arXiv:2002.04291v4 [physics.flu-dyn] 9 Mar 2020

Variational Formulation of Stochastic Wave-Current Interaction (SWCI)

Darryl D Holm
Imperial College London
email: d.holm@ic.ac.uk

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Deterministic GLM background for waves in the ocean **5**

2.1 WCI for stratified EB fluids: the Generalised Lagrangian Mean (GLM) 9

3 Variational principles for stochastic fluid dynamics (SALT) **14**

4 Including stochastic nonlinear wave propagation (SNWP) for WCI **16**

5 Application #1: SALT and SNWP for GLM in stratified EB fluids **21**

6 Application #2: SALT and SNWP for shallow water waves **23**

7 Conclusion **28**

A Dynamical systems analogues of WCI **29**

A.1 Gyrostat: Rigid body with flywheel 29

A.2 The deterministic swinging spring 30

A.3 The stochastic swinging spring 31

A.4 Gyroscopic analogy of non-inertial reference frames 31

B Deterministic comparison of the Craik-Leibovich model with GLM **32**

C Deriving the OU Craik–Leibovich (OU CL) equations **35**

Abstract

We are dealing with multi-scale, multi-physics uncertainty in modelling wave-current interaction (WCI) in a stochastic fluid flow. The objective is to introduce stochasticity into WCI for the purpose of quantifying the uncertainty associated with the wave physics in either the generalised Lagrangian mean (GLM) model, or the alternative Craik–Leibovich (CL) model.

The key idea for the GLM approach is the separation of the Lagrangian (fluid) and Eulerian (wave) degrees of freedom in Hamilton's principle. This is done by coupling an Euler–Poincaré *vector field*

Lagrangian for the current flow and a *phase-space Lagrangian* for the wave field. The wave-current coupling is accomplished for GLM by pairing the Lagrangian-mean velocity of the current flow with the momentum map of the Hamiltonian wave system. To demonstrate the applicability of this hybrid approach, we use our wave-current Hamilton's principle approach to close both the deterministic and stochastic GLM equations for a 3D Euler–Boussinesq (EB) fluid. We also apply the method to add wave physics and stochasticity to the familiar 1D shallow water flow model.

The appendices discuss finite dimensional analogues of WCI as well as comparing the differences in approaches for the stochastic GLM and CL models. The differences in the types of stochasticity can be seen in the Kelvin circulation theorems for the two theories. The GLM model acquires stochasticity in its Lagrangian transport velocity for the currents and in its group velocity for the waves. However, the CL model is based on modifying the Eulerian velocity in the integrand of the Kelvin circulation. Appendix C shows that the Kelvin theorem for the stochastic CL model can accept stochasticity in its both its integrand and in the Lagrangian transport velocity of its circulation loop.

1 Introduction

In wave-current interaction (WCI), the current is interpreted as the Lagrangian-mean flow velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$, while the wave phase, $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ and the wave action density, $N(\mathbf{x},t)$ are interpreted as *Eulerian-mean fields*. This is intuitively clear, because the waves would propagate even if the fluid were not moving. It is also clear in Kelvin's circulation integral, in which the loop is moving in a Lagrangian sense and the integrand is an Eulerian quantity in fixed spatial coordinates. Thus, waves and currents would naturally be treated differently in applying Hamilton's variational principle to generate coupled WCI dynamics. The first objective of this paper is to build a consistent variational theory of the Lagrangian mean interactions of the wave and current degrees of freedom for two quite different approaches to WCI. The two different approaches are the generalised Lagrangian mean (GLM) model [1] for wave motion in the ocean or atmosphere and the Craik–Leibovich (CL) model [25] for air-sea interaction due to wind and waves on the sea surface. After this first objective has been achieved, we will introduce several types of noise into these deterministic variational formulations in order to develop a basis for quantifying uncertainty in both the GLM and CL models for WCI.

The generalised Lagrangian mean (GLM) model. The key idea we use for deriving the Hamilton's principle for WCI analysis in the GLM model is the introduction of a *phase-space Lagrangian* (PSL) written as a Legendre transform $L(\phi,\partial_t\phi) = \langle N,\partial_t\phi\rangle - H_W(N,\nabla\phi)$ for the canonically conjugate wave degrees of freedom (N,ϕ). Here, the brackets $\left\langle \cdot\,,\,\cdot \right\rangle$ denote L^2 pairing of dual variables. This PSL is manifestly invariant under translations in the phase ϕ. Noether's theorem then implies conservation of the volume integral of the conjugate momentum N (the wave action density). Our approach follows the PSL formulation of quantum mechanics introduced in 1934 by Frenkel and Dirac [33]. This approach has also become a mainstay of plasma physics, where it has been used to model the time-mean (ponderomotive) forces exerted *externally* by rapid electromagnetic oscillations (e.g. microwaves) on the slow dynamics of a fluid plasma [26, 27, 63, 72, 59]. For a modern application of the Frenkel–Dirac phase-space Lagrangian in the classical-quantum interaction for non-adiabatic electron dynamics in molecular chemistry, see [32]. For a recent treatment of phase-space Lagrangians for fast-slow WKB dynamics of high-frequency acoustic waves interacting with a larger-scale compressible isothermal flow, see [12].

For GLM, the main result of the paper is Theorem 4.2 which derives a *closed* dynamical GLM theory of WCI which can be extended into stochastic wave-current dynamics. Mean quantities in this theory are defined as averages over the rapid phase of the wave component of the flow *at fixed Lagrangian coordinate*, as done, e.g., in [37, 59]. Consequently, the PSL basis for the WCI closure derived here is natural in the GLM approach [1]. In the PSL approach, the WCI closure depends on the dispersion relation, $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, which connects the wave-frequency scalar field, $\omega(\mathbf{x},t)$, with the wave-number covector

field, $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$. The dispersion relation, $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, identifies the type of wave being considered in the WCI. It also will determine the Hamiltonian dynamics of the canonically conjugate variables of the wave field, (ϕ, N). The wave variables (ϕ, N) evolve in the local reference frame moving with the GLM transport velocity of the mean current, $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$. Thus, the wave and current momentum dynamics each contribute independently to the total circulation around every material loop, as interpenetrating fluid degrees of freedom. The independence of these contributions to the total circulation represent the well known non-acceleration result for GLM [1].

The GLM closure introduced here is flexible enough to treat a variety of different types of WCI, and it also allows the wave and current components of the flow to be made stochastic independently.

The Craik–Leibovich (CL) model. The CL model is treated in appendices B and C. For CL, the main result of the paper is Theorem C.1 which introduces Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) wave dynamics into the CL equations for EB fluid flow as a system of Euler-Poincaré equations obtained from Hamilton's principle with the action integral (B.5). Having been derived as a system of Euler-Poincaré equations, the probabilistic CL model with OU wave dynamics (called the OU CL model) preserves all of the geometric mechanics properties of the original CL model, including its vorticity dynamics and preservation of potential vorticity. The uncertainty in the wave field in the OU CL model in Theorem C.1 appears as an OU term in the *circulation integrand* rather than in the transport velocity of the *circulation loop* as occurs in GLM. In equation (C.21), the OU term in the circulation integrand represents large scale effects through which rapidly oscillating forces of wind and waves at the surface of the domain can produce the Stokes mean drift velocity which, in turn, transmits a mean force on the current flow as a momentum shift associated with the moving reference frame. As for GLM discussed in the main text, the OU CL model also admits the introduction of stochasticity in the Lagrangian transport velocity of its Kelvin circulation loop, as in equation (C.21). Thus, we regard the OU term in the circulation integrand as the slow ponderomotive average effect of the Stokes mean drift due to the random wind and wave oscillations on the air-sea surface. At the same time, we regard the stochastic transport velocity of the circulation loop as the result of rapid, small-scale effects which perturb the Lagrangian trajectories of the CL model. This dual viewpoint is consistent with the stochastic modelling approach to Richardson's metaphor of 3-way interactions among Big, Little and Lesser Whorls whose stochastic theory was developed in [48].

Plan of the paper and main content.

Section 2 introduces the ideas behind *phase-space Lagrangians* (PSLs) and formulates the closed set of deterministic GLM equations for WCI in (2.17) which will be the basis for the introduction of stochasticity into the GLM model in section 3. The closure of the deterministic GLM theory for a given fluid Lagrangian depends on the choice of dispersion relation, $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, appearing in the PSL Hamiltonian for the wave field dynamics. This feature is what makes our GLM closure flexible enough to treat a variety of interactions of the current with different types of waves. For example, upon choosing the dispersion relation for internal waves in equation (5.6), the WCI equations (2.17) yield the Generalised Lagrangian Mean (GLM) equations for stratified, rotating, incompressible Euler–Boussinesq (EB) fluid motion in three dimensions [1].

Section 3 reviews the stochastic variational principle underlying the SALT (Stochastic Advection by Lie Transport) approach to the derivation of stochastic fluid equations which preserve the geometric structure of fluid dynamics [47]. The motivations and recent applications of the SALT approach for uncertainty quantification and data assimilation are also briefly discussed [17, 18, 19].

Section 4 combines the ideas in the first two sections to extend the SALT approach to *stochastic nonlinear wave propagation* (SNWP) in deriving a new stochastic theory of Wave-Current Interaction (WCI) in which the dynamics of either or both the waves and the currents can be made stochastic. The stochastic

WCI model is formulated and its main geometrical mechanics properties are established.

Section 5 applies the WCI model formulated in section 4 to derive the SALT and SNWP terms for GLM in 3D stratified EB fluids, while Section 6 derives the SALT and SNWP terms for 1D and 2D shallow water WCI (SW-WCI) equations. Section 6 also derives the Hamiltonian structure for SW-WCI, which turns out to recover a type of non-canonical Lie–Poisson bracket which was first discovered for superfluid ^4He and ^3He in [51] and was later formulated in more general terms by Krishnaprasad and Marsden in [61] who applied the formulation to the dynamics of a rigid body with a flexible attachment. It seems fitting that the WCI should have such deep roots in geometric mechanics.

Section 7 summarises the paper's main results for the WCI model derived here. Namely, the WCI model derived here enables the exchange of energy through the coupling between the two different kinds of motion: Lagrangian flows and Eulerian waves. In the WCI approach which we have implemented here, the GLM fluid transport velocity in the rotating frame is determined by taking the difference of the total momentum and the wave momentum in the frame of the transport velocity. The flow velocity is measured relative to the rotation of the Earth and the wave group velocity is measured relative to the flow velocity. This is reminiscent of L. F. Richardson's well-known metaphor of "whorls within whorls" for fluid turbulence. For a recent discussion Richardson's metaphor in the context of stochastic parametrisation for geophysical flows, see [48].

Non-acceleration result for wave mean-flow interaction (WMFI). In the WCI model derived here, the wave dynamics may create circulation, but only within the wave subsystem of the incompressible EB flow which is transported by the GLM fluid flow. This is particularly clear in the Kelvin circulation theorem representation of WCI for the example of EB flow, when equation (2.19) is compared with equations (2.24) and (2.26). Thus, equation (2.26) represents a dynamical version of the famous *non-acceleration theorem* for GLM [78]. Namely, in the absence of dissipation, equation (2.26) shows that the presence of waves has no net effect on the mean-flow equations of the GLM WCI model.

Section 7 reviews the main geometric mechanics ideas for our approach to WCI and suggests other open problems which may be treated via this approach.

Appendix A discusses the gyrostat as a potential dynamical systems analogue of WCI. (The gyrostat is a rigid body with a flywheel attached along its intermediate axis.) The dynamics of the gyrostat system can also be formulated in the presence of gravity, as a heavy top with a flywheel attached. The solution behaviour of the gyrostat is close to GLM behaviour. Namely, the effect of the flywheel on the rigid body is small except near the unstable equilibria of the rigid body. However, on the slow time scale this weak effect can accumulate over time for motion along the unstable manifold of the perturbed equilibrium. The gyrostat example may even suggest some ideas about dealing with tipping points (bifurcations) in perturbed GLM systems.

Appendix A also discusses the swinging spring, or elastic spherical pendulum, as a potential dynamical systems analogue of WCI. When a rigid spherical pendulum is made radially elastic, the possibility opens for the new oscillation degree of freedom to interact with the rotational degrees of freedom. The resulting exchange of energy can be quite dramatic if resonances between the two dynamical modes can occur [54]. However, the non-acceleration result for GLM implies that no such exchange of energy is generally available for GLM.

Both the gyrostat and swinging spring also have structural similarities with WCI from the GLM viewpoint, because the Hamiltonian matrix operator in all three Hamiltonian formulations is block-diagonal.

Appendix B compares the deterministic features of the Craik-Leibovich (CL) model with the corresponding deterministic results for GLM discussed in the main text.

Appendix C derives a new stochastic version of CL which differs from the GLM approach both in the type

and location of its probabilistic features. In particular, the probabilistic features are Lagrangian in GLM while they are Eulerian in the CL model. However, the CL model is not subject to a non-acceleration result. This is because wave forcing in the CL model is external, while for GLM the waves represent an internal degree of freedom. The introduction of uncertainty in both the Stokes velocity and in the Lagrangian mean velocity of the CL equations reinforces the concept of *multiscale uncertainty* for the WCI.

2 Deterministic GLM background for waves in the ocean

Wave trains in the compound wave-current ocean flow can be excited by external forces such as the tides, as well as by the mean ponderomotive force of winds blowing along the sea-surface, or by the restoring force of buoyancy due to gravity for flows over bathymetry, or even along outcroppings in the horizontal boundaries. Then, once excited, these wave trains can propagate through the ocean, even if the ocean currents are still and calm. This observation argues for regarding ocean wave excitations as a degree of freedom which can be distinguished from ocean currents.

The statement of the Wave-Current Interaction (WCI) problem involves a hybrid, or compound, description in which the wave field is regarded as a separate Eulerian degree of freedom in the decomposition of the Lagrangian fluid-parcel trajectory into fast and slow components. The generalised Lagrangian mean (GLM) fluid description [1] is a natural approach in this regard, because it also arises from a fast-slow dynamic decomposition of hybrid wave and current degrees of freedom which itself goes back to averaged Lagrangian methods formulated by Whitham [80]. The fast-slow averaging approach is also familiar in many other branches of physics. For example, in the guiding center and oscillation center models in plasma physics, averaging over the fast degrees of freedom for oscillation leads to ponderomotive forces of the wave envelope on the mean flow. See, e.g., [27, 63, 59, 8]. All of these theories in continuum mechanics separate the full flow into a composition of a slow mean flow from which rapid fluctuations depart. Their objective is to model the combined mean dynamics of the full flow at the slow time scale.

Comparing the GLM and CL equations. The Generalised Lagrangian Mean (GLM) flow theory of Andrews and McIntyre [1] is in principle an exact theory of nonlinear waves on a Lagrangian mean flow, within an Eulerian framework. Its potential universality has made GLM the canonical theory for investigating wave mean flow interaction (WMFI) [1, 37] or, equivalently, wave-current interaction (WCI) [62]. In certain asymptotic regimes, the GLM equations can be reduced to the Craik–Leibovich (CL) equations [25], in particular when the wave field is irrotational and the shear is weak [62]. The GLM theory also affords an extension of Craik–Leibovich (CL) instability theory to admit rotational wave fields and strong shear [22]. The CL theory of linear instability of the wave-mean flow interaction in the latter case produces longitudinal vortices which are generally expected to develop nonlinearly into Langmuir circulations [22, 76].

However, regardless of these formal similarities in certain asymptotic regimes, the nonlinear mathematical structures of the GLM equations and the CL equations derived in this paper will turn out to be quite different. This difference is not unexpected, because the CL equations have an external forcing term which is absent in the GLM equations. Moreover, a non-acceleration result exists for the GLM equations under which the wave degree of freedom cannot influence the circulation of the current degree of freedom. These differences will emerge when the CL model is discussed in detail in appendices B and C. In fact, the differences between CL and GLM fluid dynamics reside largely in how the choice between Eulerian and Lagrangian velocity averaging affects the Kelvin circulation theorem. Eulerian averaging in the CL approach affects the Eulerian velocity 1-form in the *circulation integrand* in Kelvin's theorem, while Lagrangian averaging in the GLM approach affects the material velocity of the Kelvin *circulation loop*.

This profound difference in how the two approaches affect circulation dynamics means that the physics of the two approaches can differ widely.

GLM as an example of slow-fast decomposition. The GLM equations are based on defining fluid quantities at a displaced, rapidly fluctuating position $\mathbf{x}^\xi := \mathbf{x}+\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$. In the GLM description, $\overline{\chi}$ denotes the Eulerian mean of a fluid quantity $\chi = \overline{\chi} + \chi'$ while $\overline{\chi}^L$ denotes the *Lagrangian mean* of the same quantity, defined by

$$\overline{\chi}^L(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \overline{\chi^\xi(\mathbf{x})}\,, \quad \text{with} \quad \chi^\xi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \chi(\mathbf{x}+\xi(\mathbf{x},t))\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\mathbf{x}^\xi \equiv \mathbf{x}+\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$ is the current position of a Lagrangian fluid trajectory whose current mean position is $\mathbf{x}$. Thus, $\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$ denotes the fluctuating displacement with vanishing Eulerian mean $\overline{\xi}=0$ of a Lagrangian particle trajectory about its current mean position $\mathbf{x}$.

GLM defines the fluid velocity at the displaced oscillating position as $\mathbf{u}^\xi(\mathbf{x},t) := \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x},t))$ where $\mathbf{x}$ is evaluated as the current position on a Lagrangian mean path and

$$\mathbf{u}^\xi := \frac{D^L}{Dt}\Big(\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x},t)\Big) = \mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{u}^\ell(\mathbf{x},t) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{D^L}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}^\ell := \frac{D^L\boldsymbol{\xi}}{Dt}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

One then defines the Lagrangian mean velocity as $\overline{\mathbf{u}^\xi}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$, where $\overline{(\,\cdot\,)}$ is a time, or phase average at fixed *Eulerian* coordinate $\mathbf{x}$.

Thus, the GLM approach decomposes the Lagrangian trajectory as $\mathbf{x}^\xi := \mathbf{x}+\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$ into its current mean position, $\mathbf{x}$, plus a rapidly fluctuating displacement $\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$, then GLM investigates the Lagrangian mean dynamical implications of this decomposition. Postulating the unknown fluctuating displacement vector field $\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$ introduces an additional degree of freedom of the Lagrangian mean fluid description whose effects must be modelled.

