

Globally hypoelliptic triangularizable systems of periodic pseudo-differential operators

Fernando de Ávila Silva

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Paraná,
Caixa Postal 19081, Curitiba, PR 81531-990, Brazil*

Abstract

This article presents an investigation on the global hypoellipticity problem for systems belonging to the class $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$, where $Q(t, D_x)$ is a $m \times m$ matrix with entries $c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(D_x)$. The coefficients $c_{j,k}(t)$ are smooth, complex-valued functions on the torus $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q_{j,k}(D_x)$ are pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{T}^n . The approach consists in establishing conditions on the matrix symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ such that it can be transformed into a suitable triangular form $\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$, where $\Lambda(t, \xi)$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi) \dots \lambda_m(t, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$ is a nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Hence, the global hypoellipticity of P is studied by analyzing the behavior of the eigenvalues $\lambda_j(t, \xi)$ and its averages $\lambda_{0,j}(\xi)$, as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Keywords: Global hypoellipticity, Systems, Pseudo-differential operators, Fourier series, Triangularization

2010 MSC: 35B10 35B65 35H10 35S05

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Notations and preliminary results	5

Email address: fernando.avila@ufpr.br (Fernando de Ávila Silva)

2	Systems with constant coefficients	8
2.1	Example: higher order equations	12
2.2	Example: sum of commutative systems	14
2.3	Perturbations of globally hypoelliptic systems	15
3	Systems with variable coefficients	18
3.1	The study of triangularizable systems	21
4	Reduction to the triangular form	29
4.1	Strongly triangularizable symbols	33
	Bibliography	35

1. Introduction

This article discusses the global hypoellipticity problem for systems belonging to the class

$$P = D_t + Q(t, D_x), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, x \in \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (1)$$

where $D_t = i^{-1}\partial_t$ and $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ stands as the torus, $Q(t, D_x)$ is a $m \times m$ matrix operator with entries $c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(D_x)$, where $c_{j,k}(t)$ are smooth complex-valued functions on \mathbb{T} and $Q_{j,k}(D_x)$ are pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{T}^n .

Let us recall that system P is *globally hypoelliptic* if for any m -dimensional vectors u and f , with coordinates in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ respectively and satisfying equation $Pu = f$; in this case, the coordinates of u are also smooth functions on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

The study of global hypoellipticity for linear operators on the torus \mathbb{T}^N is a challenging problem and has been taken into consideration by several authors in the last decades, see [1, 2, 3, 4]. In particular, there are many works dealing with hypoellipticity (and solvability) problems for special classes of *systems of vector fields*, see [5, 6, 7]. Investigations on pseudo-differential classes are also considered in the literature, see [8, 9, 10, 11].

On the other hand, although the analyses for regularity of solutions, as well as solvability and well-posedness of systems of differential and pseudo-differential operators, defined on \mathbb{R}^n , compose a widely explored problem in the literature, there are apparently no published works dealing with the global hypoellipticity problem for m -dimensional *periodic* systems of pseudo-differential operators.

Hence, this article presents an approach for investigations of the global hypoellipticity of unexplored classes of systems on the torus. For an outline of the main results and techniques, let us consider P , as in (1), and equation $Pu = f$. By using the partial Fourier transform, with respect to x , we can conclude that the hypoellipticity problem is equivalent to analyzing the solutions of systems

$$D_t \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + Q(t, \xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{u}(t, \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (2)$$

where $Q(t, \xi) = [c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(\xi)]$ is the matrix symbol of $Q(t, D_x)$. More precisely, it is necessary, and sufficient, to prove that all derivatives of the coordinates of $\widehat{u}(t, \xi)$ converge to zero faster than any polynomial (see Proposition 1.2).

The main problems in this procedure involve the computations of solutions for (2). To handle this obstacle, inspiration was taken from T. V. Gramchev and M. Ruzhansky (see [12]) and C. Garetto, C. Jäh and M. Ruzhansky (see [13]). These authors present classes of systems (2) (defined on \mathbb{R}^n) that can be reduced to a triangular form. Hence, following this inspiration, in the present article there are considered classes of systems such that $Q(t, \xi) = [c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(\xi)]$ has a *smooth triangularization*

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi) Q(t, \xi) S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi),$$

with $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi))$, where $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi) = [r_{j,k}(t, \xi)]$ is a nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Hence, the system (2) is replaced by

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + [\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)]v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (3)$$

where

$$v(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi) \widehat{f}(t, \xi).$$

However, to guarantee equivalence between the behaviors of solutions of (2) and (3) when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, it is necessary that S , and its inverse S^{-1} , have

a polynomial growth of type

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C_*|\xi|^{\gamma^*}. \quad (4)$$

It is important to point out that a triangularization satisfying (4) can be easily obtained for the constant coefficients case $Q(t, D_x) = [Q_{j,k}(D_x)]_{m \times m}$, since in this configuration we get

$$D_t \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + [Q_{j,k}(\xi)] \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{f}(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (5)$$

Thus, we can use the following, and well known, Schur's triangularization process (see [14]):

Lemma 1.1 (Schur's triangularization). *Let A be an $m \times m$ complex matrix. Then, there exists a unitary matrix S such that S^*AS is upper triangular with diagonal elements $r_{j,j} = \lambda_j$.*

Given this result we have

$$S^{-1}(\xi)Q(\xi)S(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Moreover, since $S(\xi)$ and $S^{-1}(\xi)$ are unitary, for every ξ , the estimates (4) are automatically satisfied. Thus, we may replace (5) by its equivalent triangular form

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + (\Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi))v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Section 2 presents a complete analysis for the constant coefficient case and starts off with an investigation for systems of the type $L = [L_{j,k}(D_y)]$, $y \in \mathbb{T}^N$. Theorem 2.3 establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for the global hypoellipticity in view of the eigenvalues of the matrix-symbols $L(\eta)$. These conditions are extended to the system $P = D_t + Q(D_x)$ by Theorem 2.5. Examples and applications are presented in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2.

Furthermore, Subsection 2.3 discusses the problem of perturbations, that is, systems of the type

$$L_\epsilon = L + \epsilon Q, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{C},$$

for ϵ in some small ball at the origin. The main result that follows is Theorem 2.9, where the essential hypothesis is that the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ have an analytic expansion on ϵ (see (16)).

Now, concerning the general system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ in (2), the concept of *strongly triangularizable symbols*, as defined in (3.3), is introduced in Section 3. Broadly speaking, this is a class for which there exists a smooth triangular form (3) satisfying condition (4). The main result that follows is Theorem 3.11 where it is shown that, under suitable conditions, P is globally hypoelliptic *if and only if* each constant coefficient operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,k} = D_t + \lambda_{0,k}(D_x), \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

is globally hypoelliptic on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} , where $\lambda_{0,k}(D_x)$ is formally defined by

$$\lambda_{0,k}(D_x)w(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \lambda_{0,k}(\xi) \widehat{w}(\xi),$$

and $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda_k(t, \xi) dt$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

It is important to emphasize that the hypotheses on Theorem 3.11 are independent on the order of the operators $Q_{j,k} = Q_{j,k}(D_x)$. In particular, this means that the results can be applied in the case where $Q_{j,k}$ are differential operators. This is a surprising contrast with the scalar case $m = 1$, where, in general, it is not possible to apply the equivalence, suggested by Theorem 3.11, for classes of differential operators.

Regarding the introduction of strongly triangularizable symbols, it is important to emphasize that the main inspiration comes from the approaches presented in [12, 13]. These works handle with the triangularization problem for matrices of pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{R}^n and, in particular, they have shown how to compute the matrices S and S^{-1} . Hence, it is proven, in Section 4 (in Theorems 4.1 and 4.4) that the symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ can be strongly triangularized, under suitable conditions on its eigenvectors.

