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Abstract

We generalize a classical extension result by Seeley in the context of Bastiani’s differential calculus to infinite dimensions. The construction follows Seeley’s original approach, but is significantly more involved as not only $C^k$-maps (for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) on (subsets of) half spaces are extended but additionally potential continuous extensions of their differentials to some given piece of boundary of the domains under consideration. A further feature of the generalization is that we consider families of extension operators (instead of only one single extension operator) that fulfill certain compatibility (and continuity) conditions. Various applications are discussed.
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1 Introduction

The extension problem for differentiable maps naturally arises in the context of manifolds with boundary or corners. In the finite-dimensional context Whitney’s extension theorem guarantees the extendability of Whitney jets (families of continuous functions that define formal Taylor expansions) on closed subsets of the euclidean space. A characterization of closed subsets that admit continuous linear extension operators on Whitney jets was given by Frerick in [2]. Recent research into Whitney-type extension operators [10,15] is concerned with generalizations to maps on closed subsets of finite-dimensional manifolds (Whitney germs in [10], and in [15] subsets that satisfy the so-called cusp condition) with values in vector bundles or (infinite-dimensional) manifolds.

In [10], the smooth category in the context of the convenient calculus [7] is considered, and in [15] the smooth category within Bastiani’s differential calculus [3]. Throughout this paper, we work in Bastiani’s setting that is recalled in Sect. 2.1. We refer to [4,13] for self-contained introductions into Bastiani’s calculus.

Besides Whitney’s approach there is an alternative (significantly simpler) extension construction available that works for maps defined on half spaces. This approach is due to Seeley [16]. He constructs a continuous linear map that extends such smooth maps \( f : (-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) \((n \in \mathbb{N})\) to \(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n\) whose partial derivatives extend continuously to \((-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}^n\). In this paper, we generalize Seeley’s result into several directions:

Let \( E, F \) be Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces, and denote the system of continuous seminorms on \( F \) by \( \text{Sem}(F) \). For \( k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \) and \( U \subseteq E \) non-empty open, let \( C^k(U, F) \) denote the set of all \( k \)-times continuously differentiable maps \( U \to F \). Let \( \Omega(E) \) denote the set of all pairs \((V, \mathfrak{U})\) such that \( V \subseteq E \) is non-empty open, and \( \mathfrak{U} \subseteq E \) is contained in the closure of \( V \) in \( E \) with \( V \subseteq \mathfrak{U} \).

For \(-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty\), let \( \mathfrak{O}^k(a, b) \times V, F \) denote the set of all \( f \in C^k((a, b) \times V, F) \) such that for each \( \mathbb{N} \ni \ell \leq k \), the \( \ell \)-th differential of \( f \) extends to a continuous map

\[
\text{Ext}(f, \ell) : (a, b) \times \mathfrak{U} \times (\mathbb{R} \times E)^\ell \to F
\]

(we set \((a, b) := (a, \infty)\) if \( b = \infty \) holds). Our main result Theorem 1 (stated to the full extent in Sect. 5.1) inter alia implies that to \(-\infty \leq a < \tau < 0\) fixed, there exists a linear (extension) map

\[
\mathcal{E} : \mathfrak{O}^k((a, 0) \times V, F) \to \mathfrak{O}^k((a, \infty) \times V, F),
\]

such that for \( f \in \mathfrak{O}^k((a, 0) \times V, F) \) and \( \mathbb{N} \ni \ell \leq k \), we have

\[
\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}(f), \ell)|_{(a, 0) \times \mathfrak{U} \times (\mathbb{R} \times E)^\ell} = \text{Ext}(f, \ell)
\]

\[
\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}(f), \ell)|_{(\tau, \infty) \times \mathfrak{U} \times (\mathbb{R} \times E)^\ell} = 0.
\]

For \( E = \mathbb{R}^n \), \( F = \mathbb{R} \), and \( a = -\infty \), this implies Seeley’s original theorem from [16]. We mention but not present the details at this point that Theorem 1 is formulated more generally in terms of families of extension operators indexed by triples \((E, V, \mathfrak{U})\), where \( E \) runs over the class of Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces and \((V, \mathfrak{U}) \in \Omega(E)\) holds (\( a \) and \( \tau \) are thus fixed parameters). Theorem 1 additionally contains continuity estimates, as well as compatibility conditions that can be used, e.g., to construct extensions of maps by gluing together local extensions. This is demonstrated in Example 1 for the unit ball in a real pre-Hilbert space. In Application 1 in Sect. 5.2 we carry over the extension result (in the form stated above) to quadrants, which is of relevance in the context.
of (infinite-dimensional) manifolds with corners. Specifically, we construct an extension operator for $C^k$-maps $(a_1,0) \times \ldots \times (a_n,0) \times V \to F$ (with $-\infty \leq a_i < 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$) whose $\ell$-th differential, for $\mathbb{N} \ni \ell \leq k$, extends continuously to $(a_1,0) \times \ldots \times (a_n,0) \times \mathcal{W} \times (\mathbb{R}^n \times E)\ell \to F$ with $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$.

We explicitly mention that in the convenient setting (for $k = \infty$ and $V = \mathcal{W} = E = \{0\}$) the existence of a continuous extension operator was already shown in Proposition 24.10 in [7]. The given proof also works in Bastiani’s setting, but still only for $k = \infty$ as the exponential law for smooth mappings is explicitly applied.

We finally want to emphasize that our extension result can also be used to extend $C^k$-maps on subsets in infinite dimensions that admit a certain kind of geometry. Indeed, we have already mentioned that Example [1] covers the (real) pre-Hilbert unit ball. In Application [2] in Sect. [3.3] we consider subsets of Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces that are defined by a particular kind of distance function. The (real) pre-Hilbert unit ball is an example for this, but the construction in Example [1] differs from the construction in Application [2] that gets along without explicit use of the compatibility property admitted by the extension operators.

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. In Sect. [2], we fix the notations, recall Bastiani’s differential calculus, and provide some elementary facts and definitions concerning locally convex vector spaces. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the class of Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces, and let $\text{Sem}(E)$ denote the class of Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces, and let $\text{Sem}(E)$ denote the class of Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces, and let $\text{Sem}(E)$. For a subset $U \in \mathcal{E}$, we let $\text{clos}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ denote the closure of $U$ in $\mathcal{E}$. A subset $B \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is said to be bounded if $\sup \{p(X) | X \in B\} < \infty$ holds for each $p \in \text{Sem}(E)$. Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ be given:

- For $a = -\infty$, we set $[a,b] := (-\infty, b]$ and $[a,b) := (-\infty, b)$.
- For $b = \infty$, we set $[a,b] := [a, \infty)$ and $(a,b) := (a, \infty)$.
- For $a = -\infty$, $b = \infty$, we set $[a,b] := (-\infty, \infty)$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be given. We write $0 \leq \ell \leq k$,

- for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathbb{N} \ni \ell \leq k$ holds,
- for $k = \infty$ if $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ holds.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\text{hlcVect}$ denote the class of Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces, and let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$ be given. We denote the completion of $E$ by $\text{comp}(E) \in \text{hlcVect}$. The system of continuous seminorms on $E$ is denoted by $\text{Sem}(E)$. For $p \in \text{Sem}(E)$, we let $\hat{p}$ denote the continuous extension of $p$ to $\text{comp}(E)$. For a subset $V \subseteq E$, we let $\text{clos}(V) \subseteq E$ denote the closure of $V$ in $E$. A subset $B \subseteq E$ is said to be bounded if $\sup \{p(X) | X \in B\} < \infty$ holds for each $p \in \text{Sem}(E)$. Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ be given:

- For $a = -\infty$, we set $[a,b] := (-\infty, b]$ and $[a,b) := (-\infty, b)$.
- For $b = \infty$, we set $[a,b] := [a, \infty)$ and $(a,b) := (a, \infty)$.
- For $a = -\infty$, $b = \infty$, we set $[a,b] := (-\infty, \infty)$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be given. We write $0 \leq \ell \leq k$,

- for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathbb{N} \ni \ell \leq k$ holds,
- for $k = \infty$ if $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ holds.

