

# VORTICES OVER RIEMANN SURFACES AND DOMINATED SPLITTINGS

THOMAS METTLER AND GABRIEL P. PATERNAIN

ABSTRACT. We associate a flow  $\phi$  to a solution of the vortex equations on a closed oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(M, g)$  of negative Euler characteristic and investigate its properties. We show that  $\phi$  always admits a dominated splitting and identify special cases in which  $\phi$  is Anosov. In particular, starting from holomorphic differentials of fractional degree, we produce novel examples of Anosov flows on suitable roots of the unit tangent bundle of  $(M, g)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed oriented Riemannian 2-manifold of negative Euler characteristic and  $L \rightarrow M$  a complex line bundle of positive degree. For a triple consisting of a Hermitian bundle metric  $h$  on  $L$ , a del-bar operator  $\bar{\partial}_L$  on  $L$  and a (1,0)-form  $\varphi$  on  $M$  with values in  $L$ , we consider the following pair of equations

$$(1.1) \quad R(D) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \wedge \varphi^* + i\ell\Omega_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_L\varphi = 0.$$

Here we write  $\ell := \deg(L)/|\chi(M)|$ ,  $D$  denotes the Chern connection on  $L$  with respect to  $(h, \bar{\partial}_L)$ ,  $R(D)$  its curvature,  $\Omega_g$  the area form of  $g$  and  $\varphi^* := h(\cdot, \varphi)$ . We assume  $h$  to be conjugate linear in the second variable, so that  $\varphi^*$  is a (0,1)-form on  $M$  with values in the dual  $L^{-1}$  of  $L$ .

The pair (1.1) of equations are a minor variation of the Abelian vortex equations on a Riemann surface, hence we refer to them as *vortex equations* as well. The usual Abelian vortex equations concern a triple  $(h, \bar{\partial}_{L'}, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a section of a complex line bundle  $L'$  over an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(M, g)$ . Besides  $\Phi$  being holomorphic, one requests that the Chern connection  $D$  determined by  $(h, \bar{\partial}_{L'})$  satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad i\Lambda R(D) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi \otimes \Phi^* - \frac{c}{2} = 0,$$

where  $c$  is some real constant and  $\Lambda$  denotes the  $L^2$ -adjoint of wedging with the area form  $\Omega_g$ . The Abelian vortex equations are a modification of the GinzburgLandau model for superconductors and were first studied by Noguchi [16] and Bradlow [4] (for background, see also [12]). A general

framework for the so-called symplectic vortices over closed Riemann surfaces was described in [6].

Let  $K$  denote the canonical bundle of  $(M, g)$ . Since  $L$  has positive degree and  $\chi(M) < 0$ , there exist unique positive coprime integers  $(m, n)$  so that we have an isomorphism  $L^n \simeq K^m$  of complex line bundles. We fix an  $n$ -th root  $SM^{1/n}$  of the unit tangent bundle  $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$  of  $(M, g)$ . By this we mean a principal  $SO(2)$ -bundle  $\pi_n : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow M$  which is an equivariant  $n$ -fold cover of  $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$ , see [Section 2.2](#) below for details.

Following [5], we call three linearly independent vector fields  $(X, H, V)$  on a smooth 3-manifold  $N$  a *generalised Riemannian structure*, if they satisfy the commutator relations

$$[V, X] = H, \quad [V, H] = -X, \quad [X, H] = K_g V,$$

for some smooth function  $K_g$  on  $N$ . A (*generalised*) *thermostat* is a flow  $\phi$  on  $N$  which is generated by a vector field of the form  $X + \lambda V$ , where  $\lambda$  is a smooth function on  $N$ . The orientation and Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  equip  $SM^{1/n}$  with a generalised Riemannian structure and in [Section 4](#) we show how to associate a thermostat to a solution  $(h, \bar{\partial}_L, \varphi)$  of the vortex equations on  $L \rightarrow (M, g)$ . We call such flows *vortex thermostats*. The motivating example for our construction is the case  $L = K^2$  equipped with its standard complex structure. In this case  $\varphi$  corresponds to a holomorphic cubic differential and our flow is a  $C^1$  reparametrisation of the Hilbert geodesic flow of the associated divisible strictly convex set. We refer the reader to [15] and references therein for details. The Hilbert geodesic flow is known to be Anosov [3] and our goal here is to establish hyperbolicity properties for the more general class of vortex thermostats. By nature, thermostats are dissipative, i.e. in general they do not preserve a volume form. This creates some challenges when proving hyperbolicity, so at first we shall prove a weaker property, namely, that of having a *dominated splitting* (cf. [Section 3](#) for definitions and background). We show:

**Theorem A.** *Every vortex thermostat admits a dominated splitting. Moreover, if all closed orbits of  $\phi$  are hyperbolic saddles, then  $\phi$  is Anosov.*

The choice of an  $n$ -th root  $SM^{1/n}$  of  $SM$  gives a corresponding  $n$ -th root  $K^{1/n}$  of  $K$  and hence an isomorphism  $\mathcal{Z} : L \rightarrow K^{m/n}$  of complex line bundles. While  $\mathcal{Z}$  is in general not an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles, we can upgrade [Theorem A](#) as follows:

**Theorem B.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{Z} : L \rightarrow K^{m/n}$  is an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles, then the associated vortex thermostat is Anosov.*

We do not know if there is a vortex thermostat which is not Anosov.

As in the case of the usual vortex equations, the equations (1.1) are invariant under a suitable action of the complex gauge group of  $L$ , that is, the group  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  of automorphisms of  $L$ . We show that by possibly applying a complex gauge transformation, we can assume without losing generality that  $h = h_0$ , where  $h_0$  denotes the natural Hermitian bundle metric on  $L \simeq K^{m/n}$

determined by  $g$ . The 1-form  $\varphi$  is a section of  $K \otimes L \simeq K^{1+\ell}$  and hence we may think of  $\varphi/\ell$  as a differential  $A$  of fractional degree  $1 + \ell > 1$ . Furthermore, since  $K^{m/n} \simeq L$  as complex line bundles, there exists a unique 1-form  $\theta$  on  $M$  so that  $\bar{\partial}_{K^{m/n}} - \bar{\partial}_L = \ell\theta^{0,1}$ , where  $\theta^{0,1}$  denotes the  $(0,1)$ -part of  $\theta$ . By construction, the above isomorphism  $\mathcal{L}$  of complex line bundles is an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles if and only if  $\theta$  vanishes identically. In terms of the triple  $(g, A, \theta)$  the vortex equations (1.1) are equivalent to

$$K_g - \delta_g \theta = -1 + \ell |A|_g^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} A = \ell \theta^{0,1} \otimes A,$$

where  $|\cdot|_g$  denotes the pointwise norm induced on  $K^{1+\ell}$  by  $g$ ,  $\delta_g$  the co-differential and  $K_g$  the Gauss curvature of  $g$ . Thus, we recover the main equations from [15] (see also [13]), but now in the more general setting of fractional differentials. In particular, Theorem A and Theorem B above generalise the results from [15] to the case of differentials of fractional degree. Proving the Anosov property for fractional differential presents new obstacles, particularly those in the range  $0 < \ell < 1$ .

As in [15] our flows do not preserve a volume form, unless  $\varphi$  vanishes. More precisely, the proof of [15, Theorem 5.5] shows that under the hypotheses of Theorem B the associated vortex thermostat  $\phi$  preserves an absolutely continuous measure if and only if  $\varphi$  vanishes identically. This property implies that vortex thermostats as in Theorem B with  $\varphi \neq 0$  have positive entropy production and thus they provide interesting models in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics [7, 8, 17].

In Appendix A we briefly discuss the dominated splitting property for a thermostat that one can associate to the usual vortex equations.

**Acknowledgements.** TM was partially funded by the priority programme SPP 2026 ‘‘Geometry at Infinity’’ of DFG. GPP was partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/R001898/1.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. The unit tangent bundle.** Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold and let  $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$  denote its unit tangent bundle. Recall that  $SM$  is equipped with a coframing consisting of three linearly independent 1-forms  $(\underline{\omega}_1, \underline{\omega}_2, \underline{\psi})$ . The 1-forms  $(\underline{\omega}_1, \underline{\omega}_2)$  span the 1-forms on  $SM$  that are semibasic for the basepoint projection  $\pi$ , that is, the forms that vanish when evaluated on vertical vector fields. Explicitly, we have for all  $(x, v) \in SM$  and  $\xi \in T_{(x,v)}SM$

$$\underline{\omega}_1(\xi) = g(d\pi(\xi), v) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\omega}_2(\xi) = g(d\pi(\xi), Jv),$$

where  $J : TM \rightarrow TM$  denotes rotation by  $\pi/2$  in counter-clockwise direction with respect to the fixed orientation. The third 1-form  $\underline{\psi}$  is the Levi-Civita connection form of  $g$  so that we have the structure equations

$$d\underline{\omega}_1 = -\underline{\omega}_2 \wedge \underline{\psi}, \quad d\underline{\omega}_2 = -\underline{\psi} \wedge \underline{\omega}_1, \quad d\underline{\psi} = -K_g \underline{\omega}_1 \wedge \underline{\omega}_2,$$

where  $K_g$  denotes the (pullback to  $SM$  of the) Gauss curvature of  $g$ . Denoting by  $(\underline{X}, \underline{H}, \underline{V})$  the vector fields dual to  $(\underline{\omega}_1, \underline{\omega}_2, \underline{\psi})$ , the structure equations imply the commutator relations

$$(2.1) \quad [\underline{V}, \underline{X}] = \underline{H}, \quad [\underline{V}, \underline{H}] = -\underline{X}, \quad [\underline{X}, \underline{H}] = K_g \underline{V}.$$

The vector field  $\underline{X}$  is the geodesic vector field of  $(M, g)$  and  $\underline{V}$  is the generator of the  $SO(2)$  right action on  $SM$  which we denote by  $R_{e^{i\vartheta}}$  for  $e^{i\vartheta} \in SO(2)$ .

