

THE NON-COMMUTATIVE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTION ON SYMMETRIC SPACES OF τ -MEASURABLE OPERATORS

Y. NESSIPBAYEV AND K. TULENOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on non-commutative symmetric spaces. We complete the results of T. Bekjan and J. Shao. Moreover, we refine the main results of the papers [1] and [15].

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (or function) M is an important non-linear operator with numerous applications in real analysis and harmonic analysis. It takes a locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and returns another function Mf that, at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, gives the maximum average value that f can have on balls centered at that point. More precisely,

$$(1.1) \quad Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the ball of radius r centred at x , and $|E|$ denotes the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. There is an uncountable number of papers devoted to investigation of the Hardy-Littlewood function defined by the formula (1.1). For instance, see [2, 6, 18] and references therein.

It is well known that there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 , depending only on d , such that

$$(1.2) \quad c_1 \mu(Mf) \leq C\mu(f) \leq c_2 \mu(Mf)$$

for every locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (see [2, Theorem III. 3.8, p.122]), where C is the Cesàro operator defined by (3.1) below and $\mu(f)$ is the decreasing rearrangement of the function $|f|$.

In this paper we mainly deal with the non-commutative version of this result. In fact, for our purposes it is sufficient to consider only the first inequality in (1.2). To be precise, in this work we study the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on symmetric spaces of τ -measurable operators. Non-commutative maximal inequalities were studied, in particular, in works of M. Junge and Q. Xu [7, 8]. Later T. Mei [14] presented a version of the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 47B10, 46L51, 46L52, 44A15; Secondary 47L20, 47C15.

Key words and phrases. symmetric spaces of functions and operators, Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, von Neumann algebra, (non-commutative) Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces.

maximal inequality for an operator-valued function. Another version of the (non-commutative) Hardy-Littlewood maximal function was introduced by T. Bekjan [1]. Also, in his work T. Bekjan defined the (non-commutative) Hardy-Littlewood maximal function for τ -measurable operators and, among other things, obtained weak $(1, 1)$ -type and (p, p) -type inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Later J. Shao investigated the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on non-commutative Lorentz spaces associated with finite atomless von Neumann algebra (see [15]). Using the techniques in [6], J. Shao obtained the (p, q) - (p, q) -type inequality for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on non-commutative Lorentz spaces. Namely, for an operator T affiliated with a semi-finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of T is defined by

$$MA(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|))} \tau(|A|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|)), \quad x \geq 0.$$

While the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of a Lebesgue measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $Mf(x)$, is defined as

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{m([x-r, x+r])} \int_{[x-r, x+r]} |f(t)| dt,$$

where m is a Lebesgue measure on $(-\infty, \infty)$ [18]. In view of spectral theory, $|A|$ is represented as

$$|A| = \int_{\sigma(|A|)} t dE_t,$$

and $MA(|A|)$ is represented as $MA(x)$. Thus, for the operator A , Bekjan's consideration is that $MA(|A|)$ is defined as the operator analogue of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in the classical case. Our purpose is to investigate the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function M in the sense of T. Bekjan (see [1]).

In this paper we obtain upper estimate of generalized singular number of the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (see Section 3). In particular, we show that the generalized singular number of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is estimated from above by the Cesàro operator, which we address in Theorem 8 below.

We extend the results obtained by J. Shao (see [15]) from a finite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ to a general semi-finite $\mathcal{M}$. Also, while J. Shao considered the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood function on Lorentz spaces, we rather show its boundedness from one non-commutative symmetric space to another (see Theorem 13 below), including its boundedness from a non-commutative Lorentz space to another (see Proposition 14 below) and from a non-commutative Marcinkiewicz space to another (see Proposition 15 below). We show it using an approach similar to the commutative case. The key results, which we apply, may be found in [1]. Finally, we provide interesting examples showing that the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is bounded from one particular non-commutative Lorentz space to another, and from one particular non-commutative Marcinkiewicz space to another as well (see Section 4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$ denote the measure space $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ equipped with Lebesgue measure m . Let $L(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$ be the space of all measurable real-valued functions

