

# FREE ROTA-BAXTER FAMILY ALGEBRAS AND FREE (TRI)DENDRIFORM FAMILY ALGEBRAS

YUANYUAN ZHANG, XING GAO, AND DOMINIQUE MANCHON

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we first construct the free Rota-Baxter family algebra generated by some set  $X$  in terms of typed angularly  $X$ -decorated planar rooted trees. As an application, we obtain a new construction of the free Rota-Baxter algebra only in terms of angularly decorated planar rooted trees (not forests), which is quite different from the known construction via angularly decorated planar rooted forests by K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo. We then embed the free dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra into the free Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight zero (resp. one). Finally, we prove that the free Rota-Baxter family algebra is the universal enveloping algebra of the free (tri)dendriform family algebra.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Free Rota-Baxter family algebras	3
2.1. Typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees	3
2.2. A multiplication on $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$	5
2.3. Construction of free RBFA	7
3. Embedding free DFAs (resp. TFAs) into free RBFAs	14
3.1. Embedding free DFAs into free RBFAs	14
3.2. Embedding free TFAs into free RBFAs	18
3.3. Universal enveloping algebras of (tri)dendriform family algebras	27
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Algebraic structures may appear in “family versions”, where the operations at hand are replaced by a family of operations indexed by some set  $\Omega$ , in general endowed with a semigroup structure. The first example of this situation appeared in 2007 in a paper by K. Ebrahimi-Fard, J. Gracia-Bondía and F. Patras [9, Proposition 9.1] (see also [16, Theorem 3.7.2]) about algebraic aspects of renormalization in Quantum Field Theory, where a “Rota-Baxter family” appears: this terminology was suggested to the authors by Li Guo (see Footnote following Proposition 9.2 therein), who further discussed the underlying structure under the name *Rota-Baxter Family algebra* in [14]. Various other kinds of family algebraic structures have been recently defined [11, 20, 21, 22].

*Date:* May 1, 2020.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W99, 16S10, 13P10, 08B20,

*Key words and phrases.* Rota-Baxter family algebra, (tri)dendriform family algebra, typed decorated planar rooted trees, free Rota-Baxter family algebras, free (tri)dendriform family algebras.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup, let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a unital commutative ring and let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$  be given. A **Rota-Baxter family** of weight  $\lambda$  on a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra  $R$  is a collection of linear operators  $(P_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  on  $R$  such that

$$(1) \quad P_\alpha(a)P_\beta(b) = P_{\alpha\beta}(P_\alpha(a)b + aP_\beta(b) + \lambda ab), \text{ for } a, b \in R \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Omega.$$

The pair  $(R, (P_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  is called a **Rota-Baxter family algebra** of weight  $\lambda$ . The example considered in [9, 14, 16] is the algebra of Laurent series  $R = \mathbf{k}[z^{-1}, z]$ . It is a Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight  $-1$ , with  $\Omega = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ , where the operator  $P_\omega$  is the projection onto the subspace  $R_{<\omega}$  generated by  $\{z^k, k < \omega\}$  parallel to the supplementary subspace  $R_{\geq\omega}$  generated by  $\{z^k, k \geq \omega\}$ .

Rota-Baxter algebras (in the ordinary sense) are Rota-Baxter family algebras indexed by the trivial semigroup reduced to one element. They find their origin in the work of the American mathematician Glen E. Baxter [2] in the realm of probability theory. The free Rota-Baxter algebras were constructed in [12, 15], as well as in [7] and [13]. The authors in [20] constructed free commutative Rota-Baxter family algebras and free noncommutative Rota-Baxter family algebras via the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

In this paper, we use planar rooted trees, both angularly decorated by the set  $X$  of generators and typed by the semigroup  $\Omega$  (see Definition 2.2 below), to provide an alternative realization of free Rota-Baxter family algebras (Theorem 2.10). The model we propose here is inspired by our recent description of free dendriform and tridendriform family algebras [20, 21], which we now briefly outline: J.-L. Loday proposed the concept of dendriform algebra [19] with motivation from algebraic K-theory. J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco later introduced the concept of a tridendriform algebra [17] in the study of polytopes and Koszul duality. Dendriform and tridendriform family algebras (see Definitions 3.1 and 3.6 below) were introduced in [20]. Free tridendriform family algebra were constructed in [21] in terms of typed angularly decorated Schröder trees, also known as planar reduced trees. They are exactly the same trees as above, except that each vertex has at least two incoming edges. Free dendriform family algebras were similarly constructed in terms of typed decorated planar binary trees.

It is well known that a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight zero (resp. weight  $\lambda \neq 0$ ) possesses a dendriform (resp. tridendriform) algebra structure [1, 6]. This also holds for the family versions [20]. Universal enveloping Rota-Baxter algebras of (tri)dendriform algebras have been studied in [8]. Whenever  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field, we prove, using the natural inclusion of binary trees and Schröder trees into planar rooted trees, that the free Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight  $\lambda = 0$  (resp.  $\lambda \neq 0$ ) generated by a set  $X$  is the universal enveloping algebra of the free dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra generated by  $X$  (Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we first define a multiplication on the linear span of the set  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  of typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees. Second, we introduce the set  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$  of Rota-Baxter family words, and construct a bijection  $\psi$  from  $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$  onto  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  (Proposition 2.8). Finally, using the bijection  $\psi$  and the results of [20], we prove that the linear span  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  is the free Rota-Baxter family algebra generated by  $X$  (Theorem 2.10). In Section 3, we show that the natural inclusion of typed decorated planar binary trees into typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees makes the free dendriform family algebra on  $X$  a canonical dendriform family subalgebra of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  of weight 0 (Theorem 3.5).

Similarly, the natural inclusion of typed decorated Schröder trees makes the free tridendriform family algebra on  $X$  a canonical tridendriform family subalgebra of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  of weight 1 (Theorem 3.10). At the same time, we prove that the free Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight zero (resp. one) is the universal enveloping algebra of the free dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra Theorem 3.13 (resp. Theorem 3.14). Whenever  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field, the results outlined above for weight one are also valid for weight  $\lambda$  modulo multiplying the embedding map by  $\lambda^{-1}$ .

**Notation.** Throughout this paper, let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a unitary commutative ring which will be the base ring of all modules, algebras, as well as linear maps, unless otherwise specified. Algebras are unitary associative algebras but not necessary commutative. Let RBFAs, DFAs and TFAs be short for Rota-Baxter family algebras, dendriform family algebras and tridendriform family algebras, respectively.

## 2. FREE ROTA-BAXTER FAMILY ALGEBRAS

In this section, we construct free Rota-Baxter family algebras in terms of typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees.

**2.1. Typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees.** Typed decorated rooted trees [4, 10] are rooted trees with vertex decoration and edge decoration. For a rooted tree  $T$ , denote by  $V(T)$  (resp.  $E(T)$ ) the set of its vertices (resp. edges). In this subsection, we introduce typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees.

**Definition 2.1.** [4] Let  $X$  and  $\Omega$  be two sets. An  $X$ -decorated  $\Omega$ -typed (abbreviated typed decorated) rooted tree is a triple  $T = (T, \text{dec}, \text{type})$ , where

- (a)  $T$  is a rooted tree.
- (b)  $\text{dec} : V(T) \rightarrow X$  is a map.
- (c)  $\text{type} : E(T) \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map.

In [21], typed decorated planar binary trees were used to construct free dendriform family algebras. Along these lines, in the present paper, we use typed decorated planar rooted trees to construct free Rota-Baxter family algebras which have close relationship with free dendriform family algebras. So we adopt the graphical representation of planar rooted trees as the one of planar binary trees in [21], which is slightly different from the graphical representation of rooted trees used in [4, 10]: the root and the leaves are now edges rather than vertices. See examples below. So the set  $E(T)$  must be replaced by the set  $IE(T)$  of internal edges, i.e. edges which are neither leaves nor the root. However, a planar rooted tree has at least one vertex. Each vertex  $v$  yields a (possibly empty) set of angles  $A(v)$ , an angle being a pair  $(e, e')$  of adjacent incoming edges for  $v$ . Let  $A(T) = \bigsqcup_{v \in V(T)} A(v)$  be the set of angles of the planar rooted tree  $T$ . We propose the following concept:

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  and  $\Omega$  be two sets. An  $X$ -angularly decorated  $\Omega$ -typed (abbreviated typed angularly decorated) planar rooted tree is a triple  $T = (T, \text{dec}, \text{type})$ , where

- (a)  $T$  is a planar rooted tree.
- (b)  $\text{dec} : A(T) \rightarrow X$  is a map.
- (c)  $\text{type} : IE(T) \rightarrow \Omega$  is a map.

