

Tidal heating of Quantum Black Holes and their imprints on gravitational waves

Sayak Datta^{1,*}

¹*Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India*

(Dated: February 12, 2020)

The characteristic difference between a black hole and other exotic compact objects (ECOs) is the presence of the horizon. The horizon of a classical black hole acts as a one-way membrane. Due to this nature, any perturbation on the black hole must satisfy ingoing boundary conditions at the horizon. For an ECO either the horizon is replaced or modified with a surface with non zero reflectivity. This results in a modification of the boundary condition of the perturbation around such systems. In this work, we study how tidal heating of an ECO gets modified due to the presence of a reflective surface and what implication it brings for the gravitational wave observations.

I. INTRODUCTION

LIGO's observation of multiple compact binary mergers has initiated the era of gravitational wave (GW) astronomy [1]. The LIGO-Virgo collaboration has also observed the first binary neutron star merger GW170817 [2]. These observations provided a stimulating boost towards the tests of general relativity in the strong-field regime [3]. Properties of vacuum spacetime, propagation of GW, violation of Lorentz invariance has been tested rigorously, resulting in stringent bounds on the mass of the graviton and violations of Lorentz invariance [4–6]. It has also become possible to test the nature of the compact objects in an inspiraling binary. The high compactness of these components leads us to the conclusion that they are either black holes (BHs) or neutron stars (NSs). But it has not been proven conclusively if the components are indeed BHs (except GW170817 where radius measurements rule them out from being black holes [7]) and not some exotic compact objects (ECOs).

To resolve the information-loss paradox Planck scale modifications of black hole horizons and BH structure have been proposed [8, 9]. Other ECOs i.e. gravastars that have an interior consisting of self-repulsive de sitter spacetime surrounded by an ordinary matter shell, have also been proposed for similar reasons [10]. Similarly, there are boson stars, that are ECOs made of scalar fields [11]. Therefore it is necessary to understand how to tell them apart from observation.

To probe the nature of the compact objects in binary, several tests have been proposed. From the post-merger signals, it is possible to distinguish BH and ECOs using *echoes*. Rigorous modeling and search for *echoes* in data has already begun [12–14]. Measurement of the tidal deformability [15, 16] and the spin induced multipole moments [17, 18] can also bring a plethora of information that will be useful for this purpose.

In General Relativity, the horizon of the classical BHs is perfect absorbers [19–22]. This is due to the causal structure of the geometry of BH. This null surface which is the defining feature of a BH is a one-way membrane.

Due to the nature of the horizon, the boundary conditions for the perturbations at the horizon are taken to be ingoing boundary conditions [23]. But in the case of the ECOs, this boundary condition can get modified [24]. This results in the modification of the perturbation quantities, resulting in observable changes. In the current work, we will focus on how these changes will modify the rate of change of mass and the angular momentum of ECOs.

Change of mass and angular momentum of the ECO will back react on the orbit. This is called tidal heating [25–27]. Tidal heating of BH has been studied in several works [28, 29]. In several works, it has been proposed that the tidal heating effects of ECOs will be different from BHs due to the effective reflectivity of the ECOs [18, 30, 31].

Modification of tidal heating and usage of it for the purpose of distinguishing different kinds of compact objects using both space-based and ground-based detectors has been studied in several works [18, 30–32]. These works are based on the assumption that the rate of change of mass for ECOs are proportional to the change of mass if it were a BH [18, 30, 31],

$$\dot{M}_{ECO} = (1 - |\mathcal{R}|^2)\dot{M}_{BH}, \quad (1)$$

where an overdot represents the time derivative. In this work, we focus on studying the validity of this assumption. We do a detailed calculation to determine how tidal heating would be for an ECO. It is obvious that how the tidal heating effects will be modified that will depend on the specific model of the ECO [33]. However, modifying the horizon boundary condition can give a conservative approximation that will help us understand the tidal heating of ECOs better.

In Sec. II we discuss the basic framework and some definitions that are relevant for the paper. In Sec. III we discuss how the area change of a quantum black hole (QBH) (which is a Kerr like ECO) depends on its physical properties. In Sec. IV we formulate the problem by reviewing Ref. [28]. In Sec. V we discuss the perturbation and its boundary conditions for a Kerr like ECO (KECO). We also discuss how these modifications will affect tidal heating of a KECO. In Sec. VI we explic-

* skdatta@iucaa.in

itly calculate the rate of change of spin and area of an KECO with a stationary companion. Using the results in Sec. VI in Sec. VII we calculate the rate of change of area and spin of a KECO in a binary. In Sec. VIII we discuss how the newfound results affects the emitted gravitational wave (GW) of a KECO binary. Finally in Sec. IX we conclude while discussing future prospects.

Throughout the paper, we take $G = c = 1$.

II. FRAMEWORK

In this work I will follow the notations described in the Ref. [28]. The 3-vectors will be denoted by boldface letters. A dot between two 3-vectors denotes the inner product in Euclidean 3-space. A hatted 3-vector will be used to represent the unit vector in that direction. In this article, we focus on Kerr-like ECOs (KECOs), QBH is one of such objects. Properties of KECO will be described in later sections. From now on we will use QBH and KECO interchangeably.

