \left(e^{2\pi i \langle m, e_1 \cdot A^{-1} \rangle z_1}, \ldots, e^{2\pi i \langle m, e_n \cdot A^{-1} \rangle z_n}\right)
\]
is a faithful action of \( G_A \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \).

Note that if \( b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) then the characters \( \chi_{b_1}, \chi_{b_2} \) defined in part (b) of Definition 1.1 are equal if and only if \( b_1 - b_2 = n \cdot A \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \); i.e. if and only if \( b_1 - b_2 \in \mathfrak{C}(A^t) \).

The correspondence \( [b] \mapsto \chi_b \) therefore generates a mapping \( \varphi : G_A \rightarrow \widehat{G}_A \)
\[
\varphi([b])([m]) := \chi_b([m]) = \exp \left[ 2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right].
\]

Lemma 3.5 below shows that \( \varphi \) defined in (1.13) is a group isomorphism. Thus the characters of \( G_A \) are parameterized by elements of the group \( \widehat{G}_A \).

1.4. Invariant domains and orthogonal decompositions. An open set \( \Omega_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) is said to be invariant under the action of \( G_A \) defined in equation (1.12) if for every \( z \in \Omega_1^* = \Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{C}^n \) and every \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), the point \( \xi([m]) \otimes z \) is also in \( \Omega_1^* \). A function \( f : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is said to be invariant under this group action if
\[
f(\xi([m]) \otimes z) = f(z) \text{ for all } z \in \Omega_1^* \text{ and for all } [m] \in G_A.
\]

Suppose that \( \Omega_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) is invariant under the action of \( G_A \). For each \( \chi \in \widehat{G}_A \) and any function \( f : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), define
\[
\Pi_{\chi}[f](z) := \frac{1}{\#(G_A)} \sum_{[m] \in G_A} \chi([m]) f(\xi([m]) \otimes z)
\]
for \( z \in \Omega_1 \). In Section 4 we will show the following.

- Each \( \Pi_{\chi} \) is a projection: \( \Pi_{\chi}^2 = \Pi_{\chi} \) and \( f(z) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}_A} \Pi_{\chi}[f](z) \) for \( z \in \Omega_1 \).
- If \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) and \( \chi_b \) is the character given in part (b) of Definition 1.1 then for all \( z \in \Omega_1 \)
\[
\Pi_{\chi_b}[f](\xi([m]) \otimes z) = \chi_b([m])^{-1} \Pi_{\chi_b}[f](z), \quad F_b(\xi([m]) \otimes z) = \chi_b([m]) F_b(z).
\]

- The function \( \Pi_{\chi_b}[f](\cdot) F_b(\cdot) \) is invariant under the action of \( G_A \):
\[
\Pi_{\chi_b}[f](\xi([m]) \otimes z) F_b(\xi([m]) \otimes z) = \Pi_{\chi_b}[f](z) F_b(z)
\]

These observations lead to the following orthogonal decompositions of the spaces \( L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) \) and \( \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) \), parameterized by the characters of \( G_A \).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) be non-singular, possibly with negative entries. Let \( \Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) be an open set and let \( \omega_1 : \Omega_1 \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) be continuous, both invariant under the action of \( G_A \). For each character \( \chi \in \widehat{G}_A \), let \( \Pi_\chi \) be the projection operator defined in equation (1.15).

Then the following conclusions hold.

(a) The mapping \( \Pi_\chi \) acting on \( L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) \) or \( \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) \) is an orthogonal projection.
(b) Denote by $L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) := \Pi_\chi[L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1)]$ and $A^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) := \Pi_\chi[A^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1)]$ the ranges of the projection $\Pi_\chi$. Then the following are direct sum decompositions into mutually orthogonal subspaces:

$$L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in G_A} L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1), \quad A^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in G_A} A^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 5.

1.5. Isomorphisms between Bergman spaces. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be open sets, let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular, and recall that $\mathbb{H} = \{ (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_j = 0 \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq n \}$. Since $A$ is non-singular, it is easy to check that $z \in \mathbb{H}$ if and only if $\Phi_A(z) \in \mathbb{H}$. We shall suppose

$$\Omega_2 = \Phi_A(\Omega_1) \text{ and } \Phi_A : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2 \text{ is holomorphic}$$

though not necessarily biholomorphic. In particular this means that if $\Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset$, then for every $j$ such that $\Omega_1 \cap \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_j = 0 \} \neq \emptyset$, the $j$th column of $A$ has only non-negative integer entries. On the other hand if $\Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{H} = \emptyset$ then any non-singular $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ generates a holomorphic map $\Phi_A : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$, and in this case $\Omega_2 \cap \mathbb{H} = \emptyset$ as well. For $i = 1, 2$ set $\Omega_2^* := \Omega_2 \setminus \mathbb{H}$. It follows from (1.17) that $\Omega_2^* = \Phi_A(\Omega_1^*)$. We shall assume that $\Omega_1^*$ is invariant under the action of $G_A$ defined in part (c) of Definition 1.1. Thus we assume

$$\xi[m] \otimes z \in \Omega_1^* \ \text{whenever} \ z \in \Omega_1^*; \ \text{or equivalently } \Omega_1^* = \Phi_A^{-1}(\Omega_2^*).$$

Let $\omega_j : \Omega_j \rightarrow (0, \infty), j = 1, 2$, be positive, continuous weight functions such that

$$\omega_1(z) = \omega_2(\Phi_A(z)), \quad z \in \Omega_1.$$

In particular, this implies that the function $\omega_1$ is invariant under the group action of $G_A$. The measure $d\mu = \omega_1 dV$ is then also invariant under this action, in a sense that will be made precise in equation (4.2) in Section 4. Under these conditions there is an isomorphism between $A^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1)$ and a direct sum of weighted Bergman spaces on $\Omega_2^*$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ be open sets in $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying assumptions (1.17) and (1.18). Let $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ be continuous weight functions satisfying (1.19). Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $\chi = \varphi([b])$ be the character of $G_A$ defined (1.13) so that $\chi([m]) = \exp \left( 2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right)$. Let $\Pi_\chi$ be the mapping defined in (1.15).

(a) If $f : \Omega_1^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any function, there exists a unique function $T_b[f] : \Omega_2^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ so that } T_b[f](\Phi_A(z)) = \Pi_\chi[f](z) F_b(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega_1^*$.

(b) If $g : \Omega_2^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any function and if $f(z) = g \circ \Phi_A(z) F_{-b}(z)$, then $\Pi_\chi[f] = f$ and $T_b[f] = g$.

(c) If $f$ is holomorphic on $\Omega_1^*$ then $T_b[f]$ is holomorphic on $\Omega_2^*$.

(d) Let $c = c(b) := (1 - b) \cdot A^{-1} - 1$ and let $\eta_b(w) := \det(A)^{-1} |F_c(w)|^2 \omega_2(w)$. Then for every $f \in L^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1)$

$$\int_{\Omega_1} [\Pi_\chi[f](z)]^2 \omega_1(z) dV(z) = \int_{\Omega_2} [T_b[f](w)]^2 \eta_b(w) dV(w).$$
(e) If \( \mathcal{L}_x^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) = \Pi_\chi[\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1)] \) and \( \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1) = \Pi_\chi[\mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1; \omega_1)] \), the mappings
\[
(1.21) \quad T_b : \mathcal{L}_x^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1) \to \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega_2, \eta_b) \quad \text{and} \quad T_b : \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) \to \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b)
\]
are isometric isomorphisms of Hilbert spaces.

(f) For each \( \chi \in \hat{G}_A \) choose \( b_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) with \( \varphi([b_\chi]) = \chi \). Then there is an isomorphism
\[
(1.22) \quad \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_{b_\chi})
\]
and an identity of Bergman kernels: for \( z, w \in \Omega_1^* \),
\[
(1.23) \quad B_{\Omega_1^*}(z, w; \omega_1) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_A(z) B_{\Omega_2^*}(\Phi_A(z), \Phi_A(w); \eta_{b_\chi}) F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_A(w).
\]

In particular,
\[
(1.24) \quad B_{\Omega_1^*}(z, z; \omega_1) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} |F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_A(z)|^2 B_{\Omega_2^*}(\Phi_A(z), \Phi_A(z); \eta_{b_\chi}).
\]

Theorem \([1,3]\) is proved in Section \([5]\).

Since \( \Omega_1^* \subset \Omega_1 \), the extremal characterization \([1,3]\) gives the inequality \( B_{\Omega_1^*}(z, z; \omega_1) \geq B_{\Omega_1}(z, z; \omega_1) \). Combining this with \([1.24]\), we get

**Corollary 1.4.** Under the same hypotheses as Theorem \([1,3]\), we have for \( z \in \Omega_1^* \),
\[
(1.25) \quad B_{\Omega_1^*}(z, z; \omega_1) \leq \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} |F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_A(z)|^2 B_{\Omega_2^*}(\Phi_A(z), \Phi_A(z); \eta_{b_\chi}).
\]

**Remarks:**

1. In part \([\text{i}]\) of Theorem \([1,3]\) the choice of \( b_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) such that \( \varphi([b_\chi]) = \chi \) is not unique.
   
   Different choices lead to different choices of \( c(b_\chi) \) and \( \eta_{b_\chi} \) as given in part \([\text{iii}]\), and hence lead to different spaces \( \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_2, \eta_{b_\chi}) \). Thus \([1.22]\) can be viewed as a family of decompositions for \( \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) \) rather than a single one.