on $\mathbb{R}_+$ equipped with Lebesgue measure m i.e. functions which coincide almost everywhere are considered identical. Define $S(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$ to be the subset of $L(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$ which consists of all functions x such that $m(\{t : |x(t)| > s\})$ is finite for some $s > 0$. For $x \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we denote by $\mu(x)$ the decreasing rearrangement of the function $|x|$. That is,

$$\mu(t, x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : m(\{|x| > s\}) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

We say that y is submajorized by x in the sense of Hardy–Littlewood–Pólya (written $y \prec\prec x$) if

$$\int_0^t \mu(s, y) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s, x) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a separable Hilbert space H equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . A closed and densely defined operator A affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ is called τ -measurable if $\tau(E_{|A|}(s, \infty)) < \infty$ for sufficiently large s . We denote the set of all τ -measurable operators by $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Let $Proj(\mathcal{M})$ denote the lattice of all projections in $\mathcal{M}$. For every $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we define its singular value function $\mu(A)$ by setting

$$\mu(t, A) = \inf\{\|A(1 - P)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M})} : P \in Proj(\mathcal{M}), \quad \tau(P) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M})}$ is the usual operator (uniform) norm. Equivalently, for positive self-adjoint operators $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have

$$n_A(s) = \tau(E_A(s, \infty)), \quad \mu(t, A) = \inf\{s : n_A(s) < t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

An operator in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is called τ -compact if $\mu(\infty, A) = 0$. This notion is a direct generalization of the ideal of compact operators on a Hilbert space H . For more details on generalised singular value functions and τ -compact operators, we refer the reader to [5] and [13]. Let $\mathcal{L}_{loc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the set of all τ -measurable operators such that

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(|A|E_I(|A|)) < +\infty,$$

for all bounded intervals $I \subset [0, +\infty)$.

We have for $A, B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see for instance [13, Corollary 2.3.16 (a)])

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(t + s, A + B) \leq \mu(t, A) + \mu(s, B), \quad t, s > 0.$$

If $A, B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then we say that B is submajorized by A (in the sense of Hardy–Littlewood–Pólya) denoted by $\mu(B) \prec\prec \mu(A)$, that is,

$$\int_0^t \mu(s, B) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s, A) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Definition 1. [1, Definition 1] For $A \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we define the maximal function of A by

$$MA(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|))} \tau(|A|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|)), \quad x \geq 0,$$

(let $\frac{0}{0} = 0$). M is called the non-commutative Hardy–Littlewood maximal function.

$MA(|A|)$ is represented as $MA(x)$. Then for A , we consider $MA(|A|)$ as the operator analogue of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function in the classical case.

Hence roughly speaking, $MA(|A|)$ stands in relation to A as $Mf(x)$ stands in relation to f in classical analysis, i.e.

$$(2.3) \quad MA(|A|) = \int_{\sigma(|A|)} MA(\lambda) dE_\lambda(|A|),$$

where $\sigma(|A|)$ is the spectrum of $|A|$.

2.1. Symmetric (Quasi-)Banach Function and Operator Spaces.

Definition 2. We say that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a symmetric (quasi-)Banach function space on I if the following hold:

- (a) E is a subset of $S(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$;
- (b) $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a (quasi-)Banach space;
- (c) If $x \in E$ and if $y \in S(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$ are such that $|y| \leq |x|$, then $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E \leq \|x\|_E$;
- (d) If $x \in E$ and if $y \in S(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$ are such that $\mu(y) = \mu(x)$, then $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E = \|x\|_E$.

For the general theory of symmetric (quasi-)Banach function spaces, we refer the reader to [2, 11, 12].

