

Globally hypoelliptic triangularizable systems of periodic pseudo-differential operators

Fernando de Ávila Silva

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Paraná,
Caixa Postal 19081, Curitiba, PR 81531-990, Brazil*

Abstract

This article presents an investigation on the global hypoellipticity problem for systems belonging to the class $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$, where $Q(t, D_x)$ is a $m \times m$ matrix with entries $c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(D_x)$. The coefficients $c_{j,k}(t)$ are smooth, complex-valued functions on the torus $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q_{j,k}(D_x)$ are pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{T}^n . The approach consists in establishing conditions on the matrix symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ such that it can be transformed into a suitable triangular form $\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$, where $\Lambda(t, \xi)$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi) \dots \lambda_m(t, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$ is a nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Hence, the global hypoellipticity of P is studied by analyzing the behavior of the eigenvalues $\lambda_j(t, \xi)$ and its averages $\lambda_{0,j}(\xi)$, as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Keywords: Global hypoellipticity, Systems, Pseudo-differential operators, Fourier series, Triangularization

2010 MSC: 35B10 35B65 35H10 35S05

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Notations and preliminary results	6

Email address: fernando.avila@ufpr.br (Fernando de Ávila Silva)

2	Systems with constant coefficients	8
2.1	Systems on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n$	11
2.2	Example: higher order equations	13
2.3	Example: sum of commutative systems	14
2.4	Perturbations of globally hypoelliptic systems	16
3	Systems with variable coefficients	18
3.1	The study of triangularizable systems	25
4	Reduction to the triangular form	34
4.1	Strongly triangularizable symbols	38
	Bibliography	41

1. Introduction

This article discusses the global hypoellipticity problem for systems belonging to the class

$$P = D_t + Q(t, D_x), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, x \in \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (1)$$

where $D_t = i^{-1}\partial_t$, $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ stands as the torus, $Q(t, D_x)$ is a $m \times m$ matrix operator with entries $c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(D_x)$, where $c_{j,k}(t)$ are smooth complex-valued functions on \mathbb{T} and $Q_{j,k}(D_x)$ are pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{T}^n .

Let us recall that system P is *globally hypoelliptic* if m -dimensional vectors u and f , with coordinates in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ respectively, satisfy equation $Pu = f$ then the coordinates of u are also smooth functions on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

The study of global properties for linear operators is a challenging problem even for the case of vector fields and differential operators on the torus, see the impressive list [3, 5, 4, 6, 7, 8, 19, 21, 26] and the references therein. Investigations on pseudo-differential classes are also considered in the literature, for instance, [10, 13, 14, 15, 28]. These problems are also analyzed

in the setting of compact manifolds and Lie groups, e.g. [1, 2, 9, 22, 25]. In particular, we point out that an important tool, present in all these references and here, is a Fourier analysis characterizing the functional spaces under investigation.

On the other hand, although the analyses of regularity of solutions (as well as solvability and well-posedness of systems of differential and pseudo-differential operators, defined on \mathbb{R}^n) compose a widely explored problem in the literature, there are apparently no published works dealing with the global hypoellipticity problem for m -dimensional *periodic* systems of pseudo-differential operators of type (1).

Hence, this article presents an approach for investigations of the global hypoellipticity of unexplored classes of systems on the torus. For an outline of the main results and techniques, let us consider P , as in (1), and equation $Pu = f$. By using the partial Fourier series, with respect to x , we can conclude that the global hypoellipticity problem is equivalent to analyzing the solutions of systems

$$D_t \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + Q(t, \xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{f}(t, \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (2)$$

where $Q(t, \xi) = [c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(\xi)]$ is the matrix symbol of $Q(t, D_x)$. More precisely, it is necessary, and sufficient, to prove that all derivatives of the coordinates of $\widehat{u}(t, \xi)$ converge to zero faster than any polynomial (see Proposition 1.1).

The main problems in this procedure involve the computations of solutions for (2). To handle this obstacle, inspiration was taken from T. V. Gramchev and M. Ruzhansky (see [17]) and C. Garetto, C. Jäh and M. Ruzhansky (see [16]). These authors present classes of systems (2) (defined on \mathbb{R}^n) that can be reduced to a triangular form. Hence, following this inspiration, the present article considers classes of systems such that $Q(t, \xi) = [c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(\xi)]$ has a *smooth triangularization*

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi) Q(t, \xi) S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi),$$

with $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi))$, where $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi) = [r_{j,k}(t, \xi)]$ is a nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Moreover, this triangularization allows us to replace the study of system (2) by the triangular form

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + [\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)] v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (3)$$

where

$$v(t, \xi) = S^{-1}(t, \xi)\widehat{u}(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t, \xi) = S^{-1}(t, \xi)\widehat{f}(t, \xi).$$

However, to guarantee equivalence between the behaviors of solutions of (2) and (3) when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, it is necessary that S , and its inverse S^{-1} , have a polynomial growth of type

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\gamma. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, we assume that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha [S^{-1}(t, \xi) \cdot D_t S(t, \xi)]\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^{-N}, \quad (5)$$

for all $N > 0$.

It is important to point out that a triangularization satisfying (4) and (5) can be easily obtained for the constant coefficients case $Q(t, D_x) = [Q_{j,k}(D_x)]_{m \times m}$, since in this configuration we get

$$D_t \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + [Q_{j,k}(\xi)] \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{f}(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (6)$$

Thus, we can use the following, and well known, Schur's triangularization process (see [20]):

Lemma 1.1 (Schur's triangularization). *Let A be an $m \times m$ complex matrix. Then, there exists a unitary matrix S such that $S^* A S$ is upper triangular with diagonal elements $r_{j,j} = \lambda_j$.*

Given this result we have

$$S^{-1}(\xi) Q(\xi) S(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Moreover, since $S(\xi)$ and $S^{-1}(\xi)$ are unitary, for every ξ , the estimates (4) are automatically satisfied. Since $D_t S(\xi) \equiv 0$, we may replace (6) by its equivalent triangular form

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + (\Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi)) v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Section 2 presents a complete analysis for the constant coefficient case and starts off with an investigation for systems of type $L = [L_{j,k}(D_y)]$, where

$L_{j,k}(D_y)$ is a pseudo-differential operator on \mathbb{T}^N . Theorem 2.1 establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for the global hypoellipticity in view of the eigenvalues of the matrix-symbols $L(\eta)$. These conditions are extended to the system $P = D_t + Q(D_x)$ by Theorem 2.3. Examples and applications are presented in Subsections 2.2 and 2.3.

Furthermore, Subsection 2.4 discusses the problem of perturbations, that is, systems of type

$$L_\epsilon = L + \epsilon Q, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{C},$$

with $Q = [Q_{j,k}(D_y)]$ and ϵ belonging to some small ball at the origin. The main result that follows is Theorem 2.6, where the essential hypothesis is that the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ have an analytic expansion on ϵ (see (15)).

Now, concerning the general system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ in (2), Section 3 introduces the concept of *strongly triangularizable symbols*, according to Definition 3.4. Broadly speaking, this is a class for which there exists a smooth triangular form (3) satisfying conditions (4) and (5) (see Theorem 3.8). The main result that follows is Theorem 3.14, where it is shown that, under suitable conditions, P is globally hypoelliptic *if and only if* each constant coefficient operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,k} = D_t + \lambda_{0,k}(D_x), \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

is globally hypoelliptic on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} , where $\lambda_{0,k}(D_x)$ is formally defined by

$$\lambda_{0,k}(D_x)w(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \lambda_{0,k}(\xi) \widehat{w}(\xi),$$

and $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda_k(t, \xi) dt$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

It is important to emphasize that the hypotheses on Theorem 3.14 are independent of the order of the operators $Q_{j,k} = Q_{j,k}(D_x)$. In particular, this means that the results can be applied in the case where $Q_{j,k}$ are differential operators. This is a surprising contrast with the scalar case $m = 1$, where, in general, it is not possible to apply the equivalence, suggested by Theorem 3.14, for classes of differential operators.

Regarding the introduction of strongly triangularizable symbols, it is important to emphasize that the main inspiration comes from the approaches presented in [16, 17]. These works handle with the triangularization problem

for matrices of pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{R}^n and, in particular, they have shown how to compute the matrices S and S^{-1} . Hence, it is proven, in Section 4 (in Theorems 4.1 and 4.3) that the symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ can be strongly triangularized, under suitable conditions on its eigenvectors.

1.1. Notations and preliminary results

Throughout this paper the variables on \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{T}^n and \mathbb{T}^N are denoted by t, x and y , respectively, with corresponding dual variables $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

As usual, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ stand as the spaces of distributions and smooth functions on \mathbb{T}^N , respectively. Also, $\mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ denote the spaces of m -dimensional vectors with coordinates in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$, respectively.

The analyses will use the characterizations of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ by means of the Fourier coefficients. For this, let us recall that if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$, then its Fourier coefficients are defined by

$$\widehat{u}(\eta) = (2\pi)^{-N} \langle u, e^{-iy\eta} \rangle, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N.$$

For $N = n + 1$, the partial Fourier coefficients, with respect to $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, are given by

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle u(t, \cdot), e^{-ix\xi} \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\{a_\eta\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ be a sequence of complex numbers, $\{c_\xi(t)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ be a sequence of smooth functions on \mathbb{T} and the formal series*

$$v(y) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N} a_\eta e^{iy \cdot \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad u(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\xi(t) e^{ix \cdot \xi}.$$

Thus, we have the following:

- (a) *the series v converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^N)$ if and only if there exists positive constants M, C and R such that*

$$|a_\eta| \leq C |\xi|^M, \quad |\xi| \geq R. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, $v(y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ if and only if estimate (7) is fulfilled for every $M < 0$. In both cases we have $\widehat{v}(\eta) = a_\eta$.

