

$SO(5)$ Landau Models and Nested Nambu Matrix Geometry

Kazuki Hasebe

National Institute of Technology, Sendai College, Ayashi, Sendai, 989-3128, Japan

khasebe@sendai-nct.ac.jp

February 2, 2022

Abstract

The $SO(5)$ Landau model is the mathematical platform of the 4D quantum Hall effect and provide a rare opportunity for a physical realization of the fuzzy four-sphere. We present an integrated analysis of the $SO(5)$ Landau models and the associated matrix geometries through the Landau level projection. With the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics, we explicitly derive matrix geometry of a four-sphere in any Landau level: In the lowest Landau level the matrix coordinates are given by the generalized $SO(5)$ gamma matrices of the fuzzy four-sphere satisfying the quantum Nambu algebra, while in higher Landau levels the matrix geometry becomes a nested fuzzy structure realizing a pure quantum geometry with no counterpart in classical geometry. The internal fuzzy geometry structure is discussed in the view of an $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model and the $SO(4)$ Landau model, where we unveil a hidden singular gauge transformation between their background non-Abelian field configurations. Relativistic versions of the $SO(5)$ Landau model are also investigated and relationship to the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization is clarified. We finally discuss the matrix geometry of the Landau models in even higher dimensions.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Review of the Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole system	4
2.1	$SU(2)$ monopole and $SO(5)$ angular momentum operators	4
2.2	The $SO(5)$ Casimir operator and $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics	5
2.2.1	The differential equation for the S^3 -latitude	6
2.2.2	Azimuthal part eigenvalue problem	8
2.3	The $SO(5)$ Landau model	10
3	Four-sphere matrix geometry	11
3.1	General form of matrix coordinates	11
3.2	The 2nd Hopf map and Bloch four-sphere (quantum limit: $I = 1$)	12
3.3	Heuristic derivation of the fuzzy geometry (classical limit: $I \gg 1$)	15
3.4	Landau level projection and matrix geometry (arbitrary I and N)	16
3.4.1	Landau level matrix elements	17
3.4.2	Fuzzy four-sphere in the lowest Landau level	20
3.4.3	Nested matrix geometry in higher Landau levels	21
4	Internal fuzzy structure and the $SO(4)$ Landau models	22
4.1	$SU(2)$ meron gauge field and $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger Hamiltonian	22
4.2	Singular gauge transformation and $SO(4)$ matrix geometry	24
5	Relativistic $SO(5)$ Landau models	26
5.1	Geometric quantities of S^4	26
5.2	Spinor $SO(5)$ Landau model	28
5.3	$SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator and zero-modes	29
5.3.1	Dimensional reduction to the $SO(4)$ Dirac-Landau operator	29
5.3.2	Zero-modes and the matrix geometry	30
6	Even higher dimensional Landau model and matrix geometry	31
6.1	Quantum limit	31
6.2	Classical limit	33
6.3	Even higher dimensional matrix geometry	34
7	Summary	34
A	Representation theory of the $SO(5)$ group	35
A.1	$SO(5)$ irreducible representation and the $SO(4)$ decomposition	35
A.2	$SO(5)$ irreducible decomposition of direct products	36
B	Reduction to the $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics	37
B.1	$SO(5)$ free angular momentum Casimir	37
B.2	$SO(5)$ spherical harmonics	38

C	The Dirac gauge and the Schwinger gauge for S^4	39
C.1	Dirac gauge	39
C.2	Schwinger gauge	40
C.3	Gauge transformation and vierbein in the Dirac gauge	42
C.4	Curvature	43
D	Matrix elements for three-sphere coordinates	44
D.1	About the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics	44
D.2	About the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics	46
D.3	Special case $(j, k) = (1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$	47

1 Introduction

More than forty years ago, Yang proposed an $SU(2)$ generalization [1] of the Dirac's monopole [2]. The set-up behind the Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole stems from a beautiful mathematical concept of the 2nd Hopf map associated with the generalization of complex numbers to quaternions [3, 4]. The Yang's monopole field configuration on S^4 is conformally equivalent to the BPST instanton configuration on \mathbb{R}^4 [5] and possesses the $SO(5)$ global rotational symmetry. Yang also succeeded to construct generalized monopole harmonics in the $SU(2)$ monopole background [6]. This set-up was used in the context of the Zhang and Hu's $SO(5)$ Landau model and 4D quantum Hall effect [7] that realize natural higher dimensional counterparts of the Wu and Yang's $SO(3)$ Landau model [8] and the Haldane's 2D quantum Hall effect on a two-sphere [9].¹

The non-commutative geometry is the emergent geometry of the Landau models and governs the dynamics of the quantum Hall effect [12, 13]. The Landau level projection truncates the whole quantum mechanical Hilbert space to a sub-space and provides a physical set-up where the non-commutative geometry naturally appears. Along this line, the fuzzy four-sphere geometry has been discussed in the context of the $SO(5)$ Landau model [7, 14, 15]. It is known that the fuzzy four-sphere exhibits intriguing mathematical structure not observed in the fuzzy two-sphere: While the algebra of the fuzzy two-sphere is given by the $SU(2)$ algebra [16, 17], the five coordinates of the fuzzy four-sphere [18, 19] are not closed by themselves within the Lie algebra but bring extra non-commutative coordinates constituting "internal" fuzzy structure [20, 21, 22]. Such a peculiar structure makes the studies of higher dimensional non-commutative geometry more interesting and attractive. There are two ways to represent the fuzzy four-sphere algebraically: (i) Lie algebra [20, 21, 22]: the enlarged algebra of the fuzzy four-sphere is the $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$ giving rise to fuzzy fibre space (Fig.1):

$$[X_a, X_b] = i\alpha X_{ab}. \quad (1)$$

(ii) Four-Lie bracket [23, 24]: With the quantum Nambu bracket [25, 26], the fuzzy four-sphere coordinates are closed by themselves without introducing extra fuzzy coordinates. The internal structure is implicit, and the internal geometry reflects its existence in the degeneracy of (fuzzy) three-sphere latitudes (Fig.1):

$$[X_a, X_b, X_c, X_d] = (I + 2)\alpha^3 \epsilon_{abcde} X_e. \quad (2)$$




Figure 1: Two geometric pictures of the fuzzy four-sphere. In the left figure, X_{ab} (1) span the fuzzy S^2 -fibre on the original fuzzy manifold " S_F^4 ". In the right figure, the internal geometric structure is accounted for by the degeneracy of the fuzzy three-sphere latitudes on S_F^4 .

In the previous studies [27, 28, 29], we demonstrated that the quantum Nambu geometry actually appear in the higher dimensional Landau models, and is elegantly intertwined with exotic ideas of differential

¹For early developments of the higher dimensional Landau models and quantum Hall effects, interested readers may consult review articles [10, 11] and references therein.

topology, quantum anomaly, and string theory. However, the deduction of the non-commutative geometry from the Landau models has been rather heuristic and the obtained results are justified in the thermodynamic limit.² A rigorous way to derive the non-commutative geometry is accomplished by the Landau level projection not resorting to any approximation, and the results will capture every detail of the emergent non-commutative geometry. The Landau level projection method can also be applied to any Landau level (not limited to the lowest Landau level) whose non-commutative geometry has rarely been investigated, in contrast to the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization focused on zero-modes. The practical procedure of the Landau level projection is quite straightforward: We just sandwich coordinates of interest by Landau level basis states to derive their matrix-valued counterparts in a given Landau level. Since the total Hilbert space of the Landau model is mathematically well-defined, the truncated subspace of the Landau level necessarily provides a sound formulation of non-commutative geometry. Based on this observation, we derived matrix geometries of the $SO(3)$ Landau models [31] and the $SO(4)$ Landau models [32]. We extend this project to the $SO(5)$ Landau models. Not just rendering the similar analysis, we integrate the previous results with new $SO(5)$ results to present a comprehensive view of the emergent fuzzy geometry of the Landau models. We unveil hidden relations between the background topological field configurations of the Landau models, and also discuss the matrix geometry of the Landau models in an arbitrary dimension.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we review the $SU(2)$ monopole and $SO(5)$ Landau problem in a modern terminology. Using the $SO(5)$ Landau level eigenstates, we derive the matrix geometry of the $SO(5)$ Landau model in Sec.3. Sec.4 discusses the internal fuzzy three-sphere structure with emphasis on its relation to the $SO(4)$ Landau model. We also clarify relations among the background topological field configurations in low dimensional Landau models. Relativistic version of the $SO(5)$ Landau model and its associated zero-modes are analyzed in Sec.5. In Sec.6, we extend the matrix geometry analysis to even higher dimensions. Sec.7 is devoted to summary and discussions.

2 Review of the Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole system

In this section, we review the Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole system [1, 6] and the Zhang and Hu's $SO(5)$ Landau model [7] adding some more information.

2.1 $SU(2)$ monopole and $SO(5)$ angular momentum operators

With stereographic coordinates of S^3 -latitude on S^4 , Yang gave an expression of the $SU(2)$ monopole gauge field [1]. However, the original expression is rather cumbersome to handle and we then adopt the Zhang and Hu's concise notation of the $SU(2)$ (anti-)monopole gauge field [7]:

$$A_m = -\frac{1}{r(r+x_5)}\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i x_n S_i \quad (m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4), \quad A_5 = 0, \quad (3)$$

where S_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) denote the $SU(2)$ matrix of the spin $I/2$ representation:

$$S_i S_i = \frac{I}{2}\left(\frac{I}{2} + 1\right)\mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \quad (4)$$

and $\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i$ signifies the 't Hooft symbol:

$$\eta_{mn}^i \equiv \epsilon_{mni4} + \delta_{mi}\delta_{n4} - \delta_{m4}\delta_{ni}, \quad \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i \equiv \epsilon_{mni4} - \delta_{mi}\delta_{n4} + \delta_{m4}\delta_{ni}. \quad (5)$$

The field strength, $F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a + i[A_a, A_b]$ ($a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$), is given by

$$F_{mn} = -\frac{1}{r^2}x_m A_n + \frac{1}{r^2}x_n A_m + \frac{1}{r^2}\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i S_i, \quad F_{m5} = -F_{5m} = \frac{1}{r^2}(r+x_5)A_m. \quad (6)$$

²See [30, 7, 10] also.

The non-trivial homotopy for the $SU(2)$ monopole field configuration on S^4 is guaranteed by

$$\pi_3(SU(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \quad (7)$$

and the second Chern number associated with (3) is evaluated as

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^4} \text{tr} F^2 = -\frac{1}{6}I(I+1)(I+2), \quad (8)$$

where $F = \frac{1}{2}F_{ab}dx_a \wedge dx_b$. We construct the covariant angular momentum operators, Λ_{ab} , as

$$\Lambda_{ab} = -ix_a D_b + ix_b D_a, \quad (9)$$

with

$$D_a = \partial_a + iA_a, \quad (10)$$

and the total $SO(5)$ angular momentum operators as

$$L_{ab} = \Lambda_{ab} + r^2 F_{ab}. \quad (11)$$

In detail,

$$L_{mn} = L_{mn}^{(0)} + \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i S_i, \quad L_{m5} = L_{m5}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{r+x_5} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i x_n S_i, \quad (12)$$

where $L_{ab}^{(0)}$ denote the $SO(5)$ free angular momentum operators:

$$L_{ab}^{(0)} = -ix_a \partial_b + ix_b \partial_a. \quad (13)$$

2.2 The $SO(5)$ Casimir operator and $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics

In usual textbook derivation of the spherical harmonics, the polar coordinates are adopted to represent the $SO(3)$ Casimir. In a similar manner, we decompose the $SO(5)$ Casimir operator to the $SO(4)$ part and the remaining azimuthal angle part. We introduce the polar coordinates of a four-sphere (with unit radius) as

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi, & x_2 &= \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi, & x_3 &= \sin \xi \sin \chi \cos \theta, \\ x_4 &= \sin \xi \cos \chi, & x_5 &= \cos \xi, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$0 \leq \xi \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi. \quad (15)$$

The $SO(5)$ Casimir is expressed by the sum of the $SU(2)_L \oplus SU(2)_R$ Casimir parts and x_5 -part [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < b=1}^5 L_{ab}^2 &= -\frac{1}{1-x_5^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \left((1-x_5^2)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \right) + 2 \frac{1}{1-x_5} \mathbf{J}^2 + 2 \frac{1}{1+x_5} \mathbf{K}^2 + S_i^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sin^3 \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\sin^3 \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right) + 2 \frac{1}{1-\cos \xi} \mathbf{J}^2 + 2 \frac{1}{1+\cos \xi} \mathbf{K}^2 + S_i^2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where J_i and K_i are the $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ operators given by

$$J_i = \frac{1}{4} \eta_{mn}^i L_{mn} = \frac{1}{4} \eta_{mn}^i L_{mn}^{(0)} = J_i^{(0)}, \quad (17a)$$

$$K_i = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i L_{mn} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i L_{mn}^{(0)} + S_i = K_i^{(0)} + S_i. \quad (17b)$$

Note that the $SU(2)$ (anti-)monopole gauge field does not act to the $SU(2)_L$ operators but acts to the $SU(2)_R$ operators only (17b), as if the right $SU(2)$ angular momentum acquires additional $SU(2)$ spin degrees of freedom.

2.2.1 The differential equation for the S^3 -latitude

Let us first analyze the eigenvalue problem of the $SO(4)$ Casimir operator. The $SO(4)$ Casimir eigenstates that satisfy

$$\mathbf{J}^2 Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3) = j(j+1)Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3), \quad J_z Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3) = m_j Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3), \quad (18a)$$

$$\mathbf{K}^2 Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3) = k(k+1)Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3), \quad K_z Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3) = m_k Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3), \quad (18b)$$

with $\Omega_3 \equiv (\chi, \theta, \phi)$ are given by the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics:

$$Y_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3) = \sum_{m_R=-j}^j \sum_{s_z=-I/2}^{I/2} C_{j,m_R; I/2,s_z}^{k,m_k} \Phi_{j,m_j; j,m_R}(\Omega_3) \otimes |I/2, s_z\rangle. \quad (19)$$

Here $C_{j,m_R; I/2,s_z}^{k,m_k}$ represent the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, and $\Phi_{j,m_j; j,m_R}(\Omega_3)$ ($j = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$) are the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics in the following form [32]

$$\Phi_{j,m_L; j,m_R}(\Omega_3) = \sum_{l=0}^p (-i)^l \sum_{m=-l}^l C_{\frac{p}{2},m_L; \frac{p}{2},m_R}^{l,m} Y_{plm}(\Omega_3) \Big|_{p=2j}, \quad (20)$$

where $Y_{plm}(\Omega_3)$ are the usual $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics [33]³

$$Y_{plm}(\Omega_3) = 2^l l! \sqrt{\frac{2(p+1)(p-l)!}{\pi(p+l+1)!}} \sin^l(\chi) C_{p-l}^{l+1}(\cos \chi) \cdot Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi). \quad (23)$$

$(l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p \text{ and } m = -l, -l+1, \dots, l)$

C_{p-l}^{l+1} denote the Gegenbauer polynomials, and $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ stand for the $SO(3)$ spherical harmonics:

$$C_n^\alpha(x) \equiv \frac{(-2)^n \Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+2\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(2n+2\alpha)} (1-x^2)^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(1-x^2)^{n+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}], \quad (24)$$

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-|m|)!}{(l+|m|)!}} P_l^{|m|}(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi}. \quad (25)$$

Since the (anti-)monopole gauge field only contributes to the $SU(2)_R$ angular momentum operator, in (20) the original $SU(2)_R$ index j of the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics is contracted with the gauge spin index $I/2$ to form the $SU(2)_R$ composite spin k in (19) just as in the case of the usual $SU(2)$ angular momentum composition rule. Therefore, k takes

$$k = j + \frac{I}{2}, j + \frac{I}{2} - 1, \dots, j - \frac{I}{2} \quad (26)$$

or

$$s \equiv j - k = \frac{I}{2}, \frac{I}{2} - 1, \dots, -\frac{I}{2}. \quad (27)$$

³The $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics (23) satisfy

$$\mathbf{J}^{(0)2} Y_{plm}(\Omega_3) = \mathbf{K}^{(0)2} Y_{plm}(\Omega_3) = \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{p}{2} + 1 \right) Y_{plm}(\Omega_3). \quad (21)$$

The dimension of the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics is given by

$$\sum_{l=0}^p (2l+1) = (p+1)^2 = (2j+1)^2 \Big|_{j=\frac{p}{2}}. \quad (22)$$

s signifies the difference between the left and right $SU(2)$ quantum numbers, and hence the name the chirality parameter [28, 29]. Though k and j are two independent $SU(2)$ group indices, in the Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole system the range of k is not arbitrary but restricted as (26) with a given j . With $(I+1)$ -component vector-like notation of $|I/2, s_z\rangle$ in (19), the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\Omega_3) = \sum_{m_R=-j}^j \begin{pmatrix} C_{j,m_R; \frac{I}{2}, \frac{I}{2}}^{k,m_k} \Phi_{j,m_j; j,m_R}(\Omega_3) \\ C_{j,m_R; \frac{I}{2}, \frac{I}{2}-1}^{k,m_k} \Phi_{j,m_j; j,m_R}(\Omega_3) \\ \vdots \\ C_{j,m_R; \frac{I}{2}, -\frac{I}{2}}^{k,m_k} \Phi_{j,m_j; j,m_R}(\Omega_3) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

From two indices j and k , we introduce the $SO(4)$ Landau level index n :

$$n = j + k - \frac{I}{2}. \quad (29)$$

n essentially denotes the sum of two $SU(2)$ quantum numbers. With n and s , j and k are inversely represented as

$$(j, k)_{SO(4)} = \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{I}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + \frac{I}{4} - \frac{s}{2} \right)_{SO(4)}. \quad (30)$$

Notice that while the (anti-)monopole only acts to the $SU(2)_R$ operator, with a given n j and k are totally equivalent in the sense that either of j and k starts from $n/2$ and ends at $I/2 + n/2$, and the intervals of n , j and k are $\Delta n = 1$ and $\Delta j = \Delta k = \frac{1}{2}$ (see Fig.2).

In the view of the $SO(5)$ representation theory, the $SO(5)$ irreducible representation is specified by two integers,⁴

$$(p, q)_{SO(5)} = (I + N, N)_{SO(5)}. \quad (N = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (31)$$

The $SO(4)$ decomposition generally tells that, with a given N , n takes

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (32)$$

and the $SO(4)$ decomposition is

$$(I + N, N)_{SO(5)} = \sum_{n=0}^N \oplus \left(\sum_{s=-I/2}^{I/2} \oplus (j, k)_{SO(4)} \right), \quad (33)$$

where j and k are given by (30). Therefore, the dimension of the $SO(5)$ irreducible representation is obtained as

$$D(I, N) = \sum_{n=0}^N d(I, n) = \frac{1}{6}(N+1)(I+1)(I+N+2)(I+2N+3), \quad (34)$$

where

$$d(I, n) = \sum_{s=-I/2}^{I/2} (2j+1)(2k+1) = \frac{1}{6}(I+1)(I^2 + (6n+5)I + 6(n+1)^2). \quad (35)$$

⁴See Appendix A.1 for the $SO(5)$ representation theory and the $SO(4)$ decomposition.




Figure 2: Decomposition of the $SO(5)$ irreducible representation $(p, q)_{SO(5)} = (I+N, N)_{SO(5)}$ to the $SO(4)$ irreducible representation $(j, k)_{SO(4)}$. The oblique lines with fixed $j+k = n + \frac{I}{2}$ are called the $SO(4)$ lines in the present paper.

