

Exact solution for the quantum Rabi model with the \mathbf{A}^2 term

I. D. Feranchuk,^{1,2} N. Q. San,³ A. U. Leonau,³ and O. D. Skoromnik^{4,*}

¹*Atomic Molecular and Optical Physics Research Group, Advanced Institute of Materials Science, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

²*Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

³*Belarusian State University, 4 Nezavisimosty Ave., 220030, Minsk, Belarus*

⁴*Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, 280 An Duong Vuong, District 5, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

Quantum Rabi model (QRM) is widely used for the analysis of the radiation-matter interaction at the fundamental level in cavity quantum electrodynamics. Typically the QRM Hamiltonian includes only $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ term, however, the complete nonrelativistic Hamiltonian of quantum electrodynamics includes \mathbf{A}^2 term as well. Here we find an exact solution and demonstrate with the help of the exact canonical transformations that the QRM Hamiltonian with the \mathbf{A}^2 term (QRMA) is reduced to the standard QRM model Hamiltonian with the renormalized frequency and the coupling constant and the eigenstates are expressed through the squeezed states of the field. As a result, the \mathbf{A}^2 term qualitatively changes the behavior of the QRM with purely electromagnetic interaction in the strong coupling regime: the value of the ground state energy of an atom inside the cavity is higher than in vacuum and the number of crossing of energy levels with different quantum numbers decreases.

After preparing the work for publication the authors became aware of the recent work [1] where the derivation of the Hamiltonian of a two level system is provided and was shown that the truncation of the atomic Hilbert space to the two levels leads to an incorrect Hamiltonian with the \mathbf{A}^2 term (2).

As a result all conclusions of our manuscript are based on the form of the Hamiltonian (2), which does not describe a two level system. If by some other means the Hamiltonian (2) can be engineered, e.g. in a cold atoms system or by any other mean, the conclusions will be valid for that system.

The easiest way to demonstrate the equivalence of the Hamiltonian of a two level system with the \mathbf{A}^2 term and the Hamiltonian with the $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ is provided in the Ref. [2] Eqs. (5.1.12-5.1.17).

Concluding, the Hamiltonian of the two level system with the \mathbf{A}^2 term in the dipole approximation is equivalent to the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0} + V(r) - e_0 \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{r}. \quad (1)$$

Quantum Rabi model describes the interaction of a two level atom with a single-mode quantum field in a cavity [3, 4]. This model plays fundamental role in the radiation-matter interaction in cavity quantum electrodynamics [5–7], quantum optics [8], quantum information [9] and physics of condensed matter [10]. In addition, it was recently demonstrated [11] that the QRM is an exactly integrable system and the problem of determining its spectrum of stationary states is reduced to the numerical solution of many-term recurrent relations. Furthermore, many approximate methods were developed for the description of QRM, among which, the most widely used is the rotating wave approximation (RWA) applicable for

small values of the detuning of the field frequency from the resonant atomic transition and small values of the coupling constant f of the atom-field interaction. The RWA approximation is based on the exact solution of the Schrödinger equation with the Jaymes-Cummings Hamiltonian [12].

Presently there exist systems (ion bound to the cavity [13, 14], super-conducting qubit [15–17], polaritons [18]) that provide the strong interaction [19–23] between an atom and the field, which corresponds to the situation when the dimensionless coupling constant f of the atom-field interaction in QRM reaches values of the order of unity [24–26]. This motivates both experimental and theoretical investigations of physical effects appearing in this fully quantum regime [6, 24, 27–31].

In its conventional form the QRM includes only $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ term in the Hamiltonian. However, the exact Hamiltonian of nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics includes the \mathbf{A}^2 term as well. Consequently, the question arises how the system behaviour in the strong-coupling regime is modified by the inclusion of \mathbf{A}^2 term in the Hamiltonian of the QRM, i.e. QRM with the \mathbf{A}^2 (QRMA). In this letter we employ the exact canonical transformations of the field variables and demonstrate that the Hamiltonian of QRMA is reduced to the standard QRM Hamiltonian with the renormalized frequency $\Omega = \sqrt{1 + af^2}$, the coupling constant $\tilde{f} = f/(1 + af^2)^{1/4}$ with $a > 0$ and a constant energy shift. The appearance of the constant energy shift together with the form of the renormalized coupling constant \tilde{f} qualitatively modify the system's behavior and allow effectively the description of QRMA within the RWA with rather high accuracy (see below). We point out here that a similar situation arises for the Dicke model [32, 33] and a harmonic oscillator interacting with a quantum field [34] where the inclusion of the \mathbf{A}^2 changes the behavior of the system.