We consider a binary system with the separation b between the components which is much larger than their total mass $M = M_1 + M_2$, where M_i represents the mass of the i th component. We will label the components as KECO1 and KECO2, and we denote their spins by \mathbf{S}_i . The magnitude of the spin is $S_i = (\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i)^{1/2}$. From S_i we define the dimensionless spin parameter (χ_i) as $S_i = \chi_i M_i^2$.

As the companions are widely separated they have a region surrounding them satisfying,

- companions are far enough so that the gravity is weak there,
- the bodies does not extend so far that the companion's tidal field varies appreciably.

In such a region it is possible to place a coordinate system in which the component is momentarily are at rest. These coordinates are referred to as the local asymptotic rest frame (LARF) of the component [34]. To label the separate regions of the components we will use LARF1 and LARF2.

In general relativity mass and angular momentum of an object is defined globally using the field at infinity. Since we assume that the components are well separated we define their mass and angular momentum in the LARF. For further details check Ref. [28]. With the definitions at hand the quantities dM_i/dt and dS_i/dt can be computed from dA_i/dt using the modified version of the first law as described in Sec. III and the relation $\omega dJ_i = m dM_i$ for Kerr-perturbation modes of angular frequency ω and azimuthal angular number m [34–36]. In this case J_i is the angular momentum of the KECO.

In this work, we will focus only on the KECO1. The results for KECO2 can be found by changing the subscripts as $1 \leftrightarrow 2$.

III. AREA CHANGE OF KECO

In this work we focus on a ECO model that has Kerr metric with mass M and dimensionless spin χ_1 outside a certain radius say $r = r_+(1 + \varepsilon)$, where $r_+ = M(1 + \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2})$. Our goal in this paper is to study the tidal heating of ECOs. We assume that due to the modification of the horizon physics near horizon property changes.

The area of a BH is calculated at $r = r_+$. The rate of change of the area of a BH, therefore, comes from the evolution of the area of this surface. In the present scenario we have a reflective surface around the black hole at $r = r_s = r_+(1 + \varepsilon)$. Intersection of reflective horizon with $v = \text{const.}$ surfaces will be the relevant two surfaces of a KECO. From now on the area of this reflective surface will be considered as the area of the KECO. Using the induced metric on this surface the area can be calculated to be,

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i A^{(i)}, \quad (2)$$

$$A^{(0)} = 8\pi M r_+, \quad (3)$$

$$A^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi r_+}{3M} [r_+^2 + 2M^2 + 3M r_+], \quad (4)$$

$$A^{(2)} = \frac{2\pi r_+}{15M^3} [a^2 r_+^2 + 6M a^2 r_+ + 10M^2 r_+^2 + 12M^3 r_+ - 4M^4], \quad (5)$$

where $a_i = M_i \chi_i$. The interesting thing to notice is these results are not too far from the results of a BH. In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ this reproduces the area of a BH. Like BH these results are also simple they depend only on the mass, spin and ε . Therefore this can be considered as the effect of the modified version of the no-hair theorem where the modification arises due to the ε .

From the expression of the area it is straightforward to calculate the area change. Hence area change of KECO (δA) can be expressed as,

$$\delta A = \partial_M A \delta M + \partial_a A \delta a, \quad (7)$$

$$\partial_M A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \partial_M A^{(i)}, \quad (8)$$

$$\partial_a A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \partial_a A^{(i)}, \quad (9)$$

where (i) represents i th order term in the series. δM and δa is the change in mass M and angular momentum respectively.

$$\partial_M A^{(0)} = \frac{8\pi r_+^2}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\partial_M A^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}} [5r_+^2 + 4M r_+ - 4M^2]. \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_a A^{(0)} = \frac{-8\pi M a}{\sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}, \quad (12)$$

$$\partial_a A^{(1)} = \frac{4\pi r_+}{3a(-M^2 + a^2)} [M a^2 + 2a^2 r_+ + 3M^2 r_+ - 6M^3]. \quad (14)$$

This result is almost similar to that of a BH. The only difference is the coefficients of δM and δa depends on ε perturbatively. This can be considered as the first law of KECO thermodynamics. These results will be used in the later sections to calculate the rate of change of mass and spin of the KECOs.