2.2.2 Azimuthal part eigenvalue problem

The $SO(5)$ Casimir operator was decomposed to the azimuthal part ξ and the hyper-latitude S^3 part (16). To solve the differential equation of the $SO(5)$ Casimir operator, Yang adopted the method of separation of variables [6]:

$$\Psi_{j,k}(\xi, \Omega_3) = G(\xi) \cdot Y_{j,k}(\Omega_3). \quad (36)$$

Here, $Y_{j,k}(\Omega_3)$ denote the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics (19) with the constraint

$$j+k = n + \frac{I}{2}. \quad (37)$$

The $SO(5)$ eigenvalue problem

$$\sum_{a < b=1}^5 L_{ab}^2 \Psi(\xi, \Omega_3) = \lambda \Psi(\xi, \Omega_3), \quad (38)$$

is reduced to the eigenvalue equation for $G(\xi)$:

$$\left[-\frac{1}{\sin^3 \xi} \frac{d}{d\xi} (\sin^3 \xi \frac{d}{d\xi}) + 2 \frac{1}{1 - \cos \xi} j(j+1) + 2 \frac{1}{1 + \cos \xi} k(k+1) + \frac{I}{2} (\frac{I}{2} + 1) \right] G(\xi) = \lambda G(\xi). \quad (39)$$

Yang showed that the difference of two Casimir indices is exactly equal to the $SU(2)$ monopole index I [6]:

$$p - q = I. \quad (40)$$

Therefore, when we identify q with the $SO(5)$ Landau level index N ($= 0, 1, 2, \dots$), the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics⁵ (36) are specified by the $SO(5)$ indices (31). The $SO(5)$ Casimir eigenvalues are readily obtained as (see Appendix A.1)

$$\lambda_N = \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} q^2 + 2p + q = N^2 + N(I+3) + \frac{1}{2} I(I+4), \quad (41)$$

⁵In [6], Yang called the eigenstates (36) the $SU(2)$ monopole harmonics, but in the present paper we refer to them as the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics emphasizing their $SO(5)$ covariance.

and the corresponding degeneracy is

$$D(I, N) = \frac{1}{6}(p+2)(q+1)(p+q+3)(p-q+1) = \frac{1}{6}(N+1)(I+1)(I+N+2)(I+2N+3), \quad (42)$$

which is equal to (34). (33) implies that N th $SO(5)$ Landau level consists of the inner $SO(4)$ Landau levels ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$):

$$\mathcal{H}_{SO(5)}^{(N)} = \sum_{n=0}^N \oplus \left(\sum_{s=-\frac{I}{2}}^{\frac{I}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{SO(4)}^{(n,s)} \right). \quad (43)$$

The normalized $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics are derived as

$$\Psi_{N;j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_4) = G_{N,j,k}(\xi) \cdot \mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_3), \quad (\Omega_4 = (\xi, \chi, \theta, \phi)) \quad (44)$$

where [14]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N,j,k}(\xi) &= \sqrt{N + \frac{I}{2} + \frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \xi} d_{N+\frac{I}{2}+1,j-k,-j-k-1}(\xi) \\ &= \sqrt{N + \frac{I}{2} + \frac{3}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{2j+1} \sqrt{\frac{(N + \frac{I}{2} + j - k + 1)!}{(N + \frac{I}{2} + j + k + 2)!} \cdot \frac{(N + \frac{I}{2} - j + k + 1)!}{(N + \frac{I}{2} - j - k)!}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sin \xi} (\sin \frac{\xi}{2})^{2j+1} (\cos \frac{\xi}{2})^{-2k-1} P_{N+\frac{I}{2}+1-j+k}^{2j+1, -(2k+1)}(\cos \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Here $d_{l,m,g}(\xi)$ denotes the Wigner's small d -function⁶ and its three indices are identified with $(l, m, g) = (N + \frac{I}{2} + 1, s, -n - \frac{I}{2} - 1)$ as in (45). Note that the two magnetic indices, m and g , generally take (half-)integer values between $-l$ and l , while in the present case $m = s$ and the range of s (27) is restricted to $|s| \leq \frac{I}{2}$ which is smaller than $l = N + \frac{I}{2} + 1$ (except for $N = 0$). We thus find that a subset of d -function is utilized in (45). The orthonormal relation for (44) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\Omega_4 \Psi_{N;j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_4)^\dagger \Psi_{N';j',m'_j;k',m'_k}(\Omega_4) \\ &= \int_0^\pi d\xi \sin^3 \xi G_{N,j,k}(\xi)^* G_{N',j',k'}(\xi) \cdot \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_3)^\dagger \mathbf{Y}_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k}(\Omega_3) \\ &= \delta_{NN'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{kk'} \delta_{m_j m'_j} \delta_{m_k m'_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

For instance, the $SO(5)$ spinor representation $(N, I) = (0, 1)$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{0;1/2,1/2;0,0}(\Omega_4) &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi^2}} \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \chi - i \sin \chi \cos \theta \\ -i \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \propto \psi_1 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} x_4 - ix_3 \\ -ix_1 + x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Psi_{0;1/2,-1/2;0,0}(\Omega_4) &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi^2}} \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ \cos \chi + i \sin \chi \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \propto \psi_2 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} -ix_1 - x_2 \\ x_4 + ix_3 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (49a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{0;0,0;1/2,1/2}(\Omega_4) &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi^2}} \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \propto \psi_3 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1+x_5}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Psi_{0;0,0;1/2,-1/2}(\Omega_4) &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi^2}} \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \propto \psi_4 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1+x_5}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (49b)$$

⁶The small d -function can also be expressed as

$$d_{l,m,g}(\xi) = (e^{-i\xi S_y^{(l)}})_{m,g} \quad (46)$$

where $S_y^{(l)}$ denotes y -component of the $SU(2)$ spin matrix with spin magnitude l :

$$\mathbf{S}^{(l)} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{(l)} = l(l+1) \mathbf{1}_{2l+1}. \quad (47)$$

From

$$G_{N;j,k}(x_5) = (1-x_5)^j(1+x_5)^{-k-1} \overbrace{P_{N+\frac{1}{2}+1-j+k}^{2j+1, -(2k+1)}(x_5)}^{\sim x_5^{N+\frac{1}{2}+1-j+k}} \sim x_5^{N+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (50)$$

and

$$Y_{j,k}(\Omega_3) \sim y_\mu^{2j} \sim \frac{1}{(1-x_5^2)^j} x_\mu^{2j}, \quad (51)$$

the behavior of $\Psi_{N;j,k}$ can be read off as

$$\Psi_{N;j,k} \sim x_a^{N+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (52)$$

At $I = 0$, (44) is reduced to the $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics as expected (see Appendix B).

2.3 The $SO(5)$ Landau model

The $SO(5)$ Landau model [7] is a Landau model on a four-sphere in the $SU(2)$ monopole background. With the covariant derivatives D_a (10), the $SO(5)$ Landau Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -\frac{1}{2M} \sum_{a=1}^5 D_a^2 \Big|_{r=1} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{a<b} \Lambda_{ab}^2, \quad (53)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{a<b} (L_{ab}^2 - F_{ab}^2), \quad (54)$$

where we used $\Lambda_{ab} F_{ab} = F_{ab} \Lambda_{ab} = 0$. From (6), we can readily derive

$$\sum_{a<b} F_{ab}^2 = \sum_{m<n} (\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i S_i)^2 = 2S_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} I(I+2), \quad (55)$$

and the $SO(5)$ Landau Hamiltonian is diagonalized as

$$E_N(I) = \frac{1}{2M} (N^2 + N(I+3) + I), \quad (56)$$

with the Landau level degeneracy (42). The Landau level eigenstates are given by the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics (44).

The lowest Landau level degeneracy is given by

$$D_{\text{LLL}}(I) \equiv D(I, N=0) = \frac{1}{6} (I+1)(I+2)(I+3), \quad (57)$$

which is simply understood as the number of the fully symmetric representation [7],

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1! m_2! m_3! m_4!}} \psi_1^{m_1} \psi_2^{m_2} \psi_3^{m_3} \psi_4^{m_4} \quad (58)$$

where m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 are non-negative integers subject to

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 = I \quad (59)$$

and ψ s denote the components of the 2nd Hopf spinor⁷

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x_5}} \begin{pmatrix} x_4 - ix_i \sigma_i^* \\ (1+x_5) 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (60)$$

⁷We will discuss the 2nd Hopf map in Sec.3.2.

We can see equivalence between the fully symmetric representation (58) and the $N = 0$ $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics as follows. With the higher spin basis

$$\mathbf{e}_A^{(I/2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{I}{2} + A)!(\frac{I}{2} - A)!}} \phi_1^{\frac{I}{2}+A} \phi_2^{\frac{I}{2}-A}, \quad (A = I/2, I/2 - 1, \dots, -I/2) \quad (61)$$

(58) can be expanded as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_1!m_2!m_3!m_4!}} \psi_1^{m_1} \psi_2^{m_2} \psi_3^{m_3} \psi_4^{m_4} = \sum_{A=-I/2}^{I/2} \psi_A^{(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)} \mathbf{e}_A^{(I/2)}. \quad (62)$$

From the expansion coefficients, we can construct a $(I + 1)$ -component ‘‘vector’’ as

$$\Psi_{N=0; j, m_j; k, m_k} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{(I+2)(I+3)}}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{I/2}^{(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)} \\ \psi_{I/2-1}^{(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{-I/2}^{(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (63)$$

which is exactly equal to the $N = 0$ $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics (44) under the identification

$$j = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + m_2), \quad m_j = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_2), \quad k = \frac{1}{2}(m_3 + m_4), \quad m_k = \frac{1}{2}(m_3 - m_4). \quad (64)$$

3 Four-sphere matrix geometry

In this section, we investigate the matrix geometry of the $SO(5)$ Landau model. First, we discuss a general structure of the matrix geometry deduced from the $SO(5)$ irreducible decomposition rule. Next, we discuss the lowest Landau level matrix geometry at the quantum limit $I = 1$ and at the classical limit $I \gg 1$. Finally, through the Landau level projection, we explicitly derive the matrix geometry interpolating between these two limits and applicable in any Landau level.

3.1 General form of matrix coordinates

In this section, we utilize the notation $[[N, I]]$ to specify the $SO(5)$ irreducible representation instead of $(p, q)_{SO(5)} = (N + I, N)$. First, let us see a general structure of the matrix elements of the four-sphere coordinates:

$$\langle N', \frac{I}{2} | x_a | N, \frac{I}{2} \rangle. \quad (65)$$

Here $|N, \frac{I}{2}\rangle$ is the abbreviation of the N th Landau level eigenstates (44), and the $SO(5)$ vector x_a carries the $SO(5)$ index $[[1, 0]]$, and hence the $SO(5)$ index of $x_a |N, \frac{I}{2}\rangle$ is given by

$$[[1, 0]] \otimes [[N, I]], \quad (66)$$

which is irreducibly decomposed as [34, 35, 36]

$$[[1, 0]] \otimes [[N, I]] = [[N + 1, I]] \oplus [[N - 1, I]] \oplus [[N, I]] \oplus [[N + 1, I - 2]] \oplus [[N - 1, I + 2]], \quad (67)$$

where⁸

$$[[N + 1, -2]] \equiv -[[N, 0]], \quad (72a)$$

$$[[N, -1]] = [[-1, I]] \equiv \phi \text{ (empty set)}. \quad (72b)$$

⁸(72a) is a special case of more general formula

$$[[N + I + 1, -I - 2]] = -[[N, I]] \quad (68)$$

See Appendix A.2 for several examples of (67). The corresponding dimension-counting is given by

$$5 \otimes D_{[[N,I]]} = D_{[[N,I]]} \oplus D_{[[N+1,I]]} \oplus D_{[[N+1,I-2]]} \oplus D_{[[N-1,I+2]]} \oplus D_{[[N-1,I]]}. \quad (73)$$

With an $SU(2)$ monopole background fixed I , (67) implies that the Landau level transition, if occurred, only takes place between the adjacent Landau levels:

$$|N, \frac{I}{2}\rangle \xrightarrow{x_a} |N+1, \frac{I}{2}\rangle, \quad |N-1, \frac{I}{2}\rangle |N, \frac{I}{2}\rangle. \quad (74)$$

Consequently, the matrix elements only have finite values between the adjacent inter Landau levels and intra Landau levels:

$$\langle N', \frac{I}{2} | x_a | N, \frac{I}{2} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{only for } \Delta N \equiv N - N' = 0, \pm 1, \quad (75)$$

as depicted in Fig.3.




Figure 3: The matrix elements of four-sphere coordinates. The shaded regions stand for non-zero blocks: The red shaded squares denote the matrix elements in intra Landau levels, while the blue shaded rectangles represent the matrix elements between inter Landau levels.

3.2 The 2nd Hopf map and Bloch four-sphere (quantum limit: $I = 1$)

The Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole is closely related to the 2nd Hopf map [3, 4, 7]. Using quaternions q_m ($m = 1, 2, 3, 4$), the 2nd Hopf map, $S^7 \xrightarrow{S^3} S^4$, is realized as

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi^\dagger \gamma_a \psi = x_a, \quad (a = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \quad (76)$$

where γ_a are

$$\gamma_m \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{q}_m \\ q_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (77)$$

or

$$D_{[[N+I+1, -I-2]]} = -D_{[[N, I]]}. \quad (69)$$

(68) is verified by the fact that the $[[N, I]]$ irreducible representation is specified by the polynomial [35]

$$\xi(x, y)_{[[N, I]]} = x^{N+I+2} y^{N+1} - x^{N+1} y^{N+I+2} + \frac{y^{N+I+2}}{x^{N+1}} - \frac{y^{N+1}}{x^{N+I+2}} + \frac{1}{x^{N+I+2} y^{N+1}} - \frac{1}{x^{N+1} y^{N+I+2}} + \frac{x^{N+1}}{y^{N+I+2}} - \frac{x^{N+I+2}}{y^{N+1}}, \quad (70)$$

which has the property

$$\xi(x, y)_{[[N+I+1, -I-2]]} = -\xi(x, y)_{[[N, I]]}. \quad (71)$$

with $\bar{q}_m = \{-q_i, q_4\}$. ψ which we refer to as the 2nd Hopf spinor is a two-component quaternionic spinor $\psi = (\psi_1 \ \psi_2)^t$ (ψ_1 and ψ_2 are quaternions) subject to

$$\psi^\dagger \psi = 1, \quad (78)$$

and signifies the total manifold S^7 . x_a (76) satisfy the normalization condition $\sum_{a=1}^5 x_a^2 = (\psi^\dagger \psi)^2 = 1$ and are regarded as the coordinates of the base-manifold S^4 , while the S^3 -fibre part of S^7 is projected out in the map (76). The four-sphere associated with the 2nd Hopf map can be considered as a 4D version of the Bloch sphere [37]. The algebras of the quaternions implies that γ_a satisfy

$$\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}, \quad (79)$$

and act as the $SO(5)$ gamma matrices. This will be more transparent when we introduce a matrix realization of the quaternions:

$$q_m = \{-i\sigma_{i=1,2,3}, 1_2\}, \quad \bar{q}_m = \{i\sigma_{i=1,2,3}, 1_2\}. \quad (80)$$

Substituting (80) to (77), γ_a now become the familiar $SO(5)$ 4×4 gamma matrices, and the corresponding $SO(5)$ generators are obtained as

$$\sigma_{ab} = -i\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_a, \gamma_b], \quad (81)$$

where

$$\sigma_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{mn}^i \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i \sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{m5} = i\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{q}_m \\ q_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (82)$$

with the 't Hooft symbols (5). The 2nd Hopf spinor ψ is also promoted to a 4×2 matrix Ψ subject to

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = 1_2. \quad (83)$$

The S^3 -fibre part represents the $SU(2)$ gauge degrees of freedom that acts to Ψ as

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi \cdot g. \quad (g \in SU(2)) \quad (84)$$

A possible choice of Ψ is

$$\Psi(\Omega_4) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} x_m \bar{q}_m \\ 1+x_5 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} x_4 1_2 + ix_i \sigma_i \\ (1+x_5) 1_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (85)$$

Interestingly, (85) consists of the $N=0$ $SO(5)$ spinor multiplet for $I=1$ (49):

$$\Psi(\Omega_4)^\dagger = (\psi_1 \ \psi_2 \ \psi_3 \ \psi_4). \quad (86)$$

This implies that the 2nd Hopf map encodes information of the lowest Landau level of the minimum $SU(2)$ monopole index $I=1$, which we call the quantum limit. For the $SO(5)$ spinors, the $SU(2)$ gauge transformation (84) acts as

$$\psi_\alpha \rightarrow g^\dagger \cdot \psi_\alpha, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4) \quad (87)$$

and the gauge field is given by

$$A = -i\Psi^\dagger d\Psi = -i \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \psi_\alpha \ d\psi_\alpha^\dagger = -\frac{1}{2(1+x_5)} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i x_n \sigma_i dx_m, \quad (88)$$

which is exactly equal to the Yang's monopole gauge field (3) for $I=1$. Under the gauge transformation (84), the gauge field is transformed as expected:

$$A = -i \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \psi_\alpha \ d\psi_\alpha^\dagger \rightarrow -i \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 g^\dagger \psi_\alpha (d\psi_\alpha^\dagger \cdot g + \psi_\alpha^\dagger \cdot dg) = g^\dagger A g - ig^\dagger dg. \quad (89)$$

Including the $SU(2)$ gauge degrees of freedom, the 2nd Hopf spinor is generally given by

$$\psi(\Omega_4, G) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\Omega_4, G) \\ \psi_2(\Omega_4, G) \\ \psi_3(\Omega_4, G) \\ \psi_4(\Omega_4, G) \end{pmatrix} = \Psi(\Omega_4) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(G) \\ \phi_2(G) \end{pmatrix} \quad (90)$$

or

$$\psi_\alpha(\Omega_4, G) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \Psi_{\alpha i}(\Omega_4) \phi_i(G). \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4) \quad (91)$$

Here $\Psi(\Omega_4)$ signifies the base-manifold S^4 and $(\phi_1 \ \phi_2)^t$ denotes a normalized $SU(2)$ spinor taking its value on the S^3 -fibre. With some appropriate inner product, we orthonormalize ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2$) as

$$\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad (92)$$

and the normalization condition of ψ is restated as

$$\Psi(\Omega_4)^\dagger \Psi(\Omega_4) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \psi_\alpha \psi_\alpha^\dagger = 1_2. \quad (93)$$

With such a simple set-up, we discuss the $SU(2)$ gauge invariance and the $SO(5)$ covariance of the matrix geometry. The $SU(2)$ gauge transformation (84) can be reinterpreted as the transformation of the ϕ -part:

$$\phi_i \rightarrow g_{ij} \phi_j, \quad (94)$$

while the $SO(5)$ global transformation acts to Ψ -part as⁹

$$\Psi \rightarrow U^\dagger \Psi, \quad (97)$$

where

$$U \equiv e^{i \sum_{a<b} \omega_{ab} \sigma_{ab}} \quad (98)$$

with the transformation parameter ω_{ab} . We define the matrix elements of observable $O(\Omega_4)$ as¹⁰

$$\langle \psi_\alpha | O | \psi_\beta \rangle \equiv \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int d\Omega_4 \Psi_{\alpha i} O \Psi_{\beta j}^* \langle \phi_j | \phi_i \rangle = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int d\Omega_4 \Psi_{\alpha i} O \Psi_{\beta i}^* = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int d\Omega_4 \psi_\alpha^\dagger O \psi_\beta. \quad (100)$$

Thus the evaluation of the matrix elements is boiled down to the integration of the operator sandwiched by the $SO(5)$ spinors. In particular, the matrix elements of x_a are given by

$$(X_a)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int d\Omega_4 x_a \Psi_{\alpha i} \Psi_{\beta i}^* = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int d\Omega_4 x_a \psi_\alpha^\dagger \psi_\beta, \quad (101)$$

⁹ $\psi_{\alpha=1,2,3,4}$ (49) constitute the lowest Landau level eigenstates

$$L_{ab} |_{I=1} \psi_\alpha = (\sigma_{ab})_{\beta\alpha} \psi_\beta, \quad (95)$$

and under the $SO(5)$ transformation generalized by L_{ab} , they behave as

$$\psi_\alpha \rightarrow \psi_\beta U_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (96)$$

or (97).