In order to perform the canonical transformations of

the field variables and demonstrate the reduction of the QRMA Hamiltonian to the QRM one with the renormalized frequency and the coupling constant we start with the Hamiltonian of a nonrelativistic atom, which interacts with a single-mode quantum electromagnetic field [2] $H_a = (\mathbf{p} - e_0 \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}))^2 / 2m_0 + V(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}^2(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{B}^2(\mathbf{r})$ in the dipole approximation. Here e_0 and m_0 are the electron charge and mass respectively, $V(\mathbf{r})$ is the binding potential of an interaction between an electron and a nucleus. If an atom is approximated only with two levels $\chi_\uparrow, \chi_\downarrow$ and the transition atomic frequency $\omega_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ is almost coincident with the field frequency ω , $\omega_{\uparrow\downarrow} \approx \omega$ then the Hamiltonian H_a of an atom is reduced to the Hamiltonian of the QRMA ($H_a \equiv H_{\text{QRMA}}$), which in the dimensionless variables $\hbar = c = 1$ reads [2]

$$H_{\text{QRMA}} = \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_3 + f(a + a^\dagger) \sigma_1 + a^\dagger a + k(a + a^\dagger)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $f = e_0 \omega \Delta d \sqrt{2\pi / (\omega^3 V)}$, $k = 2\pi e_0^2 / (2m_0 \omega^2 V)$, V is the volume of a cavity, $\Delta = \omega_{\uparrow\downarrow} / \omega$ is the resonant atomic frequency measured in the units of the electromagnetic field frequency ω , a and a^\dagger are the annihilation and creation operators of the quantum field, $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$ and d is the dipole matrix element of the transition between atomic states χ_\uparrow and χ_\downarrow .

Let us now introduce a unitary operator

$$S = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(a^2 - a^{\dagger 2}) \ln \Omega\right), \quad S^\dagger = S^{-1} \quad (3)$$

with a free parameter Ω , which will be determined later. The operator S transforms the field operators a and a^\dagger as [35]

$$\begin{aligned} S^\dagger a S &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} + \sqrt{\Omega} \right) a + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} - \sqrt{\Omega} \right) a^\dagger \right], \\ S^\dagger a^\dagger S &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} + \sqrt{\Omega} \right) a^\dagger + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} - \sqrt{\Omega} \right) a \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which corresponds to the introduction of a new vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle = S|0\rangle$ of an electromagnetic field in a form of a squeezed state [2].

As a result of the transformation with the squeezed state operator S the Hamiltonian of the system changes to

$$\begin{aligned} H' = S^\dagger H_{\text{QRMA}} S &= \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_3 + \frac{f}{\sqrt{\Omega}} (a + a^\dagger) \sigma_1 \\ &+ \frac{k}{\Omega} (a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} + 2a^\dagger a + 1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\Omega} \left[(1 - \Omega^2) (a^2 + a^{\dagger 2}) \right. \\ &\left. + 2(1 + \Omega^2) a^\dagger a + (1 - \Omega^2)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$




Figure 1. (Color online) The energy of the ground state E_{GS} as a function of the dimensionless coupling f . We compare the exact numerical solution E_{ES} with the rotating wave approximation E_{RWA} for two cases. The first case corresponds to the conventional Rabi model with only $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ term, i.e., $\delta = 0$ (E_{RWA}). The second case corresponds to the quantum Rabi model with the \mathbf{A}^2 term included, i.e., $\delta = 1$ (E_{RWAR}). The parameter $\Delta = 1$. The inset describes the dependence of the ground state energy on the parameter δ and compares the exact solution and RWA for the coupling constant $f = 0.6$.

Now we choose the parameter Ω from the condition that the quadratic terms of creation and annihilation operators vanish

$$\Omega = \sqrt{1 + 4k} \quad (6)$$

that leads to the transformed Hamiltonian of the QRMA

$$H' = \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_3 + \frac{f}{\sqrt{\Omega}} (a + a^\dagger) \sigma_1 + \Omega a^\dagger a + \frac{\Omega - 1}{2}, \quad (7)$$

which is indeed the Hamiltonian of the QRM with the renormalized frequency and the coupling constant. In addition, there also exists a constant energy shift. This transformation demonstrates that the QRMA in a full analogy with the QRM is an exactly integrable system [11].