A quick derivation of the GLM motion equation by time averaging Kelvin's theorem. One may derive the GLM motion equation by applying Lagrangian-mean time averaging to the Kelvin circulation theorem for the ideal fluids in the form of Newton's law, which equates the rate of change of the momentum to the force on a distribution of mass on a material loop,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\oint_{c(u^\xi)} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^\xi,t)\cdot d\mathbf{x}^\xi}^L = \overline{\oint_{c(u^\xi)} \Big(\,\cdot\,\mathbf{f}\,\cdot\Big)^\xi \cdot d\mathbf{x}^\xi}^L\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{f}$ denotes the sum over whatever prescribed forces per unit mass are present. In Kelvin's theorem (2.4), the loop moves with the flow, so the loop is a Lagrangian quantity. The integrand is fixed in space, so the integrand is Eulerian. Thus, after taking averages, the loop velocity will be the Lagrangian mean velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L$, and the integrand will be given by its Eulerian mean $\overline{(\,\cdot\,)}$ at the displaced location of the Lagrangian trajectory $\mathbf{x}^\xi := \mathbf{x}+\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$. Namely,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)} \overline{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^\xi,t)\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}^\xi} = \frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)} \overline{\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)+\mathbf{u}^\ell(\mathbf{x},t)\big)\cdot d(\mathbf{x}+\xi(\mathbf{x},t))} = \oint_{c(u^L)} \overline{\Big(\,\cdot\,\mathbf{f}\,\cdot\Big)^\xi \cdot d\mathbf{x}^\xi} \tag{2.4}$$

Equation (2.2) implies an evolution equation for the fluctuating displacement $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x},t)$,

$$\frac{D^L\xi}{Dt} =: \mathbf{u}^\ell(\mathbf{x}^\xi,t) = (\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)\,. \tag{2.5}$$

The last equation means the displacement vector field $\xi := \boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x},t)\cdot\nabla$ is *advected* by the Lagrangian mean velocity $\mathbf{u}^L$. That is, $\partial_t\xi + [u^L,\xi] = 0 = \partial_t\xi - \mathrm{ad}_{u^L}\xi$. This, in turn, means that the fluctuating vector field ξ is pushed forward by the time-dependent flow ϕ_t^L, which itself is generated by the vector field u^L. That

is, $\xi(t) = \phi^L_{t\,*}\xi(0)$. Furthermore, $\partial_t\xi(t) = \partial_t\phi^L_{t\,*}\xi(0) = -\,\phi^L_{t\,*}\mathcal{L}_{u^L}\xi(0) = -\mathcal{L}_{u^L}\xi(t)$. For more discussion of the geometric properties of the GLM theory, see [36, 49].

To quadratic order in the displacement $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x},t)$ with zero mean $\overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x},t)} = 0$ equation (2.4) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)}\Big(\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) + \overline{u^\ell_k\nabla\xi^k}\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c(u^L)}\overline{\Big(\,\cdot\,\mathbf{f}\,\cdot\,\Big)^{\xi}\cdot d\mathbf{x}^{\xi}}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

At this point the Kelvin circulation theorem for the standard GLM equations may be derived by defining the *pseudovelocity*, $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x},t)$, and the *pseudomomentum*, $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},t)$, as follows

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\,\overline{u^\ell_k\nabla\xi^k} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},t)/\widetilde{D}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\widetilde{D}$ is the Lagrangian mean volume element.

Pseudomomentum is a momentum map. Pseudomomentum is a 1-form density (dual to vector fields under L^2 pairing) which can be written in a variety of ways. For example, pseudomomentum can be written as [49]

$$p(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},t)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\otimes d^3x = \overline{u^\ell_k\,d\xi^k}\otimes d^3x = \overline{u^\ell_k\,\partial_\phi\xi^k}\,d\phi(\mathbf{x},t)\otimes d^3x =: N\,d\phi(\mathbf{x},t)\otimes d^3x\,. \tag{2.8}$$

In the next section, the last variant in (2.8) will allow us to consider $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $N(\mathbf{x},t)d^3x$ as canonically conjugate wave field variables. It will follow that $p = N\,d\phi\otimes d^3x$ is a momentum map [55]. This recognition will allow us to use L^2 pairing to couple the wave field to the Lagrangian mean fluid velocity vector field in Hamilton's principle. Upon applying this momentum map coupling, we will introduce a Hamiltonian for the wave dynamics in a phase-space Lagrangian in Hamilton's principle. This procedure will allow us close the GLM equations explicitly in terms of physically identifiable wave and fluid quantities.

The approximations which reduce GLM to the CL (Craik-Leibovich) equations. If one introduces the Stokes mean drift velocity $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x},t)$ as the difference between the Lagrangian and Eulerian mean velocities, which is defined as $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u} = \overline{\xi^k\partial_k\mathbf{u}^\ell}$, then equation (2.6) is expressible as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)}\Big(\big(\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x},t)\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} + \overline{\mathcal{L}_\xi(\mathbf{u}^\ell\cdot d\mathbf{x})}\Big) = \oint_{c(u^L)}\overline{\Big(\,\cdot\,\mathbf{f}\,\cdot\,\Big)^{\xi}\cdot d\mathbf{x}^{\xi}}\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\xi(\mathbf{u}^\ell\cdot d\mathbf{x})} = (\,\overline{\xi^k\partial_k\mathbf{u}^\ell} + \overline{u^\ell_k\nabla\xi^k}\,)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x},t) - \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x},t))\cdot d\mathbf{x}$ denotes the Eulerian mean $\overline{(\,\cdot\,)}$ applied to the Lie derivative along the fluctuation vector field, $\xi = \boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla$, of the circulation 1-form of the fluctuating velocity, $\mathbf{u}^\ell\cdot d\mathbf{x}$.

Upon neglecting the entire term $\overline{\mathcal{L}_\xi(\mathbf{u}^\ell\cdot d\mathbf{x})}\to 0$ and neglecting the time dependence of the Stokes mean drift velocity, $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x},t)\to\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$, one finds the CL (Craik-Leibovich) equations. This is the sense mentioned earlier in which the GLM equations may be "reduced" to the CL equations. A stochastic version of the CL equations is formulated below in appendices B and C for the purpose of quantifying the uncertainty of their solutions.

Finite-dimensional GLM analogues. As a finite-dimensional example which has some close parallels with the GLM decomposition into currents and waves in the GLM theory one can consider the gyrostat, comprising a rigid body coupled to a flywheel. The 2D rotational effects of the flywheel on the 3D rotations of the rigid body are discussed in A.

In Appendix A we also consider the finite-dimensional rotations and oscillations of an elastic spherical pendulum. If the pendulum is only slightly elastic, then very rapid oscillations can take place, which may

be negligible for small amplitude and in the absence of resonances. However, as the pendulum becomes more elastic and behaves more a like a radial spring, its oscillations and the resulting *oscillation-rotation interaction* (ORI) can become an important feature of the dynamics [54]. For example, when resonances occur in the system, one may see regular exchanges between springing motion (oscillation) and swinging motion (rotation). Analogously, GLM introduces a new degree of wave freedom and assesses what mean effects it may have on the full fluid solution. However, the non-acceleration result for GLM discussed in section 2 precludes any resonant exchanges of energy between the GLM waves and currents.

Remark 2.1 (Relation of GLM to mainstream stability methods for fluid equilibria). Fortunately, the GLM notation is also *standard* in the stability analysis of fluid equilibria in the Lagrangian picture. See, e.g., the classic works of Bernstein [7], Frieman & Rotenberg [34] and Newcomb [66]. See Jeffrey & Taniuti [58] for a collection of reprints showing applications of this approach in the course of controlled thermonuclear fusion research. For insightful reviews, see Bernstein [6], Chandrasekhar [13] and, more recently, Hameiri [38]. Rather than causing confusion, this confluence of notation encourages the transfer of ideas between traditional Lagrangian stability analysis for fluids under perturbation and the GLM theory. Sometimes, as in the case of the elliptic instability, the GLM theory actually yields the nonlinear time dependent motion equations resulting from the perturbation of the Lagrangian path, $\mathbf{x}^\xi \equiv \mathbf{x} + \xi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, rather than merely producing the linear spectrum [37].

Refinements of GLM. The GLM theory has inspired many refinements. These refinements include determination of the higher-order correction terms in the ratio of the time scales for currents and waves from a phase-averaged Hamilton's principle in Lagrangian coordinates [37]. This particular refinement established the noncanonical Lie-Poisson Hamiltonian formulation of GLM as the dynamics of two interpenetrating flows, with two different types of momentum, just as in [51] for Landau's 2-fluid theory of superfluids, [64, 70].

The GLM equations at second order in an asymptotic expansion in the ratio of the time scales are called the *glm* equations [43, 44] When a closure based on the Taylor hypothesis is imposed on the *glm* equations for the incompressible ideal Euler fluid flow, one obtains the *Euler-alpha model*, originally known as the N-dimensional Camassa–Holm equation [55, 14]. In the Taylor hypothesis closure for the Euler-alpha equations, the length-scale (alpha) is the mean correlation length of the fluctuations of the Lagrangian trajectory away from its mean. When viscosity is added in the form of momentum diffusion, one obtains the Lagrangian Averaged Navier-Stokes-alpha (LANS-alpha) turbulence model. The LANS-alpha turbulence model has been analysed deeply mathematically [30, 31] and its primitive equation version has been implemented successfully for global ocean circulation [39, 40].

For more in-depth discussions of recent developments of GLM, see [9, 10, 36, 49, 75]. In particular, recent refinements of GLM include its formulation for flows on manifolds [36], and its extension from deterministic to stochastic dynamics, within the Euler–Poincar'e variational framework of geometric mechanics [49].

The present paper continues these refinements in reformulating the GLM variational principle derived in [49] by introducing a phase-space Lagrangian in Hamilton's principle for the mean description of the wave field. The present result is a closed Hamiltonian theory which is shown to recover the GLM equations, and to implement the wave dynamics required for each specific application. Namely, the details of the closure for the wave physics of a given fluid application are governed by the dispersion relation for the type of wave field involved, which explicitly determines the proper Hamiltonian. Consequently, the present reformulation of GLM is potentially flexible enough to allow application to a variety of different types of waves. This flexibility is demonstrated by deriving the GLM equations explicitly for two applications. These are: internal waves in 3D rotating stratified incompressible Euler–Boussinesq flows in section 5; and 1D shallow-water waves in section 6.

2.1 WCI for stratified EB fluids: the Generalised Lagrangian Mean (GLM)

We introduce the idea of a phase-space Lagrangian for the wave components of fluid flows by applying it to study WCI in the familiar example of 3D Euler–Boussinesq (EB) fluid. The EB fluid is a stratified, rotating, incompressible flow governed by the Euler fluid equations in the Boussinesq approximation. Here, we propose a variational formulation of WCI, with Hamilton's principle parameterised by a phase space Lagrangian which includes a wave Hamiltonian depending on the canonically conjugate phase-space variables $(q,p)=(\phi,N)$ for the collective degrees of freedom known as the wave phase field, $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$, and its canonically conjugate momentum density, $N(\mathbf{x},t)$, which is the familiar GLM wave action density.

After computing the variational equations, we will show that choosing the wave Hamiltonian to be $H_W=-\int_{\mathcal{D}} N\omega(\mathbf{k})d^3x$ for $\mathbf{k}=\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ closes the GLM equations of [1] and recovers the usual physical interpretations of their wave properties, including the phase dynamics in the local reference frame of the moving flow.

Remark 2.2. One recalls that $\mathbf{p}:=N\nabla\phi=:N\mathbf{k}$ is called the *pseudomomentum density* in the GLM theory [1]. Consider the functional $M_\xi(\phi,N)$ defined by the following L^2 pairing of the 1-form density $N\nabla\phi$ with a vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$

$$M_\xi(\phi,N)=\big\langle\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})\,,\,N\nabla\phi\big\rangle=\int_{\mathcal{D}}\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})\cdot N\nabla\phi\,d^3x\,. \tag{2.10}$$

For the canonical Poisson bracket, the functional $M_\xi(\phi,N)$ in (2.10) generates translations in space of the wave variables ϕ and N along the characteristic curves of the vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$. This can be seen by computing the canonical Poisson brackets,

$$\begin{bmatrix}\{\phi\,,\,M_\xi(\phi,N)\}\\ \{N\,d^3x\,,\,M_\xi(\phi,N)\}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\delta M_\xi/\delta\phi\\ \delta M_\xi/\delta N\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\nabla\phi\\ \mathrm{div}(N\boldsymbol{\xi})d^3x\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi\\ \mathcal{L}_\xi(N\,d^3x)\end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ denotes Lie derivative with respect to the vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$, which is defined as the infinitesimal transformation along the flow generated by $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$. Thus, under the Poisson bracket for the canonically conjugate, time-dependent, wave fields $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $N(\mathbf{x},t)$, the functional $M_\xi(\phi,N)$ generates a flow of the wave fields $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $N(\mathbf{x},t)$ along the characteristic curves of the vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$.

Phase-space Lagrangian derivation of the GLM equations We write the WCI action integral for Hamilton's principle as the sum of the known deterministic Lagrangian for EB fluids [55] coupled to a *phase-space Lagrangian* (PSL) for the wave-field dynamics, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}S=\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\ell(\mathbf{u}^L,D,b,N,\phi:p)\,dt=\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\Big[\frac{D}{2}\big|\mathbf{u}^L\big|^2+D\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})-gDbz-p(D-1)\Big]d^3x\\ -\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}}N(\partial_t\phi+\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla\phi)\,d^3x+\int_{t_1}^{t_2}H_W(N,\mathbf{k})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The first line of the Lagrangian in (2.12) is the fluid Lagrangian for EB fluids in standard vector form [55]. The second line contains the PSL for the wave degrees of freedom, obtained by a partial Legendre transform $L(\phi,\partial_t\phi)=\langle N,\partial_t\phi\rangle-H(N,\nabla\phi)$ for the canonically conjugate wave degrees of freedom (N,ϕ). Note that the PSL for the wave variables is manifestly invariant under translations in the phase ϕ. This means the PSL would keep its form under phase averaging at fixed Lagrangian coordinate. Hence, one may regard the PSL as having *resulted* from such an averaging process.

The term $-\int_{\mathcal{D}}N\nabla\phi\cdot\mathbf{u}^L\,d^3x$ in the second line has both wave and fluid components. This term serves to couple the EB Lagrangian for the fluid variables with the phase-space Lagrangian for the wave variables by pairing the wave momentum density with the fluid velocity. Equation (2.11) shows that variations

of this term in (ϕ, N) translate the wave variables along the Lagrangian trajectories of the current flow velocity $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$.

The variation of the Lagrangian in (2.12) with respect to the transport velocity $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$ produces the total Eulerian momentum density for GLM in the presence of the wave field,

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x},t) := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}^L} = D(\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})) - N\nabla\phi\,, \tag{2.13}$$

in which $\mathbf{p} = N\nabla\phi = N\mathbf{k}$ is the GLM pseudomomentum density. As we shall see, the Hamiltonian dynamics for the momentum density in equation (2.13) will recover the GLM velocity equation for the Lagrangian mean transport velocity $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$.

The Hamiltonian corresponding to the Lagrangian in (2.12) is given by the Legendre transform,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{m},N,\phi,D,b)) =& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{u}^L - N\partial_t\phi\, d^3x - \ell(\mathbf{u}^L,D,b,N,\phi:p) \\ =& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\frac{1}{2D}\big|\mathbf{m} - N\mathbf{k} - D\mathbf{R}\big|^2 + gDbz + p(D-1)\right] d^3x + H_W(\phi,N)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

This is simply the sum of the material and wave energies. The variational derivatives are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H(\mathbf{m},N,\phi,D,b)) =& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\delta\mathbf{m} + \delta D\Big(gbz + p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 - \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\Big) + (gDz)\delta b \\ & - \operatorname{div}\Big(N\Big(\mathbf{u}^L + \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta \mathbf{k}}\Big)\Big)\delta\phi + \left(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N} + \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{u}^L\right)\delta N\, d^3x\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where we have used the variational identity $\delta H_W/\delta\phi = -\operatorname{div}(\delta H_W/\delta\mathbf{k})$ at constant N, which follows from $\mathbf{k} := \nabla\phi$.

We may write equations of motion in Hamiltonian form by using a block-diagonal Poisson matrix operator, as

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} m_i \\ D \\ b \\ \phi \\ N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \partial_j m_i + m_j\partial_i & D\partial_i & -b_{,i} & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_j D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{,j} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta m_j \\ \delta H/\delta D \\ \delta H/\delta b \\ \delta H/\delta\phi \\ \delta H/\delta N \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.16}$$

Remark 2.3 (Next steps). The key idea in proposing the action for WCI dynamics in equation (2.12) is the separation of the Lagrangian (current) and Eulerian (wave) degrees of freedom in Hamilton's principle. This has been accomplished by introducing a standard *Euler–Poincaré Lagrangian* for the current flow [55] and a *phase-space Lagrangian* for the wave field. The two Lagrangians are coupled by the *mechanical connection* term $-\int_{\mathcal{D}} N\nabla\phi\cdot\mathbf{u}^L\, d^3x$ in the Lagrangian in (2.12) obtained by pairing the velocity of the current flow with the momentum map of the Hamiltonian wave system. Coupling by this pairing has the effect that the waves propagate in the local reference frame of the current flow. Without this connection, the current and wave degrees of freedom would evolve separately. The result is a closed dynamical theory whose wave-current dynamics can be made stochastic. To demonstrate the applicability of this hybrid approach, we first verify that our Hamilton principle does recover the *deterministic* GLM equations for an Euler–Boussinesq (EB) fluid. We then add stochasticity and recover the stochastic version of the GLM equations for EB fluid derived earlier in [49] which also introduces stochasticity into the GLM wave field.

Deterministic wave-current interaction for EB fluid flow. Upon expanding out the Hamiltonian equations in (2.16), the dynamics of the EB fluid with these additional wave variables is found to obey

the following system of equations,[1]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \mathbf{m} + (\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla)\,\mathbf{m} + (\nabla\mathbf{u}^L)^T\cdot\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}\,\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^L = D\nabla\pi + Dgz\nabla b + \mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta\mathbf{k}}\Big) + N\nabla\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\,,\\
&\partial_t D + \mathrm{div}(D\mathbf{u}^L) = 0\,, \qquad D = 1\,, \qquad \partial_t b + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla b = 0\,,\\
&\partial_t\phi + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla\phi - \frac{\delta\, H_W}{\delta N} = 0\,, \qquad \partial_t N + \mathrm{div}(N\mathbf{u}^L) - \mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\delta\, H_W}{\delta\mathbf{k}}\Big) = 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

The Eulerian momentum density, $\mathbf{m}$, and the Bernoulli function, π, in these equations are defined by the following variational derivatives of the GLM Lagrangian in (2.12),

$$\mathbf{m} := \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}^L} = D(\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})) - N\nabla\phi\,, \qquad \pi := \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 + \mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{u}^L - gbz - p\,. \tag{2.18}$$

The motion equation for WCI in equation (2.17) implies the following Kelvin circulation dynamics for the Eulerian momentum per unit mass,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)}\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}^L}\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(u^L)}(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_u^L)\Big(\Big(\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{N}{D}\nabla\phi\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big)\\
&= \oint_{c(u^L)}\nabla\pi\cdot d\mathbf{x} + \oint_{c(u^L)}\underbrace{gz\nabla b\cdot d\mathbf{x}}_{\text{Buoyancy}} + \oint_{c(u^L)}\underbrace{\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta\mathbf{k}}\Big) + N\nabla\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}}_{\text{Wave Forcing}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Thus, the wave forcing terms in (2.19) could potentially generate circulation of the total Eulerian momentum per unit mass, $\mathbf{m}/D$, which is dual to the Lagrangian mean velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L$. Equation (2.19) is Newton's 2$^{\text{nd}}$ Law for the time rate of change of the total Eulerian mean momentum $\mathbf{m}/D$ of a body whose mass is distributed on a closed loop $c(u^L)$ moving with the Lagrangian mean velocity $\mathbf{u}^L$. According to equation (2.19), the wave force in Newton's Law for this model depends on the following wave properties: wave action density N; wave vector $\mathbf{k}$; gradient of dispersion relation $\nabla\omega(\mathbf{k})$; and group velocity $\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k}) := \partial\omega/\partial\mathbf{k}$.

