ON RATIONAL MAPS WITH BURIED CRITICAL POINTS

YAN GAO, LUXIAN YANG, AND JINSONG ZENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we construct geometrically finite rational maps with buried critical points on the boundaries of some hyperbolic components by using the pinching and plumbing deformations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\operatorname{Rat}_d, d \geq 2$, denote the space of rational maps of degree d on the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, with the topology that $f_n \to f$ if and only if f_n uniformly converges to f with respect to the spherical metric on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. A rational map is called *hyperbolic* if all its critical points converge to attracting cycles under iterations. The collection of hyperbolic rational maps forms an open subset of Rat_d , whose connected components are called *hyperbolic components*. A central conjecture in complex dynamics is

Hyperbolic Conjecture: The hyperbolic rational maps are dense in Rat_d.

A related interesting question is to study the boundaries of hyperbolic components. In particular, one may ask what kinds of maps possibly lie on the boundary of a hyperbolic component?

Let f be a rational map. A point $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *buried* in the Julia set \mathcal{J}_f if $z \in \mathcal{J}_f$ and z is not on the boundary of any Fatou domain of f. In this paper, we provide a way to create rational maps with buried critical points on the boundaries of some hyperbolic components.

A rational map is called *geometrically finite* if the orbit of every critical point is either finite or converges to an attracting or parabolic cycle. A geometrically finite rational map is *subhyperbolic* if it has no parabolic cycles. We say a set (or a point) E is *preperiodic* under a map f if and only if $f^{n+p}(E) = f^n(E)$ for some minimal integers $p \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$.

Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{H} be a hyperbolic component in $\operatorname{Rat}_d, d \geq 2$, which contains a map R satisfying

- the Julia set of R is a Sierpiński carpet;
- a preperiodic Fatou domain of R contains a critical point.

Then the boundary of \mathcal{H} contains a geometrically finite map with a buried critical point.

It is known that the Julia set of a hyperbolic rational map is a Sierpiński carpet if and only if the boundaries of all the Fatou domains are Jordan curves and each pair of them are disjoint. There are many examples of hyperbolic rational maps whose Julia sets are Sierpiński carpets; see [Dev13, DFGJ14, Mil93] etc. Conjecturally, the hyperbolic components containing those maps are relatively compact in Rat_d [McM94, Question 5.3] (established in degree two for maps which have two distinct cycles of Fatou domains of period at least two; see [Eps00]). In the case d = 2, many hyperbolic components of Rat₂ satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.1; see [DFGJ14, Theorem A].

The main tools used to prove Theorem 1.1 are the pinching and plumbing deformations developed in [CT18].

The outline of the proof is as follows. Let $R_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and c_{R_0} be a critical point in a preperiodic Fatou domain. Starting from R_0 , we find a pinching path $R_t, t \geq 0$ in \mathcal{H} for which the distance between c_{R_t} and \mathcal{J}_{R_t} converges to 0 as t tends to ∞ , where c_{R_t} is the critical point of R_t deformed from c_{R_0} . The limit map $R_{\infty} := \lim_{t \to \infty} R_t \in \partial \mathcal{H}$ has a unique parabolic cycle, and the limit $c_{R_{\infty}} := \lim_{t \to \infty} c_{R_t}$ is a critical point of R_{∞} eventually falling into the parabolic cycle. Then applying the plumbing surgery, we get another pinching path g_t starting from a subhyperbolic map g_0 and terminating at $g_{\infty} = R_{\infty}$, satisfying that the actions of g_t and g_{∞} on their Julia sets are topologically conjugate. This plumbing deformation splits the unique parabolic cycle of g_{∞} into an attracting cycle and a repelling cycle. Finally, we choose a pinching path f_t starting from $f_0 := g_0$ to create a buried critical point for the limit map $f_{\infty} := \lim_{t\to\infty} f_t$. Actually, the buried critical point is $c_{f_{\infty}} := \lim_{t\to\infty} c_{f_t}$. The key point is to show that the two pinching paths g_t and f_t always stay on the boundary of \mathcal{H} .

Acknowledgment We sincerely thank Guizhen Cui and Yongcheng Yin for helpful discussions. The research is supported by the grants no.11801106 and no.11871354 of NSFC.

2. Preliminary

2.1. Semi-rational maps and c-equivalent

Let $f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a branched covering. The *orbit* of a set $E \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ under f, denoted by $\operatorname{orb}(E)$, is the set $\bigcup_{i\geq 0} f^i(E)$. The *postcritical set* $\operatorname{post}(f)$ of f is defined as the closure of $\operatorname{orb}(\{\text{all ciritival values of } f\})$. Following [CT11], f is called *semi-rational* if

- the accumulation set of post(f), denoted by post(f)', is finite;
- f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of post(f)';
- every cycle in post(f)' is attracting.

An open set \mathcal{W} is said to be a *fundamental set* of f if $\mathcal{W} \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ and \mathcal{W} contains every attracting cycle in post(f)'.

Two continuous maps ϕ and ψ from a set $X \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to a set $Y \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are said to be *homotopic* rel. a subset A (maybe empty) of X if there exists a continuous map $H: X \times [0,1] \to Y$ such that

$$H(x,0) = \phi(x), H(x,1) = \psi(x) \ \forall x \in X \text{ and } H(x,t) = \phi(x) \ \forall x \in A \ \forall t \in [0,1];$$

and *isotopic* rel. A if the map $H|_{X \times \{t\}} : X \to Y$ is a homeomorphism for each $t \in [0, 1]$. In particular, a homotopy H rel. A is called a *pseudo-isotopy* rel. A if $H|_{X \times \{t\}}$ is a homeomorphism for each $t \in [0, 1)$; and in this case the map $H|_{X \times \{1\}}$ is said to be the *end* of the pseudo-isotopy H.

Two semi-rational maps f_1 and f_2 are called *c-equivalent*, if there is a pair (ϕ, ψ) of homeomorphisms of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and a fundamental set \mathcal{W} of f_1 such that

- $\phi \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \psi$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$;
- ϕ is holomorphic in \mathcal{W} ;
- ϕ and ψ are isotopic relative to $\text{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}}$.