We restrict our attention to the subclass of symmetric Banach spaces E with Fatou norm, that is, when the norm closed unit ball B_E of E is closed in E with respect to almost everywhere convergence.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space H equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ . The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is also denoted by $L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$, and for all $A \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$, $\|A\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} := \|A\|$. Moreover, $\|A\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} = \|\mu(A)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \mu(0, A)$, $A \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

Definition 3. Let $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a linear subset in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ equipped with a complete quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$. We say that $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a symmetric operator space (on $\mathcal{M}$, or in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$) if for $A \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and for every $B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with $\mu(B) \leq \mu(A)$, we have $B \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\|B\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq \|A\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$.

A symmetric function space is the term reserved for a symmetric operator space when $\mathcal{M} = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$.

Recall the construction of a symmetric (quasi-)Banach operator space (or non-commutative symmetric (quasi-)Banach space) $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Let E be a symmetric (quasi-)Banach function (or sequence) space on $(0, \infty)$. Set

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \left\{ A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(A) \in E \right\}.$$

We equip $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with a natural norm

$$\|A\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} = \|\mu(A)\|_E, \quad A \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

This is a (quasi-)Banach space with the (quasi-)norm $\|\cdot\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$ and is called the (non-commutative) symmetric operator space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ corresponding to $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$. An extensive discussion of the various properties of such spaces can be found in [10, 13] (see also [20, 21]). Furthermore, the following fundamental theorem was proved in [10] (see also [13, Question 2.5.5, p. 58]).

Theorem 4. *Let E be a symmetric function space on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra. Set*

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \left\{ A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(A) \in E \right\}.$$

So defined $(E(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)})$ is a symmetric operator space.

For simplicity, in the future we will denote $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by $E(\mathcal{M})$.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we set

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}) = \{A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(|A|^p) < \infty\}, \quad \|A\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})} = (\tau(|A|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The Banach spaces $(L_p(\mathcal{M}), \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})})$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) are separable. Moreover, when $p = 2$ this space becomes the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle := \tau(B^*A), \quad A, B \in L_2(\mathcal{M}),$$

where B^* is adjoint operator of B . It is easy to see that these spaces are examples of symmetric spaces.

Throughout this paper, we write $\mathcal{A} \lesssim \mathcal{B}$ if there is a constant $c_{abs} > 0$ such that $\mathcal{A} \leq c_{abs} \mathcal{B}$. We write $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{B}$ if both $\mathcal{A} \lesssim \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A} \gtrsim \mathcal{B}$ hold, possibly with different constants.

2.2. $L_1 \cap L_\infty$ and $L_1 + L_\infty$ spaces. Two examples below are of particular interest. Consider the separated topological vector space $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ consisting of all measurable functions x such that $m(\{t : |x(t)| > s\})$ is finite for some $s > 0$ with the topology of convergence in measure. Then the spaces $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ are algebraically and topologically imbedded in the topological vector space $S(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and so these spaces form a Banach couple (see [11, Chapter I] for more details). The space $(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+) = L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ consists of all bounded summable functions x on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with norm

$$\|x\|_{(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \max\{\|x\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \|x\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}, \quad x \in (L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

The space $(L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+) = L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) + L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ consists of functions which are sums of bounded measurable and summable functions $x \in S(\mathbb{R}_+)$ equipped with the norm given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{(L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)} &= \inf\{\|x_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \|x_2\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} : x = x_1 + x_2, \\ &\quad x_1 \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+), x_2 \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For more details we refer the reader to [2, Chapter I], [11, Chapter II]. We recall that every symmetric Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure) satisfies

$$(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset E(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

with continuous embeddings (see for instance [11, Theorem II. 4.1. p. 91]).