(b) the series u converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ if and only if, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there are positive constants M , C and R such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha c_\xi(t)| \leq C |\xi|^M, \quad |\xi| \geq R. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ if and only if estimate (8) holds true for every $M < 0$. In both cases we have $c_\xi(\cdot) = \widehat{u}(\cdot, \xi)$.

By $\Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^N)$ we denote the class of pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{T}^N , of order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and acting on $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$, formally defined by

$$a(D_y)u(y) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N} e^{iy \cdot \eta} a(\eta) \widehat{u}(\eta), \quad (9)$$

with symbol $a(\eta) = \{a(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ satisfying

$$|a(\eta)| \leq C |\eta|^\nu, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N. \quad (10)$$

For further information regarding the quantization of pseudo-differential operators on the torus, see M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen in [29].

The next Theorem is an extension of the results presented in Greenfield's and Wallach's work in [18].

Theorem 1.2. *The operator $a(D_y)$, given by (9), is globally hypoelliptic on \mathbb{T}^N if and only if there exists positive constants C , M and R such that*

$$|a(\eta)| \geq C |\eta|^{-M}, \quad |\eta| \geq R.$$

In reference [14], authors R. B. Gonzalez, A. Kirilov, C. Medeira and F. de Ávila Silva, characterize the global hypoellipticity of operators belonging to the class

$$P = D_t + c(t)a(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1}, \quad (11)$$

in view of the functions

$$t \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto \lambda(t, \xi) \doteq c(t)a(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and its averages

$$\lambda_0(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda(t, \xi) dt, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The following Theorem summarizes some of the results in [14] that are related with the present investigations.

Theorem 1.3. *Let P be as in (11), set $c(t) = p(t) + iq(t)$ and $a(\xi) = \alpha(\xi) + i\beta(\xi)$.*

(a) *if P is globally hypoelliptic, then the set*

$$Z_P = \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \lambda_0(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is finite and the operator $\mathcal{P}_0 = D_t + c_0 a(D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic, where c_0 denotes the average $c_0 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} c(t) dt$;

(b) *if \mathcal{P}_0 is globally hypoelliptic and the functions*

$$\mathbb{T} \ni t \mapsto \Im \lambda(t, \xi) = p(t)\beta(\xi) + q(t)\alpha(\xi)$$

do not change sign, for sufficiently large $|\xi|$, then P is globally hypoelliptic.

(c) *the following statements are equivalent:*

i) \mathcal{P}_0 is globally hypoelliptic;

ii) there exist positive constants C , M and R such that

$$|\tau + \lambda_0(\xi)| \geq C(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R;$$

iii) there exist positive constants \tilde{C} , \tilde{M} and \tilde{R} such that

$$|1 - e^{\pm 2\pi i \lambda_0(\xi)}| \geq \tilde{C}|\xi|^{-\tilde{M}}, \quad \text{for all } |\xi| \geq \tilde{R}.$$

2. Systems with constant coefficients

This section discusses global hypoellipticity for systems with constant coefficients. The starting point is an analysis of the case $L = [L_{j,k}(D_y)]_{m \times m}$,

where $L_{j,k}(D_y) \in \Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^N)$ is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $L_{j,k}(\eta)$ and order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notice that, if $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N)$ is a solution of $Lu = f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$, then we obtain the systems

$$L(\eta)\widehat{u}(\eta) = \widehat{f}(\eta), \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N,$$

where $L(\eta) = [L_{j,k}(\eta)]_{m \times m}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Proposition 2.1. *If system L is globally hypoelliptic, then the set*

$$Z_{\det L} = \{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N; \det L(\eta) = 0\}$$

is finite.

Proof: Suppose that $Z_{\det L}$ is infinite and choose sequences $\{\eta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_{\det L}$ and $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\|a_j\|_{\mathbb{C}^m} = 1$ and $L(\eta_j)a_j = 0$. Hence,

$$\widehat{u}(\eta) = \begin{cases} a_j, & \text{if } \eta = \eta_j, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_j, \end{cases}$$

defines a vector $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N) \setminus C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Once $Lu = 0$, then L is not globally hypoelliptic.

□

Note that if $Z_{\det L}$ is finite, then there is some positive constant R such that $L(\eta)$ is invertible for all $|\eta| \geq R$. In this case, $\widehat{u}(\eta)$ is uniquely defined by $\widehat{u}(\eta) = L(\eta)^{-1}\widehat{f}(\eta)$, and we can write

$$L(\eta)^{-1} = \frac{\text{ad}L(\eta)}{\det L(\eta)}, \quad |\eta| \geq R,$$

where $\text{ad}L(\eta)$ is the cofactor matrix of $L(\eta)$, namely, the transpose of the matrix with entries

$$\mathbf{a}_{j,k}(\eta) = (-1)^{j+k} \det L_{j|k}(\eta),$$

where $L_{j|k}(\eta)$ is the $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ matrix constructed by deleting the j th row and the k th column of $L(\eta)$.

Since the entries $\mathbf{a}_{j,k}(\eta)$ are bounded by some polynomial, it follows from the equalities

$$\widehat{u}_j(\eta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{a}_{j,i}(\eta) f_i(\eta)}{\det L(\eta)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

that a sufficient condition for the global hypoellipticity is obtained by considering a controlled growth on the determinant $\det L(\eta)$, as stated by the next Proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *If there exist positive constants C, M and R such that*

$$|\det L(\eta)| \geq C|\eta|^{-M}, \quad |\eta| \geq R,$$

then system L is globally hypoelliptic.

Our next step is to study the global hypoellipticity of L by analyzing the behavior of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(\eta), \dots, \lambda_m(\eta)$ of the symbols $L(\eta)$. For this, assume, without loss of generality, that

$$|\lambda_1(\eta)| \leq |\lambda_2(\eta)| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_m(\eta)|, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N,$$

and define the sequences $\{\lambda_j(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 2.1. *System L is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j, M_j and R_j satisfying*

$$|\lambda_j(\eta)| \geq C_j |\eta|^{-M_j}, \quad |\eta| \geq R_j, \quad (12)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof: The sufficiency is a consequence of the identity $\det L(\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(\eta)$ and Proposition 2.2. Conversely, assume that $\{\lambda_k(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ does not satisfy (12), for some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. In this case, there exists $\{\eta_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ satisfying $|\lambda_k(\eta_\ell)| < |\eta_\ell|^{-\ell}$, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{v_k(\eta)\}_{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N}$ be a sequence of unitary eigenvectors associated to $\lambda_k(\eta)$ and set

$$\widehat{u}(\eta) = \begin{cases} v_k(\eta_\ell), & \text{if } \eta = \eta_\ell, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_\ell. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(\eta) = \begin{cases} \lambda_k(\eta_\ell) v_k(\eta_\ell), & \text{if } \eta = \eta_\ell, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta \neq \eta_\ell. \end{cases}$$

These sequences define vectors $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^N) \setminus C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ and $f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N)$ such that $Lu = f$, hence L is not globally hypoelliptic.

□

Remark 2.2. *If the original system is triangular, that is,*

$$L(D_y) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(D_y) & r_{1,2}(D_y) & \dots & r_{1,m}(D_y) \\ 0 & \lambda_2(D_y) & \dots & r_{2,m}(D_y) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m(D_y) \end{bmatrix},$$

then the operators $r_{j,k}(D_y)$ play no role on the global hypoellipticity, since the eigenvalues of $L(\eta)$ do not depend on $r_{j,k}(\eta)$.

2.1. Systems on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n$

Let us apply the previous results for systems in the class

$$P = D_t + Q(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n,$$

where $Q(D_x) = [Q_{j,k}(D_x)]_{m \times m}$ and $Q_{j,k}(D_x) \in \Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We can start by observing that equation $Pu = f$ is equivalent to

$$P(\tau, \xi) \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi) = \widehat{f}(\tau, \xi), \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $P(\tau, \xi) = \tau + Q(\xi)$, with $\tau = I_{m \times m} \tau$.

By applying Schur's Lemma 1.1 to $Q(\xi)$ we obtain a unitary matrix $S(\xi)$ such that

$$S^*(\xi) Q(\xi) S(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $\Lambda(\xi) = \text{diag}(\kappa_1(\xi), \dots, \kappa_m(\xi))$ and

$$\mathcal{N}(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_{1,2}(\xi) & r_{1,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{1,m}(\xi) \\ 0 & 0 & r_{2,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{2,m}(\xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & r_{m-1,m}(\xi) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrices $S(\xi)$ and $S^{-1}(\xi)$ are unitary, we obtain

$$\|Q(\xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} = \|\Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

hence, it follows from (10) the existence of positive constants C_1 and C_2 satisfying

$$|\kappa_j(\xi)| \leq C_1 |\xi|^\nu \quad \text{and} \quad |r_{j,k}(\xi)| \leq C_2 |\xi|^\nu, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, we can define the operators $\kappa_j(D_x)$ and $r_{j,k}(D_x)$, given by the symbols $\{\kappa_j(\xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ and $\{r_{j,k}(\xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, respectively. Also, we have the triangular system

$$\mathcal{P} = D_t + \Lambda(D_x) + \mathcal{N}(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1},$$

where $\Lambda(D_x) = \text{diag}(\kappa_1(D_x), \dots, \kappa_m(D_x))$ and $\mathcal{N}(D_x) = [r_{j,k}(D_x)]$. The symbols of \mathcal{P} are

$$\mathcal{P}(\eta) = \mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(\tau, \xi) & r_{1,2}(\xi) & r_{1,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{1,m}(\xi) \\ 0 & \lambda_2(\tau, \xi) & r_{2,3}(\xi) & \dots & r_{2,m}(\xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \lambda_m(\tau, \xi) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta = (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_j(\eta) = \lambda_j(\tau, \xi) = \tau + \kappa_j(\xi)$.

