

EXTREME POINTS OF THE SET OF QUANTUM STATES WITH BOUNDED ENERGY

STEPHAN WEIS AND MAKSIM SHIROKOV

ABSTRACT. We show that for any energy observable every extreme point of the set of quantum states with bounded energy is a pure state. This allows us to write every state with bounded energy in terms of a continuous convex combination of pure states of bounded energy. Furthermore, we prove that any quantum state with finite energy can be represented as a continuous convex combination of pure states with the same energy. We discuss examples from quantum information theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a practical, realistic assumption in engineering that signaling states in communication networks have bounded energy. For example, the light sent through telecom fibers must be suitable for processing at all parties in a network. The assumption of an energy constraint is also targeted at theoretical concepts. An unbounded energy would lead to an infinite capacity, the maximal amount of information a communication channel can transmit [6, Chapter 11].

Therefore, the optimization over a set of energy-constrained quantum states is typical in quantum information theory, see for example [6, Section 11.6] or [2, 4, 7, 10, 19, 20]. Some of these optimization problems are convex optimization problems and could be studied analytically provided we understand the convex geometry of the set of energy-constrained quantum states. Here, we study the extreme points.

In Section 2, we show that every extreme point of the set of quantum states with bounded energy is a pure state, using the idea that every extreme point of a hyperplane section of a convex set is a convex combination of two extreme points of the convex set [1].

As the set of quantum states with bounded energy is a closed, μ -compact convex set [7, 12], we are able to write every state with bounded energy in terms of a continuous convex combination of pure states with bounded energy (akin to Choquet's theorem) in Section 3. Surprisingly, although the convex set of states with given energy is not closed, any state in it can be represented as a continuous convex combination of pure states with the same energy.

The above results allow us to show that the supremum of any convex function on the set of states with bounded energy can be taken only over pure states provided that this function is lower semicontinuous or upper

Date: January 20th, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47Axx,52Axx,81Qxx.

Key words and phrases. Quantum state, energy-constraint, extreme point.

semicontinuous and upper bounded. This result simplifies essentially definitions of several characteristics used in quantum information theory and adjacent fields of mathematical physics. These applications are considered in Section 4.

2. THE EXTREME POINTS ARE PURE STATES

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. The space \mathfrak{T} of trace-class operators on \mathcal{H} is a Banach space with the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. The real Banach space of self-adjoint trace-class operators contains the convex cone \mathfrak{T}^+ of positive trace-class operators, the convex set \mathfrak{T}^1 of positive trace-class operators with trace at most one, and the convex set $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ of density operators, comprising the positive trace-class operators with trace one. We call the density operators synonymously *states*. Note that \mathfrak{T}^1 is the pyramid over \mathfrak{S} with apex the zero operator, and \mathfrak{T}^+ is the convex cone over \mathfrak{S} .

It is well-known that the cone of positive trace-class operators \mathfrak{T}^+ is closed, and that the subsets \mathfrak{S} and \mathfrak{T}^1 are closed and bounded [11]. Recall also that the set of extreme points $\text{ext}(\mathfrak{S})$ of \mathfrak{S} consists of the projectors of rank one, called *pure states*, which may be written in the form $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ where $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector. The set of extreme points $\text{ext}(\mathfrak{T}^1)$ of \mathfrak{T}^1 comprises the pure states and the zero operator.

We define an energy constraint on the cone of positive trace-class operators \mathfrak{T}^+ using a positive, self-adjoint (possibly unbounded) operator H on \mathcal{H} . It is well-known that there is a spectral measure E_H on the Borel σ -algebra of $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$H = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_H(\lambda),$$

see for example Theorem 5.7 of [13]. We approximate the positive operator H by the sequence $HP_n = \int_0^n \lambda dE_H(\lambda)$ of bounded operators, where $P_n = \int_0^n dE_H(\lambda)$ is the spectral projector of H corresponding to $[0, n]$. We define the functional

$$f_H : \mathfrak{T}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty], \quad A \mapsto \text{Tr} HA = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(HP_n A).$$

For every $E \in \mathbb{R}$, the set of states with expected energy at most E is

$$\mathfrak{S}_{H,E} = \{\rho \in \mathfrak{S} : \text{Tr} H\rho \leq E\}.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1 = \{\rho \in \mathfrak{T}^1 : \text{Tr} H\rho \leq E\}.$$

Writing $f_H(A) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Tr}(HP_n A)$, it is easy to show that f_H is lower semi-continuous. It follows that $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$ are closed convex sets.