1.1. Notations and preliminary results

Throughout this paper the variables on \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{T}^n and \mathbb{T}^N are denoted by t, x and y , respectively, with corresponding dual variables $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

As usual, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ stand as the spaces of distributions and smooth functions on \mathbb{T}^N , respectively. Also, $\mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ denote the spaces of m -dimensional vectors with coordinates in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$.

The analyses will use the characterizations of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ by means of the Fourier coefficients. For this, let us recall that if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$, then its Fourier coefficients are defined by

$$\widehat{u}(\eta) = (2\pi)^{-N} \langle u, e^{-iy\eta} \rangle, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N.$$

For $N = n + 1$, the partial Fourier coefficients, with respect to $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, are given by

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle u(t, \cdot), e^{-ix\xi} \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\{a_\eta\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ be a sequence of complex numbers, $\{c_\xi(t)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ be a sequence of smooth functions on \mathbb{T} and the formal series*

$$v(y) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N} a_\eta e^{iy \cdot \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad u(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\xi(t) e^{ix \cdot \xi}.$$

Thus, we have the following:

- (a) *the series v converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ if and only if there exists positive constants M, C and R such that*

$$|a_\eta| \leq C|\xi|^{-M}, \quad |\xi| \geq R. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, $v(y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ if and only if estimate (6) is fulfilled for every $M > 0$. In both cases we have $\widehat{v}(\eta) = a_\eta$.

- (b) *the series u converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ if and only if, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there are positive constants M, C and R such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha c_\xi(t)| \leq C|\xi|^{-M}, \quad |\xi| \geq R. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ if and only if estimate (7) holds true for every $M > 0$. In both cases we have $c_\xi(\cdot) = \widehat{u}(\cdot, \xi)$.

By $\Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^N)$ we denote the class of pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{T}^N , of order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, formally defined by

$$a(D_y)u(y) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N} e^{iy \cdot \eta} a(\eta) \widehat{u}(\eta), \quad (8)$$

with symbol $a(\eta) = \{a(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ satisfying

$$|a(\eta)| \leq C|\eta|^\nu, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N. \quad (9)$$

For further information regarding the quantization of pseudo-differential operators on the torus, see M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen in [15].

The next Theorem is an extension of the results presented in Greenfield's and Wallach's work in [16].

Theorem 1.3. *The operator $a(D_y)$, given by (8), is globally hypoelliptic on \mathbb{T}^N if and only if there exists positive constants C , M and R such that*

$$|a(\eta)| \geq C|\eta|^{-M}, \quad |\eta| \geq R.$$

In reference [9], authors R. B. Gonzalez, A. Kirilov, C. Medeira and F. de Ávila Silva, characterize the global hypoellipticity of operators belonging to class

$$P = D_t + c(t)a(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1}, \quad (10)$$

in view of the functions

$$t \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto \lambda(t, \xi) \doteq c(t)a(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and its averages

$$\lambda_0(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda(t, \xi) dt, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The following Theorem summarizes some the results in [9] that are related with the present investigations.

Theorem 1.4. *Let P be as in (10), set $c(t) = p(t) + iq(t)$ and $a(\xi) = \alpha(\xi) + i\beta(\xi)$.*

(a) *if P is globally hypoelliptic, then the set*

$$Z_P = \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \lambda_0(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is finite and the operator $\mathcal{P}_0 = D_t + c_0 a(D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic, where c_0 denotes the average $c_0 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} c(t) dt$;

(b) if \mathcal{P}_0 is globally hypoelliptic and the functions

$$\mathbb{T} \ni t \mapsto \Im \lambda(t, \xi) = p(t)\beta(\xi) + q(t)\alpha(\xi)$$

do not change sign, for sufficiently large $|\xi|$, then P is globally hypoelliptic.

(c) the following statements are equivalent:

i) \mathcal{P}_0 is globally hypoelliptic;

ii) there exist positive constants C , M and R such that

$$|\tau + \lambda_0(\xi)| \geq C(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M}, \text{ for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R;$$

iii) there exist positive constants \tilde{C} , \tilde{M} and \tilde{R} such that

$$|1 - e^{\pm 2\pi i \lambda_0(\xi)}| \geq \tilde{C}|\xi|^{-\tilde{M}}, \text{ for all } |\xi| \geq \tilde{R}.$$

2. Systems with constant coefficients

This section discusses global hypoellipticity for systems with constant coefficients. The starting point is an analysis of the case $L = [L_{j,k}(D_y)]_{m \times m}$, where $L_{j,k}(D_y) \in \Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^N)$ is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $L_{j,k}(\eta)$.

Notice that, if $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ is a solution of $Lu = f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$, then we obtain the systems

$$L(\eta)\hat{u}(\eta) = \hat{f}(\eta), \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N,$$

where $L(\eta) = [L_{j,k}(\eta)]_{m \times m}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Proposition 2.1. *If the system L is globally hypoelliptic, then the set*

$$Z_{\det L} = \{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N; \det L(\eta) = 0\}$$

is finite.

Proof: Suppose that $Z_{\det L}$ is infinite and choose sequences $\{\eta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_{\det L}$ and $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\|a_j\|_{\mathbb{C}^m} = 1$ and $L(\eta_j)a_j = 0$. Hence,

$$\hat{u}(\eta) = \begin{cases} a_j, & \text{if } \eta = \eta_j, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_j, \end{cases}$$

defines a vector $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N) \setminus C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Once $Lu = 0$, then L is not globally hypoelliptic.

□

Note that if $Z_{\det L}$ is finite, then there is some positive constant R such that $L(\eta)$ is invertible for all $|\eta| \geq R$. In this case, $\widehat{u}(\eta)$ is uniquely defined by $\widehat{u}(\eta) = L(\eta)^{-1}\widehat{f}(\eta)$, and we can write

$$L(\eta)^{-1} = \frac{\text{adj}L(\eta)}{\det L(\eta)}, \quad |\eta| \geq R,$$

where $\text{adj}L(\eta)$ is the cofactor matrix of $L(\eta)$, namely, the transpose of the matrix with entries

$$\mathbf{a}_{j,k}(\eta) = (-1)^{j+k} \det L_{j|k}(\eta),$$

where $L_{j|k}(\eta)$ is the $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ matrix constructed by deleting the j th row and the k th column of $L(\eta)$.

Since the entries $\mathbf{a}_{j,k}(\eta)$ are bounded by some polynomial, thus it follows from the equalities

$$\widehat{u}_j(\eta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_{j,i}(\eta) f_i(\eta)}{\det L(\eta)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

that a sufficient condition for the global hypoellipticity is obtained by considering a controlled growth on the determinant $\det L(\eta)$, as stated by the next Proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *If there exist positive constants C, M and R such that*

$$|\det L(\eta)| \geq C|\eta|^{-M}, \quad |\eta| \geq R,$$

then the system L is globally hypoelliptic.

Our next step is to study the global hypoellipticity of L by analyzing the behavior of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(\eta), \dots, \lambda_m(\eta)$ of the symbols $L(\eta)$. For this, assume, without loss of generalities, that

$$|\lambda_1(\eta)| \leq |\lambda_2(\eta)| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_m(\eta)|, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \quad (11)$$

and define the sequences $\{\lambda_j(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 2.3. *The system L is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j , M_j and R_j satisfying*

$$|\lambda_j(\eta)| \geq C_j |\eta|^{-M_j}, \quad |\eta| \geq R_j, \quad (12)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof: The sufficiency is a consequence of the identity $\det L(\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(\eta)$ and Proposition 2.2. Conversely, assume that $\{\lambda_k(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ does not satisfy (12), for some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and let $\{v_k(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ be an associated sequence of unitary eigenvectors.

