

Aschenbach effect for spinning particles in Kerr spacetime

Jafar Khodagholizadeh*

Farhangian University, P.O. Box 11876-13311, Tehran, Iran.

Volker Perlick†

ZARM, University of Bremen, 28359 Bremen, Germany

Ali Vahedi‡

Department of Physics, Kharazmi University, Mofateh Ave, P.O. Box 15614, Tehran, Iran

I. ABSTRACT

The orbital velocity profile of circular timelike geodesics in the equatorial plane of a Kerr black hole has a non-monotonic radial behavior, provided that the spin parameter a of the black hole is bigger than a certain critical value $a_c \approx 0.9953 M$. Here the orbital velocity is measured with respect to the Locally Non-Rotating Frame (LNRF), and the non-monotonic behavior, which is known as the Aschenbach effect, occurs only for co-rotating orbits. Using the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations for a massive spinning particle, we investigate the Aschenbach effect for test particles with spin. In addition to the black-hole spin, the absolute value of the particles spin and its orientation (parallel or anti-parallel to the black-hole spin) also play an important role for the Aschenbach effect. We determine the critical value a_c of the spin parameter of the Kerr black hole where the Aschenbach effect sets in as a function of the spin of the probe. We consider not only black holes ($a^2 \leq M^2$) but also naked singularities ($a^2 > M^2$). Whereas for spinless (geodesic) particles the orbital velocity is always monotonically decreasing if the motion is counter-rotating, we find that for spinning particles in counter-rotating motion with anti-parallel spin around a naked singularity the orbital velocity is increasing on a certain radius interval.

PACS: 04.70.Bw 85.30.Sf

II. INTRODUCTION

As no signal can reach us from inside a black hole, the only way of observing a stationary black hole is by detecting its influence on matter or on light rays that come close to it. In particular, we may observe electromagnetic radiation emitted by matter that orbits a black hole in an accretion disk. As a first approximation, it is reasonable to assume that the particles in an accretion disk move on geodesics; this is true if they have no internal degrees of freedom, if they are not influenced by the interaction with neighboring particles or by external (non-gravitational) fields and if their self-gravity is negligible.

For particles moving on circular geodesics in the equatorial plane of the Kerr spacetime, Aschenbach [1, 2] made an interesting observation. He found that the orbital velocity might become an *increasing* function of the radius coordinate on some radius interval. This is in contrast to circular geodesic motion in the Schwarzschild metric, and also to circular motion in the Newtonian $1/r$ potential, where the orbital velocity is always a *decreasing* function of the radius coordinate, see e.g. Shapiro and Teukolsky [3]. More precisely, Aschenbach found that this non-monotonic behavior of the orbital velocity occurs only if the spin parameter, a , of the black hole satisfies an inequality $|a| \geq a_c$, where the critical value $a_c \approx 0.9953 M$ is close to the value of an extremal black hole, $|a| = M$, which characterizes the transition to a naked singularity. Moreover, the interval on which the orbital velocity is increasing occurs only for co-rotating, not for counter-rotating, orbits and it is close to but outside of the innermost stable circular orbit. Aschenbach related the non-monotonic behavior of the orbital velocity to the occurrence of certain resonances that could be observed (and, possibly, already have been observed with a few stellar black holes) as peaks in the power

*Electronic address: gholizadeh@ipm.ir

†Electronic address: perlick@zarm.uni-bremen.de

‡Electronic address: vahedi@khu.ac.ir

spectrum of the emitted radiation, see in particular Section 3 of [1]. If the interpretation is correct, the observation of those peaks gives direct information on the spin of the black hole.

The non-monotonic behavior of the orbital velocity, called the *Aschenbach effect* for short, has also been discussed for (non-geodesic) motion with constant specific angular momentum in the Kerr spacetime [4], for geodesic motion in the Kerr-(anti-)de Sitter spacetime [5, 6] and in braneworld generalizations of the Kerr spacetime [7], and for the motion of charged particles in the field of a magnetized Kerr black hole [8].

Here we want to study the Aschenbach effect for particles with spin. To that end, we have to replace the geodesic equation with the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations [9–11]. As in the original work by Aschenbach, we restrict to motion in the equatorial plane of the Kerr spacetime. The spinning particle might be a rapidly rotating neutron star or a rapidly rotating hot spot in an accretion disk. In either case, the mass of the particle must be small enough to be negligible in comparison to the mass of the black hole. In the case of a neutron star orbiting a black hole, this puts, of course, limits on the applicability if the black hole has only a few Solar masses; for very massive stellar black holes, and for supermassive black holes, however, our analysis is applicable. It is our main goal to find out how the critical value of the black hole spin and the radius interval in which the Aschenbach effect takes place is influenced by the particle's spin.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section III we recall some basic facts about the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations. In Section IV we specialize to spinning particles in the equatorial plane of the Kerr metric, with the spin perpendicular to this plane. Here it is our main goal to calculate the orbital velocity of circular orbits. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been done before, although there are numerous articles on such orbits, see in particular the pioneering work by Rasband [12] and by Tod et al. [13]. On the basis of the results from Section IV, we then discuss in Section V the Aschenbach effect for spinning particles.