We define the space $L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ as the class of those operators $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} &:= \inf\{\|A_1\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M})} + \|A_2\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} : \\ &\quad A = A_1 + A_2, A_1 \in L_1(\mathcal{M}), A_2 \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M})\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. [4, Theorem III. 9.16, p. 96] *If $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then*

$$(2.4) \quad \|A\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} = \int_0^1 \mu(t, A) dt, \quad A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M}).$$

A standard argument shows that $L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is a Banach space with respect to this norm, which is continuously embedded in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It follows from Theorem 5 that if $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $\int_0^t \mu(s, A) ds < \infty$ for all $t > 0$.

In particular, if $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $\mu(A) \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$\|A\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})} = \|\mu(A)\|_{(L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad A \in L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M}).$$

The space $L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is also denoted by $(L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$. Similarly, define the intersection space of Banach spaces $L_1(\mathcal{M})$ and $L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ as follows.

$$(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}) = \{A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \|A\|_{(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})} < \infty\},$$

where the norm on $(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$ is defined by

$$\|A\|_{(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})} = \max\{\|A\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M})}, \|A\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M})}\}, \quad A \in (L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}).$$

It is easy to see that $(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$ is a Banach space with respect to this norm. It should be observed that if $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $A \in (L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if $\mu(A) \in (L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover,

$$\|A\|_{(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})} = \|\mu(A)\|_{(L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad A \in (L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}).$$

For an in-depth description on these spaces, refer to [4, Chapter III] (see also [3]). As considered in the commutative case, every symmetric Banach operator space satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad (L_1 \cap L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}) \subset E(\mathcal{M}) \subset (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$$

(see [4, Theorem 4.5, pp. 36-37] for more details).

2.3. Lorentz spaces.

Definition 6. [11, Definition II. 1.1, p.49] *A function φ on the semiaxis $\mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be quasiconcave if*

- (i) $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
- (ii) $\varphi(t)$ is positive and increasing for $t > 0$;
- (iii) $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$ is decreasing for $t > 0$.

Observe that every nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$ that vanishes only at origin is quasiconcave. The reverse, however, is not always true. However, we may replace, if necessary, a quasiconcave function φ by its least concave majorant $\tilde{\varphi}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varphi} \leq \varphi \leq \tilde{\varphi}$$

(see [2, Proposition 5.10, p. 71]).

Let Ω denote the set of increasing concave functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \varphi(t) = 0$ (or simply $\varphi(+0) = 0$). For the function φ in Ω , the Lorentz space $\Lambda_\varphi(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined by setting

$$\Lambda_\varphi(\mathbb{R}_+) := \left\{ x \in S(\mathbb{R}_+) : \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(s, x) d\varphi(s) < \infty \right\},$$

and equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Lambda_\varphi(\mathbb{R}_+)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(s, x) d\varphi(s).$$

These spaces are examples of symmetric Banach function spaces. For more details on Lorentz spaces, we refer the reader to [2, Chapter II.5] and [11, Chapter II.5].

As in the commutative case, for a function φ in Ω define the corresponding non-commutative Lorentz space by setting

$$\Lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) := \left\{ A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(s, A) d\varphi(s) < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$(2.6) \quad \|A\|_{\Lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{M})} := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(s, A) d\varphi(s).$$

These operator spaces become symmetric operator spaces.

Let ψ be a quasiconcave function on $(0, \infty)$. The space

$$M_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{f \in S(\mathbb{R}_+) : \|f\|_{M_\psi} < \infty\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{M_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu(s, f) ds$$

is the symmetric space with the fundamental function $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{\psi(t)} \cdot \chi_{(0, \infty)}(t)$. The space $(M_\psi, \|\cdot\|_{M_\psi})$ is called the Marcinkiewicz space.

Similarly to the Lorentz space, define the non-commutative Marcinkiewicz space

$$M_\psi(\mathcal{M}) := \left\{ A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \sup_{t>0} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu(s, A) ds < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|A\|_{M_\psi(\mathcal{M})} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cdot \int_0^t \mu(s, A) ds.$$

3. UPPER ESTIMATE OF GENERALIZED SINGULAR NUMBER OF THE NON-COMMUTATIVE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTION

In this section we estimate generalised singular number of the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. We also show interesting applications of this result.