Consider $\{\eta_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ satisfying $|\lambda_k(\eta_\ell)| < |\eta_\ell|^{-\ell}$ and set

$$\widehat{u}(\eta) = \begin{cases} v_k(\eta_\ell), & \text{if } \eta = \eta_\ell, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_\ell. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(\eta) = \begin{cases} \lambda_k(\eta_\ell) v_k(\eta_\ell), & \text{if } \eta = \eta_\ell, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_\ell. \end{cases}$$

These sequences define vectors $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N) \setminus C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ such that $Lu = f$, hence L is not globally hypoelliptic.

□

Remark 2.4. *If the original system is triangular, that is,*

$$L(D_y) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(D_y) & r_{1,2}(D_y) & \dots & r_{1,m}(D_y) \\ 0 & \lambda_2(D_y) & \dots & r_{2,m}(D_y) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m(D_y) \end{bmatrix},$$

then the operators $r_{j,k}(D_y)$ play no role on the global hypoellipticity, since the eigenvalues of $L(\eta)$ do not depend on $r_{j,k}(\eta)$.

Systems on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n$

Let us apply the previous results for systems in the class

$$P = D_t + Q(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (13)$$

where $Q(D_x) = [Q_{j,k}(D_x)]_{m \times m}$ and $Q_{j,k}(D_x) \in \Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We can start by observing that equation $Pu = f$ is equivalent to

$$P(\tau, \xi)\widehat{u}(\tau, \xi) = \widehat{f}(\tau, \xi), \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $P(\tau, \xi) = \tau + Q(\xi)$, with $\tau = I_{m \times m}\tau$.

By applying Schur's Lemma 1.1 to $Q(\xi)$ we obtain a unitary matrix $S(\xi)$ such that

$$S^*(\xi)Q(\xi)S(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $\Lambda(\xi) = \text{diag}(\kappa_1(\xi), \dots, \kappa_m(\xi))$ and

$$\mathcal{N}(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_{1,2}(\xi) & r_{1,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{1,m}(\xi) \\ 0 & 0 & r_{2,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{2,m}(\xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{m-1,m}(\xi) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrices $S(\xi)$ and $S^{-1}(\xi)$ are unitary, we obtain

$$\|Q(\xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} = \|\Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

hence it follows from (9) the existence of positive constants C_1 and C_2 satisfying

$$|\kappa_j(\xi)| \leq C_1|\xi|^\nu \quad \text{and} \quad |r_{j,k}(\xi)| \leq C_2|\xi|^\nu, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, we can define the operators $\kappa_j(D_x)$ and $r_{j,k}(D_x)$, given by the symbols $\{\kappa_j(\xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ and $\{r_{j,k}(\xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, respectively. Also, we have the triangular system

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(D_t, D_x) = D_t + \Lambda(D_x) + \mathcal{N}(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1},$$

where $\Lambda(D_x) = \text{diag}(\kappa_1(D_x), \dots, \kappa_m(D_x))$ and $\mathcal{N}(D_x) = [r_{j,k}(D_x)]$. The symbols of \mathcal{P} are

$$\mathcal{P}(\eta) = \mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(\tau, \xi) & r_{1,2}(\xi) & r_{1,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{1,m}(\xi) \\ 0 & \lambda_2(\tau, \xi) & r_{2,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{2,m}(\xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \lambda_m(\tau, \xi) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_j(\eta) = \lambda_j(\tau, \xi) = \tau + \kappa_j(\xi)$.

We claim that P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if \mathcal{P} is globally hypoelliptic. Indeed, consider $g \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$, $v \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and define $\widehat{f}(\eta) \doteq S(\eta)\widehat{g}(\eta)$ and $\widehat{u}(\eta) \doteq S(\eta)\widehat{v}(\eta)$. Since $S(\eta)$ is unitary, we obtain $f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Finally, the equivalence of systems

$$\mathcal{P}(\eta)\widehat{v}(\eta) = \widehat{g}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad P(\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta) = \widehat{f}(\eta)$$

ensures the equivalence between $Pu = f$ and $\mathcal{P}v = g$.

With these discussions we have proved the following:

Theorem 2.5. *The system $P = D_t + Q(D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j , M_j and R_j such that*

$$|\tau + \kappa_j(\xi)| \geq C_j(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_j}, \quad |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Corollary 2.6. *The following statements hold true:*

(a) *If P is globally hypoelliptic, then the set*

$$Z_{\det P} = Z_{\det \mathcal{P}} = \{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}; \det \mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) = 0\}$$

is finite.

(b) *P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the operators $L_j = D_t + \kappa_j(D_x)$ are globally hypoelliptic.*

Proof: Part a) is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1, while the proof of b) is given by combining Theorem 1.4, part (c) and Theorem 2.5.

□

2.1. Example: higher order equations

This example discusses the hypoellipticity of the operator

$$P = D_t^m + \sum_{j=1}^m D_t^{m-j} Q_j(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1},$$

where $Q_j(D_x) \in \Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n)$. The equation $Pu = f$ is equivalent to

$$D_t^m \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^m D_t^{m-j} q_j(\xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{f}(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

which can be rewritten as the system

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -q_m(\xi) & -q_{m-1}(\xi) & \dots & \dots & -q_1(\xi) \end{bmatrix} v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad (14)$$

by the standard transformations

$$v_1 = \widehat{u}, \quad v_k = \frac{\partial^{k-1} \widehat{u}}{\partial t^{k-1}}, \quad k = 2, \dots, m$$

and $g_1 = \dots = g_{m-1} = 0$, $g_m = \widehat{f}$.

Let $Q(D_x)$ be the matrix-operator with symbols given by matrix in (14) and set $\widetilde{P} = D_t + Q(D_x)$. Clearly, the operator P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the related system \widetilde{P} is also globally hypoelliptic.

As an illustration, consider the second order operator

$$P = D_t^2 - 2\alpha D_t (-\Delta_x)^{1/2} + \beta^2 \Delta_x,$$

where $\Delta_x = -\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2$, for $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Then, we have

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\beta^2 |\xi|^2 & 2\alpha |\xi| \end{bmatrix} v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi),$$

with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(\tau, \xi) = \tau + |\xi| \rho_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(\tau, \xi) = \tau + |\xi| \rho_2,$$

where $\rho_{1,2} = -\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}$.

If $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 0$, then $\rho_{1,2}$ are not real and P is globally hypoelliptic. However, the case of real roots could be much more complicated since, in

general, we can not apply the usual approximations by rational numbers, once $\tau/|\xi|$ may be irrational. For instance, when $\alpha = \beta$, it is necessary to analyze

$$\left| \frac{\tau}{|\xi|} - \alpha \right|, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

A complete discussion for these type of approximations can be found in [9].

2.2. Example: sum of commutative systems

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a family of commuting $m \times m$ complex matrices with eigenvalues $\{\kappa_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^m$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Consider $Q_j(D_{x_j}) \in \Psi^{\nu_j}(\mathbb{T}_{x_j})$, the system

$$P = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j Q_j(D_{x_j}), \quad (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n$$

and assume the following:

Lemma 2.7 (Simultaneous Schur's triangularization). *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of commuting $m \times m$ complex matrices. Then, there exists a unitary matrix S such that $S^* A S$ is triangular, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Now, let u be a solution of $Pu = f$ and consider the related system $P(\tau, \xi)\widehat{u}(\tau, \xi) = \widehat{f}(\tau, \xi)$, where

$$P(\tau, \xi) = \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi_j) A_j, \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \tau = I_{m \times m} \tau.$$

It follows from the Lemma 2.7 the existence of a unitary matrix S such that

$$S^* A_j S = \text{diag}(\kappa_{j,1}, \dots, \kappa_{j,m}) + \mathcal{N}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

hence we obtain the equivalent triangular system $\mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi)v(\tau, \xi) = g(\tau, \xi)$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) = \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi_j)(\Lambda_j + \mathcal{N}_j), \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \tau = I_{m \times m} \tau.$$

Therefore, P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist positive constants C_ℓ , M_ℓ and R_ℓ such that

$$\left| \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi_j) \kappa_{j,\ell} \right| \geq C_\ell |\tau, \xi|^{-M_\ell}, \quad |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_\ell, \quad (15)$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

As an example, consider the differential case $Q_j(D_{x_j}) = D_{x_j}$ and assume that each A_j is symmetric. Thus, the conditions in (15) is connected with the simultaneous approximations of $\{\kappa_{1,\ell}, \dots, \kappa_{m,\ell}\}$ by rational numbers, that is, the analysis of the quantities

$$|\tau + \kappa_{1,\ell} \xi_1 + \dots + \kappa_{n,\ell} \xi_n|, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

for each $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

2.3. Perturbations of globally hypoelliptic systems

This subsection discusses the hypoellipticity of the perturbed system

$$L_\epsilon = L + \epsilon Q, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{C},$$

for ϵ in some small ball at the origin, where $Q = [Q_{j,k}(D_y)]$ and $L_0 = L = [L_{j,k}(D_y)]$ stands for the unperturbed system. The eigenvalues of $L(\eta)$ and $Q(\eta)$ are denoted by $\lambda_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa_j(\eta)$, respectively.

