

# A SIMPLE PROOF OF A LIOUVILLE THEOREM FOR ANCIENT SOLUTIONS TO A SEMILINEAR HEAT EQUATION AND ITS ELLIPTIC COUNTERPART

CHRISTOS SOURDIS

ABSTRACT. We give an astonishingly simple proof of a Liouville type theorem of Fila and Yanagida which asserts that there do not exist nontrivial ancient solutions to the nonlinear heat equation  $u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u$  that are smaller in absolute value than the radial singular steady state, provided that the exponent  $p$  is larger or equal to the critical Sobolev exponent and smaller than that of Joseph and Lundgren. Our proof is based on a sweeping argument and does not use similarity variables. Using this approach, we can also prove an analogous Liouville type theorem for the steady state problem in higher dimensions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We will be concerned with solutions of the following problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t \leq 0, \quad (1)$$

with  $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$ , where

$$p_S = \frac{N+2}{N-2} \quad \text{if } N \geq 3, \quad p_S = \infty \quad \text{if } N = 1, 2,$$

stands for Sobolev's critical exponent and

$$p_{JL} = \begin{cases} \frac{(N-2)^2 - 4N + 8\sqrt{N-1}}{(N-2)(N-10)} & \text{if } N > 10, \\ \infty & \text{if } N \leq 10, \end{cases}$$

stands for that of Joseph and Lundgren.

It is well known that if  $p > N/(N-2)$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , there exists an explicit singular steady state

$$\varphi_\infty(x) = L|x|^{-2/(p-1)} \quad \text{with } L = \left( \frac{2}{p-1} \left( N - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \right)^{1/(p-1)}. \quad (2)$$

Positive (classical) steady states exist only if  $p \geq p_S$ . For any  $p \geq p_S$ , there exists a unique positive radial steady state  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi(0) = 1$ . This solution tends to zero as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and all other positive radial steady states are given by

$$\varphi_\alpha(x) = \alpha \Phi(\alpha^{(p-1)/2}|x|), \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (3)$$

The following properties are also well known:

- If  $p = p_S$ , then  $\Phi$  has exactly two radial intersections with  $\varphi_\infty$  (for  $r > 0$ );
- If  $p \in (p_S, p_{JL})$ , then  $\Phi$  has infinitely many radial intersections with  $\varphi_\infty$ ;
- If  $p \geq p_{JL}$ , then  $\Phi$  lies below  $\varphi_\infty$ .

All the above information and much more can be found in the monograph [11].

The following Liouville type theorem was shown in [5].

**Theorem 1.** *If  $u$  satisfies (1) with  $p \in [p_S, p_{JL})$  and*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \varphi_\infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad t \leq 0, \quad (4)$$

*then  $u \equiv 0$ .*

Roughly, this is proven by squeezing  $u$  between two forward self-similar solutions. Loosely speaking, time can be considered as the 'squeezing parameter' in their proof. Our main purpose in this paper is to give a strikingly simple proof of this result that does not make use of (time dependent) similarity variables. Instead of using time dependent solutions as barriers, we will plainly use  $\varphi_\infty$  after we have appropriately covered its singularity with a piece of a rescaled copy of the regular radial steady state  $\Phi$  (a 'surgery' type of argument in some sense). Our 'squeezing parameter' will be that which governs the rescaling in (3) through the use of Serrin's sweeping principle [12] in the spirit of the sliding method [2]. To be able to start such a continuity argument, we need that  $u$  is bounded. Thankfully, as it turns out, this can be assumed without loss of generality in light of the scaling and doubling arguments of [8].

We refer to [9] for a review and recent developments on Liouville type theorems for (1) with  $p$  in various regimes, either for ancient solutions (defined for  $t \leq 0$ ) or for entire ones (defined for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ). We also refer to our recent paper [13] for a new result in the case  $p \geq p_{JL}$ .

As will be apparent, the proof of Theorem 1 carries over with only minor modifications to establish its following elliptic counterpart (as we will point out, the solutions in Theorem 1 can be extended for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ). In contrast, the approach of [5] is inapplicable in the elliptic setting.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $u$  satisfies*

$$\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+M}, \quad \text{with } p \in [p_S(N), p_{JL}(N)), \quad N \geq 3, \quad M \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

*and*

$$|u(x, y)| \leq \varphi_\infty(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad (6)$$

*then  $u \equiv 0$ .*

If  $M = 0$ , it was shown in [4], using different methods and without assuming (6), that the only solution of (5) that has finite Morse index is the trivial one. In this regard, we note that it is not clear to us whether (6) (for  $p$  in the above range) gives any information on the Morse index of the solution.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. After its proof, in Remark 1, we will uncover a perhaps unexpected connection of a main ingredient in the proof with minimal surface theory. The proof of Theorem 2 requires only minor modifications and is therefore omitted.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