Operator H' depends on the two parameters f and k that according to the Ref. [32] can not change independently of each other if we take into account the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn sum rule [36] for the oscillator strengths of the transitions of an atomic system from a state (a) to all allowed states (b) with the transition frequency ω_{ba} and the dipole transition matrix element d_{ba}

$$2m_0 \sum_b \omega_{ba} |d_{ba}|^2 = 1. \quad (8)$$

Indeed let us consider the quantity f^2

$$f^2 = \frac{2\pi e_0^2}{\omega V} \Delta^2 d^2 = \frac{2\pi e_0^2}{2m_0 \omega^2 V} (2m_0 \omega \Delta^2 d^2) \quad (9)$$




Figure 2. (Color online) The expectation value of the photon number operator $\langle n \rangle = \langle \psi_{\text{GS}} | \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} | \psi_{\text{GS}} \rangle$ as a function of the dimensionless coupling f . We compare the exact numerical solution $\langle n \rangle_{\text{ES}}$ with the rotating wave approximation $\langle n \rangle_{\text{RWA}}$ for the two cases. The first case corresponds to the conventional Rabi model with only $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ term, i.e., $\delta = 0$ ($\langle n \rangle_{\text{RWA}}$). The second case corresponds to the quantum Rabi model with the \mathbf{A}^2 term included, i.e., $\delta = 1$ ($\langle n \rangle_{\text{RWAR}}$). The parameter $\Delta = 1$.

and introduce the relative oscillator strength of the resonant atomic transition of the QRM

$$\frac{\omega \Delta d^2}{\sum_b \omega_{b\downarrow} |d_{b\downarrow}|^2} \equiv \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad \delta \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Here we expressed the transition frequency as $\omega_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \omega \Delta$. The sum in the denominator of Eq. (8) contains positive terms including the term with $b = \uparrow$ [32]. For this reason, the quantity $\delta \geq 1$. Then from Eqs (8) and (9) we find the relation between the parameters f and k of the Hamiltonian of the QRMA

$$k = \frac{\delta}{\Delta} f^2, \quad \Omega = \sqrt{1 + 4 \frac{\delta}{\Delta} f^2}. \quad (11)$$

We would like to stress here that the parameter $\delta \geq 1$, which has a crucial consequence on the spectrum of the system. Exactly this condition makes essentially more difficult for a QRMA with purely electromagnetic interaction to reach the strong coupling regime. Due to the \mathbf{A}^2 term the renormalized coupling constant has a different scaling behavior for large values of f , i.e., $\tilde{f} = f/\sqrt{\Omega} \sim \sqrt{f}$.

The spectrum of the QRMA model as in the case of the QRM model is defined as a solution of a system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}' |\Psi_n^p\rangle &= E_n^p |\Psi_n^p\rangle, \\ \mathbf{P} |\Psi_n^p\rangle &= p |\Psi_n^p\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{P} = \sigma_3 \exp(i\pi \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a})$ is the operator of a combined parity with eigenvalues $p = \pm 1$ and the quantum number $n = 0, 1, \dots$ numerates the field states.

The proof that the system of Eqs. (12) is exactly integrable is given in Ref. [11]. In addition, there exists a numerous number of works [24] which construct an approximate solution for the strong coupling regime or provide the uniform approximation [6, 37–39] for a large range of variation of the coupling constant. In order to investigate how the QRMA behavior is modified with respect to the conventional QRM we will compare the exact numerical solution [27, 37] of the system of Eqs. (12) with the analytical solution in the framework of the rotating wave approximation with the renormalized frequency and the coupling constant (RWAR) [2, 40].