2. In Theorem \([1,3]\) it is important to note that the isomorphism between the two spaces \( \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1) \) and \( \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega_2, \eta_b) \) does not in general lead to an isomorphism between the corresponding Bergman spaces \( \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1^*, \cdot) \), but does lead to an isomorphism of the Bergman spaces \( \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_2^*, \cdot) \) for the axes-deleted domains. Indeed the key point in part \([\text{c}]\) of Theorem \([1,3]\) is that the mapping \( T_b : \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) \to \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b) \) is onto, whereas \textit{a priori} the mapping \( T_b : \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) \to \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b) \) need not be onto. For example, suppose that
   \[
   \Omega_1 = \{ z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1 z_2| < 1, |z_2| < 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_A(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 z_2, z_2).
   \]
   Then \( G_A \) is trivial (hence so is \( \hat{G}_A \)), and
   \[
   \Omega_2 = \Phi_A(\Omega_1) = \{ w = (w_1, w_2) : |w_1| < 1, |w_2| < 1 \}
   \]
   is the unit polydisk in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). Let us now choose the weight function \( \omega_2(w) = |w_2|^6 \) and the holomorphic function \( g(w) = w_1/w_2^2 \) on \( \Omega_2 \setminus \mathbb{H} \). Set \( b = 0 \), so that \( c = (0, -1) \), and \( \eta_b(w) = |w_2|^4 dV(w) \). We observe that \( g \in \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b) \). However, \( g \) does not lie in \( T_b(\mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1)) \) where \( \chi \) is the identity character. This is because any \( f \in \mathcal{A}_x^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) \) with \( T_b[f] = g \) must satisfy \( f(z) = z_1/z_2 \) on \( \Omega_1 \setminus \mathbb{H} \). Such a function \( f \) does not admit a holomorphic extension to the origin.
1.6. Bergman kernel estimates. The Bergman kernel identity (1.23) involves the axes-deleted domains $\Omega_1^*$ and $\Omega_2^*$ rather than the original domains $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$. Also the upper bound in Corollary 1.4 is not sharp in general. In this section we state a result that for certain choices of domain-weight pairs $(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$, an identity like (1.23) holds for $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$, and the inequality in (1.25) is an equality. We begin by specifying the type of weights for which such results will hold.

Definition 1.5. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be open and $\omega : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ a continuous weight function.
(a) $\omega$ is said to be of monomial type if there exists $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a continuous function $\vartheta : \Omega \to (0, \infty)$ such that
\begin{equation}
(1.26) \quad \omega(z) = |F_{\mu}(z)|^2 \vartheta(z), \quad \text{and} \quad \inf \{ \vartheta(z) : z \in \Omega \} > 0.
\end{equation}
(b) We call a monomial-type weight function $\omega$ admissible if
\begin{equation}
(1.27) \quad \mu_j < 1/2 \text{ for each index } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ such that } \Omega \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_j = 0\} \neq \emptyset.
\end{equation}
For example, the weight function $\omega \equiv 1$ corresponding to the standard Bergman space is admissible.

Proposition 1.6. If $\omega : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$ is an admissible weight function of monomial type on $\Omega$, then $\mathcal{A}^2(\Omega, \omega) = \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega^*, \omega)$.

We then have the following Bergman kernel identities for $B_{\Omega_1}$ and $B_{\Omega_2}$.

Theorem 1.7. Let $(\Omega_j, \omega_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ be as in Theorem 1.3.
(a) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1) = \mathcal{A}^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1)$. Then the identities (1.23) and (1.24) hold, with $B_{\Omega_1}$ on the left side replaced by $B_{\Omega_1}$. In particular, this is the case whenever $\omega_1$ is admissible of monomial type and satisfies (1.19).
(b) Suppose that $\omega_2$ is a weight function of monomial type on $\Omega_2$, not necessarily admissible. Then for every $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_A$, there exists a choice $b_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\varphi([b_\chi]) = \chi$ and such that the weight function $\eta_{b_\chi}$ is admissible of monomial type on $\Omega_2$. For such choices the identities (1.23) and (1.24) hold, with $B_{\Omega_2}$ on the right side of those relations replaced by $B_{\Omega_2}$.
(c) Suppose that both $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are weight functions of monomial type obeying (1.19), and that $\omega_1$ is admissible. Then for each $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_A$ there exist $b_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that
\begin{equation}
(1.28) \quad B_{\Omega_1}(z, w; \omega_1) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{G}_A} F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_{\Omega_1}(z) B_{\Omega_2}(\Phi_{\Omega_1}(z), \Phi_{\Omega_1}(w); \eta_{b_\chi}) F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_{\Omega_1}(w)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(1.29) \quad B_{\Omega_1}(z, z; \omega_1) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{G}_A} |F_{-b_\chi} \circ \Phi_{\Omega_1}(z)|^2 B_{\Omega_2}(\Phi_{\Omega_1}(z), \Phi_{\Omega_1}(z); \eta_{b_\chi}).
\end{equation}
In particular, the relations (1.28) and (1.29) hold when $\omega_1 \equiv 1$, i.e., for the standard Bergman space on $\Omega_1$.

Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 are proved in Section 5.

Remark: It is important to note the distinction between Theorem 1.3 (b) and Theorem 1.7 (b) and (c). The identities (1.23) and (1.24) hold for the axes-deleted domains $\Omega_1^*$ and $\Omega_2^*$ equipped with arbitrary continuous weight functions $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ obeying (1.19), and these
identities remain valid for any choice of \(b_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n\) obeying \(\varphi([b_\chi]) = \chi\). In contrast, the relations (1.28) and (1.29) are true for the original domains \(\Omega_1\) and \(\Omega_2\) and for certain choices of \(b_\chi\), provided the associated weights are of appropriate monomial type.

1.7. A simple example.

Before developing the general theory we consider a very simple example of our main results. Let \(\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}\), and let \(\Phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}\) be the proper mapping \(\Phi(z) = z^2\). The standard Bergman kernel and projection for the unit disk are given by

\[
B_\mathbb{D}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\pi} (1 - zw)^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{D}[f](z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\mathbb{D} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - zw)^2} dV(w).
\]

It follows from Bell's work that for this example, equations (1.5) and (1.8) become

\[
f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = 2zf(z^2) \implies \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{D}[g](z) = 2z \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{D}[f](z^2),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} B_\mathbb{D}(z, \sqrt{w}) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} B_\mathbb{D}(z, -\sqrt{w}) = 2z B_\mathbb{D}(z^2, w).
\]

Our approach is to decompose \(h \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D})\) into even and odd functions, and then identify the corresponding subspaces of \(\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D})\) with certain weighted Bergman spaces. If \(h \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D})\) set

\[
\Pi_e[h](z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(h(z) + h(-z)\right), \quad \mathcal{A}^2_e(\mathbb{D}) = \{h \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D}) : h(z) = h(-z)\},
\]

\[
\Pi_o[h](z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(h(z) - h(-z)\right), \quad \mathcal{A}^2_o(\mathbb{D}) = \{h \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D}) : h(z) = -h(-z)\}.
\]

We see that \(\mathcal{A}^2_e(\mathbb{D})\) and \(\mathcal{A}^2_o(\mathbb{D})\) are closed complementary orthogonal subspaces of \(\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D})\), and hence \(\mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{A}^2_e(\mathbb{D}) \oplus \mathcal{A}^2_o(\mathbb{D})\) with \(\|h\|_2^2 = \|\Pi_e h\|_2^2 + \|\Pi_o h\|_2^2\) where \(\|\cdot\|_2\) denotes the norm in \(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{D})\). Next if \(h \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D})\) there are unique holomorphic functions \(\pi_e[h]\) and \(\pi_o[h]\) on \(\mathbb{D}\) so that \(\Pi_e[h](z) = \pi_e[h](z^2)\) and \(\Pi_o[h](z) = z \pi_o[h](z^2)\). Since

\[
\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) dV(w) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z^2) |z|^2 dV(z),
\]

it follows that

\[
\|\Pi_e[h]\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\pi_e[h](z)|^2 |z|^{-1} dV(z)
\]

and

\[
\|\Pi_o[h]\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\pi_o[h](z)|^2 dV(z).
\]

Thus if we introduce weight functions \(\zeta_e(w) = \frac{1}{2} |w|^{-1}\) and \(\zeta_o(w) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\) on \(\mathbb{D}\), the mappings

\[
\pi_e : \mathcal{A}^2_e(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D}; \zeta_e dV) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_o : \mathcal{A}^2_o(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{D}; \zeta_o dV)
\]

are isometric isomorphisms. In particular if \(\pi = (\pi_e, \pi_o)\) we have the following relation between Bergman projections and Bergman kernels:

\[
\sigma \circ \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{D} = (\mathcal{P}^{\zeta_e}_\mathbb{D} \circ \pi_e, \mathcal{P}^{\zeta_o}_\mathbb{D} \circ \pi_o),
\]

\[
B_\mathbb{D}(z, w) = B_\mathbb{D}(z^2, w^2; \zeta_e) + z \overline{w} B_\mathbb{D}(z^2, w^2; \zeta_o).
\]
In the notation of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, \( \Phi_A(z) = z^2 \), \( \mathbb{G}_A \cong \mathbb{G}_A \cong \{-1, 0\} \). Following the prescription of Theorem 1.3 (d), we find that

\[
c = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } b = -1, \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } b = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

and hence \( \eta_b(z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } b = -1, \\
\frac{1}{2}|z|^{-1} & \text{if } b = 0.
\end{array} \right. \)

Thus equation (1.28) shows that \( B_D(z, w) = z \overline{w} B_D(z^2, w^2; \eta_{-1}) + B_D(z^2, w^2; \eta_0) \), which is (1.30).