The solution algorithm for solving the Euler-Poincaré system (2.17) is, as follows. First, one solves the Euler-Poincaré motion equation to update the total Eulerian momentum density $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x},t)$. In parallel, one updates the solutions of the four auxiliary equations for (ϕ, N) and (b, D). Updating (ϕ, N) also updates the GLM pseudomomentum density, $\mathbf{p} := N\nabla\phi$. Next, one solves the linear equation (2.13) to update the Lagrangian mean transport velocity in the rotating frame, $\mathbf{u}^L = D^{-1}(\mathbf{m}+N\nabla\phi) - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$. Finally, one updates the pressure p by solving a Poisson equation with Neumann boundary conditions. After these steps, one may then take the next time step in the motion equation and iterate the solution algorithm. The solution algorithm solves for the total Eulerian momentum density, $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x},t)$, and the pseudomomentum density, $\mathbf{p} := N\nabla\phi$, independently. This separation is crucial in solving for the Lagrangian mean transport velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L$, which is a diagnostic variable in this solution algorithm. We will see that the *kinematic momentum*, $D\mathbf{u}^L$, can be made prognostic instead of $\mathbf{m}$ by making a change of variables in the Hamiltonian formulation of the present model. The resulting prognostic equation for $\mathbf{u}^L$ turns out to be exactly the original EB motion equation, which is as it should be. In addition, though, upon completing the Hamiltonian formulation, one recovers the Poisson bracket for Landau's 2-fluid model for superfluid ^4He. This places the present theory of WCI into the class of complex fluids with dynamical order parameters [45]

Example 2.4 (The WKB wave Hamiltonian). Closure of the WCI system (2.17) requires one to model the wave Hamiltonian, $H_W(\mathbf{k}, N)$. A compelling choice of the wave Hamiltonian can be recognised by

[1] We will derive the stochastic versions of equations (2.17) in proving Theorem 4.2. There, equations (2.17) will re-emerge when the noise is absent.

defining the second line of equation (2.12) as

$$-\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}} N(\partial_t\phi + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla\phi)\,d^3x + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H_W(N,\mathbf{k}) = -\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}} N\big(\partial_t\phi + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla\phi + \omega(k)\big)\,d^3x\,. \tag{2.20}$$

That is, the wave Hamiltonian is determined by regarding N in the phase-space Lagrangian in (2.12) as a *Lagrange multiplier* which enforces the constraint that ϕ satisfies the WKB wave phase equation (2.20) in the local reference frame of the moving fluid. In this formulation, one may immediately interpret the physical meanings of the various wave terms. Namely,

$$H_W = -\int_M N\omega(\mathbf{k})\,d^3x\,, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big|_{\mathbf{k}} = -\,\omega(\mathbf{k})\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta \mathbf{k}}\Big|_{N} = -N\frac{\partial\omega(\mathbf{k})}{\partial\mathbf{k}} =: -\,N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\,, \tag{2.21}$$

in which $\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k}) := \partial\omega(\mathbf{k})/\partial\mathbf{k}$ is the group velocity for the dispersion relation $\omega = \omega(\mathbf{k})$ between wave frequency, ω, and wave number, $\mathbf{k}$. For the wave Hamiltonian H_W, the wave variables in equation (2.17) obey the following familiar *WKB* relations in the frame of the fluid motion,[2]

$$\partial_t\phi + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla\phi = -\,\omega(\mathbf{k})\,, \qquad \partial_t N + \mathrm{div}\Big(N(\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) = 0\,. \tag{2.22}$$

The wave dynamics in (2.22) may also be written in a suggestive canonical Hamiltonian form in the reference frame of the fluid motion as

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})\begin{bmatrix}\phi\\ N d^3x\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta\phi}\\ \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\end{bmatrix}\,, \tag{2.23}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{u^L}$ denotes Lie derivative with respect to the transport vector field which in GLM theory is the Lagrangian mean velocity $\mathbf{u}^L$. In this form, one may identify the operation $(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})$ as the time derivative in the frame of the moving fluid. The wave dynamics in equations (2.22) and (2.23) provide further insight into wave propagation in a fluid flow. For a constant transport velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L$, the equations in (2.22) may be immediately recognised as the WKB equations for a wave packet with slowly varying envelope propagating in a moving medium [69, 80, 10]. However, equation (2.19) for the total circulation dynamics raises the issue of whether wave motions may affect the Eulerian momentum per unit mass of the fluid parcels.

The two equations in (2.22) imply that the fluid velocity $\mathbf{u}^L$ transports the wave propagation dynamics in the reference frame of the fluid flow. Combining these two equations yields

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)}\frac{N}{D}\nabla\phi\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(u^L)}\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) + N\nabla\omega(k)\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\\ &= \oint_{c(u^L)}\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta\mathbf{k}}\Big) + N\nabla\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

That is, the two equations in (2.22) imply

$$-\Big(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L}\Big)\Big(\frac{N}{D}\nabla\phi\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big) = \frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) + N\nabla\omega(k)\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,. \tag{2.25}$$

[2]The gradient of the phase dynamics in equation (2.22) yields the following equation for the wave vector, $\mathbf{k} = \nabla\phi$, in the local reference frame of the fluid motion,

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})})d\phi = 0 = \Big(\partial_t\mathbf{k} + \nabla\big(\omega(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{u}^L\big)\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,, \quad \text{since} \quad \mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{k} = 0.$$

Now substituting equation (2.24) with wave Hamiltonian (2.21) into the circulation theorem in equation (2.19) produces a cancellation which recovers the Kelvin circulation theorem in the *same form as for the original EB equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)}\Big(\mathbf{u}^L+\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}=\oint_{c(u^L)}(\nabla\pi+gz\nabla b)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,. \tag{2.26}$$

Equations (2.19), (2.24) and (2.26) provide an additive decomposition the Kelvin circulation theorem representation of WCI in the example of EB flow. This result proves a dynamical version of the famous *non-acceleration theorem* for WMFI [78]. That is, (2.26) implies a non-acceleration theorem for the present GLM WCI model in the example of incompressible 3D EB flow with stratification and rotation, in the sense that the equation for the mean flow velocity in this model does not change, even when waves are present. In particular, the fluid potential vorticity (PV) will still be conserved on Lagrangian mean particle paths. That is,

$$\partial_t Q+\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla Q=0\,, \tag{2.27}$$

where PV is defined as $Q:=D^{-1}\nabla b\cdot\mathrm{curl}(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{L}}+\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))$ with $D=1$.

Thus, after identifying the wave Hamiltonian in (2.21), the phase-space Lagrangian in (2.20) has produced a model of wave-current interaction in the EB fluid in which the total circulation separates additively into wave and current components. In particular, the total momentum density in the model decomposes as $\mathbf{m}=D(\mathbf{u}^L+\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))-N\nabla\phi$ into the *sum* of the momentum densities for the two degrees of freedom. However, in the absence of dissipation no momentum exchange occurs between the two interpenetrating fluids, as also occurs for superfluid ^4He [64, 70, 42]. Next, we will discuss how this variational description of WCI fits into the vast literature of wave mean flow interaction [69, 80, 10].

The next example will show that equations (2.17) with $\mathbf{m}$ given in (2.18) and $H_W(N,\mathbf{k})$ given in (2.21) provide a *closure* for the GLM dynamics of the EB stratified, rotating, incompressible fluid.

Example 2.5 (Comparing WCI equations (2.17) with the Andrews and McIntyre GLM formulation [1]).

For the choice of wave Hamiltonian (2.21) of Example 2.4, one may quite easily identify the WCI terms in (2.19) which correspond to the GLM formulation. In the GLM notation [1, 49], the wave variables involve the Eulerian time mean correlations denoted as $\overline{(\,\cdot\,)}$ among terms involving the fluctuation displacements $\xi^i(\mathbf{x},t)$ and the fluctuation pressure $p^\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$. These wave mean variables for GLM include the relative group velocity $\overline{v}^j_G=\overline{(p^\xi K^j_i\,\partial_\phi\xi^i)}$ and an approximation of the kinematic fluctuation pressure, $-\overline{\pi^\ell}\approx\overline{p^\xi_{,j}K^j_i\xi^i}$. Here K^j_i is the cofactor of the Jacobian for the fluctuating flow

$$K^j_k:=\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{J}^{-1})^j_k\quad\text{with}\quad\mathcal{J}^k_j:=\frac{\partial\big(x^k+\xi^k(\mathbf{x},t)\big)}{\partial\,x^j}\,,\quad\text{whose determinant is }\mathcal{J}.$$

The GLM fluctuation quantities are related to the GLM time-mean wave variables N and $\mathbf{p}$ as

$$\overline{(\varpi_k\partial_\phi\xi^k)}=:-N\,,\quad\overline{(\varpi_k\nabla\xi^k)}=-N\mathbf{k}=:-\mathbf{p}\,, \tag{2.28}$$

where the co-vector $\boldsymbol{\varpi}\in\mathbb{R}^3$ with components ϖ_k, $k=1,2,3$, is the fluctuation momentum variable. The same variables N and $\mathbf{k}=\nabla\phi$ also appear in the canonical wave equations (2.22) for the present WCI theory.

Let us make the change of variables for the variational partial derivatives of the wave Hamiltonian in (2.21) from dependence $H_W(\mathbf{k},N)$ to $H_W(\mathbf{p}/N,N)$. We then find that the canonical Hamiltonian system for ϕ,N in (2.17), when rewritten in equations (2.22) for the WKB wave Hamiltonian H_W, transforms under $\mathbf{k}=\nabla\phi=\mathbf{p}/N$ into a Lie–Poisson Hamiltonian system which exactly recovers the standard GLM

equations for EB flow. The wave field's semidirect-product Lie–Poisson Hamiltonian structure is revealed in its matrix form as [49]

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} p_j \\ N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} p_k\partial_j + \partial_k p_j & N\partial_j \\ \partial_k N & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta p_k}\Big|_N = u^{L\,k} + v_G^k(\mathbf{p}/N) \\ \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big|_{\mathbf{p}} = \omega(\mathbf{p}/N) - N^{-1}p_i\big(u^{L\,i} + v_G^i(\mathbf{p}/N)\big) \end{bmatrix} . \tag{2.29}$$

This calculation proves the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. When the wave Hamiltonian in (2.21) is rewritten in Lie–Poisson dynamical variables in (2.29) as $H_W(\mathbf{p}/N, N) = -\int_M N\,\omega(\mathbf{p}/N)\,d^3x$, then the Euler–Poincaré equations in (2.17) and the relationships in (2.21) for its variational partial derivatives provide a closure for the standard equations for EB flow in the GLM representation [37, 49].

Remark 2.7 (WCI relation to GLM). The result in proposition 2.6 that the WCI equations for the WKB Hamiltonian in (2.21) provide a *closure* for the standard GLM equations for EB fluid motion reflects a certain equivalence among WKB, WCI and GLM. These three approaches are all deeply connected with fast-slow decompositions and the time averaging of variational principles at fixed Eulerian position. For a recent discussion of these links and their history, see [12].

Thus, the phase-space Lagrangian (2.12) for the case $H_W(\mathbf{p}/N, N)$ in separated form (2.21) provides a Hamiltonian closure for deterministic GLM. In turn, the discovery here of the *exact relation* of the WCI equations (2.17) to the EB fluid GLM equations for the choice of WKB Hamiltonian in (2.21) means that the order parameters (ϕ, N) introduced in the phase space Lagrangian (2.12) may be regarded as variables obtained from the time average of a fast-slow WMFI decomposition for *whatever* fluid theory is under consideration. That is, we may regard the WCI equations with the WKB Hamiltonianin (2.21) for any Euler–Poincaré fluid theory as a shortcut approach for deriving the form of the corresponding GLM equations from the linear dispersion relation for that theory. We will see another example of this approach for shallow water waves in section 6.

Remark 2.8 (Next steps: dynamics of uncertainty in WCI). The remainder of the main text will discuss the *dynamics of uncertainty in WCI*, as represented by stochasticity in this variational framework in hybrid wave-current variables for GLM. The investigation of the effects of random waves on the dispersion of fluid particles is a feature of modern research [57]. We hope that a hybrid variational formulation of stochastic WCI associated with a Hamiltonian closure for GLM will be interesting and useful, as well.

3 Variational principles for stochastic fluid dynamics (SALT)

The variational principles for stochastic fluid dynamics derived in [47] have come to be known as *stochastic advection by Lie transport*, abbreviated as SALT [17, 18, 19]. In the SALT fluid equations, the Lagrangian parcels move along Stratonovich stochastic paths, on which the Kelvin circulation theorem still holds for closed material circulation loops.

The variational equations for stochastic fluids introduced in [47] showed that such equations arise from Hamilton's principle for the following action integral, in which the advected quantities are constrained to move along the Stratonovich stochastic paths: from a *stochastically constrained* variational principle $\delta S = 0$, with action, S, given by[3]

$$S(u, a, b) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Big(\ell(u, a)dt + \langle b\,,\, \mathrm{d}a + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} a \rangle_V \Big) , \tag{3.1}$$

[3]Sections 3 and 4 needn't be restricted to considering only GLM. Consequently, we will drop the superscript ^{L} in these sections.

where $\ell(u,a)$ is the unperturbed deterministic fluid Lagrangian, written as a functional of velocity vector field, u, and advected quantities, a. The stochastic dynamics of the advected quantities imposes a constrant on the variations known as a *driving martingale relation* in which the operation d in (3.1) may be regarded as a *stochastic differential*. For more discussion of this notation and the concept of driving martingales, see [73].

The angle brackets in

$$\langle\, b\,,\, a\,\rangle_V := \int < b(x), a(x,t) > dx \tag{3.2}$$

denote the spatial L^2 integral over the domain of flow of the pairing $< b\,,\, a >$ between elements $a \in V$ and their dual elements $b \in V^*$. In (3.1), the quantity $b \in V^*$ is a Lagrange multiplier and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}a$ is the *Lie derivative* of an advected quantity $a \in V$, along a vector field dx_t defined by the following sum of a drift velocity $u(x,t)$ and Stratonovich stochastic process with *cylindrical noise* parameterised by spatial position x, [68, 71]

$$\mathrm{d}x_t(x) = u(x,t)\,dt + \sum_i \xi_i(x) \circ dW_i(t)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.1. The quantity $\mathrm{d}x_t(x)$ in (3.3) may be regarded as a stochastic Eulerian vector field parameterised by the spatial position x which generates a smooth invertible map in space whose parameterisation in time is stochastic. In integral form, the operation the expression $\mathrm{d}x_t$ in equation (3.3) represents,[4]

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t u(x,t)\,dt + \sum_i \xi_i(x) \circ dW_i(t)\,. \tag{3.4}$$

We also will find it useful to define a map called the *diamond operation* $\diamond : T^*V \to \mathfrak{X}^*$, as follows [55].

Definition 3.2 (The diamond operation)**.** On a manifold M, the diamond operation $\diamond : T^*V \to \mathfrak{X}^*$ is defined for a vector space V with $(b,a) \in T^*V$ and vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}$ is given in terms of the Lie-derivative operation $\mathcal{L}_u$ by

$$\Big\langle b \diamond a\,,\, \xi \Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} := \Big\langle b\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_\xi a \Big\rangle_V \tag{3.5}$$

for the pairings $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_V : T^*V \times TV \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}^* \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $b \diamond a \in \mathfrak{X}^*$.

Theorem 3.3 (SALT dynamics via Hamilton's principle $\delta S = 0$ for action integral (3.1), [47])**.**

The SPDEs which result from the stochastically constrained variational principle $\delta S = 0$ for S defined in (3.1) were expressed in Stratonovich form in terms of the Lie-derivative operation $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}$ as

$$\mathrm{d}\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a\,dt\,, \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{d}a + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}a = 0\,, \tag{3.6}$$

in which $\mathrm{d}x_t$ is the stochastic Eulerian vector field in equation (3.3) which generates the Stratonovich stochastic Lagrangian fluid 'trajectory' (flow map).

All fluid theories advect mass, whose density $D = \rho\, d^3x$ satisfies the following Stratonovich stochastic continuity equation,

$$(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t})D = \big(\mathrm{d}\rho + \mathrm{div}(\rho\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_t)\big)\,d^3x = 0\,. \tag{3.7}$$

The motion and advection equations in (3.6) and the continuity equation (3.7) imply the following Kelvin circulation theorem.

[4] The usual superscript ω for pathwise stochastic quantities will be understood throughout. However, this superscript will be suppressed for the sake of cleaner notation.

Corollary 3.4 (Kelvin circulation theorem for SALT dynamics)**.** *The SALT dynamics equations* (3.6) *imply*

$$\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u}=\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\right)\left(\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u}\right)=\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\left(\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\right)dt\,, \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.5 (Creation of fluid circulation by advection)*.*
The first step in the proof of the fluid circulation equation (3.8) invokes the Kunita-Itô-Wentzell theorem whose use in the derivation of stochastic fluid dynamics is discussed in [4]. Equation (3.8) extends the familiar statement that fluid circulation can be created by the dynamics of the advected fluid quantities into the realm of fluid circulation on stochastically moving material loops.

Equations (3.3) and (3.6) have already been applied with good effect for uncertainty quantification and data assimilation resulting in reduction of uncertainty by using particle filtering in several exemplar problems [17, 18, 19]. Future steps will turn toward oceanic applications of SALT for stochastic upper ocean dynamics (STUOD). However, the upper ocean dynamics has an added feature which cannot be addressed with the present SALT theory. Namely, upper ocean dynamics depends strongly on wave-current interaction (WCI). Historically, WCI has been a fundamental issue in ocean physics itself, not to mention its effect on modelling uncertainty and its potential complications in data assimilation. Now, the outstanding problem for STUOD is, "How to extend the SALT approach to accommodate WCI?"

Naturally, to face this issue, we must return to basics. The first question might be, "How can we extend the stochastically constrained variational principle $\delta S=0$ for SALT, with action S given in equation (3.1) to accommodate WCI?" For this, one would need to introduce a wave degree of freedom which would allow some of the fluid variables to propagate relative to the fluid flow, rather than being simply advected. Moreover, we must ask, "Would those wave variables have their own type of stochasticity which would be independent of stochastic advection?" and "Would one be able to represent the uncertainty in wave dynamics through stochastic propagation?" Fortunately, the derivation obtained by using the phase-space Lagrangian for the wave dynamics discussed in Example 3.1 of the deterministic GLM equations for the EB fluid has already revealed a potential pathway to a theory of stochastic WCI. Namely, one may be able to extend the variational principle for SALT to include a *stochastic phase-space Lagrangian* for the wave variables.

This extension will be the aim of much of the remainder of the paper. We know that SALT will affect the wave motion, because the waves propagate in the frame of the stochastic fluid motion. And we know that the evolution of the waves will not affect the circulation of the fluid. However, we would also like to know how uncertainty in the wave propagation itself might affect the uncertainty of the fluid flow. The next section lays out the general formulation. Then, in the last section, we conclude by rederiving the stochastic GLM equations of [49] for stratified EB fluids by using the WCI approach of the previous section, augmented by allowing the Hamiltonian for the wave variables in the phase-space Lagrangian to be stochastic.