Our conventions are as follows. The signature of the metric is $(+, -, -, -)$ and we use units where the speed of light is $c = 1$. We raise and lower indices with the spacetime metric, using Einstein's summation convention for greek indices running from 0 to 3. Our index conventions for the curvature tensor are such that

$$R^\tau{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\tau{}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\tau{}_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\tau{}_{\mu\rho} \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\tau{}_{\nu\rho} \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\sigma}. \quad (1)$$

III. MATHISSON-PAPAPETROU-DIXON EQUATIONS

On a spacetime with curvature tensor $R^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma\tau}$, the motion of a spinning extended body is determined in the pole-dipole approximation by the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations [9–11],

$$\frac{D}{ds} x^\mu = u^\mu, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{D}{ds} p^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} R^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma\tau} u^\nu S^{\sigma\tau}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{D}{ds} S^{\mu\nu} = p^\mu u^\nu - p^\nu u^\mu. \quad (4)$$

Here $x^\mu(s)$ is the worldline of a reference point inside the body, $u^\mu(s)$ is the corresponding 4-velocity, and $\frac{D}{ds}$ denotes covariant derivative, in the direction of $u^\mu(s)$, of tensor fields along the worldline $x^\mu(s)$. In a given spacetime background, this is a system of first-order ordinary differential equations for the worldline $x^\mu(s)$, the momentum $p_\nu(s)$ and the spin tensor $S^{\mu\nu}(s) = -S^{\nu\mu}(s)$ of the particle. Note that this system of equations is invariant under arbitrary reparametrizations,

$$\frac{D}{ds} \mapsto k \frac{D}{ds}, \quad u^\mu \mapsto k u^\mu, \quad p_\mu \mapsto p_\mu, \quad S^{\mu\nu} \mapsto S^{\mu\nu} \quad (5)$$

where k is a nowhere vanishing function of s . For our purpose, we find it convenient to choose the proper time parametrization,

$$g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu = 1. \quad (6)$$

If u^μ and p^μ are timelike and future-oriented, which is usually required for physically reasonable solutions, we may define two real and positive quantities

$$\mu := \sqrt{g_{\rho\sigma} p^\rho p^\sigma}, \quad m := g_{\rho\sigma} u^\rho p^\sigma. \quad (7)$$

μ is the mass of the particle in the center-of-momentum system whereas m is the mass in the rest system of an observer comoving along the worldline $x^\rho(s)$. In general, neither m nor μ is guaranteed to be a constant of motion.

As the system of Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations is underdetermined, we have to add a *supplementary condition*

$$V^\rho S_{\rho\sigma} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Here V^ρ is a timelike vector field along the worldline $x^\mu(s)$ we are free to choose at will. For convenience, we will assume V^ρ to be normalized according to $V_\rho V^\rho = 1$. The most common choices for V^ρ are $V^\rho = p^\rho/\mu$ (Tulczyjew-Dixon condition [14, 15]) and $V^\rho = u^\rho$ (Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani condition [9, 16, 17]). It is well known that μ is a constant of motion if the Tulczyjew-Dixon condition is imposed whereas m is a constant of motion if the Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani condition is imposed.

As soon as we have fixed the vector field V^μ , we can express the spin tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of a spin vector S^ρ ,

$$S_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} V^\sigma S^\rho, \quad S_\rho V^\rho = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor field (volume form) of the spacetime metric.

The ambiguity in choosing a supplementary condition is understood if we recall that a body with a given spin different from zero must have a minimum size, just to make sure that no parts of the body move at a superluminal speed [18]. Choosing a supplementary condition corresponds to choosing a particular worldline $x^\mu(s)$ inside the worldtube of such a finite-size body.

IV. SPINNING PARTICLE IN THE EQUATORIAL PLANE OF THE KERR SPACETIME

We now specify the background metric to the Kerr metric which reads, in standard Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, [19]

$$g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}\right) dt^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 - \rho^2 d\vartheta^2 - \sin^2\vartheta \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2Mra^2 \sin^2\vartheta}{\rho^2}\right) d\varphi^2 + \frac{4Mr a \sin^2\vartheta}{\rho^2} dt d\varphi \quad (10)$$

where

$$\rho^2 := r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\vartheta, \quad \Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr. \quad (11)$$

Here M is the mass parameter and a is the spin parameter. Both have the dimension of a length. For $a^2 \leq M^2$ we have a black hole whereas for $a^2 > M^2$ we have a naked singularity.

We want to consider the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations with a supplementary condition (8), where for the time being V^μ is specified only to be the 4-velocity field of observers in circular motion,

$$V^\mu \partial_\mu = V^t \partial_t + V^\varphi \partial_\varphi. \quad (12)$$

We are interested in circular motion in the equatorial plane,

$$\vartheta = \pi/2, \quad u^\mu \partial_\mu = u^t \partial_t + u^\varphi \partial_\varphi, \quad (13)$$

with the spin perpendicular to the equatorial plane,

$$S^\mu \partial_\mu = S^\vartheta \partial_\vartheta, \quad S^\vartheta = -\frac{S}{r}. \quad (14)$$

Here S is a constant of motion,

$$g_{\mu\nu} S^\mu S^\nu = -S^2, \quad (15)$$

that may be positive or negative. We have $aS > 0$ if the spin of the particle is parallel to the spin of the black hole and $aS < 0$ if it is anti-parallel.