2.1 Bastiani’s Differential Calculus

In this section, we recall Bastiani’s differential calculus, confer also [3, 4, 11–14]. Let $E, F \in \text{hlcVect}$ be given. A map $f : U \to F$, with $U \subseteq E$ open, is said to be differentiable if

$$(D_v f)(x) := \lim_{t \to 0} 1/t \cdot (f(x + t \cdot v) - f(x)) \in F$$

exists for each $x \in U$ and $v \in E$. The map $f$ is said to be $k$-times differentiable for $k \geq 1$ if

$$D_{v_k, \ldots, v_1} f := D_{v_k} (D_{v_{k-1}} (\ldots (D_{v_1} (f)) \ldots)) : U \to F$$

is defined for all $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in E$. Implicitly, this means that $f$ is $p$-times differentiable for each $1 \leq p \leq k$, and we set

$$d^p f(v_1, \ldots, v_p) := d^p f(x, v_1, \ldots, v_p) := D_{v_p, \ldots, v_1} f(x) \quad \forall x \in U, v_1, \ldots, v_p \in E$$

for $p = 1, \ldots, k$. We furthermore define $d f := d^1 f$, as well as $d_x f := d^1_x f$ for each $x \in U$. The map $f : U \to F$ is said to be

\[\text{We refer to [1] for subtleties concerning the exponential law in the non-smooth category.}\]
• of class $C^0$ if it is continuous. In this case, we define $d^0f := f$.
• of class $C^k$ for $k \geq 1$ if it is $k$-times differentiable, such that
  \[ d^p f : U \times E^p \to F, \quad (x, v_1, \ldots, v_p) \mapsto D_{v_p, \ldots, v_1} f(x) \]
is continuous for $p = 0, \ldots, k$. In this case, $d^p f$ is symmetric and $p$-multilinear for each $x \in U$ and $p = 1, \ldots, k$, cf. [3].
• of class $C^\infty$ if it is of class $C^k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1. Let $E, F$ be normed spaces, define $L^0(E, F) := F$, and let $L^\ell(E, F)$ for $\ell \geq 1$ denote the space of all continuous $\ell$-multilinear maps $E^\ell \to F$ equipped with the operator topology.\footnote{The notations here are adapted to the notations used in (Appendix A.2 and A.3 in) [17], where the relationships between Bastiani’s differentiability concept and Fréchet differentiability are presented in detail.} Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \subseteq E$ non-empty open, the set of all $k$-times Fréchet differentiable maps $U \to F$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^k(U, F)$. Given $f \in \mathcal{F}^k(U, F)$, we denote its $\ell$-th Fréchet differential by $D^{(\ell)}f : U \to L^\ell(E, F)$ for each $0 \leq \ell \leq k$. We recall that $C^{k+1}(U, F) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^k(U, F)$ holds [14][17], with
  \[ D^{(\ell)}f(x) = d^\ell_x f \quad \forall x \in U, \ 0 \leq \ell \leq k. \]
In particular, we have $C^\infty(U, F) = \mathcal{F}^\infty(U, F)$.

We have the following differentiation rules [3].

Proposition 1.

a) A map $f : E \supseteq U \to F$ is of class $C^k$ for $k \geq 1$ if and only if $df$ is of class $C^{k-1}$ when considered as a map $E \times E \supseteq U \times E \to F$.

b) Let $f : E \to F$ be linear and continuous. Then, $f$ is smooth, with $d^1_x f = f$ for each $x \in E$, as well as $d^p f = 0$ for each $p \geq 2$.

c) Let $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ be Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces, and $f_q : E \supseteq U \to F_q$ be of class $C^k$ for $k \geq 1$ and $q = 1, \ldots, m$. Then,
  \[ f := f_1 \times \cdots \times f_m : U \to F_1 \times \cdots \times F_m, \quad x \mapsto (f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x)) \]
  if of class $C^k$, with $d^p f = d^p f_1 \times \cdots \times d^p f_m$ for $p = 1, \ldots, k$.

d) Let $F, \bar{F}, \tilde{F} \in \text{hlcVect}$, $1 \leq k \leq \infty$, as well as $f : F \supseteq U \to \bar{U} \subseteq \bar{F}$ and $\bar{f} : \bar{F} \supseteq \bar{U} \to \tilde{F}$ be of class $C^k$. Then, $\bar{f} \circ f : U \to \tilde{F}$ is of class $C^k$, with
  \[ d_x (\bar{f} \circ f) = d_{\bar{f}(x)} \bar{f} \circ d_x f \quad \forall x \in U. \]

e) Let $F_1, \ldots, F_m, E \in \text{hlcVect}$, and $f : F_1 \times \cdots \times F_m \supseteq U \to E$ be of class $C^0$. Then, $f$ is of class $C^1$ if and only if for $p = 1, \ldots, m$, the partial derivatives
  \[ \partial_p f : U \times F_p \supseteq ((x_1, \ldots, x_m), v_p) \mapsto \lim_{t \to 0} 1/t \cdot (f(x_1, \ldots, x_p + t \cdot v_p, \ldots, x_m) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) \]
exist in $E$ and are continuous. In this case, we have
  \[ df((x_1, \ldots, x_m), v_1, \ldots, v_m) = \sum_{p=1}^m \partial_p f((x_1, \ldots, x_m), v_p) \]
  \[ = \sum_{p=1}^m \partial_p f((x_1, \ldots, x_m), (0, \ldots, 0, v_p, 0, \ldots, 0)) \]
for each $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in U$, and $v_p \in F_p$ for $p = 1, \ldots, m$.

We observe the following.
Corollary 1. Let $F, F, F \in \text{hlcVect}$, $1 \leq k \leq \infty$, as well as $f: F \supseteq U \to \bar{U} \subseteq F$ and $\tilde{f}: \bar{F} \supseteq \bar{U} \to \bar{F}$ be of class $C^k$. Then, for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ we have

$$d^\ell (\tilde{f} \circ f)(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) = d^\ell f(f(x), df(x, v_1), \ldots, df(x, v_\ell)) + \Lambda_f(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell),$$

where $\Lambda_f: U \times F^\ell \to \bar{F}$ is given as a linear combination of maps of the form

$$U \times F^\ell \ni (x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) \mapsto d^q f(f(x), df(x, v_1), \ldots, df(x, v_\ell)),$$

such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- We have $1 \leq q < \ell$, as well as $p_1, \ldots, p_q \geq 1$ with $p_1 + \ldots + p_q = \ell$.
- If $\ell \geq 2$ holds, then we have $p_i \geq 2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Proof. For $\ell = 1$, the claim is clear from Proposition 1 that $\exists$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- We have $1 \leq q < \ell$, as well as $p_1, \ldots, p_q \geq 1$ with $p_1 + \ldots + p_q = \ell$.
- If $\ell \geq 2$ holds, then we have $p_i \geq 2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Proof. For $\ell = 1$, the claim is clear from Proposition 1 that $\exists$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- We have $1 \leq q < \ell$, as well as $p_1, \ldots, p_q \geq 1$ with $p_1 + \ldots + p_q = \ell$.
- If $\ell \geq 2$ holds, then we have $p_i \geq 2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Let us finally consider the situation, where $f \equiv \gamma: U \equiv I \to F$ holds for a non-empty open interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (hence, $E \equiv \mathbb{R}$). It is then not hard to see that $\gamma$ is of class $C^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_1 \cup \{\infty\}$ if and only if $\gamma^{(p)}$, inductively defined by $\gamma^{(0)} := \gamma$ as well as $\gamma^{(p)}(t) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (\gamma^{(p-1)}(t + h) - \gamma^{(p-1)}(t)) \quad \forall t \in I, \ p = 1, \ldots, k,$

exists and is continuous for $0 \leq p \leq k$. If $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary interval (connected non-empty and non-singleton), we let $C^k(D, F)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) denote the set of all maps $\gamma: D \to E$, such that $\gamma = \bar{\gamma}|_D$ holds for some $\bar{\gamma} \in C^k(I, F)$ ($I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an open interval) with $D \subseteq I$. In this case, we set $\gamma^{(p)} := \bar{\gamma}^{(p)}|_D$ for each $0 \leq p \leq k$.

2.2 Locally Convex Vector Spaces

In this subsection, we collect some elementary statements concerning locally convex vector spaces.

2.2.1 Product Spaces and Continuous Maps

Given $F_1, \ldots, F_n, F \in \text{hlcVect}$, the Tychonoff topology on $E := F_1 \times \ldots \times F_n$ equals the Hausdorff locally convex topology that is generated by the seminorms

$$\max[q_1, \ldots, q_n]: E \ni (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \mapsto \max(q_1(X_1), \ldots, q_n(X_n)),$$

with $q_p \in \text{Sem}(F_p)$ for $p = 1, \ldots, n$. We recall the following statements.

Lemma 1. To each $q \in \text{Sem}(E)$, there exists $C > 0$ as well as $q_p \in \text{Sem}(F_p)$ for $p = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $q \leq C \cdot \max[q_1, \ldots, q_n]$ holds.

Proof. Since the seminorms form a fundamental system, the claim is clear from Proposition 22.6 in [8], when applied to the identity $\text{id}_E$. 

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a topological space, and let $\Phi: X \times F_1 \times \ldots \times F_n \to F$ be continuous, such that $\Phi(x, \cdot)$ is $n$-multilinear for each $x \in X$. Then, to each compact $K \subseteq X$ and each $p \in \text{Sem}(F)$, there exist seminorms $q_p \in \text{Sem}(F_p)$ for $p = 1, \ldots, n$ as well as $O \subseteq X$ open with $K \subseteq O$, such that

$$(p \circ \Phi)(x, X_1, \ldots, X_n) \leq q_1(X_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot q_n(X_n) \quad \forall x \in O, \ X_1 \in F_1, \ldots, X_n \in F_n.$$

Proof. Confer, e.g., Corollary 1 in [5].