For the RWAR the eigenvalues and eigenvectors are given by the well known formulas [40]

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{GS}} &= -\frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{\Omega - 1}{2}, \quad |\psi_{\text{GS}}\rangle = \chi_{\downarrow} |0\rangle, \\ E_n^{\pm} &= \Omega(n+1) - \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta - \Omega)^2}{4} + \frac{f^2(n+1)}{\Omega}} \quad (13) \\ |\psi_n^{\pm}\rangle &= A_n^{\pm} \chi_{\uparrow} |n, \Omega\rangle + B_n^{\pm} \chi_{\downarrow} |n+1, \Omega\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients A_n^{\pm} and B_n^{\pm} are provided in a supplementary information, $|n, \Omega\rangle = \mathbf{S}|n\rangle$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We point out that the ground state E_{GS} is obtained separately from the excited states and can be verified by acting with \mathbf{H}' on $|\psi_{\text{GS}}\rangle$. Moreover, the excited states are calculated from the doubly degenerate states of the noninteracting system.

The exact numerical solution of the system of Eqs. (12) was performed with the help of the Arnoldi iteration algorithm and in addition by using an iteration scheme described in [27, 37].

In Fig. 1, 3 we compare the eigenvalues as a function of a coupling constant obtained in the framework of RWAR and the exact numerical solution. In Fig. 2 we show the behavior of the expectation value of the photon number for the ground state of the QRM as a function of the coupling constant. When the parameter $\delta = 0$ the exact solution of the system in the strong coupling regime is drastically different from the RWA, as was expected and is well known. Consequently, the modification of the RWA is required as was demonstrated by many works [24]. However, if we consider QRMA, i.e., QRM with the \mathbf{A}^2 term ($\delta \geq 1$), the RWA with the renormalized frequency correctly describes the observable characteristics of QRMA for the strong coupling regime. This statement remains correct not only for the ground state, but also for the excited states as demonstrated in Fig. 2.

As was demonstrated in Ref. [2] the RWA for QRM is limited by the values of the coupling constant when the levels with the same combined parity become degenerate for different quantum numbers n , i.e., $E_{n+2}^-(f) = E_n^-(f)$. However, for the QRMA due to the renormalization of




Figure 3. (Color online) The dependence of the energy levels of excited states E_n^p on the dimensionless coupling constant f . (Left pane). The comparison of the exact numerical solution E_{ES} with the approximate analytical formulas of RWAR E_{RWAR} for the quantum Rabi model with the \mathbf{A}^2 term, i.e., $\delta = 1$. (Right pane). The comparison of the exact numerical solution E_{ES} with the approximate analytical formulas of RWA E_{RWA} for the quantum Rabi model with only $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ term, i.e., $\delta = 0$. For both panes the parameter $\Delta = 1$.




Figure 4. (Color online) Fourier transform of the inverse population of QRMA as a function of a dimensionless frequency ω_n and a coupling constant f . The parameters of the system are $\Delta = 1$, $\delta = 1$, $\langle n \rangle = \epsilon^2 = 25$ and the time interval $T = 100$. (Left pane) The exact numerical solution of the QRMA. (Right pane) The RWA with the renormalized frequency and coupling constant. The inset on both panes represents the time evolution of the system for the value of the coupling constant $f = 0.2$

the frequency and the coupling constant this degeneracy becomes important for much larger values of f , which makes RWA applicable for the whole relevant range of variation of the coupling constant.

From the analysis of the spectrum we can conclude that the \mathbf{A}^2 term qualitatively changes the system behavior. If $\delta = 0$ the ground state energy of the quantum Rabi model is lower than the combined energy of an atom and

a field of a noninteracting system. Therefore, if the atom is placed into the cavity it is more preferable to form a bound state. In a stark contrast, however, is the situation when we include the \mathbf{A}^2 term. In this case the ground state energy of the QRMA model is larger than the sum of energies of noninteracting system.

Finally, in Fig. 4 we study the dynamics of the QRMA model. For this we analyzed the Fourier transform of the

inverse population $W(t)$. Despite the fact that the RWA correctly describes the stationary states of the system it still fails to reproduce the time dynamics. We observe that with the increase of the coupling constant f the additional frequencies appear in the spectrum in a particular manner, namely we start observing the doubling of frequencies that demonstrates that the system starts to exhibit a chaotic behavior [37].