2. Functions and mappings of monomial type

We collect here basic facts concerning the functions and maps of the form (1.9). Set

\[
\mathcal{O}^n := \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : t_j > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\},
\]

\[
\mathbb{C}^n_* := \{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \prod_{j=1}^n z_j \neq 0\} = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}.
\]

Thus \( \mathcal{O}^n \) is the positive octant in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \mathbb{C}^n_* \) is \( \mathbb{C}^n \) with complex coordinate planes deleted. We denote by \( \mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) the vector with 1 in every entry. The vector \( \mathbf{e}_k = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) is the unit vector with 1 in the \( k \)th entry and zeros elsewhere. If \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) then \( F_a(t) = F_a(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = t_1^{a_1} t_2^{a_2} \cdots t_n^{a_n} \) is a function of monomial-type and \( F_a : \mathcal{O}^n \to (0, \infty) \). If each \( a_j \in \mathbb{Z} \) then \( F_a \) extends to a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}^n_* \). If also each \( a_j \geq 0 \) then \( F_a \) extends to a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). For \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), let \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) be the matrix whose \( j \)th row vector is \( a_j \). Then \( \Phi_A(t) = (F_{a_1}(t), \ldots, F_{a_n}(t)) \) is mapping of monomial-type corresponding to \( A \), and \( \Phi_A : \mathcal{O}^n \to \mathcal{O}^n \). If \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) then \( \Phi_A \) is a holomorphic mapping from \( \mathbb{C}^n_* \) to itself. If all the entries of \( A \) are non-negative then \( \Phi_A \) is a holomorphic mapping from \( \mathbb{C}^n \) to itself. Let \( J\Phi_A(t) = \det \left( \frac{\partial F_a}{\partial t_k} \right) (t) \) denote the Jacobian matrix. For the proof of the following, see Lemma 4.2 in [11].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( t \in \mathcal{O}^n \).

(a) If \( b_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( c_j \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( a = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j b_j \) then \( F_a(t) = \prod_{j=1}^k F_{b_j}(t)^{c_j} \);

(b) If \( A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( a \in \mathbb{R}^n \) then \( F_a A(t) = F_a(\Phi_A(t)) \) and \( \Phi A B(t) = \Phi_A(\Phi_B(t)) \);

(c) Let \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( b = \mathbf{1} \cdot A - \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then \( J\Phi_A(t) = \det(A) F_B(t) \);

(d) If \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) is invertible then \( \Phi_A : \mathcal{O}^n \to \mathcal{O}^n \) is a diffeomorphism and \( \Phi_A^{-1} = \Phi_A^{-1} \).

The identities in (a), (b), and (c) continue to hold for \( t = z \in \mathbb{C}^n_* \), and also for \( t = z \in \mathbb{C}^n \) provided the vectors and matrices have non-negative integer entries. If \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) is invertible and \( |\det(A)| \neq 1 \) then \( \Phi_A : \mathbb{C}^n_* \to \mathbb{C}^n_* \) is not one-to-one, and so is not biholomorphic. However, we have the following replacement.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) be non-singular.

(a) \( \Phi_A : \mathbb{C}^n_* \to \mathbb{C}^n_* \) is a proper holomorphic mapping.

(b) If \( \Phi_A(z) = w \in \mathbb{C}^n_* \) there is a neighbourhood \( U_z \) of \( z \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n_* \) so that \( \Phi_A : U_z \to \Phi_A(U_z) \) is a biholomorphic mapping.
Proof. If \( A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) is invertible, then the rows \( a_j \) of \( A \) form a basis for \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Solving the linear system \( Ax = e_k \) using Cramer’s rule, we have \( e_k = \det(A)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{j,k} a_j \) where each \( b_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z} \). It follows from part (a) of Proposition 2.1 that

\[
z_k^{\det(A)} = F_{e_k}(z)^{\det(A)} = \prod_{j=1}^n F_{a_j}(z)^{b_{j,k}}.
\]

Suppose now that \( K \) is a compact subset of \( C_*^n \). For part (a), we need to show that \( \Phi_A^{-1}(K) = \{ z \in C_*^n : \Phi_A(z) \in K \} \subset C_*^n \) is compact, i.e., closed and bounded. That the latter set is closed follows easily from the fact that \( K \) is closed and \( \Phi_A \) is continuous. To prove that \( \Phi_A^{-1}(K) \) is bounded, we observe that there exist positive numbers \( \epsilon < N \) such that \( K \subset \{ w \in C^n : \epsilon \leq |w_k| \leq N, 1 \leq k \leq n \} \). Thus, if \( w = \Phi_A(z) = (F_{a_1}(z), \ldots, F_{a_n}(z)) \in K \) then we have that \( \epsilon \leq |F_{a_j}(z)| \leq N \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). It follows from (2.2) that each \( |z_k| \) is bounded and bounded away from zero by constants depending on \( \epsilon, N, \) the integers \( b_{j,k} \), and \( \det(A) \). This implies that \( \Phi_A^{-1}(K) \) is compact, proving (a). Part (b) follows from the holomorphic inverse function theorem since \( J\Phi_A(z) \neq 0 \) for all \( z \in C_*^n \).

The explicit nature of \( \Phi_A \) allows us to describe the pre-image of any point in \( C_*^n \). To this end, and for any \( z \in C_*^n \), let us write its polar form

\[
z = (r_1 e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \ldots, r_n e^{2\pi i \theta_n}) = r \otimes \exp[2\pi i \theta],
\]

where \( r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{O}^n \) and \( \exp[v] = (e^{\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\theta_n}) \) for any row vector \( v \). Thus \( r \) is uniquely determined and \( \theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is determined up to translation by an element of \( \mathbb{Z}^n \).

Lemma 2.3. Let \( A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) be non-singular and let \( w = \rho \otimes \exp[2\pi i \phi] \in C_*^n \).

(a) Then there exists \( z_0 \in C_*^n \) such that \( \Phi_A(z_0) = w \).

(b) If \( z_1, z_2 \in C_*^n \) are given by the polar forms \( z_1 = r_1 \otimes \exp[2\pi i \theta_1] \) and \( z_2 = r_2 \otimes \exp[2\pi i \theta_2] \), then \( \Phi_A(z_1) = \Phi_A(z_2) \) if and only if \( r_1 = r_2 \) and \( (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot A^t \in \mathbb{Z}^n \).

(c) The inverse image of \( w \) under \( \Phi_A \) can be identified with the group \( G_A \) via the one-to-one and onto mapping \( \mathbb{G}_A \ni [m] \mapsto \xi([m]) \otimes z_0 \), defined in (1.12). In particular, for every \( w \in C_*^n \), the cardinality of \( \Phi_A^{-1}(w) \) is the same, and equals \( \#(G_A) \).

Proof. If \( z = r e^{2\pi i \theta} \) then \( \Phi_A(z) = (F_{a_1}(r) e^{2\pi i \phi_1}, \ldots, F_{a_n}(r) e^{2\pi i \phi_n}) \), and so

\[
\Phi_A(z) = w \iff (F_{a_1}(r) e^{2\pi i \phi_1}, \ldots, F_{a_n}(r) e^{2\pi i \phi_n}) = (\rho_1 e^{2\pi i \phi_1}, \ldots, \rho_n e^{2\pi i \phi_n})
\]

\[
\iff \Phi_A(r) = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \cdot A^t = \phi + m \quad \text{for some} \ m \in \mathbb{Z}^n
\]

\[
\iff \Phi_A(r) = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \phi \cdot (A^{-1})^t + m \cdot (A^{-1})^t \quad \text{for some} \ m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.
\]

Now \( \Phi_A : \mathbb{O}^n \to \mathbb{O}^n \) is invertible by Proposition 2.1 part (c). For the rest of this proof, let us denote by \( \Phi_A^{-1}(\rho) \) the unique pre-image of \( \rho \) in \( \mathbb{O}^n \). Set \( z_0 = \Phi_A^{-1}(\rho) \otimes \exp[2\pi i \phi \cdot (A^{-1})^t] \).

It follows that \( \Phi_A(z_0) = w \), proving (a). Next, if \( \Phi_A(r_1 \otimes \exp[2\pi i \theta_1]) = \Phi_A(r_2 \otimes \exp[2\pi i \theta_2]) \) then \( \Phi_A(r_1) = \Phi_A(r_2) \) and \( (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot A^t = m \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \). Since \( \Phi_A \) is invertible on \( \mathbb{O}^n \) it follows that \( r_1 = r_2 \), proving (b). Finally, for part (c) the computation above shows that the inverse image of \( w \) under \( \Phi_A \) is contained in the set of all points of the form

\[
z_m = \Phi_A^{-1}(\rho) \otimes \exp[2\pi i \phi \cdot (A^{-1})^t + 2\pi i m \cdot (A^{-1})^t], \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.
\]
But $z_{m_1} = z_{m_2}$ if and only if $(m_1 - m_2) \cdot (A^{-1})' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, which means that $m_1 - m_2 \in \mathcal{C}(A)$. Thus the map $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mapsto z_m$ lifts naturally to $[m] \in G_A \mapsto z_m = z_{[m]}$. Moreover, a comparison of the expression above with the definition of $\xi$ in (1.12) shows that

$$z_{[m]} = z_0 \otimes \exp[2\pi i m \cdot (A^t)^{-1}] = z_0 \otimes \xi([m]).$$

This completes the proof. \qed

### 3. The group $G_A$

To describe the structure of the group $G_A$, we use a normal form for integer matrices, sometimes called the Smith normal form. We state this in the lemma below; a proof of it can be found in [10, Chapter 12, Theorem 4.3], [10, Chapter 1, Theorem 11.3], or [8, Chapter 3, Theorem 5].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be non-singular.

(a) There exist $S, T, A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $|\det(S)| = |\det(T)| = 1$ and $A$ a diagonal matrix such that

$$S \cdot A \cdot T = \Lambda.$$

(b) The diagonal entries $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of $A$ satisfy $1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$, and each $\lambda_j$ divides $\lambda_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. They are called the invariant factors of $A$.

**Remark 3.2.** Since $|\det(S)| = |\det(T)| = 1$, it follows from Cramer’s rule that the inverse matrices $S^{-1}, T^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$; i.e. they also have integer entries.

