

The three-level coupled Maxwell–Bloch equations: rogue waves, semirational rogue waves and W-shaped solitons

Xin Wang^{a*}, Lei Wang^b, Chong Liu^{c,d}

^a*College of Science, Zhongyuan University of Technology, Zhengzhou, 450007, China*

^b*School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing, 102206, China*

^c*School of Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an, 710069, China*

^d*Shaanxi Key Laboratory for Theoretical Physics Frontiers, Xi'an 710069, China*

Abstract

In this paper the coupled Maxwell–Bloch equations which describe the propagation of two optical pulses in an optical medium with coherent three-level atoms are studied by Darboux transformation. The general n th-order rogue wave solution involving two different choices of multiple roots for the spectral characteristic equation and the multiparametric n th-order semirational solution are both obtained in terms of Schur polynomials. The explicit rogue wave solutions and semirational solutions from first to second order are provided. In contrast to the known Peregrine soliton, dark and four-petaled structures, some unusual patterns such as triple-hole, twisted-pair, composite four-petaled and composite dark rogue waves are put forward. Moreover, the interaction between dark-bright soliton and dark rogue wave and interaction between breather and dark rogue wave are shown. Further, the higher-order nonlinear superposition modes which feature triple and quadruple temporal-spatial distributions are presented. Finally, the state transition between rogue wave and W-shaped soliton is found where the modulation instability growth rate tends to zero under the low perturbation frequency. Particularly, the dark and double-peak W-shaped solitons are examined.

Keywords: The three-level coupled Maxwell–Bloch equations; Rogue waves; Semirational rogue waves; W-shaped solitons; Darboux transformation; Modulation instability

1. Introduction

In the past few decades, the field of fiber optical communication has been a rapid development in theoretical and experimental studies. Particularly, the concept of soliton has been applied to describe intense electromagnetic beams and ultrashort pulse propagation in diverse medium. Dissipation and dispersion in the medium are the main obstacle for signals transmitting through optical fibers. In order to counter this, transmission of picosecond optical pulses simulated by the soliton solution of the celebrated nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation, in which the group velocity dispersion can be balanced by the phase self-modulation, was investigated by Hasegawa and Tappert forty years ago [1]. Another type of optical soliton is based on the self-induced transparency (SIT) effect in coherent medium associated with an incident electric field, to which the medium is wholly transparent and the propagation is lossless. This phenomenon was first discovered by McCall and Hahn when studying the optical soliton in a two-level resonant system in 1967 [2].

The SIT phenomenon in a two-level medium can be governed by the Maxwell-Bloch equations [3]. Some classical methods in the soliton theory such as the inverse scattering transform [4], the Darboux transformation [5] and the Riemann-Hilbert approach [6] have been actively utilized to solve the MB

*Corresponding author.

Email address: wangxinlinzhou@163.com (Xin Wang^{a*})

equations with inhomogeneous broadening and sharp-line limit case. Particularly, a variety of special solutions such as rogue wave solutions for the Maxwell-Bloch equations have been exactly proposed to describe the propagation of optical pulses in a two-level optical medium [7–9]. More recently, a particular class of solutions for the reduced Maxwell-Bloch equations, the generalized Maxwell-Bloch equations and the relevant “AB” system have been extensively investigated by many authors [10–14].

It is also important to consider the pulse propagation in a three-level system, which can be governed by the following coupled Maxwell-Bloch (CMB) equations:

$$E_{1t} = \langle p_1 \rangle, \quad (1a)$$

$$E_{2t} = \langle p_2 \rangle, \quad (1b)$$

$$p_{1x} + 2i\omega p_1 = \frac{1}{2} (M_{11}E_1 + M_{21}E_2 + NE_1), \quad (1c)$$

$$p_{2x} + 2i\omega p_2 = \frac{1}{2} (M_{12}E_1 + M_{22}E_2 + NE_2), \quad (1d)$$

$$N_x = -\frac{1}{2} (p_1E_1^* + p_1^*E_1) - \frac{1}{2} (p_2E_2^* + p_2^*E_2), \quad (1e)$$

$$M_{11x} = -\frac{1}{2} (p_1E_1^* + p_1^*E_1), \quad (1f)$$

$$M_{12x} = -\frac{1}{2} (p_1^*E_2 + p_2E_1^*), \quad (1g)$$

$$M_{21x} = -\frac{1}{2} (p_1E_2^* + p_2^*E_1), \quad (1h)$$

$$M_{22x} = -\frac{1}{2} (p_2E_2^* + p_2^*E_2), \quad (1i)$$

where the brackets $\langle \rangle$ denotes the averaging over ω with given distribution function $g(\omega)$, namely,

$$\langle p_j \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p_j(x, t; \omega) g(\omega) d\omega, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Here the sign $*$ stands for the complex conjugate, E_1 and E_2 represent two propagating electric fields, N , p_1 , p_2 and M_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2$) are elements of the density matrix of atomic subsystem

$$\tilde{p} = \begin{pmatrix} -N & p_1 & p_2 \\ p_1^* & M_{11} & M_{12} \\ p_2^* & M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $M_{21} = M_{12}^*$. Eqs. (1c)-(1i) can be casted into the following matrix form, as

$$\tilde{p}_x = \frac{1}{2} [-2i\omega\sigma_3 + \tilde{E}, \tilde{p}], \quad (2)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ represents the matrix commutator, and

$$\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_1 & E_2 \\ -E_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ -E_2^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The CMB system (1) has been an active research subject during the past few decades due to its close connection with the SIT [15] and electromagnetically induced transparency [16]. These problems are usually related to the Λ , V and the “cascade” configurations of three-level medias [15]. The complete integrability, soliton solution and breather solution for the CMB equations have been studied by Wadati, Ablowitz, Shin and other authors [17–20]. Here, it should be pointed out that the integral associated with the distribution function $g(\omega)$ accounts for the inhomogeneous broadening effect, while for the case

of sharp-line limit whence $g(\omega)$ tends to a Dirac-delta function at the resonant frequency, the bracket could be eliminated, viz., $\langle p_j \rangle \equiv p_j$, ($j = 1, 2$). In this paper, we consider the simple sharp-line limit for the CMB equations.

After several years of rapid development, rogue waves have nowadays gone deep into almost every corner of modern science, ranging from oceanography [21] and optics [22] to Bose-Einstein condensates [23] and even finance [24]. A single rogue wave can be described by the famous Peregrine soliton of the NLS equation [25], and further the superposition of a certain mount of rogue waves are simulated by higher-order rational solutions of the NLS equation [26–29]. Generally speaking, a wave that has a peak three times larger than the background amplitude, localizes in both space and time, and appears to follow L -shaped statistics can be classified into rogue wave category [22, 25–30]. Nevertheless, for a comprehensive understanding of the rogue wave phenomenon, one should concentrate on not only the single-wave NLS model but also the coupled systems with more than one equation. In this direction, rogue wave solutions of the coupled NLS equations [31], the coupled Hirota equations [32, 33], the three-wave resonant interaction equations [34, 35] and other coupled systems [36, 37] have been extensively studied. As a matter of fact, apart from the Peregrine soliton type, some new rogue wave patterns, such as dark [36], four-petaled [38], composite [31] and semirational rogue waves [39, 40] can exist in the coupled systems with different modes, frequencies or polarizations.

In this paper, we focus on rogue waves, semirational rogue waves and W-shaped solitons in the CMB equations by making use of the Darboux transformation (DT) method and the modulation instability analysis [41–43]. Our paper can be organized as follows. In section 2, we present a Lax pair associated with its n -fold DT based on the standard procedure for the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) problem. In section 3, by taking into account of the double-root and triple-root distributions for the spectral characteristic equation, we derive the general n th-order rogue wave solution in terms of Schur polynomials under one specific plane-wave background. We show some rare rogue wave patterns such as triple-hole rogue wave and twisted-pair rogue wave. In section 4, we obtain the multiparametric n th-order semirational rogue wave solution in terms of Schur polynomials under another plane-wave background. The extraordinary interaction between dark-bright soliton and dark rogue wave and that between breather and dark rogue wave are exhibited for illustration. In section 5, we apply the standard linearized stability analysis to establish the analytical parametric condition of the state transition between rogue wave and W-shaped soliton. The dark and double-peak W-shaped solitons are presented as examples. Finally, we give our conclusion in section 6.

2. Lax pair and Darboux transformation

It is known that the CMB system (1) admits the following 3×3 linear eigenvalue problem [18]:

$$\Phi_x = U\Phi, \quad U = \frac{1}{2}(-i\lambda\sigma_3 + \tilde{E}), \quad (3a)$$

$$\Phi_t = V\Phi, \quad V = \frac{1}{2i(\lambda - 2\omega)}\tilde{p}, \quad (3b)$$

where λ is the spectral parameter and $\Phi = (\psi, \varphi, \chi)^T$ is the complex eigenfunction. One can directly recover the CMB system (1) through the compatibility condition $U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0$.