4 Including stochastic nonlinear wave propagation (SNWP) for WCI

To extend the stochastically constrained variational principle (3.1) for SALT in order to accommodate the effects of SNWP on WCI, we will need additional wave variables and an additional constraint amongst them which will correspond to stochastic nonlinear wave propagation. For this purpose, we shall work in the abstract framework sketched in the introduction to introduce a set of canonically conjugate *wave variables* denoted (q,p) and propose the following *minimal coupling form* of the wave action integral to

the SALT action integral for fluid flow in (3.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(u,a,b,q,p) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \underbrace{\ell(u,a)dt}_{\text{Fluid Lagrangian}} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \underbrace{\Big\langle b\,,\, \mathrm{d}a + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} a \Big\rangle_V}_{\text{Advection Constraint}} dt \\
- \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \underbrace{\Big\langle p \diamond q\,,\, \mathrm{d}x_t \Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}}_{\text{Minimal Coupling}} dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \underbrace{\Big\langle p\,,\, \mathrm{d}q \Big\rangle_V - \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}_{\text{Phase-space Wave Lagrangian}} dt
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.1}
$$

Here, the angle brackets represent real L^2 integral pairing, $\mathrm{d}x_t$ is given in (3.3) and the stochastic Hamiltonian functional $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)$ for SNWP is given in Stratonovich form by

$$
\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p) := \mathcal{H}(q,p)\,dt + \mathcal{K}(q,p) \circ dB_t\,, \tag{4.2}
$$

Remark 4.1 (*Minimal coupling* – an idea from quantum mechanics). Both the minimum coupling idea and the phase-space Lagrangian in the action integral (4.1) were introduced by Paul Dirac in the early days of quantum mechanics. Minimum coupling is sometimes called 'jay-dot-ay' ($\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{A}$) coupling because of its interpretation in coupling a solution ψ of the Schrödinger equation for a quantum charged particle such as an electron with charge e and current density $\mathbf{J} = e\Im(\psi^*\nabla\psi)$ to Maxwell's equations for an electromagnetic field with vector potential $\mathbf{A}$. This type of coupling is still invoked universally in quantum problems today. For example, one may see $\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{A}$ used for coupling classical nuclei to quantum electrons in the quantum hydrodynamic theory of molecular chemistry, [32]. Thus, it may be no surprise that minimal coupling might arise here again, as a natural approach for coupling the Lagrangian mean flow of fluid trajectories to the essentially Eulerian field properties of wave propagation. In fact, as for the deterministic WCI case, the minimal coupling term will add the wave momentum map $p\diamond q$ to the total momentum, $m = \delta\ell(u,a)/\delta u$. As one might expect, the minimal coupling term will also boost the wave dynamics into the frame of the stochastic fluid flow.

Applying the definition of the diamond operation ($\diamond$) in (3.5) to the minimal coupling term in the action integral with the phase-space Lagrangian in (4.1) yields

$$
-\Big\langle p \diamond q\,,\, \mathrm{d}x_t \Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} := \Big\langle p\,,\, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q \Big\rangle_V \tag{4.3}
$$

for $(p,q)\in T^*V$ and the Stratonovich stochastic vector field $\mathrm{d}x_t \in \mathfrak{X}$ given in (3.3) and appearing in the Lie-derivative operation $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}$ for the advection constraint in the action integral (4.1).

As a consequence of equation (4.3), the minimum coupling term in the action integral (4.1) may be absorbed into the phase-space Lagrangian in (4.1), as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(u,a,b,q,p) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ell(u,a)dt + \Big\langle b\,,\, \mathrm{d}a + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} a \Big\rangle_V \\
+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Big\langle p\,,\, \mathrm{d}q + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q \Big\rangle_V - \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

where its purpose now is to represent time derivatives along the flow of the Lagrangian trajectories of the stochastic mean flow map ϕ_t generated by the Stratonovich stochastic vector field $\mathrm{d}x_t \in \mathfrak{X}$ given in (3.3). This statement may be proved by recalling that the pullback $\phi_t^* q_t$ of a time dependent quantity (e.g. the state variable q_t) by the stochastic time-dependent map ϕ_t generated by the stochastic vector field $\mathrm{d}x_t$ satisfies the stochastic differential relation [4]

$$
\mathrm{d}(\phi_t^* q_t) = \phi_t^* \Big(\mathrm{d}q + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q \Big)\,. \tag{4.5}
$$

For more discussion of the mathematics of stochastic geometric mechanics, see [4].

The stochastic dynamics associated with Hamilton's principle for the action integral for the stochastic phase-space Hamiltonian in (4.4) may be encapsulated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 (SALT + SNWP dynamics via Hamilton's principle $\delta S = 0$ for action integral (4.4))**.**

The extension of SALT to include SNWP is governed by the following system of Euler-Poincaré equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}\frac{\delta\ell(u,a)}{\delta u} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} = \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\,dt - \frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}}{\delta q}\diamond q + p\diamond\frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}}{\delta p}\,, \qquad \mathrm{d}a + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}a = 0\,,\\
&\mathrm{d}q + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}q - \frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p} = 0\,, \qquad \mathrm{d}p - \mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t}p + \frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} = 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathrm{d}x_t$ *is given in* (3.3) *and* $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)$ *is given in* (4.2)*.*

Remark 4.3 (The diffusion part $\mathcal{K}(q,p)\circ dB_t$ of the wave Hamiltonian $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)$ in (4.2)). For definiteness in applying theorem 4.2, we shall take the diffusion part of the semimartingale wave Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}(q,p)\circ dB_t$ in equation (4.2) as a pairing of a vector field martingale $\sigma(x)\circ dB_t$ with the wave momentum map $p\diamond q$,

$$\mathcal{K}(q,p)\circ dB_t = \Big\langle p\diamond q\,,\,\sigma(x)\Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}\circ dB_t = \Big\langle p\,,\,-\mathcal{L}_\sigma q\Big\rangle_V\circ dB_t = \Big\langle -\mathcal{L}_\sigma p\,,\,q\Big\rangle_V\circ dB_t\,. \tag{4.7}$$

The corresponding variational derivatives of $\mathcal{K}(q,p) = \Big\langle p\diamond q\,,\,\sigma(x)\Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}$ are

$$\delta\mathcal{K}(q,p) = \Big\langle \delta p\,,\,-\mathcal{L}_\sigma q\Big\rangle_V + \Big\langle -\mathcal{L}_\sigma p\,,\,\delta q\Big\rangle_V\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, the diffusion part of the wave Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}(q,p)\circ dB_t$ in the phase-space Lagrangian will induce an additional transport of wave properties by the vector field martingale $\sigma(x)\circ dB_t$.

Corollary 4.4 (Kelvin circulation theorem for SALT and SNWP dynamics)**.**

$$\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} = \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\,dt - \frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q}\diamond q + p\diamond\frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\right)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

Remark 4.5 (Creation of fluid circulation by both advection and wave interaction). The right-hand side of Kelvin's theorem in equation (4.9) raises the issue of whether fluid circulation can be created by the effects of both the advected fluid quantities on the right side of equation (4.9) and also by the effects of the stochastic wave dynamics generated by the wave Hamiltonian $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)$ defined now as

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p) := \mathcal{H}(q,p)\,dt + \Big\langle p\diamond q\,,\,\sigma(x)\Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}\circ dB_t\,. \tag{4.10}$$

As we shall see, the non-acceleration result for GLM in corollary 5.2 below precludes generation of fluid circulation by wave effects.

Remark 4.6 (Compatibility of stochastic terms in the loop and in the integrand of Kelvin's theorem). The wave noise $\circ dB_t$ in the wave Hamiltonian $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)$ in equation (4.10) is assumed to be independent of the fluid transport noise $\circ dW_t$ in the velocity vector field $\mathrm{d}x_t(x)$ in (3.3). Hence, the stochasticity in the integrand of the right-hand side of equation (4.9) will not interfere with the stochasticity in the loop velocity $\mathrm{d}x_t$ defined in equation (3.3).

Proof. The first step of the proof of Theorem 4.2 is to take the elementary variational derivatives of the action integral (4.1), to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta u:\quad \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} - b\diamond a - p\diamond q = 0\,, \qquad \delta b:\quad \mathrm{d}a + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}a = 0\,, \qquad \delta a:\quad \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}dt - \mathrm{d}b + \mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t}b = 0\,,\\
&\delta p:\quad \mathrm{d}q + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}q - \frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p} = 0\,, \qquad \delta q:\quad \mathrm{d}p - \mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t}p + \frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} = 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Using these relations and the two lemmas below will lead to the required motion equation,

$$\mathrm{d}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} + \mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\,dt = -\frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q}\diamond q + p\diamond\frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,, \tag{4.12}$$

whose left-hand side recovers the SALT equations, and whose right-hand side reveals the extension of SALT to include SNWP, along with the auxiliary equations for a, q and p in (4.11). □

Remark 4.7 (The total momentum is the sum of particle and wave components). Suppose the kinetic energy density in the Lagrangian $\ell(u,a)$ in (4.9) is proportional to the square of the transport velocity, u. Then, the momentum density obtained from the variational derivative result for $\delta\ell/\delta u$ above will comprise the *sum* of the particle and wave momentum densities ($\delta\ell/\delta u = b\diamond a + p\diamond q$). This means the total momentum density comprises the sum of the particle momentum density ($\mu = b\diamond a$), whose canonical Poisson bracket spatially translates both the advected variables (a) and their conjugate dual variables (b) together, as well as the wave momentum ($\nu = p\diamond q$) whose canonical Poisson bracket spatially translates both the phase of the wave (q) and its canonically conjugate momentum, the wave action density (p). In other words, the sum of the momentum densities acts via the Poisson bracket to translate the canonically conjugate field variables for both degrees of freedom of the flow together. However, the decomposition of the dynamics into separate equations for the two momentum maps $\mu := b\diamond a$ and $\nu := p\diamond q$ in the proofs of the two lemmas 4.9 and 4.10 implies the following *non-acceleration theorem.*

Corollary 4.8 (Non-acceleration result – *ghost waves*)**.** The motion equation (4.12) for $\delta\ell/\delta u = b\diamond a + p\diamond q$ decomposes into the sum of two separate equations. One is a standard Euler-Poincaré fluid equation for the currents and the other one for the wave degrees of freedom. These are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{For}\quad \mu := b\diamond a \quad \text{we have}\quad \mathrm{d}\mu + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\mu = \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta q}\diamond q\,dt\\
&\text{For}\quad \nu := p\diamond q \quad \text{we have}\quad \mathrm{d}\nu + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\nu = -\frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q}\diamond q + p\diamond\frac{\delta\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Corollary 5.2 is a non-acceleration result for the wave and current momenta, in the sense that the waves propagate in the local reference frame of the fluid flow and the presence of waves has no net effect on the mean-flow equations in this model. Note that equations (4.13) provide a non-acceleration theorem for any choice of wave Hamiltonian.

Lemma 4.9. Together, the variational equations arising from varying b and a in the first line of (4.11) imply the following useful identity first proved in [47].

$$\text{Upon defining}\quad \mu := b\diamond a \quad \text{we have}\quad \mathrm{d}\mu - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\,dt = -\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\mu\,. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. For an arbitrary vector field $w\in\mathfrak{X}(M)$, one computes the following pairing.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \mathrm{d}\mu - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\,dt\,,\,w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} &= \left\langle \mathrm{d}b\diamond a + b\diamond\mathrm{d}a - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\,dt\,,\,w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}\\
\text{By equation (4.11)}\quad &= \left\langle (\mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t}b)\diamond a - b\diamond\mathcal{L}_a\,,\,w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}\\
&= \left\langle b\,,\,(-\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\mathcal{L}_w + \mathcal{L}_w\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t})a\right\rangle_V\\
&= \left\langle b\,,\,(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}w)\,a\right\rangle_V = -\left\langle b\diamond a\,,\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}\\
&= -\left\langle \mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathrm{d}x_t}(b\diamond a)\,,\,w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = -\left\langle \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\mu\,,\,w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Since $w\in\mathfrak{X}$ was arbitrary, the last line completes the proof of the Lemma. In the last step we have also used the coincidence that coadjoint action $\mathrm{ad}^*_v\mu$ is identical to Lie-derivative action $\mathcal{L}_v\mu$ when a vector field $v\in\mathfrak{X}$ acts on a 1-form density $\mu\in\mathfrak{X}^*$. □

Lemma 4.10. Likewise, the variational equations arising from varying p and q in the second line of (4.11) satisfy a similar useful identity.

$$\text{Upon defining} \quad \nu := p \diamond q \quad \text{we have} \quad \mathrm{d}\nu = -\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\nu - \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} \diamond q + p \diamond \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. For an arbitrary vector field $w \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, one computes the following pairing.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{d}\nu\,,\, w\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} &= \langle \mathrm{d}p \diamond q + p \diamond \mathrm{d}q\,,\, w\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
&= \langle \mathrm{d}p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_w q\rangle + \langle p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_w \mathrm{d}q\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t} p - \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q}\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_w q\right\rangle + \left\langle p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_w\left(-\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q + \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\right)\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t} p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_w q\right\rangle + \left\langle p\,,\, \mathcal{L}_w\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q)\right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q}\,,\, \mathcal{L}_w q\right\rangle + \left\langle p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_w \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\mathcal{L}_w q\right\rangle + \left\langle p\,,\, \mathcal{L}_w\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q)\right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} \diamond q\,,\, w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} + \left\langle p \diamond \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,,\, w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
&= \left\langle p\,,\, -\mathcal{L}_{[\mathrm{d}x_t, w]} q)\right\rangle + \left\langle -\frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} \diamond q + p \diamond \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,,\, w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
&= \left\langle p \diamond q\,,\, -\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} w\right\rangle + \left\langle -\frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} \diamond q + p \diamond \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,,\, w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
\langle \mathrm{d}\nu\,,\, w\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} &= \left\langle -\mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathrm{d}x_t}(p \diamond q) - \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} \diamond q + p \diamond \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p}\,,\, w\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

□

Remark 4.11 (Stochastic nonlinear wave propagation ignoring fluid flow). Let us focus on the particular choice in (4.4) of the stochastic component of the wave Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}(q,p) = \langle p \diamond q\,,\, \sigma(x)\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}$ in $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)$ as in equation (4.10). In the absence of fluid motion, the corresponding stochastic nonlinear wave dynamics in the second line of (4.11) are obtained from the variational derivatives in (4.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}q &= \frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta p} = \frac{\delta\, \mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta p} dt - \mathcal{L}_\sigma q \circ dB_t\,, \\
\mathrm{d}p &= -\frac{\delta\, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}(q,p)}{\delta q} = -\frac{\delta\, \mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta q} dt + \mathcal{L}_\sigma p \circ dB_t\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

We conclude that the role of the Lie transport operators in the last line of equation (4.11) is simply to put the wave propagation into the frame of the stochastic fluid motion. That is, the wave propagation is passive.

Thus, as the waves propagate in the frame of the fluid flow, they cannot transfer momentum to the fluid flow, nor can they generate fluid circulation in Kelvin's theorem. The result is stochastic wave-current non-acceleration. When the fluid flow is added back into the wave dynamics, equations (4.18) with the choice (4.4) for the semimartingale part of the wave Hamiltonian in equation (4.2) become

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}q + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} q + \mathcal{L}_\sigma q \circ dB_t &= \frac{\delta\, \mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta p} dt\,, \\
\mathrm{d}p - \mathcal{L}^T_{\mathrm{d}x_t} p - \mathcal{L}^T_\sigma p \circ dB_t &= -\frac{\delta\, \mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta q} dt\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

in which we see that the wave properties are transported by both wave and fluid vector fields in SWCI. These relations imply the following corollary, in which the contributions of the choice of wave Hamiltonian in (4.8) can be seen explicitly.

Corollary 4.12 (Kelvin circulation theorem for SALT and SNWP dynamics).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} &= \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a - \frac{\delta\,\mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta q}\diamond q + p\diamond\frac{\delta\,\mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta p}\right)dt\\ &\quad+\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\left(-(\mathcal{L}_\sigma p)\diamond q + p\diamond(\mathcal{L}_\sigma q)\right)\circ dB_t\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where the material loop $c(\mathrm{d}x_t)$ follows the stochastic Lagrangian fluid path generated by the vector field $\mathrm{d}x_t$ given in equation (3.3) for a stochastic term dW_t, which is not correlated with dB_t above.

By Corollary 4.12 the Kelvin circulation theorem (4.20) separates into two independent Kelvin circulation theorems for the separate wave and current parts.

Corollary 4.13 (Separate Kelvin circulation theorems for SALT and SNWP dynamics). The Kelvin circulation theorem (4.20) for SALT and SNWP dynamics splits into the sum of two separate equations circulation theorems for interpenetrating fluids with the same circulation loop. The summands are:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{\mu}{D} = \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\left(\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta a}\diamond a\right)dt\\ &\qquad\text{and}\\ &\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{\nu}{D} = \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\left(-\frac{\delta\,\mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta q}\diamond q + p\diamond\frac{\delta\,\mathcal{H}(q,p)}{\delta p}\right)dt\\ &\qquad\quad+\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\left(-(\mathcal{L}_\sigma p)\diamond q + p\diamond(\mathcal{L}_\sigma q)\right)\circ dB_t\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

5 Application #1: SALT and SNWP for GLM in stratified EB fluids

The deterministic case. In equation (2.12), we have augmented the known deterministic Lagrangian for EB fluids [55] by coupling it to a phase-space Lagrangian for wave dynamics, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\mathbf{u}^L, D, b, N, \phi : p) = \int_{\mathcal{D}}\Big[&\frac{D}{2}\big|\mathbf{u}^L\big|^2 + D\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}) - gDbz - p(D-1)\\ &- N(\partial_t\phi + \mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla\phi)\,d^3x + H_W(N,\mathbf{k})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The first line of the Lagrangian in (5.1) is the fluid Lagrangian for EB fluids in standard form [55]. The second line is the phase-space Lagrangian for the wave degrees of freedom. The term $-\int_{\mathcal{D}} N\nabla\phi\cdot\mathbf{u}^L\,d^3x$ in the second line has both wave and fluid components. This term serves to couple the EB Lagrangian for the fluid variables with the phase-space Lagrangian for the wave variables by pairing the wave momentum with the fluid velocity.