¹⁰The factor in front of the integration is introduced for the normalization

$$\frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int_{S^4} d\Omega_4 \Psi \Psi^\dagger = 1_4. \quad (99)$$

or

$$X_a = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int d\Omega_4 x_a P, \quad (102)$$

where P denotes a 4×4 projection matrix

$$P = \Psi\Psi^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sum_{a=1}^5 x_a \gamma_a \right). \quad (103)$$

From (93) $P^2 = P$, and P is invariant under the $SU(2)$ gauge transformation (84). Therefore, X_a (102) are obviously gauge invariant as they should be. From the 2nd Hopf map $x_a = \Psi^\dagger \gamma_a \Psi$, $X_a = \int d\Omega_4 \Psi x_a \Psi^\dagger$ can also be represented as

$$X_a = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int d\Omega_4 P \gamma_a P. \quad (104)$$

Using the formulas

$$P \gamma_a P = \frac{1}{2} x_a (1 + x_b \gamma_b), \quad \int_{S^4} d\Omega_4 x_a = 0, \quad \int_{S^4} d\Omega_4 x_a x_b = \frac{1}{5} A(S^4) \delta_{ab}, \quad (105)$$

we can easily evaluate (104) as¹¹

$$X_a = \frac{1}{5} \gamma_a. \quad (106)$$

Thus in the quantum limit, the lowest Landau level matrix geometry is given by the $SO(5)$ gamma matrices (77) up to a proportional factor. Under the $SO(5)$ global transformation (97), X_a are transformed as

$$X_a = \frac{2}{A(S^4)} \int d\Omega_4 x_a \Psi \Psi^\dagger \rightarrow \frac{2}{A(S^4)} U^\dagger \cdot \int d\Omega_4 x_a \Psi \Psi^\dagger \cdot U = U^\dagger X_a U = R_{ab} X_b, \quad (107)$$

where we used the $SO(5)$ covariance of the gamma matrices

$$U^\dagger \gamma_a U = R_{ab} \gamma_b \quad (R \equiv e^{i \sum_{a < b} \omega_{ab} \Sigma_{ab}^{\text{Ad}}}, \quad \Sigma_{ab}^{\text{Ad}} \equiv -i \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} + i \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}). \quad (108)$$

(107) indicates that the matrix coordinates transform as an $SO(5)$ vector as expected.

3.3 Heuristic derivation of the fuzzy geometry (classical limit: $I \gg 1$)

Next, we consider the opposite limit $I \gg 1$, which we refer to as the classical limit by the analogy of quantum spin model $S \gg 1$. Refining the heuristic discussions of [27], we will show how the non-commutative geometry takes place in this limit.

At $I \gg 1$, the field strength term becomes dominant in L_{ab} (11):

$$L_{ab} \rightarrow r^2 F_{ab}. \quad (109)$$

The coordinates x_a can be extracted from the $SU(2)$ field strength (6) as [27]

$$\frac{1}{r^5} x_a = \frac{2}{4! c_2(I)} \epsilon_{abcde} \text{tr}(F_{bc} F_{de}). \quad (110)$$

Here $c_2(I)$ denotes the 2nd Chern number (8):

$$c_2(I) = -\frac{1}{6} I(I+1)(I+2) = -D(I-1, 0). \quad (111)$$

¹¹ Alternatively, we can obtain (106) by performing the integration (101) with (49).

Using (109), we replace F_{ab} with L_{ab} in (110) to have

$$X_a \sim \frac{2r}{4!c_2(I)} \epsilon_{abcde} L_{bc} L_{de} \text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_{\text{internal space}}). \quad (112)$$

Since L_{ab} are the $SO(5)$ operators, the coordinates now become the operators. tr in (110) is taken in the ‘‘internal’’ fuzzy space S_F^2 with dimension $I+1$ [27, 15], and so in (112)

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_{\text{internal space}}) = I+1. \quad (113)$$

In the lowest Landau level, we may replace the $SO(5)$ operators L_{ab} with the $SO(5)$ matrices Σ_{ab} of the fully symmetric irreducible representation:

$$L_{ab} \rightarrow \Sigma_{ab}, \quad (114)$$

and (112) turns into

$$X_a = \frac{2}{4!} \frac{I+1}{c_2(I)} \epsilon_{abcde} \Sigma_{bc} \Sigma_{de} = -\frac{1}{4I(I+2)} \epsilon_{abcde} \Sigma_{bc} \Sigma_{de}. \quad (115)$$

Since in the fully symmetric representation Σ_{ab} satisfy¹²

$$\epsilon_{abcde} \Sigma_{bc} \Sigma_{de} = -2(I+2)\Gamma_a, \quad (118)$$

(115) is greatly simplified as

$$X_a = \frac{1}{I} \Gamma_a. \quad (119)$$

Therefore in the classical limit, the lowest Landau level matrix coordinates are given by the $SO(5)$ gamma matrices in the fully symmetric representation.

From (119), we have

$$[X_a, X_b] = i\left(\frac{2}{I}\right)^2 \Sigma_{ab}, \quad (120)$$

and around the north-pole $X_5 = \frac{1}{I}\Gamma_5 \sim -\mathbf{1}_{I+1}$,¹³ (120) is reduced to

$$[X_n, X_m] = i\left(\frac{2}{I}\right)^2 \Sigma_{mn} \sim i\left(\frac{2}{I}\right)^2 n_{mn}^i S_i, \quad (121)$$

which realizes the non-commutative algebra of Zhang and Hu [7].

3.4 Landau level projection and matrix geometry (arbitrary I and N)

We have obtained the matrix geometry either at the quantum limit and at the classical limit. Here, we apply the Landau level projection to derive more general results. The explicit form of the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics is crucial in the analysis.

¹² The gamma matrices in the fully symmetric representation are constructed as

$$\Gamma_a^{(I)} \equiv \overbrace{(\gamma_a \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \mathbf{1})}^I + \overbrace{(\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma_a \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \mathbf{1})}^I + \cdots + \overbrace{(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \cdots \mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma_a)}^I \Big|_{\text{sym.}}, \quad (116)$$

which satisfy

$$\sum_{a=1}^5 \Gamma_a^{(I)} \Gamma_a^{(I)} = I(I+4) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{6}(I+1)(I+2)(I+3)}, \quad (117a)$$

$$[\Gamma_a^{(I)}, \Gamma_b^{(I)}, \Gamma_c^{(I)}, \Gamma_d^{(I)}] = 8(l+2) \epsilon_{abcde} \Gamma_e^{(I)}. \quad (117b)$$

In this paper, we will drop (I) on the shoulder of $\Gamma_a^{(I)}$ for brevity otherwise stated.

¹³ Γ_5 is a $D(I,0) \times D(I,0)$ block diagonal matrix whose most upper-left/lower-right block is given by $\mp I \cdot \mathbf{1}_{I+1}$.

3.4.1 Landau level matrix elements

We perform integrations in the azimuthal part and the S^3 -latitude part separately. The S^4 -coordinates are decomposed to the azimuthal part and the S^3 -latitude part:

$$x_m = \sin \xi y_m, \quad x_5 = \cos \xi, \quad (122)$$

where x_m are expressed by the product of the radius of S^3 -latitude and the (normalized) S^3 -coordinates:

$$y_1 = \sin \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad y_2 = \sin \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad y_3 = \sin \chi \cos \theta, \quad y_4 = \cos \chi. \quad (123)$$

The area element of S^4 is expressed as

$$d\Omega_4 = d\xi \sin^3 \xi d\Omega_3, \quad (124)$$

with the S^3 area element

$$d\Omega_3 = \sin^2 \chi \sin \theta d\chi d\theta d\phi. \quad (125)$$

For instance, an integration on S^4 is carried out as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_{N;j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | \Psi_{N;j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle &= \langle G_{N,j',k'} | G_{N,j,k} \rangle \cdot \langle Y_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | Y_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\pi d\xi \sin^3 \xi G_{N,j',k'}(\xi)^* G_{N,j,k}(\xi) \cdot \int d\Omega_3 \mathbf{Y}_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k}(\Omega_3)^\dagger \mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_3). \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

As discussed in Sec.2.2, the N th $SO(5)$ Landau level consists of inner $SO(4)$ Landau levels with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$. In the $SO(4)$ language, x_m acts as a vector with the $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ index $(j, k) = (1/2, 1/2)$ and x_5 acts as a scalar with $(j, k) = (0, 0)$. For the $SO(4)$ Landau level index n (37) and the chirality parameter s (27), the differences are represented as $\Delta n = \Delta j + \Delta k$ and $\Delta s = \Delta j - \Delta k$, and then the $SO(4)$ selection rule tells that the matrix coordinates have non-zero values only for the cases

$$\langle x_m \rangle : (\Delta n, \Delta s) = (\pm 1, 0), \quad (0, \pm 1), \quad (127a)$$

$$\langle x_5 \rangle : (\Delta n, \Delta s) = (0, 0). \quad (127b)$$

Regions of the non-zero matrix elements are depicted in Fig.4 that expresses fine internal structures of Fig.3. With this in mind, we shall evaluate the matrix elements of x_5 and x_m .

- Matrix coordinates for x_5

The matrix elements of x_5 are diagonalized as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_{N;j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | x_5 | \Psi_{N;j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle &= \langle G_{N,j',k'} | x_5 | G_{N,j,k} \rangle \cdot \langle Y_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | Y_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle \\ &= \langle G_{N,j,k} | x_5 | G_{N,j,k} \rangle \cdot \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{m_j,m'_j} \delta_{m_k,m'_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G_{N,j,k} | x_5 | G_{N,j,k} \rangle &= \left(N + \frac{I}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_0^\pi d\xi \sin \xi d_{N+\frac{I}{2}+1,s,-n-\frac{I}{2}-1}(\xi) \cos \xi d_{N+\frac{I}{2}+1,s,-n-\frac{I}{2}-1}(\xi) \\ &= -\frac{2n+I+2}{(2N+I+2)(2N+I+4)} \cdot 2s, \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

where we used (45) and a formula for the small d -function.¹⁴ The matrix coordinate (129) takes equally spaced discrete values specified by the chiral parameter $s = I/2, I/2 - 1, \dots, -I/2$, which are regarded as

¹⁴

$$\int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta d_{l,m,g}(\theta) \cos \theta d_{l,m,g}(\theta) = \frac{2g}{l(l+1)(2l+1)} m. \quad (130)$$




Figure 4: Matrix coordinates for $I = 3$. There are non-zero matrix elements in the shaded color regions. The blue, green, purple shaded regions are specified by $\Delta N = \pm 1$, $(\Delta n, \Delta N) = (\pm 1, 0)$ and $(\Delta s, \Delta n, \Delta N) = (\pm 1, 0, 0)$, respectively. The red shaded regions correspond to $\Delta N = \Delta n = \Delta s = 0$. The red-framed squares (with inner red and purple squares) denote the $SO(4)$ Landau level subspaces. Obviously, the matrix geometry exhibits a nesting structure.

latitudes of a fuzzy four-sphere. Such a structure is very similar to that of the fuzzy two-sphere [31], but while the latitudes of fuzzy two-sphere are not degenerate, the latitudes of fuzzy four-sphere are degenerate giving rise to the internal structure.

- Matrix coordinates for $x_{m=1,2,3,4}$

As indicated by (127a), there are two cases in which $\langle x_m \rangle$ take finite values. The first case is $(\Delta n, \Delta s) = (\pm 1, 0)$ representing transition between two adjacent $SO(4)$ Landau levels (two adjacent $SO(4)$ lines in Fig.2) corresponding to the green shaded regions in Fig.4, while the second case

$$(\Delta n, \Delta s) = (0, \pm 1) \quad (131)$$

represents transition between the two adjacent sub-bands specified by s inside a $SO(4)$ Landau level (two adjacent dots on an identical $SO(4)$ line in Fig.2) corresponding to the small purple shaded regions in Fig.4. In the following, we focus on the second case, which in the language of the $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ corresponds to

$$j' = j + \frac{\sigma}{2}, \quad k' = k - \frac{\sigma}{2}. \quad (\sigma = +, -) \quad (132)$$

Under the condition (132), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Psi_{N;j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | x_m | \Psi_{N;j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sigma=+,-} \langle G_{N,j+\frac{\sigma}{2},k-\frac{\sigma}{2}} | \sin \xi | G_{N,j,k} \rangle \cdot Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k)_{(m'_j,m'_k; m_j,m_k)} \cdot \delta_{j',j+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \delta_{k',k-\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

where

$$Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k)_{(m'_j,m'_k; m_j,m_k)} \equiv \langle \mathbf{Y}_{j+\frac{\sigma}{2},m'_j;k-\frac{\sigma}{2},m'_k} | y_m | \mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle. \quad (134)$$

$Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k)$ are regarded as $(2j + \sigma + 1)(2k - \sigma + 1) \times (2j + 1)(2k + 1)$ rectangular matrices with magnetic indices $(m'_j, m'_k; m_j, m_k)$, and $Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k)$ and $Y_m^{(-\sigma,\sigma)}(j + \frac{\sigma}{2}, k - \frac{\sigma}{2})$ are in the relation of Hermitian

conjugate. We can evaluate the S^3 -radius part of (133) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G_{N,j+\frac{\sigma}{2},k-\frac{\sigma}{2}} | \sin \xi | G_{N,j,k} \rangle &= (N + \frac{I}{2} + \frac{3}{2}) \int_0^\pi d\xi \sin^2 \xi d_{N+\frac{I}{2}+1,s',-n-\frac{I}{2}-1}(\xi) d_{N+\frac{I}{2}+1,s,-n-\frac{I}{2}-1}(\xi) \Big|_{s'=s+\sigma} \\ &= -\frac{2n+I+2}{(2N+I+2)(2N+I+4)} \cdot 2\sqrt{(N+\frac{I}{2}-\sigma s+1)(N+\frac{I}{2}+\sigma s+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (135)$$

In the last equation, we used another formula of the small d -function.¹⁵ Next, we turn to the unit- S^3 part (134). Notice first that y_m (123) can be expressed by the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics (20):

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= -i\frac{\pi}{2}(\Phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} - \Phi_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}), & y_2 &= -\frac{\pi}{2}(\Phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} + \Phi_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}), \\ y_3 &= i\frac{\pi}{2}(\Phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}} + \Phi_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}), & y_4 &= \frac{\pi}{2}(\Phi_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}} - \Phi_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

With an integration formula for the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics, a bit of calculation (see Appendix D.1) shows¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{m=1,2}^{(+)}(j,k) &= (-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & k-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j+m_j+1)(k-m_k)} - (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j-m_j+1)(k+m_k)}), \\ Y_{m=3,4}^{(+)}(j,k) &= -(-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j+\frac{1}{2} & k-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j+m_j+1)(k+m_k)} + (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j-m_j+1)(k-m_k)}). \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

$Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j,k)$ do not depend on the $SO(5)$ Landau level N and denote the matrix coordinates of three-sphere as we shall discuss in Sec.4. The matrix coordinates (133) are thus completely determined as (135) and (139) in any Landau level.

¹⁵

$$\int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta d_{l,m',g}(\theta) \sin \theta d_{l,m,g}(\theta) |_{m'=m\pm 1} = \frac{2g}{l(l+1)(2l+1)} \sqrt{(l \mp m)(l \pm m + 1)}. \quad (136)$$

¹⁶ Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{m=1,2}^{(-)}(j,k) &= -(-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j-\frac{1}{2} & k+\frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j-m_j)(k+m_k+1)} - (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j+m_j)(k-m_k+1)}), \\ Y_{m=3,4}^{(-)}(j,k) &= -(-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j-\frac{1}{2} & k+\frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j-m_j)(k-m_k+1)} + (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j+m_j)(k+m_k+1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

3.4.2 Fuzzy four-sphere in the lowest Landau level

With the general results above, the lowest Landau level ($N = n = 0$) matrix coordinates are readily obtained as¹⁷

$$X_{m=1,2,3,4} \equiv \langle \Psi_{N=0;j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | x_m | \Psi_{N=0;j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle = -\frac{2}{I+4} \times \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{I}{2} + s + 1\right)\left(\frac{I}{2} - s + 2\right)} Y_m^{(+,-)}(j, k) \delta_{j',j+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{k',k-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{I}{2} - s + 1\right)\left(\frac{I}{2} + s + 2\right)} Y_m^{(-,+)}(j, k) \delta_{j',j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{k',k+\frac{1}{2}} \right), \quad (141a)$$

$$X_5 \equiv \langle \Psi_{N=0;j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | x_5 | \Psi_{N=0;j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle = -\frac{2}{I+4} s \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{m_j,m'_j} \delta_{m_k,m'_k}. \quad (141b)$$

(141) is concisely expressed as

$$X_a = \frac{1}{I+4} \Gamma_a. \quad (142)$$

where Γ_a denote the $SO(5)$ gamma matrices in the fully symmetric representation $(p, q) = (I, 0)$. See Fig.5 also. (142) interpolates between the quantum limit at $I = 1$ (106) and the classical limit at $I \gg 1$ (119). The matrix geometry (142) realizes the quantum Nambu geometry of the fuzzy four-sphere [23, 24]:




Figure 5: The lowest Landau level matrix coordinates: the red-framed square of the top leftmost in Fig.4.

$$\sum_{a=1}^5 X_a X_a = \frac{I}{I+4} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\frac{1}{8}(I+1)(I+2)(I+3)}, \quad (143a)$$

$$[X_a, X_b, X_c, X_d] = (I+2) \left(\frac{2}{I+4}\right)^3 \epsilon_{abcde} X_e, \quad (143b)$$

where $[\dots]$ of (143b) signifies the quantum Nambu bracket [25, 26],

$$[O_1, O_2, \dots, O_{2k}] \equiv \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2k}} O_{\mu_1} O_{\mu_2} \dots O_{\mu_{2k}}. \quad (144)$$

In the thermodynamic limit $I \rightarrow \infty$, (143a) is reduced the condition of a four-sphere with unit radius.

Since X_5 is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues of equal spacing (141b), the fuzzy four-sphere geometry can be regarded as a stacking of the matrix-valued three-spheres along x_5 -axis with equal spacing as

¹⁷ In the special case $s = \frac{1}{2}\sigma$, (141a) becomes

$$\langle \Psi_{N=0;j'=j+\frac{\sigma}{2},m'_j;k'=k-\frac{\sigma}{2},m'_k} | x_m | \Psi_{N=0;j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle = -\frac{1}{I+4} (I+3) Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k). \quad (140)$$

$Y_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k)$ realizes the matrix for the fuzzy three-sphere [32].

depicted in Fig.1. One may wonder the stacking along the x_5 -axis might break the $SO(5)$ symmetry of the four-sphere. However, this is not the case. Recall that we have adopted x_5 as a special axis. If we had chosen x_1 as a special axis, we would have had the stack along the x_1 -axis. Therefore, the picture of the stack along x_5 -axis is a kind of “gauge-artifact” by choosing x_a as a special axis in \mathbb{R}^5 , and the fuzzy four-sphere certainly respects the $SO(5)$ symmetry.