#### 3.1. Structure of $G_A$.

If $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the invariant factors of a non-singular matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, the next lemma shows that $G_A$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z}$. In order to define the isomorphism, we set

$$s = \max\{\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \lambda_\ell = 1\},$$

with the convention that $s = 0$ if $\lambda_1 > 1$. Then

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z} = \bigoplus_{j=s+1}^n \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $S \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix from Lemma 3.1. Then define $\widehat{\iota} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\widehat{\iota}(m) = (\pi_1(\langle e_1, m \cdot S' \rangle), \ldots, \pi_n(\langle e_n, m \cdot S' \rangle)),$$

where $\pi_j : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z}$ is the group homomorphism which sends each integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to its equivalence class $[m]_{\lambda_j} \in \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z}$. If $s \geq 1$, then $\pi_j \equiv 0$ for $j \leq s$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{\iota}$ is a group homomorphism.

**Lemma 3.3.** For $\widehat{\iota}$ as in (3.4), the following conclusions hold.

(a) $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{C}(A)) = 0$; hence $\widehat{\iota}$ induces a group homomorphism $\iota : G_A \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/\lambda_j \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) The homomorphism $\iota$ is an isomorphism and so $\#(G_A) = |\prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j| = |\det(A)|$.

(c) $G_A$ is generated by the $(n - s)$ elements $\{[e_{s+1} \cdot (S')^{-1}], \ldots, [e_n \cdot (S')^{-1}]\}$. 
Proof. If \( n \in \mathfrak{c}(A) \) then \( n = m \cdot A^t \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \). It follows from the Smith normal form \((3.1)\) for \( A \) that
\[
n \cdot S^t = m \cdot A^t \cdot S^t = m \cdot (T^{-1})^t \cdot \Lambda \cdot (S^{-1})^t \cdot S^t = m \cdot (T^{-1})^t \cdot \Lambda.
\]
Since \( m \cdot (T^{-1})^t \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) it follows that the \( k\)th entry of \( n \cdot S^t \) is an integer multiple of \( \lambda_k \) and so \( \pi_k((e_k, n \cdot S^t) = [0]_{\lambda_k} \) and this establishes (a).

Now suppose that \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) and that \( \iota([n]) = 0 \). Then \( \pi_j((e_j, n \cdot S^t)) = [0]_{\lambda_j} \in \mathbb{Z} / \lambda_j \mathbb{Z} \) so there exists \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) such that \( n \cdot S^t = (k_1 \lambda_1, \ldots, k_n \lambda_n) = k \cdot \Lambda \). Thus
\[
n = k \cdot \Lambda \cdot (S^t)^{-1} = k \cdot A^t \cdot (S^t)^{-1} = k \cdot T^t \cdot A^t \in \mathfrak{c}(A),
\]
which means that \( [n] = [0] \in \mathbb{G}_A \), and so \( \iota \) is one-to-one. Next, fix any \( ([k_1]_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, [k_n]_{\lambda_n}) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / \lambda_i \mathbb{Z} \). Set \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \) and \( n = k \cdot (S^t)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \). Then
\[
\iota([n]) = \iota(0) = (\pi_1((e_1, k \cdot (S^t)^{-1} \cdot S^t)), \ldots, \pi_n((e_n, k \cdot (S^t)^{-1} \cdot S^t)))
\]
\[
= (\pi_1((e_1, k)), \ldots, \pi_n((e_n, k)))
\]
\[
= ([1]_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, [k_n]_{\lambda_n}),
\]
which shows that \( \iota \) is surjective, proving (b).

It remains to prove part (c). For \( \lambda_j > 1 \), the group \( \mathbb{Z} / \lambda_j \mathbb{Z} \) under addition is generated by \([1]_{\lambda_j}\). In view of the identification \((3.3)\), the group \( \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z} / \lambda_j \mathbb{Z} \) is generated by the elements \( \{ f_k : s + 1 \leq k \leq n \} \), with \( f_k = (0, \ldots, 0, [0]_{\lambda_k+1}, \ldots, [1]_{\lambda_k}, \ldots, [0]_{\lambda_n}) \), where all the components are zero except the \( k\)th, which is one. But \( \iota\left([f_k \cdot (S^t)^{-1}]\right) = f_k \) since
\[
[e_j \cdot (S^t)^{-1}]_{\lambda_k} = \pi_k((e_k, e_j \cdot (S^t)^{-1} \cdot S^t)) = \pi_k((e_k, e_j)) = \begin{cases} [1]_{\lambda_j} & \text{if } k = j \\ [0]_{\lambda_j} & \text{if } k \neq j \end{cases},
\]
completing the proof. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.4. If \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) is non-singular and \( w \in \mathbb{C}^n \) then the cardinality of the inverse image \( \Phi_{\Lambda}^{-1}(\{w\}) \) is \( |\det(A)| \).

Proof. This follows by combining Lemma 2.3 (a) and Lemma 3.3 (b). \( \square \)

3.2. The characters of \( \mathbb{G}_A \).

A character of a group \( G \) is a group homomorphism \( \chi : G \to T = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1 \} \). The set of all characters is denoted by \( \widehat{G} \) and is a group under point-wise multiplication. Let us recall that if \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \), then \([m]\) denotes its equivalence class in \( \mathbb{G}_A = \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathfrak{c}(A) \) and \([m]\) denotes its equivalence class in \( \mathbb{G}_A^* = \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathfrak{c}(A^t) \).

Lemma 3.5. Let \( A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) be non-singular.

(a) If \( \chi \) is a character of \( \mathbb{G}_A \) there exists \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) so that
\[
\chi([m]) = \exp[2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle] \quad \text{for every } [m] \in \mathbb{G}_A.
\]

Conversely, every \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) defines a character in this way.

(b) Two elements \( b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) define the same character \( \chi \) if and only if \( b_1 - b_2 = n \cdot A \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^n \); i.e. \( b_1 - b_2 \in \mathfrak{c}(A^t) \).
(c) If \([b] \in G_A\) define \(\varphi([b])([m]) := \exp[2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle]\). Then \(\varphi : G_A \to \hat{G}_A\) is a group isomorphism.

Proof. It is easy to check that every character \(\hat{\chi}\) of \(Z^n\) is given by
\[
\hat{\chi}(m) = \exp[2\pi i \langle m, \theta \rangle] \quad \text{for a unique} \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n.
\]
If \(\chi\) is a character of \(G_A = Z^n / C(A)\), it lifts to a character \(\hat{\chi}\) of \(Z^n\) and so \(\hat{\chi}(m) = \exp[2\pi i \langle m, \theta \rangle]\) for a unique \(\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n\). Moreover \(\hat{\chi}\) must be the identity on \(C(A)\), hence
\[
(3.6) \quad \exp[2\pi i \langle m, A^i, \theta \rangle] = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in Z^n.
\]
Thus \(\theta_1 \cdot A \in Z^n\) and so \(\theta = b \cdot A^{-1}\) for some \(b \in Z^n\). On the other hand, if \(b \in Z^n\) then \(m \mapsto \exp[2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle]\) is clearly a character of \(Z^n\) which is the identity on the subgroup \(m \in C(A)\). This proves (a).

If \(b_1, b_2 \in Z^n\) define the same character of \(G_A\) then \(\exp[2\pi i \langle m, (b_1 - b_2) \cdot A^{-1} \rangle] = 1\) for all \(m \in Z^n\), and so \((b_1 - b_2) \cdot A^{-1} = p \in Z^n\), and this proves (b). Assertion (c) then follows from (a) and (b).

We now define certain special characters of \(G_A\). Let \(\varphi : G_A \to \hat{G}_A\) be the isomorphism from part (c) of Lemma 3.5. For \(1 \leq k \leq n\) define
\[
(3.7) \quad \xi_k([m]) = \varphi([e_k])([m]) = \exp[2\pi i \langle m, e_k \cdot A^{-1} \rangle] \in \mathbb{T}.
\]
We note that this agrees with the definition of \(\xi_k\) in (1.11).

Proposition 3.6. The characters \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\) generate the dual group \(\hat{G}_A\).

Proof. By part (a) of Lemma 3.5 every character \(\chi\) of \(G_A\) is of the form \(\chi = \varphi([b])\) for some \(b \in Z^n\). We can write \(b = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k e_k \in Z^n\), from which it follows that
\[
\chi = \varphi([b]) = \prod_{k=1}^n \varphi([e_k])^{b_k} = \prod_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{b_k}.
\]
The last equation shows that \(\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}\) is a set of generators.

3.3. The action of \(G_A\). Given the characters \(\xi_k\) defined in (3.7) let us recall the definition of \(\xi\) in (1.11). We use \(\xi\) to define an action of the group \(G_A\) on the set \(\mathbb{C}^n\) via the relation (c). A group action is faithful if for any two distinct elements of the group, there exist some element of the set that produces distinct images under the action.

Lemma 3.7. The following conclusions hold.

(a) For any \([m_1], [m_2] \in G_A\) and any \(z \in \mathbb{C}^n\),
\[\xi([m_1 + m_2]) \otimes z = \xi([m_1]) \otimes (\xi([m_2]) \otimes z);\]
\[\xi([m_1]) \otimes z = \xi([m_2]) \otimes z \quad \text{for some} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad \text{then} \quad [m_1] = [m_2].\]

In particular, the mapping \(([m], z) \mapsto [m] \otimes z\) is a faithful action of \(G_A\) on \(\mathbb{C}^n\).

(b) \(\Phi_A(\xi([m])) \otimes z) = \Phi_A(z)\) for all \([m] \in G_A\) and all \(z \in \mathbb{C}^n\).

(c) If \(z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n\) and \(\Phi_A(z_1) = \Phi_A(z_2)\) then there exists \([m] \in G_A\) such that \(\xi([m]) \otimes z_2 = z_1\).

(d) If \(b \in Z^n\) then \(F_b(\xi([m])) = \exp[2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle]\).
Proof. The property in (i) of part (a) follows from the fact that each $\xi_k$ is a character of $\mathbb{G}_A$.