Under these assumptions, evaluating all components of the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equation (4) yields

$$p^r = 0, \quad p^\vartheta = 0,$$

$$-Su^\varphi V^\varphi + \frac{MS}{r^3}(u^t - au^\varphi)(V^t - aV^\varphi) = (p^t - ap^\varphi)u^\varphi - p^\varphi(u^t - au^\varphi). \quad (16)$$

Similarly, from (3) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp^t}{ds} &= 0, \quad \frac{dp^\varphi}{ds} = 0, \\ Mr^2(p^t - ap^\varphi)(u^t - au^\varphi) - r^5 p^\varphi u^\varphi \\ &= -3MSa(u^t - au^\varphi)(V^t - aV^\varphi) + MSr^2(2(u^t - au^\varphi)V^\varphi + u^\varphi(V^t - aV^\varphi)). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

A. Tulczyjew-Dixon condition

If the Tulczyjew-Dixon supplementary condition $V^\rho = p^\rho/\mu$ is imposed, μ is a constant of motion and it is convenient to characterize the particle's spin by the dimensionless parameter

$$s = \frac{S}{M\mu}. \quad (18)$$

Note that, according to the notation of (2), (3) and (4), our solutions to the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations are parametrized by a curve parameter which was also denoted s . The latter, however, will not explicitly appear any more, so there is no danger of confusion.

Eqs. (16) and (17) specify to

$$\frac{p^t - ap^\varphi}{p^\varphi} = \frac{u^t - au^\varphi - sMu^\varphi}{u^\varphi - \frac{M^2 s}{r^3}(u^t - au^\varphi)}, \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{p^t - ap^\varphi}{p^\varphi} = \frac{\frac{r^5}{M}u^\varphi + 2sMr^2(u^t - au^\varphi)}{r^2(u^t - au^\varphi) + 3asM(u^t - au^\varphi) - sMr^2u^\varphi}. \quad (20)$$

If we introduce the angular velocity

$$\Omega = \frac{u^\varphi}{u^t} \quad (21)$$

equating the right-hand sides of (19) and (20) yields

$$\left(1 + \frac{3asM}{r^2} + \frac{2M^3 s^2}{r^3}\right)(\Omega^{-1} - a)^2 - 3sM\left(1 + \frac{asM}{r^2}\right)(\Omega^{-1} - a) - \frac{r^3}{M} + s^2 M^2 = 0. \quad (22)$$

This is a quadratic equation for $(\Omega^{-1} - a)$ with solutions

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{-1} - a = \frac{3M^2 r^3 s + 3aM^3 r s^2 \pm \sqrt{M} r \sqrt{D}}{2Mr^3 + 6aM^2 r s + 4M^4 s^2} \quad (23)$$

with

$$D = 4r^7 + 12Mar^5 s + 13M^3 r^4 s^2 + 6M^4 ar^2 s^3 + (9a^2 - 8Mr)M^5 s^4. \quad (24)$$

Note that, because of the normalization condition (6), we have

$$g_{tt}\Omega^{-2} + 2g_{t\varphi}\Omega^{-1} + g_{\varphi\varphi} = \frac{1}{(u^\varphi)^2}. \quad (25)$$

After inserting the metric coefficients the condition of $1/(u^\varphi)^2 > 0$ requires that

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)(\Omega^{-1} - a)^2 + 2a(\Omega^{-1} - a) - r^2 > 0. \quad (26)$$

This inequality makes sure that the 4-velocity of the particle is timelike, i.e., that the motion is subluminal. If, at a certain radius value r , the discriminant D defined in (24) is negative, then there is no solution to our motion problem at this radius value. If D is non-negative, there may be two solutions (typically one co-rotating and the other counter-rotating), one solution or no solution, depending on whether (26) is satisfied for both $\Omega = \Omega_+$ and $\Omega = \Omega_-$, only for one of them, or for neither of them.

The angular velocity Ω is a useful auxiliary quantity from a mathematical point of view, but it is not a physically meaningful quantity, at least not in the region we are interested in. It describes the motion with respect to the vector field ∂_t which is not timelike inside the ergoregion, i.e., in the domain which is of relevance to the Aschenbach effect. Therefore, Ω is not the angular velocity with respect to an observer field. For describing the motion with respect to an observer field, we introduce the orbital velocity with respect to the Locally Non-Rotating Frame (LNRF) [20, 21]

$$e_0 = \frac{-g_{\varphi\varphi}\partial_t + g_{t\varphi}\partial_\varphi}{\sqrt{-g_{\varphi\varphi}(g_{t\varphi}^2 - g_{\varphi\varphi}g_{tt})}}, \quad e_1 = \frac{\partial_r}{\sqrt{-g_{rr}}}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\partial_\theta}{\sqrt{-g_{\theta\theta}}}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\partial_\varphi}{\sqrt{-g_{\varphi\varphi}}}. \quad (27)$$

This is an orthonormal tetrad if $\Delta > 0$, i.e., everywhere except between the two horizons. Observers with 4-velocity e_0 are also known as Zero Angular Momentum Observers (ZAMOs).