3.4.3 Nested matrix geometry in higher Landau levels

Let us consider the matrix geometry in higher $SO(5)$ Landau levels. With a given $SO(5)$ Landau level N , there are $N + 1$ inner $SO(4)$ Landau levels indexed by $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, and further in each of the $SO(4)$ Landau levels there are $I + 1$ sub-bands indexed by the chiral parameter s . Each sub-band s realizes the matrix-valued S^3 -latitude, and a stack of such $(I + 1)$ matrix-valued S^3 -latitudes along the x_5 -axis constitute a fuzzy 4D geometry in each of the $SO(4)$ Landau levels. Therefore inside the N th $SO(5)$ Landau level, there are $N + 1$ fuzzy 4D geometries that form a nested structure as a whole [Fig.6]. Recall




Figure 6: The nested fuzzy structure in the $SO(5)$ Landau level. There are $N + 1$ quasi-fuzzy four-spheres indexed by n in the N th $SO(5)$ Landau level. The colors of the quasi-fuzzy four-spheres correspond to the $SO(4)$ lines in Fig.2.

that the range of the chiral parameter s is restricted to $|s| = \frac{I}{2}$ and does not cover the whole range of the matrix size specified by $j + k = n + \frac{I}{2}$ (except for $n = 0$). This implies that the corresponding matrix geometry is not a complete fuzzy four-sphere but a fuzzy four-sphere like geometry with north and south “caps” removed due to the uncovered parameter regions of s . We referred to such a fuzzy 4D geometry as the quasi-fuzzy four-sphere. Each $SO(4)$ Landau level accommodates a quasi-fuzzy four-sphere geometry, and so N th $SO(5)$ Landau level realizes $N + 1$ quasi-fuzzy four-spheres with different matrix size depending on the $SO(4)$ index n . In this way, $N + 1$ quasi-fuzzy four-spheres exhibit a concentric nested structure in the N th $SO(5)$ Landau level as depicted in Fig.6. The lowest Landau level ($N = n = 0$) is exceptional, because the nested structure no longer exists and only a fuzzy four-sphere geometry remains.

The $SO(4)$ irreducible representations on an $SO(4)$ line (Fig.2) constitute each quasi-fuzzy four-sphere, which does not possess the $SO(5)$ covariance. However as an aggregation of the quasi-fuzzy four-spheres, the nested fuzzy geometry possesses the $SO(5)$ covariance since its quantum states are realized by an $SO(5)$ irreducible representation (or the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics). There exist non-vanishing off-diagonal matrix elements between the adjacent $SO(4)$ Landau levels (as represented by the green shaded rectangular blocks in Fig.4). Borrowing the string theory interpretation that the off-diagonal parts signify interactions between the fuzzy objects represented by the diagonal block matrices, one may say that the quasi-fuzzy four-spheres of the adjacent $SO(4)$ Landau levels interact and conspire to bring the $SO(5)$ covariance to the

nested fuzzy geometry. Furthermore, the nested fuzzy geometry has the $SO(5)$ symmetry also. Apparently as a classical geometry the nested structure [Fig.6] does not have the $SO(5)$ symmetry, but it does have in a quantum mechanical sense. The reason is essentially same as of the discussion below Eq.(144). We had chosen x_5 as a special axis, and we obtained the truncated nested structure without the north and south caps along the x_5 -axis like Fig.6, but if we had chosen the x_1 axis, we would have had a similar structure along the x_1 -axis. Actually we can adopt any axis in \mathbb{R}^5 , and then the nested structure has to have the $SO(5)$ symmetry. Therefore, the nested fuzzy geometry is considered to be $SO(5)$ symmetric in a quantum mechanical sense, which does not have its counterpart in classical geometry.

4 Internal fuzzy structure and the $SO(4)$ Landau models

We discuss a physical model that realizes the matrix-valued three-sphere geometry inside the $SO(5)$ Landau model. We also clarify relations among Landau models in different dimensions.

4.1 $SU(2)$ meron gauge field and $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger Hamiltonian

We first construct a physical model whose eigenstates are given by the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics (28). The expression of the $SO(4)$ part of the $SO(5)$ free angular momentum operators are exactly equal to the $SO(4)$ free angular momentum operators (see Appendix B):

$$L_{mn}^{(0)} = -ix_m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + ix_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} = -iy_m \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + iy_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_m}. \quad (145)$$

The $SO(4)$ angular momentum L_{mn} (12) can also be represented only in terms of the S^3 -coordinates (123):

$$L_{mn} = -iy_m \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + iy_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_m} + \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i S_i. \quad (146)$$

Therefore, the $SO(4)$ analysis in Sec.2.2.1 can be restated entirely in the language of S^3 without resorting to any information of the original manifold S^4 . We then explore the $SO(4)$ problem as an *independent* problem defined on S^3 , and utilize the S^3 -coordinates only, $y_{m=1,2,3,4}$, in this section. Interestingly, (146) can be realized as the $SO(4)$ angular momentum operators in the meron gauge field introduced by Alfaro, Fubini and Furlan as a solution of pure Yang-Mills field equation [38, 39]:

$$A^{\text{AFF}} = -\frac{1}{2r^2} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i y_n \sigma_i dy_m \quad (147)$$

where $r = \sqrt{y_m y_m}$. The meron gauge field with arbitrary spin magnitude is simply obtained by the dimensional reduction of the Yang's $SU(2)$ monopole gauge field (3):

$$A = -\frac{1}{r(r+x_5)} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i x_n S_i dx_m \xrightarrow{x_5 \rightarrow 0} A^{\text{AFF}} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i y_n S_i dy_m. \quad (148)$$

Notice that the Yang's monopole has the string-like singularity, while the meron only has the point-like singularity at the origin. The corresponding field strength is given by¹⁸

$$F_{mn}^{\text{AFF}} = -\frac{1}{r^2} y_m A_n^{\text{AFF}} + \frac{1}{r^2} y_n A_m^{\text{AFF}} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i \sigma_i, \quad (151)$$

¹⁸The associated 2nd Chern number is evaluated

$$Q = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x \epsilon_{mnpq} \text{tr}(F_{mn}^{\text{AFF}} F_{pq}^{\text{AFF}}) = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (149)$$

leading to the name "meron". For the meron field configuration with general spin $S_i^{(I/2)}$, the 2nd Chern number is evaluated as

$$Q = -\frac{1}{12} I(I+1)(I+2). \quad (150)$$

and the total angular momentum operator is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{mn} &= \Lambda_{mn} + r^2 F_{mn}^{\text{AFF}} = -iy_m \partial_n + iy_n \partial_m + y_m A_n^{\text{AFF}} - y_n A_m^{\text{AFF}} + r^2 F_{mn}^{\text{AFF}} \\ &= -iy_m \partial_n + iy_n \partial_m + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i \sigma_i, \end{aligned} \quad (152)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{mn} = -iy_m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + iA_n^{\text{AFF}} \right) + iy_n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_m} + iA_m^{\text{AFF}} \right). \quad (153)$$

With the replacement of $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i$ with higher $SU(2)$ spin matrix S_i , (152) turns to the $SO(4)$ angular momentum (146). The $SO(4)$ Casimir is given by

$$L_{mn}^2 = 4(\mathbf{J}^2 + \mathbf{K}^2), \quad (154)$$

where \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} are the $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ operators (17) :

$$J_i = J_i^{(0)} = -i\frac{1}{2}\eta_{mn}^i y_m \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \quad (155a)$$

$$K_i = K_i^{(0)} + S_i = -i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i y_m \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + S_i, \quad (155b)$$

and the $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ Casimir eigenvalues are given by

$$\mathbf{J}^2 = j(j+1), \quad \mathbf{K}^2 = k(k+1), \quad (156)$$

with

$$j+k = n + \frac{I}{2} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad s \equiv j-k = \frac{I}{2}, \frac{I}{2} - 1, \dots, -\frac{I}{2}, \quad (157)$$

or

$$j = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{I}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, \quad k = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{I}{4} - \frac{s}{2}. \quad (158)$$

Their simultaneous eigenstates are given by the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics (28).

In the meron field background, we introduce an $SO(4)$ Landau-like Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{PS}} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{m < n=1}^4 \Lambda_{mn}^2. \quad (159)$$

As usual, (159) can be rewritten as

$$H_{\text{PS}} = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{m < n} (L_{mn}^2 - F_{mn}^2) = \frac{1}{2M} (2\mathbf{J}^2 + 2\mathbf{K}^2 - \mathbf{S}^2), \quad (160)$$

where we used $\sum_{m < n} \Lambda_{mn} F_{mn} = \sum_{m < n} F_{mn} \Lambda_{mn} = 0$ and $\sum_{m < n} F_{mn}^2 = \mathbf{S}^2$. (159) can also be expressed as

$$H_{\text{PS}} = \frac{1}{2M} (2\mathbf{J}^{(0)2} + 2\mathbf{K}^{(0)2} + 4\mathbf{K}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \frac{I}{2}(\frac{I}{2} + 1)), \quad (161)$$

which realizes an $SO(4)$ generalization of the original Pauli-Schrödinger Hamiltonian [40] with spin-orbit coupling. For this reason, we refer to (159) as the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger Hamiltonian in this paper. From (158), the eigenvalues of the Pauli-Schrödinger Hamiltonian are readily obtained as

$$E_n(s) = \frac{1}{2M} (n(n+2) + \frac{I}{2}(2n+1) + s^2), \quad (162)$$

where n denotes the $SO(4)$ Landau levels and s denotes the sub-bands in the $SO(4)$ Landau levels [Fig.7]. The $SO(4)$ Landau level eigenstates are actually the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics $\mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j; k,m_k}$ with (157), and so the previous three-sphere matrix geometry (134) is considered to be realized in the $SO(4)$ Landau level. In this way, we can reformulate the $SO(4)$ part of the $SO(5)$ Landau model with the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model. In other words, the $SO(5)$ Landau model accommodates the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model as its internal model.




Figure 7: The $SO(4)$ Landau levels $E_n(s) = \frac{1}{2M}(n(n+2) + I(n + \frac{1}{2}) + s^2)$ (left figure) and $SO(5)$ Landau levels $E_N = \frac{1}{2M}(N(N+3) + I(N+1))$ (right figure).

4.2 Singular gauge transformation and $SO(4)$ matrix geometry

Curiously, the energy levels (162) are exactly equal to the Landau levels of the $SO(4)$ Landau Hamiltonian proposed by Nair and Daemi [41]. This coincidence suggests a hidden relation between the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model and the $SO(4)$ Landau model. In the following, we adopt the notation of [28, 32]. The $SO(4)$ Landau Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{m < n=1}^4 \Lambda_{mn}^2, \quad (163)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{mn} = -iy_m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + iA_n^{\text{ND}} \right) + iy_n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_m} + iA_m^{\text{ND}} \right), \quad (164)$$

with the Nair-Daemi $SU(2)$ gauge field¹⁹

$$A^{\text{ND}} = -\frac{1}{r(r+y_4)} \epsilon_{ijk} y_j S_k dy_i. \quad (165)$$

Obviously, the Nair-Daemi $SU(2)$ gauge field has a Dirac string-like singularity. The corresponding field strength is derived as

$$F_{ij}^{\text{ND}} = -y_i A_j^{\text{ND}} + y_j A_i^{\text{ND}} + \epsilon_{ijk} S_k, \quad F_{i4}^{\text{ND}} = (1 + y_4) A_i^{\text{ND}}. \quad (166)$$

¹⁹The Nari-Daemi $SU(2)$ monopole gauge field is equivalent to the spin connection of S^3 .

The eigenvalues of the $SO(4)$ Landau Hamiltonian (163) are given by (162) and the corresponding eigenstates, *i.e.*, the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics (in the Dirac gauge), are given by [32, 41]²⁰

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\chi, \theta, \phi) = \tilde{g}(\theta, \phi) \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\chi, \theta, \phi)_{I/2} \\ \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\chi, \theta, \phi)_{I/2-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\chi, \theta, \phi)_{-I/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (168)$$

where²¹

$$\tilde{g}(\theta, \phi) \equiv D^{(I/2)}(\phi, \theta, 0) = e^{-i\phi S_z} e^{-i\theta S_y}, \quad (169)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_3)_A &= \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)(2k+1)}{2\pi^2(I+1)}} \\ &\times \sum_{m'_j=-j}^j \sum_{m'_k=-k}^k \langle I/2, A | j, m'_j; k, m'_k \rangle D^{(L)}(\chi, \theta, \phi)_{m'_j, m_j} D^{(R)}(-\chi, \theta, \phi)_{m'_k, m_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (170)$$

with the Wigner's D -function

$$D^{(l)}(\chi, \theta, \phi) \equiv e^{-i\chi S_z^{(l)}} e^{-i\theta S_y^{(l)}} e^{-i\phi S_z^{(l)}}. \quad (171)$$

With these preparations, we now discuss a relation between the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model and the $SO(4)$ Landau model.

We have seen that the meron gauge field has the point-like singularity, while the Nair-Daemi's $SU(2)$ monopole has the string-like singularity. A similar situation occurs in a lower dimension. In 3D the Wu-Yang $SU(2)$ monopole [42] has a point-like singularity, while the Dirac monopole has the string-like singularity. In this sense the meron is a 4D generalization of the Wu-Yang $SU(2)$ monopole, while the Nair-Daemi $SU(2)$ monopole is a 4D generalization of the Dirac monopole. To find relationship between the meron and the Nair-Daemi monopole gauge field, let us first recall the singular transformation that relates the Wu-Yang monopole and the Dirac monopole configurations [43, 44]. With the \mathbb{R}^3 coordinates

$$\{z_1, z_2, z_3\} \equiv \{r \cos \phi \sin \theta, r \sin \phi \sin \theta, r \cos \theta\}, \quad (172)$$

the Wu-Yang monopole and the Dirac monopole gauge fields are respectively expressed as

$$A^{\text{WY}} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \epsilon_{ijk} z_j S_k dz_i, \quad A^{\text{D}} = -\frac{1}{r(r+z_3)} \epsilon_{ij3} z_j S_3 dz_i, \quad (173)$$

and are related by the singular transformation

$$A^{\text{WY}} = g^\dagger A^{\text{D}} g - i g^\dagger dg, \quad (174)$$

²⁰(168) constitutes an orthonormal set:

$$\langle \mathbf{\Phi}_{j,m_j;k,m_k} | \mathbf{\Phi}_{j',n_j;k',n_k} \rangle \equiv \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \mathbf{\Phi}_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\Omega_3)^\dagger \mathbf{\Phi}_{j',n_j;k',n_k}(\Omega_3) = \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{m_j,m'_j} \delta_{m_k,m'_k}. \quad (167)$$

²¹ $\tilde{g}(\theta, \phi)$ is the gauge function to relate the Dirac gauge and the Schwinger gauge in the $SO(4)$ Landau model [32].

where²²

$$g(\theta, \phi) = e^{-i\theta(\hat{z}_2 S_x - \hat{z}_1 S_y)} = e^{-i\phi S_z} e^{i\theta S_y} e^{i\phi S_z}, \quad (176)$$

with S^1 -latitude coordinates $\hat{z}_1 \equiv \cos \phi$, $\hat{z}_2 \equiv \sin \phi$. A bit of consideration tells that the $SU(2)$ monopole field (165) and the meron gauge field (148) are also related by the following $SU(2)$ singular transformation:

$$A^{\text{ND}} = g^\dagger A^{\text{AFF}} g - ig^\dagger dg, \quad (177)$$

where

$$g(\chi, \theta, \phi) = e^{-i\chi \sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{y}_i S_i} = \tilde{g}(\theta, \phi) e^{-i\chi S_z} \tilde{g}(\theta, \phi)^\dagger. \quad (178)$$

Here $\tilde{g}(\theta, \phi)$ is given by (169), and \hat{y}_i are the coordinates on S^2 -latitude parameterized as

$$\hat{y}_{i=1,2,3} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta). \quad (179)$$

Therefore, the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model is transformed to the $SO(4)$ Landau model by the singular gauge transformation (177). Indeed, the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics (168) and the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics (28) are related as

$$\Phi_{j,m_j; k,m_k}(\chi, \theta, \phi) = (-1)^{\frac{l}{2}+s} g(\chi, \theta, \phi)^\dagger \mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j;k,m_k}(\chi, \theta, \phi). \quad (180)$$

Consequently, the matrix elements are equivalent:

$$\langle \Phi_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | y_m | \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{Y}_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | y_m | \mathbf{Y}_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle, \quad (181)$$

where we used $(-1)^{I+s'+s} = -(-1)^{I+2s} = -1$. In Appendix D, we rigorously evaluate both sides of (181) and explicitly check its validity. Therefore, the matrix geometry of the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model is exactly equal to the matrix geometry of the $SO(4)$ Landau model, and hence the $SO(4)$ Landau model describes the internal fuzzy geometry of the $SO(5)$ Landau model. This demonstrates the idea of the dimensional hierarchy [29, 15] relating the Landau physics in different dimensions. In Fig.8, we summarize the relations among the Landau models in various dimensions. For a better understanding of this section, we also elucidate the case $(j, k) = (1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$ in Appendix D.3.

5 Relativistic $SO(5)$ Landau models

We explore relativistic version of the $SO(5)$ Landau model and clarify relationship to the matrix geometry of the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization [45].

5.1 Geometric quantities of S^4

In the parameterization (14), the metric of S^4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 \\ &= d\xi^2 + \sin^2 \xi d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \xi \sin^2 \chi d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \xi \sin^2 \chi \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (182)$$

²²For $S_i = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i$, (176) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta, \phi) &= e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}(\hat{z}_2 \sigma_x - \hat{z}_1 \sigma_y)} = e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z} e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_y} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\phi} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+z_3)}} (1_2 - iz_1 \sigma_x - iz_2 \sigma_y + z_3 1_2), \end{aligned} \quad (175)$$

whose columns are the 1st Hopf spinors (see [10] for instance).

	Topological field configuration	Landau Model	NCG	Embedding space
Dimensional reduction ($x_5 \rightarrow 0$)	Yang SU(2) monopole $A_m = -\frac{1}{2r(r+x_5)}\eta_{mn}^i x_n \sigma_i \quad A_5 = 0$	SO(5) Landau	Fuzzy S^4	\mathbb{R}^5
	Alfaro-Fubini-Furlan SU(2) meron $A_m = -\frac{1}{2r^2}\eta_{mn}^i x_n \sigma_i$	SO(4) Pauli-Schrödinger	Fuzzy S^3	\mathbb{R}^4
Singular gauge transformation	Nair-Daemi SU(2) monopole $A_i = -\frac{1}{2r(r+x_4)}\epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_k \quad A_4 = 0$	SO(4) Landau	Fuzzy S^3	\mathbb{R}^4
	Wu-Yang SU(2) monopole $A_i = -\frac{1}{2r^2}\epsilon_{ijk} x_j \sigma_k$	SO(3) Pauli-Schrödinger	Fuzzy S^2	\mathbb{R}^3
Dimensional reduction ($x_4 \rightarrow 0$)	Dirac U(1) monopole $A_i = -\frac{1}{2r(r+x_3)}\epsilon_{ij3} \sigma_j$	SO(3) Landau	Fuzzy S^2	\mathbb{R}^3
	Nielsen-Olesen vortex $A_x = -\frac{1}{2r^2}y \quad A_y = \frac{1}{2r^2}x$	SO(2) Landau	“Fuzzy S^1 ”	\mathbb{R}^2
Singular gauge transformation $\times \sigma_3$				
Dimensional reduction ($x_3 \rightarrow 0$)				

Figure 8: Landau models and their background topological field configurations for the fuzzy sphere geometries. There exist singular gauge transformations and dimensional ladders connecting the Landau models.