We claim that P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if \mathcal{P} is globally hypoelliptic. Indeed, assume that P is globally hypoelliptic, let $v \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a solution of $\mathcal{P}v = g \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and consider the corresponding systems $\mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi)\widehat{v}(\tau, \xi) = \widehat{g}(\tau, \xi)$.

Now, set $u(\tau, \xi) = S(\xi)\widehat{v}(\tau, \xi)$, $f(\tau, \xi) = S(\xi)\widehat{g}(\tau, \xi)$,

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} u(\tau, \xi) e^{i(t, x) \cdot (\tau, \xi)} \quad \text{and} \quad f(t, x) = \sum_{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} f(\tau, \xi) e^{i(t, x) \cdot (\tau, \xi)}.$$

Since $S(\xi)$ is unitary, we have $f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. It follows from equations

$$P(\tau, \xi)u(\tau, \xi) = f(\tau, \xi), \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

that $Pu = f$. Hence, $u \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Moreover, by identity $\widehat{v}(\tau, \xi) = S^*(\xi)u(\tau, \xi)$, we get $v \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Then, system \mathcal{P} is globally hypoelliptic. The other direction can be proved with a similar argument.

With these discussions we have proved the following:

Theorem 2.3. *System $P = D_t + Q(D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j , M_j and R_j such that*

$$|\tau + \kappa_j(\xi)| \geq C_j(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_j}, \quad |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Corollary 2.1. *The following statements hold true:*

(a) *If P is globally hypoelliptic, then the set*

$$Z_{\det P} = Z_{\det \mathcal{P}} = \{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}; \det \mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) = 0\}$$

is finite.

(b) *P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the operators $L_j = D_t + \kappa_j(D_x)$ are globally hypoelliptic.*

Proof: Part a) is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1, while the proof of b) is given by combining Theorem 1.3, part (c), and Theorem 2.3.

□

2.2. Example: higher order equations

This example discusses the hypoellipticity of the operator

$$P = D_t^m + \sum_{j=1}^m D_t^{m-j} Q_j(D_x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1},$$

where $Q_j(D_x) \in \Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n)$. The equation $Pu = f$ is equivalent to

$$D_t^m \hat{u}(t, \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j(\xi) D_t^{m-j} \hat{u}(t, \xi) = \hat{f}(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

which can be rewritten as the system

$$D_t v(t, \xi) - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -q_m(\xi) & -q_{m-1}(\xi) & \dots & \dots & -q_1(\xi) \end{bmatrix} v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad (13)$$

by the standard transformations

$$v_1(t, \xi) = \widehat{u}(t, \xi), \quad v_k(t, \xi) = D_t^{k-1} \widehat{u}(t, \xi), \quad k = 2, \dots, m$$

and $g_1 = \dots = g_{m-1} = 0$, $g_m = \widehat{f}$.

Let $Q(D_x)$ be the matrix-operator with symbols given by matrix in (13) and set $\tilde{P} = D_t + Q(D_x)$. Clearly, operator P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the related system \tilde{P} is also globally hypoelliptic.

As an illustration, consider the second order operator

$$P = D_t^2 - 2\alpha D_t(-\Delta_x)^{1/2} + \beta^2 \Delta_x,$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta_x = -\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2$, for $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Then, we have

$$D_t v(t, \xi) - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\beta^2 |\xi|^2 & 2\alpha |\xi| \end{bmatrix} v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi),$$

with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(\tau, \xi) = \tau + |\xi| \rho_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(\tau, \xi) = \tau + |\xi| \rho_2,$$

where $\rho_{1,2} = -\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}$.

If $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 < 0$, then $\rho_{1,2}$ are not real and P is globally hypoelliptic. However, the case of real roots could be much more complicated since, in general, we can not apply the usual approximations by rational numbers, once $\tau/|\xi|$ may be irrational. For instance, when $\alpha = \beta$, it is necessary to analyze

$$\left| \frac{\tau}{|\xi|} - \alpha \right|, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

A complete discussion for these type of approximations can be found in [14].

2.3. Example: sum of commutative systems

Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a family of commuting $m \times m$ complex matrices with eigenvalues $\{\kappa_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^m$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Consider $Q_j(D_{x_j}) \in \Psi^{\nu_j}(\mathbb{T}_{x_j})$, the

system

$$P = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j Q_j(D_{x_j}), \quad (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^n$$

and assume the following:

Lemma 2.4 (Simultaneous Schur's triangularization). *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of commuting $m \times m$ complex matrices. Then, there exists a unitary matrix S such that $S^* A S$ is triangular, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Now, let u be a solution of $Pu = f$ and consider the related system $P(\tau, \xi) \hat{u}(\tau, \xi) = \hat{f}(\tau, \xi)$, where

$$P(\tau, \xi) = \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi_j) A_j, \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \tau = I_{m \times m} \tau.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 the existence of a unitary matrix S such that

$$S^* A_j S = \text{diag}(\kappa_{j,1}, \dots, \kappa_{j,m}) + \mathcal{N}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

hence, we obtain the equivalent triangular system $\mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) v(\tau, \xi) = g(\tau, \xi)$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\tau, \xi) = \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi_j) (\Lambda_j + \mathcal{N}_j), \quad (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \tau = I_{m \times m} \tau.$$

Therefore, P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist positive constants C_ℓ , M_ℓ and R_ℓ such that

$$\left| \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\xi_j) \kappa_{j,\ell} \right| \geq C_\ell |(\tau, \xi)|^{-M_\ell}, \quad |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_\ell, \quad (14)$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

As an example, consider the differential case $Q_j(D_{x_j}) = D_{x_j}$ and assume that each A_j is symmetric. Thus, the conditions in (14) are connected with the simultaneous approximations of $\{\kappa_{1,\ell}, \dots, \kappa_{m,\ell}\}$ by rational numbers, that is, the analysis of the quantities

$$|\tau + \kappa_{1,\ell} \xi_1 + \dots + \kappa_{m,\ell} \xi_m|, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m,$$

for each $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

2.4. Perturbations of globally hypoelliptic systems

This subsection discusses the hypoellipticity of the perturbed system

$$L_\epsilon = L + \epsilon Q, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{C},$$

for ϵ in some small ball at the origin, where $Q = [Q_{j,k}(D_y)]$ and $L_0 = L = [L_{j,k}(D_y)]$ stands for the unperturbed system. The eigenvalues of $L(\eta)$ and $Q(\eta)$ are denoted by $\lambda_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa_j(\eta)$, respectively.

The main goal is to investigate the effect of Q on the global hypoellipticity of L , which is equivalent to analyze the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta)$ of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ in terms of $\lambda_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa_j(\eta)$.

If we admit the commutative hypothesis $[L, Q] = 0$, then we can apply a simultaneous triangularization to the matrices $L(\eta)$ and $Q(\eta)$. Furthermore, system $L_\epsilon(\eta)\hat{u}(\eta) = \hat{f}(\eta)$ is equivalent to the corresponding triangular form $(\Lambda_\epsilon + \mathcal{N}_\epsilon)v(\eta) = g(\eta)$, where Λ_ϵ is the diagonal matrix with entries

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta) = \lambda_j(\eta) + \epsilon \kappa_j(\eta), \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon = \mathcal{N}_L + \epsilon \mathcal{N}_Q$. Hence, for commutative perturbations we have the following:

Theorem 2.5. *Admit $[L, Q] = 0$. Then, L_ϵ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j , M_j and R_j such that*

$$|\lambda_j(\eta) + \epsilon \kappa_j(\eta)| \geq C_j |\eta|^{-M_j}, \quad |\eta| \geq R_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

On the other hand, the general case $[L, Q] \neq 0$ is much more challenging since, in general, there isn't a unified theory for the study of $\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta)$ in terms of $\lambda_j(\eta)$ and $\kappa_j(\eta)$. To handle this problem, let us take a similar approach to the one taken in [12], by A. Kirilov and F. de Ávila Silva, where the authors consider the theories developed in [24] by T. Kato and in [27] by F. Rellich.

The key point used there is the following assumption: the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ have an analytic expansion of type

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,j}(\eta) = \lambda_j(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,k}(\eta) \epsilon^k \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\epsilon,j}(\eta) = v_j(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{\epsilon,j,k}(\eta) \epsilon^k, \quad (15)$$

in a small ball at the origin, where $v_j(\eta)$ is a corresponding eigenvector of $\lambda_j(\eta)$. In particular, such analytic expansion holds when $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ is normal in some ball $|\epsilon| < \epsilon'$, as the reader can see in [23].

The next result enables us to shed light on the problem of perturbations.

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$ the set*

$$Z_{\det L_\epsilon} = \{\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \det L_\epsilon(\eta) = 0\}$$

is finite, and that the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ can be written as in form (15), for all $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Then, system $L_\epsilon = L + \epsilon Q$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j, M_j and R_j such that

$$\left| \lambda_j(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,k}(\eta) \epsilon^k \right| \geq C_j |\eta|^{-M_j}, \quad |\eta| \geq R_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Corollary 2.2. *Let P_ϵ be the perturbed system*

$$P_\epsilon = D_t + L_\epsilon, \quad (t, y) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^N,$$

such that the set $Z_{\det P_\epsilon}$ is finite and the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\eta)$ satisfy (15), for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$.