*Proof.* Our first observation is that, as in [7, Thm. 6.1], the solution  $u$  cannot blow-up and thus it can be extended globally forward in time. Moreover, by the strong maximum principle for linear parabolic equations [6], we assert that  $|u| < \varphi_\infty$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Thanks to by now standard doubling and scaling arguments [8], we can assume that  $u$  is bounded. In fact, we can do better and assume that

$$|u| \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (7)$$

If not, we would have  $|u(x_0, t_0)| > 1$  for some  $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then, as in [10], the doubling lemma [8, Lem 5.1] would provide us  $(x_k, t_k)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , such that

$$M_k := |u|^{(p-1)/2}(x_k, t_k) \geq |u|^{(p-1)/2}(x_0, t_0) \text{ and}$$

$$|u|^{(p-1)/2}(x, t) \leq 2M_k \text{ whenever } |x - x_k| + \sqrt{|t - t_k|} \leq \frac{k}{M_k}.$$

We note that (4) gives

$$M_k |x_k| \leq L^{(p-1)/2}.$$

Hence, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$M_k x_k \rightarrow y_\infty \text{ for some } y_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (8)$$

The rescaled functions

$$v_k(y, s) = \rho_k^{2/(p-1)} u(x_k + \rho_k y, t_k + \rho_k^2 s), \text{ where } \rho_k = \frac{1}{2M_k}$$

are entire solutions of (1) and satisfy  $|v_k(0, 0)| = 2^{-2/(p-1)}$ ,  $|v_k(y, s)| \leq 1$  for  $|y| + \sqrt{|s|} \leq 2k$ . The parabolic regularity guarantees that the sequence  $\{v_k\}$  is relatively compact in  $C_{loc}^{2+\theta, 1+\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Hence, using the usual diagonal argument, passing to a further subsequence if needed, we may assume that  $v_k \rightarrow V$  in  $C_{loc}^{2+\theta, 1+\theta}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$  where  $V$  is an entire solution to (1) such that  $|V| \leq 1$  and  $V(0, 0) \neq 0$ . Furthermore, on account of (4), we have

$$|v_k(y, s)| \leq \frac{L \rho_k^{2/(p-1)}}{|x_k + \rho_k y|^{2/(p-1)}} = \frac{L}{|x_k/\rho_k + y|^{2/(p-1)}} = \frac{L}{|2M_k x_k + y|^{2/(p-1)}}, \quad y \neq -\frac{x_k}{\rho_k}.$$

Thus, using (8), we obtain

$$|V(y, s)| \leq \frac{L}{|2y_\infty + y|^{2/(p-1)}}, \quad y \neq -2y_\infty.$$

Now, the shifted solution

$$W(y, s) = V(y - 2y_\infty, s)$$

satisfies  $|W| \leq 1$ ,  $W(2y_\infty, 0) \neq 0$  and (4). Consequently, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for entire solutions that satisfy (7). This task will take up the rest of the proof.

We denote by  $r_1$  the smallest radial intersection of the regular radial steady state  $\Phi$  with the singular one  $\varphi_\infty$ , and set

$$Z(r) = \begin{cases} \Phi(r), & 0 \leq r \leq r_1, \\ \varphi_\infty(r), & r > r_1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The point is that  $Z$  is a weak supersolution of (1) because

$$\Phi'(r_1) > \varphi_\infty'(r_1) \quad (10)$$

(see for instance [3] for the definition). According to (3), we let

$$z_\lambda(x) = \lambda Z(\lambda^{(p-1)/2}|x|), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

We note that  $z_\lambda$  is independent of  $\lambda$  for  $|x| \geq r_1\lambda^{-(p-1)/2}$ . Moreover,  $z_\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on  $|x| \leq r_1\lambda^{-(p-1)/2}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand,  $z_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Clearly,  $z_\lambda$  is still a weak supersolution to (1). Since  $u$  is bounded, there exists a  $\bar{\lambda} \gg 1$  such that

$$u \leq z_{\bar{\lambda}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We proceed to decrease  $\lambda$  while keeping the above ordering. There are only two possibilities. We can continue all the way until we reach  $\lambda = 0$  or we will get 'stuck' at some first  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and cannot continue further. Our goal is to show that the latter scenario (to be described in more detail below) cannot happen. This will imply that  $u \leq 0$ . Then, the assertion of the theorem follows readily by carrying out the same procedure with  $-u$  in place of  $u$ .