If $\xi([m_1]) \otimes z = \xi([m_2]) \otimes z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then $\xi([m_1]) = \xi([m_2])$ since all the components of $z$ are non-zero. Hence for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\exp \left[ 2\pi i \langle m_1, e_k \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right] = \exp \left[ 2\pi i \langle m_2, e_k \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right],$$

or

$$\exp \left[ 2\pi i \langle e_k, (m_1 - m_2)(A^t)^{-1} \rangle \right] = 1,$$

so $(m_1 - m_2) \cdot (A^t)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. But this says that $m_1 - m_2 \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and so $[m_1] = [m_2]$, completing the proof of (a).

Part (b) follows from Lemma 2.3 (c), with $z_0 = z$ and $w = \Phi_A(z)$ in the notation of that lemma.

Next, let $z_\ell = r_\ell \otimes \exp[2\pi i \theta_\ell] \in \mathbb{C}_e^*$ for $\ell = 1, 2$. From Lemma 2.3 (b) we know that

$$\Phi_A(z_1) = \Phi_A(z_2)$$

if and only if $r_1 = r_2$ and $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + m \cdot (A^t)^{-1}$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. But this is true if and only if $z_2 = \xi([m]) \otimes z_1$, establishing (c).

Finally, for part (d) we have

$$F_b(\xi([m])) = \prod_{j=1}^n \exp \left[ 2\pi i b_j \langle m, e_j \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right]$$

$$= \exp \left[ 2\pi i \langle m, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j e_j \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right] = \exp \left[ 2\pi i \langle m, b \cdot A^{-1} \rangle \right].$$

\[ \square \]

4. Group actions and characters

In this section we recall some basic facts about group characters and group actions for an arbitrary finite abelian group $G$. Let $\#(G)$ denote the cardinality of $G$ and let $e$ denote the identity element. The following orthogonality relations are well-known; see for example [4, Corollary 4.2] for a proof.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Suppose that $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \hat{G}$ and $g \in G$. Then

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g) \overline{\chi_2(g)} = \begin{cases} \#(G) & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} \#(G) & \text{if } g = e, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq e. \end{cases}$$

Now suppose that $G$ has an action $\rho$ on a set $X$; i.e. $\rho$ is a group homomorphism from $G$ to the group of permutations of $X$. If $g \in G$ the action of $\rho(g)$ on $x \in X$ is denoted by $\rho(g) \cdot x$.

The action $\rho$ is **faithful** if $\rho(g_1) = \rho(g_2)$ implies $g_1 = g_2$. If $F : X \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$ set

$$\Pi_\chi[F](x) := F_\chi(x) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) F(\rho(g) \cdot x).$$

Note that in the context of this paper, the above definition of $\Pi_\chi$ is the same as the one specified in (1.15). A positive measure $\mu$ on $X$ is said to be **invariant under the action $\rho$ of**
\(G\) if for all \(g \in G\) and all \(f \in L^1(X, d\mu)\) the following relation holds:

\[(4.2) \quad \int_X f(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_X f(\rho(g) \cdot x) \, d\mu(x).\]

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose that \(G\) is a finite abelian group, equipped with an action \(\rho\) on a set \(X\), which in turn supports a positive measure \(\mu\) that is invariant under \(\rho\). Let \(F : X \to \mathbb{C}\).

(a) \(F(x) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} F\chi(x).\)

(b) If \(h \in G\) then \(F\chi(\rho(h) \cdot x) = \overline{\chi(h)} F\chi(x) = \chi(h)^{-1} F\chi(x).\)

(c) If \(G : X \to \mathbb{C}\) and \(G(\rho(h) \cdot x) = \chi(h)^{-1} G(x)\) for all \(h \in G\) then \(\Pi_\chi[G] = G.\)

(d) If \(F \in L^2(X, d\mu)\) and \(\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \hat{G}\) then

\[
\int_X F_{\chi_1}(x) \overline{F_{\chi_2}(x)} \, d\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \|F_{\chi_1}\|^2_{L^2(X, d\mu)} & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2. \end{cases}
\]

(e) If \(\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \hat{G}\) then \(\Pi_{\chi_1}[\Pi_{\chi_2}[F]](x) = \begin{cases} \Pi_{\chi_1}[F](x) & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi_1 \neq \chi_2. \end{cases}\)

**Proof.** We have \(\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(x) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \left[ \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(g) \right] F(\rho(g) \cdot x) = F(x)\) by Proposition 4.1 which gives (a). Next, using a reparametrization \(g \in G \mapsto gh\) in the sum, we arrive at the relation

\[
F\chi(\rho(h) \cdot x) = \#(G)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) F(\rho(g) \cdot \rho(h) \cdot x) = \#(G)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) F(\rho(gh) \cdot x)
\]

\[
= \#(G)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(gh^{-1}) F(\rho(g) \cdot x) = \overline{\chi(h)} \#(G)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) F(\rho(g) \cdot x),
\]

which gives (b). A similar argument gives (c). If \(\chi_1 \neq \chi_2\) then the defining property (4.2) of an invariant measure yields

\[
\int_X F_{\chi_1}(x) \overline{F_{\chi_2}(x)} \, d\mu(x) = \#(G)^{-2} \int_X \sum_{g,h \in G} \chi_1(g) \overline{\chi_2(h)} F(\rho(g) \cdot x) \overline{F(\rho(h) \cdot x)} \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
= \#(G)^{-2} \int_X \sum_{g,h \in G} \chi_1(g) \overline{\chi_2(h)} F(\rho(gh^{-1}) \cdot x) \overline{F(x)} \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
= \#(G)^{-2} \int_X \sum_{g,h \in G} \chi_1(gh) \overline{\chi_2(h)} F(\rho(g) \cdot x) \overline{F(x)} \, d\mu(x)
\]

\[
= \#(G)^{-2} \int_X \sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g) \left[ \sum_{h \in G} \chi_1(h) \overline{\chi_2(h)} \right] F(\rho(g) \cdot x) \overline{F(x)} \, d\mu(x) = 0
\]

by Proposition 4.1. Finally
\[ \Pi_{\chi_1} \left[ \Pi_{\chi_2} [F] \right] (x) = \Pi_{\chi_1} \left[ (G)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_2(g) F(\rho(g) \cdot x) \right] \]
\[ = (G)^{-2} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_2(g) \sum_{h \in G} \chi_1(h) F(\rho(g) \cdot \rho(h) \cdot x) \]
\[ = (G)^{-2} \sum_{g,h \in G} \chi_1(h) \chi_2(g) F(\rho(gh) \cdot x) \]
\[ = (G)^{-2} \sum_{g,h \in G} \chi_1(h) \chi_2(gh^{-1}) F(\rho(g) \cdot x) \]
\[ = (G)^{-2} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_2(g) \left[ \sum_{h \in G} \chi_1(h) \chi_2(h) \right] F(\rho(g) \cdot x). \]

and (d) then follows from Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. If \( F \in \mathcal{L}^2(X, d\mu) \), then the norm of \( F \) decomposes as follows:
\[ ||F||^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(X, d\mu)} = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} ||F^{\chi}||^2_{\mathcal{L}^2(X, d\mu)}. \]

Now let \( \mathcal{S}(X) \) be a vector space of functions on \( X \) that is invariant under the action \( \rho \) of \( G \), i.e., if \( F \in \mathcal{S}(X) \), then for every \( g \in G \), the function given by \( x \in X \mapsto F(\rho(g) \cdot x) \) is also in \( \mathcal{S}(X) \). In this case, it follows from the definition (1.1) of \( \Pi_{\chi} \) and Proposition 1.1 that the linear operator \( \Pi_{\chi} \) maps \( \mathcal{S}(X) \) into \( \mathcal{S}(X) \). For each \( \chi \in \hat{G} \) set
\[ \mathcal{S}(X)^\chi := \Pi_{\chi}[\mathcal{S}(X)] = \{ F \in \mathcal{S}(X) : F = \Pi_{\chi}[F] \} \subset \mathcal{S}(X). \]

The following is then an easy consequence of Proposition 1.2.

Corollary 4.4. For each \( \chi \in \hat{G} \), the mapping \( \Pi_{\chi} : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(X) \) is a linear map. Moreover,
(a) \( \mathcal{S}(X)^{\chi_1} \cap \mathcal{S}(X)^{\chi_2} = \{0\} \) if \( \chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \).
(b) \( \mathcal{S}(X) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \mathcal{S}(X)^\chi \).
(c) If \( \mathcal{S}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(X, d\mu) \) then the subspaces \( \{ \mathcal{S}(X)^\chi : \chi \in \hat{G} \} \) are mutually orthogonal.
In particular, if \( \mathcal{S}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(X, d\mu) \), then \( \Pi_{\chi} \) acting on \( \mathcal{S}(X) \) is an orthogonal projection, in which case the direct sum in (d) gives an orthogonal decomposition of \( \mathcal{S}(X) \) into mutually orthogonal subspaces.

5. Proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.7

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

According to Lemma 3.7, the mapping \((m, z) \to \xi([m]) \otimes z\) from equation (1.12) defines a group action \( \rho \) of \( \mathbb{G}_A \) on \( \mathbb{C}_z^* \). Set \( X = \Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{C}_z^* = \Omega_1 \setminus \mathbb{H} \). By assumption, \( \Omega_1 \) is invariant under this action; hence it follows from the definition of domain invariance on page 4 that \((m, z) \to \xi([m]) \otimes z\) generates a group action of \( \mathbb{G}_A \) on \( X \) as well. Further, if \( \omega_1 \) is a continuous non-negative weight function that is invariant under \( \rho \) according to the definition
on page 4, then the measure $d\mu(z) = \omega_1(z)dV(z)$ is also an invariant measure on $X$, in the sense of (4.2).