Note that a complex-valued 1-form on  $M$  that is a  $(1,0)$ -form with respect to the Riemann surface structure defined by  $J$  pulls back to  $SM$  to become a complex multiple of the form  $\underline{\omega} := \underline{\omega}_1 + i\underline{\omega}_2$ . The form  $\underline{\omega}$  satisfies the equivariance property  $(R_{e^{i\vartheta}})^* \underline{\omega} = e^{-i\vartheta} \underline{\omega}$  for all  $e^{i\vartheta} \in SO(2)$  and hence a section  $\beta$  of the canonical bundle  $K$  of  $M$  is represented by a complex-valued function  $\beta$  on  $SM$  satisfying the equivariance property  $(R_{e^{i\vartheta}})^* \beta = e^{i\vartheta} \beta$ . To recover the associated  $(1,0)$ -form on  $M$ , we observe that  $\beta \underline{\omega}$  is semi-basic and invariant under the  $SO(2)$ -right action, hence the pullback of a unique  $(1,0)$ -form on  $M$ , which is  $\beta$ .

**2.2. Roots of the unit tangent bundle.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\pi_n : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow M$  be a principal right  $SO(2)$ -bundle whose right action we denote by  $R_{e^{i\vartheta}}$  as well. Let  $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$  denote the unit tangent bundle of the oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(M, g)$  and  $(\underline{\omega}_1, \underline{\omega}_2, \underline{\psi})$  its coframing. We call  $\pi_n : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow M$  an  $n$ -th root of  $SM$  if there exists an  $n$ -fold covering map  $\rho : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow SM$  so that  $\pi_n = \pi \circ \rho$  and so that

$$\rho \circ R_{e^{i\vartheta}} = R_{e^{in\vartheta}} \circ \rho$$

for all  $e^{i\vartheta} \in SO(2)$ . We refer the reader to [9] for background about  $n$ -th roots of  $SM$ . We write  $\omega_i = \rho^* \underline{\omega}_i$  and  $\psi = \rho^* \underline{\psi}$  and let  $(X, H, \mathbb{V})$  denote the framing dual to  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \psi)$  on  $SM^{1/n}$ . The structure equations imply the usual commutator relations

$$(2.2) \quad [\mathbb{V}, X] = H, \quad [\mathbb{V}, H] = -X, \quad [X, H] = K_g \mathbb{V}.$$

Recall that a section  $\beta$  of the canonical bundle  $K$  of  $(M, g)$  is represented by a complex-valued function  $\beta$  on  $SM$  satisfying the equivariance property  $(R_{e^{i\vartheta}})^* \beta = e^{i\vartheta} \beta$ . Writing  $\tilde{\beta} := \beta \circ \rho$ , the function  $\tilde{\beta}$  satisfies  $R_{e^{i\vartheta}}^* \tilde{\beta} = e^{in\vartheta} \tilde{\beta}$  and hence we obtain a  $n$ -th root  $K^{1/n}$  of  $K$  whose sections are represented by complex-valued functions  $B$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  satisfying  $(R_{e^{i\vartheta}})^* B = e^{i\vartheta} B$  for all  $e^{i\vartheta} \in SO(2)$ . Likewise, for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the smooth sections of  $K^{m/n}$  are represented by smooth complex-valued functions  $B$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad (R_{e^{i\vartheta}})^* B = e^{im\vartheta} B$$

for all  $e^{i\vartheta} \in SO(2)$ . In particular, for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we obtain a Hermitian bundle metric  $h_0$  on  $K^{m/n}$  defined by

$$(B_1, B_2) \mapsto B_1 \overline{B_2},$$

where  $B_1, B_2$  represent sections of  $K^{m/n}$ .

Furthermore, observe that by definition,  $\mathbb{V}$  is only  $(1/n)$ -th of the generator  $V$  of the  $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ -action on  $SM^{1/n}$ . As a consequence, the infinitesimal version of (2.3) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{V}B = \frac{1}{n}VB = i\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)B$$

and hence the map

$$B \mapsto dB - i\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\psi B$$

equips  $K^{m/n}$  with a connection  $\nabla$  whose connection form is  $-i(m/n)\psi$ . The  $(0,1)$ -part  $\nabla''$  of  $\nabla$  equips  $K^{m/n}$  with a holomorphic line bundle structure  $\bar{\partial}_{K^{m/n}}$ , so that  $\nabla$  is the Chern connection of the Hermitian holomorphic line bundle  $(K^{m/n}, \bar{\partial}_{K^{m/n}}, h_0)$ .

Finally, note that applying  $\mathbb{V}$  again to (2.4) shows that we may write  $B = \frac{n\mathbb{V}b}{m} + ib$  for a unique real-valued function  $b$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  satisfying  $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{V}b = -\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^2 b$ . Conversely, if a smooth real-valued function  $b$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{V}b = -\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^2 b$ , then  $B := \frac{n\mathbb{V}b}{m} + ib$  represents a smooth section  $B$  of  $K^{m/n}$ .

**2.3. Thermostats.** Let  $N$  be a smooth 3-manifold equipped with three smooth vector fields  $(X, H, V)$  that are linearly independent at each point of  $N$ . Following [5] we define:

**Definition 2.1.** We say  $N$  carries a *generalised Riemannian structure* if  $(X, H, V)$  satisfy the commutator relations

$$(2.5) \quad [V, X] = H, \quad [V, H] = -X, \quad [X, H] = K_g V,$$

for some smooth function  $K_g$  on  $N$ .

*Example 2.2.* Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold and  $\pi_n : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow M$  an  $n$ -th root of its unit tangent bundle  $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$ . Then  $(X, H, \mathbb{V})$  defined as in Section 2.2 equip  $N = SM^{1/n}$  with a generalised Riemannian structure.

Suppose  $N$  carries a generalised Riemannian structure  $(X, H, V)$  with dual 1-forms  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \psi)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A (*generalised*) *thermostat* on  $N$  is a flow  $\phi$  generated by a vector field of the form  $F := X + \lambda V$ , where  $\lambda \in C^\infty(N)$ .

### 3. DOMINATED SPLITTINGS AND HYPERBOLICITY

In this section we summarize the main dynamical set up that we shall use; in the first three subsections we follow closely the presentation in [15].

**3.1. Definitions.** Let  $N$  be a smooth closed 3-manifold and  $\phi : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$  a continuous flow. A *cocycle over  $\phi$  with values in  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$*  is a continuous map  $\Psi : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\Psi_{t_1+t_2}(x) = \Psi_{t_1}(\phi_{t_2}(x))\Psi_{t_2}(x)$$

for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in N$ . Note that the cocycle condition ensures that on the trival vector bundle  $E = N \times \mathbb{R}^2$  we obtain a continuous linear flow  $\rho : E \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$  by defining

$$\rho_t((x, a)) = (\phi_t(x), \Psi_t(x)a)$$

for all  $(x, a) \in E = N \times \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We say  $E$  admits a continuous  $\rho$ -invariant splitting if there exist continuous  $\rho$ -invariant line bundles  $E^{s,u}$  so that  $E = E^u \oplus E^s$ . We fix a norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The cocycle  $\Psi$  is said to be *hyperbolic* if there exists a continuous  $\rho$ -invariant splitting  $(E^s, E^u)$  and positive constants  $C, \mu > 0$  so that

$$\|\Psi_t(x)|_{E^s(x)}\| \leq Ce^{-\mu t} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Psi_{-t}(x)|_{E^u(x)}\| \leq Ce^{-\mu t}$$

for all  $x \in N$  and  $t > 0$ .

Here  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm induced on  $\mathrm{Hom}(E^{s,u}(x), E^{s,u}(\phi_t(x)))$  by the norm  $|\cdot|$ , respectively. A weaker notion than that of hyperbolicity is to ask that for all  $x \in N$ , any direction not contained in the suspace  $E^s(x)$  converges exponentially fast to  $E^u(\phi_t(x))$  when applying  $\rho_t(x)$ . This condition is equivalent to the following notion:

**Definition 3.2.** The cocycle  $\Psi$  is said to admit a *dominated splitting* if there exists a continuous  $\rho$ -invariant splitting  $(E^u, E^s)$  and positive constants  $C, \mu > 0$  so that

$$(3.1) \quad \|\Psi_t(x)|_{E^s(x)}\| \|\Psi_{-t}(\phi_t(x))|_{E^u(\phi_t(x))}\| \leq Ce^{-\mu t}$$

for all  $x \in N$  and  $t > 0$ .