To prove the principal result the following results are necessary.

Lemma 7. *Every operator A in $\mathcal{L}_{loc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is τ -compact.*

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that $0 \leq A \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since A is τ -measurable, then $\tau(E_{(N, \infty)}(A)) < \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider $\tau(E_{(\varepsilon, N)}(A))$. Since $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} A E_{(\varepsilon, N)}(A) > 1$, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\tau(E_{(\varepsilon, N)}(A)) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tau(A E_{(\varepsilon, N)}(A)) < \infty.$$

Thus, $\tau(E_{(\varepsilon, \infty)}(A)) < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, A is τ -compact. $\square$

Let $C : L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L_{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the Cesàro operator defined by

$$(3.1) \quad (Cf)(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds, \quad f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad t > 0.$$

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 8. *For every $A \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \mu(t, MA(|A|)) \leq 16 \cdot (C\mu(A))(t), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to the commutative case.

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then by Lemma 7, A is a τ -compact operator. First, we prove the following inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} + t\|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq 2t(C\mu(A))(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Fix $\xi_0 > 0$, suppose $(C\mu(A))(t) < +\infty$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let $\xi_0 = \mu(\xi, A)$. Set A_1 and A_2 as follows $A_1 = A \cdot \chi_{(0, \xi_0]}$ and $A_2 = A - A_1 = A \cdot \chi_{(\xi_0, \infty)}$.

Then $\|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} = \int_0^{\xi_0} \mu(s, A)ds$ and

$$\|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \geq 0} |\mu(s + \xi_0), A| \leq \mu(\xi_0, A).$$

Since $\mu(s, A)$ is decreasing, we obtain

$$\xi_0 \cdot \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq \xi_0 \cdot \mu(\xi_0, A) \leq \int_0^{\xi_0} \mu(s, A)ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} + \xi_0\|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq 2 \int_0^{\xi_0} \mu(s, A)ds = 2\xi_0(C\mu(A))(\xi_0).$$

Since ξ_0 is arbitrary, the inequality (3.3) holds.

Let A_1 and A_2 be as defined above. Then, it was shown in the proof of the Lemma 3.1 in [15] that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|))} \tau(|A|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|)) &\leq \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|))} \tau(|A_1|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A_1|)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A|))} \tau(|A_2|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A_2|)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A_1|))} \tau(|A_1|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A_1|)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tau(E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A_2|))} \tau(|A_2|E_{[x-r, x+r]}(|A_2|)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking supremum over $r > 0$, we obtain

$$MA(x) \leq MA_1(x) + MA_2(x),$$

which implies that

$$(3.4) \quad MA(|A|) \leq MA_1(|A_1|) + MA_2(|A_2|).$$

Then, by (3.4) and Lemma 2.5 in [5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(s, MA(|A|)) &\stackrel{(3.4)}{\leq} \mu(s, MA_1(|A_1|) + MA_2(|A_2|)) \\ &\leq \mu\left(\frac{s}{2}, MA_1(|A_1|)\right) + \mu\left(\frac{s}{2}, MA_2(|A_2|)\right) \quad s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each term separately. For the first term, since $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows from [1, Theorem 1] that

$$(3.5) \quad \mu\left(\frac{s}{2}, MA_1(|A_1|)\right) \leq \frac{16}{s} \|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)},$$

and since $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by [1, Lemma 1 (ii)] we have

$$(3.6) \quad \mu\left(\frac{s}{2}, MA_2(|A_2|)\right) \leq \mu(0, MA_2(|A_2|)) = \|MA_2(|A_2|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}.$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6) we infer

$$\mu(s, MA(|A|)) \leq \frac{16}{s} \|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} + \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \quad s > 0.$$

Putting $s = t$ and using (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t, MA(|A|)) &\leq \frac{16}{t} \|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} + \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \\ &\leq \frac{16}{t} \|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} + 16 \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \\ &\leq \frac{16}{t} (\|A_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} + t \|A_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}) \stackrel{(3.3)}{\leq} 16 \cdot (C\mu(A))(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since A is arbitrary, we obtain desired inequality. $\square$

As a corollary we obtain the results of Lemma 1 (ii) in [1].