To proceed, let us rewrite the deterministic equations (2.17) for the stratified EB fluid dynamics in a more geometric form so we will be able to see they lead to the stochastic Kelvin circulation theorem more easily,

$$\begin{aligned}&(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})(\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\otimes d^3x) = \Big(Dd\pi + Dgzdb + \mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta\mathbf{k}}\Big)d\phi + Nd\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big)\Big)\otimes d^3x\,,\\ &(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})(D\,d^3x) = 0\,,\qquad D = 1\,,\qquad (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})b = 0\,,\\ &(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})\phi - \frac{\delta\,H_W}{\delta N} = 0\,,\qquad (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})(N\,d^3x) - \mathcal{L}_{\delta\,H_W/\delta\mathbf{k}}\,d^3x = 0\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where the Eulerian momentum density $\mathbf{m}$ and pressure π in these equations are recalled from (2.18) as,

$$\mathbf{m} := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}^L} = D(\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})) - N\nabla\phi\,, \qquad \pi := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{u}^L - gbz - p\,. \tag{5.3}$$

From the first two equations, one obtains the form needed for the Kelvin theorem,

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})\Big(\frac{1}{D}\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big) = d\pi + gzdb + \frac{1}{D}\mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta \mathbf{k}}\Big)d\phi + \frac{N}{D}d\Big(\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big) \tag{5.4}$$

Next, we recall the WKB wave Hamiltonian which leads to the GLM equations,

$$H_W = -\int_M N\omega(\mathbf{k})\,d^3x\,, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big|_{\mathbf{k}} = -\,\omega(\mathbf{k})\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta \mathbf{k}}\Big|_{N} = -N\frac{\partial\omega(\mathbf{k})}{\partial\mathbf{k}} =: -\,N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\,, \tag{5.5}$$

in which $\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k}) := \partial\omega(\mathbf{k})/\partial\mathbf{k}$ is the group velocity for the dispersion relation $\omega = \omega(\mathbf{k})$ between wave frequency, ω, and wave number, $\mathbf{k}$, given for internal waves at leading order by [37] as

$$\omega^2(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(2\boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\mathbf{k})^2}{k^2} + \Big(\delta^{jl} - \frac{k^j k^l}{k^2}\Big)\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^j \partial x^l} \quad \text{with} \quad 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\,. \tag{5.6}$$

The motion equation for WCI in equation (5.2) implies the following Kelvin circulation dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)}\frac{1}{D}\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(u^L)}(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L})\Big(\frac{1}{D}\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big)\\ &= \oint_{c(u^L)}\big(\nabla\pi + gz\nabla b\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} - \oint_{c(u^L)}\underbrace{\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) + N\nabla\omega(\mathbf{k})\Big)}_{\text{GLM Wave Forcing}}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $c(u^L)$ is a material loop moving with the flow at velocity $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$. The quantities $\mathbf{m}$ and π in (5.7) are defined in equation (5.3).

Remark 5.1 (Non-acceleration is broken for non-constant D). The presence of D in the last term in (5.7) links the wave and fluid components of the flow when D is *not* constant. Thus, as we shall see in the next section, the non-acceleration result *does not hold* when D is a dynamical variable.

The SALT and SNWP stochastic cases. To recover the SALT GLM equations derived in [49] and extend them to SNWP GLM equations by following the general case in the previous section, we make two replacements. One is in the transport velocity and the other is in the wave Hamiltonian, as

$$\mathcal{L}_{u^L} \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t} \quad \text{with } \mathrm{d}x_t \text{ in (3.3) and} \quad H_W \to \mathrm{d}h_W := H_W dt + K_W(N,\phi)\circ dB_t\,. \tag{5.8}$$

For GLM we choose the diffusion part of the wave Hamiltonian to be $K_W(N,\phi) = \int N\nabla\phi\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\,d^3x$, as in equation (4.10) of Remark 4.11. Thus, equation (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t})\Big(\frac{1}{D}\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big) = (d\pi + gzdb)dt &- \frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) + N\nabla\omega(\mathbf{k})\Big)\cdot\,d\mathbf{x}\,dt\\ &- \frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\big(N\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\big) - N\nabla\big(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\big)\Big)\circ dB_t\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Here, the Bernoulli quantity π as

$$\pi := \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{u}^L - gbz - p\,, \tag{5.10}$$

which is required in order to impose preservation of volume when the transport velocity dt is stochastic, as discussed in [73].

The motion equation for WCI in equation (5.2) implies the following Kelvin circulation dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}(\mathrm{d}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_t})\Big(\frac{1}{D}\mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big)\\
&= \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}(\nabla\pi + gz\nabla b)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,dt - \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) + N\nabla\omega(\mathbf{k})\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,dt\\
&\qquad - \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\big(N\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\big) - N\nabla\big(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\big)\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\circ dB_t\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where $c(\mathrm{d}x_t)$ is a material loop moving with the stochastic flow velocity $\mathrm{d}x_t$ in (3.3). Thus, the SALT and SNWP augmentations of GLM have been derived. Future research will investigate the combination of stochastic processes appearing in these dynamics.

Corollary 5.2 (Non-acceleration result – *ghost waves*)**.** The Kelvin circulation dynamics in (5.11) for $\mathbf{m}/D := (\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})) - D^{-1}N\nabla\phi$ decomposes into the sum of two separate equations for the currents and wave degrees of freedom. These are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}(\mathbf{u}^L + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}(\nabla\pi + gz\nabla b)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,dt \qquad \text{(SALT)}\,,\\
\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}D^{-1}N\nabla\phi\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\Big(N\mathbf{v}_G(\mathbf{k})\Big) + N\nabla\omega(\mathbf{k})\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,dt\\
\text{(SALT \& SNWP)}\qquad &+ \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)}\frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathbf{k}\,\mathrm{div}\big(N\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\big) - N\nabla\big(\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\big)\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\circ dB_t\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where $c(\mathrm{d}x_t)$ is a material loop moving with the stochastic flow velocity $\mathrm{d}x_t$ in (3.3).

Corollary 5.2 is a non-acceleration result for the wave and current momenta, in the sense that the waves propagate in the local reference frame of the fluid flow and the presence of waves has no net effect on the mean-flow equations in this model.

6 Application #2: SALT and SNWP for shallow water waves

Phase-space Lagrangian derivation of the Shallow water waves in 1D (SWW1D) Following the pattern set in (2.12), we augment the known *deterministic* Lagrangian for SWW1D [55] by appending to it a phase-space Lagrangian for wave dynamics. We may then write the WCI action integral for Hamilton's principle as follows,

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\ell(u,D,N,\phi)\,dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\Big[\frac{D}{2}u^2 - \frac{g}{2}(D-b(x))^2 - Nu\phi_x - N\phi_t\Big]dx\,dt + H_W(N,\phi_x)dt\,. \tag{6.1}$$

Hamilton's principle gives

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \delta S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\Big[&\delta D\Big(\frac{u^2}{2} - g(D-b)\Big) + \delta u\Big(Du - N\phi_x\Big)\\
&+ \delta N\Big(-\phi_t - u\phi_x + \frac{\delta H_W}{\delta N}\Big) + \delta\phi\Big(N_t + (Nu)_x - \partial_x\frac{\delta H_W}{\delta\phi_x}\Big)\Big]dx\,dt\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

As before, we choose the wave Hamiltonian to be

$$\begin{aligned} H_W(N,\phi_x) &= -\int_{\mathcal{D}} N\omega(k)dx \quad \text{with} \quad k=\phi_x \\ \delta H_W(N,\phi_x) &= -\int_{\mathcal{D}} (\delta N)\omega(k) - (\delta\phi)\,\partial_x(Nv_G(k))dx\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

The canonical equations for (ϕ, N) are then

$$\phi_t + u\phi_x + \omega(k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_t + \partial_x(N(u+v_G(k))) = 0\,. \tag{6.4}$$

The corresponding equations for the fluid variables

$$\text{Momentum:} \quad \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u} =: m = Du - N\phi_x \quad \text{and Depth:} \quad D \tag{6.5}$$

are the Euler–Poincaré equations [55]

$$\begin{aligned} m_t + (m\partial_x + m\partial_x m)u &= D\partial_x\Big(\frac{u^2}{2} - g(D-b)\Big)\,, \\ D_t + \partial_x(Du) &= 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Hamiltonian derivation of the SWW1D To pass to the Hamiltonian side, we complete the Legendre transform in the reduced fluid variables (m,u) to find

$$H(m,D,\phi,N) = \big\langle m\,,\,u\big\rangle - \ell(u,D,N,\phi) \tag{6.7}$$

whose variational derivatives are found from

$$\delta H = \big\langle \delta m\,,\,u\big\rangle + \Big\langle m - \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u}\,,\,\delta u\Big\rangle + \Big\langle -\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta D}\,,\,\delta D\Big\rangle\,. \tag{6.8}$$

Thus, we may write the Euler–Poincaré equations in (6.6) as Lie–Poisson Hamiltonian equations for the variables (m,D),

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} m \\ D \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \partial_x m + m\partial_x & D\partial_x \\ \partial_x D & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta m = u \\ \delta H/\delta D = g(D-b) - u^2/2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.9}$$

Likewise, we write canonical Hamiltonian equations for the wave variables (ϕ, N),

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta\phi = \partial_x(N(u+v_G(k))) \\ \delta H/\delta N = -\omega(k) - u\phi_x \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.10}$$

Thus, in the variables (m,D,ϕ,N) the Poisson matrix operator is block diagonal. That is, we may write equations (6.9) and (6.10) in Hamiltonian form as

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} m \\ D \\ \phi \\ N \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \partial_x m + m\partial_x & D\partial_x & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_x D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta m = u \\ \delta H/\delta D = g(D-b) - u^2/2 \\ \delta H/\delta\phi = \partial_x(N(u+v_G(k))) \\ \delta H/\delta N = -\omega(k) - u\phi_x \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.11}$$

However, because the particle momentum $m = Du - N\phi_x$ in equation (6.5) is an unfamiliar fluid variable for SWW, it may be easier to understand the equations for the total momentum $M = Du$, in the usual language of fluid velocity. Therefore, we will transform the block diagonal Poisson matrix in (6.11) into the kinematic momentum $M = m + N\partial_x\phi = Du$ as well as (D,ϕ,N)). After this transformation to the

kinematic momentum variable we find the following Poisson matrix in a class of Lie-Poisson operators whose fundamental properties in finite dimensions have already been discussed by Krishnaprasad and Marsden in [61], for the Hamiltonian dynamics of rigid bodies with flexible attachments,

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} M \\ D \\ \phi \\ N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x M + M\partial_x & D\partial_x & -\phi_x & N\partial_x \\ \partial_x D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_x & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \partial_x N & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta M = u \\ \delta H/\delta D = g(D-b) - u^2/2 \\ \delta H/\delta \phi = \partial_x \big(N v_G(k) \big) \\ \delta H/\delta N = -\omega(k) \end{bmatrix} . \tag{6.12}$$

Remark 6.1 (Transforming the system (6.12) to the variables $(M, D, k = \phi_x, N)$). Under the transformation of variables $(M, D, \phi, N) \to (M, D, k = \phi_x, N)$ the system (6.12) becomes

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} M \\ D \\ k \\ N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x M + M\partial_x & D\partial_x & k\partial_x & N\partial_x \\ \partial_x D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_x k & 0 & 0 & -\partial_x \\ \partial_x N & 0 & -\partial_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta M = u \\ \delta H/\delta D = g(D-b) - u^2/2 \\ \delta H/\delta k = -N v_G(k) \\ \delta H/\delta N = -\omega(k) \end{bmatrix} . \tag{6.13}$$

This transformation takes the Poisson matrix to a class of Lie-Poisson operators in infinite dimensions whose fundamental properties have already been discussed by Holm and Kupershmidt in [51, 52], for the Hamiltonian dynamics of superfluid ^4He without vortices. This class of Lie–Poisson brackets was also derived for complex fluids such as liquid crystals, as well as for superfluid ^4He both with and without vortices in [42, 45].

These other appearances of the same class of Hamiltonian structure as for WCI help to interpret the wave physics we are dealing with in the present paper. Namely, all of the other theories associated with this class of Lie–Poisson brackets refer to the additional physics described in terms of *order parameters* whose dynamics can be regarded as occurring *internally* in the frame of the moving fluid. That is, the order-parameter dynamics can be regarded as *subscale* physics taking place relative to the frame of reference of the primary fluid motion. This is quite well-known for the case for the 2-fluid model of superfluids with vortices, for example [42]. Actually, it is also well-known for GLM, when one considers the fluid interpretation of the GLM pseudomomentum and wave action density as a pair of momentum maps for the actions of translations and phase shifts of a complex wave amplitude, as one does for the famous Madelung transformation of quantum mechanics [65].

The order-parameter interpretation of the present formulation of WCI stemming from its Hamiltonian structure makes it seem natural to introduce a stochastic version of WCI in this formulation, in order to describe the uncertainty which may arise due to *unresolved effects* of the wave-current interaction.

Remark 6.2 (The variational derivatives of the Hamiltonian in (6.12)). The computation of the required variational derivatives in (6.12) is accomplished by first passing to the Hamiltonian side via the Legendre transform in the fluid and wave variables (m, D, ϕ, N) then rearranging to identify the Hamiltonian dependence in (M, D, ϕ, N) variables, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H(m, D, \phi, N) &= \langle m \, , \, u \rangle - \langle N \, , \, \phi_t \rangle - \ell(u, D, N, \phi) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} (mu - N\phi_t) \, dx - \int_{\mathcal{D}} \Big(\frac{D}{2} u^2 - \frac{g}{2}(D - b(x))^2 - Nu\phi_x - N\phi_t \Big) dx - H_W(N, \phi_x) \, , \\ H(M, D, \phi, N) &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} \Big[\frac{M^2}{2D} + \frac{g}{2}\big(D - b(x)\big)^2 \Big] dx - H_W(N, \phi_x) \, . \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

The variational derivatives in (M, D, ϕ, N) are found from (6.14) as

$$\delta H = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \Big[\frac{M}{D} \delta M + \Big(- \frac{M^2}{2D^2} + g(D - b) \Big) \delta D - (\delta\phi) \partial_x \big(N v_G(k) \big) + (\delta N) \omega(k) \Big] dx \, , \tag{6.15}$$

where we have used equation (6.3) for the variations of the wave Hamiltonian $H_W(N, \phi_x)$.

After a bit of manipulation, one may write equations (6.12) a form which is familiar in fluid dynamics,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + uu_x &= -g\partial_x\big(D - b(x)\big) + \frac{1}{D}\partial_x\big(Nkv_G(k)\big)\,, \\ D_t + \partial_x(Du) &= 0\,, \\ \phi_t + u\phi_x + \omega(k) &= 0\,, \\ N_t + \partial_x\big(N(u + v_G(k))\big) &= 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

where $k = \phi_x$ is the 1D wave vector and $v_G(k) = \partial\omega/\partial k$ is the group velocity. One may regard the additional force in the 1D motion equation which depends on the wave variables as a nonhydrostatic 'ponderomotive' pressure force due to the presence of the wave degree of freedom which propagates in the local frame of reference of the fluid flow.

In particular, surface gravity waves in shallow water of mean depth h satisfy the well-known dispersion relation, [78]

$$\omega^2(k) = gk\tanh hk\,, \tag{6.17}$$

which admits both leftward and rightward travelling waves with group velocity $v_G = \partial\omega/\partial k$. Substitution of the shallow water dispersion relation (6.17) into equation set (6.16) yields the final equation set for WCI in 1D shallow water.

In 2D, the SWWCI equations can be read off the Lie-Poisson form of the equations in (6.13) as

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} M_i \\ D \\ k_i \\ N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \partial_j M_i + M_j\partial_i & D\partial_i & -k_{j,i} + \partial_j k_i & N\partial_i \\ \partial_j D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k_{i,j} + k_j\partial_i & 0 & 0 & -\partial_i \\ \partial_j N & 0 & -\partial_j & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta M_j = u^j \\ \delta H/\delta D = g(D-b) - |\mathbf{u}|^2/2 \\ \delta H/\delta k_j = -Nv_G^j(k) \\ \delta H/\delta N = -\omega(k) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6.18}$$

The corresponding SWWCI 2D equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}\times\mathrm{curl}u_x &= -g\nabla\big(D - b(x)\big) + \frac{1}{D}\partial_j\big(N\mathbf{k}v_G^j(\mathbf{k})\big)\,, \\ \partial_t D + \mathrm{div}(D\mathbf{u}) &= 0\,, \\ \partial_t\mathbf{k} + \nabla(\omega(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{k}) &= 0\,, \\ N_t + \mathrm{div}\big(N(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_G(k))\big) &= 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

The SALT and SNWP stochastic cases for the Hamiltonian version of SWW1D. We propose an extension to stochastic SWW1D flow on the Hamiltonian side by modifying the Hamiltonian function in equation (6.14) to make it stochastic, following equation (4.10) for the diffusion part of the wave Hamiltonian, as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}h(M, D, \phi, N) = &\int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\frac{M^2}{2D} + \frac{g}{2}\big(D - b(x)\big)^2\right] dx\,dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}} M\sum_i \xi_i(x)dx\circ dW_t^i \\ &- H_W(N, \phi_x)dt + \int_{\mathcal{D}} (N\phi_x)\sum_i \sigma_i(x)dx\circ dB_t^i\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Then, the *stochastic* version of the SWW1D motion equations in (6.12) becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\begin{bmatrix} M \\ D \\ \phi \\ N \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x M + M\partial_x & D\partial_x & -\phi_x & N\partial_x \\ \partial_x D & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_x & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \partial_x N & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta(\mathrm{d}h)/\delta M = \mathrm{d}x_t \\ \delta(\mathrm{d}h)/\delta D = \pi dt \\ \delta(\mathrm{d}h)/\delta\phi = \partial_x\big(N\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_G\big) \\ \delta(\mathrm{d}h)/\delta N = -\widetilde{\omega} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6.21}$$

where one defines the hydrostatic pressure (π) and stochastic transport vector field ($\mathrm{d}x_t$) as,

$$\pi := g(D-b) - u^2/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}x_t := u\,dt + \sum_i \xi_i(x) \circ dW_t^i\,, \tag{6.22}$$

and one introduces notation for the stochastic versions of group velocity ($\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_G$) and frequency ($\widetilde{\omega}$) as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_G := \mathbf{v}_G(k)dt + \sum_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(\mathbf{x}) \circ dB_t^i \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\omega} := \omega(\mathbf{k})dt + \mathbf{k}\cdot\sum_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(\mathbf{x}) \circ dB_t^i\,, \tag{6.23}$$

written here in vector form for clarity when generalising to higher dimensions. Note that $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_G = \partial\widetilde{\omega}/\partial\mathbf{k}$. Physically, the noise introduced into the diffusion part of the wave Hamiltonian in equation (6.20) produces in (6.23) a stochastic shift in the group velocity, accompanied by the corresponding stochastic Doppler shift in the wave frequency.

Remark 6.3 (Determining the noise eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(\mathbf{x})$). As in [17, 18, 19] the vector fields $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(\mathbf{x})$ would need to be specified, or obtained, from another source, such as observation data for the velocity-velocity correlation tensor for the currents, and the effective group velocity and wave frequency of the wave field. Determining these functions will comprise the fundamental crux of applying this class of stochastic GLM equations for uncertainty quantification and data assimilation. This is a challenge to be met in future work.

The 1D fluid dynamical form of these stochastic SW-WCI equations is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}u + \mathrm{d}x_t u_x + u\partial_x\Big(\sum_i \xi_i(x)\circ dW_t^i\Big) &= -g\partial_x\big(D-b(x)\big)dt + \frac{k}{D}\big(N\widetilde{v}_G(k)\big) + \frac{N}{D}\partial_x\widetilde{\omega}\,,\\
\mathrm{d}D + \partial_x(D\mathrm{d}x_t) &= 0\,,\\
\mathrm{d}\phi + \mathrm{d}x_t\phi_x + \widetilde{\omega}(k) &= 0\,,\\
\mathrm{d}N + \partial_x\big(N(\mathrm{d}x_t + \widetilde{v}_G)\big) &= 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

In 2D, these stochastic Hamiltonian equations would be written in fluid dynamical form as

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\big)(\mathbf{u}\cdot d\mathbf{x}) &= -d\pi\,dt + \frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathrm{div}(N\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_G)\,d\phi + Nd\widetilde{\omega}\Big)\,,\\
\big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\big)(Dd^2x) &= 0\,,\\
\big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\big)\phi &= -\widetilde{\omega}(k)\,,\\
\big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{d}x_t}\big)(Nd^2x) &= 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Note that the non-acceleration result in corollary 5.2 for incompressible GLM flow *does not hold* when D is a dynamical variable. This is clear from the following Kelvin circulation theorem for SW-WCI in 2D.