**3.2. The derivative cocycle of a thermostat.** Suppose the closed 3-manifold  $N$  is equipped with a generalised Riemannian structure and a thermostat  $\phi$  generated by the vector field  $F = X + \lambda V$  as above. Using the bracket relations (2.5), it is straightforward to derive the ODEs dictating the behavior of  $d\phi_t$ . Given an initial condition  $\xi \in T_x N$  and if we write

$$d\phi_t(\xi) = w(t)F(\phi_t(x)) + y(t)H(\phi_t(x)) + u(t)V(\phi_t(x))$$

for real-valued functions  $w, y, u$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad \dot{w} = \lambda y;$$

$$(3.3) \quad \dot{y} = u;$$

$$(3.4) \quad \dot{u} = V(\lambda)y - \kappa y,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \kappa := K_g - H\lambda + \lambda^2.$$

In order to associate a cocycle to a thermostat we consider the rank two quotient vector bundle  $E = TN/\mathbb{R}F \simeq \mathbb{R}H \oplus \mathbb{R}V$ . Elements in  $E$  will be denoted by  $[\xi]$ , where  $\xi \in TN$ . The mapping  $d\phi_t$  descends to define a mapping

$$\rho : \mathbb{R} \times E \rightarrow E, \quad (t, [\xi]) \mapsto \rho(t, [\xi]) = [d\phi_t(\xi)]$$

which satisfies  $\rho_{t_1} \circ \rho_{t_2} = \rho_{t_1+t_2}$  for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is sometimes called the *linear Poincaré flow*. The basis of vector fields  $(F, H, V)$  on  $N$  defines a vector bundle isomorphism  $TN \simeq N \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and consequently an identification  $E \simeq N \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore, we obtain a cocycle  $\Psi : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  over  $\phi$  by requiring that for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $(x, a) \in E$ , we have

$$\rho_t((x, a)) = (\phi_t(x), \Psi_t(x)a).$$

Explicitly,  $\Psi_t$  is the linear map whose action on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is

$$\Psi_t(x) : \begin{pmatrix} y(0) \\ \dot{y}(0) \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} y(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\ddot{y}(t) - (V\lambda)(\phi_t(x))\dot{y}(t) + \kappa(\phi_t(x))y(t) = 0.$$

Observe that for thermostats the 2-plane bundle spanned by  $H$  and  $V$  is in general *not* invariant under  $d\phi_t$ .

The cocycle  $\Psi_t$  is hyperbolic if and only if the thermostat flow  $\phi_t$  is Anosov (cf. for instance [19, Proposition 5.1]). We will say that  $\phi_t$  admits a dominated splitting if  $\Psi_t$  admits a dominated splitting. This is the natural notion for flows, see [1, Definition 1]. For the case of flows on 3-manifolds, as it is our case, the existence of a dominated splitting can produce hyperbolicity if additional information on the closed orbits is available. Indeed [1, Theorem B] implies that if all closed orbits of  $\phi$  are hyperbolic saddles, then  $N = \Lambda \cup \mathcal{T}$  where  $\Lambda$  is a hyperbolic invariant set and  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of finitely many normally hyperbolic irrational tori.

Flows with dominated splitting are also called *projectively Anosov flows*. We note that when the flow  $\phi$  admits a dominated splitting we may write  $TN = \tilde{E}^s + \tilde{E}^u$ , where  $\tilde{E}^{s,u}$  are continuous plane bundles invariant under  $d\phi_t$  and whose intersection is  $\mathbb{R}F$ . In general they are integrable but unlike the Anosov case, they may not be uniquely integrable. Also note that the irrational tori in  $\mathcal{T}$  must be tangent to  $\tilde{E}^s$  or  $\tilde{E}^u$  due to the domination condition. We refer to [2] and references therein for a classification of these flows when the bundles  $\tilde{E}^{s,u}$  are of class  $C^2$  (in which case they do determine codimension one foliations of class  $C^2$ ).

**3.3. Infinitesimal generators and conjugate cocycles.** For a smooth cocycle  $\Psi : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , we define its infinitesimal generator  $\mathbb{B} : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  as follows

$$\mathbb{B}(x) := - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Psi_t(x).$$

The cocycle  $\Psi$  can be obtained from  $\mathbb{B}$  as the unique solution to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi_t(x) + \mathbb{B}(\phi_t(x))\Psi_t(x) = 0, \quad \Psi_0(x) = \text{Id}.$$

In the case of thermostats, it is easy to check that we have

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \kappa & -V\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a gauge, that is, a smooth map  $\mathcal{P} : N \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , we obtain a new cocycle by conjugation

$$\tilde{\Psi}_t(x) = \mathcal{P}^{-1}(\phi_t(x))\Psi_t(x)\mathcal{P}(x).$$

It is straightforward to check that the infinitesimal generator  $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$  of  $\tilde{\Psi}_t$  is related to  $\mathbb{B}$  by

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{B}} = \mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathbb{B}\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}^{-1}F\mathcal{P}.$$

Below we shall use gauges of a particular type. Consider a gauge transformation  $\mathcal{P} : N \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A computation using (3.6) shows that the conjugate cocycle  $\tilde{\Psi}_t$  via  $\mathcal{P}$  has infinitesimal generator given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} -p & -1 \\ \kappa_p & -V\lambda + p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the cocycles  $\Psi_t$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}_t$  are conjugate, they have the same dominated splitting/hyperbolicity properties, but the form of  $\tilde{\mathbb{B}}$  will expose the origins of these properties when  $\kappa_p < 0$  (cf. [20, Introduction]). In both cases, the trace of the matrix is  $-V\lambda$  (minus divergence of  $F$ ), giving an indication that  $F$  may not preserve volume.

**3.4. Conditions ensuring domination and hyperbolicity.** We have [15, Theorem 3.7]:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $N$  be a closed 3-manifold that is equipped with a generalised Riemannian structure  $(X, H, V)$  and a thermostat flow  $\phi$  generated by  $F = X + \lambda V$ . Suppose there exists a smooth function  $p : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\kappa_p := \kappa + Fp + p(p - V\lambda) < 0.$$

*Then  $\phi$  admits a dominated splitting with  $V \notin E^{s,u}$ .*

*Remark 3.4.* More precisely, in [15, Theorem 3.7], only the case of a thermostat on the unit tangent bundle of an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(M, g)$  is considered. However, it is easy to check that the arguments in [15, Theorem 3.7] also prove **Theorem 3.3**.



The fact that  $V \notin E^{s,u}$  implies that there are uniquely defined continuous (Hölder in fact) functions  $r^{s,u} : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $H + r^{s,u}V \in E^{s,u}$ . The invariance of the bundles  $E^{s,u}$  translates into Riccati equations for  $r^{s,u}$  of the form:

$$Fr + r^2 - rV\lambda + \kappa = 0.$$

Observe that  $h := r - p$  satisfies the Riccati equation

$$(3.7) \quad Fh + h^2 + h(2p - V\lambda) + \kappa_p = 0.$$

Moreover, the functions  $r^{u,s}$  can be constructed using a limiting procedure as follows. Fix  $x \in N$  and consider for each  $R > 0$ , the unique solution  $u_R$  to the Riccati equation along  $\phi_t(x)$

$$\dot{u} + u^2 - uV\lambda + \kappa = 0$$

satisfying  $u_R(-R) = \infty$ . Then

$$(3.8) \quad r^u(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} u_R(0).$$

Note that  $r^u(\phi_t(x)) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} u_R(t)$ .

Finally, under the assumption in **Theorem 3.3** that  $\kappa_p < 0$  we get the important additional information that  $h^u := r^u - p > 0$  and  $h^s := r^s - p < 0$ . We call these the positive and negative Hopf solutions given that they play a similar role as the solutions introduced by E. Hopf in [11] for the geodesic flow.

The property  $V \notin E^{s,u}$  allows a convenient visualization of the domination condition in terms of the behaviour of solutions to the Riccati equation as depicted in **Figure 1**. The reader might find this figure useful when following




FIGURE 1. Dominated splitting property

some of the arguments below, particularly the proof of **Lemma 5.1**. To prove that our flows are Anosov we shall use the following lemma that “upgrades” the domination condition to hyperbolicity under additional information on the solutions  $r^{s,u}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Under the same assumptions as in **Theorem 3.3**, suppose in addition that either*

- (1)  $r^u > 0$  and  $r^s < 0$ ; or

$$(2) \quad V\lambda - p - \frac{\kappa_p}{r^u - p} > 0 \text{ and } V\lambda - p - \frac{\kappa_p}{r^s - p} < 0.$$

Then  $\phi_t$  is Anosov.

*Proof.* We first consider (1). For a given initial condition  $(y(0), \dot{y}(0)) \in E^u$  we know that under the cocycle  $\Phi_t$ , we have  $\dot{y} = r^u y$ . If  $r^u > 0$  we can find a uniform constant  $\mu > 0$  such that  $|y(-t)| \leq e^{-\mu t} |y(0)|$  for  $t > 0$ . This gives uniform exponential growth for  $\Psi_t$  on  $E^u$ . Arguing with  $r^s < 0$  we get uniform exponential contraction for  $\Psi_t$  on  $E^s$  thus showing that  $\Psi_t$  is hyperbolic.

