

WILD AUTOMORPHISMS OF PROJECTIVE VARIETIES, THE MAPS WHICH HAVE NO INVARIANT PROPER SUBSETS

KEIJI OGUIO AND DE-QI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let X be a projective variety and σ a wild automorphism on X , i.e., whenever $\sigma(Z) = Z$ for a Zariski-closed subset Z of X , we have $Z = X$. Then X is conjectured to be an abelian variety (and proved to be so when $\dim X \leq 2$) by Z. Reichstein, D. Rogalski and J. J. Zhang. This conjecture has been generally open for more than a decade. In this note, we confirm this conjecture when $\dim X \leq 3$ and X is not a Calabi-Yau threefold.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary results in arbitrary dimensions	3
3. Preliminary results in lower dimensions	9
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $q = 2$	12
5. Proof of Theorem 1.2 when $q = 1$	13
6. Proof of Theorem 1.5	17
7. Wild automorphisms of zero entropy	21
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

We work over the complex number field \mathbb{C} , unless stated otherwise (cf. Proposition 2.1). Let X be a projective variety. An automorphism σ in $\text{Aut}(X)$ is called *wild* in the sense of [20] if: whenever $\sigma(Z) = Z$ for a non-empty Zariski-closed subset Z of X , we have $Z = X$. This always holds when $\dim X = 0$.

This note proves the following Theorem 1.2 which supports the following conjecture ([20, Conjecture 0.3]):

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J50, 32M05, 11G10.

Key words and phrases. Wild automorphism, Abelian variety, Fixed point.

The first named author is partially supported by JSPS and NCTS and the second named author is partially supported by an ARF of NUS..

Conjecture 1.1. *Assume that a projective variety X admits a wild automorphism. Then X is isomorphic to an abelian variety.*

Once X is known to be an abelian variety (as asserted in Conjecture 1.1), the wild automorphisms on X can be completely classified as in [20, Theorem 0.2]; *in particular, they have zero entropy.*

When $\dim X \leq 2$, Theorem 1.2 is proved in [20, Theorem 6.5] (or Theorem 3.1 for a slightly simplified proof there). See [10], and also our Section 6 for results when X has Kodaira dimension zero. Theorem 1.2 follows from Propositions 3.2, 4.1, 5.2 and 6.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension ≤ 3 over \mathbb{C} . Assume that X admits a wild automorphism σ . Then either X is an abelian variety, or X is a Calabi Yau manifold of dimension three and σ has zero entropy.*

A projective variety X is a Calabi Yau manifold if its canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}(K_X)$ is torsion and its topological fundamental group $\pi_1(X^{\text{an}})$ is finite.

Remark 1.3. We believe that a Calabi-Yau threefold X should not have any wild automorphism σ . This is confirmed if [18, Question 2.6] is affirmative for X and this is also equivalent to the projective simplicity of the twisted homogeneous coordinate (non-commutative) ring of any σ -ample line bundle of σ with zero entropy ([20, Proposition 2.2], [9, Theorem 1.2 (2)]); see Section 6 for details.

As is already indicated in Remark 1.3, the motivation of studying wild automorphism arises from [20, Proposition 0.1] where it is proved that the *twisted homogeneous coordinate ring*

$$B(X, L, \sigma) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1} (\sigma^i)^* L)$$

associated to any σ -ample line bundle L on X is projective simple if and only if σ is a wild automorphism on X (cf. [20, Proposition 2.2]); every ample line bundle on an abelian variety is σ -ample if σ is wild ([20, Corollary 8.6]). More generally, by [9, Theorem 1.2], X admits a σ -ample line bundle if and only if σ has zero entropy, and in this case, any ample line bundle is σ -ample. For this reason, the following weaker conjecture would also be interesting toward Conjecture 1.1 ([20, Conjecture 0.3]).

Conjecture 1.4. *Every wild automorphism σ of a projective variety X has zero entropy.*

We shall show:

Theorem 1.5. *Conjecture 1.4 is true if either one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) $\dim X \leq 3$.
- (2) $\dim X = 4$, and the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \geq 0$.

(3) $\dim X = 4$, $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, and the irregularity $q(X) \neq 1, 2$.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Alfred Jungkai Chen, M. Satriano, Ch. Schnell and J. Xie for the valuable discussions.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS IN ARBITRARY DIMENSIONS

In this section, we collect some results mostly noticed in [20]. We present them in a slightly different and expanded way. The main new ingredients are Proposition 2.3 (Fujiki and Lieberman type result) and Lemma 2.8 on the maximal rationally connected fibration (a special choice of the birational model: Chow reduction) after [17]. For instance, Proposition 2.3 can be used to prove Theorem 1.2 for $\dim X \leq 2$ without any detailed analysis of the geometry of ruled surfaces. See the proof of Theorem 3.1.

First of all, the following easy remark justifies our assumption on the base field.

Proposition 2.1. *Let the base field k be an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Then no automorphism of any projective variety of positive dimension (over k) is wild.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary that X is a positive dimensional projective variety over k and σ is an automorphism of X over k . Since k is an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q , both X and σ are defined over some field k_0 , which is a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_q . In particular, k_0 is a finite field as well. Replacing k_0 by a finite extension if necessary, we may assume further that $X(k_0) \neq \emptyset$. Here $X(k_0)$ is the set of k_0 -rational points of X . Since k_0 is a finite field, $X(k_0)$ is a finite set. Moreover, since σ is defined over k_0 , we have $\sigma(X(k_0)) = X(k_0)$. Since $X(k_0) \neq X$ by $\dim X > 0$, it follows that σ is not wild if $\dim X > 0$. \square

Proposition 2.2. (cf. [20, Proposition 3.2, Remark 8.3]) *Let X be a projective variety. Suppose that an algebraic group $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)$ acts regularly on X such that $\sigma \in G$ is a wild automorphism. Then X is an abelian variety and σ is a torus translation of infinite order, with the origin of X chosen in any way.*

The result below is proved in [6] and [15] and generalized in [5, Theorem 1.2]. See also [14, Theorem 1.4] for a purely algebraic proof.

Proposition 2.3. (cf. [6], [15], [5], [14]) *Let X be a normal projective variety and we fix a big Cartier divisor class $[\omega] \in N^1(X)$ of X . Then the group*

$$\text{Aut}_{[\omega]}(X) := \{g \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid g^*[\omega] = [\omega]\}$$

is a finite extension of the identity connected component $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ of $\text{Aut}(X)$, that is, $[\text{Aut}_{[\omega]}(X) : \text{Aut}_0(X)] < \infty$.

Since $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ is an algebraic group when X is projective, the above two lemmas with Lemma 2.5 (2) below imply the following.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a projective variety with a wild automorphism σ . Suppose a positive power of σ fixes a big divisor class of X (this is the case when the action of σ on the Néron Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$ is of finite order). Then X is an abelian variety.*

We collect some basic properties of wild automorphisms in the two results below.

Lemma 2.5. (cf. [20, Lemma 3.1, Proposition 4.1, Proposition 5.1]) *Let X be a projective variety and let σ be an automorphism on X .*

- (1) *If σ is wild then X is smooth.*
- (2) *σ is wild if and only if so is σ^m for some $m \geq 1$ (and hence for all $m \geq 1$).*
- (3) *Suppose that σ is a wild automorphism of X and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (resp. $g : W \rightarrow X$ with $g(\text{Sing}(W)) \neq X$) is a σ -equivariant surjective morphism of projective varieties. Then f (resp. g) is a smooth morphism.*
- (4) *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a σ -equivariant surjective morphism to a projective variety Y . If the action of σ on X is wild then so does σ on Y (and hence Y is smooth).*
- (5) *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a σ -equivariant generically finite surjective morphism of projective varieties. Then the action of σ on X is wild if and only if so does σ on Y . Further, if this is case, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale morphism, and in particular, it is an isomorphism when f is birational.*
- (6) *If X is an abelian variety and σ is wild then σ has zero entropy.*

Proof. (1) Since $\text{Sing } X$ is stabilized by every automorphism and σ acts as a wild automorphism on X , our X is smooth.