IV. TIDAL HEATING DUE TO STATIONARY COMPANION

In this section, we will discuss the tidal distortion of KECO1 when KECO2 is held stationary. This is almost similar to the calculations done in Ref. [28]. Therefore this section can be considered as the review of the calculations done in Ref. [28]. Calculation of the tidal distortion involves solving for the Weyl tensor ψ_0 , using Teukolsky formalism [37]. With the ψ_0 at hand rates of change KECO1 parameters are calculable in a similar way as described in Ref. [35, 38]. First, we calculate KECO2's tidal field as seen in LARF1 (Local asymptotic rest frame of the companion 1). For this purpose, we will consider only the lowest order Newtonian tidal field that is constant in the LARF1. Take a Euclidean 3-space with a stationary body with mass M_2 at coordinate location (b, θ_0, ϕ_0) in a spherical coordinate system. The Newtonian gravitational field in such coordinate can be expressed as,

$$\Phi(r, \theta, \phi) = -4\pi \frac{M_2}{b} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (2l+1)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^l Y_{lm}^*(\theta_0, \phi_0) \times Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (15)$$

for $r < b$. As we will evaluate the body's tidal field near the origin $r \ll b$. We will focus only on $l = 2$ part of the field. In the Cartesian coordinate the tidal field can be expressed as, $\mathcal{E}_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \Phi^{(l=2)}$. After the derivatives are taken it is straight forward to calculate the components in spherical orthonormal coordinates. The combination that is relevant for our purpose is as follows [28]

$$\mathcal{E}_{\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}} - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} - 2i\mathcal{E}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}} = 8\pi \sqrt{\frac{6M_2}{5b^3}} \sum_{m=-2}^{m=2} {}_2Y_{2m}(\theta, \phi) Y_{2m}^*(\theta_0, \phi_0), \quad (16)$$

where ${}_2Y_{2m}(\theta, \phi)$ spin weighted spherical harmonics [39].

Now returning back to the region near KECO1, including LARF1, we notice that the space time there can be described as a perturbed Kerr black hole (as long as we are in the outside of the reflective surface). Therefore we cover this region with a Boyer-Lindquist chart (t, r, θ, ϕ) . We need to solve Teukolsky equation [37] in this region for ψ_0 . As for unperturbed KECO ψ_0 vanishes asymptotically (ψ_0 as $r/M_1 \rightarrow \infty$), it would be the combination $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{\phi}\hat{\phi}} - \mathcal{E}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} - 2i\mathcal{E}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}}$ of the external tidal field [28] for a perturbed KECO [40]. Therefore, in our case ψ_0 takes this

asymptotic form for $M_1 \ll r \ll b$ in LARF1, given the tidal field \mathcal{E}_{ij} is due to the companion. The angular dependence of ψ_0 in the LARF1 will be like the one shown in Eq. (16) with θ and ϕ as the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate and θ_0, ϕ_0 representing the companion's angular coordinates as seen in LARF1. Therefore the boundary condition would be [28],

$$\psi_0 \rightarrow \frac{8\pi\sqrt{6}M_2}{5b^3} \sum_{m=-2}^2 {}_2Y_{2m}(\theta, \phi) Y_{2m}^*(\theta_0, \phi_0) \quad (17)$$

for $M_1 \ll r \ll b$. The only thing that remains now is to solve for ψ_0 with a proper boundary condition at the reflective surface. We can express ψ_0 as,

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{m=-2}^2 {}_2Y_{2m}(\theta, \phi) R_m(r), \quad (18)$$

subject to appropriate boundary condition for $R_m(r)$ at the reflective surface, that will be described in the next section.

V. PERTURBATION OF KECO

As discussed in the previous sections we will assume that the surface $r = r_+(1 + \varepsilon)$ has a non-zero reflectivity. We will consider this as the boundary of the KECO. Therefore, unlike BH we will put a "mixed boundary condition" comprising of both ingoing and outgoing mode at this surface. As our motivation is to calculate the rate change of the area of the KECO the relevant quantity for this purpose is the Weyl scalar ψ_0 . The governing equation for ψ_0 is the Teukolsky equation [37]. Hence near r_s ,

$$\psi_0(r \sim r_s) \sim \mathfrak{T}\psi_0^{in} + \mathcal{R}\psi_0^{out}, \quad (19)$$

where ψ_0^{in} and ψ_0^{out} are respectively the ingoing and outgoing modes and \mathfrak{T} and \mathcal{R} are the absorption coefficient and the reflectivity of the body. For a BH $\mathfrak{T} \rightarrow 1$ and $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$.

The solution for ψ_0 in the external region of the reflective surface will have the following form [35],

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= \mathfrak{T}\psi_0^{in} + \mathcal{R}\psi_0^{out} \\ &= e^{(-i\omega t + im\phi)} {}_2S_{lm}(\theta) (\mathfrak{T}Y_{hole}^{in} \Delta^{-2} e^{-ikr^*} + \mathcal{R}Y_{hole}^{out} e^{ikr^*}), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where ${}_2S_{lm}(\theta)$ is the θ dependent part of the spin weighted spheroidal harmonics and $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr$. The relevant quantity for our purpose is the ψ_0^{HH} defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0^{HH} &\equiv \frac{\Delta^2 \psi_0}{4(r^2 + a^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{(-i\omega t + im\phi)e^{-ikr^*}} 2S_{lm}(\theta)}{4(r^2 + a^2)^2} (\mathfrak{T}Y_{hole}^{in} + \mathcal{R}\Delta^2 Y_{hole}^{out} e^{2ikr^*}).\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