Assume now condition (2) and consider a solution with initial conditions  $(y(0), \dot{y}(0)) \in E^u$ . Then  $\dot{y} = r^u y$  and let  $z := (r^u - p)y$  (recall that  $r^u - p > 0$ ). Then a calculation shows that  $\dot{z} = (V\lambda - p)z - \kappa_p y = (V\lambda - p - \frac{\kappa_p}{r^u - p})z$ . This gives exponential growth for  $z$  and hence the desired exponential growth for  $\Psi_t$  on  $E^u$ . Arguing in a similar way with  $E^s$ , we deduce that  $\Psi_t$  is hyperbolic.  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* In [15] we used condition (1) to prove that thermostat flows with  $\theta = 0$  are Anosov when  $\ell$  is an integer  $\geq 1$ . Remarkably, for the case of fractional differentials in the range  $0 < \ell < 1$ , we will crucially need alternative (2).

While we shall not use the next proposition, it complements **Theorem 3.3** quite nicely and it gives an indication of the importance of the property  $V \notin E^{s,u}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *Suppose the thermostat determined by  $\lambda$  is such that  $\Psi_t$  admits a continuous invariant splitting  $E = E^u \oplus E^s$  with  $V \notin E^{u,s}$ . Then the splitting is dominated and there exists a hyperbolic  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -cocycle  $\Psi_t^{hyp}$  such that*

$$\Psi_t = e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t V\lambda} \Psi_t^{hyp}.$$

*Proof.* We know that the existence of a splitting with  $V \notin E^{u,s}$  gives rise to two continuous functions  $r^{u,s} : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the Riccati equation

$$Fr + r^2 - rV\lambda + \kappa = 0.$$

Moreover,  $r^u - r^s \neq 0$ .

Recall that the infinitesimal generator for the cocycle  $\Psi_t$  is:

$$\mathbb{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \kappa & -V\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a gauge transformation  $\mathcal{D} : N \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $p = \frac{V\lambda}{2}$ . Then the conjugate cocycle  $\tilde{\Psi}_t$  via  $\mathcal{D}$  has infinitesimal generator given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{B}} = -\frac{1}{2}V\lambda \text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \kappa_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To complete the proof we need to prove that the cocycle generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \kappa_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is hyperbolic. Note that  $h^{u,s} := r^{u,s} - p$  satisfies the Riccati equation

$$Fh + h^2 + \kappa_p = 0.$$

The quadratic form

$$Q(a, b) = 2ab - ([h^u]^2 + [h^s]^2)a^2$$

has the property that

$$\dot{Q} = (b - h^u a)^2 + (b - h^s a)^2 > 0$$

unless  $a = b = 0$ . (Note that  $\dot{b} + \kappa_p a = 0$  and  $\dot{a} = b$ .) Now the hyperbolicity follows for instance from [20, Proposition 4.1 & Theorem 4.4].  $\square$

#### 4. THERMOSTATS FROM VORTICES

**4.1. The vortex equations.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed oriented Riemannian 2-manifold of negative Euler characteristic and  $\nu : L \rightarrow M$  a complex line bundle of positive degree. For a triple consisting of a Hermitian bundle metric  $h$  on  $L$ , a del-bar operator  $\bar{\partial}_L$  on  $L$ , and a  $(1,0)$ -form  $\varphi$  on  $M$  with values in  $L$ , we consider the following pair of equations

$$R(D) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \wedge \varphi^* + i\ell\Omega_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_L\varphi = 0.$$

Here we write  $\ell := \deg(L)/|\chi(M)|$ ,  $D$  denotes the Chern connection on  $L$  with respect to  $(h, \bar{\partial}_L)$ ,  $R(D)$  its curvature,  $\Omega_g$  the area form of  $g$  and the 1-form  $\varphi^*$  with values in the dual  $L^{-1}$  of  $L$  is defined by

$$\varphi^*(v)(\xi) := h(\xi, \varphi(v))$$

for all  $x \in M$ ,  $v \in TM$  and  $\xi \in \nu^{-1}(\{x\})$ . We assume  $h$  to be conjugate linear in the second variable, so that  $\varphi^*$  is an  $L^{-1}$ -valued  $(0,1)$ -form. We extend the wedge-product to bundle-valued forms in the standard way, so that for  $\varphi \in \Omega^1(L)$  and  $\varrho \in \Omega^1(L^{-1})$ , we have

$$(\varphi \wedge \varrho)(v, w) = \varrho(w)\varphi(v) - \varrho(v)\varphi(w)$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $v, w \in T_x M$ . In particular, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \wedge \varphi^*)(v, w) &= h(\varphi(v), \varphi(w)) - h(\varphi(w), \varphi(v)) \\ &= h(\varphi(v), \varphi(w)) - \overline{h(\varphi(v), \varphi(w))} = 2i \operatorname{Im} h(\varphi(v), \varphi(w)) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\varphi \wedge \varphi^*$  is a purely imaginary  $(1,1)$ -form on  $M$ .

The *complex gauge group*  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $L$  is the group of automorphisms of  $L$  (covering the identity on  $M$ ) and the *gauge group*  $G$  of  $(L, h)$  consists of the automorphisms of  $L$  that are unitary with respect to  $h$ . Since an automorphism of a one-dimensional complex vector space is just a non-vanishing complex number, we have  $G_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$  and  $G \simeq C^\infty(M, \operatorname{U}(1))$ , the smooth functions on  $M$  with values in the one-dimensional unitary group

U(1). An element  $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_\mathbb{C}$  acts on a Hermitian bundle metric  $h$  on  $L$  by the rule

$$(4.1) \quad \tau \cdot h = |\tau|^2 h$$

and on  $\varphi \in \Omega^{p,q}(L)$  by the rule

$$(4.2) \quad \tau \cdot \varphi = \tau^{-1} \varphi.$$

We define an action on the space of del-bar operators on  $L$  by

$$(4.3) \quad \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L = \bar{\partial}_L + \tau^{-1} \bar{\partial} \tau.$$

Writing  $D_{\bar{h}, \bar{\partial}_L}$  for the Chern connection on  $L$  determined by the Hermitian metric  $h$  and del-bar operator  $\bar{\partial}_L$ , we obtain:

**Lemma 4.1.** *For a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle  $(L, h, \bar{\partial}_L)$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{G}_\mathbb{C}$  we have the following identities:*

- (i)  $R(D_{\tau \cdot h, \bar{\partial}_L}) = R(D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}) - 2\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\tau|,$
- (ii)  $R(D_{h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L}) = R(D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}) + 2\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\tau|.$

*Proof.* (i) : Let  $s : U \rightarrow L$  be a local non-vanishing holomorphic section of  $L$ . We write  $u := h(s, s)$  and let  $\theta \in \Omega_U^1$  denote the connection form of the Chern connection  $D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}$  with respect to  $s$ . Recall that  $\theta = u^{-1} \partial u$ . Therefore, the connection form  $\theta'$  of the Chern connection  $D_{\tau \cdot h, \bar{\partial}_L}$  with respect to  $s$  satisfies

$$\theta' = (|\tau|^2 u)^{-1} \partial (|\tau|^2 u) = \theta + 2\partial \log |\tau|$$

The curvature thus becomes

$$d\theta' = d\theta - 2\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\tau|$$

which proves (i). In order to prove (ii) we first remark that the connection

$$D = D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L} + \tau^{-1} \bar{\partial} \tau$$

satisfies  $D'' = D''_{h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L}$  and thus so does

$$\nabla = D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L} + \tau^{-1} \bar{\partial} \tau - \bar{\tau}^{-1} \partial \bar{\tau}$$

as we have added a (1,0)-form. By definition the Chern connection  $D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}$  is compatible with  $h$  and hence so is  $\nabla$ , as we have added a purely imaginary 1-form. Therefore  $\nabla$  is compatible with  $h$  and satisfies  $\nabla'' = D''_{h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L}$ , so it must be the Chern connection  $D_{h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L}$ . For the curvature we obtain

$$R(D_{h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L}) = R(D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}) + d(\tau^{-1} \bar{\partial} \tau - \bar{\tau}^{-1} \partial \bar{\tau}) = R(D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}) + 2\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\tau|. \quad \square$$

We now have:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $L \rightarrow M$  be a complex line bundle on the oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(M, g)$  and  $\ell := \deg(L)/|\chi(M)|$ . Then the triple  $(h, \bar{\partial}_L, \varphi)$  satisfies*

$$R(D) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi \wedge \varphi^* + i\ell \Omega_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_L \varphi = 0$$

if and only if  $(\tau \cdot h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L, \tau \cdot \varphi)$  does.