After that, we establish the standard DT for System (3) by using the conventional gauge transformation method [5]. Suppose $\Phi_j = (\psi_j, \varphi_j, \chi_j)^T$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are n distinct solutions of Eqs. (3a) and (3b) at $\lambda = \lambda_j$, then the n -fold DT for System (3) takes the form

$$\Phi[n] = T\Phi, \quad T = I + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{T_j}{\lambda - \lambda_j^*} = I - JH^{-1}D^{-1}J^\dagger, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_n), \\ D &= \text{diag}(\lambda - \lambda_1^*, \lambda - \lambda_2^*, \dots, \lambda - \lambda_n^*), \\ H &= (H_{ij})_{n \times n} = \left(\frac{\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i^*} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the symbol \dagger denotes the complex conjugate transpose. It follows that the n -fold DT keeps System (3) invariant, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[n]_x &= U[n]\Phi[n], \quad U[n] = \frac{1}{2}(-i\lambda\sigma_3 + \tilde{E}[n]), \\ \Phi[n]_t &= V[n]\Phi[n], \quad V[n] = \frac{1}{2i(\lambda - 2\omega)}\tilde{p}[n], \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\tilde{E}[n] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_1[n] & E_2[n] \\ -E_1[n]^* & 0 & 0 \\ -E_2[n]^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{p} = \begin{pmatrix} -N[n] & p_1[n] & p_2[n] \\ p_1[n]^* & M_{11}[n] & M_{12}[n] \\ p_2[n]^* & M_{21}[n] & M_{22}[n] \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$E_1[n] = E_1 + 2i \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Y^\dagger \\ X & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5a)$$

$$E_2[n] = E_2 + 2i \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Z^\dagger \\ X & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5b)$$

$$N[n] = N - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & X^\dagger \\ X & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5c)$$

$$p_1[n] = p_1 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Y^\dagger \\ X & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5d)$$

$$p_2[n] = p_2 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Z^\dagger \\ X & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5e)$$

$$M_{11}[n] = M_{11} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Y^\dagger \\ Y & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5f)$$

$$M_{12}[n] = M_{12} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Z^\dagger \\ Y & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5g)$$

$$M_{21}[n] = M_{21} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Y^\dagger \\ Z & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5h)$$

$$M_{22}[n] = M_{22} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H|} \begin{vmatrix} H & Z^\dagger \\ Z & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5i)$$

with

$$X = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n), \quad Y = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n), \quad Z = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n).$$

The formulas (5a)-(5i) together with the series expansion technique will be employed to derive the explicit rogue wave and semirational solutions for the CMB equations.

3. Rogue wave solutions

In this section, we begin with a plane-wave solution of the CMB equations

$$\begin{aligned} E_j[0] &= c_j e^{i\theta_j}, \quad p_j[0] = i c_j b_j e^{i\theta_j}, \quad M_{jj}[0] = m_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ N[0] &= N_0, \quad M_{12}[0] = M_{21}[0]^* = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\delta} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\theta_j = a_j x + b_j t$, $\delta = a_1 - a_2$, $\sigma = b_1 - b_2$, a_j , b_j , c_j stand for the frequency, wavenumber and background of the electric field E_j , respectively, N_0 and m_j are real constants and yield the relation

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= -\frac{1}{2\delta} [2(2a_1 b_1 + 4b_1 \omega + N_0)\delta - c_2^2 \sigma], \\ m_2 &= -\frac{1}{2\delta} [2(2a_2 b_2 + 4b_2 \omega + N_0)\delta - c_1^2 \sigma]. \end{aligned}$$

Substitution of the above plane-wave solution into Eqs. (3a) and (3b) can lead to the fundamental solution

$$\Phi = G \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{ic_1} & \frac{1}{ic_1} & \frac{1}{ic_1} \\ \frac{2\xi_1 - \lambda - 2a_1}{ic_2} & \frac{2\xi_2 - \lambda - 2a_1}{ic_2} & \frac{2\xi_3 - \lambda - 2a_1}{ic_2} \\ \frac{2\xi_1 - \lambda - 2a_2}{ic_2} & \frac{2\xi_2 - \lambda - 2a_2}{ic_2} & \frac{2\xi_3 - \lambda - 2a_2}{ic_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{A_1} \\ e^{A_2} \\ e^{A_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $G = \text{diag}(1, e^{-i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_2})$, ξ_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) satisfy the cubic spectral characteristic equation

$$\xi^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda + \varsigma\right)\xi^2 - \left(\frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4}\varrho - a_1 a_2\right)\xi + \frac{1}{8}\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{4}\varsigma\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{8}(\varrho + 4a_1 a_2)\lambda + \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 a_2 + \frac{1}{4}c_2^2 a_1 = 0, \quad (8)$$

with $\varsigma = a_1 + a_2$, $\varrho = c_1^2 + c_2^2$, and

$$A_j = i \left(\xi_j x + \frac{[\sigma \xi_j^2 + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) \xi_j]}{(\lambda - 2\omega)\delta} t \right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (9)$$

As known in Refs. [31], the generalized DT which can be viewed as a special limit of the n -fold DT is one of the most effective tools to construct higher-order rogue wave solutions. To this end, one should select a special spectral parameter λ_1 and a multiple root of the spectral characteristic equation for given parameters in the plane-wave solution. In what follows, we will apply the generalized DT to derive the general n th-order rogue wave solution by considering the double-root and triple-root cases of Eq. (8).

3.1. Series expansions of double-root case

The discriminant of the cubic equation (8) can be written as

$$\Delta = -4\rho^3 + 27v^2, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{1}{3}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{3}\varsigma\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\varrho + \frac{1}{3}(a_1^2 - a_1 a_2 + a_2^2), \\ v &= \frac{2}{27}\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{9}\varsigma\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}\varrho - \frac{1}{3}a_1^2 + \frac{4}{3}a_1 a_2 - \frac{1}{3}a_2^2\right)\lambda + \frac{1}{6}(c_1^2 a_2 + c_2^2 a_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 a_1 + c_2^2 a_2) - \frac{2}{27}(a_1^2 - a_1 a_2 + a_2^2)\varsigma + \frac{1}{9}a_1 a_2 \varsigma. \end{aligned}$$

One can prove Eq. (8) possesses a double root when $\Delta = 0$ for the nonzero ρ and v .

At this point, we assume that a special spectral parameter $\lambda = \lambda_1$ fulfills Eq. (10) under the given plane-wave solution parameters and corresponds to a double root of Eq. (8), which, we denote

$\xi_2 = \xi_1 \neq \xi_3$. Then a perturbation of the spectral parameter

$$\lambda_1(\epsilon) = \lambda_1 + \ell\epsilon^2, \quad (11)$$

where ϵ is a small complex parameter and

$$\ell = \frac{2(\lambda_1 + 2\varsigma - 6\xi_1)}{4\xi_1^2 - 4(\lambda_1 + \varsigma)\xi_1 + \lambda_1^2 + 2\varsigma\lambda_1 + 4a_1a_2},$$

can give rise to the expansion

$$\xi_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1^{[0]} &= \xi_1, \quad \xi_1^{[1]} = 1, \quad \xi_1^{[2]} = -\frac{2\ell\xi_1 + \ell\lambda_1 - 2}{2(\lambda_1 + 2\varsigma - 6\xi_1)}, \\ \xi_1^{[3]} &= \frac{1}{8(\lambda_1 + 2\varsigma - 6\xi_1)} \left[\left(24(\xi_1^{[2]})^2 - 8\ell\xi_1^{[2]} - 2\ell^2 \right) \xi_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (4\lambda_1 + 8\varsigma)(\xi_1^{[2]})^2 - (4\ell\lambda_1 - 24)\xi_1^{[2]} + 2\varsigma\ell^2 + 3\ell^2\lambda_1 - 4\ell \right], \\ \xi_1^{[4]} &= -\frac{1}{4(\lambda_1 + 2\varsigma - 6\xi_1)} \left[\left(4\ell\xi_1^{[3]} - 24\xi_1^{[2]}\xi_1^{[3]} \right) \xi_1 - 12(\xi_1^{[2]})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left((4\lambda_1 + 8\varsigma)\xi_1^{[3]} + 4\ell \right) \xi_1^{[2]} + (2\ell\lambda_1 - 12)\xi_1^{[3]} + \ell^2 \right], \\ \xi_1^{[5]} &= -\frac{1}{8(\lambda_1 + 2\varsigma - 6\xi_1)} \left[\left(8\ell\xi_1^{[4]} - 48\xi_1^{[2]}\xi_1^{[4]} - 24(\xi_1^{[3]})^2 \right) \xi_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 8(\xi_1^{[2]})^3 + 4\ell(\xi_1^{[2]})^2 - \left(48\xi_1^{[3]} - (8\lambda_1 + 16\varsigma)\xi_1^{[4]} - 2\ell^2 \right) \xi_1^{[2]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (4\lambda_1 + 8\varsigma)(\xi_1^{[3]})^2 + 8\ell\xi_1^{[3]} + (4\ell\lambda_1 - 24)\xi_1^{[4]} - \ell^3 \right], \end{aligned}$$

and $\xi_1^{[j]}$ ($j \geq 6$) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1^{[j]} &= \left[\sum_{m+n+k=j+1}^{0 \leq m, n, k \leq j-1} \xi_1^{[m]} \xi_1^{[n]} \xi_1^{[k]} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 + \varsigma \right) \sum_{m+n=j+1}^{0 \leq m, n \leq j-1} \xi_1^{[m]} \xi_1^{[n]} - \frac{1}{2}\ell \sum_{m+n=j-1} \xi_1^{[m]} \xi_1^{[n]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\ell\lambda_1 \xi_1^{[j-1]} - \frac{1}{4}\ell^2 \xi_1^{[j-3]} \right] / (\lambda_1 + 2\varsigma - 6\xi_1). \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$A_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (13)$$

where

$$A_1^{[j]} = i \left(\xi_1^{[j]} x + \frac{1}{\delta} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{l=0}^{j-2k} \frac{(-\ell)^k \sigma}{(\lambda_1 - 2\omega)^{k+1}} \xi_1^{[l]} \xi_1^{[j-2k-l]} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-\ell)^k (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)}{(\lambda_1 - 2\omega)^{k+1}} \xi_1^{[j-2k]} \right] t \right).$$

Here $[m]$ that is on top of the summation sign denotes the integer part of a real number m .