A lot of works that study radiation-matter interaction are devoted to the investigation of the counter rotating terms in the Hamiltonian of QRM that become important in the strong coupling regime. However, as demonstrated in this letter the behavior of the system in the strong coupling regime is different as was previously thought. The reason for this drastic change is the \mathbf{A}^2 term, which is present in the Hamiltonian of nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics but is often ignored in practical applications. As a consequence, the investigation based on the complete Hamiltonian of quantum electrodynamics demonstrates that the QRMA is reduced to the standard QRM but with the renormalized frequency and the coupling constant of the form $\sim f/(1+af^2)^{1/4}$ that scales as \sqrt{f} for large values of f and a constant energy shift. As a result we observe the qualitative modification of the behavior of QRMA.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors in the rotating wave approximation. The excited energy levels of the QRM in the framework of the rotating wave approximation are given by the following formulas [40]

$$E_n^\pm = \Omega(n+1) - \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta - \Omega)^2}{4} + \frac{4f^2(n+1)}{4\Omega}}$$

$$|\psi_n^\pm\rangle = A_n^\pm \chi_\uparrow |n, \Omega\rangle + B_n^\pm \chi_\downarrow |n+1, \Omega\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$A_n^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\lambda_n^\pm)^2}}, \quad B_n^\pm = -\frac{\lambda_n^\pm}{\sqrt{1 + (\lambda_n^\pm)^2}}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\lambda_n^\pm = \frac{(\Delta - \Omega) \mp \sqrt{(\Delta - \Omega)^2 + 4f^2(n+1)/\Omega}}{2f\sqrt{n+1}/\sqrt{\Omega}}. \quad (16)$$

The ground state of QRM should be investigated separately from the excited states and reads

$$E_{\text{GS}} = -\frac{\Delta}{2} + \frac{\Omega - 1}{2}, \quad |\psi_{\text{GS}}\rangle = \chi_\downarrow |0, \Omega\rangle. \quad (17)$$

It can be easily verified by the action of \mathbf{H} on $|\psi_{\text{GS}}\rangle$ that E_{GS} is the eigenvalue.

Numerical solution of the QRM. In order to solve numerically the QRM we first perform a rotation in the spin space with the operator

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{(1 + i\sigma_2)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

With this transformation the Hamiltonian of the system and the operator of the combined parity transform as

$$\mathbf{H}'' = \mathbf{R}^\dagger \mathbf{H}' \mathbf{R} = \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_1 - \frac{f}{\sqrt{\Omega}} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger) \sigma_3 + \Omega \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{\Omega - 1}{2}, \quad (19)$$

$$\mathbf{P}'' = \mathbf{R}^\dagger \mathbf{P} \mathbf{R} = \sigma_1 \exp(i\pi \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}). \quad (20)$$

By writing the wave function in matrix form $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$, the operator of the combined parity allows one to express the lower component via the upper one as $v = p \exp(i\pi \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}) u$. After the substitution of v into the matrix equations we arrive to the Schrödinger equation for the one component wave function u

$$\left(\Omega \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{\Omega - 1}{2} + \frac{\Delta}{2} p e^{i\pi \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}} - \frac{f}{\sqrt{\Omega}} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger) \right) u = E u. \quad (21)$$

After this we expand the wave function u in the Harmonic oscillator basis $u = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l |l\rangle$ and numerically diagonalize the matrix

$$H_{kn} = \left(\Omega n + \frac{\Omega - 1}{2} + \frac{\Delta}{2} p (-1)^n \right) \delta_{kn} - \frac{f}{\sqrt{\Omega}} (\sqrt{n} \delta_{kn-1} + \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{kn+1}). \quad (22)$$

As a result the normalized state vectors of the system are given by

$$|\psi_n^p\rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l^{np} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(-1)^l p + 1}{(-1)^l p - 1} \right) \mathbf{S} |l\rangle, \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{C}^{np} = \{C_l^{np}\}$ are the normalized eigenvectors of the matrix H_{kn} .

The average number of photons. If the QRMA is prepared in the state with 0 photons, then the average number of photons in the RWA is given by

$$\langle n \rangle_{\text{RWA}} = \langle \psi_{\text{GS}} | \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \mathbf{S}^\dagger | \psi_{\text{GS}} \rangle = \frac{(\Omega - 1)^2}{4\Omega}. \quad (24)$$

The average number of photons for the exact solution reads

$$\langle n \rangle_{\text{ES}} = \frac{(\Omega - 1)^2}{4\Omega} + \frac{\Omega^2 + 1}{2\Omega} \sum_k k |C_k^{np}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\Omega} - \Omega \right) \sum_k \sqrt{(k+1)(k+2)} \times (C_k^{np*} C_{k+2}^{np} + C_{k+2}^{np*} C_k^{np}). \quad (25)$$