For (2), if σ^m stabilizes a Zariski-closed subset Z of X , then σ stabilizes the closed subset $\cup_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(Z)$ of X . Hence (2) is true.

(3) Let $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ be the subset consisting of points $y \in Y$ such that the fibre $X_y = X \times_k k(y)$ is not smooth. Let $Y_2 \subseteq Y$ be the subset consisting of points $y \in Y$ such that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is not flat at y . Since X is smooth, both Y_i (resp. their inverses in X) are Zariski-closed proper subsets of Y (resp. X) and they are σ -stable. Hence $Y_i = \emptyset$. So f is smooth. The case of g is similar, by considering the subset of X over which g is non-flat or singular, each of which is a Zariski-closed subset of W being different from W by the generic flatness or by our additional assumption that $g(\text{Sing}(W)) \neq X$.

(4) and (5) are similar (and use (3)).

(6) follows from [20, Theorem 0.2]. □

A smooth projective variety V is a *Calabi Yau manifold in the strict sense* if V is simply connected, $K_V \sim 0$ and $H^j(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$ ($0 < j < \dim V$).

Proposition 2.6. (cf. [20, Lemma 3.1, Corollary 4.2, Proposition 4.4, Remark 4.5]) *Let X be a projective variety of dimension ≥ 1 , with a wild automorphism σ . Then we have:*

- (1) *Both the Euler Poincaré characteristic and the topological Euler number vanish: $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, $e(X) = 0$. In particular, X is not rationally connected.*
- (2) *Let $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $\sigma^*L = L$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Then $|L| = \emptyset$ or $L = \mathcal{O}_X$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. In particular, the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \leq 0$.*
- (3) *Suppose that $\kappa(X) = 0$. Then $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$; the Beauville-Bogomolov (minimal split) finite étale cover \tilde{X} of X is a product of an abelian variety A of dimension ≥ 0 and a few copies of Calabi Yau manifolds C_i of odd dimension ≥ 3 and in the strict sense; and a positive power of σ lifts to a diagonal action on $\tilde{X} = A \times \prod_i C_i$ whose action on each factor is wild.*

Proof. (1) See [20, Proposition 4.4, Remark 4.5].

If (2) is false, $|L| \simeq \mathbb{P}^N$ with $N \geq 1$. It follows that the action of σ on $|L|$ has a fixed point, say $D \in |L|$. Then $\sigma(D) = D$, contradicting the fact that σ is wild.

(3) We have $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D$ for some effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor (which is unique). Thus D is σ -stable. Hence $D = 0$, and $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the Beauville-Bogomolov covering such that \tilde{X} is the product of an abelian variety A , hyperkähler manifolds H_i , and Calabi Yau manifolds C_j in the strict sense. Replacing the cover \tilde{X} by the minimal splitting cover in [2, §3], we can lift σ to an action on \tilde{X} so that $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is σ -equivariant. Hence the action of σ on \tilde{X} is also wild; further, σ (replaced by a positive power) splits as diagonal actions on the factors A , H_i and C_j (cf. [2, §3]), which are still wild by Lemma 2.5, and hence these factors have the vanishing Euler Poincaré characteristic by (1). Thus the H_i does not appear, and the C_j are Calabi Yau manifolds of odd dimension (≥ 3) in the strict sense. This proves the proposition. \square

The result below uses the standard results on subvarieties of a complex tori in [23].

Lemma 2.7. (cf. [20, Corollary 4.3, Proposition 5.1]) *Let X be a (smooth) projective variety with a wild automorphism σ , let A be an abelian variety and let $f : X \rightarrow A$ be a σ -equivariant morphism. Then the image $Y := f(X)$ is a subtorus of A and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth surjective morphism. In particular, the Albanese map $\text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow A = \text{Alb}(X)$ is a surjective smooth morphism with connected fibres.*

Proof. It is known that every subvariety of an abelian variety, like $Y = f(X)$ of A , has Kodaira dimension $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$ and equality holds if and only if Y is a subtorus (after

choosing a new origin for A); further, if the inequality is strict then there is a subtorus $B \subset A$ acting on Y such that $Y \rightarrow Y/B$ is birational to the Iitaka fibration, see [23, Theorem 10.9, Corollary 10.12]. Now our σ acts on Y and descends to a birational automorphism $\sigma|_{Y/B}$ on the base of this Iitaka fibration. By the finiteness of the pluricanonical representation [23, Theorem 14.10], $\sigma|_{Y/B}$ is of finite order. Hence a sum of finitely many fibres of $X \rightarrow Y/B$ is σ -stable. Since σ is wild, this sum equals X itself. Hence Y/B is a point, so Y equals B , a subtorus of A . The surjective morphism $X \rightarrow Y = f(X)$ is smooth by Lemma 2.5.

For the final assertion, note that $\text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ is $\text{Aut}(X)$ - and hence σ -equivariant, and $\text{Alb}(X)$ is generated by the image $\text{alb}_X(X)$ (an abelian variety), so $\text{alb}_X(X) = \text{Alb}(X)$. Let $g : X \rightarrow W$ be the Stein factorization of alb_X . Since alb_X is σ -equivariant, so is g . By Lemma 2.5, the induced morphisms $\sigma|_W$ and $\sigma|_{\text{Alb}(X)}$ are both wild, W is smooth, and the induced morphism $X \rightarrow W$ is smooth while $W \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ is étale. Hence W is also an abelian variety. In fact, the surjective étale morphism $W \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ is an isomorphism by the universality of the Albanese morphism. This proves the lemma. \square

A *maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibration* on a normal projective variety has general fibres F rationally connected in the sense of Campana and Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori (i.e., every two points on F are connected by an irreducible rational curve) and it is ‘maximal’ among such (rational) fibrations. See eg. [11, Definition 5.3, Theorem 5.4].

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be a (smooth) projective variety of positive dimension, with a wild automorphism σ . Suppose that X is uniruled. Then we can choose the maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibration $X \rightarrow Y$ to be a well defined σ -equivariant surjective smooth morphism with $0 < \dim Y < \dim X$. Further, the action of σ on Y is also wild.*

Proof. By Nakayama [17, Proposition 4.14 or Theorem 4.18], we can choose MRC $X \dashrightarrow Y$ to be a (unique) special Chow reduction with the graph $\Gamma = \Gamma_{X/Y}$ equi-dimensional over Y . Especially, σ on X descends to an automorphism σ_Y on Y . The natural birational map $\Gamma \rightarrow X$ is σ -equivariant, and hence it is an isomorphism; see Lemma 2.5. Thus we may assume that $X = \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ is a well-defined surjective morphism. Hence it is smooth by the same Lemma 2.5. Since X is not rationally connected by Proposition 2.6, Y is not a point. Since X is uniruled, $\dim Y < \dim X$. The action of σ on Y is wild by Lemma 2.5. This proves the lemma. \square

The following conjecture is known in dimension up to three (cf. [12, §3.13] and the references therein).

Conjecture 2.9. (*Weak abundance conjecture*) *A projective variety is uniruled (i.e., covered by rational curves) if and only if it has negative Kodaira dimension.*

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a (smooth) projective variety of dimension ≥ 1 , with a wild automorphism σ . Assume either $\dim X \leq 3$ or the weak abundance conjecture in dimension $\leq n$. Then we can choose the maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibration to be a σ -equivariant surjective smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (with every fibre a rationally connected variety of dimension ≥ 0); $\dim Y > 0$; the action of σ on Y is wild (and hence Y is smooth); $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$; and the Beauville-Bogomolov finite étale cover of Y is a product of an abelian variety of dimension ≥ 0 and a few copies of Calabi-Yau manifolds of odd dimension ≥ 3 in the strict sense.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, we have the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \leq 0$. If $\kappa(X) = 0$, the proposition follows from Proposition 2.6.