*Proof.* We observe that for all  $v, w \in TM$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\tau \cdot \varphi) \wedge (\tau \cdot \varphi)^{*_{\tau \cdot h}})(v, w) &= |\tau|^2 h(\tau^{-1}\varphi(w), \tau^{-1}\varphi(v)) \\ - |\tau|^2 h(\tau^{-1}\varphi(v), \tau^{-1}\varphi(w)) &= |\tau|^2 \tau^{-1} \overline{\tau^{-1}}(\varphi \wedge \varphi^{*h})(v, w) = (\varphi \wedge \varphi^{*h})(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\varphi \wedge \varphi^* \in \Omega^{1,1}$  is invariant under complex gauge transformations. Now [Lemma 4.1](#) immediately implies that  $R(D_{h, \bar{\partial}_L}) = R(D_{\tau \cdot h, \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L})$  thus showing the invariance of the first equation. Likewise, we immediately obtain

$$(\tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L)(\tau \cdot \varphi) = \tau \cdot \bar{\partial}_L \varphi,$$

so that the equation

$$\bar{\partial}_L \varphi = 0$$

is preserved under the action of the complex gauge group.  $\square$

**4.2. The vortex equations on a root of  $SM$ .** Since  $L$  has positive degree and  $\chi(M) < 0$ , there exist unique positive coprime integers  $(m, n)$  so that we have an isomorphism  $L^n \simeq K^m$  of complex line bundles. We fix an  $n$ -th root  $SM^{1/n}$  of the unit tangent bundle  $SM$  of  $(M, g)$  and let  $K^{1/n}$  denote the corresponding  $n$ -th root of  $K$ , so that we have an isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} : L \rightarrow K^{m/n}$  of complex line bundles. Note that such a root exists since  $n$  divides  $\chi(M)$ . We equip  $SM^{1/n}$  with the generalised Riemannian structure  $(X, H, \mathbb{V})$  as in [Example 2.2](#). We may write  $h = e^{2f} h_0$  for a unique smooth real-valued function  $f$  on  $M$ . Abusing notation, we also use the letter  $f$  to denote the pullback of  $f$  to  $SM^{1/n}$ . Recall that the space of del-bar operators on a line bundle  $L \rightarrow M$  is an affine space modelled on  $\Omega^{0,1}$ . Therefore, without losing generality, we can assume that there exists a 1-form  $\theta$  on  $M$  so that

$$(4.4) \quad \bar{\partial}_L = \bar{\partial}_{K^{m/n}} - \ell \theta^{0,1},$$

where  $\theta^{0,1} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta - i \star_g \theta) \in \Omega^{0,1}$  denotes the  $(0,1)$ -part of  $\theta$  and  $g$  the Hodge star with respect to  $g$ . We may also think of  $\theta$  as a real-valued function on  $SM$  and abusing notation, we also write  $\theta$  to denote its pullback to  $SM^{1/n}$ . Note that the function  $\theta$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{V}\theta = -\theta$ . The pullback of  $\theta^{0,1}$  to  $SM^{1/n}$  can be expressed as  $\frac{1}{2}(\theta + i\mathbb{V}\theta)\bar{\omega}$ , where we write  $\omega = \omega_1 + i\omega_2$  and  $\bar{\omega} = \omega_1 - i\omega_2$ . Therefore, the connection form  $\zeta$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  of the Chern connection  $D$  of  $(L, \bar{\partial}_L, h)$  can be written as

$$\zeta = -i\ell\psi + w\omega - \frac{\ell}{2}(\theta + i\mathbb{V}\theta)\bar{\omega}$$

for some unique complex-valued function  $w$  on  $SM^{1/n}$ . On  $SM^{1/n}$ , the condition that  $D$  preserves  $h = e^{2f} h_0$  translates to

$$d\left(e^{2f} \mathbf{B}_1 \overline{\mathbf{B}_2}\right) = e^{2f} \left( (d\mathbf{B}_1 + \zeta \mathbf{B}_1) \overline{\mathbf{B}_2} + \mathbf{B}_1 (d\overline{\mathbf{B}_2} + \overline{\zeta} \overline{\mathbf{B}_2}) \right)$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{B}_2$  represent arbitrary smooth sections of  $L$ . A straightforward calculation yields

$$\zeta = -i\ell\psi + \left( \frac{\ell}{2}(\theta - i\mathbb{V}\theta) + Xf - iHf \right) \omega - \frac{\ell}{2}(\theta + i\mathbb{V}\theta)\bar{\omega}.$$

The  $(1,0)$ -form  $\varphi$  with values in  $L$  is a section of  $K \otimes L \simeq K^{(n+m)/n}$ , so that on  $SM^{1/n}$  the form  $\varphi$  is represented by a complex-valued 1-form  $\varphi$ , which we may write as

$$\varphi = \ell \left( \frac{\mathbb{V}a}{1+\ell} + ia \right) \omega,$$

where the real-valued function  $a$  satisfies  $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{V}a = -(1+\ell)^2a$ , since  $\ell = m/n$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *We have  $\bar{\partial}_L\varphi = 0$  if and only if*

$$(4.5) \quad 0 = X\mathbb{V}a - (1+\ell)Ha - \ell\theta\mathbb{V}a + \ell(1+\ell)a\mathbb{V}\theta.$$

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is complex one-dimensional, the condition  $\bar{\partial}_L\varphi = 0$  is equivalent to  $\varphi$  being covariant constant with respect to the Chern connection  $D$  of  $(L, h, \bar{\partial}_L)$ . On  $SM^{1/n}$  this translates to

$$0 = d\varphi + \zeta \wedge \varphi.$$

Since  $\zeta$  defines a connection on  $L$ , terms involving  $\psi$  will cancel each other out and hence we can compute modulo  $\psi$ . We obtain

$$\zeta \wedge \varphi = \frac{\ell^2}{2} \left( \frac{\mathbb{V}a}{(1+\ell)} + ia \right) (\theta + i\mathbb{V}\theta) \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \quad \text{mod } \psi$$

We define

$$W_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(X \mp iH).$$

Note that  $(W_+, W_-, \mathbb{V})$  is the dual basis to  $(\omega, \bar{\omega}, \psi)$ . Hence we obtain

$$d\varphi = \ell W_- \left( \frac{\mathbb{V}a}{1+\ell} + ia \right) \bar{\omega} \wedge \omega = -\frac{\ell}{2}(X + iH) \left( \frac{\mathbb{V}a}{1+\ell} + ia \right) \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \quad \text{mod } \psi$$

The vanishing of the imaginary part of  $d\varphi + \zeta \wedge \varphi$  is thus equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\ell}{1+\ell} X\mathbb{V}a - \ell Ha - \frac{\ell^2}{1+\ell} \theta\mathbb{V}a + \ell^2 a\mathbb{V}\theta \\ &= \frac{\ell}{1+\ell} \left( X\mathbb{V}a - (1+\ell)Ha - \ell\theta\mathbb{V}a + \ell(1+\ell)a\mathbb{V}\theta \right), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

Conversely, if  $a, \theta$  satisfy (4.5), then applying  $\mathbb{V}$  and using the commutator relations (2.2) as well as  $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{V}a = -(1+\ell)^2a$  and  $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{V}\theta = -\theta$  easily recovers that the real part of  $d\varphi + \zeta \wedge \varphi$  must vanish as well.  $\square$

Writing

$$\mathbf{A} := \frac{\mathbb{V}a}{1+\ell} + ia,$$

we obtain:

**Lemma 4.4.** *We have  $R(D) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \wedge \varphi^* + i\ell\Omega_g = 0$  if and only if*

$$(4.6) \quad K_g + X\theta + H\nabla\theta = -1 + \ell e^{2f}|\mathbf{A}|^2 - \frac{1}{\ell}(XXf + HHf)$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $\varphi^* \in \Omega^{0,1}(L^{-1})$  is represented by

$$\varphi^* = e^{2f}\bar{\varphi} = e^{2f}\ell\bar{\mathbf{A}}\bar{\omega}$$

so that  $\varphi \wedge \varphi^*$  is represented by

$$\varphi \wedge \varphi^* = \ell^2 e^{2f}|\mathbf{A}|^2 \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}.$$

Note that the pullback to  $SM^{1/n}$  of the area form  $\Omega_g$  of  $g$  becomes  $\frac{i}{2}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}$ . Again, since  $\zeta$  is the connection form of a connection, the  $\psi$ -terms will cancel each other out in the curvature expression  $d\zeta$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta &= -\frac{\ell}{2} \left( K_g + W_-(\theta - i\nabla\theta) + W_+(\theta + i\nabla\theta) + \frac{4}{\ell}W_-W_+f \right) \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \\ &= -\frac{\ell}{2} \left( K_g + X\theta + H\nabla\theta + \frac{1}{\ell}(XXf + HHf) \right) \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that  $Xf - iHf = 2W_+f$  and the structure equation

$$d\psi = -\frac{i}{2}K_g\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}.$$

In total, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \wedge \varphi^* + i\ell\frac{i}{2}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} &= -\frac{\ell}{2} \left( K_g + X\theta + H\nabla\theta + \frac{1}{\ell}(XXf + HHf) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \ell e^{2f}|\mathbf{A}|^2 + 1 \right) \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.  $\square$