The following is the rigidity part of Thurston Theorem; see [CT11, DH93] for details.

Theorem 2.1. If two subhyperbolic rational maps are c-equivalent, then they are conformal conjugate.

A continuous onto map $\eta : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *monotone* if both $\eta^{-1}(w)$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \eta^{-1}(w)$ are connected for each point $w \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 2.2 (from homotopy to isotopy). Let f_1 and f_2 be two semi-rational maps. Let W_i be a fundamental set of f_i consisting of finitely many Jordan domains with disjoint closures for each i = 1, 2. Suppose that (ϕ, ψ) is a pair of monotone maps on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fulfilling that

- $(1) \phi \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \psi;$
- (2) $\phi(x) = \psi(x) \quad \forall x \in \text{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}_1};$
- (3) $\phi : \operatorname{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{W_1} \to \operatorname{post}(f_2) \cup \overline{W_2}$ is a homeomorphism that is holomorphic in W_1 ; (4) $\phi^{-1}(\operatorname{post}(f_2) \cup \overline{W_2}) = \operatorname{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{W_1}$;
- (5) the restrictions $\phi, \psi: S_1 \to S_2$ are homotopic rel. ∂S_1 with $S_i := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\operatorname{post}(f_i) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}_i}).$

Then f_1 and f_2 are c-equivalent.

Proof. Since ϕ is monotone, the map ϕ can be realized as the end of a pseudo-isotopy $\Phi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times [0,1] \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ rel. $\text{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}_1}$, according to Moore's Theorem [Mo25]. Let $h := \Phi|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \{0\}}$ be a homeomorphism. Then by condition (1) and the homotopy lifting theorem, there exists a pseudo-isotopy $\Psi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times [0,1] \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ rel. $\text{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}_1}$ such that

- $\Psi|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\times\{1\}} = \psi$ and $\widetilde{h} := \Psi|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\times\{0\}}$ is a homeomorphism;
- $(\Phi|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\times\{t\}}) \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ (\Psi|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\times\{t\}})$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, in particular, $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \widetilde{h}$.

From condition (5), the restrictions $h, \tilde{h}: S_1 \to S_2$ are homotopic relative to ∂S_1 . By [FM11, Theorem 1.12], they are isotopic rel. ∂S_1 on S_1 . Since $\tilde{h} = \psi = \phi = h$ on $\text{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}_1}$ from condition (2), globally it holds that $h, \tilde{h}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are isotopic rel. $\text{post}(f_1) \cup \overline{\mathcal{W}_1}$. The proof of the lemma is complete.

2.2. Quasiconformal surgery in the attracting basins

A standard quasiconformal surgery allows us to revise the dynamics of rational maps on the attracting basins; see [BF14, DH85]. We write a precise form in the following for the reference in Section 3. The proof is left in the appendix; see Section 4.

Lemma 2.3. Let f be a rational map. Let W_f be a preperiodic attracting Fatou domain with $f^{p+n}(W_f) = f^n(W_f)$ for some minimal integers $n \ge 1$ and $p \ge 1$. Assume the components of $\operatorname{orb}(W_f)$ are Jordan domains. Let B_f be a quasi-disk compactly contained in W_f such that for each $1 \le i \le n + p$

(2.1)
$$\deg(f^i: B_f \to f^i(B_f)) = \deg(f^i: W_f \to f^i(W_f)) \text{ and } f^{n+p}(B_f) \Subset f^n(B_f).$$

Let R be a hyperbolic rational map whose Julia set is connected. Suppose that there exists a Fatou domain W_R of R and a homeomorphism $\eta : \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_R) \to \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_f)$ such that

(2.2)
$$\eta \circ R(z) = f \circ \eta(z) \text{ for all } z \in \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_R).$$

Then there exists a hyperbolic rational map R_* , a quasiconformal map h on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and a pair of homeomorphisms $\eta_0, \eta_1 : \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W}_{R_*}) \to \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W}_f)$, such that

- the restriction $h: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_R \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_*}$ is a conjugacy between R and R_* , where \mathcal{U}_{R_*} is the grand orbit of the Fatou domain $W_{R_*} := h(W_R)$ of R_* ;
- the restriction $h: \mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_R \to \underline{\mathcal{F}_{R_*}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_*}$ is conformal, where $\mathcal{F}_R := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{J}_R$;
- η_0 is isotopic to η_1 rel. $\operatorname{orb}(\overline{B_{R_*}}) \cup \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_{R_*})$, where $B_{R_*} := \eta_0^{-1}(B_f) \Subset W_{R_*}$ satisfies (2.1) for R_* .
- the restriction η_0 : orb $(B_{R_*}) \rightarrow$ orb (B_R) is conformal;
- $\eta_0 = \eta \circ h^{-1}$ on $\operatorname{orb}(\partial W_{R_*})$;
- $\eta_0 \circ R_* = f \circ \eta_1 \text{ on } \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W_{R_*}}).$

Lemma 2.4. Let R_1 and R_2 be two hyperbolic rational maps of degree $d \ge 2$ with connected Julia sets. If $\phi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that $\phi \circ R_1(z) = R_2 \circ \phi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{J}_{R_1}$, then R_1 and R_2 belong to the same hyperbolic component of Rat_d .

Proof. We first consider the case that both R_i are postcritically finite. Then the conjugacy $\phi : \mathcal{J}_{R_1} \to \mathcal{J}_{R_2}$ between $R_1|_{\mathcal{J}_{R_1}}$ and $R_2|_{\mathcal{J}_{R_2}}$ can be extended to a pair of homeomorphisms (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\phi_1 \circ R_1 = R_2 \circ \phi_2$. Moreover, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are isotopic rel. post (R_1) by Alexander's trick. Then there exists a Möbius transformation α such that $R_2 = \alpha^{-1} \circ R_1 \circ \alpha$ by Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha_t, 1 \leq t \leq 2$, be a path in SL $(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $\alpha_1 := \text{id}$ and $\alpha_2 := \alpha$. Then $R_t := \alpha_t^{-1} \circ R_1 \circ \alpha_t$ is a path in Rat_d joining R_1 and R_2 . Clearly, all the maps $R_t, 1 \leq t \leq 2$, are hyperbolic. Thus R_1 and R_2 lie in the same hyperbolic component.