We adopt the vierbein in the Schwinger gauge:²³

$$e^4 = d\xi, \quad e^1 = \sin \xi d\chi, \quad e^2 = \sin \xi \sin \chi d\theta, \quad e^3 = \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta d\phi. \quad (184)$$

With ω_{mn} satisfying the Cartan structure equation, $de^m + \omega_{mn}e^n = 0$, the spin connections

$$\omega_L^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\eta_{mn}^i \omega^{mn}, \quad \omega_R^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i \omega^{mn}, \quad (185)$$

are given by (see Appendix C for details)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\xi^L = \omega_\xi^R = 0, \quad \omega_\chi^L = \omega_\chi^R = -\cos \xi \, i\frac{1}{2}q_x, \quad \omega_\theta^L = \omega_\theta^R = \cos \xi \sin \chi \, i\frac{1}{2}q_y - \cos \chi \, i\frac{1}{2}q_z, \\ \omega_\phi^L = -\omega_\phi^R = \cos \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta \, i\frac{1}{2}q_z + \cos \chi \sin \theta \, i\frac{1}{2}q_y + \cos \theta \, i\frac{1}{2}q_x. \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

The $SO(4)$ matrix-valued spin connection is constructed as

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_L & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_R \end{pmatrix} = i\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_L^i q_i & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_R^i q_i \end{pmatrix} = i\frac{1}{4} \omega^{mn} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{mn}^i q_i & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i q_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (187)$$

which carries the $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ index:

$$(1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2). \quad (188)$$

²³ We choose the numbering of the vierbein as (184) so that the $SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator is reduced to the $SO(4)$ Dirac-Landau operator of [32] at $\xi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ (see (212)). The area of S^4 is calculated as

$$A(S^4) = \int e^4 \wedge e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 = \int_0^\pi d\xi \sin^3 \xi \int_0^\pi d\chi \sin^2 \chi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi = \frac{8\pi^2}{3}. \quad (183)$$

5.2 Spinor $SO(5)$ Landau model

We consider a relativistic spinor particle on S^4 , which feels the connection of the base-manifold S^4 as well as the external $SU(2)$ monopole gauge field. In other words, the relativistic particle interacts with the synthetic gauge field of the $SO(4)$ connection (187) and the $SU(2)$ monopole field (3)²⁴

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \omega_a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I+1} + \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes A_a. \quad (189)$$

For the $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ gauge group, the synthetic gauge field is irreducibly decomposed as

$$((1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)) \otimes (0, I/2) = (0, I/2 + 1/2) \oplus (0, I/2 - 1/2) \oplus (1/2, I/2), \quad (190)$$

and their corresponding dimensions are

$$(\mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{2}) \otimes (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{2}) \oplus \mathbf{I} \oplus (\mathbf{2I} + \mathbf{2}). \quad (191)$$

The field strength is now constructed as

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \partial_a \mathcal{A}_b - \partial_b \mathcal{A}_a + i[\mathcal{A}_a, \mathcal{A}_b] = f_{ab} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I+1} + \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes F_{ab}, \quad (192)$$

with $f_{ab} = \partial_a \omega_b - \partial_b \omega_a + i[\omega_a, \omega_b] = e_a \wedge e_b$ (Appendix C.4). The $SO(5)$ angular momentum in the synthetic gauge field is

$$\mathcal{L}_{ab} = \Lambda_{ab} + \mathcal{F}_{ab}, \quad (193)$$

where Λ_{ab} denotes the covariant angular momentum operator

$$\Lambda_{ab} \equiv -ix_a(\partial_b + i\mathcal{A}_b) + ix_b(\partial_a + i\mathcal{A}_a). \quad (194)$$

We introduce the spinor $SO(5)$ Landau Hamiltonian as

$$H = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{a < b=1}^5 \Lambda_{ab}^2 = \frac{1}{2M} \left(\sum_{a < b=1}^5 \mathcal{L}_{ab}^2 - \sum_{m < n=1}^4 \Sigma_{mn}^2 \right). \quad (195)$$

The decomposition (190) implies that, with some appropriate unitary transformation, the spinor $SO(5)$ Landau Hamiltonian is transformed as

$$H \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} H^{(0, \frac{I}{2} + \frac{1}{2})} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H^{(0, \frac{I}{2} - \frac{1}{2})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{I}{2})} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (196)$$

Here $H^{(\frac{I_+}{2}, \frac{I_-}{2})}$ denotes an $SO(5)$ Landau Hamiltonian in the $SO(4)$ monopole background with the $SO(4)$ matrices

$$\Sigma_{mn}^{(I_+/2, I_-/2)} = \eta_{mn}^i S_i^{(I_+/2)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_-+1} + \mathbf{1}_{I_++1} \otimes \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i S_i^{(I_-/2)}. \quad (197)$$

Notice that $H^{(0, \frac{I}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}$ in (196) is just a *non-relativistic* Landau Hamiltonian (53) with the $SU(2)$ (anti-)monopole index $(I - 1)/2$.

²⁴(186) is represented in the Schwinger gauge, while (3) is in the Dirac gauge (see Appendix C for details), so it will be convenient to adopt one gauge in constructing the synthetic gauge field (189).

5.3 $SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator and zero-modes

The Dirac-Landau operator on S^4 , which we call the $SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -i\mathcal{D}_{S^4} &= -ie_m^\mu \gamma^m (\partial_\mu + i\omega_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I+1} + i\mathbf{1}_4 \otimes A_\mu) \\ &= -ie_m^\mu \gamma^m \otimes \tilde{D}_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (198)$$

where \tilde{D}_μ ($\mu = \xi, \chi, \theta, \phi$) are newly introduced covariant derivatives including the contribution of the spin connection:

$$\tilde{D}_\xi = D_\xi + \frac{3}{2} \cot \xi \mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \quad \tilde{D}_\chi = D_\chi + \cot \chi \mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \quad \tilde{D}_\theta = D_\theta + \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \quad \tilde{D}_\phi = D_\phi \quad (199)$$

with

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu. \quad (200)$$

The second terms on the right-hand sides of (199) are attributed to the spin connections ω_μ . We adopt the $SU(2)$ gauge field in (200) as

$$A_\mu = \omega_{R\mu}^1 S_z^{(I/2)} + \omega_{R\mu}^2 S_x^{(I/2)} + \omega_{R\mu}^3 S_y^{(I/2)}, \quad (201)$$

or more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\xi &= 0, & A_\chi &= -\cos \xi S_z^{(I/2)}, & A_\theta &= -\cos \xi \sin \chi S_x^{(I/2)} - \cos \chi S_y^{(I/2)}, \\ A_\phi &= -\cos \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta S_y^{(I/2)} + \cos \chi \sin \theta S_x^{(I/2)} - \cos \theta S_z^{(I/2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (202)$$

which denotes the Yang's (anti-)monopole in the Schwinger gauge (see Appendix C). From (198), one can find that the Dirac-Landau operator respects the chiral "symmetry":

$$\{-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4}, \gamma^5 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I+1}\} = 0. \quad (203)$$

Therefore, the positive and negative relativistic Landau levels of the $SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator are symmetric with respect to the zero. The $SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator does not have any $SO(5)$ indices and is invariant under the $SO(5)$ rotations:

$$[-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4}, \mathcal{L}_{ab}] = 0. \quad (204)$$

Here \mathcal{L}_{ab} and $-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4}$ are respectively given by (193) and (198), and one may in principle verify (204) by using the explicit forms of the operators. The Dirac-Landau operator eigenstates are degenerate with respect to the $SO(5)$ rotational symmetry and can be expanded by the eigenstates of the spinor $SO(5)$ Landau model. In particular, the Dirac-Landau operator zero-modes are identical to the lowest Landau level eigenstates of the non-relativistic Landau Hamiltonian $H^{(0, \frac{I}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}$ of (196) as we shall see in Sec.5.3.2.

5.3.1 Dimensional reduction to the $SO(4)$ Dirac-Landau operator

On the equator $\xi = \pi/2$, the $SU(2)$ gauge field (202) is reduced to the $SU(2)$ gauge field of the $SO(4)$ Landau model [32]:

$$(A_\chi, A_\theta, A_\phi) \rightarrow (0, -\cos \chi S_y^{(I/2)}, \cos \chi \sin \theta S_x^{(I/2)} - \cos \theta S_z^{(I/2)}). \quad (205)$$

(198) can be decomposed as

$$-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4} = -i\gamma^4 \otimes \tilde{D}_\xi - i\frac{1}{\sin \xi} \left(\gamma^1 \otimes \tilde{D}_\chi + \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \gamma^2 \otimes \tilde{D}_\theta + \frac{1}{\sin \chi \sin \theta} \gamma^3 \otimes \tilde{D}_\phi \right), \quad (206)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}_\xi &\equiv \partial_\xi + iA_\xi + \frac{3}{2} \cot \xi \mathbf{1}_{I+1} = \partial_\xi + \frac{3}{2} \cot \xi \mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \\
\tilde{D}_\chi &\equiv \partial_\chi + iA_\chi + \cot \chi \mathbf{1}_{I+1} = \partial_\chi - i \cos \xi S_z^{(I/2)} + \cot \chi \mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \\
\tilde{D}_\theta &\equiv \partial_\theta + iA_\theta + \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \mathbf{1}_{I+1} = \partial_\theta - i \cos \xi \sin \chi S_x^{(I/2)} - i \cos \chi S_y^{(I/2)} + \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \mathbf{1}_{I+1}, \\
\tilde{D}_\phi &\equiv \partial_\phi + iA_\phi = \partial_\phi - i \cos \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta S_y^{(I/2)} + i \cos \chi \sin \theta S_x^{(I/2)} - i \cos \theta S_z^{(I/2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{207}$$

With the gamma matrices (77)²⁵

$$\gamma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_z \\ -i\sigma_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_x \\ -i\sigma_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_y \\ -i\sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{208}$$

we express (206) as²⁶

$$-i\mathcal{P}_{S^4} = -i(\partial_\xi + \frac{3}{2} \cot \xi) \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2(I+1)} & 1 \\ 1 & 0_{2(I+1)} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sin \xi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{S^3}, \tag{209}$$

where $-i\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{S^3}$ is given by

$$-i\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{S^3} \equiv -i\mathcal{P}_{S^3} - \cos \xi \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i \otimes S_i^{(I/2)}. \tag{210}$$

$-i\mathcal{P}_{S^3}$ signifies the $SO(4)$ Dirac-Landau operator on S^3 [32]:

$$\begin{aligned}
-i\mathcal{P}_{S^3} &= -i\sigma^3 \otimes (\partial_\chi + \cot \chi \mathbf{1}_{I+1}) - i \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \sigma^1 \otimes (\partial_\theta - i \cos \chi S_y^{(I/2)} + \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \mathbf{1}_{I+1}) \\
&\quad - i \frac{1}{\sin \chi \sin \theta} \sigma^2 \otimes (\partial_\phi + i \cos \chi \sin \theta S_x^{(I/2)} - i \cos \theta S_z^{(I/2)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{211}$$

On the equator of S^4 ($\xi = \frac{\pi}{2}$), $-i\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{S^3}$ is reduced to $-i\mathcal{P}_{S^3}$, and so is the $SO(5)$ Dirac-Landau operator:

$$-i\mathcal{P}_{S^4}|_{\xi=\frac{\pi}{2}} = -i\mathcal{P}_{S^3}. \tag{212}$$

The relativistic $SO(5)$ Landau model thus embeds the relativistic $SO(4)$ Landau model on the equator as the non-relativistic $SO(5)$ Landau model does. The fuzzy three-sphere geometry is realized in the $SO(4)$ relativistic Landau model [32], and then the $SO(5)$ relativistic Landau model accommodates a fuzzy three-sphere geometry as its sub-geometry, which suggests that the fuzzy four-sphere is realized as the whole geometry.

5.3.2 Zero-modes and the matrix geometry

The square of the Dirac-Landau operator (198) and the $SO(5)$ Casimir (193) are related as [47, 27]

$$(-i\mathcal{P}_{S^4})^2 = \sum_{a<b=1}^5 \mathcal{L}_{ab}^2 - \sum_{a<b=1}^5 F_{ab}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{R}_{S^4} = \sum_{a<b=1}^5 \mathcal{L}_{ab}^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{I}{2} \left(\frac{I}{2} + 1 \right) + \frac{3}{2}. \tag{213}$$

²⁵The choice (208) is different from the previous one (77). We adopt (208) so that the $SO(4)$ Dirac-Landau operator (211) coincides with the expression of [32].

²⁶One may readily check that in the absence of the $SU(2)$ monopole gauge field, (209) is reduced the free $SO(5)$ Dirac operator [46].

Here, we used $\sum_{a<b=1}^5 F_{ab}^2 = \sum_{m<n} (\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i S_i^{(I/2)})^2 = 2 \mathbf{S}^{(I/2)^2} = 2 \cdot \frac{I}{2}(\frac{I}{2} + 1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{S^4} = d(d-1)|_{d=4} = 12$ (329). The square of the Dirac-Landau operator respects the $SO(5)$ rotational symmetry and the chiral symmetry as well:

$$[(-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4})^2, \mathcal{L}_{ab}] = [(-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4})^2, \gamma_5 \otimes 1_{I+1}] = 0. \quad (214)$$

Consequently, the eigenvalues of $(-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4})^2$ generally have two kinds of degeneracies coming from the $SO(5)$ rotational symmetry and the chiral symmetry. The zero-modes, however, do not have the degeneracy from the chiral symmetry, and only have the degeneracy of the $SO(5)$ rotational symmetry. Since the square of the Dirac-Landau operator shares the same $SO(5)$ Casimir $\sum_{a<b=1}^5 \mathcal{L}_{ab}^2$ with the spinor Landau Hamiltonian (195), the eigenvalue problem of (213) is equivalent to that of the spinor Landau Hamiltonian. We then focus on the case

$$(p, q)_{SO(5)} = (N + 2J, N) \Big|_{J=\frac{I}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (215)$$

which corresponds to $H^{(0, \frac{I}{2}-\frac{1}{2})}$ in (196). In this case, the $SO(5)$ Casimir eigenvalues are given by

$$\sum_{a<b=1}^5 \mathcal{L}_{ab}^2 = N^2 + N(2J + 3) + 2J(J + 2) \Big|_{J=\frac{I}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} = N^2 + N(I + 2) + \frac{1}{2}(I - 1)(I + 3), \quad (216)$$

and then

$$(-i\mathcal{D}_{S^4})^2 = N^2 + N(I + 2). \quad (217)$$

Obviously, the zero eigenvalue is realized at $N = 0$. Since the eigenvalues of the Dirac-Landau operator take real values, the zero-modes of the square of the Dirac-Landau operator are equal to those of the Dirac-Landau operator. Notice that N acts as the Landau level index in the non-relativistic Hamiltonian $H^{(0, \frac{I}{2}-\frac{1}{2})}$, and so the zero-modes are identical to the lowest Landau level eigenstates of $H^{(0, \frac{I}{2}-\frac{1}{2})}$. Then, the zero-mode degeneracy is readily obtained as

$$D(I - 1, N)|_{N=0} = \frac{1}{6}I(I + 1)(I + 2). \quad (218)$$

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem also verifies (218), $D(I - 1, N)|_{N=0} = -c_2(I) = \frac{1}{6}I(I + 1)(I + 2)$ (8). In [45], the fuzzy four-sphere geometry was derived in the Berezin-Toeplitz method by taking matrix elements sandwiched by the zero-modes.²⁷ Since the zero-modes are identical to the non-relativistic lowest Landau level eigenstates, the matrix geometry obtained in the non-relativistic analysis (142) exactly coincides with that of the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization.

6 Even higher dimensional Landau model and matrix geometry

We extend the discussions of Sec.3 to even higher dimensions and investigate the matrix geometry in the $SO(2k + 1)$ Landau model on S^{2k} .

6.1 Quantum limit

First we analyze the quantum limit, $I = 1$. We introduce the following map analogous to the Hopf maps:

$$\Psi \rightarrow x_a \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} = \Psi^\dagger \gamma_a \Psi. \quad (a = 1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1) \quad (219)$$

²⁷The authors in [45] used the stereographic coordinates from S^4 in their calculations.

Here, γ_a are $SO(2k+1)$ gamma matrices

$$\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\gamma'_i \\ -i\gamma'_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{2k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} \\ \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{2k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (220)$$

with γ'_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$) being the $SO(2k-1)$ gamma matrices and Ψ denotes a $2^k \times 2^{k-1}$ complex spinor given by

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_{2k+1})}} \begin{pmatrix} x_{2k} \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} + i \sum_{i=1}^{2k-1} \gamma'_i x_i \\ (1+x_{2k+1}) \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot g, \quad (221)$$

where g denotes $SO(2k)$ gauge group element, and Ψ satisfies $\Psi^\dagger \Psi = \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}}$. The corresponding connection is obtained as

$$A = -i\Psi^\dagger d\Psi = -i \frac{1}{1+x_{2k+1}} \bar{\sigma}_{mn} x_n dx_m, \quad (222)$$

with $SO(2k)$ matrix generators

$$\bar{\sigma}_{mn} = -i \frac{1}{4} [\gamma'_m, \gamma'_n]. \quad (\gamma'_m \equiv \{\gamma'_m, \mathbf{1}_{2^{k-1}}\}) \quad (223)$$

(222) signifies the $SO(2k)$ non-Abelian monopole gauge field [15]. We construct the $SO(2k+1)$ Landau model in a similar manner to Sec.2.3 [15]. For instance, the $SO(2k+1)$ angular momentum operators are given by

$$L_{ab} = -ix_a(\partial_b + iA_b) + ix_b(\partial_a + iA_a) + r^2 F_{ab}. \quad (224)$$

When we represent Ψ as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^\dagger \\ \psi_2^\dagger \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{2^k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (225)$$

the rows ψ_α ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k$) denote a set of $SO(2k+1)$ 2^{k-1} -component spinors that transform as a multiplet under the $SO(2k+1)$ transformation²⁸, *i.e.*, the lowest Landau level eigenstates for $I = 1$. Ψ yields the projection matrix

$$P \equiv \Psi\Psi^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}_{2^k} + \sum_{a=1}^{2k+1} x_a \gamma_a), \quad (228)$$

which is a gauge invariant quantity and simply expressed by the S^{2k} -coordinates. The matrix coordinates are expressed as²⁹

$$(X_a)_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{A(S^{2k})} \int d\Omega_{2k} \psi_\alpha^\dagger x_a \psi_\beta, \quad (230)$$

or

$$X_a = \frac{2}{A(S^{2k})} \int d\Omega_{2k} \Psi x_a \Psi^\dagger = \frac{2}{A(S^{2k})} \int d\Omega_{2k} P \gamma_a P, \quad (231)$$

²⁸With (224) of $I = 1$, we can explicitly show the $SO(5)$ covariance of ψ_α :

$$L_{ab}\psi_\alpha = (\sigma_{ab})_{\beta\alpha}\psi_\beta, \quad (226)$$

where

$$\sigma_{ab} \equiv -i \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]. \quad (227)$$

²⁹The coefficient in front of the integration of (230) is added to be accounted for by the normalization of Ψ :

$$\frac{2}{A(S^{2k})} \int_{S^{2k}} d\Omega_{2k} \Psi\Psi^\dagger = \mathbf{1}_{2^k}, \quad (229)$$

where $A(S^{2k}) = \frac{2^{k+1}\pi^k}{(2k-1)!!}$ denotes the area of S^{2k} . With the formulas

$$P\gamma_a P = \frac{1}{2}x_a(1 + x_b\gamma_b), \quad \int_{S^{2k}} d\Omega_{2k} x_a = 0, \quad \int_{S^{2k}} d\Omega_{2k} x_a x_b = \frac{1}{2k+1}A(S^{2k}) \delta_{ab}, \quad (232)$$

we can easily evaluate (231) as

$$X_a = \frac{1}{2k+1}\gamma_a. \quad (233)$$

6.2 Classical limit

Next we consider the classical limit $I \gg 1$, in which L_{ab} (224) is reduced to

$$L_{ab} \rightarrow r^2 F_{ab}. \quad (234)$$

The coordinates x_a can be extracted from the field strength as [27]

$$\frac{1}{r^{2k+1}}x_a = \frac{2}{(2k)!c_k(I)}\epsilon_{aa_1a_2\cdots a_{2k}}\text{tr}(F_{a_1a_2}F_{a_3a_4}\cdots F_{a_{2k-1}a_{2k}}), \quad (235)$$

where $c_k(I)$ denotes the k th Chern number of the $SO(2k)$ gauge field:

$$c_k(I) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k k!} \int_{S^{2k}} \text{tr}(F^k). \quad (236)$$

Substituting (234) to (235), we have

$$X_a \sim \frac{2r}{(2k)!c_k(I)}\epsilon_{aa_1a_2\cdots a_{2k}}L_{a_1a_2}L_{a_3a_4}\cdots L_{a_{2k-1}a_{2k}} \text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_{\text{internal space}}). \quad (237)$$