**4.3. Fractional differentials.** Note that we may think of  $\varphi/\ell$  as a section of  $K \otimes L \simeq K^{(n+m)/n}$  which we denote by  $A$ . Thus, we may interpret  $A$  as a differential of fractional degree  $(n+m)/n = 1 + \ell$ . Recall that the choice of an  $n$ -th root  $SM^{1/n}$  of  $SM$  equips  $K^{(n+m)/n}$  with a Hermitian bundle metric which we denote by  $h_0$ . Defining  $|A|_g^2 := h_0(A, A)$ , the pullback of the function  $|A|_g^2$  to  $SM^{1/n}$  is  $|\mathbf{A}|^2$ . Moreover, the co-differential  $\delta_g\theta$  of  $\theta$  with respect to  $g$  pulls-back to  $SM^{1/n}$  to become  $-X\theta - H\nabla\theta$  and the Laplacian  $\Delta_g f$  of  $f$  with respect to  $g$  pulls-back to  $SM^{1/n}$  to become  $XXf + HHf$ . Using this notation, the equation (4.6) can be written as

$$K_g - \delta_g\theta = -1 + \ell e^{2f}|A|_g^2 - \frac{1}{\ell}\Delta_g f.$$

Observe also that since  $\bar{\partial}_L\varphi = 0$ , the equation (4.4) implies

$$\bar{\partial}_{K^{1+\ell}A} = \ell\theta^{0,1} \otimes A.$$

**4.4. The thermostat.** In order to associate a thermostat on  $SM^{1/n}$  to a solution of the vortex equation, we first consider as a motivating example the case  $L = K^2$ . In this case  $n = 1$  and  $m = 2$  so that no choice of a root of  $SM$  is necessary. We may take  $\bar{\partial}_L$  to be the del-bar operator on  $K^2$  induced by the metric  $g$ , that is, we choose  $\theta$  to vanish identically. Furthermore we choose  $h$  to be  $h_0$  so that  $f$  vanishes identically as well. Thinking of  $\varphi$  as a section of  $K \otimes L \simeq K^3$ , we obtain a cubic differential  $A$ , and the vortex equations become

$$K_g = -1 + 2|A|_g^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_{K^3} A = 0.$$

In particular, the cubic differential  $A$  is holomorphic with respect to the standard holomorphic line bundle structure on  $K^3$ . Now observe that  $L$  admits a square root  $L^{1/2} \simeq K$  and hence we may interpret  $\varphi/2$  as a section of  $K \otimes \text{Hom}(L^{-1/2}, L^{1/2})$ . Using the Hermitian metric induced by  $h_0$  on  $L^{1/2} \simeq K$ , we may identify  $L^{1/2} \simeq \overline{L^{-1/2}}$ . As a real vector bundle  $\overline{L^{-1/2}}$  is isomorphic to  $L^{-1/2}$ . Therefore, we may interpret  $\varphi/2$  as a 1-form on  $M$  with values in the endomorphisms of  $L^{-1/2}$ , thought of as a real vector bundle. Identifying  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$  in the usual way, multiplication with the complex number  $z$ , thought of as a linear map  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , has matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Re } z & -\text{Im } z \\ \text{Im } z & \text{Re } z \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Taking into account the identification  $L^{1/2} \simeq \overline{L^{-1/2}}$ , which just amounts to complex conjugation, the 1-form  $\varphi/2$  is thus represented by

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re } \varphi & -\text{Im } \varphi \\ \text{Im } \varphi & \text{Re } \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re } \varphi & -\text{Im } \varphi \\ -\text{Im } \varphi & -\text{Re } \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Chern connection on  $L$  induces a connection on  $L^{-1/2}$  whose connection form is  $-(1/2)\zeta$ . Adding  $\varphi/2$  to this connection, thought of as a connection on the real vector bundle  $L^{-1/2}$ , we obtain a connection  $\nabla$  with connection form

$$\Upsilon = (\Upsilon_j^i) = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re}(\zeta - \varphi) & -\text{Im}(\zeta - \varphi) \\ \text{Im}(\zeta + \varphi) & \text{Re}(\zeta + \varphi) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $L^{-1/2} \simeq K^{-1}$ , the vector bundle  $L^{-1/2}$ , as a real vector bundle, is isomorphic to the tangent bundle of  $M$ . Thus  $\Upsilon$  defines a connection  $\nabla$  on  $TM$  and in [14, Lemma 3.1] it is shown that the orbits of the thermostat  $\phi$  on  $SM$  defined by the condition  $F \lrcorner \Upsilon_1^2 = 0$  project to  $M$  to become the geodesics of  $\nabla$ , when ignoring the parametrisation.

*Remark 4.5.* The connection  $\nabla$  defines a properly convex projective structure on  $M$  whose associated Hilbert geodesic flow is a  $C^1$  reparametrisation of  $\phi$ . We refer the reader to [15] and references therein for details.

In general  $L$  will not admit a square root, but we may nonetheless formally carry out the same construction, except that now the identification  $L^{1/2} \simeq$



$\overline{L^{-1/2}}$  needs to amount for the metric  $e^f h_0$  induced by  $h$  on the formal root  $L^{1/2}$ . We may thus define

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \Upsilon = (\Upsilon_j^i) &= -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \zeta & -\operatorname{Im} \zeta \\ \operatorname{Im} \zeta & \operatorname{Re} \zeta \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^f & 0 \\ 0 & -e^f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \varphi & -\operatorname{Im} \varphi \\ \operatorname{Im} \varphi & \operatorname{Re} \varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\zeta - e^f \varphi) & -\operatorname{Im}(\zeta - e^f \varphi) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\zeta + e^f \varphi) & \operatorname{Re}(\zeta + e^f \varphi) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the vortex equations can be written as

$$(4.8) \quad d\zeta = \frac{\ell}{2} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} e^{2f} \varphi \wedge \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad d\varphi = -\zeta \wedge \varphi.$$

We also obtain

$$d\omega = \left( \zeta/\ell + \frac{1}{2}(\theta + i\nabla\theta)\bar{\omega} \right) \wedge \omega.$$

From (4.8) we easily conclude

$$d\Upsilon + \Upsilon \wedge \Upsilon = \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\ell \\ \ell & 0 \end{pmatrix} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}.$$

Again in formal analogy to the case  $L = K^2$ , we obtain a thermostat  $\phi$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  by requiring that  $F \lrcorner \Upsilon_1^2 = 0$ . Using the notation above, we have

$$\lambda = e^f a - \nabla\theta - \frac{1}{\ell} Hf.$$

*Remark 4.6* (Gauge invariance). Recall that the vortex equations are invariant under the action of the complex gauge group  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . It is thus natural to ask how the gauge group affects the associated thermostat. Choosing  $\tau = e^w$  for some smooth real-valued function  $w$  on  $M$ , the equations (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) imply that the triple  $(A, \theta, f)$  is replaced by

$$(A, \theta, f) \mapsto (\hat{A}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{f}) = (e^{-w}A, \theta - \frac{1}{\ell}dw, f + w).$$

Let  $\hat{\lambda}$  be defined with respect to  $(\hat{A}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{f})$ . Then we obtain

$$\hat{\lambda} = e^{\hat{f}} \hat{a} - \nabla \hat{\theta} - \frac{1}{\ell} H \hat{f} = e^{f+w} e^{-w} a - \nabla \left( \theta - \frac{1}{\ell} dw \right) - \frac{1}{\ell} H(f + w) = \lambda,$$

where we use that  $\nabla dw = Hw$ , when we think of  $dw$  as a function on  $SM^{1/n}$ . It follows that the thermostat associated to a solution of the vortex equations is invariant under the action of the real part of the gauge group  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Therefore, without loosing generality, we can assume that  $f$  vanishes identically, that is,  $h = h_0$ . Note however that the unitary part  $G$  does affect the associated thermostat.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREMS A AND B

Summarizing [Section 4](#), given a solution  $(h, \bar{\partial}_L, \varphi)$  to the vortex equations for a complex line bundle  $L \rightarrow (M, g)$  and upon fixing an  $n$ -th root  $SM^{1/n}$  of  $SM$ , we obtain a *vortex thermostat* on  $SM^{1/n}$ . After possibly applying a (non-unitary) gauge transformation to  $(h, \bar{\partial}_L, \varphi)$ , we can assume that the thermostat  $\phi$  arises from  $\lambda = a - \nabla\theta$ , where  $a$  encodes a fractional differential on  $M$ , that is, a section  $A$  of  $K^{(m+n)/n}$  and  $\theta$  a 1-form on  $M$  so that the following equations hold

$$(5.1) \quad K_g - \delta_g\theta = -1 + \ell|A|_g^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}A = \ell\theta^{0,1} \otimes A,$$

where for simplicity of notation we write  $\bar{\partial}$  for  $\bar{\partial}_{K^{(m+n)/n}}$  and where  $\ell = m/n$ . Thus, by [Lemma 4.3](#) and [Lemma 4.4](#) our setup consists of  $(X, H, \nabla)$  on  $SM^{1/n}$  as well as real-valued functions  $a, \theta$  satisfying  $\nabla\nabla a = -(1 + \ell)^2 a$  and  $\nabla\nabla\theta = -\theta$  so that

$$(5.2) \quad K_g = -1 - X\theta - H\nabla\theta + \ell|A|^2,$$

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{X\nabla a}{1 + \ell} = Ha + \frac{\ell\theta\nabla a}{1 + \ell} - \ell a\nabla\theta,$$

where  $\ell$  is a positive rational number and  $A = \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} + ia$ .