Otherwise, it is known from [Mi12, Theorem 9.3] that the hyperbolic component of Rat_d containing R_i possesses a postcritically finite rational map \widetilde{R}_i for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. The above arguments imply that \widetilde{R}_1 and \widetilde{R}_2 are in the same hyperbolic component, and so are R_1 and R_2 . The proof of the lemma is complete.

2.3. Pinching and plumbing deformations

Let f be a subhyperbolic rational map. A family Γ of finitely many disjoint open arcs is called *admissible* for f, provided that

- invariant: all arcs in Γ avoid the critical points of f and $f: \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \to \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma$ is a homeomorphism;
- each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ lies in a geometrically attracting (not super-attracting) periodic Fatou domain and it joins the attracting periodic point in this basin to a point in the boundary (which must be a periodic repelling point in the Julia set by the above invariant property);
- non-separating: the set $\mathcal{J}_f \setminus f^{-i}(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{\gamma})$ is connected for each $i \ge 0$.

In [CT18], the authors proved that, given a subhyperbolic rational map f and an admissible family Γ for f, one can shrink the iterated pre-images of arcs in Γ by occupying a special quasiconformal deformation $f_t = \phi_t \circ f \circ \phi_t^{-1}, t \ge 0$ with $f_0 = f$. The deformation takes place in a totally f-invariant open subset of the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}_f := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{J}_f$. The set contains the iterated pre-images of arcs in Γ . Such a deformation $f_t, t \ge 0$ in Rat_d is called a pinching path supported on Γ .

Theorem 2.5 ([CT18, Theorem 1.5]). Any pinching path $f_t = \phi_t \circ f \circ \phi_t^{-1}, t \ge 0$ supported on an admissible family Γ has the following properties:

- f_t converges uniformly to a geometrically finite rational map f_{∞} as $t \to \infty$;
- ϕ_t converges uniformly to a continuous onto map ϕ_{∞} of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ as $t \to \infty$;
- $\phi_{\infty} \circ f(z) = f_{\infty} \circ \phi_{\infty}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$;

• the map ϕ_{∞} can be charactered explicitly that, for a point $x \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$,

 $\#\phi_{\infty}^{-1}(x) > 1 \Leftrightarrow \phi^{-1}(x)$ is a component of $\bigcup_{i>0} f^{-i}(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{\gamma});$

- thus the restriction $\phi_{\infty} : \mathcal{J}_f \to \mathcal{J}_g$ is a semi-conjugacy;
- $\phi_t, t \geq 0$ and ϕ_{∞} are holomorphic in the open set $\mathcal{F}_f \setminus \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma}$, where \mathcal{U}_{Γ} denotes the union of the Fatou domains which intersect the iterated preimages of arcs in Γ .

We have two remarks: first, the arcs in an admissible family are disjoint from the postcritical set in the original setting of [CT18], however, their arguments still work in our situation; second, the starting map f_0 of a pinching path is allowed to have parabolic cycles, while throughout this paper, we only deal with the pinching paths starting from subhyperbolic rational maps.

Conversely, a geometrically finite rational map with parabolic cycles is the limit of certain pinching paths. These possible pinching paths can be encoded by a finite set of combinatorial data, namely, plumbing combinatorics.

Theorem 2.6 ([CT18, Compare Theorem 1.6]). Let f_{∞} be a geometrically finite rational map with parabolic cycles and let σ be a plumbing combinatoric of f_{∞} . Then f_{∞} is the limit of a pinching path $f_t = \phi_t \circ f \circ \phi_t^{-1}, t \ge 0$ along σ that starts from a subhyperbolic rational map f and supports on an admissible family Γ of f.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let \mathcal{H} be a hyperbolic component in Theorem 1.1. By the conditions, we can admit the following settings for some hyperbolic rational map $R \in \mathcal{H}$:

- $U_{R,0}, \cdots, U_{R,p-1}$ is a Fatou cycle of period $p \ge 1$;
- z_R is the geometrically attracting periodic point in $U_{R,0}$; there is a minimal integer $n \ge 0$ such that $R^{-(n+1)}(\bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1}U_{R,i}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1}U_{R,i}$ contains a critical Fatou domain W'_R ;
- assume $W_R := R(W'_R)$ and $R^n(W_R)$ is the Fatou domain $U_{R,0}$;
- assume further that c_R is the unique critical point in W'_R and in the backward orbits $\bigcup_{i>0} R^{-i}(\{c_R, z_R\})$; this can be done by a standard quasiconformal surgery [BF14] and Lemma 2.4.

In what follows, starting from R, we will construct a geometrically finite rational map in $\partial \mathcal{H}$ such that its Julia set possesses a buried critical point. The proof is broken up into four steps.

Step 1: Constructing an admissible family of arcs in $U_{R,0}, \ldots, U_{R,p-1}$.

We choose a fundamental annulus A near z_R with the outer boundary γ_+ and inner boundary γ_{-} , i.e., $R|_{\overline{A}}$ is injective and $A \cap R^{p}(A) = \gamma_{-}$. We require further that each of γ_+ and γ_- contains a unique point in the orbit of c_R . Take an arc $\tau_0: [0,1] \to \overline{A}$ such that

- $\tau_0(0) = \gamma_+ \cap \operatorname{orb}(c_R), \tau_0(1) = \gamma_- \cap \operatorname{orb}(c_R);$
- $\tau_0(0,1) \subseteq A$ and is disjoint from the orbits of the critical points of R.

For every $k \geq 1$, define $\tau_k := R^{pk}(\tau_0)$. Since A is a fundamental annulus, then τ_k is disjoint from $\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{k-2}$ and $\tau_k \cap \tau_{k-1} = \tau_k(0)$. Note that τ_0 avoids the orbits of the critical points of R^p in $U_{R,0}$, one can always lift τ_0 in $U_{R,0}$ by the iterate R^p . Hence, for $k \geq 1$, we inductively define τ_{-k} to be the lift of $\tau_{-(k-1)}$ by \mathbb{R}^p based at $\tau_{-(k-1)}(0)$.