The topology of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ will matter in the next section where we prove the existence of extreme points. In the present section, we show that every extreme point is a pure state. With this aim, we now turn to general (not necessarily closed) convex sets. Let V be a real vector space and let $K \subset V$ be a convex set. A map $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an *affine map* if

$$f(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y), \quad x, y \in K, \quad \lambda, \mu \geq 0, \quad \lambda + \mu = 1.$$

Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-constant affine map and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We call the convex set $\{x \in K : f(x) = \alpha\}$ a *hyperplane section* of K .




FIGURE 1. Sketch for the proof of Lemma 1.

We slightly modify Barvinok's Lemma III.9.1 in [1]. The proof remains almost the same.

Lemma 1. *Let K be a convex set that contains no infinite rays and that contains the two endpoints of every open segment in K . Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-constant affine map and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then every extreme point of the hyperplane section $L = \{x \in K : f(x) = \alpha\}$ is a convex combination of at most two extreme points of K .*

Proof. Let x be an extreme point of L . If x is an extreme point of K , the proof is complete. Otherwise, there are $\tilde{y} \neq \tilde{z}$ in K such that $x = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{y} + \tilde{z})$. Let $y, z \in K$ be the endpoints of the maximal segment in K that contains the segment $[\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}]$, with respect to the partial ordering by inclusion. The maximal segment exists by the assumptions that K contains no infinite rays and that the endpoints of every open segment in K lie in K .

We claim that y and z are extreme points of K . Assume that y were not an extreme point of K . Then there would be $a \neq b$ in K such that $y = \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$, see Figure 1. As $[y, z]$ is a maximal segment in K , the points a, b, z are affinely independent. Hence, x is an interior point of the triangle abz . This shows that either $abz \cap L = abz$ or $abz \cap L$ is a segment. In either case, x is an interior point of $abz \cap L$ and this contradicts the assumption that x is an extreme point of L . \square

We apply Lemma 1 to convex sets of positive trace-class operators on which the functional f_H has finite values. Let

$$\mathfrak{F}_H^+ = \{A \in \mathfrak{F}^+ : \text{Tr } HA < \infty\}.$$

Clearly, \mathfrak{F}_H^+ is a convex cone and the restriction $f_H|_{\mathfrak{F}_H^+}$ is an affine map. Let $\mathfrak{S}_H = \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{F}_H^+$ and $\mathfrak{F}_H^1 = \mathfrak{F}^1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_H^+$.

Lemma 2. *Every extreme point of \mathfrak{S}_H is a pure state. Every non-zero extreme point of \mathfrak{F}_H^1 is a pure state.*

Proof. Let ρ be an extreme point of \mathfrak{S}_H (resp. of \mathfrak{F}_H^1). Let σ, τ be points in \mathfrak{S} (resp. in \mathfrak{F}^1) and let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $\rho = (1 - \lambda)\sigma + \lambda\tau$. We have to prove that $\sigma = \tau = \rho$. There are three cases. First, if $\text{Tr } H\sigma$ and $\text{Tr } H\tau$ are finite, then the claim follows from the assumption that ρ is an extreme point of \mathfrak{S}_H (resp. of \mathfrak{F}_H^1). Secondly, if $\text{Tr } H\sigma = \infty$, then

$$\text{Tr } H\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(HP_n\rho) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(HP_n((1 - \lambda)\sigma + \lambda\tau)) = \infty$$

contradicts the assumption that $\text{Tr } H\rho < \infty$. The case of $\text{Tr } H\tau = \infty$ is analogous to $\text{Tr } H\sigma = \infty$. \square

It will be essential in the proof of Theorem 1 that the energy functional f_H has a finite value at every superposition of two pure states of finite energy. Therefore, we discuss the problem in detail. We define a function $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\psi \mapsto \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle \doteq \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda d\langle E_H(\lambda) \psi | \psi \rangle.$$

Lemma 3. *For all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\text{Tr } H |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle$. The set of $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ for which $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle < \infty$ is a vector space.*

Proof. The monotone convergence theorem and Proposition 4.1 of [13] show

$$(1) \quad \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \lambda d\langle E_H(\lambda) \psi | \psi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle H P_n \psi | \psi \rangle, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