If a semigroup  $\Omega$  has no identity element, we consider the monoid  $\Omega^1 := \Omega \sqcup \{1\}$  obtained from  $\Omega$  by adjoining an identity:

$$1\omega := \omega 1 := \omega, \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } 11 := 1.$$

For  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_n(X, \Omega)$  denote the set of  $X$ -angularly decorated  $\Omega^1$ -typed planar rooted trees with  $n + 1$  leaves such that leaves are decorated by the identity 1 in  $\Omega^1$  and internal edges are decorated by elements of  $\Omega$ . Note that the root is not decorated. Denote by

$$\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) := \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{T}_n(X, \Omega) \text{ and } \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}_n(X, \Omega).$$

Here are some examples in  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_0(X, \Omega) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} | \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} | \\ \omega_1 \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} | \\ \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \bullet \end{array}, \dots \mid \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots \in \Omega \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_1(X, \Omega) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \\ \beta \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \\ \alpha \\ \bullet \end{array}, \dots \mid x \in X, \alpha, \beta, \omega, \dots \in \Omega \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_2(X, \Omega) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \\ \omega \\ \alpha \\ \bullet \end{array}, \dots \mid x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta, \omega, \dots \in \Omega \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_3(X, \Omega) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ z \\ \alpha \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \\ z \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x \\ \beta \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \dots \mid x, y, z \in X, \alpha, \beta, \omega, \dots \in \Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Graphically, an element  $T \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  is of the form:

$$T = \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \circ \alpha_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \alpha_1 \quad \circ \alpha_{n+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ T_1 \quad T_{n+1} \end{array}, \text{ with } n \geq 0, \text{ where } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \text{ and } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega^1,$$

i.e.,  $\alpha_j \in \Omega$  if  $T_j \neq |$ ;  $\alpha_j = 1$  if  $T_j = |$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , define a linear operator

$$B_\omega^+ : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega),$$

where  $B_\omega^+(T)$  is the typed angularly decorated planar rooted tree obtained by adding a new root and an new internal edge decorated by  $\omega$  connecting the new root and the root of  $T$ . For example,

$$B_\omega^+\left(\begin{array}{c} | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} | \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \quad B_\omega^+\left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}, \quad B_\omega^+\left(\begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \\ x \\ \beta \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \\ x \\ \beta \\ \omega \\ \omega \\ \bullet \end{array}.$$

**Remark 2.3.** If  $\Omega$  is a singleton set, then typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees are reduced to angularly decorated planar rooted trees studied by Guo [14], who used them to obtain angularly decorated planar rooted forests and to construct free Rota-Baxter algebras.

The **depth**  $\text{dep}(T)$  of a rooted tree  $T$  is the maximal length of linear chains from the root to the leaves of the tree. For example,

$$\text{dep}\left(\begin{array}{c} | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = \text{dep}\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x \quad y \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = 1 \text{ and } \text{dep}\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x \quad y \\ \alpha \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = 2.$$

For later use, we add the "zero-vertex tree"  $|$  to the picture, and set  $\text{dep}(|) = 0$ . Note that the operators  $B_\omega^+$  are not defined on  $|$ .

**Remark 2.4.** For any  $T \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \sqcup \{| \}$ , if  $\text{dep}(T) = 0$ , then  $T = |$ . Define the number of branches  $\text{bra}(T)$  of  $T$  to be 0 in this case. Otherwise,  $\text{dep}(T) \geq 1$  and  $T$  is of the form

$$T = \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \circ \alpha_n \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \text{with } n \geq 0.$$

Here any branch  $T_j \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \sqcup \{| \}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n + 1$  is of depth at most one less than the depth of  $T$ , and equal to zero if and only if  $T_j = |$ . We define  $\text{bra}(T) := n + 1$ . For example,

$$\text{bra}\left(\begin{array}{c} | \\ \omega \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = 1, \text{bra}\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x \quad y \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = 2 \text{ and } \text{bra}\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x \quad y \\ \alpha \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}\right) = 3.$$

**2.2. A multiplication on  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ .** This subsection is devoted to define a unital multiplication  $\diamond$  on  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ , which is the crucial ingredient to construct a free Rota-Baxter family algebra.

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Let  $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ . We define  $T \diamond T'$  by induction on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T') \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T') = 2$ , we have  $\text{dep}(T) = \text{dep}(T') = 1$  and  $T, T'$  are of the form

$$T = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \text{ and } T' = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}, \text{ with } m, n \geq 0.$$

Define

$$(2) \quad T \diamond T' := \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \alpha \quad y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}.$$

For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T') \geq 3$ , the trees  $T$  and  $T'$  are of the form

$$T = \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \circ \alpha_m \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m+1} \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \text{ and } T' = \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \circ \beta_2 \quad \circ \beta_n \\ \diagup \quad \dots \quad \diagdown \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n+1} \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \text{ with some } T_i \neq | \text{ or some } T'_j \neq |.$$

There are four cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $T_{m+1} = | = T'_1$ . Define

$$(3) \quad T \diamond T' := T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \dots \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{array} := T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_m \quad T'_2 \\ \alpha_m \quad \beta_2 \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad y_1 \\ \alpha_1 \quad \beta_{n+1} \end{array} \circ T'_{n+1}.$$

**Case 2:**  $T_{m+1} \neq | = T'_1$ . Define

$$(4) \quad T \diamond T' := T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_{m+1} \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \dots \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{array} := T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_m \quad T_{m+1} \quad T'_2 \\ \alpha_m \quad \alpha_{m+1} \quad \beta_2 \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad y_1 \\ \alpha_1 \quad \beta_{n+1} \end{array} \circ T'_{n+1}.$$

**Case 3:**  $T_{m+1} = | \neq T'_1$ . Define

$$(5) \quad T \diamond T' := T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \dots \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{array} := T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_m \quad T'_1 \quad T'_2 \\ \alpha_m \quad \beta_1 \quad \beta_2 \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad y_1 \\ \alpha_1 \quad \beta_{n+1} \end{array} \circ T'_{n+1}.$$

**Case 4:**  $T_{m+1} \neq | \neq T'_1$ . Define

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} T \diamond T' &:= T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_{m+1} \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \dots \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{array} \diamond T'_1 \\ &:= \left( T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \diamond \left( B_{\alpha_{m+1}}^+(T_{m+1}) \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(T'_1) \right) \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \dots \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{array} \diamond T'_{n+1} \\ &:= \left( T_1 \circ \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_1 \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_{m+1}\beta_1}^+ \left( B_{\alpha_{m+1}}^+(T_{m+1}) \diamond T'_1 + T_{m+1} \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(T'_1) + \lambda T_{m+1} \diamond T'_1 \right) \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \dots \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \end{array} \diamond T'_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first  $\diamond$  is defined by Case 3, the second, third and fourth  $\diamond$  are defined by induction and the last  $\diamond$  is defined by Case 2. This completes the inductive definition of the multiplication  $\diamond$  on  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ . Extending by linearity, we can expand the  $\diamond$  to  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ . Let us expose an example for better understanding.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. For  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} &= \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (2)}), \\ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} &= \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad \quad \quad \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad \quad \quad \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4) and Eq. (5)}), \\ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \quad \quad \quad \end{array} &= B_{\alpha}^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \right) \diamond B_{\beta}^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= B_{\alpha\beta}^+ \left( B_{\alpha}^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \diamond B_{\beta}^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \right) + \lambda \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\
 &\quad \text{(by Eq. (6))} \\
 &= B_{\alpha\beta}^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \\ \hline \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \\ \hline \end{array} + \lambda \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\
 &= B_{\alpha\beta}^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad y \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x \quad \beta \\ \hline \end{array} + \lambda \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \quad \text{(by Eqs. (4), (5) and (2))} \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad y \\ \alpha\beta \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x \quad \beta \\ \alpha\beta \\ \hline \end{array} + \lambda \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha\beta \\ \hline \end{array}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.6.** The unit for the product  $\diamond$  is given by the tree  $\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \hline \end{array}$ .

**2.3. Construction of free RBFA.** In this subsection, we prove that  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  is the free Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight  $\lambda$  generated by  $X$ , via a bijection between  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  and the set of Rota-Baxter family bracketed words. The latter is a subset of bracketed words [3, 14] and is used to construct free Rota-Baxter family algebras [20].

Denote by  $M(X)$  the free monoid generated by  $X$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a set. For any set  $Y$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let  $[Y]_{\omega}$  denote the set  $\{[y]_{\omega} \mid y \in Y\}$ . So  $[Y]_{\omega}$  is a disjoint copy of  $Y$ . Assume the sets  $[Y]_{\omega}$  to be disjoint with each other when  $\omega$  varies in  $\Omega$ . We use induction to define a direct system  $\{\mathfrak{M}_n := \mathfrak{M}_n(\Omega, X), i_{n, n+1} : \mathfrak{M}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 1}$  of free monoids. First, define

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 := M(X) \text{ and } \mathfrak{M}_2 := M(X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} [M_1]_{\omega})),$$

with  $i_{1,2}$  being the inclusion

$$i_{1,2} : \mathfrak{M}_1 = M(X) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2 = M(X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} [M_1]_{\omega})).$$

Inductively assume that  $\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}$  has been defined for  $n \geq 3$ , with the inclusion

$$(7) \quad i_{n-2, n-1} : \mathfrak{M}_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}.$$

Then, define

$$\mathfrak{M}_n := M(X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} [\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}]_{\omega})).$$

The inclusion in Eq. (7) induces the inclusion

$$[\mathfrak{M}_{n-2}]_{\omega} \rightarrow [\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}]_{\omega}, \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega,$$

and generates an inclusion of free monoids

$$i_{n-1, n} : \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} = M(X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} [\mathfrak{M}_{n-2}]_{\omega})) \hookrightarrow M(X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} [\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}]_{\omega})) = \mathfrak{M}_n.$$

This completes the inductive construction of the direct systems. Finally, define the direct limit of monoids

$$\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X) := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{M}_n = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_n$$

with identity 1. An element in  $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$  is called a **bracketed word** and is said to be of **depth**  $n$  if it is in  $\mathfrak{M}_n \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}$ . For each  $u \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$  with  $u \neq 1$ , we may write  $u$  as a product  $u_1 \cdots u_k$

uniquely for some  $k$  with  $u_i \in X \sqcup (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \llbracket \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X) \rrbracket_{\omega})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We call  $k$  the **breadth** of  $u$  and denote it by  $|u|$ . If  $u = 1$ , we define  $|u| := 0$ . For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we introduce the linear operator

$$P_{\omega} : \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X), \quad u \mapsto \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\omega}.$$