$^3$We have $\gamma^{(p)}(t) = d^p_t \gamma(1, \ldots, 1)$ for $t \in I$ and $p = 1, \ldots, k$. 
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2.2.2 The Riemann Integral

Let $\gamma \in C^0([r,r'], F)$ be given. We denote the corresponding Riemann integra\(^4\) by $\int_a^b \gamma(s) \, ds \in \text{comp}(F)$, and define

$$f_a^b \gamma(s) \, ds := \int_a^b \gamma|_{a,b}(s) \, ds \quad \forall \, r \leq a < b \leq r'.$$

The Riemann integral is linear, with

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}(f_a^b \gamma(s) \, ds) \leq \int_a^b \mathbf{p}(\gamma(s)) \, ds \quad \forall \, \mathbf{p} \in \text{Sem}(F), \ r \leq a < b \leq r'.$$

It follows that the Riemann integral is $C^0$-continuous, i.e., continuous w.r.t. the seminorms

$$\mathbf{p}_\infty(\gamma) := \sup\{\mathbf{p}(\gamma(t)) \mid t \in [a,b]\} \quad \forall \, \mathbf{p} \in \text{Sem}(F), \ \gamma \in C^0([a,b], F), \ a < b.$$ We recall that for $\gamma \in C^1(I, F)$ ($I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an open interval) and $a < b$ with $[a,b] \subseteq I$, we have \(^3\)

$$\gamma(b) - \gamma(a) = \int_a^b \gamma(s) \, ds. \tag{2}$$

It is furthermore not hard to see that given $\gamma \in C^0(I,F)$ given, then for $a < b$ with $[a,b] \subseteq I$ and $\Gamma: [a,b] \ni t \mapsto \int_a^t \gamma(s) \, ds \in \text{comp}(F)$, we have

$$\Gamma \in C^1([a,b],\text{comp}(F)) \quad \text{with} \quad \dot{\Gamma} = \gamma|_{a,b}. \tag{3}$$

2.2.3 Harmonic Subsets and Extensions

Let $H \in \text{hlcVect}$, $U \subseteq H$ non-empty open, and $A \subseteq U$ closed in $U$ (w.r.t. the subspace topology on $U$). Then, $A$ is said to be harmonic if to each fixed $(x,v) \in A \times H$, there exist $\delta > 0$ as well as $\gamma \in C^0([0, 1], H)$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$, such that

$$x + \gamma((0, 1]) + [-\delta, \delta] \cdot v \subseteq U \setminus A \tag{4}$$

holds. We have the following statement.

**Lemma 3.** Let $H, F \in \text{hlcVect}$, $U \subseteq H$ non-empty open, $A \subseteq U$ harmonic, and $S \subseteq H$ a subset with $U \subseteq S$. Let $f \in C^k(U \setminus A, F)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be given. For $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, let $\Phi^\ell: S \times H^\ell \rightarrow F$ be continuous with

$$\Phi^\ell|_{(U \setminus A) \times H^\ell} = d^\ell f.$$

Then, $\tilde{f} := \Phi^0|_U \in C^k(U, F)$ holds, with $d^\ell \tilde{f} = \Phi^\ell|_{U \times H^\ell}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$.

**Proof.** By definition, we have $\tilde{f} \in C^0(U, F)$ with $d^0 \tilde{f} = \Phi^0|_U$. We thus can assume that there exists $0 \leq q < k$, such that $\tilde{f}$ is of class $C^q$ with $d^\ell \tilde{f} = \Phi^\ell|_{U \times H^\ell}$ for all $0 \leq \ell \leq q$. The claim then follows by induction once we have shown that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot (\Phi^q(x + h \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q) - \Phi^q(x, v_1, \ldots, v_q)) = \Phi^{q+1}(x, v_1, \ldots, v_q, v) \tag{5}$$

holds for each $x \in A$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_q, v \in H$. To show \(^4\), we choose $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma \in C^0([0, 1], H)$ as in \(^3\), and consider the map

$$\alpha: [0, 1] \times [-\delta, \delta] \ni (\lambda, s) \mapsto \Phi^{q+1}(x + \gamma(\lambda) + s \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q, v) \in F.$$

\(^4\)The Riemann integral can be defined exactly as in the finite-dimensional case; namely, as a limit over Riemann sums. Details can be found, e.g., in Sect. 2 in \(^7\).
• By assumption, for \( \lambda \in (0, 1] \) and \( s \in [-\delta, \delta] \), we have
\[
\alpha(\lambda, s) = d^{q+1}f(x + \gamma(\lambda) + s \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q, v).
\]
• By compactness and continuity, we have \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} p_\infty(\alpha(\lambda, \cdot) - \alpha(0, \cdot)) = 0 \) for each \( p \in \text{Sem}(F) \).

Since the Riemann integral is \( C^0 \)-continuous, and since \( \Phi^q \) is continuous, we obtain for \( 0 < h < \delta \) that (in the third step we apply \( \mathbb{2} \) and Proposition \( \mathbb{1} \))
\[
\int_0^h \Phi^{q+1}(x + s \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q, v) \, ds \\
= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_0^h \alpha(\lambda, s) \, ds \\
= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_0^h d^{q+1}f(x + \gamma(\lambda) + s \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q, v) \, ds \\
= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_0^h d^{q}f(x + \gamma(\lambda) + h \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q) - \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \int_0^h d^{q}f(x + \gamma(\lambda), v_1, \ldots, v_q) \\
= \Phi^q(x + h \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q) \\
- \Phi^q(x, v_1, \ldots, v_q)
\]
holds. An analogous calculation shows
\[
\Phi^q(x - h \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q) - \Phi^q(x, v_1, \ldots, v_q) = -\int_0^h \Phi^{q+1}(x - s \cdot v, v_1, \ldots, v_q, v) \, ds
\]
for \( 0 < h < \delta \). Together with \( \mathbb{3} \) these equalities imply \( \mathbb{5} \).

### 2.3 Particular Mapping Spaces

Let \( H, F \in \text{hlCVect} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \{ \infty \} \) be given. Let \( \Omega(H) \) denote the set of all pairs \( (U, \Omega) \) that consist of a non-empty open subset \( U \subseteq H \) and a subset \( \Omega \subseteq \text{clo}(U) \) with \( U \subseteq \Omega \). Let \( \mathcal{C}_U^k(U, F) \) denote the set of all \( f \in C^k(U, F) \), such that \( d^\ell f \) extends for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \) to a continuous map \( \text{Ext}(f, \ell): \Omega \times H^\ell \to F \).

**Remark 2.** Let \( 1 \leq \ell \leq k, (U, \Omega) \in \Omega(H) \), and \( f \in \mathcal{C}_U^k(U, F) \) be given. By continuity, the map \( \text{Ext}(f, \ell)(z, \cdot): H^\ell \to F \) is necessarily \( \ell \)-multilinear and symmetric for each fixed \( z \in \Omega \). Given \( p \in \text{Sem}(F) \) and \( K \subseteq \Omega \) compact, Lemma \( \mathbb{3} \) thus provides \( h \in \text{Sem}(H) \) and \( O \subseteq H \) open with \( K \subseteq O \), such that
\[
p(\text{Ext}(f, \ell))(z, w) \leq h(w_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot h(w_\ell)
\]
holds for all \( z \in O \cap \Omega \) and \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \in H^\ell \).

We have the following corollary to Lemma \( \mathbb{3} \).

**Corollary 2.** Let \( H, F \in \text{hlCVect} \), \( (U, \Omega) \in \Omega(H) \), \( A \subseteq U \) harmonic, and \( f \in C^k(U \setminus A, F) \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \{ \infty \} \) be given. For \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), let \( \Phi^\ell: \Omega \times H^\ell \to F \) be continuous with
\[
\Phi^\ell|_{(U \setminus A) \times H^\ell} = d^\ell f.
\]
Then, \( \tilde{f} := \Phi^0|_{U} \in \mathcal{C}_U^k(U, F) \) holds, with \( \Phi^\ell|_{U} = \Phi^\ell \) for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \).

**Proof.** Set \( S \equiv \Omega \) in Lemma \( \mathbb{3} \).

Corollary \( \mathbb{4} \) provides the following statement.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( H, \tilde{H}, F \in \text{hlCVect} \), \( O \subseteq H, \tilde{O} \subseteq \tilde{H} \) both non-empty open, and \( \psi \in C^k(O, \tilde{O}) \) be fixed. Let \( (U, \Omega) \in \Omega(H) \) with \( \Omega \subseteq O \) be given, as well as \( (U, \Omega) \in \Omega(\tilde{H}) \) with \( \psi(U) \subseteq \tilde{U} \) and \( \psi(\Omega) \subseteq \tilde{\Omega} \). Then, for \( f \in \mathcal{C}_U^k(U, F) \), we have \( f \circ \psi|_{U} \in \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{U}}^k(U, F) \). Specifically, the following assertions hold:

i) We have \( \text{Ext}(f \circ \psi|_{U}, 0) = \text{Ext}(f, 0) \circ \psi|_{U} \).
For $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, we have

$$\text{Ext}(f \circ \psi|_{U}, \ell)(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) = \text{Ext}(f, \ell)(\psi(x), d\psi(x, v_1), \ldots, d\psi(x, v_\ell)) + \Lambda_\psi(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell),$$

where $\Lambda_\psi : U \times H^\ell \to F$ is given as a linear combination of maps of the form

$$(x, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell) \mapsto \text{Ext}(f, q)(\psi(x), d^p_1 \psi(x, v_1), \ldots, d^p_1 \psi(x, v_{p_1}), \ldots, d^p_\ell \psi(x, v_{\ell-p_q+1}, \ldots, v_\ell))$$

such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- We have $1 \leq q < \ell$, as well as $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell \geq 1$ with $p_1 + \ldots + p_\ell = \ell$.
- If $\ell \geq 2$ holds, then we have $p_i \geq 2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q$.