Furthermore, based on the Schur polynomials $S^{[j]}(\mathbf{x})$, which are defined by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{[j]}(\mathbf{x}) \epsilon^j = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \epsilon^j \right),$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$,

$$S^{[j]} = \sum_{\sum_{k=0}^m k l_k = j} \frac{(x_1)^{l_1} (x_2)^{l_2} \dots (x_m)^{l_m}}{l_1! l_2! \dots l_m!},$$

and specially,

$$S^{[0]} = 1, \quad S^{[1]} = x_1, \quad S^{[2]} = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_2, \quad S^{[3]} = x_3 + x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{6}x_1^3,$$

one obtains

$$\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{[j]}(\mathbf{A}) \epsilon^j, \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{A} = (A_1^{[1]}, A_1^{[2]}, \dots)$.

On the other hand, using the expansion

$$\frac{1}{2\xi_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon) - 2a_l} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_l^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_l^{[0]} &= \frac{-1}{-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1}, \quad \mu_l^{[1]} = \frac{-2}{(-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1)^2}, \\ \mu_l^{[k]} &= \frac{-1}{-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^k 2\mu_l^{[k-m]} \xi_1^{[m]} - \ell \mu_l^{[k-2]} \right], \quad k \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

we can define

$$\Upsilon(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Upsilon^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\Upsilon^{[j]} = \begin{pmatrix} S^{[j]}(\mathbf{A}) \\ \text{i}c_1 \sum_{k=0}^j \mu_1^{[j-k]} S^{[k]}(\mathbf{A}) \\ \text{i}c_2 \sum_{k=0}^j \mu_2^{[j-k]} S^{[k]}(\mathbf{A}) \end{pmatrix},$$

At this stage, we introduce

$$\Psi_1(\epsilon) = \Gamma_1 \left[\frac{\Upsilon(\epsilon) + \Upsilon(-\epsilon)}{2} \right] + \Gamma_2 \left[\frac{\Upsilon(\epsilon) - \Upsilon(-\epsilon)}{2\epsilon} \right], \quad (17)$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2k} \epsilon^{2k}$, $\Gamma_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2k+1} \epsilon^{2k}$, γ_j ($j \geq 0$) are complex constants. Then Ψ_1 can be expanded as the series with terms in powers of ϵ^2 , that is

$$\Psi_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Psi_1^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\Psi_1^{[j]} = \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\gamma_{2k} \Upsilon^{[2(j-k)]} + \gamma_{2k+1} \Upsilon^{[2(j-k)+1]} \right].$$

It should be pointed out that $\Psi_1^{[j]} = (\psi_1^{[j]}, \varphi_1^{[j]}, \chi_1^{[j]})^T$ and $G\Psi_1$ is the solution of System (3) under the plane-wave solution (6) and the spectral parameter (11).

Returning to the n -fold DT (4) we notice that Eqs. (11) and (18) yield

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon)^*} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(j-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{j+1}} \epsilon^{*2(j-m)} \epsilon^{2m}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\binom{j}{m} = \frac{j(j-1)\cdots(j-m+1)}{m!},$$

and

$$\Psi_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \Psi_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j \Psi_1^{[j-m]^\dagger} \Psi_1^{[m]} \epsilon^{*2(j-m)} \epsilon^{2m}. \quad (20)$$

Now we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Psi_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \Psi_1(\epsilon)}{\lambda_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon)^*} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^j \left[\sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(l-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{l+1}} \epsilon^{*2(l-m)} \epsilon^{2m} \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{j-l} \Psi_1^{[j-l-n]^\dagger} \Psi_1^{[n]} \epsilon^{*2(j-l-n)} \epsilon^{2n} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^j \left[\sum_{l=0}^j \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq l, \\ 0 \leq n \leq j-l}} \binom{l}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(l-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{l+1}} \Psi_1^{[j-l-n]^\dagger} \Psi_1^{[n]} \right] \epsilon^{*2(j-s)} \epsilon^{2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it turns out to be

$$\frac{\Psi_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \Psi_1(\epsilon)}{\lambda_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon)^*} = \sum_{r,t=1}^{\infty} H_1^{[r,t]} \epsilon^{*2(r-1)} \epsilon^{2(t-1)}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$H_1^{[r,t]} = \sum_{l=0}^{r+t-2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq l, \\ 0 \leq n \leq r+t-l-2}} \binom{l}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(l-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{l+1}} \Psi_1^{[r+t-l-n-2]^\dagger} \Psi_1^{[n]}.$$

3.2. Series expansions of triple-root case

Assume $\rho = v = 0$ in Eq. (10) under a special spectral parameter $\lambda = \lambda_1$, one can obtain a tripe root of the cubic spectral characteristic equation, which can be explicitly given by $\xi_2 = \xi_3 = \xi_1 = \frac{1}{6}\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{3}\varsigma$. In this circumstance, we rewrite Eq. (11) as below to impose a small perturbation on the spectral parameter, viz.,

$$\lambda_1(\epsilon) = \lambda_1 + \ell \epsilon^3, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\ell = \frac{36}{4a_1^2 - 16a_1a_2 + 4a_2^2 - 8\lambda_1^2 - 8\varsigma\lambda_1 - 3\rho}.$$

We thus get the expansion

$$\xi_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1^{[0]} &= \xi_1 = \frac{1}{6}\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{3}\varsigma, \quad \xi_1^{[1]} = 1, \quad \xi_1^{[2]} = \frac{1}{9}\ell(2\lambda_1 + \varsigma), \quad \xi_1^{[3]} = \frac{1}{6}\ell, \\ \xi_1^{[4]} &= -\frac{1}{3}(\xi_1^{[2]})^3 + \frac{1}{3}(\ell - 6\xi_1^{[3]})\xi_1^{[2]} + \frac{1}{9}\ell(2\lambda_1 + \varsigma)\xi_1^{[3]} - \frac{1}{18}\ell^2\varsigma - \frac{1}{9}\ell^2\lambda_1, \\ \xi_1^{[5]} &= \frac{1}{6}(\ell - 6\xi_1^{[3]})(\xi_1^{[2]})^2 - 2\xi_1^{[2]}\xi_1^{[4]} - (\xi_1^{[3]})^2 + \frac{1}{3}\ell\xi_1^{[3]} + \frac{1}{9}\ell(2\lambda_1 + \varsigma)\xi_1^{[4]} + \frac{1}{12}\ell^2, \\ \xi_1^{[6]} &= 0, \quad \xi_1^{[7]} = -(\xi_1^{[2]})^2\xi_1^{[5]} + \frac{1}{3}(\ell - 6\xi_1^{[3]})\xi_1^{[2]}\xi_1^{[4]} - \frac{1}{3}(\xi_1^{[3]})^3 + \frac{1}{6}\ell(\xi_1^{[3]})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}(\ell^2 - 24\xi_1^{[5]})\xi_1^{[3]} - \frac{1}{24}\ell^3 + \frac{1}{3}\ell\xi_1^{[5]} - (\xi_1^{[4]})^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\xi_1^{[j]}$ ($j \geq 8$) yield

$$\xi_1^{[j]} = -\frac{1}{3} \left[\sum_{m+n+k=j+2}^{0 \leq m, n, k \leq j-1} \xi_1^{[m]} \xi_1^{[n]} \xi_1^{[k]} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 + \varsigma \right) \sum_{m+n=j+2}^{0 \leq m, n \leq j-1} \xi_1^{[m]} \xi_1^{[n]} - \frac{1}{2} \ell \sum_{m+n=j-1} \xi_1^{[m]} \xi_1^{[n]} - \frac{1}{2} \ell \lambda_1 \xi_1^{[j-1]} - \frac{1}{4} \ell^2 \xi_1^{[j-4]} \right].$$

In accordance with Eq. (9), we can present the expansion

$$A_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (24)$$

where

$$A_1^{[j]} = i \left(\xi_1^{[j]} x + \frac{1}{\delta} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{3} \rfloor} \sum_{l=0}^{j-3k} \frac{(-\ell)^k \sigma}{(\lambda_1 - 2\omega)^{k+1}} \xi_1^{[l]} \xi_1^{[j-3k-l]} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{3} \rfloor} \frac{(-\ell)^k (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)}{(\lambda_1 - 2\omega)^{k+1}} \xi_1^{[j-3k]} \right] t \right).$$

Then by making use of the Schur polynomials one obtains

$$\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{[j]}(\mathbf{A}) \epsilon^j, \quad (25)$$

where $\mathbf{A} = (A_1^{[1]}, A_1^{[2]}, \dots)$.