Let  $Y, Z$  be the subsets of  $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$ . First define the **alternating products** of  $Y$  and  $Z$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(Y, Z) := & \left( \bigcup_{\substack{r \geq 1, \\ \omega \in \Omega}} (Y \sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \llbracket Z \rrbracket_{\omega})^r \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\substack{r \geq 0, \\ \omega \in \Omega}} (Y \sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \llbracket Z \rrbracket_{\omega})^r Y \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\substack{r \geq 1, \\ \omega \in \Omega}} (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \llbracket Z \rrbracket_{\omega} Y)^r \right) \\ & \cup \left( \bigcup_{\substack{r \geq 0, \\ \omega \in \Omega}} (\sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \llbracket Z \rrbracket_{\omega} Y)^r \sqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \llbracket Z \rrbracket_{\omega} \right) \cup \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $1$  is the identity in  $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$ . Obviously,  $\Lambda(Y, Z) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$ . Then define recursively

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 := M(X) \text{ and } \mathfrak{X}_n := \Lambda(M(X), \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), n \geq 1.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{X}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_n \subseteq \dots$  and we may define

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\infty} := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{X}_n = \cup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{X}_n.$$

Elements in  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$  are called **Rota-Baxter family bracketed words**. Denote by  $S$  the following vector subspace of  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$ :

$$S := \left\{ \llbracket x \rrbracket_{\alpha} \llbracket y \rrbracket_{\beta} - \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket_{\alpha} y \rrbracket_{\alpha\beta} - \llbracket x \rrbracket_{\alpha} \llbracket y \rrbracket_{\beta} \rrbracket_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda \llbracket xy \rrbracket_{\alpha\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Omega, x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X) \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** [20] *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup.*

- (a)  *$S$  is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$  with respect to a monomial order.*
- (b) *The set  $\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -basis of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}, \diamond_w, (\llbracket \rrbracket_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}) = \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)/\text{Id}(S)$  of weight  $\lambda$ , where  $\text{Id}(S)$  is the operated ideal generated by  $S$  in  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, X)$ .*

We are going to erect a one-one correspondence between  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$ . Let us first expose some examples in the following table:

Typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$	$\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$
	1
	$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket_{\omega}$
	$x$
	$\llbracket x \rrbracket_{\omega}$
	$xy$
	$\llbracket xy \rrbracket_{\omega}$

Define a linear map

$$\phi : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_{\infty}, \quad T \mapsto \phi(T)$$



by induction on  $\text{dep}(T) \geq 1$ . If  $\text{dep}(T) = 1$ , then  $T = \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \end{array}$  with  $n \geq 0$  and define

$$(8) \quad \phi(T) := \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \end{array}\right) := x_1 \cdots x_n,$$

with  $x_1 \cdots x_n := 1$  in the case  $n = 0$ . For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) \geq 2$ ,  $T$  is the form

$$\begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_n \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \circ T_1 \quad \circ T_{n+1} \\ | \end{array} \quad \text{with some } T_i \neq |.$$

Then we define  $\phi(T)$  by the induction on  $\text{bra}(T) \geq 1$ . For the initial step  $\text{bra}(T) = 1$ , we have

$T = \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \circ \\ \downarrow \alpha_1 \end{array}$  and define

$$(9) \quad \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \circ \\ \downarrow \alpha_1 \end{array}\right) := \phi(B_{\alpha_1}^+(T_1)) := \lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1}.$$

For the induction step  $\text{bra}(T) \geq 2$ , there are two cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $T_1 = |$ . Define

$$(10) \quad \phi(T) := x_1 \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_n \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x_2 \quad \cdots \quad x_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \circ T_2 \quad \circ T_{n+1} \\ | \end{array}\right).$$

**Case 2:**  $T_1 \neq |$ . Define

$$(11) \quad \phi(T) := \lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1} x_1 \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_n \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x_2 \quad \cdots \quad x_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \circ T_2 \quad \circ T_{n+1} \\ | \end{array}\right).$$

Conversely, we are going to define a linear map

$$\psi : \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \quad w \mapsto \psi(w)$$

by induction on  $\text{dep}(w) \geq 1$ . If  $\text{dep}(w) = 1$ , then  $w = x_1 \cdots x_n \in M(X)$  with  $n \geq 0$  and define

$$(12) \quad \psi(x_1 \cdots x_n) := \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \end{array} \quad \text{with the convention that } \psi(1) := | \quad \text{when } n = 0.$$

If  $\text{dep}(w) \geq 1$ , we apply induction on  $\text{bre}(w) \geq 1$ . Write  $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$  with  $\text{bre}(w) = n \geq 1$ .

If  $\text{bre}(w) = 1$ , then  $w = \lfloor \bar{w} \rfloor_{\alpha}$  for  $\bar{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$  by  $\text{dep}(w) \geq 1$ , and define

$$(13) \quad \psi(w) := \psi(\lfloor \bar{w} \rfloor_{\alpha}) := B_{\alpha}^+(\psi(\bar{w})).$$

If  $\text{bre}(w) \geq 2$ , then define

$$(14) \quad \psi(w) := \psi(w_1) \diamond \psi(w_2 \cdots w_n).$$

This completes the definitions of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *We have  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$  and  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove  $\psi \circ \phi(T) = T$  for  $T \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  by induction on  $\text{dep}(T) \geq 1$ . If  $\text{dep}(T) = 1$ ,

then  $T = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \end{array}$  with  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\psi \circ \phi(T) = \psi(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \end{array} = T \quad (\text{by Eq. (12)}).$$

For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) \geq 2$ , write

$$T = \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_1 \quad \dots \quad T_{n+1} \end{array} \quad \text{with some } T_i \neq |.$$

We reduce to induction on  $\text{bra}(T) \geq 1$ . For the initial step  $\text{bra}(T) = 1$ , we have  $T = \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \circ \alpha_1 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \phi(T) &= \psi \circ \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \circ \alpha_1 \\ \downarrow \end{array}\right) = \psi \circ \phi(B_{\alpha_1}^+(T_1)) = \psi(\lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (9)}) \\ &= B_{\alpha_1}^+(\psi \circ \phi(T_1)) \quad (\text{by Eq. (13)}) \\ &= B_{\alpha_1}^+(T_1) \quad (\text{by the induction on } \text{dep}(T)) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \circ \alpha_1 \\ \downarrow \end{array} = T. \end{aligned}$$

For the induction step  $\text{bra}(T) \geq 2$ , there are two cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $T_1 = |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \phi(T) &= \psi \circ \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_1 \end{array}\right) = \psi\left(x_1 \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_2 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_{n+1} \end{array}\right)\right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (10)}) \\ &= \psi(x_1) \diamond \psi \circ \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_2 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_{n+1} \end{array}\right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (14)}) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \end{array} \diamond \psi \circ \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_2 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_{n+1} \end{array}\right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (12)}) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_2 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_{n+1} \end{array} \quad (\text{by the induction on } \text{bra}(T)) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_n \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_n \\ \swarrow \quad \dots \quad \searrow \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n \\ \downarrow \\ \alpha_{n+1} \\ \downarrow \\ T_1 \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= T.$$

**Case 2:**  $T_1 \neq |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi \circ \phi(T) &= \psi \left( \lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1} x_1 \phi \left( T_2 \circ_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \right) \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (11)}) \\
 &= \psi \left( \lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1} \right) \diamond \psi \left( x_1 \phi \left( T_2 \circ_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \right) \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (14)}) \\
 &= B_{\alpha_1}^+ \left( \psi \circ \phi(T_1) \right) \diamond \psi \left( x_1 \phi \left( T_2 \circ_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \right) \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (13)}) \\
 &= B_{\alpha_1}^+ (T_1) \diamond \left( \psi(x_1) \diamond \psi \circ \phi \left( T_2 \circ_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \right) \right) \\
 &\quad (\text{by the induction on } \text{dep}(T) \text{ and Eq. (14)}) \\
 &= B_{\alpha_1}^+ (T_1) \diamond \left( \vee_{x_1} \diamond T_2 \circ_{\alpha_2} x_2 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (12) and induction on } \text{bra}(T)) \\
 &= B_{\alpha_1}^+ (T_1) \diamond \left( T_2 \circ_{\alpha_2} x_1 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
 &= T_1 \circ_{\alpha_1} x_1 \cdots x_n \circ_{\alpha_{n+1}} T_{n+1} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
 &= T.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ . Similarly, we can prove  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following result shows that the linear map  $\phi$  is compatible with respect to the multiplications  $\diamond$  and  $\diamond_w$ , where  $\diamond_w$  is the associative multiplication on the free Rota-Baxter family algebra given in Lemma 2.7.