Thus we may assume that $\kappa(X) < 0$. Then X is uniruled, by our assumption. Using Lemma 2.8, we can choose the maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibration $X \rightarrow Y$ to be a well defined σ -equivariant surjective smooth (non-trivial) morphism. By Lemma 2.5 the induced action $\sigma|_Y$ on Y of σ is also wild. Thus every fibre of $X \rightarrow Y$ is rationally connected, since $\sigma|_Y$ fixes the locus on Y (a non-dense proper subset of Y) over which the fibres are not rationally connected. Y is not uniruled by [7, Corollary 1.4]. Hence the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$ by the assumed weak abundance conjecture. Now the proposition follows from Proposition 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a (smooth) projective variety with a wild automorphism σ , let A be an abelian variety and let $f : X \rightarrow A$ be a σ -equivariant surjective morphism with connected fibres F of positive dimension. Suppose that σ fixes the class of a big divisor D on A in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{R}}(A) = \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (this holds if $\dim A = 1$ or a positive power of σ is a translation on A). Then $-K_F$ is not a big divisor.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, f is a smooth morphism. Suppose the contrary that $-K_F$ is a big divisor. Then $-K_X$ is relatively big over A . Thus $E := -K_X + mf^*D$ is a big divisor for some $m \gg 1$, see (1) in the proof of [3, Lemma 2.5]. Now σ fixes the big class $[E]$. Thus, by Corollary 2.4, X is an abelian variety and hence $K_F = (K_X)|_F = 0$, a contradiction. This proves the lemma. Indeed, if $\dim A = 1$, then σ is a translation on A by [20, Theorem 0.2]. If $\sigma_1 = \sigma^t$ is a translation on A then for any ample divisor H on A we have the class of H fixed by σ_1 , hence σ fixes the class of the ample divisor $D := \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (\sigma^i)^* H$. \square

The lemma below was proved in [10].

Lemma 2.12. (cf. [10, Lemma 2.8]) *Let σ be a wild automorphism of an abelian variety A . Let $H \leq \text{Aut}_{\text{variety}}(A)$ be a finite subgroup centralized by σ : $\sigma h = h\sigma$ for all $h \in H$. Then H consists of translations of A .*

A projective variety X is called a \mathbb{Q} -torus if it has an abelian variety A_1 as an étale finite cover (or equivalently it is the quotient of an abelian variety A_2 by a finite group acting freely on A).

Proposition 2.13. *Let X be a projective \mathbb{Q} -torus with a wild automorphism σ . Then X is an abelian variety.*

Proof. Let $A \rightarrow X$ be the minimal splitting cover of X in [2, §3]. Then σ lifts to an automorphism on A , also denoted as σ . Note that the σ on A normalizes $H := \text{Gal}(A/X)$. Hence $\sigma^{r!}$ centralizes every element of H , where $r := |H|$. Since $\sigma^{r!}$ is still wild by Lemma 2.5, H consists of translations by Lemma 2.12. Hence $H = \{id_A\}$ by the minimality of $A \rightarrow X$. Therefore $X = A$ and X is an abelian variety. \square

Lemma 2.14. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, C a smooth projective curve, and $f : X \rightarrow C$ a smooth morphism. Let $\eta \in C$ be the generic point in the sense of scheme and $X_\eta = f^{-1}(\eta)$ the generic fibre. Suppose $\text{Pic}(X_\eta) \simeq \text{NS}(X_\eta)$ (this is the case when $\text{Pic}^0(X_\eta) = 0$, eg. when the geometric generic fibre $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is rationally connected). Then $\text{NS}(X)|_{X_\eta} = \text{NS}(X_\eta)$ and the kernel of the surjection*

$$\text{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)|_{X_\eta} = \text{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_\eta)$$

is spanned by $\mathbb{Q}[F]$, where $[F]$ is the class of closed fibres of f .

Proof. Note that algebraic equivalence is preserved under morphisms (hence under restrictions). Thus $\text{NS}(X)|_{X_\eta} \subseteq \text{NS}(X_\eta)$. Let D be a prime divisor on X_η and let \bar{D} be the Zariski closure of D in X . Then \bar{D} is a divisor on X and $D = \bar{D}|_{X_\eta}$ as divisors. This implies $\text{NS}(X)|_{X_\eta} = \text{NS}(X_\eta)$.

Let $L, M \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $L|_{X_\eta} \cong M|_{X_\eta}$ in $\text{Pic}(X_\eta)$. It suffices to show that $L = M \otimes f^*N$ for some $N \in \text{Pic}(C)$.

By $L|_{X_\eta} \cong M|_{X_\eta}$, it follows that

$$h^0(X_\eta, (L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_\eta}) = 1, \quad h^0(X_\eta, (L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_\eta}^{-1}) = 1.$$

Then by the upper-semicontinuity theorem,

$$h^0(X_y, (L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_y}) \geq 1, \quad h^0(X_y, (L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_y}^{-1}) \geq 1$$

for all closed fibres X_y . Since X_y is smooth projective, it follows that $(L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ and therefore $h^0(X_y, (L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_y}) = 1$ for all closed fibres X_y . Then, since the morphism

f is smooth, hence flat, the sheaf $N := f_*(L \otimes M^{-1})$ is an invertible sheaf with base change property $f_*(L \otimes M^{-1}) \otimes \kappa(y) \simeq H^0(X_y, (L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_y})$ by Grauert's theorem. Since $(L \otimes M^{-1})|_{X_y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ is globally generated, by Nakayama's lemma, the natural morphism

$$f^*N := f^*f_*(L \otimes M^{-1}) \rightarrow L \otimes M^{-1}$$

is then surjective. Since the both sides are invertible sheaves, it follows that $f^*N \simeq L \otimes M^{-1}$, i.e., $L = M \otimes f^*N$ as desired. \square

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS IN LOWER DIMENSIONS

Theorem 3.1 below was proved in [20, §6]. Our Lemma 2.11 (and Proposition 2.3 implicitly) helps skipping the detailed analysis of ruled surfaces; compare with the proof of [20, Lemma 6.2].

Theorem 3.1. (cf. [20, §6]) *Let X be a projective variety with a wild automorphism σ . Suppose that $\dim X \leq 2$. Then X is an abelian variety.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that X is not an abelian variety. Then by Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.13, it follows that $\dim X = 2$ and X admits a smooth fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with fibres F smooth rational curve and Y an elliptic curve. But then $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ has ample $-K_F$, contradicting Lemma 2.11. \square

Theorem 1.2 for threefolds will follow from Proposition 3.2 below and Propositions 4.1, 5.2 and 6.1 in subsequent sections.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension three with a wild automorphism σ . Suppose that X is neither an abelian variety, nor a Calabi-Yau manifold. Then X is uniruled and the maximal rationally connected (MRC) fibration can be chosen to be a σ -equivariant surjective smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and coincides with the Albanese map $\text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X) = \text{Alb}(Y)$, such that σ acts on Y as a wild automorphism and one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) *The irregularity $q(X) = 1$. In this case, Y is an elliptic curve, σ acts on Y as a translation of infinite order and every fibre X_y over $y \in Y$ is a smooth rational surface.*
- (2) *$q(X) = 2$. In this case, Y is an abelian surface, σ acts on Y as a wild automorphism, and every fibre X_y over $y \in Y$ is a smooth rational curve: $X_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Since X is neither an abelian threefold, nor a Calabi-Yau threefold, by virtue of Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.13, X is uniruled and the MRC $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a σ -equivariant surjective smooth morphism with Y an abelian variety of dimension 1 or

2 and every fibre F a smooth rational variety of dimension 2 or 1, respectively. Here we use the fact that a rationally connected variety of dimension at most two is a rational variety.