Now we set $\gamma_R := \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tau_k$. Due to the expansion properties of R (compare [Mil11, Theorem 18.11]), the arc γ_R joins the point z_R and a repelling periodic point $w_R \in \partial U_{R,0}$. Clearly R^p sends γ_R onto itself homeomorphically. Let Γ be the collection of arcs $\gamma_R, R(\gamma_R), \ldots, R^{p-1}(\gamma_R)$. Then Γ is an admissible family for R in $U_{R,0} \cup \ldots \cup U_{R,p-1}$, satisfying that

- the arcs in Γ avoid the orbits of critical points of R except that of $c_R \in W'_R$;
- the arcs in Γ contain all the points of $\operatorname{orb}(c_R)$ in $U_{R,0} \cup \ldots \cup U_{R,p-1}$; this can be done, if we let $R^{n+1}(c_R)$ be close to z_R by a quasiconformal surgery on $R^n(W'_R)$.

Since \mathcal{J}_R is a Sierpiński carpet, each connected component ξ of $\bigcup_{i\geq 0} R^{-i}(\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\overline{\gamma})$ can be explicitly charactered as follows.

Fact 3.1. The component ξ is the closure of a component of $R^{-i}(\gamma)$ for some $i \ge 0$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Moreover, ξ is a closed arc if and only if its orbit is disjoint from c_R , and is a star-like tree otherwise; in the latter case, the unique branched point is the iterated preimage of c_R in ξ .

Step 2: Pushing c_R to the boundary of a Fatou domain

Consider the pinching path $R_t = \phi_t \circ R \circ \phi_t^{-1}, t \ge 0$ in \mathcal{H} supported on Γ . By Theorem 2.5, the path R_t converges uniformly to a geometrically finite rational map $g := R_\infty \in \partial \mathcal{H}$ as $t \to \infty$, with every component of $\bigcup_{i\ge 0} R^{-i}(\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\overline{\gamma})$ collapsing to a point; ϕ_t converges uniformly to a continuous onto map ϕ_∞ ; and the labeled points $c_{R_t} := \phi_t(c_R), z_{R_t} := \phi_t(z_R), w_{R_t} := \phi_t(w_R)$ converge to c_g, z_g, w_g , respectively. Together with Fact 3.1, we get a precise description of the dynamics of g.

Fact 3.2. The followings hold:

- (1) the points z_g and w_g coincide and is a parabolic point of g of period p; the critical point c_g belongs to \mathcal{J}_g with $g^{n+1}(c_g) = z_g$;
- (2) considering the restriction $\phi_{\infty}|_{\mathcal{J}_R} : \mathcal{J}_R \to \mathcal{J}_g$, for a point $x \in \mathcal{J}_g$, $\#\phi_{\infty}^{-1}(x) > 1$ if and only if $g^i(x) = c_g$ for some $i \ge 0$; and if $\#\phi_{\infty}^{-1}(x) > 1$, then $(\phi_{\infty}|_{\mathcal{J}_R})^{-1}(x)$ is contained in the boundary of a Fatou domain U of R such that $R^i(W) = W'_R$ for some $i \ge 0$;
- (3) each Fatou domain U of R corresponds to finitely many Fatou domains of g, say

 $U_1(g), \ldots, U_s(g)$ for some $s \ge 1$

under the relation that $\phi_{\infty}(\overline{U}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \overline{U_i(g)}$. Clearly by Fact 3.1 when $\overline{U_k(g)} \cap \overline{U_\ell(g)} \neq \emptyset$ for some $k \neq \ell$, this intersection is a singleton and is a iterated preimage of c_q under g.

(4) every Fatou domain of g is a Jordan domain and $\phi_{\infty}(\overline{U}) \cap \phi_{\infty}(\overline{U'}) = \emptyset$ for a pair of distinct Fatou domains U and U' of R.

Step 3: Plumbing to get a subhyperbolic rational map

We want to perturb g to a subhyperbolic rational map without changing its dynamics on the Julia set. By Theorem 2.6, this can be realized by a plumbing surgery. Precisely,

Fact 3.3. There exists a subhyperbolic rational map f and an admissible family $\Gamma_f = \{\gamma_f, \ldots, f^{p-1}(\gamma_f)\}$ with $\gamma_f \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset$ in a Fatou cycle $U_{f,0}, \ldots, U_{f,p-1}$ of period p, such that the pinching path

$$g_t = \psi_t \circ f \circ \psi_t^{-1}, t \ge 0$$

supported on Γ_f satisfies

- (1) $g_t \to g, \ \psi_t \to \psi_\infty \ as \ t \to \infty;$
- (2) each component of $\bigcup_{i\geq 0} f^{-i}(\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma_f}\overline{\gamma})$ intersects \mathcal{J}_f in exactly one point, thus ψ_{∞} : $\mathcal{J}_f \to \mathcal{J}_g$ is a conjugacy between f and g;
- (3) the closure of each Fatou domain of f is one-to-one corresponding to that of g under the map ψ_{∞} ;
- (4) the point $c_f := (\psi_{\infty}|_{\mathcal{J}_f})^{-1}(c_g)$ is the unique critical point of f in \mathcal{J}_f and $w_f := f^{n+1}(c_f)$, the endpoint of $\gamma_f \subseteq U_{f,0}$ in \mathcal{J}_f , is a repelling point of period p.

The key point for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to show that the pinching path g_t belongs to $\partial \mathcal{H}$. Since one can regard an arbitrary g_{t_0} as the initial map f of the pinching path, it reduces to prove the following.

Proposition 3.4. $f \in \partial \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. The strategy is as follows: we first disturb f to a quasi-regular map F_r ; and then prove that F_r is c-equivalent to a normalized rational map $R_r \in \mathcal{H}$; finally a known result implies that R_r tends to f as $r \to 0$.

Let W_f be the unique Fatou domain of f whose boundary contains the critical value $v_f := f(c_f)$ and W'_f be the union of the $\tau := \deg(f, c_f)$ components of $f^{-1}(W_f)$ whose boundaries possess c_f . Now we choose a Jordan disk D_r around v_f such that

- diam $D_r < r$;
- $\partial D_r \setminus \overline{W_f}$ is an open arc buried in \mathcal{J}_f , i.e., it is disjoint from the boundary of each Fatou domain.