Since L_{ab} are the $SO(2k+1)$ operators, X_a (237) also become operators. tr in (235) (and (236)) is taken for the ‘‘internal fuzzy space’’ S_F^{2k-2} with dimension [27, 15]

$$D_{k-1}(I) = \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{I+l+i-1}{l+i-1}, \quad (238)$$

and so

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{1}_{\text{internal space}}) = D_{k-1}(I). \quad (239)$$

In the lowest Landau level, the $SO(2k+1)$ operators may be replaced with the $SO(2k+1)$ matrix generators in the fully symmetric irreducible representation:

$$L_{ab} \rightarrow \Sigma_{ab}, \quad (240)$$

and then (237) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} X_a &= \frac{2}{(2k)!} \frac{D_{k-1}(I)}{c_k(I)} \epsilon_{aa_1a_2\cdots a_{2k}} \Sigma_{a_1a_2} \Sigma_{a_3a_4} \cdots \Sigma_{a_{2k-1}a_{2k}} \\ &= \frac{2}{I} \frac{I}{(2k)!} \frac{D_{k-1}(I)}{D_k(I-1)} \epsilon_{aa_1a_2\cdots a_{2k}} \Sigma_{a_1a_2} \Sigma_{a_3a_4} \cdots \Sigma_{a_{2k-1}a_{2k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{I} \frac{I!!}{k!(I+2k-2)!!} \epsilon_{aa_1a_2\cdots a_{2k}} \Sigma_{a_1a_2} \Sigma_{a_3a_4} \cdots \Sigma_{a_{2k-1}a_{2k}}, \end{aligned} \quad (241)$$

where in the second equation the Atiyah-Singer index theorem was used [27, 47]

$$c_k(I) = -D_k(I-1). \quad (242)$$

Since the fully symmetric representation $SO(2k + 1)$ matrices satisfy

$$\epsilon_{aa_1 a_2 \dots a_{2k}} \Sigma_{a_1 a_2} \Sigma_{a_3 a_4} \dots \Sigma_{a_{2k-1} a_{2k}} = -\frac{k!(I + 2k - 2)!!}{I!!} \Gamma_a, \quad (243)$$

(241) finally takes a concise form

$$X_a = \frac{1}{I} \Gamma_a. \quad (244)$$

6.3 Even higher dimensional matrix geometry

The results in the two limits, (233) and (244), suggest the general form of the matrix coordinates:

$$X_a = \frac{1}{I + 2k} \Gamma_a. \quad (245)$$

From the properties of the $SO(2k + 1)$ gamma matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^{2k+1} \Gamma_a \Gamma_a &= I(I + 2k) \mathbf{1}_{D_k(I)}, \\ [\Gamma_{a_1}, \Gamma_{a_2}, \dots, \Gamma_{a_{2k}}] &= -i^k \frac{(2k)!! (I + 2k - 2)!!}{I!!} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2k+1}} \Gamma_{a_{2k+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (246)$$

we can find that X_a (245) realize the quantum Nambu geometry of the fuzzy $2k$ -sphere [23, 24]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^{2k+1} X_a X_a &= \frac{I}{I + 2k} \mathbf{1}_{D_k(I)}, \\ [X_{a_1}, X_{a_2}, \dots, X_{a_{2k}}] &= -i^k C(k, I) \left(\frac{2}{I + 2k}\right)^{2k-1} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2k+1}} X_{a_{2k+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (247)$$

with

$$C(k, I) \equiv \frac{(2k)!! (I + 2k - 2)!!}{2^{2k-1} I!!}. \quad (248)$$

The matrix geometry (245) will also be obtained by the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization, since the zero-modes of the Dirac-Landau operator are equal to the lowest Landau level eigenstates and the Atiyah-Singer theorem also hold in arbitrary even dimension.

Further, when we take into account the low dimensional results including odd dimensions [31, 32, 29]

$$\begin{aligned} S_F^2 \text{ of } SO(3) \text{ Landau model} &: \langle x_i \rangle_{\text{LLL}} = \frac{1}{I + 2} 2S_i, \\ S_F^3 \text{ of } SO(4) \text{ Landau model} &: \langle x_m \rangle_{\text{LLL}} = \frac{1}{I + 3} \Gamma_m, \end{aligned} \quad (249)$$

(245) may be naturally generalized to

$$X_a = \frac{1}{I + d} \Gamma_a, \quad (a = 1, 2, \dots, d + 1) \quad (250)$$

for the $SO(d + 1)$ Landau model.

7 Summary

In this work, we performed a comprehensive study of the $SO(5)$ Landau models and their matrix geometries. With $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics in a full form, we completely derived the matrix coordinates

of four-sphere in any Landau level. In the lowest Landau level, the matrix geometry is given by the generalized $SO(5)$ gamma matrices realizing the quantum Nambu geometry. We showed that the matrix geometry obtained by the Landau level projection actually interpolates the matrix geometries between the quantum limit and the classical limit. In higher Landau level, the matrix geometry exhibits a nested fuzzy structure. The N th $SO(5)$ Landau level accommodates $N + 1$ inner $SO(4)$ Landau levels each of which realizes quasi-fuzzy four-sphere geometry. As a whole, there are $N + 1$ quasi-fuzzy four-spheres constituting a $N + 1$ concentric nested structure with $SO(5)$ symmetry. Interestingly, the nested fuzzy structure realizes a pure quantum geometry having no counterpart in classical geometry. We introduced an $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model with meron gauge field background that realizes the inner $SO(4)$ part of the $SO(5)$ Landau model. We established a singular gauge transformation between the $SO(4)$ Pauli-Schrödinger model and the $SO(4)$ Landau model and identified the internal fuzzy geometry of the $SO(5)$ Landau model with the $SO(4)$ Landau model matrix geometry. Explicit relations among other low dimensional Landau models with fuzzy geometries were also exploited. We analyzed the relativistic $SO(5)$ Landau models and clarified relationship to the matrix geometry of the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. Finally, we investigated even higher dimensional Landau model and demonstrated a realization of the associated quantum Nambu geometry in an arbitrary dimension.

Former researches about the non-commutative geometry in Landau models are mainly focused on the lowest Landau level, however in view of the Landau level projection, there is no logical reason to exclude higher Landau levels or more generally other energy levels. Indeed, as demonstrated in the present work, there is a good chance that exotic quantum geometry having not been recognized will be unveiled in other energy levels. It may be worthwhile to further proceed in this direction and investigate quantum geometry by applying the level projection to other physical models. The $SO(5)$ Landau model and four-dimensional quantum Hall effect opened a window to a research field of topological phases in higher dimension. Not just rendered to be a theoretical issue, recent technologies of quantum photonics in ultra cold atom have made experimental explorations possible with the idea of synthetic dimension [48]. The present analysis will be useful not only for theoretical developments of the non-commutative geometry but also for the practical analysis of higher dimensional topological phases such as quantum Hall effect and Weyl semi-metal [49, 50].

Acknowledgements

I am glad to thank Goro Ishiki for fruitful discussions and inviting me to Tsukuba University for a seminar. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to late Shou-Cheng Zhang who originally stimulated my interest on this subject. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05334 and 16K05138.

A Representation theory of the $SO(5)$ group

A.1 $SO(5)$ irreducible representation and the $SO(4)$ decomposition

The $SO(5)$ Casimir operator is constructed as

$$\sum_{a < b=1}^5 L_{ab}^2. \quad (251)$$

From the representation theory, the $SO(5)$ irreducible representation is specified by two integers $(p, q)_{SO(5)}$ with the dimension

$$D = \frac{1}{6}(p+2)(q+1)(p+q+3)(p-q+1), \quad (252)$$

and the $SO(5)$ Casimir eigenvalue of (251) is given by ³⁰

$$\frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2) + 2p + q. \quad (253)$$

According to the decomposition $SO(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$, we can introduce the $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ angular momentum operators:

$$J_i = \frac{1}{4}\eta_{mn}^i L_{mn}, \quad K_i = \frac{1}{4}\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i L_{mn}. \quad (254)$$

Here, η_{mn}^i and $\bar{\eta}_{mn}^i$ denote the 't Hooft symbols:

$$\eta_{mn}^i = \epsilon_{mni4} + \delta_{mi}\delta_{n4} - \delta_{m4}\delta_{ni}, \quad \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i = \epsilon_{mni4} - \delta_{mi}\delta_{n4} + \delta_{m4}\delta_{ni}. \quad (255)$$

J_i and K_i are mutually commutative

$$[J_i, K_j] = 0, \quad (256)$$

and satisfy

$$J_i^2 = j(j+1), \quad K_i^2 = k(k+1), \quad (257)$$

where each of j and k takes integer or half-integer values. The dimension of the $SO(4)$ irreducible representation (j, k) is given by

$$(2j+1)(2k+1), \quad (258)$$

and the $SO(4)$ Casimir is expressed as

$$L_{mn}^2 = 4(\mathbf{J}^2 + \mathbf{K}^2) = 4(j(j+1) + k(k+1)). \quad (259)$$

The $SO(5)$ irreducible representation is decomposed to the $SO(4)$ irreducible representation as

$$(p, q)_{SO(5)} = \sum_{n=0}^q \oplus \left(\sum_{s=-\frac{p-q}{2}}^{\frac{p-q}{2}} \oplus (j, k)_{SO(4)} \right), \quad (260)$$

where

$$j = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{p-q}{4} + \frac{s}{2}, \quad k = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{p-q}{4} - \frac{s}{2}. \quad (261)$$

One may confirm that (252) is given by

$$D = \sum_{n=0}^q \sum_{s=-\frac{p-q}{2}}^{\frac{p-q}{2}} (2j+1)(2k+1). \quad (262)$$

A.2 $SO(5)$ irreducible decomposition of direct products

From (67), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N = 0 & : [[1, 0]] \otimes [[0, I]] = [[0, I]] \oplus [[1, I]] \oplus [[1, I-2]], \\ I = 1 & : [[1, 0]] \otimes [[N, 1]] = [[N, 1]] \oplus [[N+1, 1]] \oplus [[N-1, 3]] \oplus [[N-1, 1]], \\ I = 0 & : [[1, 0]] \otimes [[N, 0]] = [[N+1, 0]] \oplus [[N-1, 2]] \oplus [[N-1, 0]]. \end{aligned} \quad (263)$$

³⁰For representation theory of $SO(5)$ (and other classical Lie groups), readers may consult textbooks such as [51] and [52]. In the notation of [51], $[[a_1, a_2]] = [[q, p-q]]$ or $[l_1, l_2] = [\frac{1}{2}(p+q), \frac{1}{2}(p-q)]$, and in the notation of [52], $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2] = [\frac{1}{2}(p+q), \frac{1}{2}(p-q)]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
[[1, 0]] \otimes [[0, 1]] &= [[1, 0]] \oplus [[1, 1]], \\
[[1, 0]] \otimes [[1, 0]] &= [[1, 0]] \oplus [[2, 0]] \oplus [[2, -2]] \oplus [[0, 2]] \oplus [[0, 0]] = [[2, 0]] \oplus [[0, 2]] \oplus [[0, 0]], \\
[[1, 0]] \otimes [[0, 2]] &= [[0, 2]] \oplus [[1, 2]] \oplus [[1, 0]],
\end{aligned} \tag{264}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{5} \otimes \mathbf{4} &= \mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{16}, \\
\mathbf{5} \otimes \mathbf{5} &= \mathbf{5} \oplus \mathbf{14} \oplus (-\mathbf{5}) \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{14} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{1}, \\
\mathbf{5} \otimes \mathbf{10} &= \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{35} \oplus \mathbf{5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{265}$$

For other examples of the irreducible decomposition of the tensor product of $SO(5) \simeq USp(4)$, one may consult [36] for instance.

B Reduction to the $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics

B.1 $SO(5)$ free angular momentum Casimir

In the polar coordinates, the $SO(5)$ free angular momentum operators, $L_{ab} = -ix_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b} + ix_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}$, are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{12} &= -i\partial_\phi, \quad L_{13} = i(\cos \phi \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \sin \phi \partial_\phi), \quad L_{23} = i(\sin \phi \partial_\theta + \cot \theta \cos \phi \partial_\phi), \\
L_{14} &= i(\sin \theta \cos \phi \partial_\chi + \cot \chi \cos \theta \cos \phi \partial_\theta - \cot \chi \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \sin \phi \partial_\phi), \\
L_{24} &= i(\sin \theta \sin \phi \partial_\chi + \cot \chi \cos \theta \sin \phi \partial_\theta + \cot \chi \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \cos \phi \partial_\phi), \quad L_{34} = i(\cos \theta \partial_\chi - \cot \chi \sin \theta \partial_\theta), \\
L_{15} &= i(\sin \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi \partial_\xi + \cot \xi \cos \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi \partial_\chi + \cot \xi \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \cos \theta \cos \phi \partial_\theta - \cot \xi \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \sin \phi \partial_\phi), \\
L_{25} &= i(\sin \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi \partial_\xi + \cot \xi \cos \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi \partial_\chi + \cot \xi \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \cos \theta \sin \phi \partial_\theta + \cot \xi \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \cos \phi \partial_\phi), \\
L_{35} &= i(\sin \chi \cos \theta \partial_\xi + \cot \xi \cos \chi \cos \theta \partial_\chi - \cot \xi \frac{1}{\sin \chi} \sin \theta \partial_\theta), \\
L_{45} &= i(\cos \chi \partial_\xi - \cot \xi \sin \chi \partial_\chi).
\end{aligned} \tag{266}$$

Notice that L_{mn} ($m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4$) do not depend on ξ and are equal to the polar coordinate expression of the $SO(4)$ free angular momentum operators, $L_{mn} = -iy_m \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + iy_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_m}$. The Laplacian on S^4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{S^4} &= \frac{1}{\sin^3 \xi} \partial_\xi (\sin^3 \xi \partial_\xi) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \xi} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \chi} \partial_\chi (\sin^2 \chi \partial_\chi) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \xi} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \chi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \xi} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \chi} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\phi^2 \\
&= - \sum_{a < b=1}^5 L_{ab}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{267}$$

which is related to the Laplacian on S^3 as

$$\Delta_{S^4} = \frac{1}{\sin^3 \xi} \partial_\xi (\sin^3 \xi \partial_\xi) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \xi} \Delta_{S^3}. \tag{268}$$

The eigenvalues of the $SO(5)$ free angular momentum Casimir is given by

$$\sum_{a < b=1}^5 L_{ab}^2 = N(N+3), \tag{269}$$

with degeneracy

$$D = \frac{1}{6}(N+1)(N+2)(2N+3). \quad (270)$$

B.2 $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics

The $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics are usually given by [33]

$$\Phi_{Nnlm}(\Omega_4) = \Phi_{Nn}(\xi) \cdot Y_{nlm}(\Omega_3), \quad (271)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{Nn}(\xi) &= \frac{2^{n+1}}{(N+1)!} \sqrt{\frac{(2N+3)(N-n)!(N+n+2)!}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin^{n+2}\xi} P_{N+n+2}^{-(n+1),-(n+1)}(\cos\xi) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2N+3}{2} \frac{(N-n)!}{(N+n+2)!}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin\xi} P_{N+1}^{n+1}(\cos\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (272)$$

with the associated Legendre polynomials $P_n^m(x)$.³¹ Meanwhile in the present paper, the $SO(5)$ monopole harmonics are given by (44), which should be reduced to the $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics at $I=0$:

$$\Psi_{N;\frac{n}{2},m_L;\frac{n}{2},m_R}(\Omega_4) = G_{N,\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}(\xi) \cdot Y_{\frac{n}{2},m_L;\frac{n}{2},m_R}(\Omega_3), \quad \left(-\frac{n}{2} \leq m_L, m_R \leq \frac{n}{2}\right) \quad (274)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{N,\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}(\xi) &= \sqrt{N + \frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin\xi} d_{N+1,0,-n-1}(\xi) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} (N+1)! \sqrt{\frac{2N+3}{2(N+n+2)!(N-n)!}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin\xi} \tan^{n+1}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) P_{N+1}^{n+1,-(n+1)}(\cos\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (275)$$

There are superficially distinct expressions for the $SO(5)$ spherical harmonics, (271) and (274). From the property of the Jacobi polynomials

$$(-1)^{n+1} \frac{(N+1)!}{(N-n)!} \tan^{n+1}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) P_{N+1}^{n+1,-(n+1)}(\cos\xi) = P_{N+1}^{n+1}(\cos\xi), \quad (276)$$

we find that the azimuthal parts of the two expressions are identical:

$$G_{N,\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}(\xi) = \Phi_{Nn}(\xi), \quad (277)$$

and the previous $SO(4)$ analysis [32] tells the relationship between the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics parts:

$$Y_{nlm}(\Omega_3) = i^l \sum_{m_L, m_R = -n/2}^{n/2} \langle l, m | \frac{n}{2}, m_L; \frac{n}{2}, m_R \rangle Y_{\frac{n}{2}, m; \frac{n}{2}, m'}(\Omega_3). \quad (278)$$

Consequently, (271) and (274) are related by the following linear combination

$$\Phi_{Nnlm}(\Omega_4) = i^l \sum_{m_L, m_R = -n/2}^{n/2} \langle l, m | \frac{n}{2}, m_L; \frac{n}{2}, m_R \rangle \Psi_{N;\frac{n}{2},m_L;\frac{n}{2},m_R}(\Omega_4) \quad (279)$$

³¹The associated Legendre polynomials $P_n^m(x)$ are related to the Jacobi polynomials $P_l^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ as

$$P_n^m(x) = 2^m \frac{(n+m)!}{n!} (1-x^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \cdot P_{n+m}^{(-m,-m)}(x). \quad (273)$$

or

$$\Psi_{N; \frac{n}{2}, m_L; \frac{n}{2}, m_R}(\Omega_4) = \sum_{m=-l}^l (-i)^l \langle \frac{n}{2}, m_L; \frac{n}{2}, m_R | l, m \rangle \Phi_{Nnlm}(\Omega_4), \quad (280)$$

which indicates the equivalence of the two expressions.

C The Dirac gauge and the Schwinger gauge for S^4

We introduce the Dirac gauge and the Schwinger gauge for S^4 and derive a gauge transformation between them.