As $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(\psi, \varphi) \mapsto \langle H P_n \psi | \varphi \rangle$ is a positive sesquilinear form, the function $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\psi \mapsto \sqrt{\langle H P_n \psi | \psi \rangle}$ is a seminorm for each n . The triangle inequality then shows that the set of vectors $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ for which $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle < \infty$ is a vector space. Equation (1) shows also that

$$\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(H P_n |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \text{Tr } H |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Note that $\{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle < \infty\}$ is the domain $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{H})$ of the positive square root of H , which may be larger than the domain of H . For all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{H})$ we have $\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = \langle \sqrt{H} \psi | \sqrt{H} \psi \rangle = \|\sqrt{H} \psi\|^2$, see for example Proposition 10.5 of [13].

The extreme points of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$ fall into two classes, those on the hyperplane section

$$\mathfrak{A}_{H,E} = \{\rho \in \mathfrak{T}_H^+ : \text{Tr } H \rho = E\}$$

of \mathfrak{T}_H^+ , and those extreme points ρ with energy $\text{Tr } H \rho < E$.

Theorem 1. *Every extreme point of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is a pure state. Every extreme point of $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$ has rank at most one.*

Proof. Let ρ be an extreme point of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$). If $\text{Tr } H \rho < E$ we show that ρ is an extreme point of $K = \mathfrak{S}_H$ (resp. of $K = \mathfrak{T}_H^1$). If $\text{Tr } H \rho = E$, we prove that ρ is a convex combination of two extreme points of K , and we prove that ρ is not a convex combination of two pure states. Using that, the claim follows from Lemma 2.

First, let $\text{Tr } H \rho < E$. If ρ is not an extreme point of K , then there is a segment $\mathfrak{s} \subset K$ that contains ρ as an interior point. As f_H is continuous and affine on \mathfrak{s} , there are points $\sigma \neq \tau$ in \mathfrak{s} such that $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + \tau)$ and such that $\text{Tr } H \sigma, \text{Tr } H \tau \leq E$. Hence, the segment $[\sigma, \tau]$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$). This is impossible, as ρ is an extreme point of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$), and proves that ρ is an extreme point of K .

Secondly, let $\text{Tr } H \rho = E$. As ρ is an extreme point of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$), it is *a fortiori* an extreme point of $L = \mathfrak{S}_{H,E} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{H,E}$ (resp. $L = \mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1 \cap \mathfrak{A}_{H,E}$). Note that L is the hyperplane section $L = \{\rho \in K : f_H(\rho) = E\}$ of K . As K is bounded it contains no infinite rays. Moreover, $f_H|_K$ being an affine map

implies that f_H is bounded on every open segment in K . The lower semi-continuity of f_H then show that the endpoints of every open segment in K also belong to K . Therefore, Lemma 1 shows that ρ is a convex combination of two extreme points of K . It remains to exclude the case that ρ is a mixture of two pure states in K , say $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ and $|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$. In that case, ρ would be an interior point of the three-dimensional Bloch ball \mathfrak{B} of density operators acting on the span of ψ and φ . As $\mathfrak{B} \subset K$ by Lemma 3, the intersection $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{H,E}$ is the entire ball \mathfrak{B} or a disk. This contradicts the assumption that ρ is an extreme point of L , as $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{H,E} \subset L$ and as ρ is an interior point of $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{H,E}$. \square

3. PURE-STATE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

If H is an unbounded positive operator on \mathcal{H} with a discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity, then the sets $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$ are compact. This has been shown for $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ in [5] and can be shown easily for $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$ by using Proposition 11 in [16, Appendix].

If H is an arbitrary positive operator, the sets $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{H,E}^1$ are closed but not *compact*. Yet, they are μ -compact by Proposition 2 in [7] and Proposition 4 in [12], respectively. Proposition 5 in [12] provides generalized assertions of Krein-Milman's theorem (which we prove directly for $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ below) and of Choquet's theorem for μ -compact sets. We employ Theorem 1 to make these assertions more explicit.