For circular motion, the orbital velocity \mathcal{V} with respect to the LNRF is determined by

$$u^t\partial_t + u^\varphi\partial_\varphi = N(e_0 + \mathcal{V}e_3) \quad (28)$$

where N is a scalar factor. For timelike orbits \mathcal{V} takes values between -1 and 1 . Comparing coefficients of ∂_t and ∂_φ in (28) allows us to express $\Omega = u^\varphi/u^t$ in terms of \mathcal{V} ,

$$\Omega = \frac{g_{t\varphi} + \mathcal{V}\sqrt{g_{t\varphi}^2 - g_{\varphi\varphi}g_{tt}}}{-g_{\varphi\varphi}}. \quad (29)$$

After inserting the metric coefficients and solving for \mathcal{V} we find

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{((r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2\Delta)\Omega - 2aMr}{r^2\sqrt{\Delta}}. \quad (30)$$

With $\Omega = \Omega_\pm$ from (23), this gives us two solutions for the orbital velocity,

$$\mathcal{V}_\pm = -\frac{2Ma}{r\sqrt{\Delta}} + \frac{\left(2Mar^3 + 3M^2r(2a^2 + r^2)s + M^3a(4M + 3r)s^2 \mp \sqrt{Mr}\sqrt{D}\right)(2Ma^2 + a^2r + r^3)}{\left(2Ma^2r^3 - 2r^6 + 6M^2ar(a^2 + r^2)s + 2M^3(r^3 + 3a^2r + 2Ma^2)s^2\right)r\sqrt{\Delta}}. \quad (31)$$

For the existence of an orbit with velocity \mathcal{V}_+ (or \mathcal{V}_- , respectively) at radius value r it is necessary and sufficient that D is non-negative and that $|\mathcal{V}_+| < 1$ (or $|\mathcal{V}_-| < 1$, respectively).

Far away from the center, (23) and (31) may be approximated as

$$\Omega_\pm = \pm \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{r^3}} \left(1 + O((M/r)^{1/2})\right), \quad (32)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_\pm = \pm \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{r}} \left(1 + O((M/r)^{1/2})\right). \quad (33)$$

From these equations, we read that, for any choice of a and S , there are two circular orbits at all sufficiently large radius values; by (33), the label $+$ refers to an orbit with positive \mathcal{V} , i.e., a particle moving in the positive φ direction with respect to the ZAMOs, whereas the label $-$ refers to an orbit with negative \mathcal{V} , i.e., a particle moving in the negative φ direction with respect to the ZAMOs. This means that for $a > 0$ the $+$ orbit is co-rotating and the $-$ orbit is counter-rotating; for $a < 0$ it is vice versa. Far away from the center, \mathcal{V}_+ goes monotonically to zero from above

and \mathcal{V}_- goes monotonically to zero from below. It is the subject of this paper to investigate if and how this monotonic behavior changes closer to the central object. We will see that \mathcal{V}_+ and \mathcal{V}_- may change sign so that it is not always true that for $a > 0$ the $+$ orbit is co-rotating and the $-$ orbit is counter-rotating. Also, $|\mathcal{V}_+|$ and $|\mathcal{V}_-|$ may become bigger than 1; in regions where this happens the corresponding orbit does not exist at all.

Taylor expansion with respect to the spin parameter s of (23) and (31) yields

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{M}}{a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3}} \pm \frac{3\sqrt{M^3}(a \mp \sqrt{Mr})}{2\sqrt{r}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^2} s$$

$$\pm \frac{3M^2((M-4r)a\sqrt{Mr} - 9a^3\sqrt{M} \pm (8M-3r)a^2\sqrt{r} \pm 7M\sqrt{r^5})}{8r^2(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^3} s^2 + O(s^3) \quad (34)$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{M}(r^2 + a^2 \mp 2a\sqrt{Mr})}{\sqrt{\Delta}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})} \pm \frac{3\sqrt{M^3}(r^3 + a^2r + 2Ma^2)(a \mp \sqrt{Mr})}{2\sqrt{r^3}\sqrt{\Delta}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^2} s$$

$$\pm \frac{3\sqrt{M^5}((M-4r)a\sqrt{Mr} - 9a^3\sqrt{M} \pm (8M-3r)a^2\sqrt{r} \pm 7M\sqrt{r^5})(r^3 + 2Ma^2 + a^2r)}{8\sqrt{r^7}\sqrt{\Delta}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^3} s^2 + O(s^3). \quad (35)$$

For vanishing spin, $s = 0$, we recover the well-known equations for circular geodesics.

When we discuss the Aschenbach effect in Sec. V we have to make sure that the circular orbits in question are stable because otherwise they would hardly be realized in Nature. For this reason we need to know the position of the Innermost Stable Circular Orbit (ISCO). The latter can be calculated with the help of an effective potential which can also be used for checking whether our equation (22) is in agreement with the results of other authors on the subject. It is well known that the radial component of the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations with the Tulczyjew-Dixon supplementary condition can be characterized by an effective potential $U_{E,J_z}(r)$, say, which depends on the constants of motion E and J_z associated with the Killing vector fields ∂_t and ∂_φ , respectively. For the precise form of this function $U_{E,J_z}(r)$ we refer to Saijo et al. [22] where it is given by the first three terms on the left-hand side of eq. (2.26). The circular orbits are determined by solving simultaneously the equations $U_{E,J_z}(r) = 0$ and $dU_{E,J_z}(r)/dr = 0$. As E and J_z are in a one-to-one correspondence with the velocity components u^t and u^φ (see eq. (2.10) in Ref. [22]), these two equations determine u^t and $\Omega = u^\varphi/u^t$ as functions of r . It is straight forward to verify that, after eliminating u^t , this results in our equation (22) for Ω , so we see that the latter is indeed in agreement with the known characterization of the circular orbits in terms of the effective potential. For determining the radius coordinate of the ISCO we have to solve the three equations $U_{E,J_z}(r) = 0$, $dU_{E,J_z}(r)/dr = 0$ and $d^2U_{E,J_z}(r)/dr^2 = 0$ for r , E and J_z . This has already been done numerically by various authors. If the radius coordinate of the ISCO has been found, its angular velocity can be determined with the help of our eq. (22). We have done this numerically for several values of a/M and s and compared with Table I and Table II in Lukes-Gerakopoulos et al. [23]. We have found agreement up to five digits, thereby confirming that our equation (22) is in accordance with previous results of others.

B. Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani condition

If the Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani supplementary condition $V^\rho = u^\rho$ is imposed, Eq. (16) implies

$$p^t - ap^\varphi = m(u^t - au^\varphi) + r^2 S(u^\varphi)^3 - aS(u^\varphi)^2(u^t - au^\varphi) - \frac{M}{r} S u^\varphi (u^t - au^\varphi)^2 + \frac{aM}{r^3} S (u^t - au^\varphi)^3 \quad (36)$$

and

$$p^\varphi = m u^\varphi + aS(u^\varphi)^3 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) S(u^\varphi)^2(u^t - au^\varphi) - \frac{aMS}{r^3} u^\varphi (u^t - au^\varphi)^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{MS}{r^3} (u^t - au^\varphi)^3. \quad (37)$$

In this case m is a constant of motion, so we characterize the particle's spin by the dimensionless parameter

$$\tilde{s} = \frac{S}{Mm}. \quad (38)$$

Then inserting (37) into (17) and using (25) yields a fourth-order equation for $\Omega^{-1} - a$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \left(\frac{3a}{r^2} - \frac{5aM}{r^3}\right)M\tilde{s}\right) (\Omega^{-1} - a)^4 + \left(2a + \left(\frac{3M}{r} - 2 + \frac{6a^2}{r^2}\right)M\tilde{s}\right) (\Omega^{-1} - a)^3 \\ & + \left(r^2 - \frac{r^3}{M} - 9aM\tilde{s}\right) (\Omega^{-1} - a)^2 - \left(\frac{2ar^3}{M} - (6Mr^2 - r^3)\tilde{s}\right) (\Omega^{-1} - a) + \frac{r^5}{M} - ar^3\tilde{s} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

This equation can be analytically solved for $\Omega^{-1} - a$, using a standard method for solving a fourth-order equation, but the resulting expressions are quite awkward and will not be given here. If we Taylor expand with respect to \tilde{s} , we find that the four solutions (Ω_+ , Ω_- , $\hat{\Omega}_+$, $\hat{\Omega}_-$) are

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{M}}{a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3}} \pm \frac{3\sqrt{M^3}(a \mp \sqrt{Mr})}{2\sqrt{r}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^2} \tilde{s} + \frac{3\sqrt{M^5}(6r^2(a \mp \sqrt{Mr})^2 - (a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})K_{\pm})}{8r^3(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^3} \tilde{s}^2 + O(\tilde{s}^3) \quad (40)$$

where

$$K_{\pm} = \frac{(9r - 43M)a^2r + (3M - r)Mr^2 \pm 2(9a^2 + 11Mr - 4r^2)a\sqrt{Mr}}{(2a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r}(r - 3M))} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\pm} = \frac{2M - r}{2aM \pm r\sqrt{\Delta}} + \frac{M((r^2 - 5Mr + 6M^2)r - 2aM(a \pm \sqrt{\Delta}))}{2r(2aM \pm r\sqrt{\Delta})^2} \tilde{s} + \frac{M^3(\sqrt{\Delta}Q \pm 2MP)}{8Mr^3\sqrt{\Delta}(2aM \pm r\sqrt{\Delta})^3} \tilde{s}^2 + O(\tilde{s}^3), \quad (42)$$

where

$$Q = 8a^4M(5M + 3r) - 8a^2Mr(9M^2 + 6Mr - 5r^2) + r^3(66M^3 - 69M^2r + 20Mr^2 - r^3) \quad (43)$$

and

$$P = 4a^5(5M + 3r) - 2a^3r(28M^2 + 13Mr - 13r^2) + 3ar^2(10M^3 + 11M^2r - 18Mr^2 + 5r^3). \quad (44)$$

The corresponding orbital velocities read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{\pm} &= \frac{\sqrt{M}(r^2 + a^2 \mp 2a\sqrt{Mr})}{\sqrt{\Delta}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})} \pm \frac{3\sqrt{M^3}(r^3 + a^2r + 2Ma^2)(a \mp \sqrt{\Delta})}{2\sqrt{r^3}\sqrt{\Delta}(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^2} \tilde{s} \\ &+ \frac{3\sqrt{M^5}(r^3 + a^2r + 2Ma^2)(3(a \mp \sqrt{Mr})^2 - (a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})K_{\pm})}{4r^2(a\sqrt{M} \pm \sqrt{r^3})^3\sqrt{\Delta}} \tilde{s}^2 + O(\tilde{s}^3) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\pm} &= \mp 1 + \frac{M(r^3 + a^2r + 2Ma^2)(r^3 - 5Mr^2 + 6M^2r - 2aM(a \pm \sqrt{\Delta}))}{2r^2\sqrt{\Delta}(2aM \pm r\sqrt{\Delta})^2} \tilde{s} \\ &\pm \frac{M^2(r^3 + a^2r + 2Ma^2)(2MP \pm \sqrt{\Delta}Q)}{8r^4\Delta(2aM \pm r\sqrt{\Delta})^3} \tilde{s}^2 + O(\tilde{s}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