C.1 Dirac gauge

As S^4 being a coset space

$$S^4 \simeq SO(5)/SO(4), \quad (281)$$

the non-linear realization is given by [53]

$$\Psi = e^{i\xi y_m \sigma_{m5}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+x_5 & x_m \bar{q}_m \\ -x_m q_m & 1+x_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (282)$$

where y_m are the S^3 -coordinates (123), q_m are quaternions (80), and σ_{m5} are

$$\sigma_{m5} = i \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{q}_m \\ q_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (283)$$

With the polar coordinates (14), (282) is expressed as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\xi}{2} & 0 & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} (\cos \chi + i \sin \chi \cos \theta) & i \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ 0 & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} & i \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{i\phi} & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} (\cos \chi - i \sin \chi \cos \theta) \\ -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} (\cos \chi - i \sin \chi \cos \theta) & i \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} & 0 \\ i \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{i\phi} & -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} (\cos \chi + i \sin \chi \cos \theta) & 0 & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (284)$$

We decompose Ψ into two 4×2 rectangular matrices:

$$\Psi = (\Psi_L \quad \Psi_R), \quad (285)$$

where

$$\Psi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+x_5 \\ -x_m q_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+x_5)}} \begin{pmatrix} x_m \bar{q}_m \\ 1+x_5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (286)$$

The 2nd Hopf map (76) can be expressed as

$$x_m 1_2 = -\Psi_L^\dagger \gamma_m \Psi_L = \Psi_R^\dagger \gamma_m \Psi_R, \quad x_5 1_2 = \Psi_L^\dagger \gamma_5 \Psi_L = -\Psi_R^\dagger \gamma_5 \Psi_R, \quad (287)$$

and the associated connections are

$$\omega_L^D = -i \Psi_L^\dagger d\Psi_L = -i \frac{1}{2(1+x_5)} \eta_{mn}^i x_n q_i dx_m, \quad \omega_R^D = -i \Psi_R^\dagger d\Psi_R = -i \frac{1}{2(1+x_5)} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i x_n q_i dx_m. \quad (288)$$

Here, D of ω^D denotes the Dirac gauge. More comprehensively,

$$\begin{aligned} A^D &\equiv -i\Psi^{D\dagger} d\Psi^D = \begin{pmatrix} -i\Psi_L^\dagger d\Psi_L & -i\Psi_L^\dagger d\Psi_R \\ -i\Psi_R^\dagger d\Psi_L & -i\Psi_R^\dagger d\Psi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_L^D & -i\Psi_L^\dagger d\Psi_R \\ -i\Psi_R^\dagger d\Psi_L & \omega_R^D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -i \frac{1}{2(1+x_5)} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{mn}^i q_i x_n dx_m & -x_m \bar{q}_m dx_5 + (1+x_5) \bar{q}_m dx_m \\ x_m q_m dx_5 - (1+x_5) q_m dx_m & \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i q_i x_n dx_m \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (289)$$

ω_L^D is equal to the $SU(2)$ (anti-)monopole gauge field (3) for $I = 1$:

$$\omega_L^D = \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mn}^D \eta_{mn}^i \sigma_i. \quad (290)$$

In the Cartesian coordinates, ω_{mn}^D are given by

$$\omega_{mn}^D = \frac{1}{1+x_5} (x_m dx_n - x_n dx_m), \quad (291)$$

while in the polar coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12}^D &= 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin^2 \chi \sin^2 \theta d\phi, \\ \omega_{13}^D &= -2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin^2 \chi \cos \phi d\theta + 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin^2 \chi \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \phi d\phi, \\ \omega_{14}^D &= -2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \theta \cos \phi d\chi - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \cos \chi \cos \theta \cos \phi d\theta + 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \cos \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi d\phi, \\ \omega_{23}^D &= -2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin^2 \chi \sin \phi d\theta - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin^2 \chi \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \phi d\phi, \\ \omega_{24}^D &= -2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \theta \sin \phi d\chi - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \cos \chi \cos \theta \sin \phi d\theta - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \cos \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi d\phi, \\ \omega_{34}^D &= -2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \theta d\chi + 2 \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \chi \cos \chi \sin \theta d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (292)$$

C.2 Schwinger gauge

Ψ (284) is factorized as

$$\Psi(\xi, \chi, \theta, \phi) = H(\chi, \theta, \phi)^\dagger \cdot e^{i\xi\sigma_{45}} \cdot H(\chi, \theta, \phi), \quad (293)$$

where

$$e^{i\xi\sigma_{45}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\xi}{2} 1_2 & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} 1_2 \\ -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} 1_2 & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} 1_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (294)$$

and

$$H(\chi, \theta, \phi) = e^{i\chi\sigma_{43}} e^{i\theta\sigma_{31}} e^{i\phi\sigma_{12}} = \begin{pmatrix} H_L(\chi, \theta, \phi) & 0 \\ 0 & H_R(\chi, \theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (295)$$

H_L and H_R are the chiral Hopf spinor matrices [32]

$$\begin{aligned} H_L(\chi, \theta, \phi) &= e^{-i\frac{\chi}{2}\sigma_z} e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_y} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \\ -\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_R(\chi, \theta, \phi) &\equiv H_L(-\chi, \theta, \phi) = e^{i\frac{\chi}{2}\sigma_z} e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_y} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \\ -\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Though H is a 4×4 matrix, H carries the $SU(2)$ degrees of freedom parameterized by the three parameters (χ, θ, ϕ) . We introduce a new 4×4 matrix Φ as

$$\Psi(\xi, \chi, \theta, \phi) = \Phi(\xi, \chi, \theta, \phi) \cdot H(\chi, \theta, \phi), \quad (296)$$

or

$$\Phi(\xi, \chi, \theta, \phi) = H(\chi, \theta, \phi)^\dagger \cdot e^{i\xi\sigma_{45}}. \quad (297)$$

In the polar coordinates, (297) is given by

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & -\cos \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \\ \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \\ -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & -\cos \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \\ -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} & \cos \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (298)$$

As in the case of Ψ (285), we decompose Φ as

$$\Phi = (\Phi_L \ \Phi_R), \quad (299)$$

where

$$\Phi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\xi}{2} H_L^\dagger \\ -\sin \frac{\xi}{2} H_R^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_R = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\xi}{2} H_L^\dagger \\ \cos \frac{\xi}{2} H_R^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (300)$$

The corresponding connection is derived as

$$\omega_L^S = -i\Phi_L^\dagger d\Phi_L = -i\frac{1}{2}(H_L dH_L^\dagger + H_R dH_R^\dagger) - i\frac{1}{2}\cos \xi (H_L dH_L^\dagger - H_R dH_R^\dagger), \quad (301)$$

where

$$-i\frac{1}{2}(H_L dH_L^\dagger + H_R dH_R^\dagger) = \cos \chi \sin \theta d\phi \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x - \cos \chi d\theta \frac{1}{2}\sigma_y - \cos \theta d\phi \frac{1}{2}\sigma_z, \quad (302a)$$

$$-i\frac{1}{2}(H_L dH_L^\dagger - H_R dH_R^\dagger) = \sin \chi d\theta \frac{1}{2}\sigma_x + \sin \chi \sin \theta d\phi \frac{1}{2}\sigma_y + d\chi \frac{1}{2}\sigma_z. \quad (302b)$$

S of ω^S stands for the Schwinger gauge.³² ω_L^S is explicitly given by

$$\omega_L^S = i\frac{1}{2}\omega_L^i q_i \quad (303)$$

where

$$\omega_L^x = \cos \chi \sin \theta d\phi + \cos \xi \sin \chi d\theta, \quad \omega_L^y = -\cos \chi d\theta + \cos \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta d\phi, \quad \omega_L^z = -\cos \theta d\phi + \cos \xi d\chi. \quad (304)$$

With $\omega_L^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\eta_{mn}^i \omega_{mn}^S$, (303) can be rewritten as

$$\omega_L^S = i\frac{1}{4}\omega_{mn}^S \eta_{mn}^i q_i = i\frac{1}{4}\omega_{m\nu\mu}^S \eta_{mn}^i q_i dx^\mu, \quad (dx^\mu = d\theta, d\phi, d\chi, d\xi) \quad (305)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{12}^S &= -\cos \theta d\phi, & \omega_{13}^S &= \cos \chi d\theta, & \omega_{14}^S &= \cos \xi \sin \chi d\theta, \\ \omega_{23}^S &= \cos \chi \sin \theta d\phi, & \omega_{24}^S &= \cos \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta d\phi, & \omega_{34}^S &= \cos \xi d\chi. \end{aligned} \quad (306)$$

³²(302a) denotes the $SU(2)$ gauge field of the $SO(4)$ Landau model in the Schwinger gauge [32].

It is straightforward to check that (306) satisfies the Cartan structure equation:

$$de_m^S + \omega_{mn}^S e_n^S = 0, \quad (307)$$

with the vierbein in the Schwinger gauge³³

$$e_1^S = \sin \xi \sin \chi d\theta, \quad e_2^S = \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta d\phi, \quad e_3^S = \sin \xi d\chi, \quad e_4^S = d\xi. \quad (308)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\omega_R^S = -i\Phi_R^\dagger d\Phi_R = -i\frac{1}{2}(H_L dH_L^\dagger + H_R dH_R^\dagger) + i\frac{1}{2}\cos \xi (H_L dH_L^\dagger - H_R dH_R^\dagger) = i\frac{1}{2}\omega_R^i q_i, \quad (309)$$

with

$$\omega_R^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}^i_{mn}\omega_{mn}^S, \quad (310)$$

and

$$A^S = -i\Phi^\dagger d\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} -i\Phi_L^\dagger d\Phi_L & -i\Phi_L^\dagger d\Phi_R \\ -i\Phi_R^\dagger d\Phi_L & -i\Phi_R^\dagger d\Phi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_L^S & -i\Phi_L^\dagger d\Phi_R \\ -i\Phi_R^\dagger d\Phi_L & \omega_R^S \end{pmatrix}. \quad (311)$$

C.3 Gauge transformation and vierbein in the Dirac gauge

From the relation (296), we have

$$\Psi_L = \Phi_L \cdot H_L, \quad \Psi_R = \Phi_R \cdot H_R, \quad (312)$$

and so (289) and (311) are related as

$$A^D = H^\dagger A^S H - iH^\dagger dH. \quad (313)$$

(313) implies

$$\omega_L^D = H_L^\dagger \omega_L^S H_L - iH_L^\dagger dH_L, \quad \omega_R^D = H_R^\dagger \omega_R^S H_R - iH_R^\dagger dH_R, \quad (314)$$

or

$$\omega_L^S = H_L \omega_L^D H_L^\dagger - iH_L dH_L^\dagger, \quad \omega_R^S = H_R \omega_R^D H_R^\dagger - iH_R dH_R^\dagger. \quad (315)$$

We then find that the $SO(4)$ matrix-valued spin connections

$$\omega^{D/S} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \omega_L^{D/S} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_R^{D/S} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\omega_{mn}^{D/S} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{mn}^i \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i \sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (316)$$

are also related by the gauge transformation

$$\omega^D = H^\dagger \omega^S H - iH^\dagger dH. \quad (317)$$

Under the $SU(2)$ transformation H (295), the gamma matrices are transformed as

$$H^\dagger \gamma_m H = \gamma_n O_{nm} \quad (318)$$

with

$$O = (e^{i\chi t_{43}} e^{i\theta t_{31}} e^{i\phi t_{12}})^t = e^{-i\phi t_{12}} e^{-i\theta t_{31}} e^{-i\chi t_{43}} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & \cos \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi & \sin \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi & \cos \phi & \cos \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi & \sin \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \chi \cos \theta & \sin \chi \cos \theta \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin \chi & \cos \chi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (319)$$

³³The numbering of the vierbein here (308) is different from that of (184).

Here t_{mn} are the adjoint representation $SO(4)$ generators:

$$(t_{mn})_{pq} = -i\delta_{mp}\delta_{nq} + i\delta_{mq}\delta_{np}. \quad (320)$$

Since the vierbein carries local coordinate indices, the vierbein transforms similarly to (318). Therefore, the vierbein in the Dirac gauge can be obtained from the vierbein in the Schwinger gauge:

$$e_m^D = O_{mn}e_n^S. \quad (321)$$

With the expression of e_m^S (308), e_m^D are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^D &= \sin \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi d\xi + \sin \xi \cos \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi d\chi + \sin \xi \sin \chi \cos \theta \cos \phi d\theta - \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi d\phi, \\ e_2^D &= \sin \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi d\xi + \sin \xi \cos \chi \sin \theta \sin \phi d\chi + \sin \xi \sin \chi \cos \theta \sin \phi d\theta + \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta \cos \phi d\phi, \\ e_3^D &= \sin \chi \cos \theta d\xi + \sin \xi \cos \chi \cos \theta d\chi - \sin \xi \sin \chi \sin \theta d\theta \\ e_4^D &= \cos \chi d\xi - \sin \xi \sin \chi d\chi. \end{aligned} \quad (322)$$

It is straightforward to show that (292) and (322) satisfy the Cartan structure equation:

$$de_m^D + \omega_{mn}^D e_n^D = 0. \quad (323)$$

We thus successfully obtained the vierbein in the Dirac gauge from the relation (321). On the other hand, it will be a formidable task to derive the vierbein in the Dirac gauge from the Cartan structure equation (323) with the spin connection (292).

C.4 Curvature

The gauge fields A^D (289) and A^S (311) are a pure gauge, and indeed $dA^D + iA^{D^2} = dA^S + iA^{S^2} = 0$. Non-zero curvatures are obtained from $\omega^{D/S}$ (316) as

$$f^{D/S} = d\omega^{D/S} + i\omega^{D/S^2} = \frac{1}{2}f_{mn}^{D/S} \sigma_{mn} \quad (324)$$

with

$$f_{mn}^{D/S} = e_m^{D/S} \wedge e_n^{D/S}. \quad (325)$$

f^D and f^S are related by

$$f^D = H^\dagger f^S H. \quad (326)$$

The Riemann curvature can be read off from

$$f_{mn}^{D/S} = \frac{1}{2}R^m{}_{npq} e_p^{D/S} \wedge e_q^{D/S}, \quad (327)$$

as

$$R_{1212} = R_{1313} = R_{1414} = R_{2323} = R_{2424} = R_{3434} = 1, \quad (328)$$

and the Ricci scalar is obtained as

$$R = R^m{}_{nmn} = 2 \times 6 = 12. \quad (329)$$

D Matrix elements for three-sphere coordinates

Using the integration formula for three $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics [see Sec.6.1 of [32]]

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d\Omega_3 \Phi_{(l_L, m_L; l_R, m_R)}^\dagger \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}} \Phi_{(l'_L, n_L; l'_R, n_R)} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{(2l'_L + 1)(2l'_R + 1)(I + 1)2}{\pi^2}} \begin{Bmatrix} l_L & l_R & \frac{I}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ l'_L & l'_R & \frac{I}{2} \end{Bmatrix} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sigma; l'_L, n_L}^{l_L, m_L} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\tau; l'_R, n_R}^{l_R, m_R} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{(2l'_L + 1)(2l'_R + 1)(I + 1)2}{\pi^2}} (-1)^{l_L + l'_L + l_R + l'_R + I + 1} \begin{Bmatrix} l_L & l_R & \frac{I}{2} \\ l'_L & l'_R & \frac{I}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{Bmatrix} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sigma; l'_L, n_L}^{l_L, m_L} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\tau; l'_R, n_R}^{l_R, m_R} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{(2l'_L + 1)(2l'_R + 1)}}{\pi} (-1)^{l_L + 2l'_L + 2l_R + l'_R + \frac{3}{2}(I + 1)} \begin{Bmatrix} l_L & l_R & \frac{I}{2} \\ l'_L & l'_R & \frac{I}{2} \end{Bmatrix} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sigma; l'_L, n_L}^{l_L, m_L} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\tau; l'_R, n_R}^{l_R, m_R}, \quad (330)
\end{aligned}$$

we will derive the three-sphere matrix coordinates.

D.1 About the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics

We evaluate the matrix elements of y_m (137) sandwiched by the $SO(4)$ spin spherical harmonics:

$$\langle \mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j; k'm'_k} | y_m | \mathbf{Y}_{jm_j; km_k} \rangle = \int d\Omega_3 \mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j; k'm'_k}^\dagger y_m \mathbf{Y}_{jm_j; km_k}. \quad (331)$$

With (28), (331) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j; k'm'_k} | y_m | \mathbf{Y}_{jm_j; km_k} \rangle &= \sum_{A=-\frac{I}{2}}^{\frac{I}{2}} \int d\Omega_3 (\mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j; k'm'_k})_A^* y_m (\mathbf{Y}_{jm_j; km_k})_A \\
&= \sum_A \sum_{m'_R=-j'}^{j'} \sum_{m_R=-j}^j C_{j'm'_R; \frac{I}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{jm_R; \frac{I}{2}A}^{km_k} \int d\Omega_3 \Phi_{j'm'_j; j'm'_R}^* y_m \Phi_{jm_j; jm_R}, \quad (332)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are real. Since the $SO(4)$ spherical harmonics are equal to the monopole harmonics for $I = 0$:

$$\Phi_{j, m_j; k, m_k} \Big|_{j=k=\frac{p}{2}} = \Phi_{j, m_j; j, m_k}, \quad (333)$$

(330) gives

$$\int d\Omega_3 \Phi_{j'm'_j; j'm'_R}^* \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}} \Phi_{jm_j; jm_R} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2j'+1}} (-1)^{2(j+j')} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\tau}{2}; jm_R}^{j'm'_R}, \quad (334)$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} j' & j' & 0 \\ j & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right\} = -i(-1)^{-(j+j')} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2j'+1)}}$ was used. Therefore, with respect to $y_1 = -i\frac{\pi}{2}(\Phi_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} - \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}})$, (332) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y_1 \rangle &= -i\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_A \sum_{m'_R=-j'}^{j'} \sum_{m_R=-j}^j C_{j'm'_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{km_k} \int d\Omega_3 \Phi_{j'm'_j; j'm'_R}^* (\Phi_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} - \Phi_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}) \Phi_{jm_j; jm_R} \\
&= i(-1)^{2(j+j')} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2j'+1}} \sum_A \sum_{m'_R=-j'}^{j'} \sum_{m_R=-j}^j C_{j'm'_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{km_k} (C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; jm_R}^{j'm'_R} - C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; jm_R}^{j'm'_R}) \\
&= i(-1)^{2(j+j')} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2j'+1}} \\
&\quad \times \left(C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} \overbrace{\sum_{A, m'_R, m_R} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{km_k} C_{j'm'_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; jm_R}^{j'm'_R}} - C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} \overbrace{\sum_{A, m'_R, m_R} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{km_k} C_{j'm'_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; jm_R}^{j'm'_R}} \right). \tag{335}
\end{aligned}$$

To proceed further, we need to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\overbrace{\sum_{A, m'_R, m_R} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{km_k} C_{j'm'_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; jm_R}^{j'm'_R}} &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}+j-j'} \sum_{A, m'_R, m_R} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{km_k} C_{j'm'_R; \frac{1}{2}A}^{k'm'_k} C_{jm_R; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}}^{j'm'_R} \\
&= -(-1)^{j+k+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(2k+1)(2j'+1)} C_{km_k; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}}^{k'm'_k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j & k & \frac{1}{2} \\ k' & j' & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \\
&= -i(-1)^{j+2k+\frac{1}{2}-k'} \sqrt{(2k+1)(2j'+1)} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}; km_k}^{k'm'_k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j & k & \frac{1}{2} \\ k' & j' & \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right\}, \tag{336}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first and last equations we used

$$C_{jm_j; j'm'}^{kn} = (-1)^{j+j'-k} C_{j'm'; jm}^{kn}, \tag{337}$$

and in the second equation, (Eq.(12) in p.260 of [54])

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \delta} C_{a\alpha; b\beta}^{c\gamma} C_{d\delta; b\beta}^{e\epsilon} C_{a\alpha; f\varphi}^{d\delta} = (-1)^{b+c+d+f} \sqrt{(2c+1)(2d+1)} C_{c\gamma; f\varphi}^{e\epsilon} \begin{Bmatrix} a & c & b \\ e & d & f \end{Bmatrix}. \tag{338}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j; k'm'_k} | y_1 | \mathbf{Y}_{jm_j; km_k} \rangle \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{2} (-1)^{-j+2j'+2k-k'+\frac{1}{2}} \begin{Bmatrix} j' & k' & \frac{1}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} (C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; km_k}^{k'm'_k} - C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; km_k}^{k'm'_k}). \tag{339}
\end{aligned}$$

We used the fact that j takes a half-integer or integer value and so $(-1)^{4j} = 1$, and the property of the 6j symbol, $\begin{Bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} e & d & c \\ b & a & f \end{Bmatrix}$.

When $j+k = j'+k' = n + \frac{1}{2}$, the signature part of (339) is simplified as

$$(-1)^{-j+2j'+2k-k'+\frac{1}{2}} = (-1)^{n+I-k+k'}, \tag{340}$$

and the matrix elements of y_1 and other coordinates become

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j;k'm'_k} | y_{m=1,2} | \mathbf{Y}_{jm_j;km_k} \rangle \\
&= (-i)^{m-1} \frac{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{2} (-1)^{n+I-k+k'} \begin{Bmatrix} j' & k' & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} (C_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2};jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2};km_k}^{k'm'_k} + (-1)^m C_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2};jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2};km_k}^{k'm'_k}), \\
& \langle \mathbf{Y}_{j'm'_j;k'm'_k} | y_{m=3,4} | \mathbf{Y}_{jm_j;km_k} \rangle \\
&= (-i)^{m-1} \frac{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{2} (-1)^{n+I-k+k'} \begin{Bmatrix} j' & k' & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} (C_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2};jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2};km_k}^{k'm'_k} - (-1)^m C_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2};jm_j}^{j'm'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2};km_k}^{k'm'_k}).
\end{aligned} \tag{341}$$

With the explicit form of Clebsh-Gordan coefficients

$$C_{\frac{1}{2}\pm\frac{1}{2};j,m}^{j',m'} = \delta_{j',j+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m',m\pm\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{j\pm m+1}{2j+1}} \pm \delta_{j',j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m',m\pm\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{j\mp m}{2j+1}}, \tag{342}$$

(341) yields (139) and (138).