Since $Y = \text{Alb}(Y)$, there is a morphism $g : \text{Alb}(X) \rightarrow Y$ such that $g \circ \text{alb}_X = f$ by the universal property of the albanese map alb_X . Since f is surjective with connected fibres, so is g . Let X_y ($y \in Y$) be any closed fibre of f . Then $\text{alb}_X(X_y)$ is a point for each X_y , because X_y is rationally connected and any abelian variety contains no rational curves. Thus g is a finite morphism (with connected fibres), so it is an isomorphism by the normality (and indeed smoothness) of $\text{Alb}(X)$ and Y . This proves the proposition. \square

The next result is certainly known at least over \mathbb{C} (cf. [21, Table 3.1]).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\pi : S \rightarrow E$ be a relatively minimal elliptic ruled surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then $\kappa(S, -K_S) \geq 0$.*

Proof. We write $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{E}))$ where \mathcal{E} is a normalized rank 2 locally free sheaf on the elliptic curve E . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$$

for some invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on E . Let C_0 be the section corresponding to this surjection. Then, with respect to $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ defined by \mathcal{E} , one has $\mathcal{O}_S(1) = \mathcal{O}_S(C_0)$ and

$$-K_S = \mathcal{O}_S(2) - \pi^* \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(2C_0) - \pi^* \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(2C_0) - \pi^* \mathcal{L}$$

in $\text{Pic}(S)$ by the canonical bundle formula.

If \mathcal{E} is decomposable, then $\deg \mathcal{L} \leq 0$ and therefore $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{L}$. Corresponding to the projection $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$, we have a section C_1 such that $\mathcal{O}_S(C_1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) - \pi^* \det \mathcal{E}$ in $\text{Pic}(S)$. Hence $-K_S$ is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor $C_0 + C_1$.

If \mathcal{E} is indecomposable of degree 0, then \mathcal{E} is the non-trivial extension of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore $-K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(2)$. Hence $-K_S$ is linearly equivalent to the effective divisor $2C_0$, where C_0 is the section given by the exact sequence above.

It remains to consider the case where \mathcal{E} is indecomposable of degree 1. Our proof below is inspired by [22].

Consider the product surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E$ and its automorphism subgroup G generated by τ_1 and τ_2 defined by

$$\tau_1 : (t, s) \mapsto (-t, s + a), \quad \tau_2 : (t, s) \mapsto (t^{-1}, s + b)$$

where $\langle a, b \rangle$ is the group of two torsion points of E . Then G acts freely on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E$ and we have a smooth projective surface $S = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/G$. The two projections on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E$

induce on S a relatively minimal elliptic fibre space structure $p_1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1/G$ and a relatively minimal elliptic ruled surface structure $p_2 : S \rightarrow E \simeq E/G$.

We are going to show that $\kappa(S, -K_S) \geq 0$ and $p_2 : S \rightarrow E \simeq E/G$ is the unique minimal elliptic ruled surface over E corresponding to an indecomposable locally free sheaf of rank 2 and degree 1.

Observe that p_1 has exactly three multiple fibres of type ${}_2I_0$. Hence, by the canonical bundle formula for an elliptic surface applied for p_1 , it follows that $-2K_S$ is linearly equivalent to F , any smooth fibre of p_1 . In particular, $\kappa(S, -K_S) \geq 0$.

Now it suffices to show that $p_2 : S \rightarrow E$ is a ruled surface corresponding to an indecomposable locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank 2 and degree 1. Recall that such a minimal elliptic ruled surface is unique from E and does not depend on the choice of such sheaf \mathcal{E} , and this is also the unique case so that $(C_0^2) > 0$, where C_0 is the section of p_2 as defined at the beginning of this proof.

Observe that $\deg p_2|_{F_t} = 2$ or 4 for any fibre F_t of p_1 with reduced structure. Then the section C_0 of p_2 is an elliptic curve, but not in the fibre of p_1 (whose multiplicity is at most 2). Thus $(F.C_0) > 0$ and hence $(C_0^2) > 0$ by

$$0 = \deg K_{C_0} = ((K_S + C_0).C_0) = -(F.C_0)/2 + (C_0^2).$$

This proves the result. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\pi : S \rightarrow E$ be a relatively minimal ruled surface over an elliptic curve E . Then $\kappa(S, -K_S) \geq 0$ and one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 2$. In this case for all $t \gg 1$ and sufficiently divisible, the base locus $|-tK_S|$ has 1-dimensional part.
- (2) $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 1$. In this case, $|-tK_S|$ is base point free for some $t \geq 1$ and it defines a relatively minimal elliptic fibration $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (3) $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 0$. In this case, there is $m > 0$ such that $|-mK_S| = \{D\}$ for a non-zero effective divisor D on S .

Proof. Note that $\kappa(S, -K_S) \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.3 or [21, Table 3.1].

Let $-K_S = P + N$ be the Zariski-decomposition, where P is nef, $P.N = 0$, $N = \sum a_i N_i$ is effective and has negative intersection matrix $(N_i.N_j)$. Note that $\kappa(S, -K_S) = \kappa(S, P)$, and the integral part of tN is contained in the fixed part of $|-tK_S|$ for all $t \geq 1$.

If $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 2$, then $-K_S$ and hence P are big. So P is nef and big, and $P^2 > 0$. Thus $0 = (-K_S)^2 = P^2 + N^2 > N^2$ implies that $N \neq 0$. This is Case(1).

Now we may assume that $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 0$ or 1 . Hence P is not big, so $P^2 = 0$. Thus $0 = (-K_S)^2 = P^2 + N^2 = N^2$ implies $N = 0$ (the zero divisor). Namely, $-K_S = P$ is nef (but not big).

Suppose that $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 0$. Then the statement in Case (3) is clear, because $-K_S$, hence K_S , is not numerically trivial.

Suppose that $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 1$. Since $-K_S$ is nef and $(-K_S)^2 = 0$, it follows that $|-tK_S|$ is base point free for $t \gg 1$ and sufficiently divisible. The adjunction formula for fibre, implies then that $|-tK_S|$ defines an elliptic fibration $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ (with fibres of the ruling $S \rightarrow E$ all horizontal to f). This proves the lemma. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 WHEN $q = 2$

Proposition 4.1. *The case $q(X) = 2$ in Proposition 3.2 does not occur.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary that the case $q(X) = 2$ in Proposition 3.2 occurs and we shall derive a contradiction.

For the σ -equivariant fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ there, let X_y be a fibre over $y \in Y$. Then $X_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. As in [20, Theorem 7.2], write the wild automorphism $\sigma = T_b \circ \alpha$ on Y where T_b is a translation on the abelian surface Y and $\alpha : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a group automorphism such that the endomorphism $\beta = \alpha - \text{id}_Y$ is nilpotent.

If $\beta = 0$ then $\sigma = T_b$ and it is a translation; by Lemma 2.11, the anti-canonical divisor $-K_{X_y}$ is not big, which contradicts that $X_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ has ample anti-canonical divisor.

Thus we may assume that $\beta \neq 0$. Let B be a connected component of $\text{Ker } \beta$. Then B is a nontrivial proper subtorus of the 2-torus Y , and hence an elliptic curve. Thus σ permutes the coset of $E := Y/B$, an elliptic curve. Hence the quotient map $Y \rightarrow E$ is σ -equivariant. Since the action of σ on Y is wild, so does σ on E , see Lemma 2.5. Hence σ is a translation of infinite order, see [20, Remark 8.3].

Consider the σ -equivariant fibration $g : X \rightarrow E$, which is the composition $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow E = Y/B$. It is a smooth fibration (cf. Lemma 2.5) with each fibre X_e over $e \in E$ a relatively minimal ruled surface over the elliptic curve Y_e (the fibre of $Y \rightarrow E$ over $e \in E$), noting that every fibre of $X \rightarrow Y$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

For $-K_{X_e} = (-K_X)|_{X_e}$, note that $h^0(X_e, \mathcal{O}(-mK_X)|_{X_e})$ and hence $\kappa(X_e, -K_{X_e})$ are upper semi-continuous as functions in $e \in E$. The subsets of points of E over which these functions obtain larger values, are Zariski closed subsets and σ -stable. Since σ is wild, we may assume that these functions are all constants as functions in $e \in E$. Since $g : X \rightarrow E$ (a smooth curve) is flat and by Grauert's theorem, $g_*\mathcal{O}(-mK_X)$ is locally free and we have the natural isomorphism for all $e \in E$ and $m \geq 0$

$$(*) \quad g_*\mathcal{O}(-mK_X) \otimes k(e) \cong H^0(X_e, \mathcal{O}(-mK_X)|_{X_e}).$$

For $m \gg 1$ and sufficiently divisible, consider the rational map

$$h : X \dashrightarrow W = \mathbb{P}(g_*\mathcal{O}(-mK_X))$$

associated to the sheaf homomorphism

$$g^*g_*\mathcal{O}(-mK_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-mK_X).$$

Since $\sigma^*(-K_X) = -K_X$, there is a natural action of σ on W compatible with that on X .