We now choose two $\rho$-invariant vector spaces of functions. The first is $S(X) = L^2(\Omega_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}, d\mu)$, which is isomorphic to $L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$, since $\mathbb{H}$ has Lebesgue measure zero. The second choice of $S(X)$ is the subspace of $L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$ consisting of holomorphic functions on $\Omega_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}$ that admit a holomorphic extension to $\Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{H}$. Note that the latter space is isomorphic to the weighted Bergman space $A_{\mathbb{H}}$. The results of Section 4 apply for these choices of $S(X)$. Parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.3 then follow immediately from Corollary 4.4.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Part (a): The following two identities follow respectively from part (a) of Lemma 3.7 and part (b) of Proposition 4.2 for every $[m] \in G_A$,

$$F_b(\xi([m]) \otimes z) = \chi([m]) F_b(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_\chi[f](\xi([m]) \otimes z) = \chi([m])^{-1}\Pi_\chi[f](z).$$

Combining these two observations we find that

$$F_b(\xi([m]) \otimes z)\Pi_\chi[f](\xi([m]) \otimes z) = F_b(z)\Pi_\chi[f](z),$$

so $\Pi_\chi[g] F_b$ is invariant under the action of $G_A$. Thus, the function $f_b = T_b[f]$ defined by

$$(5.1) \quad f_b(w) = F_b(z)\Pi_\chi[f](z) \quad \text{for any} \ z \in \Phi_A^{-1}(w), \ w \in \Omega_2^*$$

is well-defined as a function on $\Omega_2^*$. \hfill \square

Part (c): If $f$ is holomorphic on $\Omega_1^*$, then so is $\Pi_\chi[f]$. Any function $F_b$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_s^*$, and hence on $\Omega_2^*$. The function $f_b$ is a composition of their product $F_b(\cdot)\Pi_\chi[f](\cdot)$ with $\Phi_A^{-1}$. We have shown in Proposition 2.2(b) that $\Phi_A : \mathbb{C}_s^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_s^*$ is locally a biholomorphic mapping. Thus $f_b$ is holomorphic on $\Omega_2^*$. \hfill \square

Part (b): Next, for any $g : \Omega_2^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let us set $f(z) = g(\Phi_A(z)) F_{-b}(z)$. We know from Lemma 3.7(c) and (d) that $\Phi_A(\xi([m]) \otimes z) = \Phi_A(z)$ and $F_{-b}(\xi([m])) = \chi([m])^{-1}$. Substituting these into the expression (1.15) for $\Pi_\chi[f]$, we arrive at

$$\Pi_\chi[f](z) = \frac{1}{\#(G_A)} \sum_{[m] \in G_A} \chi([m]) f(\xi[m] \otimes z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\#(G_A)} \sum_{[m] \in G_A} \chi([m]) g \circ \Phi_A(\xi([m]) \otimes z) F_{-b}(\xi([m]) \otimes z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\#(G_A)} \sum_{[m] \in G_A} \chi([m]) g(\Phi_A(z)) \chi([m])^{-1} F_{-b}(z) = f(z).$$

The same relation also yields the second claim; namely, for every $w = \Phi_A(z) \in \Omega_2$,

$$T_b[f](w) = \Pi_\chi[f](z) F_b(z) = f \circ \Phi_A(z) F_b(z) = g(w). \hfill \square$$
Part (d): For any integrable function $G : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{C}$, the change of variables $w = \Phi_A(z)$ gives

$$
\int_{\Omega_2} G(w) \, dV(w) = \det(A)^{-1} \int_{\Omega_1} G(\Phi_A(z)) |J\Phi_A(z)|^2 \, dV(z),
$$

since $\Phi_A$ is $\det(A)$-to-one. Now $\omega_2(\Phi_A(z)) = \omega_1(z)$, and

$$
|J\Phi_A(z)|^2 = \det(A)^2 |F_{1-A^{-1}}(z)|^2, \quad |F_c(\Phi_A(z))|^2 = |F_{c-A}(z)|^2.
$$

Given any $f \in L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$, there exists according to part (a) a unique function $f_b = T_b[f] : \Omega_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ so that $g_b(\Phi_A(z)) = \Pi_\chi[f](z) F_b(z)$. Applying the change of variable formula (5.2) with $G(w) = |T_b[f](w)|^2 \eta_b(w)$ and invoking the relations (5.3), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega_2} |T_b[f](w)|^2 \eta_b(w) \, dV(w) = \det(A)^{-1} \int_{\Omega_2} |T_b[f](w)|^2 |F_c(w)|^2 \omega_2(w) \, dV(w)
$$

$$
= \det(A)^{-2} \int_{\Omega_1} |\Pi_\chi[f](z)|^2 |F_b(z)|^2 |F_c(\Phi_A(z))|^2 |J\Phi_A(z)|^2 \omega_2(\Phi_A(z)) \, dV(z)
$$

$$
= \int_{\Omega_1} |\Pi_\chi[f](z)|^2 |F_{c-A+b}(z)|^2 |F_{1-A^{-1}}(z)|^2 \omega_1(z) \, dV(z)
$$

$$
= \int_{\Omega_1} |\Pi_\chi[f](z)|^2 |F_{c-A+b+1-A^{-1}}(z)|^2 \omega_1(z) \, dV(z)
$$

$$
= \int_{\Omega_1} |\Pi_\chi[f](z)|^2 \omega_1(z) \, dV(z).
$$

This completes the proof of (d). \hfill \square

Part (e): The definition (5.1) of $T_b$ shows that it is linear. Let us first show that $T_b$ is injective, on $L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$, and hence on $A^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1)$. If $T_b[f] \equiv 0$ in $L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$, then the definition (6.3) dictates that $\Pi_\chi[f] = f$. It follows then from the norm identity (6.20) in part (d) that

$$
T_b[f] \equiv 0 \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\Omega_2, \eta_b) \implies \Pi_\chi[f] = f \equiv 0 \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1), \text{ proving injectivity.}
$$

Surjectivity of $T_b$ on $L^2(\Omega_2, \omega_2)$ and on $A^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b)$ follows from parts (d) and (e). Given $g \in L^2(\Omega_2, \omega_2)$, the function $f$ defined in part (d) lies in $L^2(\Omega_1, \omega_1)$ and obeys $T_b[f] = g$. If $g \in A^2(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b)$, the same function $f$ is also in $A^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1)$, by virtue of part (e). The identity (6.20) in part (d) shows that $T_b$ preserves norms, and hence is an isometry. This proves the two isomorphisms claimed in (6.21). \hfill \square

Part (f): Since $\Omega_1^*$ and $\omega_1$ are both invariant under the group action (c), the space $A^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1)$ admits the direct sum decomposition ensured by Theorem 1.2. Combining this with part (e), we see that

$$
A^2(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) = \bigoplus \{ A^2_\chi(\Omega_1^*, \omega_1) : \chi \in \hat{G}_A \}
$$

$$
\cong \bigoplus \{ A^2_\chi(\Omega_2^*, \eta_b) : \chi \in \hat{G}_A, \varphi([b_\chi]) = \chi \}.\]
It remains to prove the Bergman kernel identities \((1.22)\) and \((1.24)\). For each \(\chi \in \hat{G}_A\) let \(\{\psi^\chi_k(\cdot; \omega_1), \psi^\chi_k(\cdot; \omega_1), \ldots\}\) be a complete orthonormal basis for \(A^2(\Omega^*_1, \omega_1)\). Since 

\[
A^2(\Omega^*_1, \omega_1) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} A^2(\Omega^*_1, \omega_1)
\]

is an orthogonal decomposition, the set of functions \(\{\psi^\chi_k(\cdot; \omega_1) : \chi \in \hat{G}_A, k \geq 1\}\) is a complete orthonormal basis for \(A^2(\Omega^*_1, \omega_1)\). In view of \((1.2)\), this yields the following expression for the Bergman kernel:

\[
B_{\Omega^*_1}(z, w; \omega_1) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^\chi_k(z; \omega_1) \overline{\psi^\chi_k(w; \omega_1)}, \quad z, w \in \Omega^*_1.
\]

For each \(\chi \in \hat{G}_A\), let us choose \(b_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}^n\) so that \(\varphi([b_\chi]) = \chi\). Then according to \((3)\), there are functions \(\theta^\chi_k \in A^2(\Omega^*_2, \eta_{b_\chi})\), \(k = 1, 2, \ldots\), so that \(T_{b_\chi}[\psi^\chi_k(\cdot; \omega_1)] = \theta^\chi_k(\cdot; \eta_{b_\chi})\); in other words,

\[
\theta^\chi_k(\Phi_{A}(z); \eta_{b_\chi}) = \psi^\chi_k(z; \omega_1) F_{b_\chi}(z).
\]

Since \(T_b\) is norm-preserving, and hence angle-preserving, for all \(b \in \mathbb{Z}^n\), it follows that for every \(\chi \in \hat{G}_A\), the set of functions \(\{\theta^\chi_k(\cdot; \eta_{b_\chi}) : k \geq 1\}\) is a complete orthonormal basis for \(A^2(\Omega^*_2; \eta_{b_\chi})\). By \((1.2)\), this again implies

\[
B_{\Omega^*_2}(\xi, \zeta; \eta_{b_\chi}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^\chi_k(\xi; \eta_{b_\chi}) \overline{\theta^\chi_k(\zeta; \eta_{b_\chi})}, \quad \xi, \zeta \in \Omega^*_2.
\]

Combining \((5.4)\) and \((5.5)\) gives

\[
B_{\Omega^*_1}(z, w; \omega_1) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^\chi_k(z; \omega_1) \overline{\psi^\chi_k(w; \omega_1)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{-b_\chi}(z) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \psi^\chi_k(z) F_{b_\chi}(z) \overline{\psi^\chi_k(w)} F_{b_\chi}(w) \right) \right] F_{-b_\chi}(w)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{-b_\chi}(z) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^\chi_k(\Phi_{A}(z)) \overline{\theta^\chi_k(\Phi_{A}(w))} \right] F_{-b_\chi}(w)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}_A} F_{-b_\chi}(z) B_{\Omega^*_2}^{\eta_{b_\chi}}(\Phi_{A}(z), \Phi_{A}(w)) F_{-b_\chi}(w).
\]

This is the relation \((1.22)\). The relation \((1.24)\) follows from \((1.22)\) by setting \(z = w\), completing the proof.