Moreover, it can be computed that

$$\frac{1}{2\xi_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon) - 2a_l} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_l^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\mu_l^{[0]} = \frac{-1}{-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1}, \quad \mu_l^{[1]} = \frac{-2}{(-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1)^2}, \quad \mu_l^{[2]} = \frac{2\xi_1^{[2]}(-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1) + 4}{(-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1)^3},$$

$$\mu_l^{[k]} = \frac{-1}{-\lambda_1 - 2a_l + 2\xi_1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^k 2\mu_l^{[k-m]} \xi_1^{[m]} - \ell \mu_l^{[k-3]} \right], \quad k \geq 3.$$

Thus, in the light of Eqs. (25) and (26), we can introduce

$$\Upsilon(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Upsilon^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\Upsilon^{[j]} = \begin{pmatrix} S^{[j]}(\mathbf{A}) \\ i c_1 \sum_{k=0}^j \mu_1^{[j-k]} S^{[k]}(\mathbf{A}) \\ i c_2 \sum_{k=0}^j \mu_2^{[j-k]} S^{[k]}(\mathbf{A}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Afterwards, we denote

$$\Psi_1(\epsilon) = \Gamma_1 \left[\frac{\Upsilon(\epsilon) + \Upsilon(\varpi\epsilon) + \Upsilon(\varpi^*\epsilon)}{3} \right] + \Gamma_2 \left[\frac{\Upsilon(\epsilon) + \varpi^*\Upsilon(\varpi\epsilon) + \varpi\Upsilon(\varpi^*\epsilon)}{3\epsilon} \right] + \Gamma_3 \left[\frac{\Upsilon(\epsilon) + \varpi\Upsilon(\varpi\epsilon) + \varpi^*\Upsilon(\varpi^*\epsilon)}{3\epsilon^2} \right], \quad (28)$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{3k} \epsilon^{3k}$, $\Gamma_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{3k+1} \epsilon^{3k}$, $\Gamma_3 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{3k+2} \epsilon^{3k}$, γ_j ($j \geq 0$) are complex constants and $\varpi = \exp(i\frac{2}{3}\pi)$. By direct calculation, Eq. (28) can lead to the following expansion associated with the terms in powers of ϵ^3 :

$$\Psi_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Psi_1^{[j]} \epsilon^{3j}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Psi_1^{[j]} = \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\Gamma_{3k} \Upsilon^{[3(j-k)]} + \Gamma_{3k+1} \Upsilon^{[3(j-k)+1]} + \Gamma_{3k+2} \Upsilon^{[3(j-k)+2]} \right].$$

Here $\Psi_1^{[j]} = (\psi_1^{[j]}, \varphi_1^{[j]}, \chi_1^{[j]})^T$ and $G\Psi_1$ satisfies System (3) under the plane-wave solution (6) and the spectral parameter (22).

Until now, we rewrite Eqs. (19) and (20) as

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon)^*} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(j-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{j+1}} \epsilon^{*3(j-m)} \epsilon^{3m}, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\Psi_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \Psi_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j \Psi_1^{[j-m]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[m]} \epsilon^{*3(j-m)} \epsilon^{3m}. \quad (31)$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Psi_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \Psi_1(\epsilon)}{\lambda_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon)^*} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^j \left[\sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(l-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{l+1}} \epsilon^{*3(l-m)} \epsilon^{3m} \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{j-l} \Psi_1^{[j-l-n]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[n]} \epsilon^{*3(j-l-n)} \epsilon^{3n} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^j \left[\sum_{l=0}^j \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq l, \\ 0 \leq n \leq j-l}} \binom{l}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(l-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{l+1}} \Psi_1^{[j-l-n]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[n]} \right] \epsilon^{*3(j-s)} \epsilon^{3s}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus end up with

$$\frac{\Psi_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \Psi_1(\epsilon)}{\lambda_1(\epsilon) - \lambda_1(\epsilon)^*} = \sum_{r,t=1}^{\infty} H_1^{[r,t]} \epsilon^{*3(r-1)} \epsilon^{3(t-1)}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$H_1^{[r,t]} = \sum_{l=0}^{r+t-2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq l, \\ 0 \leq n \leq r+t-l-2}} \binom{l}{m} \frac{\ell^{*(l-m)} (-\ell)^m}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^{l+1}} \Psi_1^{[r+t-l-n-2]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[n]}.$$

3.3. The n th-order rogue wave solution

Given the series expansions of Eqs. (18) and (21) expressed in powers of ϵ^2 or Eqs. (29) and (32) expressed in powers of ϵ^3 , we can obtain the general n th-order rogue wave solution for the CMB equations in a unified compact determinant form

$$E_1[n] = \left(c_1 + 2i \frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Y_1^\dagger \\ X_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right) e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (33a)$$

$$E_2[n] = \left(c_2 + 2i \frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Z_1^\dagger \\ X_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right) e^{i\theta_2}, \quad (33b)$$

$$N[n] = N_0 - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & X_1^\dagger \\ X_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (33c)$$

$$p_1[n] = ic_1 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Y_1^\dagger \\ X_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} \right), \quad (33d)$$

$$p_2[n] = ic_2 b_2 e^{i\theta_2} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Z_1^\dagger \\ X_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{i\theta_2} \right), \quad (33e)$$

$$M_{11}[n] = m_1 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Y_1^\dagger \\ Y_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right), \quad (33f)$$

$$M_{12}[n] = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\delta} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Z_1^\dagger \\ Y_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \right), \quad (33g)$$

$$M_{21}[n] = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\delta} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Y_1^\dagger \\ Z_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \right), \quad (33h)$$

$$M_{22}[n] = m_2 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|H_1|} \begin{vmatrix} H_1 & Z_1^\dagger \\ Z_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right), \quad (33i)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= (H_1^{[r,t]})_{1 \leq r,t \leq n}, \\ X_1 &= (\psi_1^{[0]}, \psi_1^{[1]}, \dots, \psi_1^{[n-1]}), \\ Y_1 &= (\varphi_1^{[0]}, \varphi_1^{[1]}, \dots, \varphi_1^{[n-1]}), \\ Z_1 &= (\chi_1^{[0]}, \chi_1^{[1]}, \dots, \chi_1^{[n-1]}). \end{aligned}$$

Particularly, the first-order rogue wave solution can be calculated as

$$E_1[1] = \left(c_1 - 2i \frac{\psi_1^{[0]} \varphi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} \right) e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (34a)$$

$$E_2[1] = \left(c_2 - 2i \frac{\psi_1^{[0]} \chi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} \right) e^{i\theta_2}, \quad (34b)$$

$$N[1] = N_0 + 2i \frac{\psi_1^{[0]} \psi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}}, \quad (34c)$$

$$p_1[1] = ic_1 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\psi_1^{[0]} \varphi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} e^{i\theta_1} \right), \quad (34d)$$

$$p_2[1] = ic_2 b_2 e^{i\theta_2} - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\psi_1^{[0]} \chi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} e^{i\theta_2} \right), \quad (34e)$$

$$M_{11}[1] = m_1 - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\varphi_1^{[0]} \varphi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} \right), \quad (34f)$$

$$M_{12}[1] = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\delta} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\varphi_1^{[0]} \chi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \right), \quad (34g)$$

$$M_{21}[1] = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\delta} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\chi_1^{[0]} \varphi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \right), \quad (34h)$$

$$M_{22}[1] = m_2 - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\chi_1^{[0]} \chi_1^{[0]*}}{H_1^{[1,1]}} \right), \quad (34i)$$

and the second-order rogue wave solution is obtained as

$$E_1[2] = \left[c_1 + 2i \frac{\varphi_1^{[0]*}(\psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \varphi_1^{[1]*}(\psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} \right] e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (35a)$$

$$E_2[2] = \left[c_2 + 2i \frac{\chi_1^{[0]*}(\psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \chi_1^{[1]*}(\psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} \right] e^{i\theta_2}, \quad (35b)$$

$$N[2] = N_0 - 2i \frac{\psi_1^{[0]*}(\psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \psi_1^{[1]*}(\psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}}, \quad (35c)$$

$$p_1[2] = ic_1 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\varphi_1^{[0]*}(\psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \varphi_1^{[1]*}(\psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} e^{i\theta_1} \right], \quad (35d)$$

$$p_2[2] = ic_2 b_2 e^{i\theta_2} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\chi_1^{[0]*}(\psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \chi_1^{[1]*}(\psi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \psi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} e^{i\theta_2} \right], \quad (35e)$$

$$M_{11}[2] = m_1 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\varphi_1^{[0]*}(\varphi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \varphi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \varphi_1^{[1]*}(\varphi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \varphi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} \right], \quad (35f)$$

$$M_{12}[2] = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\delta} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\chi_1^{[0]*}(\varphi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \varphi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \chi_1^{[1]*}(\varphi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \varphi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \right], \quad (35g)$$

$$M_{21}[2] = -\frac{c_1 c_2 \sigma}{2\sigma} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\varphi_1^{[0]*}(\chi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \chi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \varphi_1^{[1]*}(\chi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \chi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} \right], \quad (35h)$$

$$M_{22}[2] = m_2 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\chi_1^{[0]*}(\chi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[2,1]} - \chi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[2,2]}) + \chi_1^{[1]*}(\chi_1^{[0]} H_1^{[1,2]} - \chi_1^{[1]} H_1^{[1,1]})}{H_1^{[1,1]} H_1^{[2,2]} - H_1^{[1,2]} H_1^{[2,1]}} \right]. \quad (35i)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{[1,1]} &= \frac{\Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*}, \quad H_1^{[1,2]} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*} \left[\Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[1]} - \frac{\Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]} \ell}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*} \right], \\ H_1^{[2,1]} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*} \left[\Psi_1^{[1]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]} + \frac{\Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]} \ell^*}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*} \right], \\ H_1^{[2,2]} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*} \left[\Psi_1^{[1]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[1]} - \frac{((\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*) \Psi_1^{[1]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]} + \Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]} \ell^*) \ell}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{((\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*) \Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[1]} - \Psi_1^{[0]\dagger} \Psi_1^{[0]} \ell) \ell^*}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^*)^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that the coupled systems could be provided with more diverse and complex rogue wave structures than the scalar ones. Therefore, including nine components in Eqs. (1a)-(1i), the CMB equations might admit some extraordinary rogue wave structures apart from the Peregrine soliton, dark and four-petaled rogue waves that can be attainable in the coupled NLS equations. Next we will show some unusual rogue wave dynamics such as triple-hole and twisted-pair rogue waves as well as some new composite rogue waves through Eqs. (34) and (35) by discussing the aforementioned two cases.