If $S = X_e$ has $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 2$ or 0 , then Lemma 3.4 and the isomorphism (*) above imply that $\text{Bs}|-mK_S|$ is 1-dimensional for some $m \gg 1$ and divisible, whose union

$$\bigcup_{e \in E} \text{Bs}|-mK_{X_e}| = \text{Supp Coker}(g^*g_*\mathcal{O}(-mK_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-mK_X))$$

is a σ -stable Zariski-closed proper subset of X , a contradiction.

If $S = X_e$ has $\kappa(S, -K_S) = 1$, then Lemma 3.4 and the isomorphism (*) above imply that $|-mK_S|$ defines an elliptic fibration $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ for some $m \gg 1$ and divisible, and $h : X \rightarrow W$ is a well-defined morphism. Thus W is a ruled surface, covered by rational curves $h(X_e)$, and we have a σ -equivariant fibration $h : X \rightarrow W$. But the action of σ on W is also wild by Lemma 2.5, and hence W must be an abelian surface by [20, §6] or Theorem 3.1. This is a contradiction. This proves the proposition. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 WHEN $q = 1$

We begin with:

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a smooth projective rational surface over a field k (not necessarily algebraically closed) and $\Lambda := \text{NS}(S/k)$, the Néron-Severi group of S over the field k . Let $g \in \text{Aut}(X/k)$ be an automorphism of S over k . Assume that g is of zero entropy in the sense that the spectral radius of $g^*|_{\Lambda}$ is one, and $g^*|_{\Lambda}$ is of infinite order. Then we have:*

- (1) *There is a nonzero pseudo-effective \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $v \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} := \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ such that $g(v) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} v$. Moreover, the ray $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}v$ of such v is unique.*
- (2) *v is nef and $v^2 = 0$.*
- (3) *Replacing v by a multiple, we have*

$$(*) \quad K_S + v \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} N(S) := \sum_i a_i N_i.$$

Here if $N(S) \neq 0$, then $N(S)$ is an effective divisor with N_i integral curves, the intersection matrix $(N_i \cdot N_j)$ is negative definite and $g(N(S)) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} N(S)$. The v in (1) with the extra condition () above is unique up to \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence.*

Proof. Since Λ is hyperbolic, (1) and (2) are proved in the exactly same way as in [19, Theorem 2.1], noting that $q(S) = 0$ and hence numerical equivalence coincides with \mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence on $\text{NS}(S/k) = \text{Pic}(S/k)$.

For (3), by replacing v by a positive multiple, we may assume that $v \in \Lambda = \text{Pic}(S)$ is an integral Cartier divisor.

First note that $K_S.v = 0$. Otherwise, $(K_S + tv)^2 > 0$ for some integer t and $g^*(K_S + tv) = K_S + tv$. Since $(K_S + tv)^\perp (\subset \Lambda)$ is of negative definite, it follows that $g^*|_\Lambda$ is of finite order, a contradiction.

By the Serre duality, we also have

$$h^2(K_S + v) = h^0(-v) = 0$$

as v is nef and $v \neq 0$. Hence, by the Riemann-Roch formula, we obtain

$$h^0(K_S + v) \geq \frac{(K_S + v).v}{2} + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1.$$

If $K_S + v = 0$ in $\text{Pic}(S)$, then (3) clearly holds. If $K_S + v \neq 0$ in $\text{Pic}(S)$, then one can take the Zariski decomposition

$$K_S + v = P + N$$

in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see eg. [1, Theorem] whose proof is valid over any field k). Now the same proof of [25, Lemma 4.3, Page 175] implies the result. Indeed, $g^*(P) = P$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by $g^*(K_S + v) = K_S + v$ and by the uniqueness of the Zariski decomposition. Then, by (1), $P = av$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some rational number a . The rational number $1 - a \in \mathbb{Q}$ is positive, since a smooth rational surface S has K_S non-pseudo effective. Replacing v by $(1 - a)v$ and putting $N(S) := N$, we obtain (3). Here the equality $g^*N = N$ as \mathbb{Q} -divisors follows from $g^*N = N$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$, as the matrix $(N_i.N_j)$ is negative definite (by the definition of the Zariski decomposition). \square

Proposition 5.2. *The case $q(X) = 1$ in Proposition 3.2 does not occur.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary that the case $q(X) = 1$ in Proposition 3.2 occurs, we shall derive a contradiction.

For the σ -equivariant fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ there, let X_y be a fibre over $y \in Y$. Then $F = X_y$ is a smooth rational surface and Y is an elliptic curve. For the wild automorphism action of σ on the elliptic curve Y , we have that σ is a translation of infinite order.

Let $\eta \in Y$ be the scheme generic point of Y and $X_\eta = f^{-1}(\eta)$ the scheme generic fibre of f . Recalling that $\text{NS}(X)|_{X_\eta} = \text{NS}(X_\eta)$, we consider the natural action σ^* on

$$\Lambda := \text{NS}(X)|_{X_\eta} = \text{NS}(X_\eta)$$

defined as:

$$\sigma^*(M|_{X_\eta}) := (\sigma^*M)|_{X_\eta}.$$

We can also define a pairing on Λ by

$$(M_1|_{X_\eta}.M_2|_{X_\eta})_{X_\eta}.$$

This pairing is compatible with that on $\text{NS}(X_\eta)$. It is non-degenerate and has signature $(1, r - 1)$ with $r = \text{rank } \Lambda \leq \text{rank } \text{NS}(X_\eta)$, since $H|_{X_\eta} \neq 0$ in Λ for an ample divisor H on X . Namely, Λ is a hyperbolic lattice.

By the flatness of f , we have

$$(M_{1|X_\eta} \cdot M_{2|X_\eta})_{X_\eta} = (M_{1|F} \cdot M_{2F})_F = (M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot F)_X.$$

Here F is any closed fibre of f .

Since $\sigma^* F \equiv F$ (numerical equivalence), we have

$$(\sigma^*(M_{1|X_\eta}) \cdot \sigma^*(M_{2|X_\eta})) = (\sigma^* M_1 \cdot \sigma^* M_2 \cdot F)_X = (M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot F)_X = (M_{1|X_\eta} \cdot M_{2|X_\eta}).$$

Hence the action of σ on Λ is an isometry with respect to its pairing and the spectral radius $\rho(\sigma^*|_\Lambda)$ is just the first relative dynamical degree $d_1(\sigma|_f)$ defined in [4]. In particular, we have

$$d_1(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma^*|_\Lambda)$$

by the product formula, because Y is a curve with $d_1(\sigma|_Y) = 1$.

Run the relative minimal model program (MMP) over the elliptic curve Y . Let $X \rightarrow X_1$ be the contraction of a K_X -negative extremal ray over Y . Then $\rho(X) = \rho(X_1) + 1$.

Suppose that the contraction $X \rightarrow X_1$ over Y is a Fano contraction, i.e., a Mori fibre space. Note that every variety has only finitely many Fano contractions of extremal rays (cf. [24, Theorem 2.2]). Replacing σ by a positive power, we may assume that σ stabilizes the extremal ray of the contraction $X \rightarrow X_1$ and hence the action of σ descends to that on X_1 (cf. e.g. [26, Lemma 2.12]) which is again wild, see Lemma 2.5.