Let $\xi_r : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a quasiconformal map which is identity outside of D_r and sends v_f to a point, namely v_r , within $D_r \cap W_f$. Then the quasi-regular map F_r is defined as

$$F_r := \xi_r \circ f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

Clearly F_r coincides with f except on D'_r , which is the component of $f^{-1}(D_r)$ containing c_f . Hence F_r is semi-rational.

Lemma 3.5. The map F_r is c-equivalent a hyperbolic rational map R_r in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. We have three rational maps R, g and f. Their dynamics are related by Theorem 2.5, Facts 3.2 and 3.3, with g the intermediate rational map:

$$R \xrightarrow{(R_t,\phi_t)} g \xleftarrow{(g_t,\psi_t)} f.$$

Recall that W'_R is the Fatou domain of R containing c_R and $W_R = R(W'_R)$. By Fact 3.2 (2) and Fact 3.3 (3), it holds that $\phi_{\infty}(\overline{W_R}) = \overline{W_g} = \psi_{\infty}(\overline{W_f})$.

For each $\delta \in \{R, f, g\}$, let \mathcal{U}_{δ} denote the grand orbit of W_{δ} , i.e., the union of Fatou domains U of δ such that $\delta^i(U) = \delta^j(W_{\delta})$ for some i and j. For simplicity of the statement, we assume that

(3.3) c_R is the unique critical point in the preperiodic Fatou domains of \mathcal{U}_R .

By Theorem 2.5, Fact 3.2 (2) and Fact 3.3 (2), we conclude that

Fact 3.6. The followings hold:

- (1) $\eta := \psi_{\infty}^{-1} \circ \phi_{\infty} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_R \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_f$ is a semi-conjugacy between R and f;
- (2) the restriction $\eta: \mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_R \to \mathcal{F}_f \setminus \mathcal{U}_f$ is a conformal isomorphism;

(3) If the orbit of a Fatou domain $U_R \subseteq \mathcal{U}_R$ avoids W'_R , then $\eta(\partial U_R)$ is the boundary of a Fatou domain in \mathcal{U}_f ; otherwise, $\eta(\partial U_R)$ is the union of boundaries of τ Fatou domains of f in \mathcal{U}_f .

Choose a quasi-disk B_f compactly contained in W_f such that $f^i(B_f)(=F_r^i(B_f)), 0 \le i \le n+p$, are quasi-disks satisfying

$$\overline{f^{n+p}(B_f)} \subseteq f^n(B_f).$$

Assume further that B_f is so large that $\operatorname{orb}(B_f)$ covers the set

$$\operatorname{post}(F_r) \cap \operatorname{orb}(W_f).$$

Since $\eta : \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_R) \to \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_f)$ is a conjugacy between R and f by Fact 3.6 (1)(3), we can apply Lemma 2.3 to combine the two sub-dynamics: f on $\operatorname{orb}(W_f)$ and R on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_R$ to produce a new rational map R_r , where r measures the position of the critical value of R_r in W_{R_r} . According to Lemma 2.4, $R_r \in \mathcal{H}$. Precisely, there is a rational map $R_r \in \mathcal{H}$, a quasiconformal map $h : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and two homeomorphisms $\eta_0, \eta_1 : \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W_{R_r}}) \to \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W_f})$, such that

Fact 3.7. The followings hold:

- (1) the restriction $h: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_R \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_r}$ is a conjugacy between R and R_r , where \mathcal{U}_{R_r} is the grand orbit of the Fatou domain $W_{R_r} := h(W_R)$ of R_r ;
- (2) the restriction $h: \mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_R \to \underline{\mathcal{F}_{R_r}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_r}$ is conformal;
- (3) η_0 is isotopic to η_1 rel. $\operatorname{orb}(\overline{B_{R_r}}) \cup \operatorname{orb}(\partial W_{R_r})$, where $B_{R_r} := \eta_0^{-1}(B_f) \Subset W_{R_r}$ satisfying (2.1) for R_r ;
- (4) the restriction η_0 : orb $(B_{R_r}) \rightarrow$ orb (B_R) is conformal;
- (5) $\eta_0 = \eta \circ h^{-1}$ on $\operatorname{orb}(\partial W_{R_r})$;
- (6) $\eta_0 \circ R_r = f \circ \eta_1 \text{ on } \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W_{R_r}});$

moreover, by a standard quasiconformal surgery on the Fatou domain $W'_{R_r} := h(W'_R)$ if necessary, we can also assume

(7) c_{R_r} is the unique critical point in W'_{R_r} and $v_{R_r} := R_r(c_{R_r}) \in W_{R_r}$ is mapped by η_0 to the critical value v_r of F_r .

In the following, we will continuously extend η_0 and η_1 to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\eta_0 \circ R_r = F_r \circ \eta_1$ holds on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Firstly, by Fact 3.6 (1) and Fact 3.7 (1)(5), one has a continuous extension

$$\eta_0: (\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_r}) \cup \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W_{R_r}}) \to (\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_f) \cup \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W_f})$$

by setting $\eta_0(z) = \eta \circ h^{-1}(z)$ for all $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_r}$. According to Fact 3.6 and Fact 3.7 (1)(2), we conclude that

Fact 3.8. The followings hold:

- (1) the restriction $\eta_0 : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_r} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_f$ is a semi-conjugacy between R_r and f;
- (2) the restriction $\eta_0: \mathcal{F}_{R_r} \setminus \mathcal{U}_{R_r} \to \mathcal{F}_f \setminus \mathcal{U}_f$ is conformal;
- (3) if the orbit of a Fatou domain U_{R_r} of R_r avoids W'_{R_r} , then $\eta_0(\partial U_{R_r})$ is the boundary of a Fatou domain of f; otherwise, $\eta_0(\partial U_{R_r})$ is the union of the boundaries of τ Fatou domains in \mathcal{U}_f .