Under these conditions, P_ϵ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_j, M_j and R_j satisfying

$$\left| \tau + \lambda_j(\xi) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{j,k}(\xi) \epsilon^k \right| \geq C_j |(\tau, \xi)|^{-M_j}, \quad |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_j,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Remark 2.7. *It is important to point out that any application of Theorem 2.6 necessarily involves the calculations of the coefficients $\sigma_{j,k}(\eta)$. An approach concerning this process can be found in Chapter two in [24] and Section 6 in [12].*

It is possible to illustrate the previous results with an adaptation of Example 6.4 in [12].

Example 2.8. *Consider the operators*

$$\lambda_j(D)u(t, x) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}} e^{i(t, x) \cdot (\tau, \ell)} (-1)^j \omega \ell \widehat{u}(\tau, \ell), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

defined on \mathbb{T}^2 , for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ fixed, and

$$q(D_x)v(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} e^{ix \cdot \ell} q(\ell) \widehat{v}(\ell),$$

an operator on \mathbb{T}_x , of order $0 \leq \nu < 1$.

Let $\Lambda(D)$ and $Q(D)$ be the matrix operators

$$\Lambda(D) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(D), \lambda_2(D)) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(D) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q(D_x) \\ q(D_x) & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and set $L_\epsilon = \Lambda(D) + \epsilon Q(D)$.

Thus, the eigenvalues of $L_\epsilon(\ell) = \Lambda(\ell) + \epsilon Q(\ell)$ are

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,1}(\ell) = -\omega \ell - \frac{(q(\ell))^2}{2\omega \ell} \epsilon^2 + \frac{(q(\ell))^4}{(2\omega \ell)^3} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6),$$

and

$$\lambda_{\epsilon,2}(\ell) = \omega \ell + \frac{(q(\ell))^2}{2\omega \ell} \epsilon^2 - \frac{(q(\ell))^4}{(2\omega \ell)^3} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6).$$

In particular, there exists a positive constant ϵ_1 such that L_ϵ is globally hypoelliptic for $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_1$, as the reader can see in [12].

3. Systems with variable coefficients

This Section addresses the systems $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$, where $Q(t, D_x)$ is an $m \times m$ matrix operator with symbols $Q(t, \xi) = [c_{j,k}(t)Q_{j,k}(\xi)]$. Let us recall that equation $Pu = f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, with $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, is equivalent to

$$D_t \widehat{u}(t, \xi) + Q(t, \xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \widehat{f}(t, \xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (16)$$

where $\widehat{u}(t, \xi)$ and $\widehat{f}(t, \xi)$ denotes the m -dimensional vectors

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{u}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{u}_m(t, \xi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{f}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{f}_m(t, \xi)).$$

We analyze the global hypoellipticity of P by studying the coordinates $\widehat{u}_j(t, \xi)$ in the sense of Proposition 1.1. The starting point is the introduction of *strongly triangularizable symbols* which enables the study of a suitable triangular system associated to (16).

Firstly, the analysis is restricted to symbols having smooth eigenvalues and satisfying a polynomial growth.

Definition 3.1. *We say that $Q(t, \xi)$ satisfies condition (\mathcal{A}) if, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(t, \xi)$ fulfill the following conditions:*

- (a) *they belong to the space $C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n) \doteq \{f : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; f(\cdot, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.*
- (b) *given $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C = C(\alpha, k)$ and $\mu_k = \mu_k(\alpha)$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha \lambda_k(t, \xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{\mu_k}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (17)$$

Remark 3.2. *Along the text we use expression “a smooth function on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ ” to indicate that such function belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$. Analogously, we say that a matrix $M(t, \xi) = [m_{j,k}(t, \xi)]_{m \times m}$ is smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ when $m_{j,k} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.*

Remark 3.3. *We point out that the study of differentiability for eigenvalues (and eigenvectors) is a highly non trivial problem (even for holomorphic matrices). For more information on this subject, see Sections 5.4 and 5.5 in [24]. Regarding condition (17), let us observe that many classes of examples consist in systems given by $Q(t, D_x) = Q(D_x)A(t)$, where $Q(D_x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator on \mathbb{T}^n , of order ν , and $A(t)$ is a smooth $m \times m$ matrix with smooth eigenvalues $\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_m(t)$. In this case, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we obtain*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha \lambda_k(t, \xi)| = |q(\xi)| \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha \sigma_k(t)| \leq C_{Q,k,\alpha} |\xi|^\nu.$$

Definition 3.4. Let $Q(t, \xi)$ be a symbol satisfying condition (\mathcal{A}) . We say that $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable if it satisfies the following conditions:

(\mathcal{B}_1) for each $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists a triangularization

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi),$$

where $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$ is a smooth upper triangular matrix

$$\mathcal{N}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & r_{1,2}(t, \xi) & \dots & r_{1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & r_{2,m}(t, \xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{m-1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(\mathcal{B}_2) the matrices S and S^{-1} are smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ and, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exist positive constants C , R and γ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\gamma, \quad (18)$$

for all $|\xi| \geq R$.

(\mathcal{B}_3) given $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $N > 0$, there are positive constants C and R such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\alpha B(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^{-N}, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq R,$$

where $B(t, \xi) = S^{-1}(t, \xi) \cdot D_t S(t, \xi)$.

Additionally, $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable with diagonal bounded from below if it satisfies the following extra condition:

(\mathcal{B}_4) for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exists a positive constant θ_k such that

$$\Im \lambda_k(t, \xi) \geq -\theta_k, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Finally, a system as (16) with a strongly triangularizable symbol (with diagonal bounded from below) is said to be a strongly triangularizable system (with diagonal bounded from below).

Remark 3.5. *Section 4 presents sufficient conditions for $Q(t, \xi)$ to be strongly triangularizable. It also presents a process for the construction of matrices S , S^{-1} and \mathcal{N} .*

Remark 3.6. *The requirement of conditions (17) and (\mathcal{B}_4) will be clarified by Theorem 3.11.*

Now, the investigation proceeds by assuming that system (16) is strongly triangularizable. Firstly, it is important to emphasize the following properties:

- (i) condition (\mathcal{B}_1) implies that (16) can be rewritten in the form

$$(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi) + B(t, \xi))v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi), \quad (19)$$

by setting

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)v(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{f}(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)g(t, \xi);$$

- (ii) it follows from conditions (\mathcal{B}_1) , (\mathcal{B}_2) and (17) that the entries $r_{j,k}(t, \xi)$ of \mathcal{N} have all derivatives bounded by some polynomial, namely, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $C = C(\alpha, j, k)$ and $\mu_{j,k} = \mu_{j,k}(\alpha)$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha r_{j,k}(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^{\mu_{j,k}}, \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty; \quad (20)$$

- (iii) in view of condition (\mathcal{B}_2) , we obtain that a coordinate of the vector $v(t, \xi)$ satisfies (8) if and only if the corresponding coordinate of $\widehat{u}(t, \xi)$ also satisfies (8).
- (iv) we can replace the study of solutions of (16) by the study of solutions of (19).

Next, we show that the matrices $B(t, \xi) = [b_{j,k}(t, \xi)]_{m \times m}$ play no role on the regularity of solutions of system (19). To do this, consider the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ of all functions $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ satisfying the following: $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{R}$ and positive constants C, R such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\alpha a(t, \xi)| \leq C|\xi|^N, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq R.$$

Therefore, for any $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ it is well defined the operator $a(t, D_x) : \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ given by

$$a(t, D_x)w = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} a(t, \xi) \widehat{w}(t, \xi) \exp(ix \cdot \xi). \quad (21)$$

In particular, it follows that $a(t, D_x)(C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$.

Now, note that all functions $\lambda_k, r_{j,k}, b_{j,k}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ and we may define operators $\lambda_{j,k}(t, D_x)$, $r_{j,k}(t, D_x)$ and $b_{j,k}(t, D_x)$ as in (21). Moreover, we have the following:

Lemma 3.7. *Operators $b_{j,k}(t, D_x)$ are smoothing, namely,*

$$b_{j,k}(t, D_x)(\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}).$$

Proof: Let $w \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a distribution, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $N > 0$. Given $0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, it follows from Proposition 1.1 the existence of constants $M, C_1, R_1 > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^\gamma \widehat{w}(t, \xi)| \leq C_1 |\xi|^M$, for all $|\xi| \geq R_1$. Now, for $\tilde{N} = N + M$, we obtain constants $C_2, R_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} b_{j,k}(t, \xi)| \leq C_2 |\xi|^{-\tilde{N}}, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq R_2,$$

in view of condition (\mathcal{B}_3) .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^\alpha [b_{j,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{w}(t, \xi)]| &\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} |\partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} b_{j,k}(t, \xi)| |\partial_t^\gamma \widehat{w}(t, \xi)| \\ &\leq C |\xi|^{-N}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $|\xi| \geq \max\{R_1, R_2\}$. Then, $b_{j,k}(t, D_x)w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$.