**Lemma 2.9.** *For  $T$  and  $T'$  in  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ , we have*

$$(15) \quad \phi(T \diamond T') = \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T').$$

*Proof.* If  $T = |$  or  $T' = |$ , without loss of generality, letting  $T' = |$ , then  $\phi(T') = \phi(|) = 1$  and

$$\phi(T \diamond T') = \phi(T) = \phi(T) \diamond_w 1 = \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(|) = \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T').$$

Suppose  $T \neq \downarrow$  and  $T' \neq \downarrow$ . We prove Eq. (15) by induction on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T') \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T') = 2$ , we have  $\text{dep}(T) = \text{dep}(T') = 1$  and

$$T = \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T' = \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \text{with } m, n \geq 0.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(T \diamond T') &= \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdots \quad x_m \quad y_1 \quad \cdots \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \end{array}\right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (2)}) \\ &= x_1 \cdots x_m y_1 \cdots y_n \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\ &= (x_1 \cdots x_m) \diamond_w (y_1 \cdots y_n) \quad (\text{by the definition of } \diamond_w) \\ &= \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T') \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}). \end{aligned}$$

For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T') \geq 3$ , let

$$T = \begin{array}{c} T_2 \quad T_m \\ \circ \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_m \quad \circ \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ T_1 \circ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \quad \circ T_{m+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_1 \quad \quad \alpha_{m+1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T' = \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \circ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \quad \circ \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ T'_1 \circ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \quad \circ T'_{n+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \quad \diagup \\ \beta_1 \quad \quad \beta_{n+1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \quad \text{with some } T_i \neq \downarrow \text{ or some } T'_i \neq \downarrow.$$

We reduce to induction on  $\text{bra}(T) + \text{bra}(T') \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{bra}(T) + \text{bra}(T') = 2$ , we have

$$T = \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \circ \alpha_1 \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad T' = \begin{array}{c} T'_1 \\ \circ \beta_1 \\ \text{---} \\ \downarrow \end{array}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(T \diamond T') &= \phi(B_{\alpha_1}^+(T_1) \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(T'_1)) \\ &= \phi\left(B_{\alpha_1 \beta_1}^+\left(B_{\alpha_1}^+(T_1) \diamond T'_1 + T_1 \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(T'_1) + \lambda T_1 \diamond T'_1\right)\right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (6)}) \\ &= \llbracket \phi(T_1) \rrbracket_{\alpha_1} \diamond_w \phi(T'_1) + \phi(T_1) \diamond_w \llbracket \phi(T'_1) \rrbracket_{\beta_1} + \lambda \phi(T_1) \diamond_w \phi(T'_1) \rrbracket_{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ &\quad (\text{by Eq. (9) and the induction on } \text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(T')) \\ &= \llbracket \phi(T_1) \rrbracket_{\alpha_1} \diamond_w \llbracket \phi(T'_1) \rrbracket_{\beta_1} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.7 (b)}) \\ &= \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T') \quad (\text{by Eq. (9)}). \end{aligned}$$

For the induction step  $\text{bra}(T) + \text{bra}(T') \geq 3$ , there are two cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $T_1 = \downarrow$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(T \diamond T') &= x_1 \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_m \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_m \quad \circ \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ T_2 \circ x_2 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \quad \circ T_{m+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_2 \quad \quad \alpha_{m+1} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \circ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \quad \circ \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ T'_1 \circ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \quad \circ T'_{n+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \quad \diagup \\ \beta_1 \quad \quad \beta_{n+1} \\ \text{---} \end{array}\right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (10)}) \\ &= x_1 \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_m \\ \circ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_m \quad \circ \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ T_2 \circ x_2 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \quad \circ T_{m+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_2 \quad \quad \alpha_{m+1} \\ \text{---} \end{array}\right) \diamond_w \phi\left(\begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \circ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \quad \circ \\ \diagup \quad \quad \diagdown \\ T'_1 \circ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \quad \circ T'_{n+1} \\ \diagdown \quad \quad \diagup \\ \beta_1 \quad \quad \beta_{n+1} \\ \text{---} \end{array}\right) \\ &\quad (\text{by the induction on } \text{bra}(T) + \text{bra}(T')) \\ &= \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T') \quad (\text{by Eq. (10)}). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:**  $T_1 \neq |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(T \diamond T') &= \lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1} x_1 \phi \left( \begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad x_2 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \alpha_{m+1} \\ T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_{m+1} \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \beta_1 \quad y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \\ T'_1 \quad \dots \quad T'_{n+1} \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (11)}) \\
 &= \lfloor \phi(T_1) \rfloor_{\alpha_1} x_1 \phi \left( \begin{array}{c} T_3 \quad T_m \\ \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_m \\ \alpha_2 \quad x_2 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \alpha_{m+1} \\ T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_{m+1} \end{array} \right) \diamond_w \phi \left( \begin{array}{c} T'_2 \quad T'_n \\ \beta_2 \quad \beta_n \\ \beta_1 \quad y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \beta_{n+1} \\ T'_1 \quad \dots \quad T'_{n+1} \end{array} \right) \\
 &\quad (\text{by the induction on } \text{bra}(T) + \text{bra}(T')) \\
 &= \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T') \quad (\text{by Eq. (11)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready for our main result in this section. Let  $j_X$  be the embedding given by

$$j_X : X \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{J}(X, \Omega), \quad x \mapsto \begin{array}{c} x \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \end{array}.$$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. The triple  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{J}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$ , together with the  $j_X$ , is the free Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight  $\lambda$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1:** We prove that  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{J}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  is a Rota-Baxter family algebra. First, for  $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathcal{J}(X, \Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi((T_1 \diamond T_2) \diamond T_3) &= (\phi(T_1) \diamond_w \phi(T_2)) \diamond_w \phi(T_3) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}) \\
 &= \phi(T_1) \diamond_w (\phi(T_2) \diamond_w \phi(T_3)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.7 (b)}) \\
 &= \phi(T_1 \diamond (T_2 \diamond T_3)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$  by Proposition 2.8, we have

$$(T_1 \diamond T_2) \diamond T_3 = T_1 \diamond (T_2 \diamond T_3),$$

which proves the associativity. Second, for  $T, T' \in \mathcal{J}(X, \Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(B_\alpha^+(T) \diamond B_\beta^+(T')) &= \phi(B_\alpha^+(T)) \diamond_w \phi(B_\beta^+(T')) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}) \\
 &= \lfloor \phi(T) \rfloor_\alpha \diamond_w \lfloor \phi(T') \rfloor_\beta \quad (\text{by Eq. (9)}) \\
 &= \lfloor \phi(T) \diamond_w \lfloor \phi(T') \rfloor_\beta + \lfloor \phi(T) \rfloor_\alpha \diamond_w \phi(T') + \lambda \phi(T) \diamond_w \phi(T') \rfloor_{\alpha\beta} \\
 &\quad (\text{by Lemma 2.7 (b)}) \\
 &= \phi(B_{\alpha\beta}^+(T \diamond B_\beta^+(T') + B_\alpha^+(T) \diamond T' + \lambda T \diamond T')) \quad (\text{by Eq. (9) and Lemma 2.9}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Again by  $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}$ , we have

$$B_\alpha^+(T) \diamond B_\beta^+(T') = B_{\alpha\beta}^+(T \diamond B_\beta^+(T') + B_\alpha^+(T) \diamond T' + \lambda T \diamond T'),$$

whence  $(B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a Rota-Baxter family on  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{J}(X, \Omega), \diamond)$ .

**Step 2:** We prove the freeness of  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{J}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$ . By Proposition 2.8,  $\phi : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{J}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_\infty$  is a linear isomorphism. Further, by Eq. (9) and Lemma 2.9,  $\phi$  is a Rota-Baxter family isomorphism. Finally, since  $(\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_\infty, \diamond_w, (\lfloor \rfloor_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  is a free Rota-Baxter family algebra on  $X$  by Lemma 2.7 (b), the result holds.  $\square$

If  $\Omega$  is a trivial semigroup, all typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees in  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  have the same edge decorations, and so  $\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  reduces to angularly decorated planar rooted trees  $\mathcal{T}(X)$  without edge decorations. Meanwhile, the unique  $B_\omega^+$  with  $\omega \in \Omega$  reduces to the grafting operation  $B^+$  applied in the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra [5]. As a consequence, we obtain a new construction of free Rota-Baxter algebra in terms of  $\mathcal{T}(X)$ .

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a trivial semigroup. Then the triple  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X), \diamond, B^+)$ , together with the  $j_X$ , is the free Rota-Baxter algebra of weight  $\lambda$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Omega$  is a trivial semigroup, Eq. (1) is precisely the Rota-Baxter equation. So the result follows directly from Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

### 3. EMBEDDING FREE DFAs (RESP. TFAs) INTO FREE RBFAs

In this section, we show that the free dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra on a set  $X$  is a canonical dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family subalgebra of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  of weight zero (resp. one).

**3.1. Embedding free DFAs into free RBFAs.** The concept of a dendriform family algebra was proposed in [20], as a generalization of a dendriform algebra invented by Loday [19] in the study of algebraic  $K$ -theory.

**Definition 3.1.** [20] Let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. A **dendriform family algebra** is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -module  $D$  with a family of binary operations  $(\langle_\omega, \rangle_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  such that for  $x, y, z \in D$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x \langle_\alpha y) \langle_\beta z &= x \langle_{\alpha\beta} (y \langle_\beta z + y \rangle_\alpha z), \\ (x \rangle_\alpha y) \langle_\beta z &= x \rangle_\alpha (y \langle_\beta z), \\ (x \langle_\beta y + x \rangle_\alpha y) \rangle_{\alpha\beta} z &= x \rangle_\alpha (y \rangle_\beta z). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. For  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$Y_n := Y_{n, X, \Omega} := \mathcal{T}_n(X, \Omega) \cap \{\text{planar binary trees}\},$$

that is,  $Y_n$  is the set of  $X$ -angularly decorated  $\Omega^1$ -typed planar binary trees with  $n + 1$  leaves, such that leaves are decorated by the identity 1 in  $\Omega^1$  and internal edges are decorated by elements of  $\Omega$ . Here are some examples for better understanding. For convenience, we omit the decoration 1 in the sequel.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array} \mid x \in X \right\}, \quad Y_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array} \mid x, y \in X, \alpha \in \Omega \right\}, \\ Y_3 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} z \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \quad \alpha \quad x \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} z \quad y \quad z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} z \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \quad \alpha \quad x \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} z \quad y \quad z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} z \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ | \end{array}, \dots \mid x, y, z \in X, \alpha, \beta \in \Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $T \in Y_m, U \in Y_n, x \in X$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1$ , the grafting  $\vee_{x, (\alpha, \beta)}$  of  $T$  and  $U$  over  $x$  and  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is defined to be  $T \vee_{x, (\alpha, \beta)} U \in Y_{m+n+1}$ , obtained by adding a new vertex decorated by  $x$  and joining the roots of  $T$  and  $U$  with the new vertex via two new edges decorated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively.