We see that the hatted solutions are unphysical because $|\mathcal{V}_\pm|$ becomes the velocity of light for $\tilde{s} \rightarrow 0$, both for the $+$ and the $-$ branch. The orbital velocity is even superluminal for small spin values of one sign. This observation was made already by Costa et al. [24] where the four exact solutions for the angular velocity are worked out, in the supplemental material, for the Schwarzschild solution. Their result is in agreement with our eq. (39) if the latter is specified to the Schwarzschild case $a = 0$. If we discard the hatted solutions, we are left with two solutions, given in (40) and (45), that have, indeed, the correct geodesic limit for $\tilde{s} \rightarrow 0$. They coincide not only to zeroth but also to first order with the solutions from the Tulczyjew-Dixon condition, see (34) and (35), where s in the Tulczyjew-Dixon case has to be replaced by \tilde{s} in the Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani case. The second and higher-order terms, however, are different. This is in agreement with a more general result that can be read from Section 2 of Chicone et al. [25]: To within linear approximation with respect to the spin, on any spacetime the Tulczyjew-Dixon condition is equivalent to the Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani condition, and the spin parameters s and \tilde{s} (in our notation) actually coincide.

At the end of this section we will again indicate how to check stability of the circular orbits, i.e., how to calculate the ISCO. Whereas this could be done with the help of *one* effective potential for the Tulczyjew-Dixon condition, we need *three* potentials in the case of the Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani condition. For characterizing the circular orbits one has to equate the potentials and their first r -derivatives to zero, see eqs. (45) in Harms et al. [26]. These are six equations for six unknowns which include u^t and u^φ (in our notation) or, equivalently, u^t and $\Omega = u^\varphi/u^t$. It is straight-forward, though somewhat tedious, to arrive at an equation for Ω by eliminating the other five unknowns. This procedure results, indeed, in our equation (39) which demonstrates that this equation is in agreement with the characterization of the circular orbits in terms of the three effective potentials. For calculating the ISCO we have to equate the three potentials together with their first and second derivatives to zero, as outlined by Harms et al., which results in nine equations for nine unknowns. From these equations one can numerically determine the radius coordinate of the ISCO. We have done this, for several values of a/M and \tilde{s} , and then calculated the angular velocity of the ISCO with our eq. (39), choosing the unhatted solutions. Again, we have found agreement up to five digits with the values given in Table I and Table II of Lukes-Gerakopoulos et al. [23].

V. ASCHENBACH EFFECT IN THE EQUATORIAL PLANE OF KERR SPACETIME

We consider orbits of spinning particles with the Tulczyjew-Dixon condition, i.e. with orbital velocity given by (31). To within linear approximation with respect to the spin, the results are valid also for the Frenkel-Mathisson-Pirani condition. Without loss of generality, we assume $a > 0$. Then $s = S/(M\mu)$ is positive if the particle's spin is parallel to the spin of the black hole and negative if it is anti-parallel.

Our first task is to find out for which values of the relevant parameters the Aschenbach effect occurs, i.e., for which values of a and s there is an interval of the radius coordinate on which the orbital velocity is increasing with the radius coordinate. We first consider the black-hole case, $a \leq M$, and we restrict to the domain of outer communication, i.e. to the region outside of the outer horizon. By inspection, we find that $|\mathcal{V}_-|$ is always monotonically decreasing with r on this domain, so we only have to discuss \mathcal{V}_+ . On the considered domain, \mathcal{V}_+ is always positive, i.e., it describes co-rotating orbits.

Eliminating from the two equations

$$\frac{d\mathcal{V}_+}{dr} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2\mathcal{V}_+}{dr^2} = 0 \quad (47)$$

the radius coordinate r and solving for a gives us the critical value $a = a_c(s)$ of the black-hole spin where the Aschenbach effect sets in. This can be done only numerically. Figure 1 shows the result. In this figure the region where \mathcal{V}_+ increases with r is shown shaded (in orange). The lower boundary curve of this region gives the critical black-hole spin, $a_c(s)$, as a function of s . For each value of s , the non-monotonic behavior of \mathcal{V}_+ as a function of r is present for all values $a > a_c(s)$; at $a_c(s)$ this function has an inflection point. We have cut off the shaded (orange) region at $a = M$ because at the moment we are only considering the black-hole case, not the naked-singularity case.

We see that for spinless particles ($s = 0$) the Aschenbach effect sets in at $a_c(0) \approx 0.9953M$, which is the result that was found in the original work by Aschenbach [1, 2]. This is also illustrated in Figure 2 where \mathcal{V}_+ is shown for a spinless particle in the equatorial plane of a Kerr spacetime: If $a = a_c(0) \approx 0.9953M$ there is an inflection point; if $a < a_c(0)$ there is no extremum and if $a > a_c(0)$ there is a minimum-maximum structure. If s is negative (i.e., if the spin of the particle is anti-parallel to the spin of the black hole), Figure 1 demonstrates that $a_c(s)$ is bigger than $a_c(0)$. If s is positive (i.e., if the spin of the particle is parallel to the spin of the black hole), $a_c(s)$ can be smaller than $a_c(0)$. The minimum value of a where the Aschenbach effect could set in is at $a_c(s) \approx 0.9810M$ which happens for a particle spin of $s \approx 0.47$. So we see that for spinning particles the critical value of a may be reduced only by about one percent in comparison to the case of spinless particles. An interesting result which we can also read from Figure

1 is that for large (positive or negative) spins there is no Aschenbach effect around black holes: We have to restrict to

$$-0.11 < s < 1.05, \quad (48)$$

otherwise a non-monotonic behavior of \mathcal{V}_+ occurs only for naked singularities ($a > M$) but not for black holes.