□

Next, we show that a strongly triangularizable system can be reduced into a triangular form.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that $Q(t, \xi)$ is a strongly triangularizable symbol and set*

$$\Lambda(t, D_x) = [\lambda_{j,k}(t, D_x)]_{m \times m}, \quad \mathcal{N}(t, D_x) = [r_{j,k}(t, D_x)]_{m \times m} \quad \text{and} \quad B(t, D_x) = [b_{j,k}(t, D_x)]_{m \times m}.$$

Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- a) system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic;*
- b) system $L = D_t + \Lambda(t, D_x) + \mathcal{N}(t, D_x) + B(t, D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic;*
- c) system $T = D_t + \Lambda(t, D_x) + \mathcal{N}(t, D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic;*

Proof: (a) \implies (b). Let $v \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a solution of $Lv = g \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and consider

$$u = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} S(t, \xi) \widehat{v}(t, \xi) \exp(ix \cdot \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad f = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} S(t, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \xi) \exp(ix \cdot \xi).$$

Clearly, $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Moreover, since

$$S(t, \xi)B(t, \xi) = D_t S(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)[\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)],$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Pu &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \{D_t[S(t, \xi)\widehat{v}(t, \xi)] + Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi)\widehat{v}(t, \xi)\} \exp(ix \cdot \xi) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \{[D_t S(t, \xi)]\widehat{v}(t, \xi) + S(t, \xi)[D_t \widehat{v}(t, \xi) + (\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi))\widehat{v}(t, \xi)]\} \exp(ix \cdot \xi) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \{S(t, \xi)[B(t, \xi)\widehat{v}(t, \xi) + D_t \widehat{v}(t, \xi) + (\Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi))\widehat{v}(t, \xi)]\} \exp(ix \cdot \xi) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} S(t, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \xi) \exp(ix \cdot \xi) \\ &= f. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $u \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ which imply $v \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Therefore, L is globally hypoelliptic.

(b) \implies (a). We can use a similar argument, however it is necessary to observe that

$$D_t S^{-1}(t, \xi) + B(t, \xi)S^{-1}(t, \xi) \equiv 0,$$

since $D_t[S^{-1}(t, \xi)S(t, \xi)] \equiv 0$. Then, given $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ such that $Pu = f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ we define

$$v = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} S^{-1}(t, \xi) \widehat{u}(t, \xi) \exp(ix \cdot \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^N} S^{-1}(t, \xi) \widehat{f}(t, \xi) \exp(ix \cdot \xi).$$

In this case, we have $Lv = g$ and then $v \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Therefore, we obtain $u \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and the global hypoellipticity of P .

(b) \implies (c). First, note that

$$B(t, D_x)(\mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})) \subset C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

in view of Lemma 3.7

Now, consider $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ such that $Tu \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Then,

$$Lu = [Tu + B(t, D_x)u] \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \implies u \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

implying that T is globally hypoelliptic.

(c) \implies (b). Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ satisfying $Lu \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. Then,

$$Tu = Lu - B(t, D_x)u \implies Tu \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \implies u \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

hence, L is globally hypoelliptic.

□

Example 3.9. Consider $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ given by

$$Q(t, D_x) = \begin{bmatrix} a(t) & b(t)D_x \\ b(t)D_x & a(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where a, b are smooth real-valued functions and $b \neq 0$.

The eigenvalues of $Q(t, \xi)$ are $\lambda(t, \xi) = a(t) \pm b(t)\xi$ and we can choose $h(t, \xi) \equiv (1, 1)$ as the eigenvector associated to $\lambda_1(t, \xi) = a(t) + b(t)\xi$. Note that, by choosing

$$S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-1}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(t, \xi) & b(t)\xi \\ 0 & \lambda_2(t, \xi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable with diagonal bounded from below, since its eigenvalues are real-valued functions and $B(t, \xi) \equiv 0$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. In this case,

$$\Lambda(t, D_x) = \begin{bmatrix} a(t) + b(t)D_x & 0 \\ 0 & a(t) - b(t)D_x \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}(t, D_x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b(t)D_x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.1. The study of triangularizable systems

Admit that $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ is strongly triangularizable, let $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a solution of $Pu = f \in C^\infty_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. In view of Theorem 3.8, it is sufficient to study system

$$D_tv(t, \xi) + \Lambda(t, \xi)v(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi),$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} D_tv_1(t, \xi) + \lambda_1(t, \xi)v_1(t, \xi) + \dots + r_{1,m}(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi) = g_1(t, \xi) \\ D_tv_2(t, \xi) + \lambda_2(t, \xi)v_2(t, \xi) + \dots + r_{2,m}(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi) = g_2(t, \xi) \\ \vdots \\ D_tv_m(t, \xi) + \lambda_m(t, \xi)v_m(t, \xi) = g_m(t, \xi) \end{cases}, \quad (22)$$

where $\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)v(t, \xi)$ and $\widehat{f}(t, \xi) = S(t, \xi)g(t, \xi)$.

Since the terms $D_tv_k(t, \xi) + \lambda_k(t, \xi)v_k(t, \xi)$ (in each line of (22)) play an important role in this analysis, then let us introduce the following family of operators

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \{\mathcal{L}_k^\xi = D_t + \lambda_k(t, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n\},$$

for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, as well as the following definition:

Definition 3.10. We say that the family \mathcal{L}_k is globally hypoelliptic if the following conditions hold: for every $\omega \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ satisfying the equations

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\xi \widehat{\omega}(t, \xi) = \widehat{h}(t, \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (23)$$

we have $\omega \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$.

For each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we define the operators (acting on $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$)

$$\lambda_{0,k}(D_x)w(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \eta} \lambda_{0,k}(\xi) \widehat{w}(\xi),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,k} = D_t + \lambda_{0,k}(D_x),$$

where $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda_k(t, \xi) dt$.

Let us recall that, by Theorem 1.3, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist positive constants C , M and R satisfying

$$|\tau + \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)| \geq C(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M}, \text{ for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R,$$

or equivalently, there exist positive constants \widetilde{C} , \widetilde{M} and \widetilde{R} such that

$$|1 - e^{\pm 2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)}| \geq \widetilde{C} |\xi|^{-\widetilde{M}}, \text{ for all } |\xi| \geq \widetilde{R}. \quad (24)$$

The next result exhibits a necessary condition for the global hypoellipticity of a family \mathcal{L}_k .

Proposition 3.1. *If \mathcal{L}_k is globally hypoelliptic, then the set $Z_k = \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \lambda_{0,k}(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is finite.*

Proof: Suppose that Z_k is infinite and let $\{\xi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_k$ be an increasing sequence. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, choose $t_\ell \in [0, 2\pi]$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr = \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr,$$

and, by setting $\kappa_\ell \doteq \exp\left(-\int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr\right)$, define

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} \kappa_\ell \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr\right), & \text{if } \xi = \xi_\ell, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi \neq \xi_\ell. \end{cases}$$

Since $\xi_\ell \in Z_k$, it follows that $\widehat{u}(\cdot, \xi)$ are smooth and 2π -periodic. Moreover, $\{\widehat{u}(t, \xi)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ defines a distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \setminus C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ such that

$\mathcal{L}_k^\xi \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = 0$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence, the family \mathcal{L}_k is not globally hypoelliptic.

□

Now, the next result presents a first connection between the analysis of the system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ and the related constant coefficient operators $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$.

Theorem 3.11. *Admit that $\{\lambda_k(t, \xi)\}$ satisfies (\mathcal{B}_4) . Then, $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the family \mathcal{L}_k is globally hypoelliptic.*

Remark 3.12. *It is important to emphasize that the proof of this result is a slight modification of the proofs of Theorem 3.5 in [14] and Theorem 3.3 in [11].*

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is globally hypoelliptic and consider ω and h satisfying equations (23). It follows from Theorem 1.3, part (a), that Z_k is finite. Hence, the solutions of (23) can be written in the form

$$\widehat{\omega}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)} - 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left(i \int_t^{t+s} \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr \right) \widehat{h}(t + s, \xi) ds,$$

for $|\xi|$ large enough.

Now, let α be a non-negative integer and consider $N_1 > 0$. By applying the Leibniz formula and estimates (8), (17) and (24) we obtain positive constants C_1 and R_1 such that

$$|\partial_t^\alpha \omega(t, \xi)| \leq C_1 |\xi|^{-N_1 + \theta_k} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left(- \int_t^{t+s} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr \right) ds, \quad |\xi| \geq R_1.$$

The exponential term in the last integral is bounded in view of condition (\mathcal{B}_4) , thus ω is a smooth function on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

For the converse, admit that $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is not globally hypoelliptic. Thus, in view of (24), there is a sequence $\{\xi_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|\xi_\ell|$ is strictly increasing, $|\xi_\ell| > \ell$ and

$$|1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi_\ell)}| < |\xi_\ell|^{-\ell}, \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If Z_k is infinite, then \mathcal{L}_k is not globally hypoelliptic in view of Proposition 3.1, thus we can proceed by assuming that Z_k is finite and, in particular, we admit $\xi_\ell \notin Z_k$, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each ℓ , we may choose $t_\ell \in [0, 2\pi]$ so that $\int_{t_\ell}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr \leq 0$, for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Indeed, for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ we can write

$$\int_{t_\ell}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr = \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr - \int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr$$

and we take t_ℓ satisfying

$$\int_0^{t_\ell} \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \int_0^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr.$$

Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $t_\ell \rightarrow t_0$, for some $t_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$. Consider I to be a closed interval in $(0, 2\pi)$ such that $t_0 \notin I$ and let ϕ be a real-valued function compactly supported in I , such that $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 1$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(t) dt > 0$.