If $\dim X_1 \leq 1$, then $X_1 = Y$, the fibration $X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fano contraction and $\rho(X) = 2$. Now $\text{NS}_\mathbb{Q}(X)$ is spanned by $-K_X$ and a fibre X_y over $y \in Y$ both classes of which are σ -stable. So $\sigma^*|_{\text{NS}(X)}$ is of finite order. Thus X is an abelian variety by Corollary 2.4, contradicting the existence of a Fano contraction $X \rightarrow Y$.

If $\dim X_1 = 2$, then X_1 is an abelian surface by Corollary 3.1. However, then $1 = q(X) \geq q(X_1) = 2$, a contradiction.

Thus we may assume that $X \rightarrow X_1$ is birational. Since a smooth threefold has no flip, $X \rightarrow X_1$ is a divisorial contraction with the exceptional locus D_0 , a prime divisor, see [16]. Since we are running the relative MMP of X over the elliptic curve Y , the intersection of D_0 with each fibre of $X \rightarrow Y$ is a union of several (rational) curves to be contracted by the map $X \rightarrow X_1$.

If the exceptional divisor D_0 is σ -periodic, the union of $\sigma^i(D_0)$ ($i \geq 0$) is a σ -stable Zariski-closed proper subset of X , a contradiction. Therefore, D_0 is not σ -periodic.

Now the proposition follows from the three claims below.

Claim 5.3. $d_1(\sigma|_X) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary that $d_1(\sigma|_X) > 1$. Then, since the locus D_0 of the divisorial extremal contraction $X \rightarrow X_1$ is not σ -periodic, [13, Lemma 6.3, Theorem 1.7] proves the lifting of σ to some X' with a σ -equivariant birational morphism $\rho_\xi : X' \rightarrow X$, and the descending of σ to some surface S with a σ -equivariant surjective morphism $\tau : X' \rightarrow S$. Both the action of σ on X' and S are wild; see Lemma 2.5. Now S is an abelian surface by Corollary 3.1. However, then $1 = q(X) = q(X') \geq q(S) = 2$, a contradiction. Thus the claim is true. \square

From now on, we may assume that $\rho(\sigma^*|_\Lambda) = d_1(\sigma|_X) = 1$.

Claim 5.4. *The action of σ on the hyperbolic lattice Λ is of infinite order.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary that that $\sigma^*|_\Lambda$ is of finite order. Replacing σ by a positive power, we may assume that σ^* acts on Λ as the identity. Note that $\sigma^{-1}D_0$ is the exceptional locus of another divisorial contraction of extremal ray on X , see e.g. [26, Lemma 2.11]. Let

$$X_{\overline{\eta}} = X_\eta \times_{\text{Spec } \kappa(\eta)} \overline{\text{Spec } \kappa(\eta)}$$

be the geometric generic fibre of f . Since both $D_0|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ and $(\sigma^{-1}D_0)|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ are defined over X_η , it follows from $\sigma^* = \text{id}$ on $\Lambda \subset \text{NS}(X_{\overline{\eta}})$ that

$$(D_0)|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}} = (\sigma^*D_0)|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}} \in \text{NS}(X_{\overline{\eta}})$$

On the other hand, both $(D_0)|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ and $(\sigma^*D_0)|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ are unions of a few contractible curves on the smooth rational projective surface $X_{\overline{\eta}}$. The negativity of $D_0|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ implies that $D_0|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}} = (\sigma^{-1}(D_0))|_{X_{\overline{\eta}}}$ as sets. Then $D_0|_{X_\eta} = (\sigma^{-1}(D_0))|_{X_\eta}$ as divisors on X_η . By taking the Zariski closure, we obtain $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(D_0)$, as both D_0 and $\sigma^{-1}D_0$ are irreducible divisors on X . However, this contradicts the fact that D_0 is not σ -periodic (σ being wild). This proves the claim. \square

To finish the proof of Proposition 5.2, we still have to prove the following.

Claim 5.5. *It is impossible that the action of σ on the hyperbolic lattice Λ is parabolic, i.e., it is of infinite order and $\rho(\sigma^*|_\Lambda) = d_1(\sigma|_X) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary that the action of σ on Λ is parabolic. We apply Lemma 5.1 to Case $q(X) = 1$ in Proposition 3.2 with $S = X_{\overline{\eta}}$ the geometric generic fibre of $f : X \rightarrow Y = \text{Alb}(X)$, and g equal to $\sigma^* : \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X)$ restricted to the subspace $\Lambda := \text{NS}(X)|_{X_\eta}$ of the space $\text{NS}(X_{\overline{\eta}}) = \text{Pic}(X_{\overline{\eta}})$.

Then, by Lemma 5.1, there is a nonzero $v = V|_{X_\eta}$ in Λ such that v is pseudo-effective, $v^2 = 0$ and $g(v) = v$. Moreover, again by Lemma 5.1, such v is unique up to a scalar and v is nef. Now $(\sigma^*V - V)|_{X_\eta} = 0$ in $\text{NS}(X_\eta)$. By Lemma 2.14, replacing V by a multiple,

we may assume that V is a Cartier integral divisor on X and $\sigma^*V = V + f^*M$ where M is a Cartier integral divisor on Y .

Then, as the divisor $N(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ on $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ in Lemma 5.1, is uniquely determined by V , the relation $g(N(X_{\bar{\eta}})) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} N(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ in Lemma 5.1 implies $\sigma(N(X_{\bar{\eta}})) = N(X_{\bar{\eta}})$. It follows that the Zariski closure of the image of $N(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ in X is a σ -stable proper closed subset of X . Thus $N(X_{\bar{\eta}}) = 0$ since the wild automorphism σ has no non-empty closed proper subset of X . Hence $(K_X + V)|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}} \sim 0$. Also $(K_X + V)|_{X_{\eta}} \sim 0$. Now $-K_{X_{\eta}} \sim V|_{X_{\eta}}$ (like $V|_{X_{\bar{\eta}}} = v$) is nef with zero self-intersection. Hence $\kappa(X_{\eta}, -K_{X_{\eta}}) \in \{0, 1\}$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem.

If $\kappa(X_{\eta}, -K_{X_{\eta}}) = 0$, then σ stabilises the unique member in $|-K_{X_{\eta}}|$ and its closure on X , contradicting that σ is wild. If $\kappa(X_{\eta}, -K_{X_{\eta}}) = 1$, then a multiple of $-K_{X_{\eta}}$ supports fibres of the unique elliptic fibration on X_{η} , the set (and its closure in X) of singular fibres of which is σ -stable. This contradicts that σ is wild. The claim is proved. \square

This proves Proposition 5.2. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

We begin with Proposition 6.1, which in turn requires the results between Propositions 6.2 and 6.5.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a projective variety over \mathbb{C} with a wild automorphism σ .*

- (1) *Suppose $\dim X \leq 3$. Then X is either an abelian variety or a Calabi-Yau threefold with the canonical divisor $K_X \sim 0$. Moreover σ has zero entropy.*
- (2) *Suppose $\dim X = 4$. Then σ has zero entropy, unless the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ and the irregularity $q(X) > 0$.*

We need the following results.

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a (necessarily smooth) projective variety over \mathbb{C} . Suppose σ is a wild automorphism of X . Put*

$$A := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) / (\text{torsions}), \quad B := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) / (\text{torsions}).$$

Then the set of complex eigenvalues of $\sigma^|_A$ and the set of complex eigenvalues of $\sigma^*|_B$ coincide counted with multiplicities.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, the topological Euler number $e(X) = 0$. Thus A and B have the same rank, say $r > 0$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be the set of complex eigenvalues of σ^* on A counted with multiplicities and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be the set of complex eigenvalues of σ^* on B counted with multiplicities.

Since σ^n is wild, the topological Lefschetz number of σ^n is 0, i.e.,

$$\sum_i a_i^n = \sum_i b_i^n$$

for all n , in particular, for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Thus, the elementary symmetric function values of a_i and b_i coincide, hence the monic polynomial with roots $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r$ counted with multiplicities and the one with roots $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^r$ counted with multiplicities coincide. Hence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^r$ counted with multiplicities. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Let X be a Calabi-Yau manifold of dimension three. Suppose σ is a wild automorphism of X . Then K_X is trivial in $\text{Pic}(X)$ and σ has zero entropy.*

Proof. Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6 and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ by assumption, it follows that $h^3(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$. Hence $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$ by the Serre duality. Thus $K_X = 0$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$ as K_X is \mathbb{Q} -linearly equivalent to 0.