**Proof.** Part \(i\) is clear from continuity. Moreover, $f \circ \psi \in C^k(U, F)$ holds, as $\psi$ is of class $C^k$. Since $\psi$ is defined on $\mathcal{U} \subseteq O$ with $\psi(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{U}}$, Part \(ii\) is clear from Corollary \[1\] as well as from continuity of the occurring differentials and their extensions.

For $K \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ compact, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq H$ bounded, $p \in \text{Sem}(F)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^k(U, F)$, we define

$$p^0_K \equiv p|_{K \times \mathcal{B}}(f) \equiv \sup \{ p(\text{Ext}(f, 0)(z)) \mid z \in K \}$$

$$p^\ell_K \equiv p|_{K \times \mathcal{B}}(f) \equiv \sup \{ p(\text{Ext}(f, \ell)(z, \mathcal{W})) \mid z \in K, \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{B}^\ell \}$$

$$p^s_K \equiv \max \{ 0 \leq \ell \leq s \mid p|_{K \times \mathcal{B}}(f) \}$$

Assume now $H = P \times E$, with $P, E \in \text{hlcVect}$. For $(W, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(P)$ and $(V, \mathcal{V}) \in \Omega(E)$, we have

$$(U, \mathcal{U}) := (W \times V, \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}) \in \Omega(H).$$

Then, in the following, we will rather denote

$$\mathcal{E}_0^k(W \times V, F) := \mathcal{E}_0^k(W \times V, F)$$

as it will be clear from the context which $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \text{cl}(W)$ has to be assigned to some given $W \subseteq P$.

### 3 Statement of the Results

In this section, we state our main result Theorem \[1\] and discuss several applications to it. Theorem \[1\] is proven in Sect. \[4\].

#### 3.1 Statement of the Main Result

Let $F \in \text{hlcVect}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ \infty \}$ be fixed. For each $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, we set $H[E] := \mathbb{R} \times E$, and define\[3\]

$$\mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F) := \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F) \quad \forall -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$$

for each $(V, \mathcal{V}) \in \Omega(E)$. For a bounded subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq E$, we set

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}) := \{(1, 0)\} \cup \{(0) \times \mathcal{B}\} \subseteq H[E].$$

Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a subset, and $W \subseteq E$ a linear subset.

- For each $x \in E$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$W(R, x, \ell) : R \times (\mathbb{R} \times W)^\ell \mapsto H[E] \times H[E] \ell; \quad (t, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto ((t, x), \mathcal{W}),$$

hence $W(R, x, 0) : R \ni t \mapsto (t, x) \in H[E]$.

\[3\]Observe that according to our conventions concerning intervals, we have $(a, b] = (a, b)$ if $b = \infty$ holds.
Remark 3. Let $\tilde{E} \in \text{hlcVect}$, $\bar{x} \in \tilde{E}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and a linear map $\Upsilon: \mathcal{W} \to \tilde{E}$, we define

$$\mathcal{W}_\Upsilon(R, \bar{x}, \ell): R \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{W})^\ell \hookrightarrow H[\tilde{E}] \times H[E]^\ell, \quad (t, \underline{w}) \mapsto ((t, \bar{x}), (\text{id}_\mathbb{R} \times \Upsilon)^\ell(\underline{w})),$$

hence $\mathcal{W}_\Upsilon(R, \bar{x}, 0): R \ni t \mapsto (t, \bar{x}) \in H[\tilde{E}]$.

Our main result states the following.

Theorem 1. Let $-\infty < a < \tau < b < \infty$ be fixed. There exist linear (extension) maps

$$\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W}): \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F) \to \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, \infty) \times V, F)$$

for $E \in \text{hlcVect}$ and $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$, such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

1) For $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F)$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, we have

$$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W})(f), \ell)|_{(a,b) \times \mathcal{W} \times H[\tilde{E}]^\ell} = \text{Ext}(f, \ell)$$

$$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W})(f), \ell)|_{(2b, -\infty) \times \mathcal{W} \times H[\tilde{E}]^\ell} = 0.$$

2) There exist constants $\{C_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq k} \subseteq [1, \infty)$, such that the following assertions hold for each $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$, $\ell \in (b, \infty)$, $x \in \mathcal{W}$, $p \in \text{Sem}(F)$, and $f \in \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F)$:

- We have $p(\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W})(f), 0)(t, x)) \leq p_{[\tau,b]\times\{x\}}^0(f)$.
- For $1 \leq \ell \leq s \leq k$, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq E$ bounded, and $\underline{w} = ((\lambda_1, X_1), \ldots, (\lambda_\ell, X_\ell)) \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B})^\ell$ we have

$$p(\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W})(f), \ell)((t, x), \underline{w})) \leq C_s \cdot \max(1, |\lambda_1|, \ldots, |\lambda_\ell|)^\ell \cdot p_{[\tau,b]\times\{x\}\times\mathcal{B}}^s(f).$$

3) Let $E, \tilde{E} \in \text{hlcVect}$, $W \subseteq E$ a linear subspace, $\Upsilon: \mathcal{W} \to \tilde{E}$ a linear map, $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$, $(\bar{V}, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(\tilde{E})$, as well as

$$f \in \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F), \quad \bar{f} \in \mathcal{E}_0^k((a, b) \times \bar{V}, F), \quad x \in \mathcal{W}, \quad \bar{x} \in \mathcal{W}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k.$$

Then, the first line implies the second line:

$$\text{Ext}(f, \ell) \circ \mathcal{W}([\tau, b], x, \ell) = \text{Ext}(\bar{f}, \ell) \circ \mathcal{W}_\Upsilon([\tau, b], \bar{x}, \ell) \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq s \quad \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W})(f), s) \circ \mathcal{W}_\Upsilon([\tau, \infty), x, s) = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{a,\tau,b}(E, V, \mathcal{W})(\bar{f}), s) \circ \mathcal{W}_\Upsilon([\tau, \infty), \bar{x}, s).$$

Remark 3. Let $E, F$ be normed spaces, and recall the definitions made in Remark 1. Given $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$, $a < b$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{F}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F)$ denote the set of all $f \in \mathcal{F}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F)$ such that $D^j f$ extends for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ to a continuous map $\mathcal{F}_0^k((f, \ell): (a, b) \times \mathcal{W} \to L^\ell(E, F)$. Seeley already mentioned in [10] that his construction also works for smooth $\mathbb{R}$-valued functions defined on half Banach spaces. Expectably, the same holds true for the construction made in Sect. 4, then leading to extension operators

$$\mathcal{E}_0^k((a, \infty) \times V, F): \mathcal{F}_0^k((a, b) \times V, F) \to \mathcal{F}_0^k((a, \infty) \times V, F)$$

for $a < \tau < b$, $(V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that admit properties analogous to that in Theorem 1. We will not provide the details in this paper, but mention that Theorem 1 together with Remark 3 already provides the extension operator $\mathcal{E}_0^k((a, \infty) \times V, F)$ for $V \subseteq E$ non-empty open and $a < \tau < b$.\[\square\]

\[\text{It is straightforward from Remark 3 that } \mathcal{F}_0^k((-\infty, 0) \times V, F) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_0^\infty((-\infty, 0) \times V, F) \text{ holds for each } (V, \mathcal{W}) \in \Omega(E), \text{ i.e., in particular for } \mathcal{W} = V.\]
Remark 4. The second point in Theorem 1 already shows that the extension operators constructed admit considerable continuity properties. Seeley already mentioned in [10] that his extension operator is continuous in many functional topologies. Expectably, the same holds true for their infinite-dimensional counterparts. However, it would go far beyond the scope of this article to investigate all possible continuity properties of the extension operators provided here – they have to be extracted on demand from the explicit construction performed in Sect. 4. At this point, we only want to emphasize the following:

- The second estimate in Theorem 1 can be sharpened if \( \lambda_j = 0 \) holds for \( j = 1, \ldots, \ell \). Specifically, on the right side of this estimate, the set \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}) \) then can just be replaced by \( \{0\} \times \mathcal{B} \).
- Let \( 0 \leq s \leq k, x \in \mathfrak{W}, f \in \mathcal{C}_0^k((a,b) \times V, F) \) be given. Then, Theorem 1 shows

\[
\text{Ext}(f, \ell)_{|\tau,b| \times \{x\} \times H|E|} = 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq s
\]

- Let \( f, g \in \mathcal{C}_0^k((a,b) \times V, F) \) be given, such that

\[
\text{Ext}(f, \ell)_{|\tau,b| \times \{x\} \times H|E|} = 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq s.
\]

This might be of relevance, e.g., in the context of spaces of smooth mappings \( f : M \to M \) between manifolds \( M, N \) (\( N \) possibly infinite-dimensional), where the \( \mathcal{B} \)-topology \([8]\) (called very strong topology in \([8]\)) is refined to the \( \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B} \)-topology \([10]\) (called fine very strong topology in \([8]\)) by additionally considering the classes defined by the equivalence relation

\[
f \sim g \iff \text{clos}(\{x \in M | f(x) \neq g(x)\}) \subseteq M \text{ is compact}
\]

with \( f, g \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(M, N) \).