The primary ingredient that is needed to calculate the area change is σ [35, 38, 41]. In Hawking-Hartle tetrad (HH) σ satisfies, (for details check [35, 41]),

$$D\sigma^{HH} = 2\epsilon\sigma^{HH} + \psi_0^{HH}. \quad (22)$$

In case of KECO due to the ψ_0^{out} there will be extra contribution in the expression of σ . This will result in the following modification,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{HH} &= (D - 2\epsilon)^{-1}\psi_0^{HH} = (D - 2\epsilon)^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}\psi_0^{in,HH} + \mathcal{R}\psi_0^{out,HH}) \\ &= -\frac{\mathfrak{T}\psi_0^{in,HH}}{ik + 2\epsilon} + \frac{\mathcal{R}\psi_0^{out,HH}}{ik - 2\epsilon}.\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

Hawking and Hartle showed that for a classical BH,

$$\frac{d^2 A}{dt d\Omega} = \frac{2Mr_+}{\epsilon} |\sigma^{HH}|^2 \Big|_{r=r_+}, \quad (24)$$

where $d\Omega$ represents the angular volume. Since $\epsilon \ll 1$, for KECO approximately we can write,

$$\frac{d^2 A}{dt d\Omega} = \frac{\bar{g}^{1/2}}{\epsilon_s} |\sigma^{HH}|^2 \Big|_{r=r_s=r_+(1+\epsilon)}, \quad (25)$$

where \bar{g} is the determinant of the induced metric on the two sphere, $\bar{g} = (r_s^2 + a^2)^2 + a^2 \Delta_s \sin^2 \theta$ and ϵ_s is the expression for ϵ evaluated at r_s ,

$$\epsilon_s = M \frac{(a^2 - r_s^2)}{(a^2 + r_s^2)^2}. \quad (26)$$

Due to the Eq. (25) area of a KECO will change under a perturbation. We will use this equation to calculate the rate of change of the area of a KECO in the later sections.

VI. ENERGY AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM FLUXES “DOWN THE HORIZON”

In the last section, we have prepared the stage for the calculation of the rate of change of the KECO parameters. The difference between a Kerr BH and a KECO is the presence of the reflective surface at $r = r_+(1 + \epsilon)$. In case of a BH boundary condition at the horizon will be that of no-outgoing-wave boundary condition. But in the case of a KECO, the reflective surface is not a one-way membrane due to its reflectivity. Hence this will modify the no-outgoing-wave boundary condition to a “mixed boundary condition”, that will have both “ingoing” and “outgoing” wave.

As the boundary condition at the reflective surface has changed the solution of the perturbation can now be written as follows:

$$R_m(r) = C_m \{ \mathfrak{T} x^{\gamma_m - 2} (1 + x)^{-\gamma_m - 2} F(-4, 1, -1 + 2\gamma_m, -x) + \mathcal{R} x^{-\gamma_m} (1 + x)^{\gamma_m} F(0, 5, 3 - 2\gamma_m, -x) \}, \quad (27)$$

where,

$$\gamma_m = \frac{im\chi_1}{2(1 - \chi_1^2)^{1/2}}, \quad x = \frac{r - r_+}{2M_1(1 - \chi_1^2)^{1/2}} \quad (28)$$

For classical BH $R = 0$, $\mathfrak{T} = 1$, therefore we can identify C_m with the one found in Ref. [28],

$$C_m = \frac{8\pi M_2}{5b^3 \sqrt{6}} \gamma_m (\gamma_m + 1) (4\gamma_m^2 - 1) Y_{2m}^*(\theta_0, \phi_0). \quad (29)$$

Using the formulas described earlier we find $dM_1/dt = 0$ and

$$\frac{dA_1}{dt} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^i \dot{A}_{\theta_0}^{(i)}, \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^i \dot{S}_{\theta_0}^{(i)}. \quad (31)$$

The detailed of the expressions can be found in Appendix A

VII. FLUXES DOWN THE HORIZON FOR KECO IN A BINARY

In the previous sections, we have described how tidal heating gets modified due to the presence of a reflective surface. Energy flux down the reflective surface gets modified from the case of a black hole. This result depends not only on the mass and the spin of the ECO but also on the position of the reflective surface ϵ . In this section, we will discuss how does the energy flux down the surface gets modified when the ECOs are in an inspiraling binary.

In case of rigid ϕ rotation for BH binary formulas for the rate of change of mass and spin of the black hole

in terms of horizon integral I is given in Eqs. (7.21) of [40]. These formulas have been used to calculate the rate of change of mass and spin in the Ref. [28]. An important point to note that the explicit integration of I is not required. The only thing needed is to identify the stationary part of the integral. This point is discussed in detail in the appendix B.