**5.1. Dominated splitting.** Applying [Theorem 3.3](#) we obtain:

**Theorem A.** *Every vortex thermostat admits a dominated splitting. Moreover, if all closed orbits of  $\phi$  are hyperbolic saddles, then  $\phi$  is Anosov.*

*Proof.* Using [Theorem 3.3](#) we need to show that there exists a smooth function  $p : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$\kappa_p = \kappa + Fp + p(p - \nabla\lambda) < 0.$$

Recall that  $\lambda = a - \nabla\theta$ . Taking  $p = \theta + \nabla a / (1 + \ell)$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_p - \kappa &= F \left( \theta + \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} \right) - \left( \theta + \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} \right) \left( \theta + \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} - \nabla a + \nabla\nabla\theta \right) \\ &= X\theta + Ha + \frac{\ell\theta\nabla a}{1 + \ell} - \ell a\nabla\theta + \lambda\nabla p - \ell \left( \theta + \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} \right) \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} \\ &= X\theta + Ha - (1 + \ell)a^2 - (\nabla\theta)^2 + 2a\nabla\theta - \ell \left( \frac{\nabla a}{1 + \ell} \right)^2 \\ &= X\theta + Ha - \ell|A|^2 - a^2 - (\nabla\theta)^2 + 2a\nabla\theta \\ &= -1 - K_g - H\nabla\theta + Ha - a^2 - (\nabla\theta)^2 + 2a\nabla\theta \\ &= -1 - (K_g - H\lambda + \lambda^2) = -1 - \kappa \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that  $\nabla\nabla\theta = -\theta$  and  $\nabla\nabla a = -(1 + \ell)^2 a$  as well as [\(3.5\)](#), [\(5.2\)](#) and [\(5.3\)](#). We conclude that  $\kappa_p = -1$  and the existence of a dominated splitting follows.

Finally, the addendum regarding the Anosov property when the closed orbits of  $\phi$  are hyperbolic saddles is a consequence of [\[1, Theorem B\]](#). Indeed,

in our situation the invariant normally hyperbolic irrational tori cannot arise since  $V$  must be transversal to them. If we had one such torus  $T$ , then the projection map  $\pi_n : SM^{1/n} \rightarrow M$  restricted to  $T$  would be a local diffeomorphism which is absurd since  $\chi(M) < 0$ .  $\square$

**5.2. The Anosov property.** While we have an isomorphism  $\mathcal{L} : L \rightarrow K^{m/n}$  of complex line bundles, the two line bundles need not be isomorphic as holomorphic line bundles. We do however obtain:

**Theorem B.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{L} : L \rightarrow K^{m/n}$  is an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles, then the associated vortex thermostat is Anosov.*

Recall from (4.4) that we write  $\bar{\partial}_L = \bar{\partial}_{K^{m/n}} - \ell\theta^{0,1}$  for some 1-form  $\theta$  on  $M$ . The isomorphism  $\mathcal{L}$  being an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles translates to  $\theta$  vanishing identically. We thus henceforth restrict to the case where  $\theta \equiv 0$ , so that the equations (5.1) become

$$K_g = -1 + \ell|A|_g^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}A = 0.$$

We start with the following comparison lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $h$  be the positive Hopf solution of  $Fh + h^2 + Bh - 1 = 0$ . Then*

$$\frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2} \leq h \leq \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2}$$

where  $c = \max|B|$  and  $B = \left(\frac{1-\ell}{1+\ell}\right)\nabla a$ .

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* We fix  $(x, v) \in SM^{1/n}$ . Recall from Section 3 that the existence of a dominated splitting implies that the positive Hopf solution  $h$  may be constructed using the limiting procedure

$$h(x, v) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \eta_R(0),$$

where for  $R > 0$  the function  $\eta_R$  denotes the solution to the ODE

$$\dot{\eta}(t) + \eta^2(t) + B(\phi_t(x, v))\eta(t) - 1 = 0$$

with  $\eta_R(-R) = 0$ . Since  $B \geq -c$  and  $h$  is positive, we have

$$\dot{\eta} = -\eta^2 - B\eta + 1 \leq -\eta^2 + c\eta + 1.$$

Hence if  $\gamma$  solves the constant coefficients Riccati equation

$$\dot{\gamma} + \gamma^2 - c\gamma - 1 = 0$$

then  $\eta(t) \leq \gamma(t)$  for  $t \geq t_0$  provided  $\eta(t_0) = \gamma(t_0)$  by ODE comparison. The solution  $\gamma_R$  to  $\dot{\gamma} + \gamma^2 - c\gamma - 1 = 0$  with  $\gamma_R(-R) = 0$  is given by

$$\gamma_R(t) = \frac{1 - e^{(-R-t)/E}}{-C_- + C_+ e^{(-R-t)/E}}$$

where

$$C_{\pm} = \frac{c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2}$$

and  $E = 1/(C_+ - C_-)$ . Thus

$$\eta_R(0) \leq \gamma_R(0) \rightarrow -1/C_- = C_+$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and thus  $h(x, v) \leq \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2}$ .

The lower bound can also be proved in the same way. Since  $B \leq c$ , we have

$$\dot{\eta} = -\eta^2 - B\eta + 1 \geq -\eta^2 - c\eta + 1.$$

And now we compare with solutions of

$$\dot{\gamma} + \gamma^2 + c\gamma - 1 = 0,$$

in particular those  $\gamma_R$  with  $\gamma_R(-R) = \infty$ . One gets

$$\eta_R(0) \geq \gamma_R(0) \rightarrow \frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2}$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and thus  $h(x, v) \geq \frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2}$ . □

For what follows we need a bound on  $|A|_g^2$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose  $(g, A)$  satisfies  $K_g = -1 + \ell|A|_g^2$  and  $\bar{\partial}A = 0$ . Then  $K_g < 0$ .*

In the case where  $A$  is a differential of integral degree  $d \geq 2$ , the lemma was proved in [15]. It is easy to check that the proof also holds in the case of a differential of fractional degree  $d > 1$ . We refer the reader to [15, Lemma 5.2] for details.

We are now ready to prove [Theorem B](#).

*Proof of Theorem B.* We already know that the flow admits a dominated splitting. To prove the Anosov property we shall use [Lemma 3.5](#). We will prove that in the range  $\ell \geq 1$  our flows fit alternative (1) and for  $0 < \ell \leq 1$ , they fit alternative (2). We shall prove the claims for the unstable bundle. The proofs for the stable bundle are quite analogous.

We note that [Lemma 5.2](#) gives

$$(5.4) \quad -1 < \frac{\sqrt{\ell}}{1 + \ell} \mathbb{V}a < 1.$$

Also note that for our thermostat  $p = \mathbb{V}a/(1 + \ell)$ ,  $\kappa_p = -1$  and  $h = r^u - p$ .

Assume first that  $\ell \geq 1$ . We shall prove that  $r^u > 0$ . This is equivalent to

$$(5.5) \quad h + \frac{\mathbb{V}a}{1 + \ell} > 0.$$

In view of (5.4) and (5.5) it is enough to prove that

$$h \geq 1/\sqrt{\ell}.$$

From the definition of  $c$  in [Lemma 5.1](#) and the bound  $\frac{\sqrt{\ell}}{1+\ell}\mathbb{V}a < 1$  we derive  $c \leq (1-\ell)/\sqrt{\ell}$ . Hence

$$\frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2} \geq 1/\sqrt{\ell}$$

and the desired bound follows from [Lemma 5.1](#).

Assume now that  $0 < \ell \leq 1$ . Condition (2) in [Lemma 3.5](#) for  $r^u$  becomes

$$(5.6) \quad \left(\frac{\ell}{1+\ell}\right)\mathbb{V}a + 1/h > 0.$$

In view of [\(5.4\)](#) and [\(5.6\)](#) it is enough to prove that

$$h \leq 1/\sqrt{\ell}.$$

From the definition of  $c$  in [Lemma 5.1](#) and the bound  $\frac{\sqrt{\ell}}{1+\ell}\mathbb{V}a < 1$  we derive  $c \leq (1-\ell)/\sqrt{\ell}$ . Hence

$$\frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4}}{2} \leq 1/\sqrt{\ell}$$

and the desired bound follows from [Lemma 5.1](#).  $\square$

## 6. EXAMPLES

Let  $M$  be a closed oriented surface equipped with a hyperbolic metric  $g_0$ . Assume furthermore that the unit tangent bundle  $SM$  of  $(M, g_0)$  admits an  $n$ -th root  $SM^{1/n}$ , so that correspondingly we have an  $n$ -th root  $K^{1/n}$  of the canonical bundle  $K$  of  $(M, g_0)$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer and write  $\ell = m/n$ . We equip  $K^{1+\ell}$  with the holomorphic structure determined by  $g_0$ , that is, in our previous notation, we choose  $\theta \equiv 0$ . Suppose  $A$  is a holomorphic differential of fractional degree  $1+\ell$ . Note that such differentials exist by the Riemann–Roch theorem. In order to obtain one of our Anosov flows, we must thus find a metric  $g$  in the conformal equivalence class of  $g_0$  so that

$$K_g = -1 + \ell|A|_g^2.$$

Under a conformal change  $g_0 \mapsto e^{2u}g_0$  with  $u \in C^\infty(M)$ , the norm  $|A|_{g_0}^2$  changes as

$$|A|_{e^{2u}g_0}^2 = e^{-2(1+\ell)u}|A|_{g_0}^2.$$

We also have the identity

$$K_{e^{2u}g_0} = e^{-2u}(-1 - \Delta u)$$

for the change of the Gauss curvature under conformal change. Here  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace operator with respect to the hyperbolic metric. Writing  $g = e^{2u}g_0$ , we thus obtain the PDE

$$\Delta u = -1 + e^{2u} - \ell e^{-2\ell u} \alpha$$

with  $\alpha := |A|_{g_0}^2$ . Since  $\alpha \geq 0$ , this quasi-linear elliptic PDE admits a unique smooth solution which can be obtained by standard methods, see

for instance [18, Prop. 1.9]. Therefore, we obtain a solution to the vortex equations and an associated Anosov flow.