It remains to extend η_0 to each component U_{R_r} of $\mathcal{U}_{R_r} \setminus \operatorname{orb}(W_{R_r})$. There are three cases:

- The orbit of U_{R_r} is disjoint from W'_{R_r} . In this case, there exists a minimal $k \ge 1$ such that $R_r^k(U_{R_r}) \subseteq \operatorname{orb}(W_{R_r})$. By Fact 3.8 (3), $\eta_0(\partial U_{R_r})$ bounds a component U_f of \mathcal{U}_f . Since $R_r^k|_{U_{R_r}}$ and $f^k|_{U_f}$ are homeomorphisms by assumption (3.3), we define $\eta_0|_{U_{R_r}} := (f^k|_{U_f})^{-1} \circ \eta_0 \circ R_r^k|_{U_{R_r}}$. By Fact 3.8 (1)(3) this extension is continuous.
- $U_{R_r} = W'_{R_r}$. Recall that W'_f denotes the union of τ components of $f^{-1}(W_f)$ whose boundaries contain c_f , and D_r a small disk containing v_f chosen at the beginning of Proposition 3.4. Fact 3.8 (3) implies $\eta_0(\partial W'_{R_r}) = \partial W'_f$, and $\eta_0^{-1}(\partial D_r)$ is a Jordan curve surrounding a disk, denoted by Δ_r , such that $v_{R_r} \in \Delta_r$. Choose a closed arc β such that

$$\beta(0,1) \subseteq W_{R_r} \cap \Delta_r, \beta(0) = v_{R_r} \text{ and } \beta(1) = \eta_0^{-1}(v_f) \in \Delta_r \cap \partial W_{R_r}.$$

Let β' be the component of $R_r^{-1}(\beta)$ containing c_{R_r} . Then β' divides W'_{R_r} into τ disks. We continuously extend η_0 from $\partial W'_{R_r}$ into W'_{R_r} satisfying that $\eta_0(\beta') = c_f$ and η_0 sends the τ components of $W'_{R_r} \setminus \beta'$ homeomorphically onto those of W'_f .

• There exists a minimal $k \geq 1$ such that $R_r^k(U_{R_r}) = W'_{R_r}$. Let U_f be the union of the τ Fatou domains of f bounded by $\eta_0(\partial U_{R_r})$. In this case, by assumption (3.3), we let $\eta_0|_{U_{R_r}} := (f^k|_{\overline{U_f}})^{-1} \circ \eta_0 \circ R_r^k|_{U_{R_r}}$.

Thus, we obtain a continuous onto map $\eta_0 : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying that for each $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\#\eta_0^{-1}(z) > 1 \Leftrightarrow \exists i \ge 0 \text{ s.t.} f^i(z) = c_f \Leftrightarrow \eta_0^{-1}(z) \text{ is a component of } \bigcup_{i \ge 0} R_r^{-i}(\beta').$$

Thus η_0 is monotone.

We now extend η_1 to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Recall that D'_r is the component of $f^{-1}(D_r)$ containing c_r . Assume Δ'_r is the component of $R_r^{-1}(\Delta_r)$ containing c_{R_r} . Then $\overline{\Delta'_r} = \eta_0^{-1}(\overline{D'_r})$ by the choice of D_r and the construction of η_0 . For each point $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta'_r$, define

$$\eta_1(z) := \begin{cases} \eta_1(z), & \text{if } z \in \operatorname{orb}(W_{R_r}); \\ \eta_0(z), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the construction of η_0 , we have $\eta_0 \circ R_r(z) = f \circ \eta_1(z)$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta'_r$. Since $F_r = f$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D'_r$, it follows that $\eta_0 \circ R_r(z) = F_r \circ \eta_1(z)$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta'_r$.

The extension of $\eta_1 : \Delta'_r \setminus \{c_{R_r}\} \to D'_r \setminus \{c_f\}$ is defined by the following lift:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_r' \setminus \{c_{R_r}\} & \xrightarrow{\eta_1} & D_r' \setminus \{c_f\} \\ & & & & \downarrow_{F_r} \\ \Delta_r \setminus \{v_{R_r}\} & \xrightarrow{\eta_0} & D_r \setminus \{v_r\}. \end{array}$$

Finally, we let $\eta_1(c_{R_r}) = c_f$. Then η_1 is a monotone map satisfying $\eta_0 \circ R_r = F_r \circ \eta_1$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

By the constructions of η_0 and η_1 , we see that the restrictions $\eta_0, \eta_1 : S_{R_r} \to S_f$ are homotopic rel. ∂S_{R_r} , where

$$S_{\delta} := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\operatorname{post}(\delta) \cup \overline{\operatorname{orb}(B_{\delta})})$$

for each $\delta \in \{R_r, f\}$, and

$$\eta_0 = \eta_1 : \operatorname{post}(R_r) \cup \overline{\operatorname{orb}(B_{R_r})} \to \operatorname{post}(f) \cup \overline{\operatorname{orb}(B_f)}$$

is a homeomorphism that is holomorphic in $\operatorname{orb}(B_{R_r})$. It then follows from Lemma 2.2 that R_r is c-equivalent to F_r . We complete the proof of Lemma 3.5.

We continue to prove Proposition 3.4. Choose three distinct points $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \text{post}(f) \cap \mathcal{F}_f$. According to Lemma 3.5, for each r > 0, there exists a pair of homeomorphism $(\phi_{0,r}, \phi_{1,r})$ by which F_r is c-equivalent to R_r . We normalize $\phi_{0,r}$ such that it fixes a_1, a_2, a_3 . Lemma 2.4 implies that such normalized rational maps R_r still belong to \mathcal{H} . By [Gao19, Proposition 3.3] or by similar arguments in [GZ18, Step IV of the proof of Theorem 1.1], the rational maps R_r uniformly converge to f as $r \to 0$, which implies $f \in \partial \mathcal{H}$.