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = (\Omega - \Omega_{H1})I. \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{dM_1}{dt} = \Omega \frac{dS_1}{dt}, \quad (33)$$

where $\Omega_H = \chi/(2r_+)$. An expansion of I in powers of $M_1\Omega$ is of the order of v^3 , hence is much smaller than 1. Hence the zeroth order part $I_0 = I|_{\Omega=0}$ is independent of Ω and in our case of binary, can be obtained from the calculations for a stationary companion. From Eq. (32) we have $\dot{S}_1|_{\Omega=0} = -\Omega_{H1}I_0$, with overdot representing the time derivative. This can be identified with the expression for \dot{S}_1 in Eq. (31). Therefore we find,

$$I_0(\theta_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_0^{(i)} \varepsilon^i. \quad (34)$$

For equatorial orbit $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since I_0 is the leading order contribution, we will approximate I by the leading order contribution I_0 in the paper, along the line of Ref. [28]. Assuming the radiation reaction time scale to be long and putting $I_0(\pi/2)$ and $\Omega = (\hat{L}_N \cdot \hat{S}_1)\Omega_N$ in Eq. (32) we find,

$$\frac{dS_1}{dt} = (\Omega - \Omega_{H1})I_0(\pi/2) = \left(\frac{dJ}{dt}\right)_N \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}^{(i)} \varepsilon^i \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{dM_1}{dt} = \Omega \frac{dS_1}{dt} = \left(\frac{dE}{dt}\right)_N \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}_5^{(i)} v^5 + \mathcal{M}_8^{(i)} v^8) \varepsilon^i. \quad (36)$$

where,

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dt}\right)_N = \frac{32}{5} \eta^2 v^{10}, \quad \left(\frac{dJ}{dt}\right)_N = \frac{32}{5} \eta^2 v^7, \quad (37)$$

and $\eta = M_1 M_2 / M^2$.

VIII. IMPLICATION FOR GW OBSERVATIONS

In the last section, we showed how the contribution of tidal heating of ECOs affects the energy loss from the orbit of an inspiraling ECO binary. In this section, we will compute the modification of the phase of the GW emitted by such a system.

Under the adiabatic approximation, a PN expansion is possible. The dynamics of the system is governed by

energy and angular momentum loss from the orbiting system. These dynamics has a contribution considering the components as point particles (PP) and another contribution is due to the finite size effects. The finite-size effects decomposable into two main ingredients (i) tidal deformation of an individual component due to the gravitational field of the other component and (ii) the amount of energy absorbed by the individual component from orbit due to tidal heating. The dynamics of the system and therefore the emitted GW depend on all these contributions. Hence, the Fourier transformed GW waveform can be written as follows:

$$\tilde{h}(f) = \tilde{A}(f) e^{i(\Psi_{PP} + \Psi_{TD} + \Psi_{TH})}, \quad (38)$$

where f is the frequency of the GW. $\tilde{A}(f)$ is the frequency dependent amplitude of the GW. The phase terms Ψ_{PP} , Ψ_{TD} and Ψ_{TH} are the contributions to the total phase arising from the point-particle approximation, the tidal deformability and the tidal heating, respectively.

We calculate the phase by using Eq. (2.7) of Ref. [42]. We found the phase shift due to absorption to be,

$$\Psi_{TH} = \frac{3}{128\eta v^5} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \psi^{(i)}. \quad (39)$$

The form of the $\psi^{(i)}$ has been shown in Appendix A.

This result shows that up to the first power of ε dependence of phase on reflectivity goes as $1 - |\mathcal{R}|^2$ (assuming that $|\mathfrak{I}|^2 = 1 - |\mathcal{R}|^2$), as has been assumed in Ref.[31]. But interestingly, the phasing depends explicitly on the position of the reflective surface ε . As a result with a sensitive detector, it will be possible to measure the ε from GW observations. The properties of the ECO will determine the ε . Hence, if both of the ECOs in the binary are of a similar kind then both should have the same value of ε . But even though the dependence on reflectivity is like $1 - |\mathcal{R}|^2$, Eq. 1 is not true beyond $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$.

IX. DISCUSSION

We studied the tidal heating of an ECO that has a reflective surface at $r = r_+(1 + \varepsilon)$. The metric outside the reflective surface has been considered to be that of the Kerr metric. We studied the tidal heating of such an object in the presence of a stationary companion. We showed that in stationary case energy dissipation through the reflective surface is zero similar to a Kerr BH. We calculated the rate of change of area and spin of such ECOs and showed that it depends on the position of the reflective surface.

We also computed the tidal heating when such ECOs are in an inspiraling binary. Here the rate of change of mass, spin and area of the ECO is different from a BH and depends on the position of the reflective surface.

In the BH limit ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0, \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow 1$) BH results are recovered. As a result, the phase of the GW emitted from the inspiraling ECOs differs from inspiraling BBH not only because of the nonzero reflectivity but also due to nonzero ε . We found that all relevant quantities depend on ε perturbatively, resulting in a series expansion in the powers of ε .

Point to note is, we achieved this with minimal assumptions. In our approach we were conservative. ECOs considered in the current work differs from Kerr BH only due to the presence of the reflective surface. Details of the interior of the ECO is not very important for our purpose. Metric outside the surface matches that of a Kerr metric. The main approach that we have followed here will be valid for almost every kind of ECOs. The main changes will arise as discussed below:

- *Surface geometry* of different kinds of ECOs can modify Eq. (25).
- *Non-Kerr metric outside of the surface* will modify the perturbation equations of metric. This will

change the functions in Eq. (27).