Case 1. The double-root case. In this situation, we give a concrete example to reveal the rogue wave dynamics. For instance, we choose

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= c_2 = 1, a_1 = -a_2 = 1, m_1 = m_2 = 1, N_0 = 1, \\ \gamma_0 &= 0, \gamma_1 = 1, b_1 = -\frac{4}{13}, b_2 = -\frac{12}{13}, \omega = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{24\sqrt{6}-9} - i\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{24\sqrt{6}+9},$$

$$\xi_2 = \xi_1 = \left(-\frac{1}{20} - \frac{3}{160}\sqrt{6} - i\frac{11}{800}\sqrt{10} + i\frac{\sqrt{15}}{100}\right)\sqrt{16\sqrt{6}-6},$$

then through Eqs. (18), (21) and (34), we can obtain different types of rogue wave structures in each component of the CMB equations, see the following table:

Table 1: types of rogue waves in each component for the double-root case.

component	E_1	E_2	N	p_1	p_2	M_{11}	M_{12}/M_{21}	M_{22}
pattern	dark	bright	dark	four-petaled	triple-hole	four-petaled	twisted-pair	dark

As shown in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) the triple-hole rogue wave in the p_2 component and the twisted-pair rogue wave in the M_{12} component, respectively. The three holes in the triple-hole rogue wave are localized in $(-1.91, -1.33)$, $(-0.14, 1.33)$ and $(-1.02, -0.01)$. The twisted-pair rogue wave consists of two peaks which appear at $(-1.87, 0.48)$ and $(-0.19, -0.47)$ and four holes whose coordinates are $(-1.94, -1.18)$, $(-1.56, 1.02)$, $(-0.49, -1.02)$ and $(-0.11, 1.18)$, respectively. We should emphasize that, the twisted-pair rogue wave was first reported in the Sasa-Satsuma equation [44], while the triple-hole rogue wave is one novel type of rogue wave structure found in the CMB equations. To proceed, when choosing the parameters $\gamma_0 = 10, \gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 0, \gamma_3 = 0$, the triplet rogue wave patterns can be exhibited with the help of Eq. (35), see Fig. 2.

Case 2. The triple-root case. In this circumstance, we set the parameters be

$$c_1 = c_2 = 1, a_1 = -a_2 = \frac{1}{4}, m_1 = m_2 = 1, N_0 = 1,$$

$$\gamma_0 = 0, \gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 0, b_1 = -\frac{28}{67}, b_2 = -\frac{36}{67}, \omega = 1,$$

$$\lambda_1 = i\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{3}, \xi_2 = \xi_3 = \xi_1 = i\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{3}.$$

Insertion of (29) and (32) into Eq. (34) under these specific choices of the parameters we can arrive at the composite patterns of rogue waves in each component of the CMB equations, which we list in the following table:

Table 2: types of rogue waves in each component for the triple-root case.

component	E_1	E_2	N	p_1	p_2	M_{11}	M_{12}/M_{21}	M_{22}
composite pattern	bright	bright	dark	bright	four-petaled	dark	bright	four-petaled

Fig. 3(a) displays the composite four-petaled rogue waves in the $|p_2[1]|$ component. The critical points are localized at $(-16.88, 7.63)$, $(-16.90, 17.09)$, $(-11.31, -7.64)$, $(-11.29, -17.41)$, $(12.07, 4.35)$, $(12.15, 12.33)$, $(16.12, -4.35)$ and $(16.04, -12.32)$. Fig. 3(b) illustrates the composite dark rogue waves in the M_{11} component. The two holes occur at $(-14.10, 0.02)$ and $(14.10, -0.02)$. Meanwhile, by taking advantage of Eq. (35) and choosing suitable parameters, one can obtain the quadruple and sextuple composite rogue waves. We show the quadruple pattern as an example by taking $\gamma_0 = 100, \gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1, \gamma_3 = 0, \gamma_4 = 0, \gamma_5 = 0$, see Fig. 4.

4. Semirational solutions

To derive the semirational solutions, we let $a_2 = a_1$ and $b_2 = b_1$ in Eq. (6), then following plane-wave solution can be given, as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{E}_j[0] &= c_j e^{i\theta_1}, \widehat{p}_j[0] = i c_j b_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \widehat{M}_{12}[0] = \widehat{M}_{21}[0] = 0, \\ \widehat{N}[0] &= N_0, \widehat{M}_{jj}[0] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0, j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

At this moment, the fundamental solution of Eqs. (3a) and (3b) under this plane-wave solution reads

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \widehat{G} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\widehat{\xi}_1 - \lambda - 2a_1} & \frac{1}{\widehat{\xi}_2 - \lambda - 2a_1} & \alpha c_2 \\ \frac{1}{\widehat{\xi}_1 - \lambda - 2a_1} & \frac{1}{\widehat{\xi}_2 - \lambda - 2a_1} & -\alpha c_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\widehat{A}_1} \\ e^{\widehat{A}_2} \\ e^B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where $\widehat{G} = \text{diag}(1, e^{-i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_1})$, α is an arbitrary complex constant, and $\widehat{\xi}_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) satisfy the following quadratic equation

$$4\widehat{\xi}^2 - 4a_1\widehat{\xi} - \lambda^2 - 2a_1\lambda - \varrho = 0, \quad (38)$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\xi}_1 &= \frac{1}{2}a_1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 2a_1\lambda + a_1^2 + \varrho}, \\ \widehat{\xi}_2 &= \frac{1}{2}a_1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 2a_1\lambda + a_1^2 + \varrho}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{A}_j = i \left(\widehat{\xi}_j x + \frac{b_1}{\lambda - 2\omega} \widehat{\xi}_j t \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (39)$$

$$B = i \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + a_1 \right) x + \frac{b_1}{\lambda - 2\omega} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + a_1 \right) t \right]. \quad (40)$$

4.1. Series expansions

It is easy to see that Eq. (38) has a double root $\xi_2 = \xi_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_1$ when $\lambda = \widehat{\lambda}_1 = -a_1 + i\sqrt{\varrho}$. Hence suppose

$$\widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon) = -a_1 + i\sqrt{\varrho} - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{\varrho}}\epsilon^2, \quad (41)$$

one obtains the expansion

$$\widehat{\xi}_1(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}a_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\xi}_1^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j-1}, \quad (42)$$

where

$$\widehat{\xi}_1^{[1]} = 1, \quad \widehat{\xi}_1^{[2]} = -\frac{1}{2\varrho}, \quad \widehat{\xi}_1^{[j]} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m+n=j+1}^{0 \leq m, n \leq j-1} \widehat{\xi}_1^{[m]} \widehat{\xi}_1^{[n]}, \quad j \geq 3.$$

Using Eq. (41) and (42), we have

$$\widehat{A}_1(\epsilon) = \widehat{A}_1^{[0]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{A}_1^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j-1}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}_1^{[0]} &= i \left[\frac{1}{2}a_1 x + \frac{a_1 b_1}{2(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)} t \right], \\ \widehat{A}_1^{[j]} &= i \left(\widehat{\xi}_1^{[j]} x + b_1 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{2j-1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(2i)^k}{(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)^{k+1} (\sqrt{\varrho})^k} \widehat{\xi}_1^{[j-k]} \right] t \right), \quad j \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j}, \quad (44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B^{[0]} &= i \left[\left(\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{\varrho}}{2} \right) x + \frac{b_1}{(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)} \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{\varrho}}{2} \right) t \right], \\ B^{[1]} &= i \left(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\varrho}} x + \left[\frac{2ib_1}{(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)^2 \sqrt{\varrho}} \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{\varrho}}{2} \right) - \frac{b_1}{(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)} \frac{i}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right] t \right), \\ B^{[j]} &= i \left[\frac{(2i)^j b_1}{(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)^{j+1} (\sqrt{\varrho})^j} \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{\varrho}}{2} \right) - \frac{(2i)^{j-1} b_1}{(i\sqrt{\varrho} - a_1 - 2\omega)^j (\sqrt{\varrho})^j} \frac{i}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right] t, j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by means of Eqs. (43), (44) and the Schur polynomials, we have

$$\exp \left(\hat{A}_1^{[0]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{A}_1^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j-1} \right) = \exp(\hat{A}_1^{[0]}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{S}^{[j]}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}) \epsilon^j \right), \quad (45)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{A}} = (\hat{A}_1^{[1]}, 0, \hat{A}_1^{[2]}, 0, \dots)$, and

$$\exp \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j} \right) = \exp(B^{[0]}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S^{[j]}(\mathbf{B}) \epsilon^{2j} \right), \quad (46)$$

where $\mathbf{B} = (B^{[1]}, B^{[2]}, \dots)$.