Theorem 2. *Let H be an arbitrary positive operator and $E > \inf \sigma(H)$, where $\sigma(H)$ is the spectrum of H . Then the set of extreme points $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is nonempty and closed.*

A (Krein-Milman's theorem). *The set $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is the closure of the convex hull of $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$.*

B (Choquet's theorem). *Any state in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is the barycenter $\int \sigma \mu(d\sigma)$ of some Borel probability measure μ supported by $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows as the infimum of the spectrum of H is equal to the infimum of $\langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle$ over all unit vectors φ . By Theorem 1, the set of extreme points of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is the intersection of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ with the set of all pure states, both of which are closed. This shows that $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is closed.

A) By Lemma 4 below, it suffices to show that any finite rank state ρ in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ can be represented as a convex combination of pure states in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$. Let \mathcal{H}_ρ be the support of ρ and P the projector on this subspace. Since the subspace \mathcal{H}_ρ is finite-dimensional, it is easy to see that the finiteness of $\text{Tr } H\rho$ implies that \mathcal{H}_ρ belongs to the domain of \sqrt{H} . It follows that $H_\rho = PHP$ is a bounded operator on \mathcal{H}_ρ . The state ρ lies in the set $\mathfrak{S}_\rho(E)$ of all states σ supported by \mathcal{H}_ρ and satisfying the inequality $\text{Tr } H_\rho \sigma \leq E$. By Carathéodory's theorem, ρ is a finite convex combination of extreme points of $\mathfrak{S}_\rho(E)$ which are pure states by Theorem 1. It is clear that $\mathfrak{S}_\rho(E) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$.

B) This assertion follows from Proposition 5 in [12], since the set $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is μ -compact and since $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is closed. \square

Note that the closedness of the set $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is not obvious even in the case of an operator H with discrete spectrum or in the case of $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$. This property is necessary for the stability [12] of $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$.

The set of extreme points $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ may be empty if $E = \inf \sigma(H)$ is the ground-state energy of H . The other assertions of Theorem 2 remain true if we replace $E > \inf \sigma(H)$ with $E = \inf \sigma(H)$.

Question. Under which conditions on the operator H can part B of Theorem 2 be strengthened to the statement that any state in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is a *countable* convex combination of pure states in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$? This and the arguments of Corollary 1 below would imply that any state with finite energy is a *countable* convex combination of pure states with the same energy. In the finite dimensional settings the existence of such decomposition is shown in [10], it also follows directly from the proof of Theorem 1 (second case $\text{Tr } H\rho = E$) and Carathéodory's theorem.

We turn to an intriguing representation at constant energy.

Corollary 1. *Let H be an arbitrary positive operator. Any state ρ such that $\text{Tr } H\rho = E < +\infty$ can be represented as follows*

$$(2) \quad \rho = \int \sigma \mu(d\sigma),$$

where μ is a Borel probability measure supported by pure states such that $\text{Tr } H\sigma = E$ for μ -almost all σ .

Proof. The assertion B of Theorem 2 implies that equation (2) holds for some probability measure μ supported by the set $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E} = \mathfrak{S}_{H,E} \cap \text{ext } \mathfrak{S}$. Since the function $\sigma \mapsto \text{Tr } H\sigma$ is nonnegative affine and lower semicontinuous, we have (see, f.i., [14, the Appendix])

$$\int \text{Tr}(H\sigma) \mu(d\sigma) = \text{Tr } H\rho = E.$$

Since $\text{Tr } H\sigma \leq E$ for all σ in the support of μ , this equality implies that $\text{Tr } H\sigma = E$ for μ -almost all σ . \square

Note: Despite the fact that the convex set of states with given energy E is not closed, any state in it can be represented as a continuous convex combination of pure states from this set.

The barycenter of a Borel probability measure supported on pure states is known as a *continuous convex combination* or a *generalized ensemble* of pure states [7]. In this sense, the probability measure μ in part B of Theorem 2 is a generalized ensemble of pure states of bounded energy. In the strict sense, the probability measure μ in Corollary 1 is not a generalized ensemble of pure states of constant energy E , as the support of μ may contain a set of μ -measure zero where the energy is smaller than E .