The main goal is to investigate the effect of Q on the global hypoellipticity of L , which is equivalent to analyzing the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta)$ of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ in terms of $\lambda_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa_j(\eta)$.

If we admit the commutative hypothesis $[L, Q] = 0$, then we can apply a simultaneous triangularization to the matrices $L(\eta)$ and $Q(\eta)$. Further, the system $L_\epsilon(\eta) \widehat{u}(\eta) = \widehat{f}(\eta)$ is equivalent to the corresponding triangular form $(\Lambda_\epsilon + \mathcal{N}_\epsilon) v(\eta) = g(\eta)$, where Λ_ϵ is the diagonal matrix with entries

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta) = \lambda_j(\eta) + \epsilon \kappa_j(\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon = \mathcal{N}_L + \epsilon \mathcal{N}_Q$. Hence, for commutative perturbations we have the following:

Theorem 2.8. *Admit $[L, Q] = 0$. Then, L_ϵ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j , M_j and R_j such that*

$$|\lambda_j(\eta) + \epsilon \kappa_j(\eta)| \geq C_j |\eta|^{-M_j}, \quad |\eta| \geq R_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

On the other hand, the general case $[L, Q] \neq 0$ is much more challenging since, in general, there isn't an unified theory for the study of $\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta)$ in terms of $\lambda_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa_j(\eta)$. To handle this problem, let us take a similar approach to the one taken in [17], by A. Kirilov and F. de Ávila Silva, where the authors consider the theories developed in [18] by T. Kato and in [19] by F. Rellich.

The key point used there is the following assumption: the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ have an analytic expansion of the type

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta) = \lambda_j(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,k}(\eta) \epsilon^k \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\epsilon,j}(\eta) = v_j(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{\epsilon,j,k}(\eta) \epsilon^k, \quad (16)$$

in a small ball at the origin, where $v_j(\eta)$ is a corresponding eigenvector of $\lambda_j(\eta)$. (In particular, such analytic expansion holds when $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ is normal in some ball $|\epsilon| < \epsilon'$, as the reader can see in [20]).

The next result enables us to shed light on the problem of perturbations.

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$ the set*

$$Z_{\det L_\epsilon} = \{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \det L_\epsilon(\eta) = 0\}$$

is finite, and that the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ can be written as in form (16), for all $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Then, the system $L_\epsilon = L + \epsilon Q$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j , M_j and R_j such that

$$\left| \lambda_j(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,k}(\eta) \epsilon^k \right| \geq C_j |\eta|^{-M_j}, \quad |\eta| \geq R_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Corollary 2.10. *Let P_ϵ be the perturbed system*

$$P_\epsilon = D_t + L_\epsilon, \quad (t, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n,$$

such that the set $Z_{\det P_\epsilon}$ is finite and the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ satisfy (16), for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$.

Under these conditions, P_ϵ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j, M_j and R_j satisfying

$$\left| \tau + \lambda_j(\xi) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,k}(\xi) \epsilon^k \right| \geq C_j |\tau, \xi|^{-M_j}, \quad |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Remark 2.11. It is important to point out that any application of Theorem 2.9 necessarily involves the calculations of the coefficients $\sigma_{j,k}(\eta)$. An approach concerning this process can be found in Chapter two in [18].

It is possible to illustrate the previous results with an adaptation from Example 6.4 in [17].

Example 1. Consider the operators

$$\lambda_j(D)u(t, x) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}} e^{i(t,x) \cdot (\tau, \ell)} (-1)^j \omega \ell \widehat{u}(\tau, \ell), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

defined on \mathbb{T}^2 , for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ fixed, and

$$q(D_x)v(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} e^{ix \cdot \ell} q(\ell) \widehat{v}(\ell),$$

an operator on \mathbb{T}_x , of order $0 \leq \nu < 1$.

Let $\Lambda(D)$ and $Q(D)$ be the matrix operators

$$\Lambda(D) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(D), \lambda_2(D)) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(D) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q(D_x) \\ q(D_x) & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and set $L_\epsilon = \Lambda(D) + \epsilon Q(D)$.

Thus, the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\ell) = \Lambda(\ell) + \epsilon Q(\ell)$ are

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,1}(\ell) = -\omega \ell - \frac{(q(\ell))^2}{2\omega \ell} \epsilon^2 + \frac{(q(\ell))^4}{(2\omega \ell)^3} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6),$$

and

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,2}(\ell) = \omega\ell + \frac{(q(\ell))^2}{2\omega\ell} \epsilon^2 - \frac{(q(\ell))^4}{(2\omega\ell)^3} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6).$$

In particular, there exists a positive constant ϵ_1 such that L_ϵ is globally hypoelliptic for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_1$, as the reader can see in [17].

3. Systems with variable coefficients

This Section addresses the systems $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$, where $Q(t, D_x)$ is an $m \times m$ matrix operator with symbols $Q(t, \xi) = [c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(\xi)]$. Let us recall that equation $Pu = f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, with $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, is equivalent to

$$D_t \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + Q(t, \xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{f}(t, \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (17)$$

where $\widehat{u}(t, \xi)$ and $\widehat{f}(t, \xi)$ denotes the m -dimensional vectors

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{u}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{u}_m(t, \xi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{f}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{f}_m(t, \xi)).$$

This Section analyzes the global hypoellipticity of P by studying the coordinates $\widehat{u}_j(t, \xi)$ in the sense of Proposition 1.2. The starting point is the introduction of *strongly triangularizable symbols* which enables the study of a suitable triangular system associated to (17).

Firstly, the analysis is restricted to symbols having smooth eigenvalues and satisfying a polynomial growth.

Definition 3.1. *We say that $Q(t, \xi)$ satisfies condition (A) if, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(t, \xi)$ fulfill the following conditions:*

- (a) *they are smooth functions on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, that is, the maps $\mathbb{T} \ni t \mapsto \lambda_k(t, \xi)$ are smooth, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$;*
- (b) *given $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C = C(\alpha, k)$ and $\mu_k = \mu_k(\alpha)$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha \lambda_k(t, \xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{\mu_k}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

Remark 3.2. *We point out that the study of differentiability for eigenvalues (and eigenvectors) is a highly non trivial problem (even for holomorphic*

matrices). For more information on this subject, see Sections 5.4 and 5.5 in [18].