These points would be the center of investigation in the current future. But the results found in this work shows specifically that the modification of the horizon geometry not only brings reflectivity but also ε in the observable footing, even in the inspiral phase of a binary. This brings us the possibility to test the nature of the surface of binary components using GW.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Sukanta Bose for his continuous guidance. I would like to thank Richard Brito, Vitor Cardoso, Elisa Maggio and Paolo Pani for useful discussions. I would like to thank the University Grants Commission (UGC), India, for financial support as a senior research fellow.

Appendix A: Coefficients of the expansions

$$\dot{A}^{(0)} = \frac{8\pi\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^5 M_2^2 \chi_1^2 \sin^2(\theta_0) \left(-15\chi_1^2 \cos(2\theta_0) + 9\chi_1^2 + 8 \right)}{5b^6 \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2}} \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}^{(1)} = & \frac{8\pi\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^5 M_2^2 \chi_1^4 \sin^2(\theta_0)}{35b^6 (\chi_1^2 - 1)(\chi_1^2 - 2(\sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} + 1))} \left[84\chi_1^6 - 680\chi_1^4 + 1923\chi_1^2 - 1446 - \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} (225\chi_1^4 - 1195\chi_1^2 + 14446) \right. \\ & \left. + \left\{ 84\chi_1^6 - 252\chi_1^4 - 397\chi_1^2 + 670 - \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} (189\chi_1^4 + 61\chi_1^2 - 670) \right\} \cos(2\theta_0) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\dot{S}_{\theta_0}^{(0)} = \frac{\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^5 M_2^2 \chi_1 \sin^2(\theta_0) \left(15\chi_1^2 \cos(2\theta_0) - 9\chi_1^2 - 8 \right)}{5b^6} \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_{\theta_0}^{(1)} = & \frac{\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^5 M_2^2 \chi_1 \sin^2(\theta_0)}{210b^6 \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2}} \left[\frac{6\chi_1^2}{(\chi_1^2 - 2(\sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} + 1))} \left[84\chi_1^6 - 680\chi_1^4 + 1923\chi_1^2 - 1446 - \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} (225\chi_1^4 - 1195\chi_1^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 14446) + \left\{ 84\chi_1^6 - 252\chi_1^4 - 397\chi_1^2 + 670 - \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} (189\chi_1^4 + 61\chi_1^2 - 670) \right\} \cos(2\theta_0) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 7 \left(-2\chi_1^2 + 5\sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} + 2 \right) \left(-15\chi_1^2 \cos(2\theta_0) + 9\chi_1^2 + 8 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\dot{S}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{(0)} = - \frac{8\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^5 M_2^2 \chi_1 (3\chi_1^2 + 1)}{5b^6} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\dot{S}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{(1)} = \frac{\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^5 M_2^2 \chi_1}{210b^6} \left[56(3\chi_1^2 + 1)(2\sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} + 5) - \frac{24\chi_1^2 \left\{ (107\chi_1^4 - 580\chi_1^2 + 529) + \sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} (9\chi_1^4 - 314\chi_1^2 + 529) \right\}}{(\chi_1^2 - 2(\sqrt{1-\chi_1^2} + 1))\sqrt{1-\chi_1^2}} \right] \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\mathcal{I}_0^{(0)} = - \frac{2\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^6 M_2^2 \sin^2(\theta_0) \left(15\chi_1^2 \cos(2\theta_0) - 9\chi_1^2 - 8\right) [1 + \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2}]}{5b^6} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_0^{(1)} = & - \frac{\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^6 M_2^2 \sin^2(\theta_0) [1 + \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2}]}{105b^6 \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2}} \left(\frac{6\chi_1^2}{(\chi_1^2 - 2(\sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} + 1))} \left[84\chi_1^6 - 680\chi_1^4 + 1923\chi_1^2 - 1446 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} (225\chi_1^4 - 1195\chi_1^2 + 14446) + \left\{ 84\chi_1^6 - 252\chi_1^4 - 397\chi_1^2 + 670 - \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} (189\chi_1^4 + 61\chi_1^2 - 670) \right\} \cos(2\theta_0) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 7 \left(-2\chi_1^2 + 5\sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} + 2 \right) \left(-15\chi_1^2 \cos(2\theta_0) + 9\chi_1^2 + 8 \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{(0)} = \frac{v^5}{4M^3} (-\chi_1 + 2\hat{L}_N \cdot \hat{s}_1 v^3 \frac{M_1}{M} (1 + \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2})) \mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^3 (3\chi_1^2 + 1) \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^{(1)} = & - \frac{v^5}{M^3} (-\chi_1 + 2\hat{L}_N \cdot \hat{s}_1 v^3 \frac{M_1}{M} (1 + \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2})) \frac{\mathfrak{T}^2 M_1^3}{4*42} \left[7(3\chi_1^2 + 1)(2\sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} + 5) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{3\chi_1^2 \left\{ (107\chi_1^4 - 580\chi_1^2 + 529) + \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} (9\chi_1^4 - 314\chi_1^2 + 529) \right\}}{(\chi_1^2 - 2(\sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2} + 1)) \sqrt{1 - \chi_1^2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0)} = \hat{L}_N \cdot \hat{s}_1 \mathcal{S}^{(0)}. \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{(1)} = \hat{L}_N \cdot \hat{s}_1 \mathcal{S}^{(1)}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\psi^{(0)} = \frac{40}{9} \left(8\pi \mathcal{M}_5^{(0)} - \mathcal{M}_8^{(0)} \right) v^8 (3 \log(v) - 1) + \frac{5}{42} (952\nu + 995) \mathcal{M}_5^{(0)} v^7 + \frac{40}{9} \mathcal{M}_5^{(0)} v^5 (3 \log(v) + 1) + 1 \leftrightarrow 2. \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$\psi^{(1)} = \frac{40}{9} \left(8\pi \mathcal{M}_5^{(1)} - \mathcal{M}_8^{(1)} \right) v^8 (3 \log(v) - 1) + \frac{5}{42} (952\nu + 995) \mathcal{M}_5^{(1)} v^7 + \frac{40}{9} \mathcal{M}_5^{(1)} v^5 (3 \log(v) + 1) + 1 \leftrightarrow 2. \quad (\text{A14})$$