Step 4: Create a buried critical point

Recall that $U_{R,0}, \ldots, U_{R,p-1}$ is the attracting Fatou cycle of R, where the pinching deformation in Step 1 takes place. The map η , defined in Fact 3.6 (1), sends the boundary of this Fatou cycle onto that of an attracting Fatou cycle of f, say $U_{f,0}, \ldots, U_{f,p-1}$. By a similar method as stated in Step 1, one can get a pair of open arcs $\{\alpha, \alpha'\}$ in $U_{f,0}$ such that

- $f^p: (\alpha, \alpha') \to (\alpha', \alpha)$ are homeomorphisms;
- $\alpha \cap \alpha' = \emptyset$ and $(\alpha \cup \alpha') \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset$;
- $\alpha(0) = \alpha'(0)$ is the attracting periodic point in $U_{f,0}$, and $\alpha(1) \neq \alpha'(1) \in \partial U_{f,0}$ lie in a repelling cycle of period 2p.

It is clear that $\widetilde{\Gamma} := \{f^i(\alpha), f^i(\alpha') : 0 \le i \le p-1\}$ forms an admissible family of f. By Theorem 2.5, we obtain a pinching path $f_t := \zeta_t \circ f \circ \zeta_t^{-1}, t \ge 0$ supported on $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ converging to a geometrically finite rational map f_{∞} , such that

- (1) the set $\mathcal{O} = \{\zeta_{\infty}(f^i(\overline{\alpha \cup \alpha'})) : 0 \le i \le p-1\}$ forms a unique parabolic cycle of f_{∞} , with period p and multiplier -1;
- (2) if a Fatou domain U of f is not in \mathcal{U}_f , then $\zeta_{\infty}(U)$ is an eventually attracting Fatou domain of f_{∞} ; otherwise, the set $U \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 0} f^{-i}(\overline{\alpha \cup \alpha'})$ consists of infinitely many components, and these components are sent by ζ_{∞} bijectively onto Fatou domains of f_{∞} , which are eventually iterated under f_{∞} into the unique parabolic Fatou cycle of period 2p;
- (3) $\zeta_{\infty}(\overline{U}) \cap \zeta_{\infty}(\overline{U_{f,0}}) = \emptyset$ for a Fatou domain $U \neq U_{f,0}$ of f.

Note that $c_{f_{\infty}} := \zeta_{\infty}(c_f)$ is the unique critical point of f_{∞} in $\mathcal{J}_{f_{\infty}}$, and $w_{f_{\infty}} := f_{\infty}^{n+1}(c_{f_{\infty}}) \in \zeta_{\infty}(\partial U_{f,0})$ is a repelling point of f_{∞} of period p. By statements (2)(3) above, the point $w_{f_{\infty}}$ does not belong to the boundary of any Fatou domain of f_{∞} , and so is $c_{f_{\infty}}$. Applying the same arguments in Proposition 3.4 to each subhyperbolic f_t , we see that the pinching path $f_t, t > 0$ is contained in $\partial \mathcal{H}$. Thus the limit $f_{\infty} \in \partial \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, we obtain a geometrically finite map in $\partial \mathcal{H}$ with the buried critical point $c_{f_{\infty}}$. The proof of the main theorem is completed.

4. Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let B_R be a quasi-disk in W_R satisfying (2.1) for R. For each $\delta \in \{f, R\}$, we denote by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\delta} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+p-1} \delta^{i}(W_{\delta}), \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\delta} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n+p-1} \delta^{i}(W_{\delta}) \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\delta} = (\delta|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\delta}})^{-1} (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+p-1} \delta^{i}(B_{\delta})).$$

Take a conformal isomorphism $\alpha_1 : \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f \to \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_R$ which sends the component of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f$ in $f^i(W_f)$ onto that of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_R$ in $R^i(W_R)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n + p - 1$. Let $\alpha_0 : \mathbf{B}_f \to \mathbf{B}_R$ be

the restriction of α_1 on $\mathbf{B}_f := f(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f$ and $\mathbf{B}_R := \alpha_1(\mathbf{B}_f)$. We then introduce a holomorphic map $\mathcal{R} : \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_R \to \mathbf{B}_R$ induced by the following diagram:



Let us set $\mathcal{R}|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_R} = R|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_R}$. In what follows, we will extend \mathcal{R} as a quasi-regular map of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

For each $\delta \in \{f, R\}$, pick a quasi-circle γ_{δ} essentially contained in the annulus

$$\mathbb{A}(\delta^n(\partial B_\delta), \delta^{n+p}(\partial B_\delta)),$$

where $\mathbb{A}(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ denotes the annulus bounded by the Jordan curves β_1 and β_2 . From condition (2.1), there exists a unique quasi-circle γ_{δ}^i in $\delta^i(W_{\delta})$ such that $\delta^{n+p-i}(\gamma_{\delta}^i) = \gamma_{\delta}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n+p-1$. When $\delta = f$, clearly γ_{δ}^i surrounds the disk $B_{\delta}^i := \mathbf{B}_{\delta} \cap \delta^i(W_{\delta})$, i.e., B_{δ}^i is contained in a complement component of γ_{δ}^i ; this still holds for $\delta = R$ if we choose the circle γ_{δ} sufficiently close to $\delta^n(\partial B_{\delta})$.

Note that the set \mathbf{W}_{δ} (resp. \mathbf{W}_{δ}) can be decomposed into the three disjoint open sets $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\delta}, \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\delta}, \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\delta}$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}_{\delta}, \mathbf{H}_{\delta}, \mathbf{B}_{\delta}$), whose components are either disks or annuli, if we let

$$\mathbf{A}_{\delta} := \cup_{i} \mathbb{A}(\delta^{i}(\partial W_{\delta}), \gamma_{\delta}^{i}), \ \mathbf{H}_{\delta} := \cup_{i} \mathbb{A}(\gamma_{\delta}^{i}, \partial B_{\delta}^{i}) =: \cup_{i} H_{\delta}^{i},$$

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\delta} := (\delta|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\delta}})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{\delta} \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{\delta} := \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\delta} \setminus \overline{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\delta} \cup \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\delta}}, \text{ where } i \text{ runs over } [1, n+p-1].$

Let $\alpha_0 : \mathbf{A}_f \to \mathbf{A}_R$ be a homeomorphism such that its extension from each boundary γ_f^i onto γ_R^i is quasisymmetric. Let $\mathcal{R}|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_R} = R|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_R}$. Then from condition (2.2), the map $\alpha_1 : \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_f \to \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_R$ can be defined by the lift:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_f & \stackrel{\alpha_1}{\longrightarrow} & \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_R \\ f & & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} \\ \mathbf{A}_f & \stackrel{\alpha_0}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbf{A}_R. \end{array}$$