Conversely, for  $T \in Y_n$  not equal to  $|$ , there is a unique decomposition

$$T = T^l \vee_{x, (\alpha, \beta)} T^r \text{ for some } x \in X \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1.$$

Denote by

$$\text{DD}(X, \Omega) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{k}Y_n.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Slightly extending [21, Paragraph 3.1], we define binary operations

$\langle_{\omega}, \rangle_{\omega} : (\text{DD}(X, \Omega) \otimes \text{DD}(X, \Omega)) \oplus (\mathbf{k} | \otimes \text{DD}(X, \Omega)) \oplus (\text{DD}(X, \Omega) \otimes \mathbf{k} |) \rightarrow \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$  recursively on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U)$  by

- (a)  $| \rangle_{\omega} T := T \langle_{\omega} | := T$  and  $| \langle_{\omega} T := T \rangle_{\omega} | := 0$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $T \in Y_n$  with  $n \geq 1$ .  
 (b) For  $T = T^l \vee_{x, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} T^r$  and  $U = U^l \vee_{y, (\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r$ , define

$$(16) \quad T \langle_{\omega} U := T^l \vee_{x, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \omega)} (T^r \langle_{\omega} U + T^r \rangle_{\alpha_2} U),$$

$$(17) \quad T \rangle_{\omega} U := (T \langle_{\beta_1} U^l + T \rangle_{\omega} U^l) \vee_{y, (\omega \beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r, \text{ where } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Note that  $| \langle_{\omega} |$  and  $| \rangle_{\omega} |$  are not defined for  $\omega \in \Omega^1$ . Here we apply the convention

$$(18) \quad | \rangle_1 T := T \langle_1 | := T \text{ and } | \langle_1 T := T \rangle_1 | := 0.$$

Let  $j : X \rightarrow \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  be the map defined by  $j(x) = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \end{array}$  for  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** [21] Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Then  $(\text{DD}(X, \Omega), (\langle_{\omega}, \rangle_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega})$ , together with the map  $j$ , is the free dendriform family algebra on  $X$ .

It is well-known that a Rota-Baxter algebra of weight 0 induces a dendriform algebra [1, 6]. Similarly, we have the following fact.

**Lemma 3.4.** [20] Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. The Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_{\omega}^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of weight 0 induces a dendriform family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (\langle'_{\omega}, \rangle'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega})$ , where

$$(19) \quad T \langle'_{\omega} U := T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) \text{ and } T \rangle'_{\omega} U := B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U, \text{ for } T, U \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega).$$

Now we arrive at our main result.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. The free dendriform family algebra  $(\text{DD}(X, \Omega), (\langle_{\omega}, \rangle_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega})$  on  $X$  is a dendriform family subalgebra of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_{\omega}^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of weight 0.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, a Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_{\omega}^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of weight 0 induces a dendriform family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (\langle'_{\omega}, \rangle'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega})$ . Now we prove that  $(\text{DD}(X, \Omega), (\langle'_{\omega}, \rangle'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega})$  is a dendriform family subalgebra of  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (\langle'_{\omega}, \rangle'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega})$ .

For  $T = T^l \vee_{x, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} T^r$  and  $U = U^l \vee_{y, (\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$ , we use induction on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) = 2$ , we have  $T = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \end{array}$  and  $U = \begin{array}{c} \diagup y \diagdown \\ | \end{array}$ . Then

$$T \langle'_{\omega} U = T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^+\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup y \diagdown \\ | \end{array}\right) = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} \diagup y \diagdown \\ | \omega \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \omega \end{array} \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega),$$

$$T \rangle'_{\omega} U = B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U = B_{\omega}^+\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \end{array}\right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} \diagup y \diagdown \\ | \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \omega \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} \diagup y \diagdown \\ | \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \omega \end{array} \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega).$$

For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 3$ , we only prove  $T \langle'_{\omega} U \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$ , as  $T \rangle'_{\omega} U \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  can be obtained similarly.

If  $T^r = |$ , then by Eq. (5),

$$T \langle'_{\omega} U = T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \diagup x \diagdown \\ | \omega \end{array} \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega).$$

If  $T^r \neq |$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
T <'_\omega U &= T \diamond B_\omega^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad T^r \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \\
&= \left( \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_2}^+(T^r) \right) \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \diamond \left( B_{\alpha_2}^+(T^r) \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \right) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \alpha_1 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_2 \omega}^+ \left( T^r \diamond B_\omega^+(U) + B_{\alpha_2}^+(T^r) \diamond U \right) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.10 of } \lambda = 0) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad T^r \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \omega \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad B_{\alpha_2}^+(T^r) \diamond U \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \omega \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad T^r <'_\omega U \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \omega \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad T^r >'_\omega U \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \omega \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $T^r <'_\omega U, T^r >'_\omega U \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  and so  $T <'_\omega U \in \text{DD}(X, \Omega)$ . Hence  $(\text{DD}(X, \Omega), (<'_\omega, >'_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  is a dendriform family subalgebra of  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (<'_\omega, >'_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega})$ . We are left to show

$$(20) \quad T <_\omega U = T <'_\omega U \quad \text{and} \quad T >_\omega U = T >'_\omega U,$$

for  $T = T^l \vee_{x, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} T^r$  and  $U = U^l \vee_{y, (\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r$ . We use induction on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 2$ , we have  $T = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array}$  and  $U = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ | \end{array}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T <_\omega U &= (| \vee_{x, (1, 1)} |) <_\omega \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ | \end{array} \\
&= | \vee_{x, (1, \omega)} \left( | <_\omega \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ | \end{array} + | >_1 \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ | \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (16)}) \\
&= | \vee_{x, (1, \omega)} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ | \end{array} \quad (\text{by Item (a) of Definition 3.2 and Eq. (18)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \quad y \\ \omega \\ | \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ \omega \\ | \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_\omega^+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ y \\ | \end{array} \right) = T \diamond B_\omega^+(U) = T <'_\omega U \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
T >_\omega U &= \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ | \end{array} >_\omega (| \vee_{y, (1, 1)} |) \\
&= \left( \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ <_1 | \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad / \\ x \\ >_\omega | \end{array} \right) \vee_{y, (\omega, 1)} | \quad (\text{by Eq. (17)})
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \end{array} \vee_{y,(\omega,1)} | \quad (\text{by Item (a) of Definition 3.2 and Eq. (18)}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega | \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \\ \omega | \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagup \\ | \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
 &= B_{\omega}^{+} \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \\ | \end{array} \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagup \\ | \end{array} = B_{\omega}^{+}(T) \diamond U = T \succ'_{\omega} U \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the induction step of  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 3$ . We first prove the first equation of Eq. (20). If  $T^r = |$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T \prec_{\omega} U &= (T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,1)} |) \prec_{\omega} U \\
 &= T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,\omega)} (| \prec_{\omega} U + | \succ_1 U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (16)}) \\
 &= T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,\omega)} U \quad (\text{by Item (a) of Definition 3.2 and Eq. (18)}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad U \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \quad \omega \\ | \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \diagdown \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
 &= T \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) = T \prec'_{\omega} U \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $T^r \neq |$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T \prec_{\omega} U &= (T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)} T^r) \prec_{\omega} U \\
 &= T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2\omega)} (T^r \prec_{\omega} U + T^r \succ_{\alpha_2} U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (16)}) \\
 &= T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2\omega)} (T^r \prec'_{\omega} U + T^r \succ'_{\alpha_2} U) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}) \\
 &= T^l \vee_{x,(\alpha_1,\alpha_2\omega)} (T^r \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) + B_{\alpha_2}^{+}(T^r) \diamond U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad T^r \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \quad \alpha_2\omega \\ | \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad B_{\alpha_2}^{+}(T^r) \diamond U \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \quad \alpha_2\omega \\ | \end{array} \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \diagdown \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_2\omega}^{+} (T^r \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) + B_{\alpha_2}^{+}(T^r) \diamond U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \diagdown \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \\ | \end{array} \diamond (B_{\alpha_2}^{+}(T^r) \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U)) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.10 of } \lambda = 0) \\
 &= \left( \begin{array}{c} T^l \\ \circ \\ \diagdown \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_2}^{+}(T^r) \right) \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} T^l \quad T^r \\ \circ \quad \circ \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_1 \quad x \quad \alpha_2 \\ | \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
 &= T \diamond B_{\omega}^{+}(U) = T \prec'_{\omega} U \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We next prove the second statement of Eq. (20). If  $U^l = |$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T \succ_{\omega} U &= T \succ_{\omega} (| \vee_{y,(1,\beta_2)} U^r) \\
 &= (T \succ_{\omega} | + T \prec_1 |) \vee_{y,(\omega,\beta_2)} U^r \quad (\text{by Eq. (17)})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= T \vee_{y, (\omega, \beta_2)} U^r \quad (\text{by Item (a) of Definition 3.2 and Eq. (18)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T \quad U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \quad y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} = B_\omega^+(T) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
&= B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U = T \succ'_\omega U \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $U^l \neq |$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \succ_\omega U &= T \succ_\omega (U^l \vee_{y, (\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r) \\
&= (T \succ_\omega U^l + T \prec_{\beta_1} U^l) \vee_{y, (\omega\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r \quad (\text{by Eq. (17)}) \\
&= (T \succ'_\omega U^l + T \prec'_{\beta_1} U^l) \vee_{y, (\omega\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}) \\
&= (B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^l + T \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(U^l)) \vee_{y, (\omega\beta_1, \beta_2)} U^r \quad (\text{by Eq. 19}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^l \quad U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega\beta_1 \quad y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(U^l) \quad U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega\beta_1 \quad y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} \\
&= B_{\omega\beta_1}^+(B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^l + T \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(U^l)) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
&= (B_\omega^+(T) \diamond B_{\beta_1}^+(U^l)) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.10 of weight 0}) \\
&= B_\omega^+(T) \diamond (B_{\beta_1}^+(U^l) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array}) \\
&= B_\omega^+(T) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^l \quad U^r \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta_1 \quad y \quad \beta_2 \\ | \\ \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
&= B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U = T \succ'_\omega U \quad (\text{by Eq. (19)}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**3.2. Embedding free TFAs into free RBFAs.** The concept of a tridendriform family algebra was introduced in [20], which is a generalization of a tridendriform algebra invented by Loday and Ronco [18] in the study of polytopes and Koszul duality.