Appendix B: Discussion on integral I

In this section, we discuss the modification of the horizon integral. As already has been discussed in Sec. VII that the explicit integration of I is not required. The only thing needed is to identify the stationary part of the integral.

In the case of BH, I is a surface integral over the horizon [40]. In the case of KECO, the integration will be over the reflective surface. Therefore this will give the integral for the BH case modified by the contribution due to the ε perturbatively.

Then we can identify the stationary part of the integral with Eq. (30) and Eq. (31) along the line of Ref. [28]. The first part will give the BH result $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ and the part will give the ε dependent contribution. This second part can be expanded in the series expansion of ε . But the crucial point is we can identify the results by taking the stationary limit rather than explicitly evaluating the integral.

Appendix C: Frequency dependent reflectivity

In this section, we will discuss the expected changes if the \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{R} are frequency dependent. How these quantities will depend on the frequency depends specifically on the model under consideration. But it is always possible to write,

$$\mathfrak{T}(f) = \mathfrak{T}_0 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{f}{f_0}\right), \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\mathcal{R}(f) = \mathcal{R}_0 R\left(\frac{f}{f_0}\right), \quad (\text{C2})$$

where \mathcal{T} and R are some frequency-dependent functions but \mathfrak{T}_0 and \mathcal{R}_0 are frequency independent and f_0 has the dimension of frequency. For small frequency it is always possible to expand these functions as follows,

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{f}{f_0}\right) = 1 + \mathcal{T}'(0) \frac{f}{f_0} + \mathcal{T}''(0) \frac{f^2}{2f_0^2} + \dots \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$R\left(\frac{f}{f_0}\right) = 1 + R'(0) \frac{f}{f_0} + R''(0) \frac{f^2}{2f_0^2} + \dots \quad (\text{C4})$$

where prime denotes the derivative w.r.t. the argument and 0 inside the braces represent $f = 0$. For an inspiraling binary we can identify this frequency with the frequency of the GW that is twice the frequency of the orbital motion (Ω). Therefore, we have $v^3 \propto \Omega \propto f$ where v is the postNewtonian velocity parameter. So we can rewrite,

$$\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right) = 1 + \mathcal{T}'(0)\frac{v^3}{v_0^3} + \mathcal{T}''(0)\frac{v^6}{2v_0^6} + \dots \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$R\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right) = 1 + R'(0)\frac{v^3}{v_0^3} + R''(0)\frac{v^6}{2v_0^6} + \dots \quad (\text{C6})$$

Hence upto $\mathcal{O}(v^3)$,

$$|\mathfrak{T}(v)|^2 = |\mathfrak{T}_0|^2 \left| 1 + 2\mathcal{T}'(0)\frac{v^3}{v_0^3} \right|, \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$|\mathcal{R}(v)|^2 = |\mathcal{R}_0|^2 \left| 1 + 2R'(0)\frac{v^3}{v_0^3} \right|. \quad (\text{C8})$$

We have shown that the leading order reflectivity dependence arises at 2.5 pn correction. Therefore the leading order contribution due to the frequency dependence will arise at 4 pn. For a model of a quantum black hole as discussed in Ref. [33] this implies,

$$|\mathcal{R}(v)|^2 = \left| 1 - 4\frac{\hbar}{kT_H} \frac{v^3}{GMc^3} \right|. \quad (\text{C9})$$