Let us suppose, to the contrary, that there exists some  $\lambda_0 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}]$  where we get stuck in the sense that the set

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \geq 0 : z_\mu \geq u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \text{ for every } \mu \geq \lambda\}.$$

coincides with  $[\lambda_0, \infty)$ . Clearly, by continuity, we have

$$u \leq z_{\lambda_0}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (11)$$

Keeping in mind that  $z_\lambda$  depends nontrivially on  $\lambda$  only in the cylinder  $\{|x| < r_1\lambda^{-(p-1)/2}, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , we find that there exist  $\lambda_k \in (0, \lambda_0)$  such that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda_0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_k| \leq r_1\lambda_k^{-(p-1)/2}$  such that

$$u(x_k, t_k) > z_{\lambda_k}(x_k), \quad (12)$$

(the reader is not to be confused with the repeated use of notation in different contexts). The whole argument is actually reminiscent to the famous sliding method

[2] when translating a compactly supported solution (note also that  $z_\lambda$  and  $z_\mu$  with  $\lambda \neq \mu$  may intersect each other just as in the aforementioned situation). We may further assume that

$$x_k \rightarrow x_\infty \text{ for some } x_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } |x_\infty| \leq r_1 \lambda_0^{-(p-1)/2}.$$

If the sequence  $\{t_k\}$  is bounded, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $t_k \rightarrow t_\infty$  for some  $t_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ . From (12) it follows that  $u(x_\infty, t_\infty) \geq z_{\lambda_0}(x_\infty)$ . We point out that (11) and (10) force  $|x_\infty| \neq r_1 \lambda_0^{-(p-1)/2}$ . Thus, by the parabolic strong maximum principle [3, 6] (applied in the linear equation for the difference  $u - \varphi_{\lambda_0}$ ) we deduce that  $u \equiv z_{\lambda_0}$ . However, this is not possible since  $z_{\lambda_0}$  is not differentiable across  $|x| = r_1 \lambda_0^{-(p-1)/2}$ .

It remains to deal with the case where, up to a subsequence,  $t_k \rightarrow -\infty$  (without loss of generality). To this end, we consider the time translated solutions

$$u_k(x, t) = u(x, t + t_k), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (11) and (12) it follows that

$$z_{\lambda_0} \geq u_k \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } u_k(x_k, 0) > z_{\lambda_k}(x_k),$$

respectively. Since  $u$  is bounded, as before the usual diagonal compactness argument yields that, possibly up to a further subsequence, we have

$$u_k \rightarrow U \text{ in } C_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$$

where  $U$  is an entire solution to (1) such that

$$z_{\lambda_0} \geq U \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } U(x_\infty, 0) \geq z_{\lambda_0}(x_\infty).$$

Consequently, we can arrive at a contradiction as above. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** In [13] we highlighted a heuristic connection of (1) to ancient solutions of the mean curvature flow. In that context our time independent supersolution in (9) corresponds to the competitor that is used in order to show that the symmetric minimal cones are not area minimizers in low dimensions (see for instance [1]).

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank IACM of FORTH, where this paper was written, for the hospitality. This work has received funding from the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) and the General Secretariat for Research and Technology (GSRT), under grant agreement No 1889.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. ANGENENT, *Dynamics, Symmetry and Asymmetry of Ancient Solutions to Mean Curvature Flow 2: Uniqueness and Nonuniqueness of MCF from cones*, [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tjjs-5T7\\_ls&t=292s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tjjs-5T7_ls&t=292s)

- [2] H. BERESTYCKI, L. CAFFARELLI and L. NIRENBERG, *Monotonicity for elliptic equations in an unbounded Lipschitz domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997), 1089–1112.
- [3] L.C. EVANS, *Partial Differential Equations: Second Edition*, Graduate Series in Mathematics **19**, AMS, 2010.
- [4] A. FARINA, *On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , J. Math. Pures Appl. **87** (2007), 537–561.
- [5] M. FILA and E. YANAGIDA, *Homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits for a semilinear parabolic equation*, Tohoku Math. J. **63** (2011), 561–579.
- [6] G.M. LIEBERMAN, *Second order parabolic differential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
- [7] P. POLACIK and E. YANAGIDA, *On bounded and unbounded global solutions of a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, Math. Ann. **327** (2003), 745–771.
- [8] P. POLACIK and P. QUITTNER and PH. SOUPLET, *Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems. Part II: parabolic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), 879–908.
- [9] P. POLACIK and P. QUITTNER, *Entire and ancient solutions of a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, [arXiv:1907.07873](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.07873), Discrete Cont. Dynamical Syst., to appear.
- [10] P. QUITTNER, *Liouville theorems for scaling invariant superlinear parabolic problems with gradient structure*, Math. Ann. **364** (2016), 269–292.
- [11] P. QUITTNER and PH. SOUPLET, *Superlinear parabolic problems. Blow-up, global existence and steady states*, Second edition, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2019.
- [12] J. SERRIN, *Nonlinear elliptic equations of second order*, Lectures at AMS Symposium on Partial Differential Equations, Berkeley, 1971.
- [13] C. SOURDIS, *A Liouville property for eternal solutions to a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, [arXiv:1909.00498](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.00498).

UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, GREECE.  
E-mail address: [sourdis@uoc.gr](mailto:sourdis@uoc.gr)