Theorem 6.4. *The stochastic Kelvin circulation theorem corresponding to the stochastic SW-WCI motion equation in 2D is given by*

$$\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)} \mathbf{u}\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c(\mathrm{d}x_t)} -d\pi\,dt + \frac{1}{D}\Big(\mathrm{div}(N\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_G)\,d\phi + Nd\widetilde{\omega}\Big)\,, \tag{6.26}$$

in which the wave sources of flow circulation are evident and the two sources of circulation cannot be separated.

Remark 6.5. For a contrasting approach to deriving stochastic shallow water models, which combines asymptotic expansions and vertical averaging with the stochastic variational framework discussed here for the formulation of new stochastic parametrisation schemes for the nonlinear wave fields, see [53].

7 Conclusion

The main result of the present work is the derivation via Hamilton's principle of a closed dynamical model of wave-current interaction (WCI) which applies to GLM and can be extended into stochastic wave-current dynamics. The closure is governed by the choice of wave Hamiltonian in the phase-space Lagrangian. The wave Hamiltonian is chosen to match the WKB dynamics of the phase and wave action density in the local reference frame of the moving fluid. The model is flexible enough to include a variety of different wave fields, and for the waves and currents to be made stochastic in different ways for testing various causes of uncertainty. The model would apply, for example, as an efficient way of adding nonlinear wave physics which may not have been modelled, needed or resolved in a previous model, or regime of operation.

Many open questions for future research have arisen in developing the stochastic Hamilton's principle framework, which was created primarily for uncertainty quantification in the hybrid wave-current interaction. On the Hamiltonian side, for example, the framework developed here leads to a type of non-canonical Lie–Poisson bracket discovered for superfluid ^4He and ^3He in [51] which was also observed by Krishnaprasad and Marsden in [61] for the motion of a rigid body with a flexible attachment. The KM87 theory formulated a Lie group structure which had already been the basis for several useful theories of hybrid plasma-fluid interaction dynamics on the Hamiltonian side [77] and its formulation on the Hamilton's principle side has been accomplished in [15, 16, 56]. Thus, KM87 is a natural Hamiltonian partner for the stochastic WCI hybrid theory which has been developed here on the Lagrangian, or Hamilton's principle, side. Conversely, one may consider passing from the known KM87 Hamiltonian descriptions of hybrid kinetic theory and fluid plasma systems, either to the corresponding derivation on the Hamilton's principle side for additional modelling purposes, or directly to a stochastic Hamiltonian model as in section 6.

Regarding specific topics for further research, one may consider testing the effectiveness of the model in different situations by investigating other types of WCI for a variety different types of wave physics. For example, one could develop a self-consistent WCI theory for Kelvin waves propagating on superfluid vortices which are being transported by the surrounding flow. A WCI theory of Alfvén waves propagating on dynamics of magnetic field lines in magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) could also be developed, perhaps by following ideas for time-mean oscillation center dynamics for MHD in [72]. One may also consider introducing this approach for probing the effects of submesoscale physics in oceanography.

As we have stressed, the main geometric mechanics ideas for our approach to WCI (including the phase-space Lagrangian approach, of course) were already developed and in effective use in particle-fluid plasma physics at least forty years ago [27, 63, 59, 72]. For additional background in this matter, see, e.g., [8, 12]. However, the connection of these mainstream geometric mechanics ideas to stochastic methods for uncertainty quantification in WCI for GLM with potential applications in oceanography, for example, has been waiting until now to be made.

Acknowledgements

I am enormously grateful for years of thoughtful discussions and correspondence about continuum dynamics of waves and currents with my friends and colleagues. Particularly in discussing the present work let me mention, B. Chapron, C. J. Cotter, D. Crisan, T. D. Drivas, F. Gay-Balmaz, M. Ghil, J. D. Gibbon, P. Korn, V. Lucarini, E. Luesink, J. C. McWilliams, E. Mémin, O. Street, S. Takao and C. Tronci. This work was partially supported by EPSRC Standard grant EP/N023781/1 and by ERC Synergy Grant 856408 - STUOD (Stochastic Transport in Upper Ocean Dynamics).

A Dynamical systems analogues of WCI

A.1 Gyrostat: Rigid body with flywheel

As we will see, the rigid body with flywheel along the intermediate principle axis in the body seems to be a closer analogue to deterministic WCI than the swinging spring does. Just as for the isolated rigid body, the energy is purely kinetic; so one may define the kinetic energy Lagrangian for this system $L : TSO(3)/SO(3) \times TS^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$L(\mathbf{\Omega},\, \dot{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\Omega_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}I_2\Omega_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3\Omega_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}J_2(\dot{\phi} + \Omega_2)^2\,, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega} = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3)$ is the angular velocity vector of the rigid body, $\dot{\phi}$ is the rotational frequency of the flywheel about the intermediate principal axis of the rigid body,, and λ_1, I_2, J_2, λ_3 are positive constants corresponding to the principal moments of inertia, including the presence of the flywheel. Because the Lagrangian is independent of the angle ϕ, its canonically conjugate angular momentum $N := \partial L/\partial\dot{\phi}$ will be conserved. This suggests a move into the Hamiltonian picture, where the conserved N will become a constant parameter.

- If we perform a partial Legendre transform in the flywheel variables $(\phi, \dot{\phi}) \in TSO(2)$, we will obtain

$$L(\mathbf{\Omega},\, \dot{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\Omega_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}I_2\Omega_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3\Omega_3^2 + N\left(\dot{\phi} + \Omega_2 - \frac{N}{2J_2}\right), \tag{A.2}$$

  which is analogous to the phase space Lagrangians in equations (2.12) and (2.20).

- Legendre-transforming this Lagrangian allows us to express its Hamiltonian in terms of the angular momenta $\mathbf{\Pi} = \partial L/\partial\mathbf{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $N = \partial L/\partial\dot{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^1$ of the rigid body and flywheel, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{\Pi}, N) &= \mathbf{\Pi}\cdot\mathbf{\Omega} + N\dot{\phi} - L(\mathbf{\Omega},\, \dot{\phi}) \\ &= \frac{\Pi_1^2}{2\lambda_1} + \frac{\Pi_3^2}{2\lambda_3} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2I_2}\big(\Pi_2 - N\big)^2}_{\text{offset along } \Pi_2} + \frac{N^2}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{I_2} + \frac{1}{J_2}\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

  This Hamiltonian is an ellipsoid in coordinates $\mathbf{\Pi} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, whose centre is *offset* in the Π_2-direction by an amount equal to the conserved angular momentum N of the flywheel.

  The offset of the energy ellipsoid by N along the Π_2-axis radically alters its intersections with the angular momentum sphere $|\mathbf{\Pi}| = const.$ Its dynamical behaviour, given by motion along these altered intersections is quite different from that of the rigid body, which has no offset of its energy ellipsoid. In particular, the offset due to presence of the flywheel induces an intricate sequence of bifurcations of the equilibrium solutions which do not occur for the rigid body, for $N = 0$ [29].

- The Poisson bracket in the variables $\mathbf{\Pi}, N, \phi \in so(3)^* \times T^*S^1$ is a direct sum of the rigid-body bracket for $\mathbf{\Pi} \in so(3)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ and the canonical bracket for the flywheel phase-space coordinates $(N, \phi) \in T^*S^1$:

$$\{F\,,\, H\} = -\,\mathbf{\Pi}\cdot\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}} \times \frac{\partial H}{\partial\mathbf{\Pi}}\right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\phi}\frac{\partial H}{\partial N} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial\phi}\frac{\partial F}{\partial N}\,. \tag{A.4}$$

  The corresponding Hamiltonian equations may be written in a block-diagonal Poisson matrix form which is similar to that in equation (2.16) for WCI in Euler-Boussinesq equations and in (6.11) for WCI in 1D shallow water,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{\Pi}\\ \phi\\ N\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{\Pi}\times & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\partial H/\partial\mathbf{\Pi} = \mathbf{\Omega}\\ \partial H/\partial\phi = 0\\ \partial H/\partial N = {J_2}^{-1}N - {I_2}^{-1}\big(\Pi_2 - N\big)\end{bmatrix}. \tag{A.5}$$

A.2 The deterministic swinging spring

A dynamical systems analogue of WCI arises in the oscillation-rotation interaction (ORI) seen in the elastic spherical pendulum, or swinging spring [54]. In this system, one may see regular exchanges between springing motion (oscillation) and swinging motion (rotation). The Lagrangian for the swinging spring is

$$L(\mathbf{x},\dot{\mathbf{x}};\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3) = \frac{m}{2}|\dot{\mathbf{x}}|^2 - mg\,\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3\cdot\mathbf{x} - \frac{k}{2}\big(|\mathbf{x}|^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0|^2\big)\,, \tag{A.6}$$

with notation $(\mathbf{x},\dot{\mathbf{x}}) \in T\mathbb{R}^3$, vertical unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$ and constants of gravity (g), mass of the bob (m), isotropic spring constant (k) and initial position $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

The time dependent solution path for the Euler–Lagrange equations which follow from Hamilton's principle for the Lagrangian (A.6) is denoted as $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. One may lift the solution path $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ into the Lie group $\mathbb{R}_+ \times SO(3)$ of scaling and rotation of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$ by specifying its direct-product action on an initial position $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = R(t)O(t)\mathbf{x}_0 \quad \text{for} \quad \big(R(t),O(t)\big) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times SO(3)\,. \tag{A.7}$$

Under the scaling and rotation action in (A.7), the terms in the Lagrangian (A.6) transform as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x}|^2 &= |O(R\mathbf{x}_0)|^2 = |R\mathbf{x}_0|^2 \\ |\dot{\mathbf{x}}|^2 &= |\dot{R}\mathbf{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times R\mathbf{x}_0|^2 = |\dot{R}\mathbf{x}_0|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times R\mathbf{x}_0|^2 \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3\cdot\mathbf{x} &= (O^{-1}(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3)\cdot R(t)\mathbf{x}_0 =: \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t)\cdot R\mathbf{x}_0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Here $O^{-1}\dot{O} =: \widehat{\Omega} =: \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times$, where $\widehat{\Omega}_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk}\Omega^k$ is the *hat map* isomorphism which represents the angular frequency of rotation as induced by either the skew symmetric 3×3 matrix Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, or the cross product of vectors in 3D Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$. From its definition $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t) := O^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, one finds the evolution equation

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = 0\,, \tag{A.9}$$

and one notes that $|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|^2 = |\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3|^2 = 1$.

Under the scaling and rotation action in (A.7) the Lagrangian (A.6) with $\boldsymbol{S} := R(t)\mathbf{x}_0$ transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\boldsymbol{\Omega},\boldsymbol{\Gamma};\boldsymbol{S},\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}) &= \frac{m}{2}|\dot{\boldsymbol{S}} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S}|^2 - mg\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{S} - \frac{k}{2}\big(|\boldsymbol{S}|^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0|^2\big) \\ &= \frac{m}{2}|\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}|^2 + \frac{m}{2}|\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S}|^2 - mg\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{S} - \frac{k}{2}\big(|\boldsymbol{S}|^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0|^2\big) \\ L(\boldsymbol{\Omega},\boldsymbol{\Gamma};\boldsymbol{S},\boldsymbol{P}) &= \underbrace{\frac{m}{2}|\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S}|^2 - mg\,\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}}_{\text{Rotations \& Gravity}} + \underbrace{\big(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}\times\boldsymbol{P}\big)}_{\text{Coupling term}} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{S}} - \Big(\frac{1}{2m}|\boldsymbol{P}|^2 + \frac{k}{2}\Big(|\boldsymbol{S}|^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0|^2\Big)\Big)}_{\text{Oscillation phase-space Lagrangian}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{P} := \partial L/\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{S}} = m\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}$ from the second line. The cross term in the square of the total velocity in the first line has vanished, because the swinging velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S}$ and springing velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}$ are orthogonal. That is, $2\dot{\boldsymbol{S}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S} = 2\dot{R}\mathbf{x}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times R\mathbf{x}_0 = 0$. We see that the coupling term which boosts the spatial oscillations into the rotating frame also vanishes, i.e., the total angular momentum is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi} := \frac{\partial L}{\partial\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = m\boldsymbol{S}\times(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S}) + \boldsymbol{S}\times\boldsymbol{P} = m\boldsymbol{S}\times(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S}) \quad \text{since} \quad \boldsymbol{S}\times\boldsymbol{P} = 0\,. \tag{A.11}$$

With the vanishing of the coupling term, these manipulations have separated the original Lagrangian into an $SO(3)$-reduced Lagrangian for rotations ($\boldsymbol{\Omega}$) and translations ($\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$) in the *body* frame, plus an $(\boldsymbol{S},\boldsymbol{P})$

phase-space Lagrangian for oscillations in the *spatial* frame. This is consistent with our intuition that the springing motion could occur even if the spherical pendulum were not swinging. In this system, the springing oscillations are the analogues of the waves in WCI dynamics. Likewise, the swinging motion due to exchange of kinetic and gravitational energies in the rotating frame are the analogues of the currents interacting via exchanges in physics and energetics with their advected quantities in WCI.

From their definitions, $O^{-1}\dot{O} =: \widehat{\Omega} =: \mathbf{\Omega}\times$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}(t) := O^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$, a manipulation using the hat map delivers the variations of $\mathbf{\Omega}$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ arising from variations of $O(t) \in SO(3)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ vector form as

$$\delta\mathbf{\Omega} = \dot{\mathbf{\Sigma}} + \mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{\Sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\mathbf{\Gamma} = -\mathbf{\Sigma}\times\mathbf{\Gamma} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{\Sigma}\times = O^{-1}\delta O\,. \tag{A.12}$$

Upon substituting these variational formulas into Hamilton's principle, $\delta S = 0$, with action integral $S = \int_a^b L(\mathbf{\Omega},\mathbf{\Gamma};\boldsymbol{S},\boldsymbol{P})\,dt$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta S = \int_a^b \big(-\dot{\mathbf{\Pi}} - \mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{\Pi} + mg\mathbf{\Gamma}\times\boldsymbol{S}\big)\cdot\mathbf{\Sigma} + \delta\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\big(\dot{\boldsymbol{S}} - \boldsymbol{P}/m\big) \\ + \big(-\dot{\boldsymbol{P}} - k\boldsymbol{S} - mg\mathbf{\Gamma} - m\mathbf{\Omega}\times(\mathbf{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S})\big)\cdot\delta\boldsymbol{S}\,dt + \big[\mathbf{\Pi}\cdot\mathbf{\Sigma}\big]_a^b + \big[\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\delta\boldsymbol{S}\big]_a^b\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

Hamilton's principle now implies the dynamics for the elastic spherical pendulum, provided the variations $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and $\delta\boldsymbol{S}$ vanish at the endpoints in time. These dynamics comprise two Euler–Poincaré equations for the rotations and librations,

$$\dot{\mathbf{\Pi}} + \mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{\Pi} = mg\mathbf{\Gamma}\times\boldsymbol{S} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{\Gamma}} + \mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{\Gamma} = 0\,, \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{\Pi} := m\boldsymbol{S}\times(\mathbf{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S})\,, \tag{A.14}$$

and two canonical Hamiltonian equations for the springing degree of freedom,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{S}} = \boldsymbol{P}/m \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{P}} = m\ddot{\boldsymbol{S}} = -k\boldsymbol{S} - mg\mathbf{\Gamma} - m\mathbf{\Omega}\times(\mathbf{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{S})\,. \tag{A.15}$$

The first set of these equations has the form of a *heavy top* whose vector $\boldsymbol{S}$ from the support to the centre of mass has its own dynamics. The second set reveals the $\boldsymbol{S}$ dynamics to be Newtonian with a sum of three forces, the spring restoring force, gravity and the centrifugal force. Clearly, the oscillations in $\boldsymbol{S}$ will drive rotational motion in $\mathbf{\Pi}$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, which will feed back to $\boldsymbol{S}$, provided the initial condition is not oriented vertically.[5] The discussion here of the swinging spring dynamics in which oscillations can drive rotations supports the analogous conclusions in the text (such as Corollary 4.4) that waves could drive currents.

A.3 The stochastic swinging spring

We might hope to use the same methods as in the text for stochastic WCI to include SALT noise in the swinging rotations of the elastic spherical pendulum. However, the analogy is not complete. In fact, the condition $\boldsymbol{S}\times\boldsymbol{P} = 0$ precludes introducing the analog of SNWP noise into the springing motions of the elastic spherical pendulum in the same way as we have done for the wave propagation in the EB fluid case in the text. The lesser task of including SALT noise only in the swinging rotations of the elastic spherical pendulum will not be pursued here, though, because the results would be too similar to the case of SALT noise for the rigid heavy top which has already been investigated in [3].

A.4 Gyroscopic analogy of non-inertial reference frames

The Lagrangian for the free rotation of a rigid body at body angular frequency $\mathbf{\Omega}$ relative to a frame which is *already* rotating about the same origin at a fixed angular frequency $\mathbf{\Upsilon}$ is given by

$$\ell(\mathbf{\Omega};\mathbf{\Upsilon}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}\cdot I\mathbf{\Omega} + \mathbf{\Omega}\cdot I\mathbf{\Upsilon}\,,$$

[5] A vertical initial condition $\mathbf{x}_0$ would make the initial gravitational torque vanish ($\mathbf{\Gamma}(0)\times\boldsymbol{S}(0) = 0$), since $\mathbf{\Gamma}(0) = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$. This would allow purely vertical oscillations which would not induce rotation starting from a stationary initial condition.

where I is the moment of inertia of the body. Hence, the total body angular momentum in the rotating frame is given by

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\mathbf{\Omega};\mathbf{\Upsilon})}{\partial \mathbf{\Omega}} =: I(\mathbf{\Omega}+\mathbf{\Upsilon})\,. \tag{A.16}$$

The corresponding Hamilton's principle

$$0 = \delta S(\mathbf{\Omega};\mathbf{\Upsilon}) = \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}\cdot I\mathbf{\Omega} + \mathbf{\Omega}\cdot I\mathbf{\Upsilon}\, dt\,,$$

yields

$$\frac{d\mathbf{\Pi}}{dt} + \mathbf{\Omega}\times\mathbf{\Pi} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{\Pi} := I(\mathbf{\Omega}+\mathbf{\Upsilon})\,. \tag{A.17}$$

Thus, moving into a rotating frame preserves the form of the rigid body equations in (A.17). Consequently, this frame change preserves the conservation of $|\mathbf{\Pi}|^2 = |I(\mathbf{\Omega}+\mathbf{\Upsilon})|^2$. However, transforming into a rotating frame changes the definition of the angular momentum $\mathbf{\Pi}$ to include the momentum associated with the additional angular velocity of the rotating frame, $\mathbf{\Upsilon}$.

As we will see in Appendix B, this example provides a finite dimensional analogue for the deterministic Craik-Leibovich model.

