

CP Violating Phase Originated from Right-handed Neutrino Mixing

Xiao-Yan Wang and Xiang-Jun Chen*

Department of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China

(Dated: April 9, 2024)

Abstract—We propose an idea that the observed CP violation in neutrino oscillation is originated from the phase in right-handed neutrino mixing by seesaw mechanism. We add small breaking terms $M_{ij}N_{R_i}N_{R_j}$ in model based on A_4 symmetry to generate non-diagonal right-handed neutrino mixing, which will give a small correction to TBM mixing via seesaw mechanism with nonzero reactor angle and CP violating phase. We estimate the CP violating phase by investigating the process of leptogenesis due to the decay of right-handed neutrino.

Neutrino oscillation [1–4] is one of the greatest discoveries in particle physics, which confirms neutrino mass and mixing. To explain the generation of neutrino mass, physicists consider neutrino as Majorana particle, which could generate mass term without right-handed neutrino which has not been sought up to now. Majorana mass term violates lepton number conservation which is required in the standard model (SM), thus, the discovery of neutrino oscillation is physics beyond SM.

Seesaw mechanism is the most natural and elegant theory to explain the smallness of neutrino mass, which introduces very heavy Majorana right-handed neutrino and leads to following relation

$$M_v \approx -\frac{m_D m_D^T}{M_R}, \quad (1)$$

where M_v , m_D , M_R are neutrino mass matrix, Dirac neutrino mass matrix and Majorana right-handed neutrino mass matrix, respectively. From Eq. (1), it is obvious that neutrino mass matrix M_v will be diagonalized when m_D and M_R are both diagonalized.

Neutrino mixing is defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_e \\ v_\mu \\ v_\tau \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $v_i (i = e, \mu, \tau)$ is flavor state which is defined by charged weak current, $v_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ is neutrino mass eigenstate and U is neutrino mixing matrix which is generally standard parameterized as PMNS matrix

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$ and $\delta = \delta_{\text{CP}}$ which is CP violating phase. In 2002, P.F. Harrison et al. proposed

TBM mixing pattern [5] as follows

$$U_{\text{TBM}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

which described neutrino oscillation very well. TBM mixing Eq. (3) indicates two large mixing angles $\tan^2\theta_{12} = 1/2$, $\tan^2\theta_{23} = 1$, and zero reactor angle $\sin\theta_{13} = 0$. In 2012, Double Chooz [3] and Daya Bay [4] experiments detected nonzero reactor angle θ_{13} , which implied TBM mixing pattern should be modified to accord with experiments, while TBM matrix is still considered as the best zeroth-order neutrino mixing. Authors exerted perturbation in various methods on TBM matrix to attempt to predict nonzero θ_{13} . For example, literature [6] generated deviation from TBM matrix with two seesaw mechanisms.

Moreover, nonzero reactor angle θ_{13} will give rise to the CP violation of neutrino oscillation. Cabibbo [7] and other physicists [8] pointed out that three-flavor mixing would generate inevitable CP violating phase. For TBM mixing pattern with zero reactor angle, CP violating phase which accompanying $\sin\theta_{13}$ would not act on neutrino oscillation. The detection of nonzero reactor angle urges experiments of detecting CP violating phase [9, 10] and theories [11] to predict it.

In this paper, we propose an idea that the observed nonzero reactor angle and CP violation is due to the entry with CP phase $e^{i\delta}$ in right-handed neutrino mixing via seesaw mechanism. Similar opinion was discussed in literature [12]. In our scheme, we will generate TBM mixing matrix in model based on discrete symmetry as the zeroth-order mixing. According to Eq. (1), this TBM mixing could be considered as mixing in left-handed neutrino sector in Dirac mass term with diagonal right-handed neutrino mixing matrix, which is only dependent of the way left-handed neutrino coupling to right-handed neutrino and independent of right-handed neutrino mixing. Then we will add softly breaking mass terms to generate non-diagonal right-handed neutrino mixing matrix, which will give a small correction to TBM matrix via seesaw mechanism. The resultant neutrino mixing will present nonzero reactor mixing angle and CP violating phase. At the end, the CP violating phase will be estimated by investigating leptogenesis due to the decay

*Electronic address: chenxj@hit.edu.cn

of right-handed neutrino.