Corollary 9. *For any $A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have*

$$\|MA(|A|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq 16 \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}.$$

Proof. It is well-known that C is bounded operator from $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ into itself, that is,

$$(3.7) \quad \|Cf\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad \forall f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 8 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|MA(|A|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} &= \|\mu(MA(|A|))\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq 16 \cdot \|C\mu(A)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.7)}{\leq} 16 \cdot \|\mu(A)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 16 \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}, \quad \forall A \in L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Similarly, we complement the main results of [15] (see [15, Theorem 3.2]) for the general case when $\mathcal{M}$ is a semi-finite von Neumann with a normal faithful semi-finite trace τ .

Corollary 10. *Let $0 < q < \infty$, $1 < p, p_0, p_1 < \infty$ and $p_0 \neq p_1$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. Then there exists a constant $c_{pq} > 0$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we have*

$$\|MA(|A|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq c_{p,q} \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}.$$

Proof. By Hardy's inequality in [9] (see also [2, Lemma 3.9, p.124]), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|Cf\|_{L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \tilde{c}_{p,q} \cdot \|f\|_{L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad \forall f \in L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

By Theorem 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|MA(|A|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} &= \|\mu(MA(|A|))\|_{L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq 16 \cdot \|C\mu(A)\|_{L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\stackrel{(3.8)}{\leq} 16 \cdot \tilde{c}_{p,q} \cdot \|\mu(A)\|_{L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = c_{p,q} \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{p,q} = 8 \cdot \tilde{c}_{p,q}$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

In particular, when $p = q$, we obtain the result of Theorem 2 in [1].

Corollary 11. *For $1 < p < \infty$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there is a constant $c_p > 0$ such that*

$$\|MA(|A|)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq c_p \cdot \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the previous corollary. $\square$

Let us denote

$$(L_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+))^0 := \{x \in L_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t\mu(t, x) = 0\}.$$

Note that this space coincides with the closure of all bounded functions in $L_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

The following result refines the result of Theorem 1 in [1].

Theorem 12. *The non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*

$$MA(|\cdot|) : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^0$$

is bounded.

Proof. It was shown in [16, Remark 3.5.] that $C : L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow (L_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+))^0$ is bounded. Hence, by Theorem 8 we obtain the desired result. Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then by the definition $\mu(A) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since $C\mu(A) \in (L_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+))^0$, it follows from Theorem 8 that $\mu(MA(|A|)) \in (L_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+))^0$, that means $MA(|A|) \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^0$. Since A is arbitrary, this completes the proof. $\square$

4. THE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTION ON NON-COMMUTATIVE SYMMETRIC SPACES

Let $E(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a symmetric space of functions. In [16, Theorem 2.3], [17, Theorem 3.5] the authors provide the minimal symmetric space $F(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with Fatou norm such that the Cesàro operator (defined as in (3.1)) $C : E(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is bounded. Following their terminology, denote by $\mathcal{R}[C, E]$ the minimal symmetric space $F(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$C : E(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

is bounded. Let φ be a quasiconcave function satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(t) \geq ct \log(1 + 1/t), \quad c > 0.$$

Then the Cesàro operator (see [16, Theorem 2.3])

$$C : \Lambda_\varphi(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow F(\Lambda_\varphi) := \{f \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+) : \exists g \in \Lambda_\varphi(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ such that } \mu(f) \prec\prec C\mu(g)\}$$

is bounded.