B Deterministic comparison of the Craik-Leibovich model with GLM

A quick derivation of the CL motion equation obtained by time averaging Kelvin's theorem. One may derive the CL model by considering how averaging applies to the Kelvin circulation theorem for the EB model,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u)} \big(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c(u)} \Big(\dots\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} \tag{B.1}$$

In Kelvin's theorem, the loop moves with the flow, so the loop is a Lagrangian quantity. The integrand is fixed in space, so the integrand is Eulerian. Thus, after taking averages, the loop velocity will be the Lagrangian mean velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L$, and the integrand velocity will be the Eulerian mean velocity, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^S$, when defined in terms of the Stokes mean drift velocity, $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$.[6] (For the sake of simplicity, we drop the bar notation for mean quantities.) Thus, the mean Kelvin theorem will read

$$\frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)} \big(\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \oint_{c(u^L)} \Big(\dots\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} \tag{B.2}$$

Taking the time derivative of the loop integral then yields the motion equation in the loop-integral form,

$$\oint_{c(u^L)} \big(\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{u^L}\big)\Big(\big(\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big) = \oint_{c(u^L)} \Big(\dots\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,, \tag{B.3}$$

where the coordinate notation for the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{u^L}(\mathbf{v}\cdot d\mathbf{x})$ may be written out conveniently in two equivalent vector forms,

$$\mathcal{L}_{u^L}(\mathbf{v}\cdot d\mathbf{x}) = \big(\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} + v_j\nabla u^{Lj}\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \big(-\mathbf{u}^L\times \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{v} + \nabla(\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\mathbf{v})\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,. \tag{B.4}$$

The CL motion equation is expressed in its usual vector form in (B.16) below.

[6] For convenience the the Stokes mean drift velocity, $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$, is taken to be time independent and divergence-free.

Another derivation of the CL motion equation using Hamilton's principle. The ideal CL equations arise from stationarity of a constrained Hamilton's principle $\delta S = 0$, under variations of the fluid variables at constant Eulerian position. The constrained Hamilton's principle for the implementation of the CL model in the EB equations is given in terms of the action [41],

$$S = \int\int dt \left[\frac{1}{2}D|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 - bDgz - D\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + D\mathbf{u}^L\cdot\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}) - p(D-1)\right] d^3x\, dt\,. \tag{B.5}$$

Here $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$ is the Lagrangian mean fluid velocity, as before, and the "Stokes drift velocity" $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ is a prescribed time-independent function of position, which represents the mean drift velocity caused by oscillating winds near the surface [76]. The action integral (B.5) contains the difference of the kinetic and potential energies, plus a "$\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{A}$" coupling of the mass current $\mathbf{J} = D\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$ to two *constant, spatially-dependent velocity fields* $\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbf{x})$. The first of these is $\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$, representing the spatially-dependent boost of the inertial frame into a frame moving with minus the Stokes drift velocity in WCI, from which $-D\mathbf{u}^L\times \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ arises as the CL *vortex force* in the fluid motion equation. The other constant velocity field is $\mathbf{A}_2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$, representing the rotation velocity relative to the inertial frame, from which $D\mathbf{u}^L\times\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{R} = D\mathbf{u}^L\times(2\mathbf{\Omega})$ arises as the Coriolis force in the fluid motion equation. The action integral (B.5) also contains the incompressibility constraint $D = 1$ imposed by the pressure p as a Lagrange multiplier.

Compared to the action integral for the corresponding GLM theory in (2.12), the Lagrangian in the action integral (B.5) for the CL theory replaces the current-boosted wave dynamics in the phase-space Lagrangian in the second line of (2.12) by the time-independent, prescribed boost of velocity of the inertial frame by $\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$. That is, the action integral (B.5) places the Stokes drift velocity $-\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ and the velocity of the rotating frame $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$ onto the same footing. Namely, they are each regarded as velocity boosts into a moving reference frame relative to which the momentum density of the current will be defined. As we have seen, in the GLM formulation the waves propagate in the frame of the Lagrangian mean current velocity, which itself flows relative to the rotating frame. However, in the Craik-Leibovich (CL) model, the current flows in the reference frame of the sum of the rotation velocity minus the prescribed Stokes drift velocity.

Passing to the Hamiltonian side. The variation of the Lagrangian in (B.5) with respect to the transport velocity $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$ produces the total Eulerian momentum density for GLM in the presence of the Stokes drift, cf. equation (2.13),

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x},t) := \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}^L} = D\big(\mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big)\,, \tag{B.6}$$

in which $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ is the prescribed Stokes drift velocity. One may also compare the momentum density shifts in equation (B.6) with the angular momentum shift due to fixed rotation of the reference frame for a rigid body in equation (A.16).

Next, we will show that the Hamiltonian dynamics for the momentum density in equation (B.6) recovers the CL motion equation for the Lagrangian mean transport velocity, $\mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t)$.

The Hamiltonian corresponding to the Lagrangian in (B.5) is given by the Legendre transform, cf. equation (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{m},D,b)) =& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{u}^L\, d^3x - \ell(\mathbf{u}^L,D,b,N,\phi:p) \\ =& \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\frac{1}{2D}\big|\mathbf{m} + D\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) - D\mathbf{R}\big|^2 + gDbz + p(D-1)\right] d^3x\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

The Hamiltonian in (B.7) is the sum of the kinetic and potential energies of the fluid. The variational derivatives are given by

$$\delta H(\mathbf{m}, D, b)) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \delta\mathbf{m} + \delta D\Big(gbz + p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 + \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\Big) + (gDz)\delta b\, d^3x\,. \tag{B.8}$$

We may now write the CL equations in Hamiltonian form by using a block-diagonal Poisson matrix operator, cf. equation (2.16),

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} m_i \\ D \\ b \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \partial_j m_i + m_j \partial_i & D\partial_i & -b_{,i} \\ \partial_j D & 0 & 0 \\ b_{,j} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta H/\delta m_j \\ \delta H/\delta D \\ \delta H/\delta b \end{bmatrix}. \tag{B.9}$$

Deterministic CL equations for EB fluid flow. Upon expanding out the Hamiltonian equations in (B.9), the dynamics of the EB fluid with these additional wave variables is found to obey the following system of equations, cf. equation set (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \mathbf{m} + (\mathbf{u}^L \cdot \nabla)\,\mathbf{m} + (\nabla \mathbf{u}^L)^T \cdot \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}\,\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^L = D\nabla\pi_{CL} + Dgz\nabla b\,, \\ &\partial_t D + \mathrm{div}(D\mathbf{u}^L) = 0\,, \qquad D = 1\,, \qquad \partial_t b + \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \nabla b = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

The Eulerian momentum density, $\mathbf{m}$, and the Bernoulli function, π, in these equations are defined by the following variational derivatives of the CL Lagrangian in (B.5), cf. equation (2.18),

$$\mathbf{m} := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}^L} = D(\mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))\,, \qquad \pi_{CL} := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2 - \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \mathbf{u}^S + \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \mathbf{R} - gbz - p\,. \tag{B.11}$$

The motion equation for WCI in equation (2.17) implies the following Kelvin circulation dynamics for the Eulerian momentum per unit mass, cf. equation (2.19),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\oint_{c(u^L)} \frac{1}{D}\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}^L}\cdot d\mathbf{x} &= \oint_{c(u^L)} (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_u^L)\Big(\big(\mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\Big) \\ &= \oint_{c(u^L)} \nabla\pi \cdot d\mathbf{x} + \oint_{c(u^L)} \underbrace{gz\nabla b \cdot d\mathbf{x}}_{\text{Buoyancy}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

Equation (B.12) is Newton's 2nd Law for the time rate of change of the total Eulerian mean momentum per unit mass $\mathbf{m}/D$ of a body whose mass is distributed on a closed loop $c(u^L)$ moving with the Lagrangian mean velocity $\mathbf{u}^L$. According to equation (B.12), the Stokes drift velocity in Newton's Law for this model appears as an addendum to the Coriolis force. The Stokes drift velocity appears in the usual form of the fluid equations as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^L \times \mathrm{curl}\big(\mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big) &= -\frac{1}{D}\nabla\Big(p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2\Big) - gb\hat{\mathbf{z}}\,, \\ \partial_t D + \mathrm{div}(D\mathbf{u}^L) = 0\,, \quad \text{with} \quad D = 1\,, \\ \partial_t b + \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \nabla b = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

Upon defining the Coriolis parameter as $2\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))$, the motion equation becomes

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^L \times \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^L \times 2\boldsymbol{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{D}\nabla\Big(p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2\Big) \underbrace{- \mathbf{u}^L \times \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{CL Stokes force}} - gb\hat{\mathbf{z}}\,. \tag{B.14}$$

To see the potential vorticity (PV) conservation for CL, we rewrite the dissipative CL motion equation (B.14) in terms of the *Eulerian mean velocity* defined to be $\overline{\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{u}^L - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\partial_t \overline{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}^L \times \boldsymbol{\varpi} + \nabla(p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}^L|^2) = -\, gb\hat{\mathbf{z}}\,. \tag{B.15}$$

where we have set $D = 1$ and defined $\boldsymbol{\varpi} = \text{curl}\,(\overline{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))$ as the total Eulerian mean vorticity. It follows that

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\varpi} - \text{curl}(\mathbf{u}^L \times \boldsymbol{\varpi}) = -\, g\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \nabla b\,. \tag{B.16}$$

Consequently, the Craik-Leibovich theory conserves Eulerian mean potential vorticity (PV) on Lagrangian particles. Namely,

$$\partial_t Q + \mathbf{u}^L \cdot \nabla Q = 0\,, \tag{B.17}$$

where PV is defined as $Q := \nabla b \cdot \boldsymbol{\varpi}$.

Remark B.1. Apparently, the difference between CL and GLM fluid dynamics resides in how the modelling choice between Eulerian and Lagrangian velocity averaging affects the Kelvin circulation theorem. Eulerian averaging affects the Eulerian velocity 1-form in the *circulation integrand* in Kelvin's theorem, while Lagrangian averaging affects the material velocity of the *circulation loop*. This means that the implementation of stochasticity for the CL equations will differ in the same way. In fact, there may be a related modelling choice to be made between Itô and Stratonovich stochasticity, in choosing between Eulerian and Lagrangian implementations of stochasticity, for example, in the pursuit of uncertainty quantification [50].

C Deriving the OU Craik–Leibovich (OU CL) equations

We have seen that the CL model obtains the Eulerian mean fluid momentum density in the rotating frame by subtracting the prescribed Stokes velocity $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ from the Lagrangian mean transport velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and adding the velocity of the rotating frame, $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$. This defines the relative Eulerian momentum density of the fluid as

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t) := \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = D\big(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})\big)\,. \tag{C.1}$$

There are several likely sources of uncertainty in the CL model. First is the effect of the unsteady wind forcing typical of natural conditions. Second is the delay of the drift velocity in response to changes in the wind conditions [76]. Yet another another likely source of uncertainty in the CL model lies in errors in the observational determination of the Stokes drift velocity, $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$, [60, 79]. The goal of this section is to introduce a theoretical framework for quantifying the uncertainty in the solution of the CL equations due to the uncertainty in the Stokes drift, $\mathbf{u}^S$. For this, we introduce a probabilistic aspect into the Stokes drift frame velocity in the action integral for Hamilton's principle for fluid dynamics in (B.5). In exploring this probabilistic aspect, we will neglect the effects of rotation, $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$; so, we can focus on the effects of uncertainty in the Stokes drift velocity. We will also generally ignore the effects of stochasticity in the transport velocity $\mathbf{u}$ (SALT) except for taking one passing opportunity to include it in remark C.3.

In particular, we consider ideal incompressible 3D fluid motion in the frame of motion with velocity $-\,\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$, where $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ is the prescribed deterministic divergence-free Stokes mean drift velocity and N_t is obtained as the solution path of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) stochastic process [35]

$$\mathrm{d}N_t = \theta(\overline{N} - N_t)dt + \sigma dW_t\,, \tag{C.2}$$

with long-term mean $\overline{N}$, and real-valued constants θ and σ. The solution path of the stationary Gaussian-Markov OU process is known to be an ordinary scalar function of time N_t defined by

$$N_t = e^{-\theta t}N_0 + (1-e^{-\theta t})\overline{N} + e^{-\theta t}\sigma\int_0^t e^{\theta s}dW_s\,, \tag{C.3}$$

in which one may assume an initially normal distribution, $N(0) \approx \mathcal{N}(\overline{N}, \sigma^2/(2\theta))$, with mean $\overline{N}$ and variance $\sigma^2/(2\theta)$. Thus, we model uncertainty in the prescribed mean drift velocity by multiplying $-\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ by the OU process in $-\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$.

The corresponding extension of the CL model for the 3D flow of EB fluid is obtained as an Euler-Poincaré equation for Hamilton's principle $\delta S = 0$ with action integral given by, cf. equation (B.5),

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int \left[\frac{1}{2}D|\mathbf{u}|^2 - D\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t - gbDz - p(D-1)\right] d^3x\,dt\,. \tag{C.4}$$

Here, the Euler-Poincaré equations are obtained from varying the action as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta S = \int \Big[&\big\langle D(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t, \delta\mathbf{u}\big\rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 - \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t - gbz - p, \delta D\right\rangle \\ &- gDz\,\delta b + \langle 1-D, \delta p\rangle\Big]\,dt\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

where the variations are given in terms of a smooth vector field w by [55]

$$\delta u = \partial_t w - \mathrm{ad}_u w\,, \quad \delta D = -\mathcal{L}_w D\,, \quad \delta b = -\mathcal{L}_w b\,. \tag{C.6}$$

Now, the momentum 1-form density is defined by

$$m := \frac{\delta\ell}{\delta\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{m}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\otimes d^3x := D\big(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\otimes d^3x =: D\mathbf{v}\cdot d\mathbf{x}\otimes d^3x\,. \tag{C.7}$$

The last term defines a convenient notation which corresponds to the deterministic Craik-Leibovich notation, as follows,

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t \iff \mathbf{u}^E(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}^L(\mathbf{x},t) - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})\,. \tag{C.8}$$

The Euler-Poincaré motion equation resulting from Hamilton's principle (C.5) with variations given in (C.6) is

$$\mathrm{d}m + \pounds_u m\,dt + d\left(p + \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2\right)\otimes Dd^3x\,dt - bdz\otimes Dd^3x\,dt = 0\,. \tag{C.9}$$

Thus, by applying the definitions of $\mathbf{m}$ in equation (C.7) and of the OU process in (C.2), the co-vector quantity $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{m}/D$ in (C.8) is found from (C.9) to satisfy the OU PDE,

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v} + \left(-\mathbf{u}\times\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{v} + \nabla\Big(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2\Big) + gb\nabla z\right)dt = 0\,, \tag{C.10}$$

where we have used the continuity equation for the volume element, D,

$$\mathrm{d}D + \mathrm{div}(D\mathbf{u})dt = 0\,, \tag{C.11}$$

which implies $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} = 0$ when the constraint $D-1=0$ is enforced by the variation of the Lagrange multiplier p, the pressure, in (C.5). Now from (C.8) we have

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v} = \mathrm{d}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}N_t\,. \tag{C.12}$$

Consequently, for $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, the the pressure, p, may be found by imposing $\mathrm{div}(\mathsf{d}\mathbf{u}) = 0$ at each time step, which by equations (C.10) and (C.12) implies

$$\mathrm{div}\Big(- \mathbf{u} \times \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{v} + \nabla\Big(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2\Big) + gb\nabla z\Big) = 0\,. \tag{C.13}$$

These calculations may be summarised in the following theorem.

Theorem C.1 (OU CL wave dynamics via Hamilton's principle $\delta S = 0$ for action integral (B.5))**.**

The probalistic CL model with OU wave dynamics is governed by the following Euler-Poincaré motion equation obtained from Hamilton's principle with the action integral (B.5),

$$\mathsf{d}\mathbf{v} + \Big(- \mathbf{u} \times \mathrm{curl}\mathbf{v} + \nabla\Big(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2\Big) + gb\nabla z\Big)dt = 0\,, \tag{C.14}$$

for $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$ defined in (C.8), solution N_t of the OU process (C.2), and divergence-free velocities of Lagrangian mean transport velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and Stokes mean drift $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$. The auxiliary advection equations for the volume element, D, and buoyancy b are

$$\mathsf{d}D + \mathrm{div}(D\mathbf{u})\,dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{d}b + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla b\,dt = 0\,. \tag{C.15}$$

Remark C.2 (Determining the pressure, p). In the motion equation (C.14), N_t is the solution (C.3) of the OU process (C.2), and the prescribed Stokes mean drift velocity $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ is divergence-free. Hence, the Lagrangian mean transport velocity $\mathbf{u}$ remains divergence-free, $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = 0$, as a result of the constraint $D = 1$ imposed by the Lagrange multiplier p, the pressure, in Hamilton's principle (B.5) in combination with the auxiliary continuity equation in (C.15) for the volume element, D. The equation for the pressure, p, arises from the divergence of the motion equation (C.14), as the Poisson equation,

$$-\Delta\Big(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2\Big) = \mathrm{div}\big(\mathbf{u}\times\mathrm{curl}\mathbf{v} - gb\nabla z\big)\,, \tag{C.16}$$

with Neumann boundary conditions obtained by evaluating the normal component of the motion equation (C.14) at the boundary of the flow domain. Then, since $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$ by (C.8), in principle, the pressure should be written as $p = p_0\,dt + p_1 N_t$ and the quantities p_0 and p_1 should be determined separately in the Poisson equation, (C.16). However, we shall forgo this technical feature in favour of keeping the notation transparent. For a full explanation of semimartingale-driven variational principles, see [73].

Three equivalent forms of the OU CL equations. We will write the motion equation (C.9) in three equivalent vector forms and discuss each form separately to extract the information it presents most conveniently.

(1) The first of these three equivalent forms of the motion equation (C.9) is already in equation (C.14) in Theorem C.1. This form is

$$\mathsf{d}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\times\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{v}\,dt + \nabla\left(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2\right)dt = -\,gb\nabla z\,dt\,, \tag{C.17}$$

written in terms of the pressure p and the Lagrangian mean transport velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and the Eulerian mean velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$ in a reference frame moving with velocity $-\,\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$. The form (C.17) expresses the Kelvin circulation theorem for OU CL wave dynamics as

$$\mathsf{d}\oint_{c(\mathbf{u})}\mathbf{v}\cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\oint_{c(\mathbf{u})} gbdz\,dt\,, \tag{C.18}$$

with transport (Lagrangian) velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and transported (Eulerian) velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$. Equation (C.18) recovers the Kelvin circulation theorem for the Craik-Leibovich theory, upon identifying $\mathbf{u} = \overline{\mathbf{u}}_L$ as the Lagrangian mean velocity and $\mathbf{v} = \overline{\mathbf{u}}_E$ as the Eulerian mean velocity.