The discrete flavor symmetry can control the structure of lepton mixing matrix. TBM mixing matrix is well known for its good fit for experiments, which is shown in Eq. (3)

$$U_{\text{TBM}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The neutrino mass matrix with TBM mixing can be decomposed into the sum of three simple matrices with integer elements which conform to certain discrete symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} M_\nu &= U_{\text{TBM}}^* \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} U_{\text{TBM}}^+ \\ &= \frac{m_1 + m_3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{m_2 - m_1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{m_1 - m_3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where m_1, m_2, m_3 are neutrino masses. The three matrices at the r. h. s of the second equality in Eq. (4) are all S_3 -symmetric. So any group including S_3 subgroup and triplet representation could generate TBM neutrino mixing matrix, in which the minimal groups are S_4 and A_4 .

S_4 group covers all permutations among four objects (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) , i.e. $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rightarrow (x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$, which includes 24 elements and non-Abelian subgroups: S_3 , A_4 and $\Sigma(8)$ which is $(Z_2 \times Z_2) \rtimes Z_2$. The representations of S_4 include two singlets, one doublets and two triplets. In S_4 model, S_4 is spontaneously broken to one of subgroups, in which $Z_2 \times Z_2$ is arranged for neutrinos which is called Klein four group and Z_3 (included in S_3 and A_4) for charged leptons. The generators for these two subgroups, i.e. $Z_2 \times Z_2$ and Z_3 , could be chosen d_1, a_2, b_1 (symbols used in appendix), which can be transformed by unitary matrix U_w as

$$\begin{aligned} X &= U_w^+ d_1 U_w \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w^* & w^{2*} \\ 1 & w^{2*} & w^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= U_w^+ a_2 U_w \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w^* & w^{2*} \\ 1 & w^{2*} & w^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T &= U_w^+ b_1 U_w \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w^* & w^{2*} \\ 1 & w^{2*} & w^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $w^3 = 1$ and U_w is called magic matrix

$$U_w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 \\ 1 & w^2 & w \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The matrix which can diagonalize all generators Eq. (5), Eq. (6), Eq. (7) is only TBM matrix, which implies S_4 model could predict TBM mixing directly. Nevertheless, S_4 model is complicated and we will not choose it.

A_4 group covers all even permutations of four objects, which is subgroup of S_4 and includes 12 elements. The generators could be chosen $S = a_2, T = b_1$ which satisfy $S^2 = T^3 = I$ and can be transformed by magic matrix Eq. (8) as

$$S = U_w^+ a_2 U_w = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T = U_w^+ b_1 U_w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}.$$

Above two matrices can both be diagonalized by TBM matrix, thus, TBM mixing matrix can be generated in A_4 model. A_4 group includes three singlets and a single triplet, thus, we could put three left-handed neutrinos and charged leptons into the triplet, and put three right-handed charged leptons into three singlets as in literature [13]. More details are elaborated in appendix.

We adopt the A_4 model in literature [13] to generate the zeroth-order TBM neutrino mixing. The model introduced very heavy right-handed neutrinos and the assignment of leptons was

$$\begin{aligned} (v_i, l_i)_L & \quad (\underline{3}, 1) & N_{iR} & \quad (\underline{3}, 1) \\ l_{1R} & \quad (\underline{1}, 1) & l_{2R} & \quad (\underline{1}', 1) & l_{3R} & \quad (\underline{1}'', 1) \\ \Phi_i & = (\phi_i^+, \phi_i^0) & (\underline{3}, 0) & \quad \eta = (\eta^+, \eta^0) & (\underline{1}, -1). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Lepton masses are generated by the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}MN_{iR}^2 + f\bar{N}_{iR}(v_{iL}\eta^0 - l_{iL}\eta^+) + h_{ijk}\overline{(v_i, l_i)}_L l_{jR}\Phi_k + h.c. \quad (10)$$