Regarding condition (18) let us observe that many classes of examples consist in systems given by $Q(t, D_x) = Q(D_x)A(t)$, where $Q(D_x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator on \mathbb{T}^n , of order ν , and $A(t)$ is a smooth $m \times m$ matrix having smooth eigenvalues $\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_m(t)$. In this case, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha \lambda_k(t, \xi)| = |q(\xi)| \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha \sigma_k(t)| \leq C_{Q,k,\alpha} |\xi|^\nu.$$

Definition 3.3. Let $Q(t, \xi)$ be a symbol satisfying condition (\mathcal{A}). We say that $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable if it satisfies the following conditions:

(\mathcal{B}_1) for each $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists a triangularization

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi),$$

where $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$ is a smooth upper-triangular matrix

$$\mathcal{N}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_{1,2}(t, \xi) & \dots & r_{1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{2,m}(t, \xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{m-1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(\mathcal{B}_2) the matrices S and S^{-1} are smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ and, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exist positive constants C_ℓ, R_ℓ and γ_ℓ , for $\ell = 1, 2$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C_1 |\xi|^{\gamma_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C_2 |\xi|^{\gamma_2},$$

for all $|\xi| \geq R_\ell$.

Additionally, $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable with diagonal **bounded from below** if it satisfies the extra condition:

(\mathcal{B}_3) for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exists a positive constant θ_k such that

$$\Im \lambda_k(t, \xi) \geq -\theta_k, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Finally, a system (17) with a strongly triangularizable symbol (with diagonal bounded from below) is said to be a strongly triangularizable system (with diagonal bounded from below).

Remark 3.4. Section 4 presents sufficient conditions for $Q(t, \xi)$ to be strongly triangularizable. It also presents a process for the construction of matrices S , S^{-1} and \mathcal{N} .

Now, the investigation proceeds by assuming that system (17) is strongly triangularizable. Firstly, it is important to emphasize the following properties:

- (i) condition (\mathcal{B}_1) implies that (17) can be rewritten in the triangular form

$$(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi))v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad (19)$$

where

$$v(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)\widehat{u}(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)\widehat{f}(t, \xi);$$

- (ii) it follows from (18) and (19) that the entries $r_{j,k}(t, \xi)$ of \mathcal{N} have all derivatives bounded by some polynomial, namely, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C = C(\alpha, j, k)$ and $\mu_{j,k} = \mu_{j,k}(\alpha)$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha r_{j,k}(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{\mu_{j,k}}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (20)$$

- (iii) in view of condition (\mathcal{B}_2) , we obtain that a coordinate of the vector $v(t, \xi)$ satisfies (7) if and only if the corresponding coordinate of $\widehat{u}(t, \xi)$ also satisfies (7).
- (iv) we can replace the study of solutions of (17) by the study of solutions of (19).

Remark 3.5. The requirement of conditions (18) and (\mathcal{B}_3) will be clarified by Theorem 3.8.

3.1. The study of triangularizable systems

Admit that $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ is strongly triangularizable, let $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a solution of $Lu = f \in C^\infty_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, and rewrite the system (19) in the form

$$\begin{cases} D_t v_1(t, \xi) + \lambda_1(t, \xi)v_1(t, \xi) + \dots + r_{1,m}(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi) = g_1(t, \xi) \\ D_t v_2(t, \xi)\lambda_2(t, \xi)v_2(t, \xi) + \dots + r_{2,m}(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi) = g_2(t, \xi) \\ \vdots \\ D_t v_m(t, \xi) + \lambda_m(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi) = g_m(t, \xi) \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Since the terms $D_t v_k(t, \xi) + \lambda_k(t, \xi)v_k(t, \xi)$ (in each line of this system) play an important role in this analysis, then let us introduce the following family of operators

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \{\mathcal{L}_k^\xi = D_t + \lambda_k(t, \xi), \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n\},$$

for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, as well as the following definition:

Definition 3.6. *We say that the family \mathcal{L}_k is globally hypoelliptic if the following conditions hold: for every $\omega \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ satisfying the equations*

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\xi \widehat{\omega}(t, \xi) = \widehat{h}(t, \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (22)$$

we have $\omega \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$.

For each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we define the operators

$$\lambda_{0,k}(D_x)w(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \lambda_{0,k}(\xi) \widehat{w}(\xi),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,k} = D_t + \lambda_{0,k}(D_x),$$

where $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda_k(t, \xi) dt$.

Let us recall that, by Theorem 1.4, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist positive constants C_k , M_k and R_k satisfying

$$|\tau + \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)| \geq C_k (|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_k}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_k,$$

or equivalently, there exist positive constants \tilde{C}_k , \tilde{M}_k and \tilde{R}_k such that

$$|1 - e^{\pm 2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)}| \geq \tilde{C}_k |\xi|^{-\tilde{M}_k}, \text{ for all } |\xi| \geq \tilde{R}_k. \quad (23)$$

The next result exhibits a necessary condition for the global hypoellipticity of a family \mathcal{L}_k .

Proposition 3.7. *If \mathcal{L}_k is globally hypoelliptic, then the set $Z_k = \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \lambda_{0,k}(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is finite.*

Proof: Suppose that Z_k is infinite and let $\{\xi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_k$ be an increasing sequence. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $t_\ell \in [0, 2\pi]$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr = \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr,$$

and, by setting $\kappa_\ell \doteq \exp\left(-\int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr\right)$, define

$$\hat{u}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} \kappa_\ell \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr\right), & \text{if } \xi = \xi_\ell, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi \neq \xi_\ell. \end{cases}$$

Since $\xi_\ell \in Z_k$, it follows that $\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi)$ are smooth and 2π -periodic. Moreover, $\{\hat{u}(t, \xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ defines a distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \setminus C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_k^\xi \hat{u}(t, \xi) = 0$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence, the family \mathcal{L}_k is not globally hypoelliptic. □

Now, the next result presents a first connection between the analysis of the system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ and the related constant coefficient operators $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Admit that $\{\lambda_k(t, \xi)\}$ satisfies (\mathcal{B}_3) . Then, $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the family \mathcal{L}_k is globally hypoelliptic.*

Remark 3.9. *It is important to emphasize that the proof of this result is a slight modification of the proofs of Theorem 3.5 in [9] and Theorem 3.3 in [21].*

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is globally hypoelliptic and consider ω and h satisfying equations (22). It follows from Theorem 1.4, part (a), that Z_k is finite. Hence, the solutions of (22) can be written in the form

$$\widehat{\omega}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)} - 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(i \int_t^{t+s} \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr\right) \widehat{h}(t+s, \xi) ds,$$

for $|\xi|$ large enough.

Now, let α be a non-negative integer and consider $N_1 > 0$. By applying the Leibniz formula and estimates (7), (18) and (23) we obtain positive constants C_1 and R_1 such that

$$|\partial_t^\alpha \omega(t, \xi)| \leq C_1 |\xi|^{-N_1 + \theta_k} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(-\int_t^{t+s} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr\right) ds, \quad |\xi| \geq R_1.$$

The exponential term in the last integral is bounded in view of condition (\mathcal{B}_3) , thus ω is a smooth function on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

For the converse, admit that $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is not globally hypoelliptic. Thus, in view of (23), there is a sequence $\{\xi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|\xi_\ell|$ is strictly increasing, $|\xi_\ell| > \ell$ and

$$|1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi_\ell)}| < |\xi_\ell|^{-\ell}, \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (24)$$

If Z_k is infinite, then \mathcal{L}_k is not globally hypoelliptic in view of Proposition 3.7, thus we can proceed by assuming that Z_k is finite and, in particular, we admit $\xi_\ell \notin Z_k$, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each ℓ , we may choose $t_\ell \in [0, 2\pi]$ so that $\int_{t_\ell}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr \leq 0$, for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Indeed, for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ we can write

$$\int_{t_\ell}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr = \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr - \int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr$$

and we take t_ℓ satisfying

$$\int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr.$$

Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $t_\ell \rightarrow t_0$, for some $t_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$. Consider I to be a closed interval in $(0, 2\pi)$ such that

$t_0 \notin I$ and let ϕ be a real-valued function compactly supported in I , such that $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 1$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(t) dt > 0$.