Lemma 4. *Let H be an arbitrary positive operator and $E \in \mathbb{R}$. The set of finite-rank states in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$.*

Proof. Let $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} p_i |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$ be an infinite-rank state in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$. For any given n let

$$\rho_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i| + q_n |\tau_n\rangle\langle\tau_n|, \quad q_n = \sum_{i>n} p_i,$$

where $\tau_n \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector such that $\langle\tau_n|H|\tau_n\rangle \leq q_n^{-1} \sum_{i>n} p_i \langle\varphi_i|H|\varphi_i\rangle$. The existence of the vector τ_n is clear if $E_0 = \inf \sigma(H)$ is an eigenvalue of H , as the infimum of the spectrum of H is equal to the infimum of $\langle\varphi|H|\varphi\rangle$ over all unit vectors φ . This implies also the existence of τ_n if E_0 is not an eigenvalue of H . In that case

$$\langle\varphi|H|\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi|H - E_0|\varphi\rangle + E_0 = \|\sqrt{H - E_0}\varphi\|^2 + E_0 > E_0$$

holds for all unit vectors $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that $\rho_n \in \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ and that $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4. APPLICATIONS TO QUANTUM INFORMATION THEORY

In this section we consider some applications of our main results in quantum information theory and mathematical physics. These applications are based on the following observation.

Proposition 1. *Let H be an arbitrary positive operator and f a convex function on the set $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$, which is either lower semicontinuous or upper semicontinuous and upper bounded. Then*

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}} f(\rho) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_E} f(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_E = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle\varphi|H|\varphi\rangle \leq E, \|\varphi\| = 1\}$. If the function f is upper semicontinuous and the operator H has unbounded discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity, then the supremum on the right-hand side of (3) is attained at a unit vector in \mathcal{H}_E .

Proof. By Theorem 2, for any mixed state ρ in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ there is a probability measure μ supported by pure states in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ such that

$$\rho = \int \sigma \mu(d\sigma).$$

The assumed properties of the function f guarantees (see, f.i., [14, the Appendix]) the validity of the Jensen inequality

$$f(\rho) \leq \int f(\sigma) \mu(d\sigma),$$

which implies the existence of a pure state σ in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ such that $f(\sigma) \geq f(\rho)$.

If the operator H has unbounded discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity then the set $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is compact. Hence, the set of extreme points $\text{ext } \mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ is compact by Theorem 2. This and the above arguments imply that the first supremum in (3) is attained at a pure state in $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ (provided that the function f is upper semicontinuous). \square

Remark 1. The arguments from the proof of Proposition 1, when using Corollary 1 instead of Theorem 2, show that

$$\sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}), \text{Tr } H\rho = E} f(\rho) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1: \langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle = E} f(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)$$

for any convex function f on the set $\mathfrak{S}_{H,E}$ which is either lower semicontinuous or upper semicontinuous and upper bounded. In the above formula \mathcal{H}_1 is the unit sphere in \mathcal{H} .

Of course, we may replace the convex function f in Proposition 1 by the concave function $-f$ (and supremum by infimum). This idea is motivated by potential applications, since many important characteristics of a state in quantum information theory are concave lower semicontinuous and nonnegative. See the following examples.

Example 1 (The minimal output entropy of an energy-constrained quantum channel). The *von Neumann entropy* of a quantum state ρ in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is a basic characteristic of this state defined by the formula $H(\rho) = -\text{Tr} \eta(\rho)$, where $\eta(x) = -x \log x$ for $x > 0$ and $\eta(0) = 0$. The function $H(\rho)$ is concave and lower semicontinuous on the set $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ and takes values in $[0, +\infty]$, see for example [6, 8, 18].

A quantum channel from a system A to a system B is a completely positive trace-preserving linear map $\Phi : \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{K})$ between the Banach spaces $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{K})$, where \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are Hilbert spaces associated with the systems A and B , respectively. In the analysis of information abilities of quantum channels, the notion of the minimal output entropy of a channel is widely used [6, 3, 9, 10, 17]. It is defined as

$$H_{\min}(\Phi) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})} H(\Phi(\rho)) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1} H(\Phi(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)),$$

where \mathcal{H}_1 is the unit sphere in \mathcal{H} , and where the second equality follows from the concavity of the function $\rho \mapsto H(\Phi(\rho))$ and from the possibility to decompose any mixed state into a convex combination of pure states.