We close this subsection with the following summarizing corollary to Lemma 1 and Remark 2 that we shall need for our estimates in Sect. 4.3.

Corollary 3. Let \( E \in \mathfrak{hlcVect}, (V, \mathfrak{W}) \in \Omega(E), -\infty \leq a < c < d \leq b < \infty, f \in \mathcal{C}_0^k((a,b) \times V, F), \ p \in \mathfrak{Sem}(F), 1 \leq \ell \leq k, \) and \( K \subseteq \mathfrak{W} \) be compact. There exist \( \tilde{C}_\ell \geq 1, q \in \mathfrak{Sem}(E), \) and \( U \subseteq E \) open with \( K \subseteq U, \) such that

\[
p(\text{Ext}(f, \ell)(z, \underline{w})) \leq \tilde{C}_\ell \cdot \max\{\cdot, q(\cdot)\}(w_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \max\{\cdot, q(\cdot)\}(w_\ell)
\]

holds for all \( z \in [c, d] \times (U \cap \mathfrak{W}) \) and \( \underline{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \in H|E|^{\ell} \).

Proof. According to Lemma 1 and Remark 2 there exist \( \tilde{C}_\ell \geq 1, q \in \mathfrak{Sem}(E) \), as well as \( O \subseteq H|E| \) open with \( [c, d] \times K \subseteq O, \) such that

\[
p(\text{Ext}(f, \ell)(z, \underline{w})) \leq \tilde{C}_\ell \cdot \max\{\cdot, q(\cdot)\}(w_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \max\{\cdot, q(\cdot)\}(w_\ell)
\]

holds for all \( z \in O \cap ((a,b) \times \mathfrak{W}) \) and \( \underline{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \in H|E|^{\ell} \). By compactness, there exists \( U \subseteq E \) open with \( K \subseteq U, \) such that \( [c, d] \times U \subseteq O \) holds. Consequently, \( \text{(8)} \) holds for each \( z \in [c, d] \times (U \cap \mathfrak{W}) \) and \( \underline{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \in H|E|^{\ell} \), which proves the claim. \( \square \)
3.2 Multiple Variables

Let $F \in \text{hlcVect}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be fixed. For $n \geq 1$ and $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, we define $H[E, n] := \mathbb{R}^n \times E$. Given $\underline{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, $\underline{\tau} = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$, $\underline{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ with $-\infty \leq a_i < \tau_i < b_i \leq \infty$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we set

$$\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) := (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Omega}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) := (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n).$$

If $b_1, \ldots, b_n = \infty$ holds, we also denote $\underline{b} = \infty$, and observe that then $\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \tilde{\Omega}(\underline{a}, \underline{b})$ holds due to our conventions concerning intervals. For each $(V, \mathcal{F}) \in \Omega(E)$, we define

$$c^k_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times V, F) := c^k_{\tilde{\Omega}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times \mathcal{F}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times V, F).$$

Theorem 1 provides the following statement.

**Application 1.** Let $n \geq 1$, $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, and $(V, \mathcal{F}) \in \Omega(E)$. Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, $\underline{\tau} = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$, $\underline{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ be given with $-\infty \leq a_i < \tau_i < b_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. There exists a linear (extension) map

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{a}, \underline{\tau}, \underline{b}}(E, V, \mathcal{F}) : c^k_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times V, F) \to c^k_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \infty) \times V, F)$$

that admits the following two properties:

a) For $f \in c^k_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times V, F)$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, we have

$$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{a}, \underline{\tau}, \underline{b}}(E, V, \mathcal{F})(f), \ell)|_{\tilde{\Omega}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times \mathcal{F} \times H[E, n]^\ell} = \text{Ext}(f, \ell).$$

b) Let $\underline{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \Omega(\underline{a}, \infty)$ be given, with $y_i \geq 2b_i - \tau_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then,

$$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{\underline{a}, \underline{\tau}, \underline{b}}(E, V, \mathcal{F})(f), \ell)|_{\{\underline{y}\} \times \mathcal{F} \times H[E, n]^\ell} = 0$$

holds for each $f \in c^k_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \times V, F)$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq k$.

**Proof.** According to Theorem 1 we can assume that the claim holds for some $n \geq 1$. Let thus $-\infty \leq a_0, \ldots, a_n, \tau_0, \ldots, \tau_n, b_0, \ldots, b_n < \infty$ be given with $a_i < \tau_i < b_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, and define

$$\underline{a} := (a_1, \ldots, a_n), \quad \underline{a}_0 := (a_0, \ldots, a_n)$$

$$\underline{\tau} := (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n), \quad \underline{\tau}_0 := (\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_n)$$

$$\underline{b} := (b_1, \ldots, b_n), \quad \underline{b}_0 := (b_0, \ldots, b_n).$$

Let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$ and $(V, \mathcal{F}) \in \Omega(E)$ be given, and set $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{R} \times \hat{E}$, $\widehat{\tilde{E}} := \mathbb{R}^n \times E$, as well as

$$\Omega(\underline{a}, \infty) 
= (a_0, b_0) \times V, \quad (a_0, b_0) \times \mathcal{F} \in \Omega(\hat{E})$$

$$(\hat{V}, \mathcal{G}) := (\Omega(\underline{a}, \infty) \times V, (a_0, b_0) \times \mathcal{F}) \in \Omega(\hat{E}).$$

The induction hypotheses provides the extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}_{\underline{a}, \underline{\tau}, \underline{b}}(\hat{E}, \hat{V}, \mathcal{G}) : c^k_{\mathcal{G}}(\hat{E}, \hat{V}, F) \to c^k_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega(\underline{a}, \infty) \times \hat{V}, F) \cong c^k_{\mathcal{G}}((a_0, b_0) \times \hat{V}, F). \quad (9)$$

Theorem 1 provides the extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}_{a_0, \tau_0, b_0}(\hat{E}, \hat{V}, \mathcal{G}) : c^k_{\mathcal{G}}((a_0, b_0) \times \hat{V}, F) \to c^k_{\mathcal{G}}((a_0, \infty) \times \hat{V}, F) \cong c^k_{\mathcal{G}}(\Omega(a_0, \infty) \times \hat{V}, F). \quad (10)$$
We consider the linear map
\[ E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,V,\mathfrak{G}) := E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}}) \circ E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}}), \]
i.e., under the identifications made we have
\[ E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,V,\mathfrak{G}) : \mathcal{E}^k_{\Omega}(\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times V,F) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^k_{\Omega}(\Omega(a_{0},\infty) \times V,F). \]

Let now \( f \in \mathcal{E}^k_{\Omega}(\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times V,F) \) and \( y = (y_0,\ldots,y_n) \in \Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \) be given. The induction hypotheses provides the following statements:

1. Up to the identifications in \([9]\), we have
   \[ \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)|_{\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times \hat{\mathfrak{G}} \times H[\hat{E},1]^\tau} = \operatorname{Ext}(f,\ell) \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k. \]

2. If \( y_i \geq 2b_i - \tau_i \) holds for some \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), then up to the identifications in \([9]\) we have
   \[ \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)((y_0,((y_1,\ldots,y_n),\cdot),\cdot) = 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k. \quad (11) \]

Theorem \([11]\) for \( E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}}) \) provides the following statements:

1. For \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), we have (under the identification in \([9]\))
   \[ \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)|_{\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times \hat{\mathfrak{G}} \times H[\hat{E},1]^\tau} = \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell). \]

2. If \( y_0 \geq 2b_0 - \tau_0 \) holds, then for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), we have
   \[ \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)((y_0,((y_1,\ldots,y_n),\cdot),\cdot) = 0. \quad (12) \]

We obtain for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \) (under the identification in \([10]\) in the first step) that

\[
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,V,\mathfrak{G})(f),\ell)|_{\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times \mathfrak{G} \times H[E,n+1]^\tau} &= \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)|_{\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times \hat{\mathfrak{G}} \times H[\hat{E},1]^\tau} \\
&= \operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)|_{\Omega(a_{0},b_{0}) \times \hat{\mathfrak{G}} \times H[\hat{E},1]^\tau} \\
&= \operatorname{Ext}(f,\ell)
\end{align*}
\]

holds, which proves Part \([a]\). Finally, assume that \( y_i \geq 2b_i - \tau_i \) holds for some \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Then, Theorem \([12]\) together with \([11]\) shows

\[ p(\operatorname{Ext}(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(E_{a_{0},b_{0}}(E,\hat{V},\hat{\mathfrak{G}})(f),\ell)((y_0,((y_1,\ldots,y_n),\cdot),\cdot) \leq 0 \]

for each \( p \in \operatorname{Sem}(F) \) and \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \). Together with \([12]\), this proves Part \([b]\) \qed