For each ℓ , let $\widehat{f}(\cdot, \xi_\ell)$ be a 2π -periodic extension of

$$(1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi_\ell)}) \exp\left(-\int_{t_\ell}^t i \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr\right) \phi(t).$$

Since $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi)$ is bounded by $|\xi|^{\nu_k}$ and $\int_{t_n}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_n) dr \leq 0$, for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, we obtain a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ defined by

$$f(t, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(t, \xi_n) e^{ix \xi_n}, & \xi = \xi_\ell, \\ 0, & \xi \neq \xi_\ell. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, straightforward calculations show that $u = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{u}(t, \xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \setminus C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, where

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi_\ell) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi_\ell)}} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(-\int_{t-s}^t i \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr\right) \widehat{f}(t-s, \xi_\ell) ds,$$

for $\xi = \xi_\ell$ and $\widehat{u}(t, \xi_\ell) = 0$, for $\xi \neq \xi_\ell$.

Since $\mathcal{L}_k^\xi \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = -i \widehat{f}(t, \xi)$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we obtain that \mathcal{L}_k is not globally hypoelliptic. □

Remark 3.10. *It is important to emphasize that condition (\mathcal{B}_3) can be replaced by*

$$\Im \lambda_k(t, \xi) \leq \theta_k, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

since the solutions of (22) have the equivalent form

$$\omega(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)}} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(-i \int_{t-s}^t \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr\right) h(t-s, \xi) ds.$$

Hence, we get the boundedness

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \exp\left(-i \int_{t-s}^t \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr\right) \right| &= \sup_{s \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \exp\left(\int_{t-s}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr\right) \right| \\ &\leq e^{2\pi \theta_k}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point it is possible to exhibit the main result.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose that $Q(t, \xi)$ is a strongly triangularizable symbol with diagonal bounded from below. Then, the system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the diagonal system*

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \text{diag}(\mathcal{L}_{0,1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{0,m})$$

is globally hypoelliptic.

Proof: Let us prove the sufficiency part. For this, assume that \mathcal{L}_0 is globally hypoelliptic and let $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a solution of $Pu = f \in C^\infty_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. We apply the triangularization process and rewrite the triangular system (21) in the form

$$\begin{cases} D_t v_k(t, \xi) + \lambda_k(t, \xi) v_k(t, \xi) = \tilde{g}_k(t, \xi), & k = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ D_t v_m(t, \xi) + \lambda_m(t, \xi) v_m(t, \xi) = g_m(t, \xi), \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_k(t, \xi) = g_k(t, \xi) - \sum_{j=k+1}^m r_{j,k}(t, \xi) v_j(t, \xi). \quad (26)$$

The global hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L}_0 implies that each $\mathcal{L}_{0,j}$ is globally hypoelliptic and, by Theorem 3.8, each family \mathcal{L}_j is also globally hypoelliptic.

Given $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, consider the formal series

$$v_k(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} v_k(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g_k(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} g_k(t, \xi).$$

By the triangularization process we have $g_k(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, for every $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The last equation in (25) implies that $\mathcal{L}_m^\xi v_m(t, \xi) = g_m(t, \xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence, the hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L}_m ensures that $v_m(t, x)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

Now, for $k = m-1$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{m-1}^\xi v_{m-1}(t, \xi) = \tilde{g}_{m-1}(t, \xi) = g_{m-1}(t, \xi) - r_{j,m-1}(t, \xi) v_m(t, \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Since $r_{j,\ell}$ satisfies (20), then all derivatives of the term $r_{j,m-1}(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi)$ converge to zero faster than any polynomial, which implies

$$\tilde{g}_{m-1}(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \tilde{g}_{m-1}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

hence $v_{m-1}(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, in view of the hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L}_{m-1} .

Finally, by successive applications of these arguments, we obtain that each component v_k defines a smooth function on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} . Thus, $v \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and P is globally hypoelliptic.

To prove the necessary part, we admit that \mathcal{L}_0 is not globally hypoelliptic. This assumption implies that at least one of the operators $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is also not globally hypoelliptic, and, consequently, neither is the family \mathcal{L}_k .

Assume, for a moment, that $k = 1$. Hence, there exists $v_1 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \setminus C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $g_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_1^\xi \widehat{v}_1(t, \xi) = \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus, the vector $\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{v}_1(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0)$ satisfies the equation

$$(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi))\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{g}_1(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0),$$

which implies P not globally hypoelliptic.

Now, let us assume that for some $k < m$ we have $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{k-1}$ globally hypoelliptic and \mathcal{L}_k not globally hypoelliptic. Consider v_k, g_k playing the roles of v_1 and g_1 in the previous argument.

Since $\mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}$ is globally hypoelliptic there exists $R > 0$ such that $e^{2\pi i \lambda_{0,k-1}(\xi)} \neq 1$, for $|\xi| \geq R$. Thus, we can define

$$\widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi i \lambda_{0,k-1}(\xi)} - 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(i \int_t^{t+s} \lambda_{k-1}(r, \xi) dr\right) h_{k-1}(t+s, \xi) ds,$$

for $|\xi| \geq R$ and $\widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| < R$, where

$$h_{k-1}(t, \xi) = -i r_{k-1,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi), \quad |\xi| \geq R.$$

In view of the hypoellipticity of $\mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}$ and the hypothesis on v_k , we can conclude that

$$v_{k-1}(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}^\xi \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} -r_{k-1,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi), & |\xi| \geq R, \\ 0, & |\xi| < R, \end{cases}$$

and, by defining

$$\widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = \mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}^\xi \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) + r_{k-1,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi),$$

we get

$$g_{k-1}(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

since $\widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = 0$, for $|\xi| \geq R$.

By repeating these arguments it is possible to construct $k-1$ distributions v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} and $k-1$ smooth functions g_1, \dots, g_{k-1} such that the vector

$$\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{v}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi), \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0)$$

satisfies

$$(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)) \widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{g}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi), \widehat{g}_k(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0),$$

which implies that P is not globally hypoelliptic.

The case $k = m$ is similar since it is enough to consider the vectors

$$\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{v}_1, \dots, \widehat{v}_{k-1}, \widehat{v}_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{g}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{g}_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_{k-1}, \widehat{g}_m),$$

for which $(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)) \widehat{v}(t, \xi) = \widehat{g}(t, \xi)$. Thus, the proof is completed. \square

Example 2. Let $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ be a system such that $Q(t, D_x) = c(t)[Q_{j,k}(D_x)]$. In this case $Q(t, \xi) = c(t)Q(\xi)$ is strongly triangularizable, since we can apply Schur's triangularization for $Q(\xi)$. Hence, $Pu = f$ is equivalent to

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + c(t)(\Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi))v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi).$$

The eigenvalues of this system are $\lambda_k(t, \xi) = c(t)\lambda_k(\xi)$ with averages $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi) = c_0\lambda_k(\xi)$, where $c_0 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} c(t)dt$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\xi = D_t + c(t)\lambda_k(\xi), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,k} = D_t + c_0\lambda_k(D_x).$$

Further, if (\mathcal{B}_3) is fulfilled, then P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist positive constants C_k , M_k and R_k such that

$$|\tau + c_0\lambda_k(\xi)| \geq C_k(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_k}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_k,$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Example 3. Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a family of $m \times m$ commuting matrices with eigenvalues $\{\sigma_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^m$. Given n pseudo-differential operators $P_j(D_{x_j})$, each one defined on \mathbb{T}_{x_j} , we can set the following system

$$P = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t)A_jP_j(D_{x_j}), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1}.$$

In view of the commutative assumption, we may apply the simultaneous triangularization to $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$. Then, the corresponding system (17) can be rewritten as

$$D_tv(t, \xi) + \mathcal{A}(t, \xi)v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(t, \xi) & \rho_{1,2}(t, \xi) & \dots & \rho_{1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & \lambda_2(t, \xi) & \dots & \rho_{2,m}(t, \xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m(t, \xi) \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$\lambda_\ell(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t)\sigma_{j,\ell}p_j(\xi_j), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\ell,k}(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t)r_{\ell,k}^j p_j(\xi_j).$$