Now we quasiconformally extend $\alpha_0 : \partial \mathbf{H}_f \to \partial \mathbf{H}_R$ (resp. $\alpha_1 : \partial \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_f \to \partial \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_R$) into the interior of \mathbf{H}_f (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_f$). Then the quasi-regular map $\mathcal{R} : \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_R \to \mathbf{H}_R$ is induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{f} & \stackrel{\alpha_{1}}{\longrightarrow} & \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{R} \\ f & & & \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \\ \mathbf{H}_{f} & \stackrel{\alpha_{0}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbf{H}_{R}. \end{array}$$

We also extend the map α_0 over W_f onto W_R such that it is isotopic to the restriction $\alpha_1|_{W_f}$ relative to B_f .

We see that the two maps $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 : \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_f \to \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_R$ coincide in $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f$ and statisfy $\mathcal{R} \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_0 \circ f$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_f$. However, they may not be isotopic rel. $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f$. To fix this problem, in what follows, we will compose suitable twists around the annuli H_R^i to the maps \mathcal{R} and α_0 . For each $1 \leq i \leq n + p - 1$, let $\beta_i : H_R^i \to \mathbb{H}_{r_i} := \{z : r_i < |z| < 1\}$ be a conformal parameterization; the twist $T_i : R^i(W_R) \to R^i(W_R)$ is defined by

$$T_i(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } z \in R^i(W_R) \setminus H_R^i; \\ \beta_i^{-1}(re^{\mathbf{i}(\theta + 2\pi \frac{r-r_i}{1-r_i})}) & \text{otherwise, } z \in H_R^i \text{ and assume } \beta_i(z) = re^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_{r_i}. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a unique integer n_i such that the map $T_i^{n_i} \circ (\alpha_0|_{R^i(W_R)})$ is isotopic to $\alpha_1|_{R^i(W_R)}$ relative to $R^i(B_f)$. Since the following commutative diagram holds:

we may replace the behavior of α_0 on $f^i(W_f)$ (resp. \mathcal{R} on $R^{i-1}(W_R)$) by $T_i^{n_i} \circ \alpha_0$ (resp. $T_i^{n_i} \circ \mathcal{R}$). The new maps are still denoted by α_0 and \mathcal{R} respectively.

Next we will construct a new quasiconformal structure μ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is invariant under the quasi-regular map $\mathcal{R}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. To do this, start from the standard conformal structure μ_0 on the disks $\mathcal{R}^i(B_R)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n + p - 1$, and also on all points of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{U}_R$, which are not in the iterated pre-images of $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_R$. Now we pull μ_0 to the rest of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ under the action of \mathcal{R} and its iterates. This will yield a well-defined quasiconformal structure μ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, which has bounded dilatation since an orbit can pass through the union of annuli H_R^i at most n + p - 1 times. Using the measurable Riemann mapping theorem, we obtain a quasiconformal map h sending μ to μ_0 . This implies that the map $R_* = h \circ \mathcal{R} \circ h^{-1}$ is a rational map.

Finally, let $W_{R_*} = h(W_R)$ and $\eta_i = \alpha_i^{-1} \circ h^{-1} : \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W}_{R_*}) \to \operatorname{orb}(\overline{W}_f), i \in \{0, 1\}$. From the constructions above, the maps R_*, η_0, η_1 and h are as required. The proof of the lemma is complete.

References

- [BF14] B. BRANNER, N. FAGELLA, *Quasiconformal surgery in holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 141, Cambridge University Press 2014.
- [CT11] G. CUI, L. TAN, A characterization of hyperbolic rational maps, Invent. Math., 183 (2011), no. 3, 451–516.
- [CT18] G. CUI, L. TAN, Hyperbolic-parabolic Deformations of Rational Maps, Science China Mathematics, 61 (2018), no. 12, 2157–2220.
- [Dev13] R. L. DEVANEY, Singular perturbations of complex polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 50(2013), no.3, 391-429.
- [DFGJ14] R. L. DEVANEY, N. FAGELLA, A. GARIJO AND X. JARQUE, Sierpiński curve Julia sets for quadratic rational maps, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., 39 (2014), 3–22.
- [DH85] A. DOUADY AND J. HUBBARD, On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. Paris, 18 (1985), no. 4, 287–343.
- [DH93] A. DOUADY, J. H. HUBBARD, A proof of Thurston's topological characterization of rational functions, Acta Math., 171 (1993), 263–297.
- [Eps00] A. EPSTEIN, Bounded hyperbolic components of quadratic rational maps, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 20 (2000), no.3, 727–748.
- [FM11] B. FARB, D. MARGALIT, A primer on mapping class group, PMS-49, Princeton University Press 2011.
- [Gao19] Y. GAO, On the core entropy of Newton maps, arXiv:1906.01523.
- [GZ18] Y. GAO, J. ZENG, The landing of parameter rays at non-recurrent critical portraits, Sci China Math, 61 (2018), 2267–2282.

- [McM94] C. T. MCMULLEN, *The classification of conformal dynamical systems*, Current Developments in Mathematics, 1995 (Cambridge, MA), International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994, 323–360.
- [Mil93] J. MILNOR, *Geometry and dynamics of quadratic rational maps*, Experiment. Math. **2**(1993), no. 1, 37–83, with an appendix by the author and Tan Lei.
- [Mil11] J. MILNOR, Dynamics in One Complex Variable, Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [Mi12] J. MILNOR, Hyperbolic components, Contemp. Math., 573, Conformal dynamics and hyperbolic geometry, 183-232, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, with an appendix by A. Poirier.
- [Mo25] R. L. MOORE, Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1925), no.4, 416–428.

YAN GAO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, CHINA *E-mail address*: gyan@scu.edu.cn

Luxian Yang, School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China

E-mail address: yang_luxian@163.com

JINSONG ZENG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510006, CHINA

E-mail address: jinsongzeng@163.com