D.2 About the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics

Next, we evaluate the matrix elements of y_m sandwiched by the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics. From (330) and (137), we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | y_{m=1,2} | \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle &= (-i)^m \frac{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{2} (-1)^{j'+2j+2k'+k+\frac{3}{2}(I+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} j' & k' & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\times (C_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2};j,m_j}^{j',m'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2};k,m_k}^{k',m'_k} + (-1)^m C_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2};j,m'_j}^{j',m'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2};k,m_k}^{k',m'_k}), \tag{343a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | y_{m=3,4} | \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle &= (-i)^m \frac{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{2} (-1)^{j'+2j+2k'+k+\frac{3}{2}(I+1)} \begin{Bmatrix} j' & k' & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\times (C_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2};j,m_j}^{j',m'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2};k,m_k}^{k',m'_k} - (-1)^m C_{\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2};j,m'_j}^{j',m'_j} C_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2};k,m_k}^{k',m'_k}). \tag{343b}
\end{aligned}$$

When $j+k = j'+k' = n + \frac{I}{2}$, the sign-part is simplified as

$$(-1)^{j'+2j+2k'+k+\frac{3}{2}(I+1)} = -i(-1)^{(j'+k'+j+k)+k'+j+\frac{3}{2}I} = -i(-1)^{2(n+I)+j+k'+\frac{1}{2}} = -i(-1)^{j+k'+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{344}$$

and with (342) we have

$$\langle \Phi_{j',m'_j;k',m'_k} | y_m | \Phi_{j,m_j;k,m_k} \rangle = \sum_{\sigma=+,-} \mathcal{Y}_m^{(\sigma,-\sigma)}(j, k)_{(m'_j,m'_k; m_j,m_k)} \cdot \delta_{j',j+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \delta_{k',k-\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \tag{345}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_m^{(\sigma, -\sigma)}(j, k)_{(m'_j, m'_k; m_j, m_k)} \equiv \langle \Phi_{j+\frac{\sigma}{2}, m'_j; k-\frac{\sigma}{2}, m'_k} | y_m | \Phi_{j, m_j; k, m_k} \rangle$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}_{m=1,2}^{(+)}(j, k) &= -(-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j + \frac{1}{2} & k - \frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j + \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j + m_j + 1)(k - m_k)} - (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j - \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j - m_j + 1)(k + m_k)}), \\
\mathcal{Y}_{m=3,4}^{(+)}(j, k) &= (-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j + \frac{1}{2} & k - \frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j + \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j + m_j + 1)(k + m_k)} + (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j - \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j - m_j + 1)(k - m_k)}), \\
\mathcal{Y}_{m=1,2}^{(-)}(j, k) &= (-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j - \frac{1}{2} & k + \frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j + \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j - m_j)(k + m_k + 1)} - (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j - \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j + m_j)(k - m_k + 1)}), \\
\mathcal{Y}_{m=3,4}^{(-)}(j, k) &= (-i)^m \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n+I} \begin{Bmatrix} j - \frac{1}{2} & k + \frac{1}{2} & \frac{I}{2} \\ k & j & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&\quad \times (\delta_{m'_j, m_j + \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j - m_j)(k - m_k + 1)} + (-1)^m \delta_{m'_j, m_j - \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{m'_k, m_k + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{(j + m_j)(k + m_k + 1)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{346}$$

One may find that (346) is simply related to (139) and (138) as

$$\mathcal{Y}_m^{(\sigma, -\sigma)}(j, k) = -Y_{m=1,2}^{(\sigma, -\sigma)}(j, k). \tag{347}$$

D.3 Special case $(j, k) = (1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$

With a 4×2 matrix

$$\Phi^{\text{AFF}}(\Omega_3) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} y_m \bar{q}_m \\ 1_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{348}$$

we can obtain the meron gauge field configuration (147):

$$A^{\text{AFF}} = -i \Phi^{\text{AFF}\dagger} d\Phi^{\text{AFF}} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i y_n \sigma_i dy_m, \tag{349}$$

where $\bar{q}_m q_n = \delta_{mn} - \bar{\eta}_{mn}^i q_i$ was used. $\Phi^{\text{AFF}}(\Omega_3)$ can be represented by the $SO(4)$ spinor spherical harmonics $\mathbf{Y}_{j, m_j; k, m_k}$ (28) as

$$\Phi^{\text{AFF}}(\Omega_3)^\dagger = \pi (|\Phi_1^{\text{AFF}}\rangle \quad |\Phi_2^{\text{AFF}}\rangle \quad |\Phi_3^{\text{AFF}}\rangle \quad |\Phi_4^{\text{AFF}}\rangle) = \pi (-|\mathbf{Y}_1\rangle \quad -|\mathbf{Y}_2\rangle \quad |\mathbf{Y}_3\rangle \quad |\mathbf{Y}_4\rangle) \tag{350}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{Y}_1\rangle &\equiv \mathbf{Y}_{1/2, 1/2; 0, 0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{pmatrix} -y_4 + iy_3 \\ iy_1 - y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \chi + i \sin \chi \cos \theta \\ i \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \\
|\mathbf{Y}_2\rangle &\equiv \mathbf{Y}_{1/2, -1/2; 0, 0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{pmatrix} iy_1 + y_2 \\ -y_4 - iy_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{pmatrix} i \sin \chi \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ -\cos \chi - i \sin \chi \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{351a}$$

$$|\mathbf{Y}_3\rangle \equiv \mathbf{Y}_{0, 0; 1/2, 1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$|\mathbf{Y}_4\rangle \equiv \mathbf{Y}_{0, 0; 1/2, -1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{351b}$$

It is not difficult to derive the 4×4 matrix elements of y_m by performing the integration $\langle \Phi_\alpha^{\text{AFF}} | y_m | \Phi_\beta^{\text{AFF}} \rangle$ such as $\langle \phi_1^{\text{AFF}} | y_1 | \phi_4^{\text{AFF}} \rangle = \int d\Omega_3 (-\mathbf{Y}_{1/2,1/2; 0,0})^\dagger y_1 \mathbf{Y}_{0,0; 1/2,-1/2} = \frac{1}{4}i$:

$$\langle y_m \rangle_{\Phi^{\text{AFF}}} = \frac{1}{4}\gamma_m, \quad (352)$$

and similarly

$$\langle y_m \rangle_Y = -\frac{1}{4}\gamma_m. \quad (353)$$

We introduce another 4×2 matrix

$$\Phi = \Phi^{\text{AFF}} \cdot g = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+y_4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 + y_m q_m \\ 1_2 + y_m \bar{q}_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (354)$$

where g (178) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Omega_3) &= e^{-i\frac{\chi}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 \hat{y}_i \sigma_i} = \tilde{g}(\theta, \phi) e^{-i\frac{\chi}{2}\sigma_z} \tilde{g}(\theta, \phi)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\chi}{2} - i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \cos \theta & -i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ -i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \theta e^{i\phi} & \cos \frac{\chi}{2} + i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+y_4)}} (1_2 + y_m q_m), \end{aligned} \quad (355)$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(\theta, \phi) = e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}\sigma_z} e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}\sigma_y} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (356)$$

Φ (354) is related to the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics (in the Dirac gauge) $\Phi_{j,m_j; k,m_k}$ (168) as

$$\Phi(\Omega_3)^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+y_4}} (1_2 + y_m q_m \quad 1_2 + y_m \bar{q}_m) = \pi (|\Phi_1\rangle \quad |\Phi_2\rangle \quad |\Phi_3\rangle \quad |\Phi_4\rangle) \quad (357)$$

where³⁴

$$|\Phi_1\rangle \equiv \Phi_{1/2,1/2; 0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\chi}{2} - i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \cos \theta \\ -i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\Phi_2\rangle \equiv \Phi_{1/2,-1/2; 0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} -i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ \cos \frac{\chi}{2} + i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (360a)$$

$$|\Phi_3\rangle \equiv \Phi_{0,0; 1/2,1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\chi}{2} + i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \cos \theta \\ i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\Phi_4\rangle \equiv \Phi_{0,0; 1/2,-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \sin \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ \cos \frac{\chi}{2} - i \sin \frac{\chi}{2} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (360b)$$

The corresponding matrix coordinates are

$$\langle y_m \rangle_\Phi = \frac{1}{4}\gamma_m. \quad (361)$$

³⁴In the Schwinger gauge, the $SO(4)$ monopole harmonics are represented as

$$\Phi_{1/2,1/2; 0,0}^S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{1/2,-1/2; 0,0}^S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (358a)$$

$$\Phi_{0,0; 1/2,1/2}^S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{0,0; 1/2,-1/2}^S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{1}{2}(\chi-\phi)} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{1}{2}(\chi+\phi)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (358b)$$

They are related to the Dirac gauge (360) as

$$\Phi_{j,m_j; k,m_k} = \tilde{g} \Phi_{j,m_j; k,m_k}^S \quad (359)$$

with \tilde{g} (356).

(354) implies that

$$|\Phi_\alpha\rangle = g^\dagger |\Phi_\alpha^{\text{AFF}}\rangle, \quad (362)$$

and consequently

$$\langle y_m \rangle_{\Phi^{\text{AFF}}} = \langle y_m \rangle_\Phi, \quad (363)$$

which can also be confirmed by comparing (352) with (361). Similarly, we have

$$|\Phi_\alpha\rangle = -g^\dagger |\mathbf{Y}_\alpha\rangle \quad (\alpha = 1, 2), \quad |\Phi_\alpha\rangle = g^\dagger |\mathbf{Y}_\alpha\rangle \quad (\alpha = 3, 4), \quad (364)$$

which implies

$$\langle \Phi_\alpha | y_m | \Phi_\beta \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{Y}_\alpha | y_m | \mathbf{Y}_\beta \rangle. \quad (365)$$

This relation is also obtained from (361) and (353). (365) realizes the simplest version of (181).

References

- [1] Chen Ning Yang, “Generalization of Dirac’s monopole to $SU(2)$ gauge fields”, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 320.
- [2] P.A.M. Dirac, “Quantized singularities in the electromagnetic field”, Proc. Royal Soc. London, A133 (1931) 60-72.
- [3] Andrzej Trautman, “Solutions of the Maxwell and Yang-Mills Equations Associated with Hopf Fibrings”, Int.Jour.Theor.Phys. 16 (1977) 561-565.
- [4] Masatsugu Minami, “Quaternionic Gauge Fields on S^7 and Yang’s $SU(2)$ Monopole”, Prog.Theor.Phys. 63 (1980) 303-321.
- [5] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwartz and Yu. S. Tyupkin, “Pseudoparticle solutions of the Yang-Mills equations”, Phys. Lett. B 59 (1975) 85-87.
- [6] Chen Ning Yang, “ $SU(2)$ monopole harmonics”, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 2622.
- [7] S. C. Zhang and J. P. Hu, “A Four Dimensional Generalization of the Quantum Hall Effect”, Science 294 (2001) 823; cond-mat/0110572.
- [8] T.T. Wu, C.N. Yang, “Dirac Monopoles without Strings: Monopole Harmonics”, Nucl.Phys. B107 (1976) 1030-1033.
- [9] F.D.M. Haldane, “Fractional quantization of the Hall effect: a hierarchy of incompressible quantum fluid states”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 605-608.
- [10] Kazuki Hasebe, “Hopf Maps, Lowest Landau Level, and Fuzzy Spheres”, SIGMA 6 (2010) 071; arXiv:1009.1192.
- [11] Dimitra Karabali, V.P. Nair, S. Randjbar-Daemi “Fuzzy spaces, the $M(\text{atrix})$ model and the quantum Hall effect”, hep-th/0407007.
- [12] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, P.M. Platzman, “Formalism for the quantum Hall effect: Hilbert space of analytic functions”, Phys.Rev. B 29 (1984) 9617.
- [13] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, P.M. Platzman, “Magneto-roton theory of collective excitations in the fractional quantum Hall effect”, Phys.Rev. B 33 (1986) 2481.

- [14] Yi-Xin Chen, Bo-Yu Hou, Bo-Yuan Hou, “*Non-commutative algebra of functions of 4-dimensional quantum Hall droplet*”, Nucl. Phys. B 638 (2002) 220-242; hep-th/0203095.
- [15] K. Hasebe and Y. Kimura, “*Dimensional Hierarchy in Quantum Hall Effects on Fuzzy Spheres*”, Phys.Lett. B 602 (2004) 255; hep-th/0310274.
- [16] Jens Hoppe, “*Quantum Theory of a Massless Relativistic Surface and a Two-dimensional Bound State Problem*”, MIT PhD Thesis (1982). “*Membranes and integrable systems*”, Phys.Lett, B 250 (1990) 44-48.
- [17] J. Madore, “*The Fuzzy Sphere*”, Class. Quant. Grav. 9 (1992) 69.
- [18] H. Grosse, C. Klimcik, P. Presnajder, “*On Finite 4D Quantum Field Theory in Non-Commutative Geometry*”, Commun.Math.Phys. 180 (1996) 429-438; hep-th/9602115.
- [19] Judith Castelino, Sangmin Lee, Washington Taylor, “*Longitudinal 5-branes as 4-spheres in Matrix theory*”, Nucl.Phys.B526 (1998) 334-350; hep-th/9712105.
- [20] P. M. Ho and S. Ramgoolam, “*Higher dimensional geometries from matrix brane constructions*”, Nucl.Phys.B 627 (2002) 266; hep-th/0111278.
- [21] Yusuke Kimura, “*Noncommutative gauge theory on fuzzy four-sphere and matrix model*”, Nucl.Phys.B 637 (2002) 177; hep-th/0204256.
- [22] Yusuke Kimura, “*On higher dimensional fuzzy spherical branes*”, Nucl.Phys.B 664 (2003) 512; hep-th/0301055.
- [23] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “*Tiny Graviton Matrix Theory: DLCQ of IIB Plane-Wave String Theory, A Conjecture*”, JHEP 0409 (2004) 017; hep-th/0406214.
- [24] Joshua DeBellis, Christian Saemann, Richard J. Szabo, “*Quantized Nambu-Poisson Manifolds and n-Lie Algebras*”, J.Math.Phys.51 (2010) 122303; arXiv:1001.3275.
- [25] Yoichiro Nambu, “*Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics*”, Phys.Rev.D7 (1973) 2405-2412.
- [26] Thomas Curtright, Cosmas Zachos, “*Classical and Quantum Nambu Mechanics*”, Phys.Rev.D68 (2003) 085001; hep-th/0212267.
- [27] Kazuki Hasebe, “*Higher Dimensional Quantum Hall Effect as A-Class Topological Insulator*”, Nucl.Phys. B 886 (2014) 952-1002; arXiv:1403.5066.
- [28] Kazuki Hasebe, “*Chiral topological insulator on Nambu 3-algebraic geometry*”, Nucl.Phys. B 886 (2014) 681-690; arXiv:1403.7816.
- [29] Kazuki Hasebe, “*Higher (Odd) Dimensional Quantum Hall Effect and Extended Dimensional Hierarchy*”, Nucl.Phys. B 920 (2017) 475-520; arXiv:1612.05853.
- [30] Machiko Hatsuda, Satoshi Iso, Hiroshi Umetsu, “*Noncommutative superspace, supermatrix and lowest Landau level*”, Nucl.Phys. B671 (2003) 217-242; hep-th/0306251.
- [31] Kazuki Hasebe, “*Relativistic Landau Models and Generation of Fuzzy Spheres*”, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 31 (2016) 1650117; arXiv:1511.04681.
- [32] Kazuki Hasebe, “*SO(4) Landau Models and Matrix Geometry*”, Nucl.Phys. B 934 (2018) 149-211; arXiv:1712.07767.

- [33] See for instance, Chap.6 of Harry Hochstadt, *“The functions of Mathematical Physics”*, Dover 2012.
- [34] G. Girardi, A. Sciarrino, P. Sorba, *“Generalized Young tableaux and Kronecker products of $SO(n)$ representations”*, Physica A 114 (1982) 365-369.
- [35] N.V. Vlasii, F. von Rütte, U.-J. Wiese, *“Graphical tensor product reduction scheme for the Lie algebras $so(5)=sp(2)$, $su(3)$, and $g(2)$ ”*, Ann. Phys. 371 (2016) 199-227.
- [36] Robert Feger, Thomas W. Kephart, *“LieART – A Mathematica Application for Lie Algebras and Representation Theory”*, arXiv:1206.6379.
- [37] Rémy Mosseri, Rossen Dandoloff, *“Geometry of entangled states, Bloch spheres and Hopf fibrations”*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001) 10243; quant-ph/0108137.
- [38] V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, *“A new classical solution of the Yang-Mills field equations”*, Phys. Lett. B 65 (1976) 163-166.
- [39] V. De Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, *“Gauge theories and strong gravity”*, Nuovo Cimento 50 (1979) 523-536.
- [40] W. Pauli Jr., *“Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Elektrons”*, Zeitschrift für Physik 43 (1927) 601-623.
- [41] V.P. Nair, S. Randjbar-Daemi, *“Quantum Hall effect on S^3 , edge states and fuzzy S^3/\mathbf{Z}_2 ”*, Nucl.Phys. B679 (2004) 447-463; hep-th/0309212.
- [42] T. T. Wu, C. N. Yang, in *“Properties of matter under unusual conditions”* eds. H. Mark, S. Fernbach (1968) (Interscience, New York).
- [43] J. Arafune, P. G. O. Freund, C. J. Goebel, *“Topology of Higgs fields”*, J. Math. Phys. 16 (1975) 433-437.
- [44] F. A. Bais, *“Configurations of $SO(3)$ monopoles with multiple magnetic charge”*, Phys. Lett. B 64 (1976) 465-468.
- [45] G. Ishiki, T. Matsumoto, H. Muraki, *“Information metric, Berry connection, and Berezin-Toeplitz quantization for matrix geometry”*, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 026002; arXiv:1804.00900.
- [46] R. Camporesi, A. Higuchi, *“On the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator on spheres and real hyperbolic spaces”*, J.Geom.Phys. 20 (1996) 1-18; gr-qc/9505009.
- [47] Brian P. Dolan, *“The Spectrum of the Dirac Operator on Coset Spaces with Homogeneous Gauge Fields”*, JHEP 0305 (2003) 018; hep-th/0302122.
- [48] Oded Zilberberg, Sheng Huang, Jonathan Guglielmon, Mohan Wang, Kevin Chen, Yaacov E. Kraus, Mikael C. Rechtsman, *“Photonic topological boundary pumping as a probe of 4D quantum Hall physics”* Nature 553 (2018) 59; arXiv:1705.08361.
- [49] Biao Lian, Shou-Cheng Zhang, *“Five-dimensional generalization of the topological Weyl semimetal”*, Phys.Rev.B94 (2016) 041105(R).
- [50] Biao Lian, Shou-Cheng Zhang, *“Weyl semimetal and topological phase transition in five dimensions”*, Phys.Rev.B95 (2017) 235106.
- [51] Brian G. Wybourne, *“Classical Groups for Physicists”*, John Wiley & Sons (1974).

- [52] F. Iachello, “*Li Algebras and Applications*”, Springer (2006).
- [53] Abdus Salam, J. Strathdee, “*On Kaluza-Klein Theory*”, Ann. Phys. 141 (1982) 316-352.
- [54] D.A. Varshalovich, A.N. Moskalev, V.K. Khersonskii, “*Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*”, World Scientific, 1988.