**Definition 3.6.** [20] Let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. A **tridendriform family algebra** is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -module  $T$  equipped with a family of binary operations  $(\prec_\omega, \succ_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and a binary operation  $\cdot$  such that for  $x, y, z \in T$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(x \prec_\alpha y) \prec_\beta z &= x \prec_{\alpha\beta} (y \prec_\beta z + y \succ_\alpha z + y \cdot z), \\
(x \succ_\alpha y) \prec_\beta z &= x \succ_\alpha (y \prec_\beta z), \\
(x \prec_\beta y + x \succ_\alpha y + x \cdot y) \succ_\alpha z &= x \succ_\alpha (y \succ_\beta z), \\
(x \succ_\alpha y) \cdot z &= x \succ_\alpha (y \cdot z), \\
(x \prec_\alpha y) \cdot z &= x \cdot (y \succ_\alpha z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(x \cdot y) <_{\alpha} z &= x \cdot (y <_{\alpha} z), \\ (x \cdot y) \cdot z &= x \cdot (y \cdot z).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. For  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$T_n := T_{n,X,\Omega} := \mathcal{T}_n(X, \Omega) \cap \{\text{Schröder trees}\},$$

be the set of  $X$ -angularly decorated  $\Omega^1$ -typed Schröder trees with  $n+1$  leaves, such that the leaves are decorated by the identity 1 in  $\Omega^1$  and internal edges are decorated by elements of  $\Omega$ . Here are some examples. Note that the edge decoration 1 is omitted for convenience.

$$\begin{aligned}T_1 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \end{array} \middle| x \in X \right\}, & T_2 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} y \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} y \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \end{array} \middle| x, y \in X, \alpha \in \Omega \right\}, \\ T_3 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} z \quad y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \quad \alpha \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} y \quad z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \beta \quad \alpha \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} y \quad z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} x \quad y \quad z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} z \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ x \quad y \quad \alpha \end{array}, \dots \middle| x, y, z \in X, \alpha, \beta \in \Omega \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$\text{DT}(X, \Omega) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{k}T_n.$$

For typed angularly decorated Schröder trees  $T^{(i)} \in T_{n_i}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Omega^1$ , the grafting  $\bigvee$  of  $T^{(i)}$  over  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  and  $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is

$$(21) \quad T = \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_k}^{k+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(k)})$$

obtained by joining the  $k+1$  roots of  $T^{(i)}$  to a new vertex angularly decorated by  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  and decorating the new edges from left to right by  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k$  respectively.

Conversely, any typed angularly decorated Schröder tree  $T \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$  can be uniquely expressed as such a grafting in Eq. (21) of lower depth typed angularly decorated planar rooted trees.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Slightly extending [21, Definition 3.7], we define binary operations

$$<_{\omega}, >_{\omega}, \cdot : (\text{DT}(X, \Omega) \otimes \text{DT}(X, \Omega)) \oplus (\mathbf{k} \otimes \text{DT}(X, \Omega)) \oplus (\text{DT}(X, \Omega) \otimes \mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \text{DT}(X, \Omega) \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega$$

recursively on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U)$  by

$$(a) \quad | >_{\omega} T := T <_{\omega} | := T, | <_{\omega} T := T >_{\omega} | := 0 \text{ and } | \cdot T := T \cdot | := 0 \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } T \in T_n \text{ with } n \geq 1.$$

(b) Let

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_m}^{m+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m)}) \in T_m, \\ U &= \bigvee_{y_1, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n} (U^{(0)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \in T_n,\end{aligned}$$

define

$$(22) \quad T <_{\omega} U := \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}^{m+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \omega} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} >_{\alpha_m} U + T^{(m)} <_{\omega} U + T^{(m)} \cdot U),$$

(23)

$$T \succ_{\omega} U := \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T \succ_{\omega} U^{(0)} + T \prec_{\beta_0} U^{(0)} + T \cdot U^{(0)}, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}),$$

(24)

$$T \cdot U := \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} \succ_{\alpha_m} U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \prec_{\beta_0} U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \cdot U^{(0)}, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}).$$

Note that  $|\prec_{\omega}|$ ,  $|\succ_{\omega}|$  and  $|\cdot|$  are not defined. We employ the convention that

$$(25) \quad |\prec_1| + |\succ_1| + |\cdot| := |,$$

and

$$(26) \quad |\succ_1 T := T \prec_1| := T \text{ and } |\prec_1 T := T \succ_1| := 0.$$

Let  $j : X \rightarrow \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$  be the map defined by  $j(x) = \bigvee^x$  for  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** [21] *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Then  $(\text{DT}(X, \Omega), (\prec_{\omega}, \succ_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}, \cdot)$ , together with the map  $j$ , is the free tridendriform family algebra on  $X$ .*

Let us recall the following fact.

**Lemma 3.9.** [20] *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. The Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_{\omega}^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of weight 1 induces a tridendriform family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (\prec'_{\omega}, \succ'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}, \cdot')$ , where*

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} T \prec'_{\omega} U &:= T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U), \quad T \succ'_{\omega} U := B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U \text{ and} \\ T \cdot' U &:= T \diamond U, \text{ for } T, U \in \mathcal{T}(X, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready for our main result.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. The free tridendriform family algebra  $(\text{DT}(X, \Omega), (\prec_{\omega}, \succ_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}, \cdot)$  on  $X$  is a tridendriform family subalgebra of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_{\omega}^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of weight 1.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.9, a Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_{\omega}^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of weight 1 induces a tridendriform family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (\prec'_{\omega}, \succ'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}, \cdot')$ . Now we prove that  $(\text{DT}(X, \Omega), (\prec'_{\omega}, \succ'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}, \cdot')$  is a tridendriform family subalgebra of  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), (\prec'_{\omega}, \succ'_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}, \cdot')$ .

For

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_m}^{m+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m)}) \in T_m, \\ U &= \bigvee_{y_1, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n} (U^{(0)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \in T_n \text{ with } m, n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

we use induction on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) = 2$ , we have

$$T = \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_m} \text{ and } U = \bigvee_{y_1, \dots, y_n}.$$

$$T \prec'_{\omega} U = T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_m} \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_m} \bigcirc_{\omega} U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega),$$

$$T \succ'_{\omega} U = B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U = B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond \bigvee_{y_1, \dots, y_n} = \bigcirc_{\omega} \bigvee_{y_1, \dots, y_n} T \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega) \text{ and}$$

$$T \cdot U = T \diamond U = \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} x_m \quad y_1 \quad \cdots \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \end{array} \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega).$$

For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 3$ , we first prove  $T <'_\omega U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ , there are two cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $T^{(m)} = |$ . Then

$$T <'_\omega U = T \diamond B_\omega^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \omega \end{array} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \omega \end{array} U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega).$$

**Case 2:**  $T^{(m)} \neq |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T <'_\omega U &= T \diamond B_\omega^+(U) = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \end{array} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \right) \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \end{array} \diamond \left( B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \right) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_m \omega}^+ \left( B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U + T^{(m)} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) + T^{(m)} \diamond U \right) \\ &\quad (\text{by Theorem (2.10) of weight 1}) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \omega \end{array} \left( B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U \right) + \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \omega \end{array} \left( T^{(m)} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \right) + \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \alpha_m \omega \end{array} \left( T^{(m)} \diamond U \right). \end{aligned}$$

(by Eq. (5))

By the induction hypothesis,  $B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U$ ,  $T^{(m)} \diamond B_\omega^+(U)$  and  $T^{(m)} \diamond U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$  and so  $T <'_\omega U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ .

We next prove  $T >'_\omega U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ , there are also two cases to consider.

**Case 3:**  $U^{(0)} = |$ . Then

$$T >'_\omega U = B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U = B_\omega^+(T) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \beta_n \end{array} U^{(n)} = \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \omega \quad y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_n \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \beta_n \end{array} U^{(n)} \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega).$$

**Case 4:**  $U^{(0)} \neq |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T >'_\omega U &= B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U = B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_0 \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \\
&= B_\omega^+(T) \diamond \left( B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
&= \left( B_\omega^+(T) \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \\
&= B_{\omega\beta_0}^+ \left( B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} + T \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T \diamond U^{(0)} \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \\
&\quad (\text{by Theorem (2.10) of weight 1}) \\
&= \left( B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} + T \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T \diamond U^{(0)} \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \omega\beta_0 \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $B_\omega^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} + T \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T \diamond U^{(0)} \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$  and so  $T >'_\omega U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ .

We finally prove  $T \cdot' U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ , there are four cases to consider.

**Case 5:**  $T^{(m)} = | = U^{(0)}$ . Then

$$T \cdot' U = T \diamond U = T^{(0)} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \dots x_m \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} = T^{(0)} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T^{(m-1)} \quad U^{(1)} \\ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m \quad y_1 \dots y_n \\ x_1 \dots x_m \quad \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega).$$

**Case 6:**  $T^{(m)} \neq | = U^{(0)}$ . Then

$$T \cdot' U = T \diamond U = T^{(0)} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \dots x_m \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} = T^{(0)} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T^{(m-1)} \quad T^{(m)} \quad U^{(0)} \\ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m \quad y_1 \dots y_n \\ x_1 \dots x_m \quad \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega).$$

**Case 7:**  $T^{(m)} = | \neq U^{(0)}$ . This case is similar to Case 6.

**Case 8:**  $T^{(m)} \neq | \neq U^{(0)}$ . Then

$$T \cdot' U = T \diamond U = T^{(0)} \diamond \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \dots x_m \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \dots y_n \\ \beta_0 \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( T^{(0)} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \alpha_0 \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \end{array} \diamond \left( B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) \right) \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (6)}) \\
 &= \left( T^{(0)} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \alpha_0 \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_m \beta_0}^+ \left( B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T^{(m)} \diamond U^{(0)} \right) \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \\
 &\quad (\text{by Theorem (2.10) of weight 1}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U^{(0)} \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_m \beta_0 \\ T^{(m-1)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(1)} \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_{m-1} \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \quad y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_0 \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ T^{(0)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T^{(m)} \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_m \beta_0 \\ T^{(m-1)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(1)} \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_{m-1} \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \quad y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_0 \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ T^{(0)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} T^{(m)} \diamond U^{(0)} \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_m \beta_0 \\ T^{(m-1)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(1)} \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_{m-1} \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \quad y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_0 \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ T^{(0)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \end{array} \\
 &\quad (\text{by Eqs. (4) and (5)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U^{(0)}$ ,  $T^{(m)} \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)})$  and  $T^{(m)} \diamond U^{(0)} \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$  and so  $T \cdot U \in \text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ . We are left to show

$$(28) \quad T <_{\omega} U = T <_{\omega}' U, \quad T >_{\omega} U = T >_{\omega}' U \quad \text{and} \quad T \cdot U = T \cdot' U,$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_m}^{m+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m)}) \in T_m, \\
 U &= \bigvee_{y_1, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n} (U^{(0)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \in T_n \quad \text{with } m, n \geq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

We apply induction on  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 2$ . For the initial step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) = 2$ , we have

$$T = \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_m \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \circlearrowleft \alpha_0 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \beta_0 \end{array}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T <_{\omega} U &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}^{m+1; 1, \dots, 1, \omega} (|, \dots, |, | >_1 U + | <_{\omega} U + | \cdot U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (22)}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}^{m+1; 1, \dots, 1, \omega} (|, \dots, |, U) \quad (\text{by Item (a) in Definition 3.7 and Eq. (26)}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_m \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \circlearrowleft \omega \end{array} U = \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \alpha_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \alpha_m \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \circlearrowleft \omega \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
 &= T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = T <_{\omega}' U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T >_{\omega} U &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega, 1, \dots, 1} (T >_{\omega} | + T <_1 | + T \cdot |, |, \dots, |) \quad (\text{by Eq. (23)}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega, 1, \dots, 1} (T, |, \dots, |) \quad (\text{by Item (a) in Definition 3.7 and Eq. (26)}) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \omega \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \omega \end{array} T = B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \beta_n \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ \circlearrowleft \beta_0 \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
 &= B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U = T >_{\omega}' U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T \cdot U &= \sqrt{\binom{m+n+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}; 1, \dots, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1} (|, \dots, |, | \succ_1 | + | \prec_1 | + | \cdot |, |, \dots, |) \quad (\text{by Eq. (24)}) \\
&= \sqrt{\binom{m+n+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}; 1, \dots, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1} (|, \dots, |, |, |, \dots, |) \quad (\text{by Eq. (25)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ x_m \quad y_1 \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_m \\ \dots \\ x_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} \dots \\ \dots \\ y_1 \\ \dots \\ y_n \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (2)}) \\
&= T \diamond U = T \cdot' U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the induction step  $\text{dep}(T) + \text{dep}(U) \geq 3$ , we first prove the first statement of Eq. (28). There are two cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $T^{(m)} = |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \prec_\omega U &= \sqrt{\binom{m+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \omega} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, | \succ_1 U + | \prec_\omega U + | \cdot U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (22)}) \\
&= \sqrt{\binom{m+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \omega} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, U) \quad (\text{by Item (a) in Definition 3.7 and Eq. (26)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad x_m \quad \omega \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} U = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad x_m \end{array} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
&= T \diamond B_\omega^+(U) = T \prec'_\omega U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:**  $T^{(m)} \neq |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \prec_\omega U &= \sqrt{\binom{m+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \omega} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} \succ_{\alpha_m} U + T^{(m)} \prec_\omega U + T^{(m)} \cdot U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (22)}) \\
&= \sqrt{\binom{m+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \omega} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} \succ'_{\alpha_m} U + T^{(m)} \prec'_\omega U + T^{(m)} \cdot' U) \\
&\quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}) \\
&= \sqrt{\binom{m+1}{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m}; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \omega} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U + T^{(m)} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) + T^{(m)} \diamond U) \\
&\quad (\text{by Eq. (27)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad x_m \quad \alpha_m \omega \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} (B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U + T^{(m)} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) + T^{(m)} \diamond U) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad x_m \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_m \omega}^+(B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U + T^{(m)} \diamond B_\omega^+(U) + T^{(m)} \diamond U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad x_m \end{array} \diamond (B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond B_\omega^+(U)) \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.10 of weight 1})
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( T^{(0)} \circlearrowleft \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \right) \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) \\
 &= T^{(0)} \circlearrowleft \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_0 \quad \alpha_m \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\
 &= T \diamond B_{\omega}^+(U) = T \prec'_{\omega} U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We next prove the second statement of Eq. (28), and there are also two cases to consider.

**Case 3:**  $U^{(0)} = |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T \succ_{\omega} U &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T \succ_{\omega} | + T \prec_1 | + T \cdot |, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (23)}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \quad (\text{by Item (a) in Definition 3.7 and Eq. (26)}) \\
 &= T \circlearrowleft \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \omega \quad \beta_n \end{array} \diamond B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_n \end{array} \diamond U^{(n)} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
 &= B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U = T \succ'_{\omega} U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Case 4:**  $U^{(0)} \neq |$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T \succ_{\omega} U &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T \succ_{\omega} U^{(0)} + T \prec_{\beta_0} U^{(0)} + T \cdot U^{(0)}, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (23)}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T \succ'_{\omega} U^{(0)} + T \prec'_{\beta_0} U^{(0)} + T \cdot U^{(0)}, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \\
 &\quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}) \\
 &= \bigvee_{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n}^{n+1; \omega \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} + T \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T \diamond U^{(0)}, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \\
 &\quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}) \\
 &= (B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} + T \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T \diamond U^{(0)}) \circlearrowleft \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \omega \beta_0 \quad \beta_n \end{array} \diamond U^{(n)} \\
 &= B_{\omega \beta_0}^+(B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond U^{(0)} + T \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T \diamond U^{(0)}) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_n \end{array} \diamond U^{(n)} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
 &= (B_{\omega}^+(T) \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)})) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_n \end{array} \diamond U^{(n)} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.10 of weight 1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= B_{\omega}^{+}(T) \diamond \left( B_{\beta_0}^{+}(U^{(0)}) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \right) \\
&= B_{\omega}^{+}(T) \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_0 \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(0)} \quad U^{(n)} \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
&= B_{\omega}^{+}(T) \diamond U = T \succ'_{\omega} U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
\end{aligned}$$

We finally prove the third statement of Eq. (28), and there are four cases to consider.

**Case 5:**  $T^{(m)} = | = U^{(0)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \cdot U &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, 1, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, | \succ_1 | + | \prec_1 | + | \cdot |, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \\
&\quad (\text{by Eq. (24)}) \\
&= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, 1, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, |, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (25)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^{(m-1)} \quad U^{(1)} \\ T^{(1)} \quad \alpha_{m-1} \quad x_m \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad y_n \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(0)} \quad U^{(n)} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (3)}) \\
&= T \diamond U = T \cdot' U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 6:**  $T^{(m)} \neq | = U^{(0)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \cdot U &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} \succ_{\alpha_m} | + T^{(m)} \prec_1 | + T^{(m)} \cdot |, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \\
&\quad (\text{by Eq. (24)}) \\
&= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)}, U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \\
&\quad (\text{by Item (a) in Definition 3.7 and Eq. (26)}) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} T^{(m-1)} \quad T^{(m)} \quad U^{(1)} \\ T^{(1)} \quad \alpha_{m-1} \quad x_m \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ \alpha_0 \quad x_1 \quad y_n \quad \beta_n \\ U^{(0)} \quad U^{(n)} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_{m-1} \\ x_1 \quad x_m \\ \alpha_0 \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ \beta_1 \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ y_1 \quad y_n \\ \beta_n \\ U^{(n)} \end{array} \quad (\text{by Eq. (4)}) \\
&= T \diamond U = T \cdot' U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 7:**  $T^{(m)} = | \neq U^{(0)}$ . This case is similar to Case 6.