The evolution of the QRM. We consider that an atom in the initial moment of time was in the lower state χ_{\downarrow} and the field was prepared in the coherent state with the amplitude ϵ

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle = \chi_{\downarrow}|\epsilon\rangle = \chi_{\downarrow}e^{\epsilon(\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}-\mathbf{a})}|0\rangle \quad (26)$$

and will characterize the system dynamics with the inverse population [2], which in the RWA is given by the formula

$$W(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\tilde{\epsilon}^2} \tilde{\epsilon}^{2n}}{n! \omega_A^2(n)} \left((\Delta - \Omega)^2 + \frac{4f^2(n+1)}{\Omega} \cos[\omega_A(n)t] \right), \quad (27)$$

where

$$\omega_A(n) = \sqrt{(\Delta - \Omega)^2 + \frac{4f^2(n+1)}{\Omega}}$$

and $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon(\Omega + 1)/(2\sqrt{\Omega})$.

In order, to obtain the exact numerical solution we proceed in the following way. The time dependent wave function is represented as an expansion over stationary states of the QRM

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{np} A_{np} |\psi_n^p\rangle e^{-iE_n^p t}, \quad (28)$$

where the coefficients A_{np} are determined from the initial condition (26), i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \langle k | \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} | \epsilon \rangle = \sum_{np} A_{np} C_k^{np} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(-1)^k + 1}{(-1)^k - 1} \right). \quad (29)$$

For this we rewrite the system of equations for the coefficients A_{np} in matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_+ & \mathbf{A}_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C^+ & 0 \\ 0 & C^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D\left(\frac{(-1)^k+1}{2}\right) & D\left(\frac{(-1)^k-1}{2}\right) \\ D\left(\frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2}\right) & D\left(\frac{(-1)^{k+1}-1}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \langle k | \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} | \epsilon \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (30)$$

where the sign + or - denotes $p = +1$ and $p = -1$ correspondingly, \mathbf{A}_{\pm} are the vectors of unknowns of the size $1 \times N$, C^{\pm} are the matrices of eigenvectors of the size $N \times N$ (the first row is the first eigenvector, the second row in the second one, ...), the notation $D\left(\frac{(-1)^k+1}{2}\right)$ denotes the diagonal matrix, where the diagonal is formed by the sequence $\frac{(-1)^k+1}{2}$ with $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\{\langle k | \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} | \epsilon \rangle\} = \{\langle 0 | \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} | \epsilon \rangle, \langle 1 | \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} | \epsilon \rangle, \dots\}$.

After the coefficients A_{np} are determined we computed

the wave function of the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_+ & \mathbf{A}_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C^+ & 0 \\ 0 & C^- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D\left(e^{-iE_n^+ t}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & D\left(e^{-iE_n^- t}\right) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} D\left(\frac{(-1)^k+1}{2}\right) & D\left(\frac{(-1)^k-1}{2}\right) \\ D\left(\frac{(-1)^{k+1}+1}{2}\right) & D\left(\frac{(-1)^{k+1}-1}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ & \psi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

As a result the density matrix of the atomic system is determined

$$\rho_A = \text{Sp}_F(|\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Psi(t)|) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+^{\dagger} \cdot \psi_+ & \psi_+^{\dagger} \cdot \psi_- \\ \psi_-^{\dagger} \cdot \psi_+ & \psi_-^{\dagger} \cdot \psi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Finally, the inverse population is expressed through the atomic density matrix as