-
- [1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 031040 (2019), [arXiv:1811.12907 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [2] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017), [arXiv:1710.05832 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [3] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 104036 (2019), [arXiv:1903.04467 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [4] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041015 (2016), [erratum: *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, no.3, 039903(2018)], [arXiv:1606.04856 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [5] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 221101 (2016), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.12, 129902(2018)], [arXiv:1602.03841 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [6] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, VIRGO), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 221101 (2017), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.12, 129901(2018)], [arXiv:1706.01812 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [7] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 161101 (2018), [arXiv:1805.11581 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [8] O. Lunin and S. D. Mathur, *Nucl. Phys. B* **623**, 342 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0109154 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] A. Almheiri, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski, and J. Sully, *JHEP* **02**, 062 (2013), [arXiv:1207.3123 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **101**, 9545 (2004), [arXiv:gr-qc/0407075 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [11] S. L. Liebling and C. Palenzuela, *Living Rev. Rel.* **15**, 6 (2012), [Living Rev. Rel. **20**, no.1,5(2017)], [arXiv:1202.5809 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [12] K. W. Tsang, A. Ghosh, A. Samajdar, K. Chatziioannou, S. Mastrogiovanni, M. Agathos, and C. Van Den Broeck, (2019), [arXiv:1906.11168 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [13] J. Abedi, H. Dykaar, and N. Afshordi, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 082004 (2017), [arXiv:1612.00266 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] J. Westerweck, A. Nielsen, O. Fischer-Birnholtz, M. Cabero, C. Capano, T. Dent, B. Krishnan, G. Meadors, and A. H. Nitz, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 124037 (2018), [arXiv:1712.09966 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin, A. Maselli, P. Pani, and G. Raposo, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 084014 (2017), [Addendum: *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no.8, 089901(2017)], [arXiv:1701.01116 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] N. Sennett, T. Hinderer, J. Steinhoff, A. Buonanno, and S. Ossokine, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 024002 (2017), [arXiv:1704.08651 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [17] N. V. Krishnendu, K. G. Arun, and C. K. Mishra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 091101 (2017), [arXiv:1701.06318 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [18] S. Datta and S. Bose, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 084001 (2019), [arXiv:1902.01723 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [19] K. S. Thorne, R. Price, and D. Macdonald, *Black holes: the membrane paradigm*, edited by K. S. Thorne (Yale University Press, 1986).
- [20] T. Damour, in *Proceedings of the Second Marcel Grossmann Meeting of General Relativity*, edited by R. Ruffini, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982 pp 587-608 (1982).
- [21] E. Poisson, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 064029 (2009), [arXiv:0907.0874 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [22] V. Cardoso and P. Pani, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 045011 (2013), [arXiv:1205.3184 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and A. O. Starinets, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 163001 (2009), [arXiv:0905.2975 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [24] V. Cardoso, V. F. Foit, and M. Kleban, *JCAP* **1908**, 006 (2019), [arXiv:1902.10164 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] J. B. Hartle, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 1010 (1973).
- [26] S. A. Hughes, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 064004 (2001), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no.10, 109902(2013)],

- arXiv:gr-qc/0104041 [gr-qc].
- [27] E. Poisson and C. Will, *Gravity: Newtonian, Post-Newtonian, Relativistic* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1953).
- [28] K. Alvi, *Phys. Rev.* **D64**, 104020 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0107080 [gr-qc].
- [29] K. Chatziioannou, E. Poisson, and N. Yunes, *Phys. Rev.* **D87**, 044022 (2013), arXiv:1211.1686 [gr-qc].
- [30] A. Maselli, P. Pani, V. Cardoso, T. Abdelsalhin, L. Gualtieri, and V. Ferrari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 081101 (2018), arXiv:1703.10612 [gr-qc].
- [31] S. Datta, R. Brito, S. Bose, P. Pani, and S. A. Hughes, (2019), arXiv:1910.07841 [gr-qc].
- [32] S. Datta, K. Phukon, and S. Bose, In preparation.
- [33] N. Oshita, Q. Wang, and N. Afshordi, (2019), arXiv:1905.00464 [hep-th].
- [34] K. S. Thorne and J. B. Hartle, *Phys. Rev.* **D31**, 1815 (1984).
- [35] S. A. Teukolsky and W. H. Press, *Astrophys. J.* **193**, 443 (1974).
- [36] S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, *General Relativity* (Univ. Pr., Cambridge, UK, 1979).
- [37] S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **185**, 635 (1973).
- [38] S. W. Hawking and J. B. Hartle, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **27**, 283 (1972).
- [39] J. N. Goldberg, A. J. MacFarlane, E. T. Newman, F. Rohrlich, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 2155 (1967).
- [40] K. S. Thorne, R. H. Price, and D. A. Macdonald, eds., *BLACK HOLES: THE MEMBRANE PARADIGM* (1986).
- [41] E. Newman and R. Penrose, *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 566 (1962).
- [42] W. Tichy, E. E. Flanagan, and E. Poisson, *Phys. Rev.* **D61**, 104015 (2000), arXiv:gr-qc/9912075 [gr-qc].