In this case we have the operators

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t)\sigma_{j,\ell}P_j(D_{x_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,\ell} = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{0,j}\sigma_{j,\ell}P_j(D_{x_j}).$$

Thus, if $\lambda_\ell(t, \xi)$ satisfies (\mathcal{B}_3) , then P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_ℓ , M_ℓ and R_ℓ such that

$$\left| \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{0,j} \sigma_{j,\ell} p_j(\xi_j) \right| \geq C_\ell (|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_\ell}, \text{ for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_\ell,$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

4. Reduction to the triangular form

The aim of this Section is to present sufficient conditions on a matrix symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ such that it can be triangularized in the sense of definition (3.3). The triangularization process shown in the sequel follow the same ideas used in [13], hence it is possible to omit some steps in this presentation. In view of this, attention will be focused on the verification that, under suitable hypotheses, the triangularization

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$$

is smooth, that is, the matrices S , S^{-1} and \mathcal{N} have entries belonging to $C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Furthermore, Theorem 4.4 exhibits conditions such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C_1 |\xi|^{\gamma_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C_2 |\xi|^{\gamma_2}, \quad (27)$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants C_j and γ_j .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi) = [a_{j,k}(t, \xi)]$ be a $m \times m$ matrix with $a_{j,k}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$. Admit that its eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi)$ and corresponding eigenvectors $h_1(t, \xi), \dots, h_{m-1}(t, \xi)$ are also smooth and that*

$$\langle h^{(i)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

holds for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, with the notation to be explained, and $e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m-i+1}$.

Then, there exist smooth matrices $S(t, \xi)$, invertible for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, with smooth inverses $S^{-1}(t, \xi)$, such that

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi),$$

for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$ is an upper-triangular nilpotent matrix with entries $r_{j,k}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Remark 4.2. *The proof of this theorem is given as follows: by Proposition 4.3 below, it is possible to start by working with a pair eigenvalue-eigenvector, say $\lambda - h$, and exhibit a decomposition*

$$S^{-1}\mathcal{A}S = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{E} \end{bmatrix},$$

for some matrix \mathcal{E} , of order $m - 1$. Thus, the procedure consists in successive reapplications of this process to the matrix \mathcal{E} .

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\lambda(t, \xi)$ be an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)$ and $h(t, \xi)$ an associated eigenvector with both of them smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$. Suppose that there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with*

$$\langle h(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m} \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (28)$$

where e_j denotes the j -th element of the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^m .

Then, there are a smooth matrices $\mathcal{E}(t, \xi)$, of order $m - 1$, and $S(t, \xi)$ of order m , invertible for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, with a smooth inverse $S^{-1}(t, \xi)$, such that

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t, \xi) & a_{1,2}(t, \xi) & \dots & a_{1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{E}(t, \xi) & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

Proof: Without loss of generalities (see Remark 5 in [13]) we can admit $j = 1$ in (28). Define

$$v_i(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h(t, \xi), e_i \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}}{\langle h(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n), \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and the matrices

$$S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ v_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & I_{m-1} & \\ v_m & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-1}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -v_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & I_{m-1} & \\ -v_m & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_{m-1} is the identity matrix of order $m - 1$.

With these constructions, it can be verified that (29) is fulfilled. Once each coordinate $v_i(t, \xi)$ is smooth, we obtain that S , S^{-1} and \mathcal{E} are also smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1

As observed, the full triangularization is given by applying Proposition 4.3 to $\mathcal{E}(t, \xi)$ for $m - 2$ times. To present a sketch of this process, let $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)$ be as in the Theorem 4.1 and $h_1(t, \xi), \dots, h_{m-1}(t, \xi)$ be the associated eigenvectors to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_{m-1}(t, \xi)$.

The construction of vectors $h^{(i)}(t, \xi)$ starts by setting $h^{(1)} \doteq h_1$ and, as in Proposition 4.3, by assuming

$$\langle h^{(1)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$S_1^{-1} \mathcal{A} S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,m} \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{E}_{m-1} & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where $S_1 = [v_1 \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_m]$, $v_1 = [v_{11} \ \dots \ v_{1m}]^T$ and

$$v_{1j}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(1)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}}{\langle h^{(1)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n).$$

Now, we shall see how to apply this process to \mathcal{E}_{m-1} . For this, consider the projector $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$, given by $\pi_k(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m)$.

Notice that $S_1^{-1} h_2$ is an eigenvector of $S_1^{-1} \mathcal{A} S_1$, with eigenvalue λ_2 . Furthermore,

$$h^{(2)} \doteq (\pi_1 \circ S_1^{-1}) \cdot h_2$$

is an eigenvector of \mathcal{E}_{m-1} , smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, and corresponding to λ_2 .

By assuming

$$\langle h^{(2)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

for $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, we get from Proposition 4.3 the following decomposition

$$\tilde{S}_2^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{m-1} \tilde{S}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{E}_{m-2} & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where the first row is the first row of \mathcal{E}_{m-1} . The matrix $\tilde{S}_2 = [v_2 \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_{m-1}]$ is computed by setting $v_2 = [v_{22} \ \dots \ v_{2m}]^T$ and

$$v_{2j}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(2)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-1}}}{\langle h^{(2)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-1}}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n).$$

Moreover, by defining

$$S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \tilde{S}_2 & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

we get

$$S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} \mathcal{A} S_1 S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \mathcal{E}_{m-2} & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The full triangularization is obtained by using an inductive argument on the order of the matrices \mathcal{E}_j . The exhibition is restricted to only show how to construct vectors $h^{(i)}$ and matrices S_k : for $i \in \{2, \dots, m-1\}$, let us set

$$h^{(i)} \doteq (\pi_{i-1} \circ S_{i-1}^{-1} \circ S_{i-2}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ S_1^{-1}) \cdot h_i,$$

which are evidently smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$. The matrices S_k are inductively defined by

$$S_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{S}_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{S}_k = [v_k \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_{m-k}], \quad (30)$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ and

$$v_{kj}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}}{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n), \quad j = k, \dots, m. \quad (31)$$

Finally, $S(t, \xi)$ is the smooth matrix given by

$$S = S_1 \circ S_2 \circ \dots \circ S_{m-1}. \quad (32)$$

□

4.1. Strongly triangularizable symbols

Notice that a matrix symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ that satisfies both condition (\mathcal{A}) and the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 can be smoothly triangularized. Hence, conditions such that estimates (27) are fulfilled, are all that remains for the strong triangularization of $Q(t, \xi)$. This is the aim of the next Theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $Q(t, \xi)$ be a symbol satisfying condition (\mathcal{A}) and the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1. Assume that the eigenvectors $h_\ell(t, \xi)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m-1$, satisfy the following properties:*

(a) *for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists $C > 0$ and $\mu_\ell = \mu_\ell(\alpha)$ such that*

$$|\partial_t^\alpha h_\ell(t, \xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{\mu_\ell}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty;$$

(b) *if $h_{\ell,i}(t, \xi)$ denotes the i -th coordinate of h_ℓ , then there exists $\kappa_{\ell,i}$ such that*

$$|h_{\ell,i}(t, \xi)| \geq C |\xi|^{\kappa_{\ell,i}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Under these conditions, $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable.

Proof:

In view of the construction given in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (especially equations (30) and (32)), it is enough to show that each matrix \tilde{S}_k satisfies (27). Hence, we should analyze the derivatives of the entries $v_{kj}(t, \xi)$ given by (31).