Moreover, considering

$$\frac{1}{2\hat{\xi}_1(\epsilon) - \hat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon) - 2a_1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}_1^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}_1^{[0]} = -\frac{1}{i\sqrt{\varrho}}, \quad \hat{\mu}_1^{[1]} = \frac{2}{\varrho}, \quad \hat{\mu}_1^{[k]} = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{\varrho}} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} 2\hat{\mu}_1^{[k+1-2m]} \hat{\xi}_1^{[m]} + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \hat{\mu}_1^{[k-2]} \right], \quad k \geq 2,$$

we can define

$$\hat{\Upsilon}(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\Upsilon}^{[j]} \epsilon^j, \quad (48)$$

where

$$\hat{\Upsilon}^{[j]} = \exp(\hat{A}_1^{[0]}) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{S}^{[j]}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}) \\ i c_1 \sum_{k=0}^j \hat{\mu}_1^{[j-k]} \hat{S}^{[k]}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}) \\ i c_2 \sum_{k=0}^j \hat{\mu}_1^{[j-k]} \hat{S}^{[k]}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Theta(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Theta^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j}, \quad (49)$$

where

$$\Theta^{[j]} = \exp(B^{[0]}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha c_2 S^{[j]}(\mathbf{B}) \\ -\alpha c_1 S^{[j]}(\mathbf{B}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In analogy to Eqs. (17) and (28), we construct

$$\hat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon) = \hat{\Gamma}_1 \left[\frac{\hat{\Upsilon}(\epsilon) + \hat{\Upsilon}(-\epsilon)}{2} + \Theta(\epsilon) \right] + \hat{\Gamma}_2 \left[\frac{\hat{\Upsilon}(\epsilon) - \hat{\Upsilon}(-\epsilon)}{2\epsilon} + \Theta(\epsilon) \right], \quad (50)$$

where $\widehat{\Gamma}_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\gamma}_{2k} \epsilon^{2k}$, $\widehat{\Gamma}_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\gamma}_{2k+1} \epsilon^{2k}$, $\widehat{\gamma}_j$ ($j \geq 0$) are complex constants. Then $\widehat{\Psi}_1$ can be expanded as the terms expressed in powers of ϵ^2 , that is

$$\widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[j]} \epsilon^{2j}, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[j]} = \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\widehat{\gamma}_{2k} (\widehat{\Upsilon}^{[2(j-k)]} + \Theta^{[j-k]}) + \widehat{\gamma}_{2k+1} (\widehat{\Upsilon}^{[2(j-k)+1]} + \Theta^{[j-k]}) \right].$$

We remark that $\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[j]} = (\widehat{\psi}_1^{[j]}, \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[j]}, \widehat{\chi}_1^{[j]})^T$, and $\widehat{G}\widehat{\Psi}_1$ is the solution of System (3) under the plane-wave solution (36) and the spectral parameter (41).

At this moment we proceed calculating

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon) - \widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon)^*} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} \frac{1}{2i\varrho^j \sqrt{\varrho}} \epsilon^{*2(j-m)} \epsilon^{2m}, \quad (52)$$

and

$$\widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[j-m]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[m]} \epsilon^{*2(j-m)} \epsilon^{2m}, \quad (53)$$

then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon)}{\widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon) - \widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon)^*} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^j \left[\sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} \frac{1}{2i\varrho^l \sqrt{\varrho}} \epsilon^{*2(l-m)} \epsilon^{2m} \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{j-l} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[j-l-n]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[n]} \epsilon^{*2(j-l-n)} \epsilon^{2n} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^j \left[\sum_{l=0}^j \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq l, \\ 0 \leq n \leq j-l}} \binom{l}{m} \frac{1}{2i\varrho^l \sqrt{\varrho}} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[j-l-n]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[n]} \right] \epsilon^{*2(j-s)} \epsilon^{2s}. \end{aligned}$$

At present, we conclude that

$$\frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon)^\dagger \widehat{\Psi}_1(\epsilon)}{\widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon) - \widehat{\lambda}_1(\epsilon)^*} = \sum_{r,t=1}^{\infty} \widehat{H}_1^{[r,t]} \epsilon^{*2(r-1)} \epsilon^{2(t-1)}, \quad (54)$$

where

$$\widehat{H}_1^{[r,t]} = \sum_{l=0}^{r+t-2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq l, \\ 0 \leq n \leq r+t-l-2}} \binom{l}{m} \frac{1}{2i\varrho^l \sqrt{\varrho}} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[r+t-l-n-2]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[n]}.$$

4.2. The n th-order semirational solution

Similarly, by Eqs. (51) and (54), we obtain the n th-order semirational solution in the form

$$\widehat{E}_1[n] = \left(c_1 + 2i \frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Y}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{X}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right) e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (55a)$$

$$\widehat{E}_2[n] = \left(c_2 + 2i \frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Z}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{X}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right) e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (55b)$$

$$\widehat{N}[n] = \widehat{N}_0 - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{X}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{X}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (55c)$$

$$\widehat{p}_1[n] = ic_1 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Y}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{X}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} \right), \quad (55d)$$

$$\widehat{p}_2[n] = ic_2 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Z}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{X}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} \right), \quad (55e)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{11}[n] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Y}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{Y}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right), \quad (55f)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{12}[n] = 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Z}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{Y}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right), \quad (55g)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{21}[n] = 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Y}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{Z}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right), \quad (55h)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{22}[n] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{|\widehat{H}_1|} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{H}_1 & \widehat{Z}_1^\dagger \\ \widehat{Z}_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right), \quad (55i)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_1 &= (\widehat{H}_1^{[r,t]})_{1 \leq r, t \leq n}, \\ \widehat{X}_1 &= (\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]}, \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]}, \dots, \widehat{\psi}_1^{[n-1]}), \\ \widehat{Y}_1 &= (\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]}, \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]}, \dots, \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[n-1]}), \\ \widehat{Z}_1 &= (\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]}, \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]}, \dots, \widehat{\chi}_1^{[n-1]}). \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, the first-order semirational rogue wave solution holds

$$\widehat{E}_1[1] = \left(c_1 - 2i \frac{\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} \right) e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (56a)$$

$$\widehat{E}_2[1] = \left(c_2 - 2i \frac{\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} \right) e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (56b)$$

$$\widehat{N}[1] = N_0 + 2i \frac{\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}}, \quad (56c)$$

$$\widehat{p}_1[1] = ic_1 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} e^{i\theta_1} \right), \quad (56d)$$

$$\widehat{p}_2[1] = ic_2 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} e^{i\theta_1} \right), \quad (56e)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{11}[1] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0 - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} \right), \quad (56f)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{12}[1] = -2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} \right), \quad (56g)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{21}[1] = -2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} \right), \quad (56h)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{22}[1] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0 - 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]}} \right). \quad (56i)$$

and the second-order semirational rogue wave solution is given by

$$\widehat{E}_1[2] = \left[c_1 + 2i \frac{\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*} (\widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]*} (\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} \right] e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (57a)$$

$$\widehat{E}_2[2] = \left[c_2 + 2i \frac{\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*} (\widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]*} (\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} \right] e^{i\theta_1}, \quad (57b)$$

$$\widehat{N}[2] = N_0 - 2i \frac{\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}}, \quad (57c)$$

$$\widehat{p}_1[2] = ic_1 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} e^{i\theta_1} \right], \quad (57d)$$

$$\widehat{p}_2[2] = ic_2 b_1 e^{i\theta_1} + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\psi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\psi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} e^{i\theta_1} \right], \quad (57e)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{11}[2] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} \right], \quad (57f)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{12}[2] = 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} \right], \quad (57g)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{21}[2] = 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\widehat{\varphi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\varphi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} \right], \quad (57h)$$

$$\widehat{M}_{22}[2] = -2a_1 b_1 - 4b_1 \omega - N_0 + 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]*}(\widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} - \widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]}) + \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]*}(\widehat{\chi}_1^{[0]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} - \widehat{\chi}_1^{[1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]})}{\widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} - \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]}} \right], \quad (57i)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_1^{[1,1]} &= \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]}}{2i\sqrt{\varrho}}, \quad \widehat{H}_1^{[1,2]} = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\varrho}} \left[\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[1]} + \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]}}{\varrho} \right], \\ \widehat{H}_1^{[2,1]} &= \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\varrho}} \left[\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[1]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]} + \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]}}{\varrho} \right], \\ \widehat{H}_1^{[2,2]} &= \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\varrho}} \left[\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[1]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[1]} + \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[1]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]} \varrho + \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]}}{\varrho^2} + \frac{\widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[1]} \varrho + \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]\dagger} \widehat{\Psi}_1^{[0]}}{\varrho^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As an example, we choose the specific parameters

$$c_1 = 1, c_2 = 0, a_1 = 0, m_1 = m_2 = 1, N_0 = 1, \widehat{\gamma}_0 = 1, \widehat{\gamma}_1 = 10, b_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \omega = 1, \alpha = \frac{1}{5000},$$

then we can present the interactions between solitons and rogue waves with different types, which can be summarize as

Table 3: types of interactions between solitons and rogue waves in each component.

component	E_1	E_2	N	p_1	p_2	M_{11}	M_{12}/M_{21}	M_{22}
soliton+	dark+	bright+	dark+	dark+	bright+	bright+	bright+	dark+
rogue wave	bright	bright	dark	bright	bright	dark	bright	bright

Unlike the known dark-bright and bright-bright interactions between solitons and rogue waves in the coupled NLS equations [39] and the coupled Hirota equations [40], here we show some novel interactional structures in the CMB equations. For example, Fig. 5(a) displays the interaction between a dark soliton and a dark rogue wave in the N component, and Fig. 5(b) exhibits the interaction between a bright soliton and a dark rogue wave in the M_{11} component.