We show that σ has zero entropy. Indeed, by [4], replacing X by the universal cover and σ by its lifting, we may assume that X is a Calabi-Yau threefold in the strict sense. Since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, under the notation of Proposition 6.2, we have $A = H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})/(\text{torsions})$. By Proposition 6.2, it suffices to show that the complex eigenvalues of $\sigma^*|_A$ are roots of the unity.

By the duality, we have $b_5(X) = b_1(X) = 0$. Hence the cohomology group $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ is primitive. So is each Hodge component $H^{p,q}(X)$ of $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$. Thus the product on $H^{2,1}(X)$ defined below

$$H^{2,1}(X) \times H^{2,1}(X) \ni (\eta, \eta) \mapsto \eta \wedge \bar{\eta}$$

is (up to a unit) a definite Hermitian form by the Hodge-Riemann relation as in [8, page 123]. Hence the action of σ^* on $H^{1,2}(X) \oplus H^{2,1}(X) = H^{1,2}(X) \oplus \overline{H^{1,2}(X)}$ is unitary. For the same reason, the action of σ^* on $H^{3,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,3}(X) = H^{3,0}(X) \oplus \overline{H^{3,0}(X)}$ is also unitary. So the eigenvalues of the action of σ on $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ are of absolute value 1. Therefore, they are roots of the unity since the action of σ^* is defined on the integral $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and by Kronecker's theorem. This proves the corollary. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension ≤ 4 such that the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \geq 0$. Suppose σ is a wild automorphism of X . Then σ has zero entropy.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and we may assume that X coincides with its Beauville-Bogomolov minimal split cover and that each factor X_i of X is stable under σ so that $\sigma|_{X_i}$ is wild. Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_i}) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6 (1) and also $\dim X_i \leq 4$. Therefore X_i is either an abelian variety or a Calabi-Yau threefold in the strict sense

(Proposition 2.6). Note that σ has zero entropy if and only if $\sigma|_{X_i}$ has zero entropy for every factor X_i . Then the result follows from Corollary 6.3 and [20, Theorem 0.2]. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let X be a projective variety of dimension four over \mathbb{C} such that $q(X) = 0$. Suppose σ is a wild automorphism of X . Then σ has zero entropy.*

Proof. In what follows, we denote the free part $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})/(\text{torsions})$ of $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ by $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})_f$.

Let $d_k(\sigma)$ be the k -th dynamical degree of σ , that is, the spectral radius of $\sigma^*|_{H^{k,k}(X, \mathbb{R})}$. It suffices to show the claim that $d_1(\sigma) = 1$.

Let a_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) be the complex eigenvalues of $\sigma^*|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$ counted with multiplicities. Then the complex eigenvalues of $(\sigma^{-1})^*|_{H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$ are a_i^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq r$) counted with multiplicities. Since $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})_f$ and $H^6(X, \mathbb{Z})_f$ are dual under the cup product, the complex eigenvalues of $\sigma^*|_{H^6(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$ are also a_i^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq r$) counted with multiplicities.

Then, by the Perron-Frobenius theorem, we have

$$1 \leq d_1(\sigma) = a_i, \quad 1 \leq d_3(\sigma) = d_1(\sigma^{-1}) = a_j^{-1}$$

for some $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ possibly $i = j$. If $i = j$, then $d_1(\sigma) = 1$. This is because $a_i = 1$ derived from $1 \leq a_i$ and $1 \leq a_i^{-1}$ if $i = j$.

So, from now on we may assume that $i \neq j$. Then, by renumbering a_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and by interchanging σ and σ^{-1} if necessary, we may assume that

$$d_1(\sigma) = a_1 \geq a_r^{-1} = d_1(\sigma^{-1}) = d_3(\sigma) \geq 1.$$

Recall that $b_7(X) = b_1(X) = 0$ by the assumption. Then, by Proposition 6.2, a_1 is also an eigenvalue of either $\sigma^*|_{H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$ or $\sigma^*|_{H^5(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$.

Assume first that a_1 is an eigenvalue of $\sigma^*|_{H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$. Choose an eigenvector $0 \neq u \in H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$. Since σ^* preserves the Hodge decomposition, we may choose u so that $u \in H^{p,q}(X)$ in some Hodge component $H^{p,q}(X)$ of $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the complex conjugate \bar{u} of u with respect to $H^3(X, \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\bar{u} \in H^{q,p}(X)$ and $\sigma^*\bar{u} = a_1\bar{u}$, as σ^* is defined over $H^3(X, \mathbb{R})$ and a_1 is real.

On the other hand, $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive by $b_7(X) = b_1(X) = 0$. Hence the Hodge component $H^{p,q}(X)$ is also primitive. Then, as in the proof of Corollary 6.3, there is a complex number $c_{p,q}$ such that

$$c_{p,q}(v \cdot \bar{v} \cdot \eta) > 0$$

for every $v \in H^{p,q}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ and for every Kähler class $\eta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ by the Hodge-Riemann relation for the primitive cohomology group $H^{p,q}(X)$ ([8, page 123]). In particular,

$$u\bar{u} \neq 0$$

in $H^{3,3}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and satisfies

$$\sigma^*(u\bar{u}) = a_1^2 u\bar{u}.$$

Thus, a_1^2 is a positive real eigenvalue of $\sigma^*|_{H^{3,3}(X, \mathbb{R})}$. Since $d_3(\sigma) = a_r^{-1}$ is the spectral radius of $\sigma|_{H^6(X, \mathbb{R})}$, it follows that

$$a_1^2 \leq d_3(\sigma) = a_r^{-1} \leq a_1.$$

For the last inequality, we used our assumption that $a_1 \geq a_r^{-1} \geq 1$. Hence $a_1 = 1$ again, since $1 \leq a_1$. Thus $d_1(\sigma) = 1$ as claimed.

Next we consider the case where a_1 is an eigenvalue of $\sigma^*|_{H^5(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$. Then a_1 is an eigenvalue of $(\sigma^{-1})^*|_{H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})_f}$ by the duality. Then applying the same argument as above for σ^{-1} , we find that there are a Hodge component $H^{p,q}(X)$ of $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ and a non-zero element $u \in H^{p,q}(X)$ such that $u\bar{u} \in H^{3,3}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an eigenvector of $(\sigma^{-1})^*|_{H^{3,3}(X, \mathbb{R})}$ corresponding to an eigenvalue a_1^2 , which is real and positive. Thus,

$$a_1^2 \leq d_3(\sigma^{-1}) = d_1(\sigma) = a_1.$$

Since $1 \leq a_1$, it follows that $a_1 = 1$. Hence $d_1(\sigma) = 1$ also in this case, as claimed.

This proves the proposition. \square

6.6. Proof of Proposition 6.1. By Theorem 3.1 and Propositions 3.2, 4.1 and 5.2, we already know that a projective variety X with $\dim X \leq 3$ and with a wild automorphism is isomorphic to either an abelian variety or a Calabi-Yau threefold. Thus Proposition 6.1 (1) follows from Corollary 6.3. Proposition 6.1 (2) follows from Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 6.5.

We need the following to complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proposition 6.7. *Let X be a projective variety of $\dim X = n$ over \mathbb{C} with a wild automorphism σ . Then the Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ is a smooth surjective morphism with connected fibres and hence $0 \leq q(X) = \dim \text{Alb}(X) \leq n$. If $q(X) = n$, then $X = \text{Alb}(X)$, an abelian variety; if $q(X) \geq n - 1$, then σ has zero entropy.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, $\text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ is a smooth surjective morphism with connected fibres. Assume that $q(X) = n - 1$. Then, since the fibre of alb_X is one dimensional,

$$d_1(\sigma|_X) = d_1(\sigma|_{\text{Alb}(X)}) = 1$$

by the product formula in [4] and the fact that $\sigma|_{\text{Alb}(X)}$ is a wild automorphism of an abelian variety and hence has zero entropy (cf. Lemma 2.5). The rest of the proposition is clear. \square

6.8. Proof of Theorem 1.5. The theorem follows from Propositions 6.1 and 6.7.

7. WILD AUTOMORPHISMS OF ZERO ENTROPY

In this section, we consider the case where X is a (smooth) projective variety with a wild automorphism σ such that σ is of zero entropy.