**Remark 5.** Domains as considered in Corollary \([7]\) occur, e.g., in the context of manifolds with corners as (open subsets of) quadrants in Hausdorff locally convex vector spaces. Specifically, let \( H \in \text{hlcVect} \), and \( L_1,\ldots,L_n : H \to \mathbb{R} \) with \( n \geq 1 \) be linearly independent continuous linear maps. Consider the closed subspace \( E := \ker(L_1) \cap \cdots \cap \ker(L_n) \subseteq H \), and let \( a := (-\infty,\ldots,-\infty) \), \( b := (0,\ldots,0) \) (both \( n \)-times). Then, we have \( H \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times E \), and the corresponding open and closed quadrants \( Q \subseteq H \) and \( \hat{Q} \subseteq H \), respectively, are given by

\[ Q := \{ X \in H \mid L_p(X) < 0 \quad \text{for} \; \, p = 1,\ldots,n \} \cong Q(a,b) \times E \]
\[ \hat{Q} := \{ X \in H \mid L_p(X) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \; \, p = 1,\ldots,n \} \cong \hat{Q}(a,b) \times E. \]
Example 1. Let \( f \) be a continuous projection operator, with \( P(Q) \cong Q(a,b) \), \( P(\tilde{Q}) \cong \tilde{Q}(a,b) \), \( P(H) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \). Moreover, the following maps are continuous, linear, and inverse to each other:

\[
\Xi: \quad H \rightarrow W \times E, \quad X \mapsto (P(X), X - P(X))
\]

\[
\Xi^{-1}: \quad W \times E \rightarrow H, \quad (Z, Y) \mapsto Z + Y.
\]

Since \( \Xi(Q) \cong Q(a,b) \times E \), \( \Xi(\tilde{Q}) \cong \tilde{Q}(a,b) \times E \), \( \Xi(H) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times E \) holds, the claim follows.

3.3 Particular Subsets in Infinite-Dimensions

Let \( P, E \in \text{hlVect} \), \( (V, \mathcal{V}) \in \Omega(E) \), \( 0 < \tau < 1 \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ \infty \} \) be fixed, and set \( H := P \times E \). Let \( \xi: P \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) be a continuous map that admits the following properties:

a) \( \xi \) is of class \( C^k \) on \( P \setminus \{ 0 \} \),

b) \( \xi(Z) = 0 \) for \( Z \in P \) if and only if \( Z = 0 \),

c) \( \xi(\lambda \cdot Z) = \lambda \cdot \xi(Z) \) for each \( \lambda \in [0, \infty) \) and \( Z \in P \),

d) \( A := \xi^{-1}(\{ 1 \}) \subseteq P \) is harmonic.

We consider the sets

- \( \emptyset := \xi^{-1}([0, 1)) \),
- \( \tilde{\emptyset} := \xi^{-1}([0, 1]) \),
- \( \mathcal{U} := \xi^{-1}((1, \infty)) \),
- \( \mathcal{T} := \xi^{-1}([1 + \tau, \infty)) \).

Condition [6] implies \( \tilde{\emptyset} \subseteq \text{clo}(\emptyset) \), hence \( (\emptyset, \tilde{\emptyset}) \in \Omega(P) \). We define

\[
\mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(\emptyset \times V, F) := \mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(\emptyset \times V, F) \quad \text{as well as} \quad \mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(P \times V, F) := \mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(P \times V, F).
\]

In this subsection, we prove the following statement.

Application 2. There exists a linear (extension) map

\[
\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(\emptyset \times V, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(P \times V, F),
\]

such that for each \( f \in \mathcal{E}_\emptyset^{k}(\emptyset \times V, F) \) and \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), we have

\[
\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}(f), \ell)|_{\emptyset \times V \times H^\ell} = \text{Ext}(f, \ell) \quad \text{as well as} \quad \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}(f), \ell)|_{P \times V \times H^\ell} = 0.
\]

Example 1. Let \( (\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \) be a real pre-Hilbert space, and set \( P := \mathcal{H} \). Then, \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \) fulfills the conditions [a][b][c] (for [a] recall Remark [1]). We want to mention that in this particular situation, an extension operator can also be obtained by explicit application of Theorem [1][7]. More details can be found in Appendix [4].

Let \( \rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) be given with

\[
\rho|_{(-\infty,1]} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho|_{(1,\infty)} > \text{id}_{(1,\infty)} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \rho^{(j)}(1) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq 1. \tag{13}
\]

- We consider the smooth map \( \eta: \mathbb{R} \times H = \mathbb{R} \times P \times E \ni (t, Z, x) \mapsto (t \cdot Z, x) \in P \times E = H \).
Lemma \[ \text{(1)} \] and \[ \text{(3)} \] imply
\[
N: \mathcal{E}_0^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}(0 \times V, F) \to \mathcal{E}_{(0,1]}^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}((0,1) \times \emptyset \times V, F), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \eta|_{(0,1) \times \emptyset \times V}.
\]

Proposition \[ \text{(1)} \] implies
\[
d^1 \eta((t, Z, x), (\lambda_1, Y, v_1)) = (\lambda_1 \cdot Z + t \cdot Y, v_1)
\]
(14)
\[
d^2 \eta((t, Z, x), (\lambda_1, Y, v_1), (\lambda_2, Y, v_2)) = (\lambda_1 \cdot Y_2 + \lambda_2 \cdot Y, 0)
\]
for \( t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}, x, v_1, v_2 \in E, Y_1, Y_2, Z \in P \). We thus have \( d^{3+j} \eta = 0 \) for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), hence
\[
d^{2+j} \eta((t, Z, x), (0, Y, v_1), \ldots, (0, Y_{2+j}, v_{2+j})) = (0, 0)
\]
(15)
for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_{2+j} \in P \).

Theorem \[ \text{(1)} \] provides the extension operator \( \hat{\mathcal{E}} \equiv \mathcal{E}_{0, \tau, 1}(P \times E, \emptyset \times V, \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{Q}) \), hence
\[
\hat{\mathcal{E}}: \mathcal{E}_{(0,1]}^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}((0,1) \times \emptyset \times V, F) \to \mathcal{E}_{(0,\infty]}^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}((0,\infty) \times \emptyset \times V, F).
\]

We consider the \( C^k \)-map (recall \[ \text{(a)} \])
\[
\mu: \mathcal{U} \times E \to (1, \infty) \times \emptyset \times E, \quad (Z, x) \mapsto (\rho \circ \xi)(Z), 1 \cdot Z, x).
\]

Lemma \[ \text{(1)} \] implies
\[
M: \mathcal{E}_{(1,\infty]}^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}((1, \infty) \times \emptyset \times V, F) \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}}^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U} \times V, F), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \mu|_{\mathcal{U} \times V}.
\]

By Proposition \[ \text{(1)} \] for \( Z \in \mathcal{U}, Y \in P \) and \( x, v \in E \), we have
\[
d^1 \mu((Z, x), (Y, v)) = (\hat{\rho}(\xi(Z)) \cdot \hat{\xi}(Z, Y), 0, 0)
\]
\[
+ (0, -\hat{\rho}(\xi(Z)) \cdot \hat{\xi}(Z, Y) \cdot \frac{1}{(\rho \circ \xi)(Z)} \cdot Z, 0)
\]
\[
+ (0, \frac{1}{(\rho \circ \xi)(Z)} \cdot Z, 0)
\]
\[
+ (0, 0, v).
\]

Given a converging net \( \mathcal{U} \supseteq \{ Z_\alpha \}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \to Z \in \mathcal{A} \), the properties in \[ \text{(13)} \] (together with Proposition \[ \text{(1)} \]) thus imply
\[
\lim_\alpha d^1 \mu((Z_\alpha, x), (Y, v)) = (0, Y, v)
\]
(16)
\[
\lim_\alpha d^{2+j} \mu((Z_\alpha, x), (Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (Y_{2+j}, v_{2+j})) = 0
\]
for \( j \in \mathbb{N}, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{2+j} \in P \), and \( x, v_1, \ldots, v_{2+j} \in E \).