Noteworthily, we should say that the free parameter α controls the separation and mergence between solitons and rogue waves [40]. When increasing the value of α , the soliton and the rogue wave can merge with each other. Next by choosing $\widehat{\gamma}_0 = 0, \widehat{\gamma}_1 = 1, \widehat{\gamma}_2 = 100, \widehat{\gamma}_3 = 0$, we can demonstrate the interactions between two dark/bright solitons and the second-order dark rogue wave with triplet pattern, see Fig. 6. In addition, the interactions between breathers and dark rogue waves can also be obtained by choosing the above specific parameters except $c_1 = c_2 = 1$, see Fig. 7.

5. Modulation instability and W-shaped solitons

In this section, we perform the standard linearized stability analysis on the plane-wave solution (6). A perturbed plane-wave solution can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= E_1[0][1 + r_1 e^{i\kappa(x-\Omega t)} + r_2^* e^{-i\kappa(x-\Omega^* t)}], \\
E_2 &= E_2[0][1 + r_3 e^{i\kappa(x-\Omega t)} + r_4^* e^{-i\kappa(x-\Omega^* t)}], \\
N &= N[0] + r_5 e^{i\kappa(x-\Omega t)} + r_5^* e^{-i\kappa(x-\Omega^* t)}, \\
p_1 &= E_{1t}, \quad p_2 = E_{2t}, \\
M_{11} &= M_{11}[0] + r_6 e^{i\kappa(x-\Omega t)} + r_6^* e^{-i\kappa(x-\Omega^* t)}, \\
M_{12} &= M_{21}^* = M_{12}[0][r_7 e^{i\kappa(x-\Omega t)} + r_8^* e^{-i\kappa(x-\Omega^* t)}], \\
M_{22} &= M_{22}[0] + r_9 e^{i\kappa(x-\Omega t)} + r_9^* e^{-i\kappa(x-\Omega^* t)},
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where κ and Ω are assumed to be real and complex, respectively. Substituting Eq. (58) into the CMB system (1) and taking the linear part for $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_9)^T$, one can obtain an algebraic equation $\mathcal{M}R = 0$. Here $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 9}$ is a 9×9 matrix:

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega c_1^2 & \Omega c_1^2 & \Omega c_2^2 & \Omega c_2^2 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{M}_{21} & 0 & c_2^2 \sigma & 0 & -2\delta & -2\delta & 0 & c_2^2 \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_{32} & 0 & c_2^2 \sigma & -2\delta & -2\delta & c_2^2 \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ c_1^2 \sigma & 0 & \mathcal{M}_{43} & 0 & -2\delta & 0 & c_1^2 \sigma & 0 & -2\delta \\ 0 & c_1^2 \sigma & 0 & \mathcal{M}_{54} & -2\delta & 0 & 0 & c_1^2 \sigma & -2\delta \\ -\Omega c_1^2 \kappa & -\Omega c_1^2 \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta(\Omega \kappa + \sigma) & -\delta(\Omega \kappa + \sigma) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma(\delta - \kappa) & 0 & 0 \\ \delta(\Omega \kappa - \sigma) & 0 & 0 & \delta(\Omega \kappa - \sigma) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma(\delta + \kappa) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\Omega c_2^2 \kappa & -\Omega c_2^2 \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\kappa \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{21} &= 4\Omega \delta \kappa^2 + 4\delta(\Omega a_1 + 2\Omega \omega - b_1)\kappa - c_2^2 \sigma, \\
\mathcal{M}_{32} &= 4\Omega \delta \kappa^2 - 4\delta(\Omega a_1 + 2\Omega \omega - b_1)\kappa - c_2^2 \sigma, \\
\mathcal{M}_{43} &= 4\Omega \delta \kappa^2 + 4\delta(\Omega a_2 + 2\Omega \omega - b_2)\kappa - c_1^2 \sigma, \\
\mathcal{M}_{54} &= 4\Omega \delta \kappa^2 - 4\delta(\Omega a_2 + 2\Omega \omega - b_2)\kappa - c_1^2 \sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

As suggested in Refs. [41–43], the state transition between rogue wave and W-shaped soliton arise from the attenuation of the MI growth rate in the zero frequency region. For this study, we solve $\det(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ and can get a quartic equation with respect to Ω . Since the quartic equation is very complicated, here, for simplicity, we take $c_1 = c_2 = 1, a_1 = -a_2 = -1, \omega = 1$, then it follows that

$$F_0 \Omega^4 + F_1 \Omega^3 + F_2 \Omega^2 + F_3 \Omega + F_4 = 0, \tag{59}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0 &= 4(16\kappa^6 - 272\kappa^4 + 1156\kappa^2 - 1384), \\
F_1 &= 4(88b_1\kappa^4 + 40b_2\kappa^4 - 564b_1\kappa^2 - 524b_2\kappa^2 + 784b_1 + 1408b_2), \\
F_2 &= -64b_1^2\kappa^4 - 64b_2^2\kappa^4 + 356b_1^2\kappa^2 + 760b_1b_2\kappa^2 + 996b_2^2\kappa^2 - 396b_1^2 - 3384b_1b_2 - 2508b_2^2, \\
F_3 &= 16b_1^3\kappa^2 - 144b_1^2b_2\kappa^2 - 368b_1b_2^2\kappa^2 - 16b_2^3\kappa^2 - 44b_1^3 + 516b_1^2b_2 + 1644b_1b_2^2 - 68b_2^3, \\
F_4 &= -b_2^4 - 318b_1^2b_2^2 + 64b_1^2b_2^2\kappa^2 + 32b_1^3b_2 + 32b_1b_2^3 - b_4^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the discriminant of Eq. (59) is zero under the zero frequency circumstance, one can have

$$95b_1^4 - 4580b_1^3b_2 + 15594b_1^2b_2^2 - 16388b_1b_2^3 + 1679b_2^4 = 0. \tag{60}$$

We point out that, when Eq. (60) is satisfied, then the MI growth rate $\text{Im}(\Omega)$ tends to zero. At this time, rogue waves listed in Table 1 for each component of the CMB equations can transit into the corresponding W-shaped solitons. Thus, solving the above equation and choosing

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= 1, b_2 = \frac{4097}{1679} + \frac{1328}{1679}\sqrt{6} - \frac{6}{1679}\sqrt{741210 + 303760\sqrt{6}}, \\ m_1 &= -\frac{24715}{3358} - \frac{332}{1679}\sqrt{6} + \frac{3}{3358}\sqrt{741210 + 303760\sqrt{6}}, \\ m_2 &= -\frac{20955}{3358} - \frac{2988}{1679}\sqrt{6} + \frac{27}{3358}\sqrt{741210 + 303760\sqrt{6}}, \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain the first- and the second-order W-shaped soliton solutions by means of Eqs. (18), (21), (34) and (35). Note that the state transition in each component of the CMB equations can present different types of dynamic behaviors. For instance, the dark rogue wave in the E_1 component can become a dark W-shaped soliton and the four-petaled rogue wave in the p_2 component is changed into a double-peak W-shaped soliton, see Figs. 8 and 9. Here we set $N_0 = 1$, $\gamma_0 = 0$, $\gamma_1 = 1$, $\gamma_2 = 0$, $\gamma_3 = 0$.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, we investigated the CMB equations which govern the pulse propagation in a medium with coherent three-level atomic transitions by virtue of the DT method. Based on a 3×3 linear eigenvalue problem with the AKNS form, we constructed the n -fold DT in a compact determinant expression. As an application, we derived the n th-order rogue wave solution under the plane-wave solution (6) for $a_2 \neq a_1$ and $b_2 \neq b_1$. Dynamical behaviors of the n th-order rogue wave solution can be classified into two categories by discussing the distribution of multiple root for the cubic spectral characteristic equation (8), i.e., the double-root case and the triple-root case. With the help of the series expansions of the eigenfunction expressed in powers of ϵ^2 and ϵ^3 , we obtained the explicit rogue wave solutions in terms of Schur polynomials. For the double-root case, we showed the density plots of the novel triple-hole rogue waves in the p_2 component and the unusual twisted-pair rogue waves in the M_{12} component by choosing a set of specific parameters. For the triple-root case, some novel composite rogue wave structures were presented, for example, the composite four-petaled rogue waves in the p_2 component and the composite dark rogue waves in the M_{11} component. On the other hand, we put forward the n th-order semirational solution under the plane-wave solution (36) for $a_2 = a_1$ and $b_2 = b_1$. By expanding the eigenfunction in powers of ϵ^2 on grounds of a double root of the quadratic equation (38), we obtained the explicit semirational solutions via the Schur polynomials. Some novel interactional dynamics such as the interaction between a dark soliton and a dark rogue wave in the N component and the interaction between a bright soliton and a dark rogue wave in the M_{11} component were illustrated. We further revealed the state transition between rogue wave and W-shaped soliton on basis of the standard linearized stability analysis for the plane-wave solution (6). Analytical parametric condition related to the wavenumbers b_1 and b_2 under a group of specific parameters was obtained. The dark W-shaped solitons in the E_1 component and the double-peak W-shaped solitons in the p_2 component were shown. We hope the results obtained in this paper may help understand the intricate rogue wave phenomena in areas of nonlinear optics, oceanography and so on.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11705290, 11705145, 11875126) and the Young Scholar Foundation of ZUT (2018XQG16).