FIG. 1: Domain in an $s - a$ -diagram where the Aschenbach effect occurs in the domain of outer communication of a Kerr black hole.




FIG. 2: \mathcal{V}_+ as a function of r for spinless particles in a Kerr spacetime with $a = a_c(0) \approx 0.9953 M$ (solid, red), $a = 0.9900 M$ (dashed, blue) and $a = 0.9990 M$ (dotted, black).

For small values of the spin parameter s we may restrict to a Taylor approximation of $a_c(s)$,

$$a_c(s) = \left(0.9953 - 0.0517s - 0.0164s^2 + O(s^3)\right)M, \quad (49)$$

which again was found numerically. The radius coordinate where the inflection point occurs is at

$$r_c(s) = \left(1.5363 + 1.2155s - 3.9655s^2 + O(s^3)\right)M. \quad (50)$$




FIG. 3: Region outside of a Kerr black hole where $d\mathcal{V}_+/dr > 0$ for a spinless particle, $s = 0$.




FIG. 4: Region outside of a Kerr black hole where $d\mathcal{V}_+/dr > 0$ for a particle with spin parallel to the spin of the black hole, $s = 0.05$.




FIG. 5: Region outside of a Kerr black hole where $d\mathcal{V}_+/dr > 0$ for a particle with spin anti-parallel to the spin of the black hole, $s = -0.05$.

It is also instructive to view the domain where \mathcal{V}_+ is increasing with r in an $r-a$ diagram. This is shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5 for $s = 0$, $s > 0$ and $s < 0$, respectively. In all three pictures the parameter a is restricted to values $a < M$ and only the domain of outer communication is considered. We have already said that in this domain \mathcal{V}_+ is always positive. In the pictures the region where $d\mathcal{V}_+/dr > 0$ is shown cross-hatched (in orange). For $s > 0$ this region may be bigger than for the spinless case, whereas for $s < 0$ it is always smaller. In these three figures, and also in the following Figs. 7, 8, 9 and 11, we have shown the region between the horizons in black, the region where circular orbits are unstable in light gray and the region where circular orbits do not exist at all in dark gray. We see that in the situations of Figs. 3, 4 and 5 all circular orbits are stable in the domain where the Aschenbach effect takes place. Also note that all these orbits are inside the ergoregion whose boundary intersects the equatorial plane at $r = 2m$.

In Figure 6 we show the non-monotonic behavior of \mathcal{V}_+ for parallel and anti-parallel particle spin in comparison to the case of a spinless particle.




FIG. 6: \mathcal{V}_+ as a function of r , for $a = 0.997 M$ and $s = 0$ (solid, red), $s = 0.05$ (dashed, blue) and $s = -0.05$ (dotted, black).




FIG. 7: Entire domain where $|\mathcal{V}_+|$ is increasing with r , for $s = 0$

Having clarified what happens for black holes in the domain of outer communication, we now briefly discuss the Aschenbach effect in the entire parameter space, i.e., we allow a to take values bigger than M and we also consider, in the black-hole case, the domain inside the inner horizon. (Between the horizons no timelike circular orbits can exist.) As in the equatorial plane the passage through $r = 0$ is blocked by the ring singularity, we do not consider the domain where $r < 0$.

We first consider the $+$ branch of solutions. Figs. 7, 8 and 9 show the entire domain where the Aschenbach effect takes place for $s = 0$, $s > 0$ and $s < 0$, respectively. This domain is characterized by the properties that the discriminant D is positive, \mathcal{V}_+ lies between -1 and 1 , and $|\mathcal{V}_+|$ increases with r . We see that this is the union of two domains: On the first one, shown cross-hatched (in orange), \mathcal{V}_+ is positive, i.e., the orbits are co-rotating. On the second one, shown hatched, \mathcal{V}_+ is negative, i.e., the orbits are counter-rotating. Only the cross-hatched (orange) region has an intersection with the domain of outer communication of black holes; this intersection was shown, enlarged, in Figures 3, 4 and 5. We see that the Aschenbach effect is largely taking place in the naked-singularity domain. In Figure 10 we show the non-monotonic behavior of \mathcal{V}_+ in a naked-singularity spacetime for parallel and anti-parallel particle spin in comparison to the case of a spinless particle.