For each ℓ , let $\widehat{f}(\cdot, \xi_\ell)$ be a 2π -periodic extension of

$$(1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi_\ell)}) \exp \left(- \int_{t_\ell}^t i \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr \right) \phi(t).$$

Since $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi)$ is bounded by $|\xi|^{\nu_k}$ and $\int_{t_n}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi_n) dr \leq 0$, for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, we obtain a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ defined by

$$f(t, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(t, \xi_n) e^{ix \cdot \xi_n}, & \xi = \xi_\ell, \\ 0, & \xi \neq \xi_\ell. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, straightforward calculations show that $u = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{u}(t, \xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \setminus C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, where

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi_\ell) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi_\ell)}} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left(- \int_{t-s}^t i \lambda_k(r, \xi_\ell) dr \right) \widehat{f}(t-s, \xi_\ell) ds,$$

for $\xi = \xi_\ell$ and $\widehat{u}(t, \xi_\ell) = 0$, for $\xi \neq \xi_\ell$.

Since $\mathcal{L}_k^\xi \widehat{u}(t, \xi) = -i\widehat{f}(t, \xi)$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we obtain that \mathcal{L}_k is not globally hypoelliptic.

□

Remark 3.13. *It is important to emphasize that condition (\mathcal{B}_4) can be replaced by*

$$\Im \lambda_k(t, \xi) \leq \theta_k, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

since the solutions of (23) have the equivalent form

$$\omega(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{0,k}(\xi)}} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left(-i \int_{t-s}^t \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr \right) h(t-s, \xi) ds.$$

Hence, we get the boundedness

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \exp \left(-i \int_{t-s}^t \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr \right) \right| &= \sup_{s \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \exp \left(\int_{t-s}^t \Im \lambda_k(r, \xi) dr \right) \right| \\ &\leq e^{2\pi \theta_k}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point it is possible to exhibit the main result.

Theorem 3.14. *Suppose that $Q(t, \xi)$ is a strongly triangularizable symbol with diagonal bounded from below. Then, system $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ is globally hypoelliptic if and only if the diagonal system*

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \text{diag}(\mathcal{L}_{0,1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{0,m})$$

is globally hypoelliptic.

Proof: Let us prove the sufficiency part. For this, assume that \mathcal{L}_0 is globally hypoelliptic and let $u \in \mathcal{D}'_m(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ be a solution of $Pu = f \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$. We apply the triangularization process and rewrite the triangular system (22) in the form

$$\begin{cases} D_t v_k(t, \xi) + \lambda_k(t, \xi) v_k(t, \xi) = \tilde{g}_k(t, \xi), & k = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ D_t v_m(t, \xi) + \lambda_m(t, \xi) v_m(t, \xi) = g_m(t, \xi), \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_k(t, \xi) = g_k(t, \xi) - \sum_{j=k+1}^m r_{j,k}(t, \xi) v_j(t, \xi).$$

The global hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L}_0 implies that each $\mathcal{L}_{0,j}$ is globally hypoelliptic and, by Theorem 3.11, each family \mathcal{L}_j is also globally hypoelliptic.

Given $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, consider the formal series

$$v_k(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} v_k(t, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g_k(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} g_k(t, \xi).$$

By the triangularization process we have $g_k(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The last equation in (25) implies that $\mathcal{L}_m^\xi v_m(t, \xi) = g_m(t, \xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence, the hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L}_m ensures that $v_m(t, x)$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

Now, for $k = m - 1$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{m-1}^\xi v_{m-1}(t, \xi) = \tilde{g}_{m-1}(t, \xi) = g_{m-1}(t, \xi) - r_{j,m-1}(t, \xi) v_m(t, \xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Since $r_{j,\ell}$ satisfies (20), then all derivatives of the term $r_{j,m-1}(t, \xi) v_m(t, \xi)$ converge to zero faster than any polynomial, which implies

$$\tilde{g}_{m-1}(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \tilde{g}_{m-1}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

hence $v_{m-1}(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$, in view of the hypoellipticity of \mathcal{L}_{m-1} .

Finally, by successive applications of these arguments, we obtain that each component v_k defines a smooth function on \mathbb{T}^{n+1} . Thus, $v \in C_m^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and P is globally hypoelliptic.

To prove the necessary part, we admit that \mathcal{L}_0 is not globally hypoelliptic. This assumption implies that at least one of the operators $\mathcal{L}_{0,k}$ is also not globally hypoelliptic, and, consequently, neither is the family \mathcal{L}_k .

Assume, for a moment, that $k = 1$. Hence, there exists $v_1 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}) \setminus C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ and $g_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_1^\xi \hat{v}_1(t, \xi) = \hat{g}_1(t, \xi)$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus, the vector $\hat{v}(t, \xi) = (\hat{v}_1(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0)$ satisfies the equation

$$(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)) \hat{v}(t, \xi) = (\hat{g}_1(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0),$$

which implies P not globally hypoelliptic.

Now, let us assume that for some $k < m$ we have $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{k-1}$ globally hypoelliptic and \mathcal{L}_k not globally hypoelliptic. Consider v_k, g_k playing the roles of v_1 and g_1 in the previous argument.

Since $\mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}$ is globally hypoelliptic there exists $R > 0$ such that $e^{2\pi i \lambda_{0,k-1}(\xi)} \neq 1$, for $|\xi| \geq R$. Thus, we can define

$$\widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi i \lambda_{0,k-1}(\xi)} - 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(i \int_t^{t+s} \lambda_{k-1}(r, \xi) dr\right) h_{k-1}(t+s, \xi) ds,$$

for $|\xi| \geq R$ and $\widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| < R$, where

$$h_{k-1}(t, \xi) = -i r_{k-1,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi), \quad |\xi| \geq R.$$

In view of the hypoellipticity of $\mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}$ and the hypothesis on v_k , we can conclude that

$$v_{k-1}(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}^\xi \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} -r_{k-1,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi), & |\xi| \geq R, \\ 0, & |\xi| < R, \end{cases}$$

and, by defining

$$\widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = \mathcal{L}_{0,k-1}^\xi \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi) + r_{k-1,k}(t, \xi) \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi),$$

we get

$$g_{k-1}(t, x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n+1}),$$

since $\widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi) = 0$, for $|\xi| \geq R$.

By repeating these arguments it is possible to construct $k-1$ distributions v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} and $k-1$ smooth functions g_1, \dots, g_{k-1} such that the vector

$$\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{v}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{v}_{k-1}(t, \xi), \widehat{v}_k(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0)$$

satisfies

$$(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi))\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{g}_1(t, \xi), \dots, \widehat{g}_{k-1}(t, \xi), \widehat{g}_k(t, \xi), 0, \dots, 0),$$

which implies that P is not globally hypoelliptic.

The case $k = m$ is similar since it is enough to consider the vectors

$$\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{v}_1, \dots, \widehat{v}_{k-1}, \widehat{v}_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{g}(t, \xi) = (\widehat{g}_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_{k-1}, \widehat{g}_m),$$

for which $(D_t + \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi))\widehat{v}(t, \xi) = \widehat{g}(t, \xi)$. Thus, the proof is completed. \square

Example 3.15. Let $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ be a system such that $Q(t, D_x) = c(t)[Q_{j,k}(D_x)]$. In this case $Q(t, \xi) = c(t)Q(\xi)$ is strongly triangularizable, since we can apply Schur's triangularization for $Q(\xi)$. Hence, $Pu = f$ is equivalent to

$$D_tv(t, \xi) + c(t)(\Lambda(\xi) + \mathcal{N}(\xi))v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi).$$

The eigenvalues of this system are $\lambda_k(t, \xi) = c(t)\lambda_k(\xi)$ with averages $\lambda_{0,k}(\xi) = c_0\lambda_k(\xi)$, where $c_0 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} c(t)dt$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\xi = D_t + c(t)\lambda_k(\xi), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,k} = D_t + c_0\lambda_k(D_x).$$

Furthermore, if (\mathcal{B}_4) is fulfilled, then P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist positive constants C_k , M_k and R_k such that

$$|\tau + c_0\lambda_k(\xi)| \geq C_k(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_k}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_k,$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Example 3.16. Let $P = D_t + Q(t, D_x)$ be as defined in Example (3.9) and assume that $a_0 = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_1^\xi = D_t + a(t) + b(t)\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_2^\xi = D_t + a(t) - b(t)\xi.$$

Hence, system P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there exist constants satisfying

$$|\tau \pm b_0\xi| \geq C(|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R,$$

or equivalent, if b_0 is an irrational non-Liouville number.

Example 3.17. Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a family of $m \times m$ commuting matrices with eigenvalues $\{\sigma_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^m$. Given n pseudo-differential operators $P_j(D_{x_j})$, each one defined on \mathbb{T}_{x_j} , we can set the following system

$$P = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) A_j P_j(D_{x_j}), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^{n+1}.$$

In view of the commutative assumption, we may apply the simultaneous triangularization to $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^n$. Then, the corresponding system (16) can be rewritten as

$$D_t v(t, \xi) + \mathcal{A}(t, \xi) v(t, \xi) = g(t, \xi),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(t, \xi) & \rho_{1,2}(t, \xi) & \dots & \rho_{1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & \lambda_2(t, \xi) & \dots & \rho_{2,m}(t, \xi) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m(t, \xi) \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$\lambda_\ell(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \sigma_{j,\ell} p_j(\xi_j), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\ell,k}(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) r_{\ell,k}^j p_j(\xi_j).$$

In this case we have the operators

$$\mathcal{L}_\ell = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \sigma_{j,\ell} P_j(D_{x_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,\ell} = D_t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{0,j} \sigma_{j,\ell} P_j(D_{x_j}).$$

Thus, if $\lambda_\ell(t, \xi)$ satisfies (\mathcal{B}_4) , then P is globally hypoelliptic if and only if there are positive constants C_ℓ , M_ℓ and R_ℓ such that

$$\left| \tau + \sum_{j=1}^n c_{0,j} \sigma_{j,\ell} p_j(\xi_j) \right| \geq C_\ell (|\tau| + |\xi|)^{-M_\ell}, \quad \text{for all } |\tau| + |\xi| \geq R_\ell,$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$.