To some given \( f \in \mathcal{E}_0^{k \circ \mathcal{Q}}((0 \times V, F) \), we assign \( \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}_{P \times \mathcal{Q}}^k(P \times V, F) \) as follows:

\begin{itemize}
  \item We set \( f_+ := (\mathcal{M} \circ \hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \mathcal{N})(f) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}}^k(\mathcal{U} \times V, F) \), and define \( \hat{f}: P \setminus \mathcal{A} \to F \) by
    \[
    \hat{f}(Z, x) := \begin{cases}
      f(Z, x) & \text{for } (Z, x) \in \emptyset \times V \\
      f_+(Z, x) & \text{for } (Z, x) \in \mathcal{U} \times V.
    \end{cases}
    \]
  \item For \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), we define \( \Phi_\ell: P \times \mathcal{Q} \times H^\ell \to F \) by
    \[
    \Phi_\ell((Z, x), \cdot) := \begin{cases}
      \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((Z, x), \cdot) & \text{for } (Z, x) \in \emptyset \times \mathcal{Q} \\
      \text{Ext}(f_+, \ell)((Z, x), \cdot) & \text{for } (Z, x) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V},
    \end{cases}
    \]
and set \( \tilde{f} := \Phi_0 \).
\end{itemize}
Once we have verified that \( \Phi_{\ell} \) is continuous for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), we obtain from (4) and Corollary 2 (for \( f \equiv \tilde{f}, A \equiv A \times V, \ U \equiv P \times V, \) and \( \Omega \equiv P \times \Omega \) there) that \( \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}^k_{P \times \Omega}(P \times V, F) \) holds, with

\[
\text{Ext}(\tilde{f}, \ell) = \Phi_{\ell} \quad \forall \ 0 \leq \ell \leq k. \tag{17}
\]

To show continuity, let \( x \in \Omega \) and \( \Omega \ni \{Z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \to Z \in A \subseteq \tilde{O} \) be given:

- By Lemma 4.ii) and the extension property of \( \hat{\mathcal{E}} \) (third step), we have

\[
\text{lim}_\alpha \text{Ext}(f_+, 0)(Z_\alpha, x) = \text{lim}_\alpha \text{Ext}((\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \mathcal{N})(f), 0)(\mu(Z_\alpha, x)) = \text{Ext}((\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \mathcal{N})(f), 0)(1, Z, x)
\]

\[
= \text{Ext}(N(f), 0)(1, Z, x)
\]

\[
= \text{Ext}(f, 0)(Z, x).
\]

- For \( 1 \leq \ell \leq k \), \((Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (Y_\ell, v_\ell) \in P \times E \), we obtain from Lemma 4.ii) (second step), \( 16 \) and continuity (third step), the extension property of \( \hat{\mathcal{E}} \) (fourth step), Lemma 4.ii) (sixth step), as well as (14) and (15) (last step) that

\[
\text{lim}_\alpha \text{Ext}(f_+, \ell)((Z_\alpha, x), (Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (Y_\ell, v_\ell)) = \text{lim}_\alpha \text{Ext}((\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \mathcal{N})(f) \circ \mu_{|V, \ell})(Z_\alpha, x), (Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (Y_\ell, v_\ell)) = \text{Ext}(\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \mathcal{N})(f)(1, Z, x), (Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (Y_\ell, v_\ell))
\]

\[
= \text{Ext}(\hat{\mathcal{E}} \circ \mathcal{N})(f)(1, Z, x), (0, Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (0, Y_\ell, v_\ell)) = \text{Ext}(N(f), \ell)((1, Z, x), (0, Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (0, Y_\ell, v_\ell))
\]

\[
= \text{Ext}(f, \ell)(\eta(1, Z, x), d^1\eta((1, Z, x), (0, Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (0, Y_\ell, v_\ell)) = \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((Z, x), (Y_1, v_1), \ldots, (Y_\ell, v_\ell))
\]

holds.

This proves continuity. We are ready for the proof of Application 2.

Proof of Application 2 Evidently, the assignment

\[
\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E}^k_{0}(0 \times V, F) \ni f \mapsto \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}^k_{P \times \Omega}(P \times V, F)
\]

is linear, with \( \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}(f), \ell)|_{0 \times \Omega \times H^\ell} = \mathcal{E}(f, \ell) \) for \( f \in \mathcal{E}^k_{0}(0 \times V, F) \) and \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \) by (17). Let \( W := \xi^{-1}(1 + \tau, \infty) \). Since \( \rho_{|\{1, \infty\}} > id_{\{1, \infty\}} \) holds, Theorem 11) implies

\[
\mathcal{E}(f)(Z, x) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{N}(f))((\rho \circ \xi)(Z), (\rho \circ \xi)(Z), Z, x) = 0 \quad \forall \ Z \in W, \ x \in V.
\]

Since \( W \times V \) is open, we have \( d^\ell\mathcal{E}(f)|_{W \times V \times H^\ell} = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \), hence \( \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}(f), \ell)|_{0 \times \Omega \times H^\ell} = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq k \) by continuity.

3.4 Partially Constant Maps and Parametrizations

Let \( E, F \in \text{hlVect}, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \) and \( S \equiv \{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \) be a family of (disjoint subsets) of \( E \) with \( E = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha \). For \( -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty \), we define

\[
C^k(a, b, S) := \{ f \in C^k((a, b) \times E, F) \mid f|_{(t) \times S_\alpha} \text{ is constant for each } t \in (a, b) \text{ and } \alpha \in I \}
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}^k(a, b, S) := C^k(a, b, S) \cap \mathcal{E}^k_{(a, b) \times E}((a, b) \times E, F).
\]
Let now \(-\infty \leq a < \tau < b < \infty\) be fixed. Theorem [1] provides the extension operator
\[
E \equiv E_{a,\tau,b}(E, E) : C^k((a, b) \times E, F) \to C^k((a, \infty) \times E, F).
\]

Theorem [13] (for \(s \equiv 0\)) implies
\[
E_S := E|_{E^k(a, b, S)} : C^k(a, b, S) \to C^k(a, \infty, S).
\]

We can apply this in the following way. Let \(H \in \text{hlcVect}\), and \(\psi \in C^k((a, \infty) \times E, H)\) an open map such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

a) \(\psi|_{\{t\} \times S\alpha}\) is constant for each \(t \in (a, \infty)\) and \(\alpha \in I\).

b) For each \(z \in \text{im}[\psi]\), we have \(\psi^{-1}(z) = \{t(z)\} \times S\alpha(z)\), for certain \(t(z) \in (a, \infty)\) and \(\alpha(z) \in I\).

c) To each \(z \in \text{im}[\psi]\), there exist \(U_z \subseteq (a, \infty) \times E\) and \(W_z \subseteq \text{im}[\psi]\) open with \(z \in W_z\), such that \(\psi|_{U_z} : U_z \to W_z\) is a \(C^k\)-diffeomorphism, i.e., we have \((\psi|_{U_z})^{-1} \in C^k(W_z, U_z)\).

Let \(U := \psi((a, b) \times E)\) and \(\Omega := \psi((a, b) \times E)\).

- Since \(\psi\) is continuous and open, we have \((U, \Omega) \in \Omega(H)\).
- Let \(f \in C^k(U, F)\) be fixed. By Lemma [4] and [3] we have \(g := f \circ \psi|_{(a, b) \times E} \in C^k(a, b, S)\), hence \(\tilde{g} := E_S(g) \in C^k(a, \infty, S)\) by (18).
- We fix \(\iota : \text{im}[\psi] \to (a, \infty) \times E\) with \(\iota(z) \in \psi^{-1}(\{z\})\) for each \(z \in \text{im}[\psi]\), and set
\[
\tilde{f} : \text{im}[\psi] \to F, \quad z \mapsto \tilde{g}(\iota(z)).
\]

This is defined by [3] and \(\tilde{g} \in C^k(a, \infty, S)\). In particular, for each \(z \in \text{im}[\psi]\) we have \(\tilde{f}|_{W_z} = \tilde{g} \circ (\psi|_{U_z})^{-1}\), which shows that \(\tilde{f}\) is of class \(C^k\).

We obtain the linear extension map
\[
\hat{E} : C^k(U, F) \to C^k(\text{im}[\psi], F), \quad f \mapsto \tilde{f}.
\]

We consider the following example.

**Example 2.** Let \(E = \mathbb{R}\), \(H := \mathbb{R}^2\), \(a = 0\), \(b = 1\), \(I := [0, 2\pi]\), \(S_\alpha := \{\alpha + 2\pi \cdot \mathbb{Z}\}\) for \(\alpha \in I\), and
\[
\psi : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \quad (t, x) \mapsto (t \cdot \cos(x), t \cdot \sin(x)).
\]

According to the above definitions, we have (\(\| \cdot \|\) denotes the euclidean norm on \(\mathbb{R}^2\))
\[
U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < \|x\| < 1\}, \quad \Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < \|x\| \leq 1\}, \quad \text{im}[\psi] = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Then, (19) provides the linear extension map \(\hat{E} : C^k(U, F) \to C^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}, F)\). Let
\[
\mathcal{D} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \|x\| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}.
\]

We obtain a linear extension map \(\hat{E} : C^k(\mathcal{D}, F) \to C^k(\mathbb{R}^2, F)\) if we set
\[
\hat{E}(f) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to F, \quad z \mapsto \begin{cases} \hat{E}(f|_{\mathcal{U}})(z) & \text{for } z \neq 0 \\ f(z) & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases}
\]
for each \(f \in C^k(\mathcal{D}, F)\).
4 The Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. For this, we let $F \in klcVect$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be fixed, and recall the definitions made in the beginning of Sect. 3.1. We make the following simplifications to our argumentation:

- It suffices to prove Theorem 1 for the case $a = -\infty$, as the general case then follows by cutoff arguments. Specifically, let $-\infty < a < \tau < b < \infty$ be given, and fix $a < \kappa < \kappa' < \tau$ as well as $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with
  $$\rho|_{(-\infty, \kappa)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho|_{[\kappa', \infty)} = 1.$$ 