In studies of infinite-dimensional quantum channels, it is reasonable to impose the energy-constraint on input states of these channels. So, alongside with the minimal output entropy $H_{\min}(\Phi)$, it is reasonable to consider its constrained versions (cf. [10])

$$(4) \quad H_{\min}(\Phi, H, E) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}): \text{Tr } H\rho \leq E} H(\Phi(\rho)),$$

$$(5) \quad H_{\min}^{\bar{}}(\Phi, H, E) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}): \text{Tr } H\rho = E} H(\Phi(\rho)).$$

In contrast to the unconstrained case, it is not obvious that the infima in (4) and (5) can be taken only over pure states satisfying the conditions $\text{Tr } H\rho \leq E$ and $\text{Tr } H\rho = E$ correspondingly. In [10] it is shown that this holds in the finite-dimensional settings. The above Proposition 1 allows to prove the same assertion for an arbitrary infinite-dimensional channel Φ and any energy observable H .

Corollary 2. *Let H be an arbitrary positive operator and let E be greater than the infimum of the spectrum of H . Then both infima in (4) and (5) can*

be taken over pure states, i.e.

$$(6) \quad H_{\min}(\Phi, H, E) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1: \langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle \leq E} H(\Phi(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)),$$

$$(7) \quad H_{\min}^-(\Phi, H, E) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1: \langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle = E} H(\Phi(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|)).$$

If the operator H has unbounded discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity, then the infimum in (6) is attained at a unit vector.

Proof. By Proposition 1 and Remark 1, it suffices to note that the function $\rho \mapsto H(\Phi(\rho))$ is concave nonnegative and lower semicontinuous (as a composition of a continuous and a lower semicontinuous function). \square

Corollary 2 simplifies the definitions of the quantities $H_{\min}(\Phi, H, E)$ and $H_{\min}^-(\Phi, H, E)$ significantly. It also shows that

$$H_{\min}(\widehat{\Phi}, H, E) = H_{\min}(\Phi, H, E) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\min}^-(\widehat{\Phi}, H, E) = H_{\min}^-(\Phi, H, E),$$

where $\widehat{\Phi}$ is a complementary channel to the channel Φ , since for any pure state ρ we have $H(\widehat{\Phi}(\rho)) = H(\Phi(\rho))$, see Section 8.3 of [6].

Example 2 (On the definition of the operator E -norms). On the algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded operators one can consider the family $\{\|A\|_E^H\}_{E>0}$ of norms induced by a positive operator H with the infimum of the spectrum equal to zero [15]. For any $E > 0$ the norm $\|A\|_E^H$ is defined as

$$(8) \quad \|A\|_E^H \doteq \sup_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}): \text{Tr } H\rho \leq E} \sqrt{\text{Tr } A\rho A^*}.$$

These norms, called operator E -norms, appear as “doppelganger” of the energy-constrained Bures distance between completely positive linear maps in the generalized version of the Kretschmann-Schlingemann-Werner theorem [15, Section 4].

For any $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the function $E \mapsto \|A\|_E^H$ is concave and tends to $\|A\|$ (the operator norm of A) as $E \rightarrow +\infty$. All the norms $\|A\|_E^H$ are equivalent (for different E and fixed H) on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and generate a topology depending on the operator H . If H is an unbounded operator then this topology is weaker than the norm topology on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it coincides with the strong operator topology on bounded subsets of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ provided that the operator H has unbounded discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity.

If we assume that the supremum in (8) can be taken only over pure states ρ such that $\text{Tr } H\rho \leq E$ then we obtain the following simpler definition

$$(9) \quad \|A\|_E^H \doteq \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1, \langle \varphi | H | \varphi \rangle \leq E} \|A\varphi\|,$$

which shows the sense of the norm $\|A\|_E^H$ as a constrained version of the operator norm $\|A\|$. In [15] the above assumption was proved only in the case when the operator H has unbounded discrete spectrum of finite multiplicity. Proposition 1 (applied to the continuous affine function $f(\rho) = \text{Tr } A\rho A^*$) allows to fill this gap.