$$W(t) = \text{Sp}(\rho_A \sigma_3). \quad (33)$$

* Corresponding author: olegskor@gmail.com

- [1] O. Di Stefano, A. Settineri, V. Macri, L. Garziano, R. Stassi, S. Savasta, and F. Nori, *Nature Physics* **15**, 803 (2019).
- [2] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics*, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, 1997).
- [3] I. I. Rabi, *Phys. Rev.* **49**, 324 (1936).
- [4] I. I. Rabi, *Phys. Rev.* **51**, 652 (1937).
- [5] A. V. Dodonov, A. Napoli, and B. Militello, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 033823 (2019).
- [6] B.-B. Mao, L. Li, Y. Wang, W.-L. You, W. Wu, M. Liu, and H.-G. Luo, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 033834 (2019).
- [7] C. J. Villas-Boas and D. Z. Rossatto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 123604 (2019).
- [8] H. Walther, B. T. H. Varcoe, B.-G. Englert, and T. Becker, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **69**, 1325 (2006).
- [9] J. M. Raimond, M. Brune, and S. Haroche, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 565 (2001).
- [10] T. Holstein, *Annals of Physics* **8**, 325 (1959).
- [11] D. Braak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 100401 (2011).
- [12] E. Jaynes and F. Cummings, *Proceedings of the IEEE* **51**, 89 (1963).
- [13] H. Takahashi, E. Kassa, C. Christoforou, and M. Keller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 013602 (2020).
- [14] P. Forn-Díaz, J. García-Ripoll, B. Peropadre, J.-L. Orgiazzi, M. Yurtalan, R. Belyansky, C. Wilson, and A. Lupascu, *Nature Physics* **13**, 39 (2017).
- [15] P. Forn-Díaz, G. Romero, C. J. P. M. Harmans, E. Solano, and J. E. Mooij, *Scientific Reports* **6**, 26720 (2016).
- [16] C. Deng, J.-L. Orgiazzi, F. Shen, S. Ashhab, and A. Lupascu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 133601 (2015).
- [17] F. Yoshihara, T. Fuse, S. Ashhab, K. Kakuyanagi, S. Saito, and K. Semba, *Nature Physics* **13**, 44 (2017).
- [18] K. Rojan, Y. Léger, G. Morigi, M. Richard, and A. Minguzzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 127401 (2017).

- [19] K. Murch, *Nature Physics* **13**, 11 (2017).
- [20] J. Bourassa, J. M. Gambetta, A. A. Abdumalikov, O. Astafiev, Y. Nakamura, and A. Blais, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 032109 (2009).
- [21] T. Niemczyk, F. Deppe, H. Huebl, E. P. Menzel, F. Hocke, M. J. Schwarz, J. J. Garcia-Ripoll, D. Zueco, T. Hümmer, E. Solano, A. Marx, and R. Gross, *Nature Physics* **6**, 772 (2010).
- [22] B. Peropadre, P. Forn-Díaz, E. Solano, and J. J. García-Ripoll, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 023601 (2010).
- [23] A. Ridolfo, M. Leib, S. Savasta, and M. J. Hartmann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 193602 (2012).
- [24] P. Forn-Díaz, L. Lamata, E. Rico, J. Kono, and E. Solano, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 025005 (2019).
- [25] C. Ciuti, G. Bastard, and I. Carusotto, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 115303 (2005).
- [26] Q. Xie, H. Zhong, M. T. Batchelor, and C. Lee, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50**, 113001 (2017).
- [27] I. D. Feranchuk, A. V. Leonov, and O. D. Skoromnik, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **49**, 454001 (2016).
- [28] A. P. Saiko, R. Fedaruk, and S. A. Markevich, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **47**, 155502 (2014).
- [29] T. Werlang, A. V. Dodonov, E. I. Duzzioni, and C. J. Villas-Bôas, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 053805 (2008).
- [30] I. D. Feranchuk, A. U. Leonau, and M. M. Eskandari, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **50**, 105501 (2017).
- [31] I. Feranchuk and A. Leonov, *Physics Letters A* **373**, 4113 (2009).
- [32] K. Rzażewski, K. Wódkiewicz, and W. Żakowicz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35**, 432 (1975).
- [33] D. De Bernardis, T. Jaako, and P. Rabl, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 043820 (2018).
- [34] M. D. Crisp, *Phys. Rev. A* **44**, 563 (1991).
- [35] I. Feranchuk, A. Ivanov, V.-H. Le, and A. Ulyanenkov, *Non-perturbative Description of Quantum Systems*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 894 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015).
- [36] F. Reiche and W. Thomas, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **34**, 510 (1925).
- [37] I. D. Feranchuk, L. I. Komarov, and A. P. Ulyanenkov, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **29**, 4035 (1996).
- [38] I. Feranchuk and A. Leonov, *Physics Letters A* **375**, 385 (2011).
- [39] E. K. Irish, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 173601 (2007); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 259901 (2007).
- [40] P. Lambropoulos and D. Petrosyan, *Fundamentals of quantum optics and quantum information* (Springer, Berlin ; New York, 2007) oCLC: ocm74650533.