To simplify the notations let us proceed by omitting the index ℓ in the eigenvectors. Thus, writing $h^{(k)}(t, \xi) = (h_1^{(k)}(t, \xi), \dots, h_{m-k}^{(k)}(t, \xi))$ we obtain

$$v_{kj}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}}{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}} = \frac{h_j^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{h_1^{(k)}(t, \xi)},$$

and by the Leibniz formula we get

$$\partial_t^\alpha v_{kj} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} h_j^{(k)} \partial_t^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{h_1^{(k)}} \right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Now, by seek of simplicity, write $\omega = h_1^{(k)}$. It follows from the Faà di Bruno's formula that

$$\partial_t^\gamma (\omega^{-1}) = \sum_{\Delta(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma!}{\beta!} (-1)^{|\beta|} |\beta|! (\omega^{-1})^{|\beta|+1} \prod_{s=1}^{\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial_t^s \omega}{s!} \right)^{\beta_s} \right],$$

where $\Delta(\gamma) = \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\gamma; \sum_{s=1}^{\gamma} s \beta_s = \gamma\}$.

Conditions (a) and (b) imply that

$$\left| \frac{\partial_t^s \omega}{s!} \right|^{\beta_s} \leq C_2 |\xi|^{\mu \beta_s} (s!)^{-\beta_s} \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega|^{-(|\beta|+1)} \leq C_1 |\xi|^{-\kappa_1 (|\beta|+1)},$$

respectively, for $\mu = \mu(s)$, and $|\xi| \geq R_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^\gamma (\omega^{-1})| &\leq \sum_{\Delta(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma!}{\beta!} |\beta|! C_1^{-(|\beta|+1)} |\xi|^{-\kappa_1 (|\beta|+1)} |\xi|^{\mu |\beta|} \prod_{s=1}^{\gamma} \left(\frac{C_2}{s!} \right)^{\beta_s} \right] \\ &\leq |\xi|^{\mu \gamma} \sum_{\Delta(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma!}{\beta!} |\beta|! C_1^{-(|\beta|+1)} \prod_{s=1}^{\gamma} \left(\frac{C_2}{s!} \right)^{\beta_s} \right] \\ &= C_3 |\xi|^{\mu \gamma}, \quad |\xi| \geq R_2. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for a positive constant R_2 and $\mu = \mu(\gamma) = \max\{\mu(s); s = 1, \dots, \gamma\}$.

Finally, inequalities $|\partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} h_j^{(k)}| \leq C_4 |\xi|^\mu$, for $\mu = \mu(\alpha, \gamma)$, and (33) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^\alpha v_{kj}| &\leq \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} |\partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} h_j^{(k)}| |\partial_t^\gamma (\omega^{-1})| \\ &\leq C_3 C_4 C_5 |\xi|^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mu = \mu(\alpha) = \max\{\mu(\alpha, \gamma) + \mu(\gamma)\gamma; \gamma = 0, \dots, \alpha\}$.

Therefore, there are $C > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t^\alpha v_{kj}(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^\mu, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq R,$$

and the proof is completed. □

Example 4. Consider the system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ given by

$$Q(t, D_x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a^2(t)D_x \\ D_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where $a(t) \neq 0$ is real valued.

The eigenvalues are $\pm a(t)\xi$ and we can choose $(1, 1/a(t))$ as the eigenvector associated to $\lambda_1 = a(t)\xi$. By applying Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/a(t) & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q(t, \xi) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/a(t) & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(t)\xi & a^2(t)\xi \\ 0 & -a(t)\xi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable with diagonal bounded from below, since its eigenvalues are real-valued functions. Thus, following the notations of Section 3 we set

$$\mathcal{L}_1^\xi = D_t + a(t)\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_2^\xi = D_t - a(t)\xi.$$

Hence, system P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist constants satisfying

$$|\tau \pm a_0\xi| \geq C(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R,$$

or equivalent, if a_0 is an irrational non-Liouville number.

Bibliography

- [1] A. P. Bergamasco, P. D. Cordaro, G. Petronilho, Global solvability for a class of complex vector fields on the two-torus, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 29 (5-6) (2004) 785–819. doi:10.1081/PDE-120037332.

- [2] A. A. Himonas, G. Petronilho, Global hypoellipticity and simultaneous approximability, *J. Funct. Anal.* 170 (2) (2000) 356–365. doi:10.1006/jfan.1999.3524.
- [3] J. Hounie, Globally hypoelliptic and globally solvable first-order evolution equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 252 (12) (1979) 233–248. doi:10.2307/1998087.
- [4] G. Petronilho, Global hypoellipticity, global solvability and normal form for a class of real vector fields on a torus and application, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363 (12) (2011) 6337–6349. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05359-6.
- [5] A. P. Bergamasco, P. Cordaro, P. Malagutti, Globally hypoelliptic systems of vector fields, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 114 (2) (1993) 267–285. doi:10.1006/jfan.1993.1068.
- [6] A. P. Bergamasco, A. Kirilov, W. Nunes, S. Zani, Global solutions to involutive systems., *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 (11) (2015) 4851–4862. doi:10.1090/proc/12633.
- [7] A. P. Bergamasco, A. Parmeggiani, S. Zani, G. Zugliani, Classes of globally solvable involutive systems., *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* 8 (4) (2017) 551–583. doi:10.1007/s11868-017-0217-9.
- [8] G. Chinni, P. D. Cordaro, On global analytic and Gevrey hypoellipticity on the torus and the Métivier inequality, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 42 (1) (2017) 121–141. doi:10.1080/03605302.2016.1258577.
- [9] F. de Ávila Silva, R. B. Gonzalez, A. Kirilov, C. Medeira, Global hypoellipticity for a class of pseudo-differential operators on the torus, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 25 (4) (2019) 1717–1758. doi:10.1007/s00041-018-09645-x.
- [10] D. Dickinson, T. V. Gramchev, M. Yoshino, Perturbations of vector fields on tori: resonant normal forms and Diophantine phenomena, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 45 (2002) 731–759. doi:10.1017/S001309150000064X.

- [11] N. Rodrigues, G. Chinni, P. Cordaro, M. Jahnke, Lower order perturbation and global analytic vectors for a class of globally analytic hypoelliptic operators., *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (12) (2016) 5159–5170. doi:10.1090/proc/13178.
- [12] T. V. Gramchev, M. Ruzhansky, *Cauchy Problem for Some 2X2 Hyperbolic Systems of Pseudo-differential Equations with Nondiagonalisable Principal Part*, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2013, pp. 129–145. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-6348-1_7.
- [13] C. Garetto, C. Jäh, M. Ruzhansky, Hyperbolic systems with non-diagonalisable principal part and variable multiplicities, i: well-posedness, *Mathematische Annalen* 372 (3) (2018) 1597–1629. doi:10.1007/s00208-018-1672-1.
- [14] K. Hoffman, R. Kunze, *Linear algebra*, 1st Edition, Prentice-Hall, 1971.
- [15] M. Ruzhansky, V. Turunen, *Pseudo-Differential Operators and Symmetries: Background Analysis and Advanced Topics*, Series: Pseudo-Differential Operators, 2nd Edition, Birkhuser Basel, 2010. doi:10.1007/978-3-7643-8514-9.
- [16] S. J. Greenfield, N. R. Wallach, Global hypoellipticity and Liouville numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31 (1) (1972) 112–114. doi:10.2307/2038523.
- [17] F. de Ávila Silva, A. Kirilov, Perturbations of globally hypoelliptic operators on closed manifolds, *J. Spectr. Theory* 9 (3) (2019) 825–855. doi:10.4171/JST/264.
- [18] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Reprint of the corr. print. of the 2nd ed. 1980., reprint of the corr. print. of the 2nd ed. 1980 Edition, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [19] F. Rellich, *Perturbation theory of eigenvalue problems.*, Notes on Mathematics and its Applications. New York-London-Paris: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers. X, 127 p. (1969).
- [20] S. L. Jamison, Perturbation of normal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5 (1954) 103–110. doi:10.2307/2032114.

- [21] F. de vila Silva, Global hypoellipticity for a class of periodic cauchy operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 483 (2) (2020) 123650. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.123650.