Lemma 7.1. *Let σ be an automorphism of zero entropy of a smooth projective variety. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) σ is wild.
- (2) The twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, L, \sigma)$ is a projectively simple for any σ -ample line bundle L on X .
- (3) The twisted homogeneous coordinate ring $B(X, L, \sigma)$ is a projectively simple for at least one ample line bundle L on X .

Proof. By [9, Theorem 1.2 (2)], any ample line bundle on X is σ -ample, because σ is of zero entropy. Thus, the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear and the implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (1) follow from [20, Proposition 0.1]. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be a (smooth) projective variety. Suppose σ is a wild automorphism of X of zero entropy. Then $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$ is not diagonalizable, unless X is isomorphic to an abelian variety.*

Proof. Since $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$ is defined over $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, the eigenvalues of $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$ are all roots of unity. So, if $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$ is diagonalizable, then $(\sigma^*)^m = \mathrm{id}$ on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ for some $m > 0$. In this case, X is an abelian variety by Corollary 2.4. This proves the lemma. \square

The following conjecture is in [18, Question 2.6].

Conjecture 7.3. (cf. [18]) *Every nef \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor (not necessarily effective) on a Calabi-Yau manifold of dimension three is \mathbb{Q} -linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.*

The following is asserted in [10].

Theorem 7.4. (cf. [10, Theorem 4.7]) *Assume Conjecture 7.3 for the Calabi-Yau manifold X of dimension three. Then X does not admit a wild automorphism.*

Remark 7.5. In the proof of [10], he assumes that the (smooth) Calabi-Yau threefold X is simply connected. One can assume so, because one can lift a wild automorphism σ on a Calabi-Yau manifold to its (finite) universal cover. Apparently, his proof implicitly assumes that σ is of zero entropy (one can do so since Proposition 6.1 above is available now) and implicitly uses the result in Lemma 7.6 below, which may not hold when σ is of positive entropy. For the sake of completeness, we supply proofs of Lemma 7.6 and Theorem 7.4 below.

Lemma 7.6. *Let X be a normal projective variety and σ a automorphism. Suppose $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$ is of infinite order and σ is of zero entropy. Then there is a nef (integral) Cartier divisor $L \neq 0$ such that $\sigma^*L \equiv L$ (numerical equivalence) possibly after replacing σ by a positive power. In particular, we may assume $\sigma^*L \sim L$ if the irregularity $q(X) = 0$.*

Proof. Replacing σ by a positive power, we may assume that $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$ is unipotent (but not trivial) so it is equal to $I + N$ with N nilpotent. Let $m (\geq 2)$ be the maximal order of Jordan blocks of $\sigma^*|_{\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)}$. Then $N^m = 0$, but $N^{m-1} \neq 0$. Thus

$$g := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma^*)^s / s^{m-1} = N^{m-1} / (m-1)!$$

is a nonzero linear transformation on $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. Since ample Cartier divisor classes generate $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ and since $g = N^{m-1} / (m-1)! \neq 0$ on $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, there is an ample Cartier divisor H on X so that $L := g(H)$ is nonzero \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor. Since $L_s := (\sigma^*)^s(H) / s^{m-1}$ is ample, $L = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} L_s$ is nef. Moreover, using $\sigma^* = I + N$ and $N^m = 0$, we compute

$$\sigma^*L = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (I + N)^{s+1}(H) / s^{m-1} = (N^{m-1} / (m-1)!)(H) = g(H) = L.$$

The lemma follows by replacing L by a positive multiple. □

7.7. Proof of Theorem 7.4. In view of Proposition 6.1, we consider a wild automorphism σ of zero entropy on a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold X (in the strict sense, and want to reach a contradiction).

By Lemma 7.6, we have an integral nef Cartier divisor $L \neq 0$ such that $\sigma^*L \sim L$. Since we assume that Conjecture 7.3 holds on X , it follows that $|mL| \neq \emptyset$ for some positive integer m , contradicting Proposition 2.6 (2). This proves Theorem 7.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bauer, A simple proof for the existence of Zariski decompositions on surfaces, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **18** (2009), no. 4, 789–793.
- [2] A. Beauville, Some remarks on Kähler manifolds with $c_1 = 0$, *Classification of Algebraic and Analytic Manifolds* (Katata, 1982, ed. K. Ueno), *Progr. Math.*, **39** Birkhäuser 1983, pp. 1–26.
- [3] F. Campana, J. A. Chen and T. Peternell, Strictly nef divisors, *Math. Ann.* **342** (2008), no. 3, 565–585.
- [4] T. -C. Dinh, V. -A. Nguyen, and T. Truong, On the dynamical degree of meromorphic maps preserving a fibration, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **14** (2012), no. 6, 18 pp.
- [5] T. C. Dinh, F. Hu and D. -Q. Zhang, Compact Kähler manifolds admitting large solvable groups of automorphisms, *Adv. Math.* **281** (2015) 333 – 352.
- [6] A. Fujiki, On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **44** (1978), no. 3, 225–258.
- [7] T. Graber, J. Harris and J. Starr, Families of rationally connected varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), no. 1, 57–67.

- [8] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience (John Wiley & Sons), New York, 1978.
- [9] D. Keeler, Criteria for σ -ampleness, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (2000), no. 3, 517 - 532.
- [10] A. Kirson, Wild automorphisms of varieties with Kodaira dimension 0, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.* **56** (2010), no. 2, 327 - 333.
- [11] J. Kollár, Rational curves on algebraic varieties, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. Vol.* **32**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [12] J. Kollár and S. Mori, Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.* **134**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [13] J. Lesieutre, Some constraints of positive entropy automorphisms of smooth threefolds, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **51** (2018), no. 6, 1507 – 1547.
- [14] S. Li, Derived length of zero entropy groups acting on projective varieties in arbitrary characteristic, [arXiv:1909.08201](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.08201).
- [15] D. I. Lieberman, Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds, *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III (Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 670, Springer, Berlin, 1978, pp. 140–186.
- [16] S. Mori, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **116** (1982), no. 1, 133–176.
- [17] N. Nakayama, Intersection sheaves over normal schemes, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), no. 2, 487 - 595.
- [18] K. Oguiso, On algebraic fiber space structures on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, *Internat. J. Math.* **4** (1993) 439 - 465.
- [19] K. Oguiso, Automorphisms of hyperkähler manifolds in the view of topological entropy, *Algebraic geometry*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. **422**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 173 - 185.
- [20] Z. Reichstein, D. Rogalski and J. J. Zhang, Projectively simple rings, *Adv. Math.* **203** (2006), no. 2, 365 - 407.
- [21] F. Sakai, The structure of normal surfaces, *Duke Math. J.* **52** (1985), no. 3, 627 - 648.
- [22] T. Suwa, On ruled surfaces of genus 1, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **21** (1969) 291 - 311.
- [23] K. Ueno, Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. **439**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [24] J. A. Wisniewski, On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **417** (1991), 141–157.
- [25] D. -Q. Zhang, Automorphism groups and anti-pluricanonical curves. *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 1, 163–183.
- [26] D. -Q. Zhang, Polarized endomorphisms of uniruled varieties, With an appendix by Y. Fujimoto and N. Nakayama, *Compos. Math.* **146** (2010), no. 1, 145 - 168.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, MEGURO KOMABA 3-8-1, TOKYO, JAPAN, AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICS DIVISION, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY TAIPEI, TAIWAN

E-mail address: `oguiso@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 10 LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076, REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE

E-mail address: `matzdq@nus.edu.sg`