*Remark 6.1.* Recall that every closed oriented hyperbolic Riemann surface  $(M, g_0)$  admits a *Fuchsian model*, which realises its unit tangent bundle  $SM$  as a quotient  $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is a *Fuchsian group*, that is, a discrete torsion-free subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, we obtain a square root  $SM^{1/2} \simeq \Gamma_{\pm} \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\Gamma_{\pm} \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  denotes the preimage of  $\Gamma$  under the 2-fold cover  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Since the unit tangent bundles with respect to conformally equivalent metrics are isomorphic as principal  $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ -bundles, we also obtain a square root of the unit tangent bundle for every metric in the conformal equivalence class of  $g_0$ . In particular, on every closed hyperbolic Riemann surface we obtain an Anosov flow on  $SM^{1/2}$  from a holomorphic differential  $A$  of fractional degree  $1 + 1/2 = 3/2$ . These flows are topologically orbit equivalent to the lift of a constant curvature geodesic flow [10], but do not arise from the lift of a flow on  $SM$ .

#### APPENDIX A. VARIANTS OF THE VORTEX EQUATIONS

Instead of our variant of the vortex equations, we may also consider the following pair of equations on an oriented Riemannian 2-manifold  $(M, g)$  of negative Euler characteristic

$$(A.1) \quad K_g - \delta_g \theta = -1 + \ell e^{2f} |A|_g^2 - \frac{1}{k} \Delta_g f \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} A = k \theta^{0,1} \otimes A.$$

Here  $A$  is a differential of fractional degree  $1 + \ell > 1$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega^1$ ,  $f \in C^\infty$  and  $k$  is a real constant. Notice that we recover our vortex equations by choosing  $k = \ell$ . We leave it as an exercise to the interested reader to check that for the choice  $c = 2(\ell + 1)$ , the usual vortex equations (1.2) are equivalent to (A.1) when  $k = \ell + 1$ . Again, it is straightforward to verify that (A.1) are invariant under suitable gauge transformations. Namely, writing a gauge transformation as  $\tau = e^{w+i\vartheta}$  for  $w, \vartheta \in C^\infty$ , we obtain a solution

$$\tau \cdot (A, \theta, f) = \left( e^{-(w+i\vartheta)} A, \theta - \frac{1}{k} (dw + \star_g d\vartheta), f + w \right)$$

to the above vortex equations from a solution  $(A, \theta, f)$ . As before, we obtain a thermostat on a suitable root  $SM^{1/n}$  of  $SM$ , by defining

$$\lambda = e^f a - \nabla \theta - \frac{1}{\ell} H f.$$

where we use notation as in Section 4. The thermostat is again invariant under real gauge transformations of the form  $\tau = e^w$ , so that we can assume that  $f$  vanishes identically. Thus we have

$$K_g - \delta_g \theta = -1 + \ell |A|_g^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} A = k \theta^{0,1} \otimes A.$$

Taking  $p = \theta + \nabla a / (1 + \ell)$ , we compute exactly as in the proof of Theorem A that

$$\kappa_p = -1 + (k - \ell) \operatorname{Re}((\theta + i\nabla \theta)A)$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = \frac{\nabla a}{1+\ell} + ia$ . For the usual vortex equations with  $k = \ell + 1$  we thus obtain  $\kappa_p = -1 + \operatorname{Re}((\theta + i\nabla\theta)\mathbf{A})$ . Moreover, for the usual vortex equations we have the bound  $|\mathbf{A}|^2 \leq 1/\ell$ , see [4, Prop. 5.2]. Thus, for the usual vortex equations we still obtain a dominated splitting provided  $|\theta + i\nabla\theta| < \sqrt{\ell}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. ARROYO, F. RODRIGUEZ HERTZ, Homoclinic bifurcations and uniform hyperbolicity for three-dimensional flows, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), 805–841. DOI 10.1016/S0294-1449(03)00016-7 MR 1995503 zbM 1045.37006 7, 18
- [2] M. ASAOKA, Regular projectively Anosov flows on three-dimensional manifolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **60** (2010), 1649–1684. DOI 10.5802/aif.2569 MR 2766227 zbM 1202.37030 7
- [3] Y. BENOIST, Convexes divisibles. I, in *Algebraic groups and arithmetic*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004, pp. 339–374. MR 2094116 zbM 1084.37026 2
- [4] S. B. BRADLOW, Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990), 1–17. MR 1086749 zbM 0717.53075 1, 23
- [5] R. L. BRYANT, Projectively flat Finsler 2-spheres of constant curvature, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **3** (1997), 161–203. DOI 10.1007/s000290050009 MR 1466165 zbM 0897.53052 2, 5
- [6] K. CIELIEBAK, A. R. GAIO, I. MUNDET I RIERA, D. A. SALAMON, The symplectic vortex equations and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **1** (2002), 543–645. MR 1959059 zbM 1093.53093 2
- [7] G. GALLAVOTTI, New methods in nonequilibrium gases and fluids, *Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.* **6** (1999), 101–136. DOI 10.1023/A:1009696806769 MR 1812682 zbM 1044.82548 3
- [8] G. GALLAVOTTI, D. RUELLE, SRB states and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics close to equilibrium, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **190** (1997), 279–285. DOI 10.1007/s002200050241 MR 1489572 zbM 0909.60091 3
- [9] H. GEIGES, J. GONZALO PÉREZ, Generalised spin structures on 2-dimensional orbifolds, *Osaka J. Math.* **49** (2012), 449–470. MR 2945757 zbM 1260.57044 4
- [10] E. GHYS, Flots d’Anosov sur les 3-variétés fibrées en cercles, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **4** (1984), 67–80. DOI 10.1017/S0143385700002273 MR 758894 zbM 0527.58030 22
- [11] E. HOPF, Closed surfaces without conjugate points, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **34** (1948), 47–51. DOI 10.1073/pnas.34.2.47 MR 23591 zbM 0030.07901 9
- [12] A. JAFFE, C. TAUBES, *Vortices and monopoles*, *Progress in Physics* **2**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980, Structure of static gauge theories. MR 614447 zbM 0457.53034 1
- [13] T. METTLER, Minimal Lagrangian connections on compact surfaces, *Adv. Math.* **354** (2019), Article ID 106747, 36 p. DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2019.106747 MR 3987443 zbM 07103867 3
- [14] T. METTLER, G. P. PATERNAIN, Convex projective surfaces with compatible Weyl connection are hyperbolic, 2018, to appear in *Anal. PDE*. arXiv:1804.04616 16
- [15] T. METTLER, G. P. PATERNAIN, Holomorphic differentials, thermostats and Anosov flows, *Math. Ann.* **373** (2019), 553–580. DOI 10.1007/s00208-018-1712-x MR 3968880 zbM 1415.53051 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 16, 20
- [16] M. NOGUCHI, Yang-Mills-Higgs theory on a compact Riemann surface, *J. Math. Phys.* **28** (1987), 2343–2346. DOI 10.1063/1.527769 MR 907998 zbM 0641.53082 1
- [17] D. RUELLE, Smooth dynamics and new theoretical ideas in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, *J. Statist. Phys.* **95** (1999), 393–468. DOI 10.1023/A:1004593915069 MR 1705592 zbM 0934.37010 3

- [18] M. E. TAYLOR, *Partial differential equations III. Nonlinear equations*, second ed., *Applied Mathematical Sciences* **117**, Springer, New York, 2011. DOI [10.1007/978-1-4419-7049-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7049-7) MR [2744149](https://mathscinet.org/mr/2744149) zbM [1206.35004](https://zbmath.org/?q=seriale/1206.35004) [22](#)
- [19] M. P. WOJTKOWSKI, Magnetic flows and Gaussian thermostats on manifolds of negative curvature, *Fund. Math.* **163** (2000), 177–191. DOI [10.4064/fm-163-2-177-191](https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-163-2-177-191) MR [1752103](https://mathscinet.org/mr/1752103) zbM [0997.37011](https://zbmath.org/?q=seriale/0997.37011) [7](#)
- [20] M. P. WOJTKOWSKI, Monotonicity,  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebra of Potapov and Lyapunov exponents, in *Smooth ergodic theory and its applications (Seattle, WA, 1999)*, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **69**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 499–521. DOI [10.1090/pspum/069/1858544](https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/069/1858544) MR [1858544](https://mathscinet.org/mr/1858544) [8](#), [11](#)

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT, 60325 FRANKFURT AM MAIN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* [mettler@math.uni-frankfurt.de](mailto:mettler@math.uni-frankfurt.de)

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, ENGLAND

*E-mail address:* [g.p.paternain@dpms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:g.p.paternain@dpms.cam.ac.uk)