FIG. 8: Entire domain where $|\mathcal{V}_+|$ is increasing with r , for $s = 0.05$




FIG. 9: Entire domain where $|\mathcal{V}_+|$ is increasing with r , for $s = -0.05$

Whereas in the domain of outer communication of a black hole the velocity $|\mathcal{V}_-|$ is always decreasing with r , this is no longer true if we consider the entire parameter space. For any value of $a > 1$ and negative spin values in a certain interval that depends on a , the velocity $|\mathcal{V}_-|$ is monotonically increasing on a certain r interval. This interval is bounded on the lower side by a radius value where \mathcal{V}_- is zero which means that the particles are hovering at rest with respect to the ZAMOs, and on the upper side by a limiting radius where $\mathcal{V}_- = -1$ which corresponds to a counter-rotating orbit at the speed of light. There is no minimum-maximum structure. This region is shown in Figure 11 cross-hatched (in orange). For the picture we have chosen the rather big value of $s = -0.8$ because for smaller values the region would be so narrow that it could hardly be seen.




FIG. 10: \mathcal{V}_+ versus r , for $a = 1.001 M$ and $s = 0$ (solid, red), $s = 0.05$ (dashed, blue) and $s = -0.05$ (dotted, black).




FIG. 11: Entire domain where $|\mathcal{V}_-|$ is increasing with r , for $s = -0.8$

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Up to now, we have much better information on the masses than the spins of black-hole candidates. In our view, the astrophysical relevance of the Aschenbach effect is in the fact that it provides a method of determining the spins of (some) black holes because its occurrence is associated with a certain parametric resonance of vertical and radial epicyclic oscillations. The latter are observable as peaks in the power spectrum emitted from matter orbiting the black hole.

The main motivation of the present paper is in the fact that we wanted to investigate if and how Aschenbach's results are modified if the radiating source is spinning. If we think of a hot spot, orbiting the black hole in an accretion disk, this modification might be non-negligible, in particular if we want to rely on the value of a_c up to several digits after the decimal point. The results obtained in this paper could, of course, also be applied to a neutron star orbiting a sufficiently massive black hole. To be sure, as we worked with the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon equations throughout, in any case one has to be aware of the fact that we restricted to situations where the test-particle approximation is valid.

Our analysis was based on the exact (i.e., fully analytical) solutions for the orbital velocity in the Locally Non-Rotating Frame (LNRF), for a spinning test particle. Thereupon, we have numerically determined the critical value of the black-hole spin parameter, a_c , where the Aschenbach effect sets in, in dependence of the spin parameter s of the test particle. This is only a first, but crucially important, step towards our goal. The second step would be to investigate the influence of the particle's spin on the parametric resonances. We are planning to do this in a follow-up paper.

We have investigated in this paper not only the case of black holes but also of naked singularities. The latter are, of course, much more speculative than black holes. However, we believe that it should be kept in mind that the Aschenbach effect occurs also for naked singularities, and even in a much wider parameter range than for black holes, and that, for the discussion of parametric resonances, the case of a naked singularity should not be completely ignored.

Acknowledgment

VP is grateful to Oldřich Semerák for helpful discussions on the motion of spinning particles in general relativity. JK wishes to thank ZARM, Bremen, for hospitality where part of this work was done. Moreover, VP gratefully acknowledges support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the Research Training Group 1620 “Models of Gravity”.

-
- [1] B. Aschenbach, *Astron. & Astrophys.* **425**, 1075 (2004).
 - [2] B. Aschenbach, *Chinese J. Astron. Astrophys.* **6**, S1, 221 (2006).
 - [3] S. D. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, *Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
 - [4] Z. Stuchlík, P. Slaný, G. Török, and M. A. Abramowicz, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 024037 (2005).
 - [5] A. Müller and B. Aschenbach, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 2637 (2007).
 - [6] P. Slaný and Z. Stuchlík, arXiv:0709.0803 [gr-qc] (2007).
 - [7] Z. Stuchlík, M. Blaschke and P. Slaný, in Z. Stuchlík, G. Török and T. Pecháček (eds.), *Proceedings of RAGtime 2014*, Opava, p. 173, (2014)
 - [8] A. Tursunov, Z. Stuchlík and M. Kološ, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 084012 (2016).
 - [9] M. Mathisson, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **6**, 163 (1937).
 - [10] A. Papapetrou, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **209**, 248 (1951).
 - [11] W. G. Dixon, *Nuovo Cim.* **34**, 317 (1964).
 - [12] S. N. Rasband, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 111 (1973).
 - [13] K. P. Tod, F. de Felice and M. Calvani, *Nuovo Cim. B* **34**, 365 (1976).
 - [14] W. Tulczyjew, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **18**, 393 (1959).
 - [15] W. G. Dixon, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **314**, 499 (1970).
 - [16] J. Frenkel, *Z. Phys.* **37**, 243 (1926), *Nature* **117**, 653 (1926).
 - [17] F. A. E. Pirani, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **15**, 389 (1956).
 - [18] C. Møller, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **11**, 215 (1949)
 - [19] R. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 265 (1967).
 - [20] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **178**, 347 (1972).
 - [21] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
 - [22] M. Saijo, K.-i. Maeda, M. Shibata and Y. Mino, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 064005 (1998).
 - [23] G. Lukes-Gerakopoulos, E. Harms, S. Bernuzzi and A. Nagar, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 064051 (2017).
 - [24] L. F. O. Costa, G. Lukes-Gerakopoulos and O. Semerák, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 084023 (2018).
 - [25] C. Chicone, B. Mashhoon and B. Punsly, *Phys. Lett. A* **343**, 1 (2005).
 - [26] E. Harms, G. Lukes-Gerakopoulos, S. Bernuzzi and A. Nagar, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 104010 (2016).