The model will generate TBM neutrino mixing by seesaw mechanism. In literature [13], the author added softly breaking terms $m_{ij}N_{R_i}N_{R_j}$ ($i \neq j$) to remove neutrino mass degeneration, then the diagonal neutrino mass matrix could be expressed as

$$DiagM_v^0 \approx -\frac{U_{TBM}^T m_D m_D^T U_{TBM}}{M_R^0}, \quad (11)$$

where right-handed neutrino mass matrix M_R^0 could be considered diagonal. As a real unitary matrix $U_{TBM}^T = U_{TBM}^+$, TBM matrix U_{TBM} could be considered as mixing in left-handed neutrino sector in Dirac mass term, which was only dependent of the way left-handed neutrino coupling to right-handed neutrino and independent of right-handed neutrino mixing.

Then we further add very small breaking terms $M_{ij}N_{R_i}N_{R_j}$ ($i \neq j$) as perturbation to generate non-diagonal right-handed neutrino mixing, in which we assume $\sin\theta_{12}(N_R) \sim \sin\theta_{23}(N_R) \sim \sin\theta_{13}(N_R) = \sin\alpha \sim 0$. Now Eq. (11) becomes non-diagonal again

$$-\frac{U_{TBM}^+ m_D m_D^T U_{TBM}}{M_R} \quad (12)$$

which will be diagonalized by U_R as

$$\begin{aligned} DiagM_v &= U^T M_v U \approx -\frac{U_{TBM}^+ m_D m_D^T U_{TBM}}{U_R^T M_R U_R} \\ &= -U_R^* \frac{U_{TBM}^+ m_D m_D^T U_{TBM}}{M_R} U_R^+, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$M_v \approx -\frac{m_D m_D^T}{M_R}. \quad (14)$$

Then neutrino mixing matrix will be $U = U_{TBM}U_R^+$, where we have used $U_{TBM}^T = U_{TBM}^+$.

For right-handed neutrino mixing is approximately diagonal, we use the following simple matrix as approximation

$$U_R \sim \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & 0 & \sin\alpha e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\alpha e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The phase in Eq. (14) can not be absorbed in N_R due to its Majorana property, i.e. $N_R \equiv N_R^c$. Then neutrino

mixing matrix can be written approximately as

$$\begin{aligned} U &= U_{TBM}U_R^+ \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & 0 & -\sin\alpha e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin\alpha e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\cos\alpha & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sin\alpha e^{-i\delta} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\cos\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\alpha e^{i\delta} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\alpha e^{-i\delta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\alpha \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\cos\alpha - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\alpha e^{i\delta} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\alpha e^{-i\delta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In Eq. (15), TBM mixing matrix is slightly modified with nonzero reactor angle and CP violating phase, from which we can quickly obtain relation about parameter α

$$\sin\theta_{13} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sin\alpha. \quad (17)$$

We notice that in our scheme, the CP violating phase in neutrino mixing is the same as the one in right-handed neutrino mixing.

In 1986, Fukugita et al. [14] proposed that the origin of cosmological baryon number asymmetry or lepton number asymmetry was leptogenesis due to the CP violation in the decay of Majorana right-handed neutrino. By analogy with the opinion in literature [12], we assume that CP violation in decay of Majorana right-handed neutrino is due to CP violating phase in right-handed neutrino mixing.

According to Eq. (10), the Lagrangian of leptogenesis due to the decay of right-handed neutrino is

$$\mathcal{L}_{leptogenesis} = f_{ij}\bar{N}_{iR}l_{jL}\eta^+ + h.c. \quad (18)$$

Right-handed neutrino Majorana mass term is

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{eR}^T & N_{\mu R}^T & N_{\tau R}^T \end{pmatrix} M_R \begin{pmatrix} N_{eR} \\ N_{\mu R} \\ N_{\tau R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where mass matrix M_R can be diagonalized as

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{eR}^T & N_{\mu R}^T & N_{\tau R}^T \end{pmatrix} U_R^* DiagM_R U_R^+ \begin{pmatrix} N_{eR} \\ N_{\mu R} \\ N_{\tau R} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Then the decay of N_R will be

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{eR} & \bar{N}_{\mu R} & \bar{N}_{\tau R} \end{pmatrix} U_R \eta^+ \begin{pmatrix} l_{eL} \\ l_{\mu L} \\ l_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

