Comment on "On the general relativistic framework of the Sagnac effect" EPJC 79:187

H. Ramezani-Aval*

Department of Physics, University of Gonabad, Gonabad, Iran

Abstract

In a recent paper EPJC 79:187 the general relativistic framework of the Sagnac effect was investigated. We have some comments on this paper. We show that their conclusion about the apparent variation of the speed of light does not hold in stationary spacetimes. Also, We show that their definition of gravitational Coriolis potential and gravitational Coriolis time dilation are inconsistent with what is stated in standard references.

^{*} Electronic address: ramezani@gonabad.ac.ir

Comment1: In section 4 of [1] they assume a radial ray of light to show that by definition $v = dr/d\tau$ the velocity of light in rotating frame is always c. Here we follow Landau in [2] and assume a null geodesic to obtain the velocity of light in general stationary spacetime by this definition. The 1 + 3 (threading) formulation of spacetime decomposition (in which the spatial line element is defined physically through sending and receiving light signals between infinitesimally separated observer worldlines) starts from the following general form for the spacetime metric [2]

$$ds^{2} = d\tau_{syn}^{2} - dl^{2} = g_{00}(dx^{0} - g_{\alpha}dx^{\alpha})^{2} - \gamma_{\alpha\beta}dx^{\alpha}dx^{\beta} \quad ; \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$$
(1)

in which $d\tau_{syn} = \sqrt{g_{00}}(dx^0 - g_{\alpha}dx^{\alpha})$ is the synchronized proper time, $g_{\alpha} = -\frac{g_{0\alpha}}{g_{00}}$ is the so-called gravitomagnetic potential and

$$dl^2 = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta} = \left(-g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{g_{0\alpha}g_{0\beta}}{g_{00}}\right) dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}$$
(2)

is the spatial line element of the 3-space in terms of its three-dimensional spatial metric $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$. So we have:

$$ds^{2} = g_{00}(dx^{0} - g_{\alpha}dx^{\alpha})^{2} - dl^{2}$$
(3)

and the velocity was introduced

$$v = \frac{dl}{d\tau} = \frac{cdl}{\sqrt{g_{00}}dx^0} \tag{4}$$

for a light signal $ds^2 = 0$ and according to (3) we have

$$dl = \sqrt{g_{00}} (dx^0 - g_\alpha dx^\alpha) \tag{5}$$

and so using (4) and (5)

$$v = \frac{c(dx^0 - g_\alpha dx^\alpha)}{dx^0}.$$
(6)

In a static field (Such as (21) in Epjc 79:187 for radial motion) $g_{\alpha} = 0$ and so v = c, but obviously in general stationary fields the velocity of light is not always c by this definition. **Comment2:** According to section 4, they express in conclusions that "as it happens for example in Rindler or Schwarzschild metric, the apparent variation of the speed of light is a consequence of time dilation". It is necessary to note that Schwarzschild metric is static while the Rindler metric and the rotating metric (which is the subject of discussion)are stationary. In section 4 they assume a radial motion and so the metric becomes static. But as we showed in comment1, their conclusion does not hold for stationary fields. In other words, If we replace radial ray by azimuthal ray, the apparent variation of the speed of light does not disappear by their consideration. An important difference between the static and stationary spacetimes is related to synchronization of clocks over all space[2, 3]. It seems that the anisotropy in the speed of light in non-inertial reference frames is a nonlocal effect and is related to synchronization in stationary spacetimes [4, 5] and does not relate only to time dilation. The velocity of light, by definition, is always equal to c, if the times are synchronized along the given closed curve and if at each point we use the proper time [2]. In other words, although the speed of light is constant in all inertial reference frames and the local velocity of light is always c, but average velocities of light (which are not necessarily c) are needed for a complete description of the propagation of light in non-inertial reference frames[6].

comment3: In section 5 they introduce $V = v\omega r$ as gravitational potential corresponds to Coriolis force and then relate the Sagnac effect to this potential. The first point is that as we know from standard references such as [3], for weak stationary fields we have

$$ds^2 \approx (1 + \frac{2\Phi}{c^2} - \frac{2\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{c^3})c^2 dt^2 - dl^2$$
 (7)

where Φ and \mathbf{w} are scalar potential and vector potential respectively. Only in the case of static metric we can transform \mathbf{w} away because there will exist an f such that $\mathbf{w} = -grad f$, which happens whenever $\nabla \times \mathbf{w} = 0$ and clearly not in the case of Langevin-Landau-Lifschitz metric (equation 8 in EPJC 79:187). It is not clear how they can obtain the Coriolis force from the potential $V = v\omega r$.

comment4: The equation 15 can not be the spacetime metric for eccentrically rotating observer (or as mentioned in paper: the observer on the beam splitter). See [7, 8] for more details.

^[1] E. Benedetto, F. Feleppa, I. Licata, H. Moradpour, Ch. Corda, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 187 (2019)

- [2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The classical theory of fields*, 4th edn.(Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975), Chap. 10
- [3] W. Rindler, Relativity: Special, General, and Cosmological, 2nd edn.(Oxford University Press, 2006), Chap. 9
- [4] F. Sorge in *Relativity in Rotating Frames: Relativistic Physics in Rotating Reference Frames*, ed by G. Rizzi and M. L. Ruggiero. (Kluwer academic publishers, 2004)
- [5] D. Dieks in *Relativity in Rotating Frames: Relativistic Physics in Rotating Reference Frames*, ed by G. Rizzi and M. L. Ruggiero. (Kluwer academic publishers, 2004)
- [6] V. Petkov, arXiv:gr-qc/9909081. Chap. 7 of *Relativity and the Nature of Spacetime*, 2nd edn. (Springer, 2009)
- [7] M. Nouri-Zonoz, H. Ramezani-Aval and R. Gharechahi, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3098 (2014)
- [8] M. Nouri-Zonoz and H. Ramezani-Aval, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3128 (2014)