\(\square\)

### 5.3. Proof of Proposition \((1.6)\)

**Proof.** Since the inclusion \(A^2(\Omega, \omega) \subseteq A^2(\Omega^*, \omega)\) holds trivially for any domain \(\Omega\) and any weight \(\omega\), we will focus on the reverse inclusion. In other words, given any admissible weight \(\omega\) of monomial type on \(\Omega\), and any \(f \in A^2(\Omega^*, \omega)\), our goal is to show that \(f\) admits a holomorphic extension to \(\Omega \cap \mathbb{H}\).
Suppose that $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_n) \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{H}$. Let $m$ denote the number of indices $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $u_j = 0$. Without loss of generality suppose that $u_1 = u_2 = \cdots = u_m = 0$. Write $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}'')$, where $\mathbf{u}' = (u_1, \cdots, u_m) = \mathbf{0}' \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbf{u}'' = (u_{m+1}, \cdots, u_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that the polydisk

$$
\mathbb{D}_n(\mathbf{u}; \epsilon \mathbf{l}) = \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \cdots, z_n) : |z_j - u_j| < \epsilon, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n \right\}
$$

is contained in $\Omega$. In particular, choosing $\epsilon < \min\{|u_j|/2 : m < j \leq n\}$ ensures that $\mathbb{D}_{n-m}(\mathbf{u}''; \epsilon \mathbf{l}'')$ avoids the coordinate hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^{n-m}$.

Let $\mathbb{A}_m(0', \epsilon \mathbf{l}')$ denote the $m$-fold Cartesian product of the punctured disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < \epsilon\}$. The choice of $\epsilon$ shows that

$$
\mathbb{U}_n = \mathbb{A}_m(0'; \epsilon \mathbf{l}') \times \mathbb{D}_{n-m}(\mathbf{u}''; \epsilon \mathbf{l}'') \subseteq \Omega^*.
$$

Since $f \in \mathbb{A}^2(\Omega^*; \omega)$, it restricts to a function in $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; \omega)$. Let us recall that $\omega(z) = \|F_\mu(z)\|^2 \vartheta(z)$ is of monomial type on $\Omega$. In view of the definition (1.26), this implies that $\vartheta$ is bounded above and below by positive constants on $\mathbb{D}_n(0; \epsilon \mathbf{l})$. Hence $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; \omega) = \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; |F_\mu|^2)$, and the $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; \omega)$ norm of $f$ is bounded above and below by constant multiples of

$$
\int_{\mathbb{U}_n} |f(z)|^2 \times |F_\mu(z)|^2 \, dV(z).
$$

Write $\mathbf{\mu} = (\mathbf{\mu}', \mathbf{\mu}'') \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. Since $\mathbb{U}_n$ is the Cartesian product of a Reinhardt domain with a polydisk, and the weight $|F_\mu|^2$ is also of product type, the weighted Bergman space $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; |F_\mu|^2)$ is well-understood. A complete orthogonal basis for $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; |F_\mu|^2)$ is given by the set of monomial-type functions $\{F_k(z)F_\ell(z'' - \mathbf{u}'') : k \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{\mu}'], \ell \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{n-m}\}$, where

$$
\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{\mu}'] := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^m : F_k \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{A}_m(0'; \epsilon \mathbf{l}'), |F_\mu'|^2)\}
$$

$$
= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^m : 2k + 2\mathbf{\mu}' + \epsilon \mathbf{l}' \text{ has strictly positive entries}\}.
$$

Since $\omega$ is admissible, we know from the definition (1.27) that $\mu_j < 1/2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Therefore any $k = (k_1, \cdots, k_m) \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{\mu}']$ must obey $k_j > -\mu_j - 1/2 > -1$, and hence must have non-negative integer entries. Thus any $f \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; \omega)$ is of the form

$$
f(z) = \sum_{k} F_k(z')f_k(z''), \text{ where } z = (z', z'') \in \mathbb{U}_n,
$$

where the sum $\sum_{k}$ ranges over multi-indices $k \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{\mu}']$, and the functions $f_k$ are analytic on $\mathbb{D}_{n-m}(\mathbf{u}''; \epsilon \mathbf{l}'')$. The series converges both in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{U}_n; \omega)$ and also absolutely and uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathbb{U}_n$. Since the series (5.6) only admits non-negative integer powers of $z'$, an application of the iterated Cauchy integral formula shows that such a function $f$ extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{D}_n(\mathbf{u}; \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{l})$, and hence to $\mathbf{u}$.

\[ \square \]

5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.7

Proof. Part (a): If $\mathbb{A}^2(\Omega_1\omega_1) = \mathbb{A}^2(\Omega_1\omega_1)$, then $B_{\Omega_1}'(\cdot, \cdot; \omega_1) \equiv B_{\Omega_1}'(\cdot, \cdot; \omega_1)$. Thus (1.28) and (1.29) follow respectively from (1.23) and (1.24). The second statement in part (a) is a consequence of Proposition 1.6.

\[ \square \]
Part (b): Suppose now that \( \omega \) is a weight of monomial type on \( \Omega_2 \) that is not necessarily admissible, say  
\[
\omega_2(w) = |F_\nu(w)|^2 \vartheta_2(w) \text{ for some } \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n, \inf \{w : \vartheta_2(w) : w \in \Omega_2\} > 0.
\]
Given any \( \chi \in \hat{G}_A \), let \( b^* \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) be a vector such that \( \varphi([b^*]) = \chi \). It follows from Lemma 3.5 of [1] that a vector \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) has the same property if and only if \( b - b^* = m \cdot A \) for \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \).

We now compute the values of \( c \), as given by Theorem 1.3 (d), corresponding to these two choices of vectors:

\[
c(b) - c(b^*) = (b^* - b)A^{-1} = -m
\]
Choosing \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) to have sufficiently large positive entries relative to \( c(b^*) \) and \( \nu \), we can ensure that every entry of the vector \( c(b) + \nu \) is < \( \frac{1}{2} \), so that the weight function \( \eta_b \) given by

\[
\eta_b(w) = \det(A)^{-1}|F_e(w)|^2 \omega_2(w) = \det(A)^{-1}|F_{c+\nu}(w)|^2 \vartheta_2(w)
\]
is admissible of monomial type on \( \Omega_2 \). Set \( b_\chi = b \). Invoking Proposition 1.6 yields \( A^2(\Omega_2, \eta_{b_\chi}) = A^2(\Omega_2, \eta_{b_\chi}) \). Therefore the two spaces share the same Bergman kernel. Substituting \( B_{\Omega_2} \) instead of \( B_{\Omega_2} \) into (1.23) and (1.24) leads to the desired claim.

Part (c): This follows by combining parts (a) and (b), completing the proof. \( \square \)

6. Examples and applications

Here we apply the conclusions of this paper to a few specific domains and obtain Bergman kernel identities and/or estimates for these.

6.1. Example 1: Complex ellipsoids.

Let

\[
\Omega_1 = \left\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^{2p} + |z_2|^{2q} < 1\right\}, \quad \Omega_1(z_1, z_2) \equiv 1,
\]
\[
\mathbb{B}_2 = \left\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1\right\}, \quad \Omega_2(z_1, z_2) \equiv 1.
\]
The domain \( \Omega_1 \) is an example of a complex ellipsoid and \( \mathbb{B}_2 \) is the unit ball. If \( \Phi_A(z) = (z_1^p, z_2^q) \) then \( \Phi_A : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{B}_2 \) is a proper holomorphic mapping. In this case

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
p & 0 \\
0 & q
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
p^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & q^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( G_A \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q = \{(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \leq m_1 \leq p - 1, 0 \leq m_2 \leq q - 1\} \). The action of \( G_A \) on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) is given by

\[
\xi(m_1, m_2) \otimes (z_1, z_2) = \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{m_1}{p} z_1}, e^{2\pi i \frac{m_2}{q} z_2}\right).
\]

If \( b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \), the action of the corresponding character \( \chi_b \) on \([m] \in G_A \) is given by

\[
\chi_b([m]) = \exp \left[2\pi i (m, b \cdot A^{-1})\right] = \exp \left[2\pi i \left(\frac{m_1 b_1}{p} + \frac{m_2 b_2}{q}\right)\right].
\]

For \( \chi \equiv \chi_b \), the operator \( \Pi_\chi \) defined in (1.15) becomes in this case

\[
\Pi_\chi[f](z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{[m] \in G_A} \exp \left[2\pi i \left(\frac{m_1 b_1}{p} + \frac{m_2 b_2}{q}\right)\right] f \left(e^{2\pi i \frac{m_1}{p} z_1}, e^{2\pi i \frac{m_2}{q} z_2}\right).
\]
The property (1.10) shows that the function
\[(z_1, z_2) \in \Omega_1 \mapsto \frac{z_1^{b_1}z_2^{b_2}}{pq} \sum_{|m| \in \mathbb{G}_A} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \left( \frac{m_1 b_1}{p} + \frac{m_2 b_2}{q} \right) \right] f \left( e^{2\pi i \frac{m_1}{p}} z_1, e^{2\pi i \frac{m_2}{q}} z_2 \right) \]
is invariant under the action of \( \mathbb{G}_A \). Finally, according to Theorem 1.3(d), we obtain
\[ c = (1 - b) \cdot A^{-1} - 1 = \left( \frac{1 - b_1 - p}{p}, \frac{1 - b_2 - q}{q} \right) = (c_1, c_2), \]
and so
\[ \eta_b(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = (pq)^{-1} |\zeta_1|^{2(1-b_1-p)p^{-1}} |\zeta_2|^{2(1-b_2-q)q^{-1}}. \]
Thus according to Theorem 1.7,
\[ B_{\Omega_1}(z, w) = \sum_{b_1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{b_2=0}^{q-1} (z_1^{b_1}w_1^{b_2} - q^{b_2} B_{\mathbb{B}_2^2}((z_1^{p}, z_2^{q}), (w_1^{p}, w_2^{q}); \eta_b). \]
Thus the Bergman kernel for the complex ellipsoid in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) can be written as a sum of weighted Bergman kernels in the punctured unit ball \( \mathbb{B}_2^2 \) of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). For other formulas see for example [18].