The Lagrangian of leptogenesis Eq. (17) leads to

$$f_{ij}\bar{N}_{iR}l_{jL}\eta^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{eR} & \bar{N}_{\mu R} & \bar{N}_{\tau R} \end{pmatrix} F \eta^+ \begin{pmatrix} l_{eL} \\ l_{\mu L} \\ l_{\tau L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where F is the coupling matrix as

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} \\ f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

which indicates the couplings to N_{eR} are f_{11}, f_{12}, f_{13} , and the rest can be done in a similar fashion. Compared Eq. (21) with Eq. (20), coupling matrix F could be rewritten as coupling strength multiplying U_R

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} \\ f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & & \\ & f_2 & \\ & & f_3 \end{pmatrix} U_R, \quad (24)$$

where f_1, f_2, f_3 are coupling strengths. We assume $M_1 < M_2 < M_3$. The strength of a fermion coupling to certain scalar field is proportional to mass of the fermion and then we have $f_1 < f_2 < f_3$. According to literature[14], we choose $f_1 \sim 10^{-5}$ and $M_1 \sim 10^4 \text{ GeV}$, then $1 \geq f_2, f_3 > 10^{-5}$.

The net lepton number produced by the decay of right-handed neutrino can be calculated by

$$\epsilon = \frac{9}{4\pi} \text{Im}(f_{ij} f_{jk} f_{il}^* f_{lk}^*) I(M_l^2/M_k^2)/(FF^+)_{11}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$I(x) = x^{1/2} \{1 + (1+x) \ln[x/(1+x)]\}. \quad (26)$$

Eq. (24) can lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \frac{9}{4\pi} \text{Im}(f_{12} f_{23} f_{13}^* f_{33}^*) I(M_3^2/M_3^2)/(FF^+)_{11} \\ \rightarrow \epsilon &= \frac{9}{4\pi} f_1^2 f_2 f_3 \text{Im}((U_R)_{12} (U_R)_{23} (U_R)_{13}^* (U_R)_{33}^*) \\ &\quad \times I(1)/(FF^+)_{11}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$I(M_3^2/M_3^2) = I(1) = -0.3863. \quad (28)$$

Taking $\epsilon < 10^{-6}$, $(FF^+)_{11} \sim 10^{-10}$ [14], Eq. (26) will be

$$\begin{aligned} &-f_2 f_3 \text{Im}((U_R)_{12} (U_R)_{23} (U_R)_{13}^* (U_R)_{33}^*) < 3.6 \times 10^{-6} \\ \rightarrow &-f_2 f_3 s_{12}(N_R) s_{23}(N_R) s_{13}(N_R) c_{13}^3(N_R) c_{23}(N_R) \sin \delta \\ &< 3.6 \times 10^{-6}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

As mentioned above, we assume $\sin \theta_{12}(N_R) \sim \sin \theta_{23}(N_R) \sim \sin \theta_{13}(N_R) = \sin \alpha = -\sqrt{3/2} \sin \theta_{13}$, where the last equality comes from Eq. (16) and $\cos \alpha \sim 1$. Then Eq. (28) will become

$$f_2 f_3 \sin^3 \theta_{13} \sin \delta < 1.96 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (30)$$

Taking $\sin \theta_{13} \approx 0.1503$, Eq. (29) will become

$$f_2 f_3 \sin \delta < 5.77 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (31)$$

Choosing $f_2 f_3 \sim 10^{-2}$, we will obtain $\sin \delta < 0.0577$ which is in the range of NOvA experiment [9].

We added small breaking terms $M_{ij} N_{R_i} N_{R_j}$ in model based on A_4 symmetry to generate non-diagonal right-handed neutrino mixing, which gave a small correction to TBM mixing via seesaw mechanism with nonzero reactor angle and CP violating phase. We estimated the CP violating phase by investigating the process of leptogenesis due to the decay of right-handed neutrino. And the predicted CP violating phase was in the range of NOvA experiment [9].