Define

$$(4.2) \quad F(\mathbb{R}_+) := \{f \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathbb{R}_+) : \exists g \in E(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ such that } \mu(f) \prec\prec C\mu(g)\}.$$

It is known that the Cesàro operator

$$C : E(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

is bounded. Moreover, such defined $F(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is minimal among all symmetric spaces. Now we define non-commutative symmetric spaces. It was proved (see [13, Theorem 3.1.1], see also [10]) that if E is a symmetric Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}_+$, then

the corresponding non-commutative symmetric space of τ -measurable operators, defined as

$$E(\mathcal{M}) := \{A \in S(\mathcal{M}) : \mu(A) \in E(\mathbb{R}_+)\},$$

is also a symmetric space equipped with the norm

$$\|A\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} := \|\mu(A)\|_{E(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

Similarly, we define

$$F(\mathcal{M}) := \{A \in S(\mathcal{M}) : \mu(A) \in F(\mathbb{R}_+)\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|A\|_{F(\mathcal{M})} := \|\mu(A)\|_{F(\mathbb{R}_+)},$$

where F is defined as in (4.2).

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 13. *The non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*

$$MA(\cdot) : E(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{M})$$

is bounded.

Proof. Take $A \in E(\mathcal{M})$. Then $\mu(A) \in E(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since $C : E(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is bounded, it follows from the Theorem 1.3 that

$$\|MA(|A|)\|_{F(\mathcal{M})} = \|\mu(MA(|A|))\|_{F(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq 16\|C\mu(A)\|_{F(\mathbb{R}_+)} \lesssim \|\mu(A)\|_{E(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|A\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}$$

Since A is arbitrary the assertion follows. $\square$

As an immediate corollary we obtain:

Proposition 14. *Let φ be a quasi-concave function satisfying (4.1) and let ψ be a quasi-concave function such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_t^\infty \frac{\psi(s)}{s^2} ds \lesssim \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}.$$

Then the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$MA(\cdot) : \Lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi(\mathcal{M})$$

is bounded.

Proof. It is known that if φ satisfies (4.1), the Cesàro operator (see [17, Proposition 4.4])

$$C : \Lambda_\varphi(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

is bounded if and only if (4.3) holds. Moreover, $\Lambda_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is minimal among such symmetric Banach function spaces. Therefore, similarly to the proof of the Theorem 13, it follows from the Theorem 8 that the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$MA(\cdot) : \Lambda_\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi(\mathcal{M})$$

is bounded. $\square$

Now we consider the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on non-commutative Marcinkiewicz spaces, which are the dual spaces to the Lorentz spaces.

Similarly to the Lorentz space, we obtain the following boundedness criterion for the Marcinkiewicz space.

Proposition 15. *Let ϕ be a quasi-concave function such that $1/\phi$ is locally integrable at zero. Then the non-commutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*

$$MA(\cdot) : M_\phi(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow M_\psi(\mathcal{M})$$

is bounded, where

$$(4.4) \quad \psi(t) = t \cdot \left(\int_0^t \frac{ds}{\phi(s)} \right)^{-1}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. In [17, Theorem 4.7] it was proved that the Cesàro operator $C : M_\phi(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow M_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is bounded if and only if $1/\phi$ is locally integrable at zero. Moreover, in this case the optimal range is $M_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+)$. $\square$

Example 1. Let $\varphi(t) = t \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}})$. Then it is easy to show that φ satisfies (4.1). Take $\phi(t) = t \log(1 + \frac{1}{t})$. Then

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{\phi(s)}{s^2} ds \lesssim \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}, \quad t > 0.$$