Alternatively, one may take the curl of equation (C.17), to write the pathwise equation for total vorticity $\boldsymbol{\omega} := \text{curl}\mathbf{v}$ as

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u})\,dt = -\,g\nabla b\times\nabla z\,dt\,, \tag{C.19}$$

as well as the conservation of potential vorticity (PV) on Lagrangian particles. Namely,

$$\mathrm{d}Q + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla Q\,dt = 0\,, \tag{C.20}$$

where PV is defined as $Q := \nabla b\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

Remark C.3 (OU CL with SALT). This first form of the OU CL equations (C.17) admits stochastic advection by Lie transport (SALT), as well as the OU process. Namely, by following [47] we find a modification of the Kelvin circulation theorem in (C.18) given by

$$\mathrm{d}\oint_{c(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}})}\mathbf{v}\cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\oint_{c(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}})} gbdz\,dt\,, \tag{C.21}$$

with stochastic transport velocity in the SALT form [47],

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} := \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)dt + \sum\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{x})\circ dW_t\,.$$

The corresponding SALT CL motion equation is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v} - \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\times\text{curl}\,\mathbf{v} + \nabla\left(\mathrm{d}p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2dt + \mathbf{u}\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\right) = -\,g\nabla b\times\nabla z\,dt\,, \tag{C.22}$$

in which now the pressure $\mathrm{d}p$ is a semimartingale, see [73]. The corresponding vorticity equation keeps its form, as in (C.19),

$$\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\nabla\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = 0 = -\,g\nabla b\times\nabla z\,dt\,, \tag{C.23}$$

as well as the conservation of potential vorticity (PV) on Lagrangian particles. Namely,

$$\mathrm{d}Q + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\nabla Q\,dt = 0\,, \tag{C.24}$$

where PV is still defined as $Q := \nabla b\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

The introduction of SALT in (C.22) yields the same equations as for the Richardson triple discussed in [48]. Consequently, we may refer to [48] for more discussion and further analysis of OU CL dynamics with SALT.

(2) The second of the three equivalent forms of the motion equation (C.9) we consider is reminiscent of an OU version of the electromagnetic Lorentz force on a fluid plasma, in the "hydrodynamic" gauge, $\phi + \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{A} = 0$, which is the Coulomb gauge in the frame comoving with the fluid. Namely,

$$\big(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}\times\mathbf{B}\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = \Big(-\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{u}\times\text{curl}\,\mathbf{A} - \nabla(\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{A})\Big)\cdot d\mathbf{x} = -\Big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_u\Big)(\mathbf{A}\cdot d\mathbf{x}) \tag{C.25}$$

with $\mathbf{A} = -\,\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{A} = -\,\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}N_t$. Consequently,

$$\Big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_u\,dt\Big)(\mathbf{u}\cdot d\mathbf{x}) + \big(\nabla p + gb\nabla z\big)\cdot d\mathbf{x}\,dt = \Big(\mathrm{d} + \mathcal{L}_u\Big)\big(\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t\cdot d\mathbf{x}\big)\,, \tag{C.26}$$

which is written only in terms of velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and the OU frame velocity $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$. Here, it is not necessary for the pressure to be a semimartingale, because the semimartingale term on the right side of equation (C.26) vanishes when the divergence is taken, since $\text{div}\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.

Remark C.4 (OU CL *non-acceleration* theorem). Vanishing of the right-hand side of the OU CL motion equation (C.26) would correspond to the non-acceleration theorem (2.26) for GLM. The condition corresponding to equation (2.25) for GLM for non-acceleration to occur in the case of OU CL, is that

$$\Big(\mathsf{d} + \mathcal{L}_u\Big)\big(\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t \cdot d\mathbf{x}\big) = 0\,. \tag{C.27}$$

Thus, enforcing the non-acceleration condition (C.27) on the Craik-Leibovich model would impose conservation of circulation of the Stokes mean drift velocity around a material loop moving the flow of the Lagrangian mean velocity, $\mathbf{u}$. That is,

$$\mathsf{d}\oint_{c(u)} \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0\,.$$

On the other hand, the CL model has been derived as an *external* ponderomotive force exerted on the flow as a result of averaging over rapid oscillations imposed near the upper boundary. In contrast, as discussed at the beginning of section 2, the GLM model has been derived by seeking an *internal* ponderomotive force force, which is generated by a fluctuating component of the Lagrangian trajectory in equation (2.1). Thus, the non-acceleration result for GLM would not be expected to apply either to the CL model, or to its probabilistic counterpart, the OU CL model.

(3) The third of the three equivalent forms of the motion equation (C.9) discussed here introduces an OU version of the usual expression for the Craik–Leibovich 'vortex force' given by

$$\mathsf{d}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\times\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{v}\,dt + \nabla\left(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t|^2\right)dt = -\,\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t \times \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{v}\,dt\,, \tag{C.28}$$

written only in terms of the *Eulerian* mean velocity $\mathbf{v}$ and the OU frame velocity, $-\,\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$.

Remark C.5 (Potential caveat). The square of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process in (C.28) is the solution to the following pathwise differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\mathsf{d}N_t^2 &= N_t\mathsf{d}N_t + \sigma^2\,dt \\ &= \big(\theta N_t(\overline{N} - N_t) + \sigma^2\big)dt + \sigma N_t\,dW_t\,.\end{aligned} \tag{C.29}$$

The Stokes drift velocity $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$ in the third equivalent form of the OU CL equations (C.28) satisfies a Bernouilli type ODE, which has finite time blow up, when either $\overline{N}$ is negative, or if $\overline{N}$ is positive, and the initial datum is sufficiently negative. To avoid this issue, one can consider replacing the OU process by a time-integrated OU process. This option will be investigated elsewhere.

Remark C.6 (Physical interpretation). From the viewpoint of Kelvin's theorem, fluid parcels are transported by a Lagrangian mean velocity, which is an average of the fluid parcel velocity taken at fixed Lagrangian label. Kelvin's theorem in (C.18) for the OU CL model states that the circulation integral of the total Eulerian mean velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})N_t$ around material loops moving with the Lagrangian mean velocity is generated only by non-vertical buoyancy gradients.

In the proposed formulation of the OU CL model considered here for estimating the effects of uncertainty in the Stokes mean drift velocity on the CL solution, we have replaced the standard CL Stokes mean drift velocity $\mathbf{u}^S(\mathbf{x})$ by an OU process in the integrand of the Kelvin circulation. In future work, we will explore this direction farther, since uncertainty in the Stokes mean drift velocity is bound to be an issue in the calibration and assimilation of ocean data observed from space [60].

References

[1] Andrews, D. G. and McIntyre, M. E. 1978. An exact theory of nonlinear waves on a Lagrangian mean flow. J. Fluid Mech. 89, 609-646.

[2] Alonso-Oran, D., Bethencourt de Leon, A., Holm, D. D. and Takao, S. [2020] Modelling the climate and weather of a 2D Lagrangian-averaged Euler-Boussinesq equation with transport noise. Accepted to *J Stat Phys*, for a Special volume on Mathematics of Planet Earth. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02443-9

[3] Arnaudon, A., de Castro, A. L. and Holm, D. D. [2018] Noise and dissipation on coadjoint orbits, J Nonlin Sci 28:91-145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-017-9404-3

[4] Bethencourt de Leon, A., Holm, D. D., Luesink, E. and Takao, S. [2020] Implications of Kunita-Ito-Wentzell formula for k-forms in stochastic fluid dynamics. *J Nonlinear Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-020-09613-0

[5] Benjamin, T. B. and Olver, P. J. [1982] Hamiltonian structure, symmetries and conservation laws for water waves. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 125, pp.137-185.

[6] Bernstein, I.B. [1983] The variational principle for problems of ideal magnetohydrodynamic stability. In: Handbook of Plasma Physics, vol. 1. Basic Plasma Physics I, North-Holland, pp. 421-449.

[7] Bernstein, I., Frieman, E.A., Kruskal, M.D., Kulsrud, R.M. [1958] An Energy Principle for Hydromagnetic Stability Problems. Proc. R. Soc. A 244, 17-40.

[8] Brizard, A.J. [2009] Variational principles for reduced plasma physics. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 169, No. 1, p. 012003). IOP Publishing.

[9] Bühler, O. [2010] Wave-vortex interactions in fluids and superfluids, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 42:205-228.

[10] Bühler, O. [2014] *Waves and Mean Flows*. Cambridge University Press.

[11] Bühler, O. and McIntyre, M.E. [1998] On non-dissipative wave-mean interactions in the atmosphere or oceans. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 354, pp.301-343.

[12] Burby, J.W. and Ruiz, D.E. [2019] Variational nonlinear WKB in the Eulerian frame. arXiv:1902.04221.

[13] Chandrasekhar, S. [1987] Ellipsoidal Figures of Equilibrium. Dover, New York.

[14] Chen, S., Foias, C., Holm, D. D., Olson, E.J., Titi, E.S. and Wynne, S. [1998] The Camassa-Holm equations as a closure model for turbulent channel and pipe flows, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81** 5338-5341, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.5338

[15] Close, A. [2019] Applications of geometric mechanics in multi-physics hybrid models for multi-scale systems (Doctoral dissertation, University of Surrey).

[16] Close, A.R., Burby, J.W. and Tronci, C. [2018] A low-frequency variational model for energetic particle effects in the pressure-coupling scheme. Journal of Plasma Physics, 84(4).

[17] Cotter, C.J., Crisan, D., Holm, D. D., Pan, W. and Shevchenko, I. [2018] Modelling uncertainty using circulation-preserving stochastic transport noise in a 2-layer quasi-geostrophic model. arXiv:1802.05711 [physics.flu-dyn].

[18] Cotter, C.J., Crisan, D., Holm, D. D., Pan, W. and Shevchenko, I. [2019] A Particle Filter for Stochastic Advection by Lie Transport (SALT): A case study for the damped and forced incompressible 2D Euler equation. arXiv:1907.11884 [stat.AP].

[19] Cotter, C.J., Crisan, D., Holm, D. D., Pan, W. and Shevchenko, I. [2019] Numerically modelling stochastic Lie transport in fluid dynamics. Multiscale Model. Simul., 17:192-232, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1167929

[20] Craig, W. and Groves, M. D. [1994] Hamiltonian long-wave approximations to the water-wave problem. Wave motion, 19(4), pp.367-389.

[21] Craik, A. D. D. [1982a] The generalized Lagrangian-mean equations and hydrodynamic stability. J. Fluid Mech. 125, 27-35.

[22] Craik, A. D. D. [1982b] Wave induced longitudinal-vortex instability in shear flows. J. Fluid Mech. 125, 37-52.

[23] Craik, A. D. D. [1985] *Wave Interactions and Fluid Flows*, Cambridge University Press.

[24] Craik, A. D. D., [2004] The origins of water wave theory. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 36.

[25] Craik, A. D. D. and Leibovich, S. [1976] A rational model for Langmuir circulations. J. Fluid Mech. 73, 401-426.

[26] Dewar, R.L. [1970] Interaction between hydromagnetic waves and a time-dependent, inhomogeneous medium. The Physics of Fluids, 13(11), pp.2710-2720.

[27] Dewar, R.L. [1973] Oscillation center quasilinear theory. The Physics of Fluids, 16(7), pp.1102-1107.

[28] Drivas, T. D., Holm, D. D. and Leahy, J.-M. [2020] Lagrangian averaged stochastic advection by Lie transport for fluids, Accepted to *J Stat Phys*, for a Special volume on Mathematics of Planet Earth.

[29] Elipe, A., Arribas, M. and Riaguas, A. [1997] Complete analysis of bifurcations in the axial gyrostat problem. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 30(2), p.587.

[30] Foias, C., Holm, D. D., and Titi, E.S. [2001] The Navier-Stokes-alpha model of fluid turbulence. Physica D 152, 505-519. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(01)00191-9

[31] Foias, C., Holm, D. D., and Titi, E.S. [2002] The three dimensional viscous Camassa-Holm equations, and their relation to the Navier-Stokes equations and turbulence theory. J. Dyn. and Diff. Eqns. 14, 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012984210582

[32] Foskett, M. S., Holm, D. D. and Tronci, C. [2019] Geometry of nonadiabatic quantum hydrodynamics, Acta Appl. Math., 162, 63-103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10440-019-00257-1.

[33] Frenkel, J., Dirac, P.A.M. [1934] *Wave Mechanics: Advanced General Theory.* Clarendon Press, Oxford.

[34] Frieman, E.A., Rotenberg, M. [1960] On Hydromagnetic Stability of Stationary Equilibria. Rev. Mod. Phys. 32(4), 898-902.

[35] Gardiner, C.W. [1985] Handbook of stochastic methods (Vol. 3, pp. 2-20). Berlin: Springer.

[36] Gilbert, A.D. and Vanneste, J. [2018] Geometric generalised Lagrangian-mean theories. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 839, pp.95-134.

[37] Gjaja, I. and Holm, D. D. [1996] Self-consistent wave-mean flow interaction dynamics and its Hamiltonian formulation for a rotating stratified incompressible fluid, *Physica D*, **98** 343-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(96)00104-2

[38] Hameiri, E. [1998] Variational principles for equilibrium states with plasma flow. Phys. Plasmas 5, 3270-3281.

[39] Hecht, M.W., Holm, D. D., Petersen, M.R. and Wingate, B.A. [2008] Implementation of the LANS-alpha turbulence model in a primitive equation ocean model. Journal of Computational Physics, 227(11), pp.5691-5716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2008.02.018

[40] Hecht, M.W., Holm, D. D., Petersen, M.R. and Wingate, B.A. [2008] Efficient form of the LANS-alpha turbulence model in a primitive-equation ocean model. Journal of Computational Physics, 227(11), pp.5691-5716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2008.02.017

[41] Holm, D. D. [1996] The Ideal Craik-Leibovich Equations, *Physica D*, **98** 415-441. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(96)00105-4

[42] Holm, D. D. [2001] Introduction to HVBK dynamics. In *Quantized vortex dynamics and superfluid turbulence* (pp. 114-130). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45542-6_10

[43] Holm, D. D. [2002] Averaged Lagrangians and the mean dynamical effects of fluctuations in continuum mechanics, *Physica D* **170** (2002) 253–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(02)00552-3

[44] Holm, D. D. [2002] Lagrangian averages, averaged Lagrangians, and the mean effects of fluctuations in fluid dynamics. *Chaos* **12** 518-530. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1460941

[45] Holm, D. D. [2002] Euler-Poincaré dynamics of perfect complex fluids. In *Geometry, mechanics, and dynamics* (pp. 169-180). Springer, New York, NY. Also see arXiv:nlin/0103041.

[46] Holm, D. D. [2011] *Geometric Mechanics I: Dynamics and Symmetry*, World Scientific: Imperial College Press, Singapore, (2008). ISBN 978-1-84816-195-5, 2nd edition (2011).

[47] Holm, D. D. [2015] Variational principles for stochastic fluid dynamics. *Proc Roy Soc A*, 471: 20140963. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2014.0963

[48] Holm, D. D. [2019] Stochastic parametrization of the Richardson triple. J Nonlinear Sci 29: (1) 89-113 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-018-9478-6

[49] Holm, D. D. [2019] Stochastic Closures for Wave–Current Interaction Dynamics. J Nonlinear Sci 29: (6) 2987–3031. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00332-019-09565-0

[50] Holm, D. D. [2020] Stochastic Modelling in Fluid Dynamics: Itô vs Stratonovich, arXiv:1911.09657 https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.09657

[51] Holm, D. D. and Kupershmidt, B. A. [1982] Poisson structures of superfluids. Physics Letters A, 91(9), pp.425-430. https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(82)90740-X

[52] Holm, D. D. and Kupershmidt, B. A. [1987] Superfluid plasmas: multivelocity nonlinear hydrodynamics of superfluid solutions with charged condensates coupled electromagnetically, Phys. Rev. A 36 3947-3956. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.36.3947

[53] Holm, D.D. and Luesink, E., 2019.
Stochastic wave-current interaction in stratified shallow water dynamics.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.10627.

[54] Holm, D., and Lynch, P. [2002] Stepwise precession of the resonant swinging spring. SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 1, 44-64. https://doi.org/10.1137/S1111111101388571

[55] Holm, D. D., Marsden, J. E. and Ratiu, T. S. [1998] The Euler–Poincaré equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories, *Adv. in Math.*, **137** 1-81, https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1998.1721

[56] Holm, D. D. and Tronci C. [2012] Euler-Poincaré formulation of hybrid plasma models. Communications in Mathematical Sciences, 10, 12. http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/CMS.2012.v10.n1.a10

[57] Holmes-Cerfon, M., Bühler, O. and Ferrari, R. [2011] Particle dispersion by random waves in the rotating Boussinesq system. Journal of fluid mechanics, 670, pp.150-175.

[58] Jeffrey, A. and Taniuti, T. [1964] Non-Linear Wave Propagation with Applications to Physics and Magnetohydrodynamics.(Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Volume 9) IX+ 369 S. m. Fig. New York/London. Academic Press.

[59] Kaufman, A.N. and Holm, D. D. [1984] The Lie-Transformed Vlasov Action Principle: Relativistically Covariant Wave Propagation and Self-Consistent Ponderomotive Effects, Phys. Lett. A 105, 277–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(84)90996-4

[60] Klein, P., Lapeyre, G., Siegelman, L., Qiu, B., Fu, L.L., Torres, H., Su, Z., Menemenlis, D. and Le Gentil, S., 2019. Ocean-scale interactions from space. Earth and Space Science, 6(5), pp.795-817. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EA000492

[61] Krishnaprasad, P.S. and Marsden, J.E. [1987] Hamiltonian structures and stability for rigid bodies with flexible attachments. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 98(1), pp.71-93.

[62] Leibovich, S. [1980] On wave-current interaction theories of Langmuir circulations. J. Fluid Mech. 99, 715-724.

[63] Littlejohn, R. G. [1981] Hamiltonian formulation of guiding center motion, The Physics of Fluids 24, 1730. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.863594

[64] London, F. [1950] *Superfluids*, New York.

[65] Madelung, E. [1927] Quantum theory in hydrodynamic form. Journal of Physics , 40 (3-4), pp.322-326.

[66] Newcomb, W.A. [1962] Lagrangian and Hamiltonian methods in magnetohydrodynamics. Nucl. Fusion Suppl. (Part 2), 451-463.

[67] Ohsawa, T. and Tronci, C. [2017] Geometry and dynamics of Gaussian wave packets and their Wigner transforms. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 58(9), p.092105.

[68] Pardoux, E. [2007] *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, Lectures given in Fudan University, Shanghai. Published by Marseille, France.

[69] Peregrine, D.H. [1976] Interaction of water waves and currents. In *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, 16, 9-117).

[70] Putterman, S.J. [1974] Superfluid hydrodynamics. In Amsterdam, North-Holland Publishing Co.; New York, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc.(North-Holland Series in Low Temperature Physics. Volume 3), 1974. 464 p. (Vol. 3).

[71] Schaumlöffel, K.-U. [1988] White noise in space and time and the cylindrical Wiener process, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 6:1, 81–89.

[72] Similon, P.L., Kaufman, A.N. and Holm, D. D. [1986]. Oscillation center theory and ponderomotive stabilization of low-frequency plasma modes. The Physics of fluids, 29(6),1908-1922.

[73] Street, O. D. and Crisan, D. [2020] Semi-martingale driven variational principles, arXiv:2001.10105.

[74] Sulem, C. and Sulem, P.-L. [2007] *The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation: Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse* (Springer Science & Business Media), Vol. 139.

[75] Thomas, J. [2017] Wave-Vortex interactions in rotating, stratified, and compressible flows, PhD Thesis, NYU Courant Institute.

[76] Thorpe, S.A. [2004] Langmuir circulation. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 36, pp.55-79.

[77] Tronci, C. [2010] Hamiltonian approach to hybrid plasma models. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 43.

[78] Vallis, G.K. [2017] *Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics*. Cambridge University Press.

[79] Van Den Bremer, T.S. and Breivik, ., 2018. Stokes drift. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2111), p.20170104.

[80] Whitham, G.B. [2011] *Linear and nonlinear waves* (Vol. 42). John Wiley & Sons.

[81] Xie, J.H. and Vanneste, J. [2015] A generalised-Lagrangian-mean model of the interactions between near-inertial waves and mean flow. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 774, pp. 143-169.