4. Reduction to the triangular form

The aim of this Section is to present sufficient conditions on a matrix symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ such that it can be triangularized in the sense of definition (3.4). The triangularization process shown in the sequel follow the same ideas used in [16], hence it is possible to omit some steps in this presentation. In view of this, attention will be focused on the verification that, under suitable hypotheses, the triangularization

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)Q(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$$

is smooth, that is, the matrices S , S^{-1} and \mathcal{N} have entries belonging to $C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Furthermore, Theorem 4.3 exhibits conditions such that (\mathcal{B}_2) and (\mathcal{B}_3) are fulfilled.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi) = [a_{j,k}(t, \xi)]$ be a $m \times m$ matrix with $a_{j,k}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$. Admit that its eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi)$ and corresponding eigenvectors $h_1(t, \xi), \dots, h_{m-1}(t, \xi)$ are also smooth and that*

$$\langle h^{(i)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

holds for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, with the notation to be explained, and $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-i+1}$.

Then, there exist smooth matrices $S(t, \xi)$, invertible for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, with smooth inverses $S^{-1}(t, \xi)$, such that

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi)\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)S(t, \xi) = \Lambda(t, \xi) + \mathcal{N}(t, \xi),$$

for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\Lambda(t, \xi) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_m(t, \xi))$ and $\mathcal{N}(t, \xi)$ is an upper triangular nilpotent matrix with entries $r_{j,k}(t, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Remark 4.2. *The proof of this theorem is given as follows: by Proposition 4.1 below, it is possible to start by working with a pair eigenvalue-eigenvector, say $\lambda - h$, and exhibit a decomposition*

$$S^{-1}\mathcal{A}S = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{E} \end{bmatrix},$$

for some matrix \mathcal{E} , of order $m-1$. Thus, the procedure consists in successive reapplications of this process to the matrix \mathcal{E} .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\lambda(t, \xi)$ be an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)$ and $h(t, \xi)$ an associated eigenvector with both of them smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$. Suppose that there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with*

$$\langle h(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m} \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (26)$$

where e_j denotes the j -th element of the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^m .

Then, there are a smooth matrices $\mathcal{E}(t, \xi)$, of order $m - 1$, and $S(t, \xi)$ of order m , invertible for all $(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, with a smooth inverse $S^{-1}(t, \xi)$, such that

$$S^{-1}(t, \xi) \mathcal{A}(t, \xi) S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(t, \xi) & a_{1,2}(t, \xi) & \dots & a_{1,m}(t, \xi) \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{E}(t, \xi) & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

Proof: Without loss of generality (see Remark 5 in [16]) we can admit $j = 1$ in (26). Define

$$v_i(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h(t, \xi), e_i \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}}{\langle h(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n), \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and the matrices

$$S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ v_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & I_{m-1} & \\ v_m & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-1}(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -v_2 & & & \\ \vdots & & I_{m-1} & \\ -v_m & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_{m-1} is the identity matrix of order $m - 1$.

With these constructions, it can be verified that (27) is fulfilled. Once each coordinate $v_i(t, \xi)$ is smooth, we obtain that S , S^{-1} and \mathcal{E} are also smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1

As observed, the full triangularization is given by applying Proposition 4.1 to $\mathcal{E}(t, \xi)$ for $m-2$ times. To present a sketch of this process, let $\mathcal{A}(t, \xi)$ be as in Theorem 4.1 and $h_1(t, \xi), \dots, h_{m-1}(t, \xi)$ be the associated eigenvectors to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t, \xi), \dots, \lambda_{m-1}(t, \xi)$.

The construction of vectors $h^{(i)}(t, \xi)$ starts by setting $h^{(1)} \doteq h_1$ and, as in Proposition 4.1, by assuming

$$\langle h^{(1)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$S_1^{-1} \mathcal{A} S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,m} \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{E}_{m-1} & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where $S_1 = [v_1 \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_m]$, $v_1 = [v_{11} \ \dots \ v_{1m}]^T$ and

$$v_{1j}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(1)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}}{\langle h^{(1)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^m}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n).$$

Now, we shall see how to apply this process to \mathcal{E}_{m-1} . For this, consider the projector $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$, given by $\pi_k(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m)$.

Notice that $S_1^{-1} h_2$ is an eigenvector of $S_1^{-1} \mathcal{A} S_1$, with eigenvalue λ_2 . Furthermore,

$$h^{(2)} \doteq (\pi_1 \circ S_1^{-1}) \cdot h_2$$

is an eigenvector of \mathcal{E}_{m-1} , smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, and corresponding to λ_2 .

By assuming

$$\langle h^{(2)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle \neq 0, \quad \forall (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

for $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, we get the following decomposition from Proposition 4.1

$$\tilde{S}_2^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{m-1} \tilde{S}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{E}_{m-2} & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where the first row is the first row of \mathcal{E}_{m-1} . The matrix $\tilde{S}_2 = [v_2 \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_{m-1}]$ is computed by setting $v_2 = [v_{22} \ \dots \ v_{2m}]^T$ and

$$v_{2j}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(2)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-1}}}{\langle h^{(2)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-1}}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n).$$

Moreover, by defining

$$S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \tilde{S}_2 & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

we get

$$S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} \mathcal{A} S_1 S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \mathcal{E}_{m-2} & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

The full triangularization is obtained by using an inductive argument on the order of the matrices \mathcal{E}_j . The exhibition is restricted to show only how to construct vectors $h^{(i)}$ and matrices S_k . For $i \in \{2, \dots, m-1\}$, let us set

$$h^{(i)} \doteq (\pi_{i-1} \circ S_{i-1}^{-1} \circ S_{i-2}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ S_1^{-1}) \cdot h_i, \quad (28)$$

which are evidently smooth on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$. The matrices S_k are inductively defined by

$$S_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{S}_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{S}_k = [v_k \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_{m-k}], \quad (29)$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ and

$$v_{kj}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}}{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^n), \quad j = k, \dots, m. \quad (30)$$

Finally, $S(t, \xi)$ is the smooth matrix given by

$$S = S_1 \circ S_2 \circ \dots \circ S_{m-1}. \quad (31)$$

□

4.1. Strongly triangularizable symbols

Notice that a matrix symbol $Q(t, \xi)$ that satisfies both condition (\mathcal{A}) and the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 can be smoothly triangularized. Hence, conditions such that (\mathcal{B}_2) and (\mathcal{B}_3) are fulfilled, are all that remains for the strong triangularization of $Q(t, \xi)$. This is the aim of the next Theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $Q(t, \xi)$ be a symbol satisfying condition (\mathcal{A}) and the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1. Assume that the eigenvectors $h_\ell(t, \xi)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m-1$, satisfy the following properties:*

(a) *there are constants $\kappa, \delta \geq 0$ and $C_1, C_2, R > 0$ satisfying*

$$C_1 |\xi|^\kappa \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |h_\ell(t, \xi)| \leq C_2 |\xi|^\delta, \quad |\xi| \geq R; \quad (32)$$

(b) *given $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N > 0$ there are positive constants C and R such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} |\partial_t^p h_\ell(t, \xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{-N}, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq R. \quad (33)$$

Under these conditions, $Q(t, \xi)$ is strongly triangularizable.

Proof: The starting point is to prove that (\mathcal{B}_2) is fulfilled. In view of the construction given in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (especially equations (29) and (31)), it is enough to show that each matrix \tilde{S}_k satisfies (18). Hence, we should analyze the derivatives of the entries $v_{kj}(t, \xi)$ given by (30).

To simplify the notations let us proceed by omitting the index ℓ in the eigenvectors. Thus, writing $h^{(k)}(t, \xi) = (h_1^{(k)}(t, \xi), \dots, h_{m-k}^{(k)}(t, \xi))$ we obtain

$$v_{kj}(t, \xi) = \frac{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}}{\langle h^{(k)}(t, \xi), e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{m-k}}} = \frac{h_j^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{h_1^{(k)}(t, \xi)}.$$

In particular, it follows from (28) and condition (a) the existence of constants C_3 and η_1 such that $|v_{kj}(t, \xi)| \leq C_3|\xi|^{\eta_1}$. Moreover, by (31) we obtain new constants satisfying

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C|\xi|^\eta. \quad (34)$$

Now, in order to investigate the derivatives of v_{kj} we observe that by the Leibniz formula we get

$$\partial_t^\alpha v_{kj} = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} h_j^{(k)} \partial_t^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{h_1^{(k)}} \right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Once more, to simplify notation, write $\omega = h_1^{(k)}$. It follows from the Faà di Bruno's formula that

$$\partial_t^\gamma (\omega^{-1}) = \sum_{\Delta(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma!}{\beta!} (-1)^{|\beta|} |\beta|! (\omega^{-1})^{|\beta|+1} \prod_{s=1}^{\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial_t^s \omega}{s!} \right)^{\beta_s} \right],$$

where $\Delta(\gamma) = \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\gamma; \sum_{s=1}^{\gamma} s \beta_s = \gamma\}$.