Indeed, for $0 < t \leq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty \frac{\phi(s)}{s^2} ds &= \int_t^\infty \frac{s \log(1 + \frac{1}{s})}{s^2} ds = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{s} \log(1 + \frac{1}{s}) ds \\ &\approx \int_t^1 \frac{1}{s} \log(1 + \frac{1}{s}) ds + 1 \leq \log(1 + \frac{1}{t}) \log(\frac{1}{t}) + 1 \\ &\leq c_{abs} \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{t}) = c_{abs} \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{t})^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_{abs} \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}) = c_{abs} \cdot \frac{t \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}})}{t} = c_{abs} \cdot \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty \frac{\phi(s)}{s^2} ds &= \int_t^\infty \frac{s \log(1 + \frac{1}{s})}{s^2} ds = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{s} \log(1 + \frac{1}{s}) ds \\ &\approx \int_t^\infty \frac{ds}{s^2} = \frac{1}{t} \approx \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}) = c_{abs} \cdot \frac{t \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}})}{t} = c_{abs} \cdot \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 14 we obtain that

$$MA(\cdot) : \Lambda_{t \log^2(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}})}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Lambda_{t \log(1 + \frac{1}{t})}(\mathcal{M})$$

is bounded.

Example 2. Let us consider the function $\phi(t) = \max\{1, t\}, t > 0$. Then by (4.4), we obtain $\psi(t) \approx \frac{t}{\log(1+t)}$. Since $M_\phi(\mathcal{M}) = (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$ for the function $\phi(t) = \max\{1, t\}$, it follows from Proposition 15 that

$$MA(\cdot) : (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow M_{\frac{t}{\log(1+t)}}(\mathcal{M})$$

is bounded.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors would like to thank Professor F. Sukochev for his very useful comments and helps to prove Lemma 7. The work was partially supported by the grants (No. AP08052004 and No. AP08051978) of the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.N. Bekjan, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of τ -measurable operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322** (2006), 87–96.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **129**. Academic Press, 1988.
- [3] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Noncommutative Köthe duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **339** (1993), 717–750.
- [4] P.G. Dodds, B. de Pagter, and F.A. Sukochev, *Theory of Noncommutative integration*, Unpublished manuscript.
- [5] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, *Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math., **123(2)** (1986), 269–300.
- [6] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, (2008).
- [7] M. Junge, *Doob's inequality for non-commutative martingales*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **549**, (2002), 149–190.
- [8] M. Junge, Q. Xu, *Non-commutative maximal ergodic theorems*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **20(2)**, (2007), 385–439.
- [9] R.A. Hunt, *On $L(p, q)$ spaces*. Enseign. Math. **12** (1966), 249–276.
- [10] N. Kalton, F. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008), 81–121.
- [11] S. Krein, Y. Petunin, and E. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1982).
- [12] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*. Springer-Verlag, II, (1979).
- [13] S. Lord, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Singular traces. Theory and applications*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **46**. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.
- [14] T. Mei, *Operator valued Hardy spaces*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **188**, 881, (2007).
- [15] J. Shao, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on noncommutative Lorentz spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **2013**, 2013:384.
- [16] J. Soria, P. Tradacete, *Characterization of the restricted type spaces $R(X)$* , Math. Ineq. Applic. **18** (2015), 295–319.
- [17] J. Soria, P. Tradacete, *Optimal rearrangement invariant range for Hardy-type operators*, Proc. of the Royal Soc. of Edinburgh, **146A** (2016), 865–893.
- [18] E. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971).
- [19] F. Sukochev, *Completeness of quasi-normed symmetric operator spaces*. Indag. Math. (N.S.) **25** (2014), no. 2, 376–388.
- [20] F. Sukochev, K. Tulenov, and D. Zanin, *The optimal range of the Calderón operator and its applications*, J. Func. Anal. **277:10** (2019), 3513–3559.
- [21] F. Sukochev, K. Tulenov, and D. Zanin, *Nehari-Type Theorem for Non-commutative Hardy Spaces*, J. Geom. Anal. **77** (2017), 1789–1802.

C; AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 050040 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN; INTERNATIONAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY, 050040 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN;
E-mail address: yerlan.nessipbayev@gmail.com

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 050040 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN; INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, 050010 ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN.
E-mail address: tulenov@math.kz