Corollary 3. For an arbitrary positive operator H , the definitions (8) and (9) coincide for any $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Acknowledgements. The first author thanks M. R. Galarza as well as M. M. Weis and J. Weis for hosting him while working on this project. The second author is grateful to A. S. Holevo and G. G. Amosov for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barvinok, A., *A Course in Convexity*, Providence, AMS, 2002. ISBN: 978-0-8218-2968-4, DOI: [10.1090/gsm/054](https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/054)
- [2] Becker, S., and N. Datta, *Convergence rates for quantum evolution and entropic continuity bounds in infinite dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. (2019). DOI: [10.1007/s00220-019-03594-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03594-2)
- [3] Garcia-Patron, R., C. Navarrete-Benlloch, S. Lloyd, J.H. Shapiro, and N.J. Cerf, *Majorization theory approach to the Gaussian channel minimum entropy conjecture*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**:11, 110505 (2012). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.110505](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.110505)
- [4] Giovannetti, V., R. Garcia-Patron, N.J. Cerf, and A.S. Holevo, *Ultimate classical communication rates of quantum optical channels*, Nature Photonics **8**:10, 796–800 (2014). DOI: [10.1038/nphoton.2014.216](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.216)
- [5] Holevo, A.S., *Entanglement-assisted capacities of constrained quantum channels*, Theory of Probability & Its Applications **48**:2, 243–255 (2004). DOI: [10.4213/tvp289](https://doi.org/10.4213/tvp289)
- [6] Holevo, A.S., *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information: A Mathematical Introduction*, Berlin, DeGruyter, 2012. ISBN: 978-3-11-027340-3, URL: <https://www.degruyter.com/view/product/180320>
- [7] Holevo, A.S., and M.E. Shirokov, *Continuous ensembles and the capacity of infinite-dimensional quantum channels*, Theory of Probability & Its Applications **50**:1, 86–98 (2006). DOI: [10.4213/tvp160](https://doi.org/10.4213/tvp160)
- [8] Lindblad, G., *Expectations and entropy inequalities for finite quantum systems*, Commun.Math. Phys. **39**:2, 111–119 (1974). DOI: [10.1007/BF01608390](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01608390)
- [9] Mari, A., V. Giovannetti, and A.S. Holevo, *Quantum state majorization at the output of bosonic Gaussian channels*, Nat Commun **5**:1, 3826 (2014). DOI: [10.1038/ncomms4826](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4826)
- [10] Memarzadeh, L., and S. Mancini, *Minimum output entropy of a non-Gaussian quantum channel*, Phys. Rev. A **94**:2, 022341 (2016). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.94.022341](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.022341)
- [11] Murphy, G.J., *C*-Algebras and Operator Theory*, Boston, Academic Press, 1990. ISBN: 978-0-12-511360-1, DOI: [10.1016/C2009-0-22289-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-22289-6)
- [12] Protasov, V. Yu., and M.E. Shirokov, *Generalized compactness in linear spaces and its applications*, Sbornik: Mathematics **200**:5, 697–722 (2009). DOI: [10.4213/sm5246](https://doi.org/10.4213/sm5246)
- [13] Schmüdgen, K., *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Dordrecht, Springer, 2012. ISBN: 978-94-007-4752-4, DOI: [10.1007/978-94-007-4753-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4753-1)
- [14] Shirokov, M.E., *On properties of the space of quantum states and their application to the construction of entanglement monotones*, Izvestiya: Mathematics **74**:4, 849–882 (2010). DOI: [10.4213/im2815](https://doi.org/10.4213/im2815)
- [15] Shirokov, M.E., *Operator E-norms and their use*. [arXiv:1806.05668 v.4](https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.05668) [math.FA]
- [16] Shirokov, M.E., and A.S. Holevo, *On approximation of infinite-dimensional quantum channels*, Problems of Information Transmission **44**:2, 73–90 (2008). DOI: [10.1134/S0032946008020014](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0032946008020014)
- [17] Shor, P.W., *Equivalence of additivity questions in quantum information theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **246**:3, 453–472 (2004). DOI: [10.1007/s00220-003-0981-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-003-0981-7)
- [18] Wehrl, A., *General properties of entropy*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **50**:2, 221–260 (1978). DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.50.221](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.50.221)
- [19] Wilde, M.M., and H. Qi, *Energy-constrained private and quantum capacities of quantum channels*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **64**:12, 7802–7827 (2018). DOI: [10.1109/TIT.2018.2854766](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2018.2854766)
- [20] Winter, A., *Energy-constrained diamond norm with applications to the uniform continuity of continuous variable channel capacities*. [arXiv:1712.10267](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10267) [quant-ph]

Stephan Weis
Theisenort 6
96231 Bad Staffelstein
Germany
e-mail maths@weis-stephan.de

Maksim Shirokov
Steklov Mathematical Institute
Moscow
Russia
e-mail msh@mi.ras.ru