References

- [1] A. Hasegawa, F. Tappert, Transmission of stationary nonlinear optical pulses in dispersive dielectric fibers. I. Anomalous dispersion, Appl. Phys. Lett. 23 (1973) 142-144.

- [2] S.L. McCall, E.L. Hahn, Self-induced transparency by pulsed coherent light, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 18 (1967) 908.
- [3] G.L. Lamb, Analytical description of ultrashort optical pulse propagation in a resonant medium, *Rev. Modern Phys.* 43 (1971) 99-124.
- [4] I.R. Gabitov, A.V. Mikhailov, V.E. Zakharov, Maxwell–Bloch equation and the inverse scattering method, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 63 (1985) 328-343.
- [5] V.B. Matveev, M.A. Salle, A.V. Rybin, Darboux transformations and coherent interaction of the light pulse with two-level media, *Inverse Probl.* 4 (1988) 173.
- [6] V. Kotlyarov, Complete linearization of a mixed problem to the Maxwell–Bloch equations by matrix Riemann–Hilbert problems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 46 (2013) 285206.
- [7] Y. Ren, Z.Y. Yang, C. Liu, W.L. Yang, Different types of nonlinear localized and periodic waves in an erbium-doped fiber system, *Phys. Lett. A* 379 (2015) 2991-2994.
- [8] X. Wang, Y.Q. Li, F. Huang, Y. Chen, Rogue wave solutions of AB system, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 20 (2015) 434-442.
- [9] J.S. He, S.W. Xu, K. Porsezian, Y. Cheng, P.T. Dinda, Rogue wave triggered at a critical frequency of a nonlinear resonant medium, *Phys. Rev. E* 93 (2016) 062201.
- [10] S.W. Xu, K. Porsezian, J.S. He, Y. Cheng, Circularly polarized few-cycle optical rogue waves: Rotating reduced Maxwell–Bloch equations, *Phys. Rev. E* 88 (2013) 062925.
- [11] X. Wang, C. Liu, L. Wang, Rogue waves and W-shaped solitons in the multiple self-induced transparency system, *Chaos* 27 (2017) 093106.
- [12] J. Wei, X. Wang, X.G. Geng, Periodic and rational solutions of the reduced Maxwell–Bloch equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 59 (2018) 1-14.
- [13] C.F. Wu, R. Grimshaw, K.W. Chow, A coupled “AB” system: Rogue waves and modulation instabilities, *Chaos* 25 (2015) 103113.
- [14] X.G. Geng, J. Shen, B. Xue, Dynamical behaviour of rogue wave solutions in a multi-component AB system, *Wave Motion* 89 (2019) 1-13.
- [15] A.M. Basharov, S.O. Elyutin, A.I. Maimistov, Y.M. Sklyarov, Present state of selfinduced transparency theory, *Phys. Rep.* 191 (1990) 1-108.
- [16] V.R. Kumar, R. Radha, M. Wadati, Collision of solitons in electromagnetically induced transparency, *Phys. Rev A* 78 (2008) 041803.
- [17] M. Wadati, Soliton propagations in the electromagnetically induced transparency, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top* 173 (2009) 223-232.
- [18] S. Chakravarty, B. Prinari, M.J. Ablowitz, Inverse scattering transform for 3-level coupled Maxwell–Bloch equations with inhomogeneous broadening, *Physica D* 278 (2014) 58-78.
- [19] Q.H. Park, H.J. Shin, Matched pulse propagation in a three-level system, *Phys. Rev. A* 57 (1998) 4643.
- [20] S. Chakravarty, Soliton solutions of coupled Maxwell–Bloch equations, *Phys. Lett. A* 380 (2016) 1141-1150.
- [21] K. Dysthe, H.E. Krogstad, P. Müller, Oceanic rogue waves, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 40 (2008) 287-310.
- [22] D.R. Solli, C. Ropers, P. Koonath, B. Jalali, Optical rogue waves, *Nature* 450 (2007) 1054-1057.
- [23] Y.V. Bludov, V.V. Konotop, N. Akhmediev, Matter rogue waves, *Phys. Rev. A* 80 (2009) 033610.
- [24] Z.Y. Yan, Financial rogue waves, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* 54 (2010) 947.
- [25] D.H. Peregrine, Water waves, nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their solutions, *J. Aust. Math. Soc. B* 25 (1983) 16-43.
- [26] N. Akhmediev, A. Ankiewicz, J.M. Soto-Crespo, Rogue waves and rational solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. E* 80 (2009) 026601.
- [27] P. Dubard, V.B. Matveev, Multi-rogue waves solutions: from the NLS to the KP-I equation, *Nonlinearity* 26 (2013) R93.
- [28] L.M. Ling, L.C. Zhao, Simple determinant representation for rogue waves of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. Rev. E* 88 (2013) 043201.
- [29] J.S. He, H.R. Zhang, L.H. Wang, K. Porsezian, A.S. Fokas, Generating mechanism for higher-order rogue waves, *Phys. Rev. E* 87 (2013) 052914.
- [30] Y. Ohta, J.K. Yang, Rogue waves in the Davey-Stewartson I equation, *Phys. Rev. E* 86 (2012) 036604.
- [31] L.M. Ling, B.L. Guo, L.C. Zhao, High-order rogue waves in vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Phys. Rev. E* 89 (2014) 041201.
- [32] S. Chen, L.Y. Song, Rogue waves in coupled Hirota systems, *Phys. Rev. E* 87 (2013) 032910.
- [33] X. Wang, C. Liu, L. Wang, Darboux transformation and rogue wave solutions for the variable-coefficients coupled Hirota equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 449 (2017) 1534-1552.
- [34] F. Baronio, M. Conforti, A. Degasperis, S. Lombardo, Rogue waves emerging from the resonant interaction of three waves, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111 (2013) 114101.
- [35] G.Q. Zhang, Z.Y. Yan, X.Y. Wen, Three-wave resonant interactions: Multi-dark-dark-dark solitons, breathers, rogue waves, and their interactions and dynamics, *Physica D* 366 (2018) 27-42.

- [36] S.H. Chen, F. Baronio, J.M. Soto-Crespo, P. Grelu, D. Mihalache, Versatile rogue waves in scalar, vector, and multidimensional nonlinear systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 50 (2017) 463001.
- [37] J.C. Chen, L.Y. Chen, B.F. Feng, K. Maruno, High-order rogue waves of a long-wave-short-wave model of Newell type, *Phys. Rev. E* 100 (2019) 052216.
- [38] L.C. Zhao, G.G. Xin, Z.Y. Yang, Rogue-wave pattern transition induced by relative frequency, *Phys. Rev. E* 90 (2014) 022918.
- [39] F. Baronio, A. Degasperis, M. Conforti, S. Wabnitz, Solutions of the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations: evidence for deterministic rogue waves, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (2012) 044102.
- [40] X. Wang, Y.Q. Li, Y. Chen, Generalized Darboux transformation and localized waves in coupled Hirota equations, *Wave Motion* 51 (2014) 1149-1160.
- [41] F. Baronio, M Conforti, A Degasperis, S Lombardo, M. Onorato, S. Wabnitz, Vector rogue waves and baseband modulation instability in the defocusing regime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113 (2014) 034101.
- [42] C. Liu, Z.Y. Yang, L.C. Zhao, W.L. Yang, State transition induced by higher-order effects and background frequency, *Phys. Rev. E* 91 (2015) 022904.
- [43] L. Wang, J.H. Zhang, C. Liu, M. Li, F.H. Qi, Breather transition dynamics, Peregrine combs and walls, and modulation instability in a variable-coefficient nonlinear Schrödinger equation with higher-order effects, *Phys. Rev. E* 93 (2016) 062217.
- [44] S.H. Chen, Twisted rogue-wave pairs in the Sasa–Satsuma equation, *Phys. Rev. E* 88 (2013) 023202.




Fig. 1: (a), (b) Density plots of the triple-hole rogue wave $|p_2[1]|$ and the twisted-pair rogue wave $|M_{12}[1]|$, respectively.




Fig. 2: (a), (b) Triplet patterns of the triple-hole rogue wave $|p_2[2]|$ and the twisted-pair rogue wave $|M_{12}[2]|$, respectively.




Fig. 3: (a), (b) Density plots of the composite four-petaled rogue waves $|p_2[1]|$ and the composite dark rogue waves $|M_{11}[1]|$, respectively.




Fig. 4: (a), (b) Quadruple patterns of the composite four-petaled rogue waves $|p_2[2]|$ and the composite dark rogue waves $|M_{11}[2]|$, respectively.




Fig. 5: (a), (b) Evolution plots of the interaction between a dark soliton and a dark rogue wave in the N component and the interaction between a bright soliton and a dark rogue wave in the M_{11} component, respectively.




Fig. 6: (a), (b) Evolution plots of the interaction between two dark solitons and triplet dark rogue waves in the N component and the interaction between two bright solitons and triplet dark rogue waves in the M_{11} component, respectively.




Fig. 7: Evolution plots of the interactions between breathers and dark rogue waves in the M_{11} component. The parameters are same as Figs. 5 and 6 except $c_1 = c_2 = 1$.




Fig. 8: (a), (b) Density plots of the dark W-shaped soliton in the E_1 component and the double-peak W-shaped soliton in the p_2 component, respectively.




Fig. 9: (a), (b) The second-order dark W-shaped soliton in the E_1 component and double-peak W-shaped soliton the p_2 component, respectively.