**Case 8:**  $T^{(m)} \neq | \neq U^{(0)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \cdot U &= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} \succ_{\alpha_m} U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \prec_{\beta_0} U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \cdot U^{(0)}, \\
&\quad U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (24)}) \\
&= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, T^{(m)} \succ'_{\alpha_m} U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \prec'_{\beta_0} U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \cdot' U^{(0)}, \\
&\quad U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)}) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}) \\
&= \bigvee_{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n}^{m+n+1; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} (T^{(0)}, \dots, T^{(m-1)}, B_{\alpha_m}^{+}(T^{(m)}) \diamond U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \diamond B_{\beta_0}^{+}(U^{(0)}) + T^{(m)} \diamond U^{(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(n)} \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}) \\
 & B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U^{(0)} \quad T^{(m)} \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) \quad T^{(m)} \diamond U^{(0)} \\
 & = \begin{array}{c} \alpha_m \beta_0 \\ | \\ T^{(m-1)} \quad U^{(1)} \\ | \quad | \\ T^{(1)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ T^{(0)} \quad \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \alpha_0 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \alpha_m \beta_0 \\ | \\ T^{(m-1)} \quad U^{(1)} \\ | \quad | \\ T^{(1)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ T^{(0)} \quad \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \alpha_0 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \alpha_m \beta_0 \\ | \\ T^{(m-1)} \quad U^{(1)} \\ | \quad | \\ T^{(1)} \quad \dots \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ T^{(0)} \quad \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \alpha_0 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n \end{array} \\
 & = \left( \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ | \quad | \\ T^{(0)} \quad \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \alpha_0 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n \end{array} \diamond B_{\alpha_m \beta_0}^+ (B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond U^{(0)} + T^{(m)} \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)}) + T^{(m)} \diamond U^{(0)}) \right) \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ | \quad | \\ \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \beta_n \end{array} U^{(n)} \\
 & \quad (\text{by Eqs. (4) and (5)}) \\
 & = \left( \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ | \quad | \\ T^{(0)} \quad \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \alpha_0 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n \end{array} \diamond (B_{\alpha_m}^+(T^{(m)}) \diamond B_{\beta_0}^+(U^{(0)})) \right) \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ | \quad | \\ \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \beta_n \end{array} U^{(n)} \\
 & \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.10 of weight 1}) \\
 & = \begin{array}{c} T^{(1)} \quad T^{(m-1)} \\ | \quad | \\ T^{(0)} \quad \alpha_1 \quad x_1 \quad y_1 \quad \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad U^{(n)} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \alpha_0 \quad \dots \quad \beta_n \end{array} \diamond \begin{array}{c} U^{(1)} \quad U^{(n-1)} \\ | \quad | \\ \beta_1 \quad \dots \quad \beta_{n-1} \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_n \\ | \quad \dots \quad | \\ \beta_n \end{array} U^{(n)} \quad (\text{by Eq. (6)}) \\
 & = T \diamond U = T \cdot U \quad (\text{by Eq. (27)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** In the rest of this paper, let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. Theorem 3.10 is also true for any weight  $\lambda \neq 0$ , at the price of replacing the canonical inclusion  $j$  by  $\lambda^{-1}j$ . A similar (and simpler) proof yields an analogon in weight zero case, namely that the free dendriform family algebra  $(\text{DD}(X, \Omega), (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\omega, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  on  $X$  is a dendriform family subalgebra of the free Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight zero. The image of  $\text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  in  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  is included in the linear span  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}_b(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  of typed decorated rooted trees in which any vertex has exactly one or two incoming edges. Details are left to the reader.

**3.3. Universal enveloping algebras of (tri)dendriform family algebras.** In this subsection, we mainly prove that the free Rota-Baxter family algebra  $(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega), \diamond, (B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega})$  is the universal enveloping algebra of the free dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra  $\text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  (resp.  $\text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ ).

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $D$  be a dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra. A **universal enveloping Rota-Baxter family algebra** of weight  $\lambda$  of  $D$  is a Rota-Baxter family algebra  $\text{RBF}(D) := \text{RBF}_\lambda(D)$ , together with a dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra morphism  $j : D \rightarrow \text{RBF}(D)$  such that for any weight  $\lambda$  Rota-Baxter family algebra  $A$  and dendriform (resp. tridendriform) family algebra morphism  $f : D \rightarrow A$ , there is a unique Rota-Baxter family algebra morphism  $\tilde{f} : \text{RBF}(D) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\tilde{f} \circ j = f$ .

From Theorem 3.5, we know that the free dendriform family algebra  $\text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  is a dendriform family subalgebra of  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ . Let  $j : \text{DD}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  be the natural embedding map.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Then  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ , together with the canonical inclusion map  $j$ , is the universal enveloping weight zero Rota-Baxter family algebra of the free dendriform family algebra  $\text{DD}(X, \Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.4, a Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight 0 induces a dendriform family algebra. Let  $(A, (Q_\omega)_\omega)$  be any Rota-Baxter family algebra of weight 0 and let  $g : \text{DD}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow A$  be a dendriform family algebra morphism. We need to find a Rota-Baxter family algebra morphism  $\bar{g} : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow A$  making the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{DD}(X, \Omega) & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \\ g \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{g} & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

From Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.5, we know that  $\text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  is generated by  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \middle| x \in X \right\}$  and  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  is generated by  $\text{DD}(X, \Omega)$  under the operations  $(B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $\diamond$ . Hence  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  is generated by  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \middle| x \in X \right\}$  under the operations  $(B_\omega^+)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $\diamond$ . By the freeness of  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ , there is a unique Rota-Baxter family algebra morphism  $\bar{g} : \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow A$ , so that  $\bar{g}\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}\right) := g\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}\right) = a_x$ . Then  $g\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}\right) = \bar{g} \circ j\left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}\right)$ . So  $g = \bar{g} \circ j$  and the diagram commutes.  $\square$

From Theorem 3.10, we know that the free tridendriform family algebra  $\text{DT}(X, \Omega)$  is a tridendriform family subalgebra of  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ . Let  $j : \text{DT}(X, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$  be the natural embedding map.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. Let  $\lambda$  be any nonzero element of the base field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then  $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{T}(X, \Omega)$ , together with  $\lambda^{-1}j$ , is the universal enveloping weight  $\lambda$  Rota-Baxter family algebra of the free tridendriform family algebra  $\text{DT}(X, \Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to Theorem 3.13. Details are left to the reader.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771191 and 11861051).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, Infinitesimal Hopf algebras, *New Trends in Hopf Algebra Theory* (La Falda, 1999), 1-29, *Contemp. Math.* **267**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. [2](#), [15](#)
- [2] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731-742. [2](#)
- [3] L. A. Bokut, Y. Q. Chen and J. J. Qiu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators and free Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 89-100. [7](#)
- [4] Y. Bruned, M. Hairer and L. Zambotti, Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures, *Invent. math.* **215** (2019), 1039-1156. [3](#)
- [5] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization and non-commutative geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1) (1998), 203-242. [14](#)
- [6] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002), 139-147. [2](#), [15](#)
- [7] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Free Rota-Baxter algebras and rooted trees, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0510266>. [2](#)

- [8] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 320-339. [2](#)
- [9] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, J. Gracia-Bondia and F. Patras, A Lie theoretic approach to renormalization, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **276** (2007), 519-549. [1](#), [2](#)
- [10] L. Foissy, Algebraic structures on typed decorated planar rooted trees, arXiv: 1811.07572v1 [math.RA] 19 Nov 2018. [3](#)
- [11] L. Foissy, Generalized dendriform algebras and typed binary trees, preprint arXiv:2002.12120 (2020). [1](#)
- [12] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On free Baxter algebras: completions and the internal construction, *Adv. Math.* **151** (2000), 101-127. [2](#)
- [13] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 522-540. [2](#)
- [14] L. Guo, Operated monoids, Motzkin paths and rooted trees, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **29** (2009), 35-62. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [7](#)
- [15] L. Guo, An introduction to Rota-Baxter algebra, International Press, 2012. [2](#)
- [16] D. Kreimer and E. Panzer, Hopf-algebraic renormalization of Kreimer's toy model, Master thesis, Handbook, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1202.3552>. [1](#), [2](#)
- [17] J. -L. Loday and M. O. Ronco, Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees, *Adv. Math.* **39** (1998), 293-309. [2](#)
- [18] J. -L. Loday and M. O. Ronco, Trialgebras and families of polytopes, in "Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K-theory", *Contemp. Math.* **346** (2004), 369-398. [18](#)
- [19] J. -L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbre de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz, *Ens. Math.* **39** (1993), 269-293. [2](#), [14](#)
- [20] Y. Y. Zhang and X. Gao, Free Rota-Baxter family algebras and (tri)dendriform family algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **301** (2019), 741-766. [1](#), [2](#), [7](#), [8](#), [14](#), [15](#), [18](#), [20](#)
- [21] Y. Y. Zhang, X. Gao and D. Manchon, Free (tri)dendriform family algebras, *J. Algebra* **547** (2020), 456-493. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [15](#), [19](#), [20](#)
- [22] Y. Y. Zhang and D. Manchon, Free pre-Lie family algebras, preprint, arXiv:2003.00917 (2020). [1](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, 730000, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* zhangyy17@lzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, 730000, P.R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* gaoxing@lzu.edu.cn

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES BLAISE PASCAL, CNRS-UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT-AUVERGNE, 3 PLACE VASARÉLY, CS 60026, F63178 AUBIÈRE, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* Dominique.Manchon@uca.fr