Consider $N > 0$. By equations (28), (32) and (33) we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial_t^s \omega}{s!} \right|^{\beta_s} \leq C_4 |\xi|^{-N\beta_s} (s!)^{-\beta_s} \leq C_4 (s!)^{-\beta_s},$$

for $|\xi|$ large enough. Also, there exist $\eta_2 \geq 0$ such that $|\omega|^{-1} \leq C_5 |\xi|^{-\eta_2}$. Hence,

$$|\omega|^{-(|\beta|+1)} \leq C_5 |\xi|^{-\eta_2(|\beta|+1)} \leq C_5, \quad \text{as } |\xi| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we may obtain $R_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t^\gamma (\omega^{-1})| \leq \sum_{\Delta(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma!}{\beta!} |\beta|! |\omega|^{-(|\beta|+1)} \prod_{s=1}^{\gamma} \left| \frac{\partial_t^s \omega}{s!} \right|^{\beta_s} \right] \leq \sum_{\Delta(\gamma)} \left[\frac{\gamma!}{\beta!} |\beta|! C_5 \prod_{s=1}^{\gamma} C_4 (s!)^{-\beta_s} \right] = C_6, \quad (35)$$

for all $|\xi| \geq R_2$.

Since $|\partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} h_j^{(k)}(t, \xi)| \leq C_7 |\xi|^{-N}$, it follows from (35) that

$$|\partial_t^\alpha v_{kj}(t, \xi)| \leq \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} |\partial_t^{\alpha-\gamma} h_j^{(k)}(t, \xi)| |\partial_t^\gamma (\omega^{-1})| \leq C |\xi|^{-N}, \quad \text{as } |\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (36)$$

Hence, (\mathcal{B}_2) is a consequence of (31), (34) and (36).

Finally, note that

$$D_t S(t, \xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ D_t v_{2,1} & 0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ D_t v_{m,1} & \dots & D_t v_{m,m-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, given $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $N > 0$ we obtain $C, R > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \|\partial_t^\beta D_t S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq C |\xi|^{-N}, \quad |\xi| \geq R. \quad (37)$$

Therefore, (\mathcal{B}_3) is a consequence of (\mathcal{B}_2) , (37) and

$$\|\partial_t^\alpha B(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \leq \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \|\partial_t^{\alpha-\beta} S^{-1}(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}} \|\partial_t^\beta D_t S(t, \xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}}.$$

□

Example 4.4. *Consider*

$$Q(t, D_x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a^2(t) D_x^2 \\ P(D_x) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where $a(t) \neq 0$ is a smooth real-valued function and $P(D_x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator on \mathbb{T} with symbol $p(\xi)$ satisfying the following condition: for all $N > 0$ there exists $C, R > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq p(\xi) \leq C |\xi|^{-N}, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq R. \quad (38)$$

The eigenvalues are $\pm a(t)\xi\sqrt{p(\xi)}$ with corresponding eigenvectors

$$h(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} (1, \pm 1), & \xi = 0, \\ \left(1, \pm \frac{\sqrt{p(\xi)}}{\xi a(t)}\right), & \xi \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (38) that conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 4.3 are fulfilled. In particular,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{p(\xi)}}{\xi a(t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q(t, \xi) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{p(\xi)}}{\xi a(t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(t)\xi\sqrt{p(\xi)} & a^2(t)\xi^2 \\ 0 & -a(t)\xi\sqrt{p(\xi)}\xi \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$D_t B(t, \xi) = \frac{a'(t)\sqrt{p(\xi)}}{a^2(t)\xi} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Bibliography

- [1] G. Araújo, *Global regularity and solvability of left-invariant differential systems on compact lie groups*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **56** (2019), no. 4, 631–665. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-019-09682-9>.
- [2] A. P. Bergamasco, P. D. Cordaro, and P. Malagutti, *Globally hypoelliptic systems of vector fields*, J. Funct. Anal. **114** (1993), no. 2, 267 – 285. URL <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1993.1068>.
- [3] A. P. Bergamasco, P. D. Cordaro, and G. Petronilho, *Global solvability for a class of complex vector fields on the two-torus*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), no. 5-6, 785–819. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1081/PDE-120037332>.
- [4] A. P. Bergamasco and A. Kirilov, *Global solvability for a class of over-determined systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **252** (2007), no. 2, 603–629. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123607000973>.

- [5] A. P. Bergamasco, C. Medeira, and S. Zani, *Globally solvable systems of complex vector fields*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), no. 8, 4598–4623. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022039612000253>.
- [6] A. P. Bergamasco, A. Kirilov, W. V. L. Nunes and S. Zani, *On the global solvability for overdetermined systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 9, 4533–4549. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41639043>.
- [7] A. P. Bergamasco, A. Kirilov, W. V. L. Nunes and S. Zani, *Global solutions to involutive systems.*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 11, 4851–4862. URL <https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/2015-143-11/S0002-9939-2015-12633-0/>.
- [8] A. P. Bergamasco, A. Parmeggiani, S. Zani and G. Zugliani, *Classes of globally solvable involutive systems.*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **8** (2017), no. 4, 551–583. URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11868-017-0217-9>.
- [9] A. P. Bergamasco, A. Parmeggiani, S. Zani and G. Zugliani, *Geometrical proofs for the global solvability of systems*, Math. Nachr. **291** (2018), no. 16, 2367–2380. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mana.201700300>.
- [10] G. Chinni and P. D. Cordaro, *On global analytic and Gevrey hypoellipticity on the torus and the Métivier inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **42** (2017), no. 1, 121–141. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03605302.2016.1258577?journalCode=>
- [11] F. de Ávila Silva, *Global hypoellipticity for a class of periodic cauchy operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **483** (2020), no. 2, 123650. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.123650>.
- [12] F. de Ávila Silva and A. Kirilov, *Perturbations of globally hypoelliptic operators on closed manifolds*, J. Spectr. Theory **9** (2019), no. 3, 825–855. URL <https://ems.press/journals/jst/articles/15811>.
- [13] F. de Ávila Silva and C. Medeira, *Global hypoellipticity for a class of overdetermined systems of pseudo-differential operators on the*

- torus*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **200** (2021), no. 6, 2535–2560. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-021-01090-w>.
- [14] F. de Ávila Silva, R. B. Gonzalez, A. Kirilov and C. Medeira, *Global hypoellipticity for a class of pseudo-differential operators on the torus*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **25** (2019), no. 4, 1717–1758. URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00041-018-09645-x>.
 - [15] D. Dickinson, T. V. Gramchev, and M. Yoshino, *Perturbations of vector fields on tori: resonant normal forms and Diophantine phenomena*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **45** (2002), 731–759. URL <https://doi.org/10.1017/S001309150000064X>.
 - [16] C. Garetto, C. Jäh, and M. Ruzhansky, *Hyperbolic systems with non-diagonalisable principal part and variable multiplicities, i: well-posedness*, Math. Ann. **372** (2018), no. 3, 1597–1629. URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00208-018-1672-1>.
 - [17] T. V. Gramchev and M. Ruzhansky, *Cauchy Problem for Some 2×2 Hyperbolic Systems of Pseudo-differential Equations with Nondiagonalisable Principal Part*, Studies in Phase Space Analysis with Applications to PDEs. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, **84**, (2013). 129–145, doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-6348-1_7. URL https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4614-6348-1_7.
 - [18] S. J. Greenfield and N. R. Wallach, *Global hypoellipticity and Liouville numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), no. 1, 112–114. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/2038523>.
 - [19] A. A. Himonas and G. Petronilho, *Global hypoellipticity and simultaneous approximability*, J. Funct. Anal. **170** (2000), no. 2, 356–365. URL <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1999.3524>.
 - [20] K. Hoffman and R. Kunze, *Linear algebra*, 1st edn., Prentice-Hall, 1971doi:doi:10.2307/3617032.
 - [21] J. Hounie, *Globally hypoelliptic and globally solvable first-order evolution equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **252** (1979), no. 12, 233–248. URL <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1998087>.

- [22] J. Hounie and G. Zugliani, *Global solvability of real analytic involutive systems on compact manifolds. part 2*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 7, 5157–5178. URL <https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/2019-371-07/S0002-9947-2018-07718-2/home.html>.
- [23] S. L. Jamison, *Perturbation of normal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 103–110. URL <https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/1954-005-01/S0002-9939-1954-0060743-0/>.
- [24] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators.*, reprint of the corr. print. of the 2nd ed. 1980 edn., Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-66282-9. URL <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783540586616>.
- [25] A. Kirilov, W. A. A. Moraes, and M. Ruzhansky, *Global hypoellipticity and global solvability for vector fields on compact lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **280** (2021), no. 2, 108806. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123620303499>.
- [26] G. Petronilho, *Global hypoellipticity, global solvability and normal form for a class of real vector fields on a torus and application*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 12, 6337–6349. URL <https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/2011-363-12/S0002-9947-2011-05359-6/>.
- [27] F. Rellich, *Perturbation theory of eigenvalue problems.*, Notes on Mathematics and its Applications. New York-London-Paris: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers. X, 127 p. , 1969.
- [28] N. Rodrigues, G. Chinni, P. D. Cordaro and M. R. Jahnke, *Lower order perturbation and global analytic vectors for a class of globally analytic hypoelliptic operators.*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 12, 5159–5170. URL <https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/2016-144-12/S0002-9939-2016-13178-X/>.
- [29] M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen, *Pseudo-Differential Operators and Symmetries: Background Analysis and Advanced Topics*, Series: Pseudo-Differential Operators, 2nd edn., Birkhäuser Basel, 2010, doi:10.1007/978-3-7643-8514-9. URL <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-7643-8514-9>.