Appendix

A. S_4 symmetry

S_4 group covers all permutations among four objects (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) , i.e. $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rightarrow (x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$ and includes 24 elements as in literature [15]

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &: (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), & a_2 &: (x_2, x_1, x_4, x_3), & a_3 &: (x_3, x_4, x_1, x_2), & a_4 &: (x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1), \\ b_1 &: (x_1, x_4, x_2, x_3), & b_2 &: (x_4, x_1, x_3, x_2), & b_3 &: (x_2, x_3, x_1, x_4), & b_4 &: (x_3, x_2, x_4, x_1), \\ c_1 &: (x_1, x_3, x_4, x_2), & c_2 &: (x_3, x_1, x_2, x_4), & c_3 &: (x_4, x_2, x_1, x_3), & c_4 &: (x_2, x_4, x_3, x_1), \\ d_1 &: (x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3), & d_2 &: (x_2, x_1, x_3, x_4), & d_3 &: (x_4, x_3, x_1, x_2), & d_4 &: (x_3, x_4, x_2, x_1), \\ e_1 &: (x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4), & e_2 &: (x_3, x_1, x_4, x_2), & e_3 &: (x_2, x_4, x_1, x_3), & e_4 &: (x_4, x_2, x_3, x_1), \\ f_1 &: (x_1, x_4, x_3, x_2), & f_2 &: (x_4, x_1, x_2, x_3), & f_3 &: (x_3, x_2, x_1, x_4), & f_4 &: (x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which are written in cycle representation as

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &: e, & a_2 &: (12)(34), & a_3 &: (13)(24), & a_4 &: (14)(32), \\
b_1 &: (234), & b_2 &: (124), & b_3 &: (132), & b_4 &: (314), \\
c_1 &: (243), & c_2 &: (231), & c_3 &: (134), & c_4 &: (142), \\
d_1 &: (34), & d_2 &: (12), & d_3 &: (1324), & d_4 &: (2314), \\
e_1 &: (23), & e_2 &: (2431), & e_3 &: (1342), & e_4 &: (14), \\
f_1 &: (24), & f_2 &: (1234), & f_3 &: (13), & f_4 &: (1432)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

S_4 is cube symmetry group and the elements can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & a_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & a_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & a_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
b_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & b_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & b_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & b_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
c_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & c_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & c_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & c_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
d_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & d_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & d_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & d_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
e_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & e_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & e_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & e_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
f_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & f_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & f_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & f_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Generally elements are classified by symmetry operations as follows: T represents element generated by rotating around coordinate axes which including 3 axes x, y, z : rotating π for 2-order element and $\pm\frac{1}{2}\pi$ for 4-order element; S represents 2-order element generated by rotating around axes connecting the midpoints in two opposite edges which include 6 axes. R represents 3-order element generated by rotating around body diagonals which include 4 axes. The elements are classified by axes as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
T \text{ axis} &: \{a_2, a_3, a_4, d_3, d_4, e_2, e_3, f_2, f_4\} \\
R \text{ axis} &: \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} \\
S \text{ axis} &: \{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_4, f_1, f_3\}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and by the order of element as

$$\begin{aligned}
h = 1 &: \{a_1\} \\
h = 2 &: \{a_2, a_3, a_4, d_1, d_2, e_1, e_4, f_1, f_3\} \\
h = 3 &: \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} \\
h = 4 &: \{d_3, d_4, e_2, e_3, f_2, f_4\}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Elements are classified into conjugate classes as

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(h = 1) &: \{a_1\} \\
C_3(h = 2) &: \{a_2, a_3, a_4\} \\
C_6(h = 2) &: \{d_1, d_2, e_1, e_4, f_1, f_3\} \\
C_8(h = 3) &: \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} \\
C'_6(h = 4) &: \{d_3, d_4, e_2, e_3, f_2, f_4\}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Permutation group or symmetric group S_n includes two generators which could be chosen one cycle of n length, e.g. $(123 \cdots n)$ and one cycle of its neighboring objects e.g. (12) . It could be easily verified by proving $(j \ j+1) = (123 \cdots n)(j-1 \ j)(123 \cdots n)^{-1}$. For example, from Eq. (32), we could choose d_1 and corresponding n -length cycle f_2 as generators of S_4 .