6.2. Example 2: Variants of the Hartog's triangle.
Let
\[ \Omega_1 = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : 0 < |z_1|^p < |z_2|^q < 1 \right\}, \quad \omega_1(z_1, z_2) \equiv 1, \]
\[ \Delta_2 = \left\{ (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |\zeta_1| < 1, \ |\zeta_2| < 1 \right\}, \quad \omega_2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \equiv 1. \]
\( \Omega_1 \) is a variant of the Hartog's triangle (where \( p = q = 1 \)) and \( \Delta_2 \) is the bidisk. If \( \Phi_{A}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^{p}, z_2^{q}, z_2^{q}) \) then \( \Phi_{A} : \Omega_1 \to \Delta_2 \) is a proper holomorphic map. This time the action of \( \mathbb{G}_A \) on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) is given by \( \xi(m_1, m_2) \otimes (z_1, z_2) = \left( e^{2\pi i \frac{m_1}{p}} z_1, e^{2\pi i \frac{m_2}{q}} z_2 \right) \), and if \( b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \), the action of the corresponding character on \( |m| \in \mathbb{G}_A \) is given by
\[ \chi_{b}(m) = \exp \left[ 2\pi i \left( \frac{(m_1 + m_2)b_1}{p} + \frac{m_2 b_2}{q} \right) \right]. \]
As in Example 1, we can write the Bergman kernel for \( \Omega_1 \) in terms of weighted Bergman kernels on the bidisk.

6.3. Example 3: Complex monomial balls.
Let \( \mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_d\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \) be a spanning set of vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), each with non-negative integer entries. For \( a \in \mathbb{C}^n \), let us define
\[ \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(a, \mu) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^{d} |F_{p_j}(z) - F_{p_j}(a)|^2 < \mu^2 \right\}. \]
We refer to \( \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(a, \mu) \) as a complex monomial ball with center \( a \) and radius \( \mu > 0 \). The study of such domains is part of a larger research program (see [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]). Using the results of this paper we obtain sharp estimates for \( B_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(a, \mu)}(a, a) \) (i.e. diagonal estimates at the center) which are uniform in the parameter \( a \).
We briefly sketch how this is done. Note that if
\[
\Omega_1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |F_{p_j}(z) - F_{p_j}(a)| < d^{-\frac{j}{2}} \mu \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d \}, \\
\Omega_2 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |F_{p_j}(z) - F_{p_j}(a)| < \mu \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d \},
\]
then \( \Omega_1 \subset B_\Omega(a, \mu) \subset \Omega_2 \). It follows from equation (1.3) that
\[
B_{\Omega_2}(a, a) \leq B_{\Omega(a, \mu)}(a, a) \leq B_{\Omega_1}(a, a)
\]
and it suffices to obtain estimates at \((a, a)\) for the comparable domains \(\Omega_1, \Omega_2\). Suppose that \(a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \notin \mathbb{H}\) so that each \(a_j \neq 0\), and let \(\Psi_a(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (a_1z_1, \ldots, a_nz_n)\). Then
\[
\Psi_a^{-1}(\Omega_1) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |F_{p_j}(z) - 1| < d^{-\frac{j}{2}} \mu |F_{p_j}(a)|^{-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d \right\}, \\
\Psi_a^{-1}(\Omega_2) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |F_{p_j}(z) - 1| < \mu |F_{p_j}(a)|^{-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d \right\}.
\]
Since \(\Psi_a\) is a biholomorphic mapping, it follows from equation (6.1) that
\[
\left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{-2} B_{\Psi_a^{-1}(\Omega_1)}(1, 1) \leq B_{\Omega(a, \mu)}(a, a) \leq \left( \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^{-2} B_{\Psi_a^{-1}(\Omega_2)}(1, 1).
\]
This suggests that we obtain estimates for the Bergman kernel for domains of the form
\[
\mathbb{B}_\Omega(\vec{\delta}) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |F_{p_j}(z) - 1| < \delta_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq d \right\}
\]
which are uniform in \(\vec{\delta} = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_d) \in (0, \infty)^d\). In [13], we obtain a structure theorem for domains \(\mathbb{B}_\Omega(\vec{\delta})\): after a monomial change of variables and depending on the components of \(\vec{\delta}\) the domain \(\mathbb{B}_\Omega\) is comparable to a Cartesian product of disks with small radius and axes-deleted Reinhardt domains. More precisely, there are absolute positive constants \(c_0\) and \(C_0\) so that for every \(\vec{\delta} \in (0, \infty)^d\), there exist the following:

- A linearly independent subset \(\{a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_n}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}\) with the corresponding \(n \times n\) matrix \(A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})\).
- A partition \(\{1, \ldots, d\} = J \cup K\) with \(J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)\), \(K = (k_1, \ldots, k_{d-m})\), and \(J \cap K = \emptyset\). Either \(J\) or \(K\) may be empty.
- A set \(\mathcal{R}[K] = \{r_j : j \in K\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n-m}\), such that
\[
\mathbb{D}_m(c_0\vec{\delta}(J)) \times \mathbb{W}_\mathcal{R}(c_0\vec{\delta}(K)) \subset \Phi_A(\mathbb{B}_\Omega(\vec{\delta})) \subset \mathbb{D}_m(C_0\vec{\delta}(J)) \times \mathbb{W}_\mathcal{R}(C_0\vec{\delta}(K)).
\]
Here \(\vec{\delta}(J) = (\delta_{j_1}, \ldots, \delta_{j_m})\), \(\vec{\delta}(K) = (\delta_{k_1}, \ldots, \delta_{k_{d-m}})\), and
\[
\mathbb{D}_m(\lambda\vec{\delta}(J)) = \left\{ (w_1, \ldots, w_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m : |w_j - 1| < \lambda \delta_j \text{ for all } j \in J \right\}, \quad \mathbb{D}_m(\lambda\vec{\delta}(K)) = \left\{ (w_{m+1}, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-m} : 0 < |F_{r_j}(w_{m+1}, \ldots, w_n)| < \lambda \delta_j \text{ for all } j \in I \right\}.
\]
The domain \(\mathbb{W}_\mathcal{R}\) is a Reinhardt domain which may or may not be axes-deleted. The papers [14] and [15] provide geometric estimates and a computationally effective algorithm for obtaining sharp estimates for the Bergman kernel on the diagonal for Reinhardt domains of
the form $\mathbb{W}_R$ and $\mathbb{W}_R^*$. Since the Bergman kernel of the polydisk $\mathbb{D}_m$ is well understood, the structure theorem and the results of this paper provide the desired estimates.

We show how this procedure works in a simple case. Let $n = 2$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{(1,0), (0,1), (1,1)\}$ so that

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{\delta}) = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1 - 1| < \delta_1, \ |z_2 - 1| < \delta_2, \ |z_1 z_2 - 1| < \delta_3 \right\}.$$ 

(6.5)

The nature of $\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{\delta})$ depends on the sizes of $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$. Consider the case in which $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ are large and $\delta_3$ is small. Explicitly suppose that

$$\frac{3}{2} < \delta_1 < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{2} < \delta_2 < \infty, \quad 0 < \delta_3 < \frac{1}{2}.$$ 

Then

$$\left\{ |z_1| < \frac{\delta_1}{3}, \ |z_2| < \frac{\delta_2}{3}, \ |z_1 z_2 - 1| < \delta_3 \right\} \subset \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{\delta}) \subset \left\{ |z_1| < 3\delta_1, \ |z_2| < 3\delta_2, \ |z_1 z_2 - 1| < \delta_3 \right\},$$

so $\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{\delta})$ is comparable to

$$\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}'(\vec{\delta}) = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| < \delta_1, \ |z_2| < \delta_2, \ |z_1 z_2 - 1| < \delta_3 \right\}.$$ 

Let $\Phi_A(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 z_2, z_2)$, so

$$\Phi_A(\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}'(\vec{\delta})) = \left\{ (w_1, w_2) : |w_1 w_2^{-1}| < \delta_1, \ |w_2| < \delta_2, \ |w_1 - 1| < \delta_3 \right\}.$$ 

If $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}'(\vec{\delta})$ then $\frac{1}{2} < 1 - \delta_3 < |z_1 z_2| < 1 + \delta_3 < \frac{3}{2}$ and so if $(w_1, w_2) \in \Phi_A(\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}'(\vec{\delta}))$ then $\frac{1}{2} < |w_1| < \frac{3}{2}$. Thus $\Phi_A(\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}'(\vec{\delta}))$ is comparable to

$$\left\{ (w_1, w_2) : |w_1 - 1| < \delta_3, \ \delta_1^{-1} < \ |w_2| < \delta_2 \right\} = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{W}.$$ 

Here $\mathbb{D}$ is a disk in $\mathbb{C}$ of radius $\delta_3$ and $\mathbb{W}$ is an annulus with inner and outer radii $\delta_1^{-1}$ and $\delta_2$ respectively. It follows that under the size hypotheses in (6.6), we have

$$c \delta_3^{-1} \log(\delta_1 \delta_2) < B_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{P}}'(\vec{\delta})}(1,1) < C \delta_3^{-1} \log(\delta_1 \delta_2)$$

where the constants $c, C$ are independent of $\vec{\delta}$.
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