B. A_4 symmetry

A_4 group covers all even permutations of four objects, which is subgroup of S_4 and includes 12 elements as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & a_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & a_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & a_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ b_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & b_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & b_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & b_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ c_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & c_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & c_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & c_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

A_4 group is the symmetry group of regular tetrahedron which generators generally are called S, T . T represents 3-order element generated by rotating around axes passing through vertices which include 4 axes, which can be classified as: element by rotating $\frac{2}{3}\pi$ clockwise and element by rotating $\frac{2}{3}\pi$ anticlockwise. S represents 2-order element generated by rotating around axes connecting the midpoints in two opposite edges which include 3 axes.

All 12 elements can be classified by axes as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S \text{ axis} &: \{a_2, a_3, a_4\} \\ T \text{ axis} &: \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The generators could be chosen $S = a_2, T = b_1$ which satisfy $S^2 = T^3 = 1$.

More can be referred to literature [15].

REFERENCES

-
- [1] Y. Fukuda, T. Hayakawa, E. Ichihara, K. Inoue, K. Ishihara, H. Ishino, Y. Itow, T. Kajita, J. Kameda, S. Kasuga, K. Kobayashi, Y. Kobayashi, Y. Koshio, M. Miura, M. Nakahata, S. Nakayama, et al. (SK Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 8 (1998).
 - [2] Q. R. Ahmad, R. C. Allen, T. C. Andersen, J. D. Anglin, J. C. Barton, E. W. Beier, M. Bercovitch, J. Bigu, S. D. Biller, R. A. Black, I. Blevis, R. J. Boardman, J. Boger, E. Bonvin, M. G. Boulay, M. G. Bowler, et al. (SNO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 1 (2002).
 - [3] Y. Abe, C. Aberle, T. Akiri, J. C. dos Anjos, F. Ardellier, A. F. Barbosa, A. Baxter, M. Bergevin, A. Bernstein, T. J. C. Bezerra, L. Bezrukhov, E. Blucher, M. Bongrand, N. S. Bowden, C. Buck, J. Busenitz, et al. (Double Chooz Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 131801 (2012).
 - [4] F. P. An, J. Z. Bai, A. B. Balantekin, H. R. Band, D. Beavis, W. Beriguete, M. Bishai, S. Blyth, K. Boddy, R. L. Brown, B. Cai, G. F. Cao, J. Cao, R. Carr, W. T. Chan, J. F. Chang, et al. (Daya Bay Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012).
 - [5] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **530**, (2002), p. 167-173.
 - [6] Debasish Borah, Nuclear Physics B **876**, (2013), p. 575-586.
 - [7] Nicola Cabibbo, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 3 (1978).
 - [8] Makoto Kobayashi, and Toshihide Maskawa, Prog. Theo. Phys. **49**, 2 (1973), p. 652-657.
 - [9] M. A. Acero, P. Adamson, L. Aliaga, T. Alion, V. Alkhverdian, N. Anfimov, A. Antoshkin, E. Arrieta-Diaz, A. Aurisano, A. Back, C. Backhouse, M. Baird, N. Balashov, B. A. Bambah, K. Bays, B. Behera, et al. (NOvA Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **98**, 032012 (2018).
 - [10] K. Abe, R. Akutsu, A. Ali, J. Amey, C. Andreopoulos, L. Anthony, M. Antonova, S. Aoki, A. Ariga, Y. Ashida, Y. Azuma, S. Ban, M. Barbi, G. J. Barker, G. Barr, C. Barry, et al. (T2K Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 171802 (2018).
 - [11] Yusuke Shimizu, Morimitsu Tanimoto, and Kei Yamamoto, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **30**, 1 (2015) 1550002.
 - [12] H. Fritzsch, and P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. **62B**, 4 (1976) p. 421-425.
 - [13] Ernest Ma, and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. D **64**, (2001)

- 113012.
- [14] M. Fukugita, and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **174**, 1 (1986) p. 45-47.
- [15] Hajime Ishimori, Tatsuo Kobayashi, Hiroshi Ohki, Yusuke Shimizu, Hiroshi Okada, and Morimitsu Tanimoto, Prog. Theo. Phys. Supp. 183(2010).