For each $E \in klcVect$ and $(V, \mathfrak{V}) \in \Omega(E)$, we define the linear map $\xi(E, V, \mathfrak{V}) : C^\infty_\mathfrak{V}((a, b) \times V, F) \to C^\infty((\infty, b) \times V, F)$ by
  $$\xi(E, V, \mathfrak{V})(f)(t, x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } (t, x) \in (-\infty, a] \times V \\ (\rho(t) \cdot f(t, x)) & \text{for } (t, x) \in (a, b) \times V \end{cases}$$
for $f \in C^\infty_\mathfrak{V}((a, b) \times V, F)$. We obtain extension operators as in Theorem 1 if for $E \in klcVect$, $(V, \mathfrak{V}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in C^\infty((a, b) \times V, F)$, we set
  $$E_{a, \tau, b}(E, V, \mathfrak{V})(f)(t, x) := \begin{cases} f(t, x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in (a, b) \times V \\ E_{-\infty, \tau, b}(E, V, \mathfrak{V})(\xi(E, V, \mathfrak{V})(f))(t, x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in [b, \infty) \times V. \end{cases}$$

- To simplify the notations, in the following we restrict to the case $b = 0$. The case $b \neq 0$ follows in the same way, and can alternatively be obtained from the statement for $b = 0$ via application of translations.

For the rest of this section, let thus $\tau \in (-\infty, 0)$ be fixed (i.e., we have $a = -\infty$ and $b = 0$). We choose $\tau < \nu < 0$ and $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, such that
  $$|\rho| \leq 1, \quad \rho|_{(-\infty, \tau]} = 0, \quad \rho|_{[\nu, 0]} = 1 \quad \text{holds, hence} \quad \rho^{(j)}|_{[\nu, 0]} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \geq 1,$$
and define the constants
  $$M_p := \sup\{|\rho^{(j)}(t)| : t \in [\tau, 0], 0 \leq j \leq p\} \geq 1 \quad \forall \ p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (21)$$
According to (16), there exists a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with

i) $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot (-2)^q = 1$ \quad for each $q \in \mathbb{N},$

ii) $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot (2^j)^q < \infty$ \quad for each $q \in \mathbb{N}.$

Given some $f \in C^\infty_\mathfrak{V}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$, its extension will be defined (confer (31) in Sect. 4.2) in analogy to (16) by
  $$f(t, x) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ext}(f, 0)(t, x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in (-\infty, 0] \times V \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \rho((-2^j \cdot t) \cdot f(-2^j \cdot t, x)) & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times V. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

The sum in the second line is locally finite as $\rho$ is zero on $(-\infty, \tau]$, hence $f$ is defined and of class $C^k$ on $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times V$. We basically will have to show that $f$ is of class $C^k$ on whole $\mathbb{R} \times V$, and that its $\ell$-th differential extends continuously to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{V} \times H[E]^{\ell}$ for each $0 \leq \ell \leq k$. For this, we need to construct these extensions explicitly, which will be done in analogy to the definition of $f$. For our argumentation we shall need the following corollary to Lemma 3.
Corollary 4. Let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathfrak{M}) \in \Omega(E)$, as well as $f_- \in C^k((\infty, 0) \times V, F)$ and $f_+ \in C^k(0, \infty) \times V, F)$ be given. Assume furthermore that to each $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, there exists a continuous map $\Phi^\ell : \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{M} \times H[E]^{\ell} \to F$ that restricts to $d^f$ $\pm$. Then, we have

$$
\mathbb{C}^{\ell}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathbb{R} \times V, F) \ni \tilde{f} := \Phi^0|_{\mathbb{R} \times V},
$$

$$
d^f \tilde{f} = \Phi^\ell|_{\mathbb{R} \times V \times H[E]^{\ell}}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k.
$$

Proof. Let $U := \mathbb{R} \times V \subseteq H := H[E], A := \{0\} \times V \subseteq U, S := \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{M} \subseteq H,$

$$
f: U \setminus A \to F, \quad (t, x) \mapsto \begin{cases} f^{-}(t, x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in (-\infty, 0) \times V \\ f^{+}(t, x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times V, \end{cases}
$$

and apply Lemma 3.

4.1 Elementary Facts and Definitions

For $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, we define $1 := (1, 0) \in H[E]$ as well as $1_p := (1, \ldots, 1) \in H[E]^p$ for $p \geq 1$, and consider the maps

$$
\lambda := \text{pr}_1 : H[E] \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (\lambda, X) \mapsto \lambda
$$

$$
\chi := \text{pr}_1 \times 0 : H[E] \to H[E], \quad (\lambda, X) \mapsto (\lambda, 0)
$$

$$
\omega := 0 \times \text{pr}_2 : H[E] \to H[E], \quad (\lambda, X) \mapsto (0, X).
$$

We furthermore define the following:

- For $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, we set

$$
\lambda_{\ell,j} : H[E]^{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \mapsto \lambda(w_j)
$$

$$
\chi_{\ell,j} : H[E]^{\ell} \to H[E], \quad (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \mapsto \chi(w_j)
$$

$$
\omega_{\ell,j} : H[E]^{\ell} \to H[E], \quad (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \mapsto \omega(w_j).
$$

- For $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, $p \geq 1$, and $1 \leq z_1, \ldots, z_p \leq \ell$, we set

$$
\Lambda_{\ell,z_1,\ldots,z_p}(\underline{w}) := \lambda_{\ell,z_1}(w) \cdot \cdots \cdot \lambda_{\ell,z_p}(w) \quad \forall \underline{w} \in H[E]^{\ell}.
$$

It helps to simplify the notations, in the following just to denote $\Lambda_{\ell,z_1,\ldots,z_p}(\underline{w})$ := 1 for the case that $p = 0$ holds.

- For $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and $0 \leq p \leq \ell$, we let $I_{\ell,p}$ denote the set of all

$$
\sigma = (z_1, \ldots, z_p, a_1, \ldots, a_{\ell-p}) \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}^\ell
$$

such that the following conditions are fulfilled\footnote{We thus have $I_{\ell,0} = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) = (1, \ldots, \ell)$ and $I_{\ell,\ell} = (z_1, \ldots, z_\ell) = (1, \ldots, \ell)$.}:

- $z_i < z_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i < p - 1$,
- $o_j < o_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < \ell - p - 1$,
- $z_i \neq o_j$ for $1 \leq i < p$ and $1 \leq j < \ell - p$.\footnote{We thus have $I_{\ell,0} = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) = (1, \ldots, \ell)$ and $I_{\ell,\ell} = (z_1, \ldots, z_\ell) = (1, \ldots, \ell)$.}
Let $V \subseteq E$ be non-empty open, $\Gamma \in C^k((0, \infty) \times V, F)$, and $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. By symmetry (and multilinearity) of the $\ell$-th differential, we have

$$d^\ell \Gamma((t, x), w) = \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} d^\ell \Gamma((t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w), \chi_{\ell,1}(w), \ldots, \chi_{\ell,p}(w))$$

$$= \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} D_{\chi_{\ell,1}(w), \ldots, \chi_{\ell,p}(w)} q^{\ell-p} \Gamma((t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w))$$

$$= \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} \Lambda_{\ell,1}(w) \cdots \Lambda_{\ell,p}(w) \cdot \partial_{\ell}^p (d^{\ell-p} \Gamma((t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w)))$$

for each $t \in (0, \infty)$, $x \in V$, $w \in H[E]^\ell$.

Similarly, if $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^2((0,\infty), V) \times \mathcal{C}^0((0,\infty) \times V, F)$ holds, then continuity of $\text{Ext}(f, q)$ for $q = 0, \ldots, \ell$ implies

$$\text{Ext}(f, \ell)((t, x), w) = \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} \Lambda_{\ell,1}(w) \cdots \Lambda_{\ell,p}(w) \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w), 1_p)$$

for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, $x \in \mathfrak{M}$, $w \in H[E]^\ell$.

### 4.2 Construction of the Extension Operators

Let $E \in \text{hlVect}$, $(V, \mathfrak{M}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^2((0,\infty) \times V, F)$, and $d \leq -1$ be given.

- We define the $C^0$-map and its $C^0$-extension
  $$\Gamma[f, d](t, x) := g(d \cdot t) \cdot f(d \cdot t, x) \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times V$$
  $$\Psi^0[f, d](t, x) := g(d \cdot t) \cdot \text{Ext}(f, 0)(d \cdot t, x) \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{M}.$$

- We obtain for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ from (23) that
  $$d^\ell \Gamma[f, d]((t, x), w) = \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} \Lambda_{\ell,1}(w) \cdots \Lambda_{\ell,p}(w) \cdot \partial_{\ell}^p (g(d \cdot t) \cdot d^{\ell-p} f((d \cdot t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w)))$$
  $$= \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} \Lambda_{\ell,1}(w) \cdots \Lambda_{\ell,p}(w) \cdot \partial_{\ell}^p (g(d \cdot t) \cdot d^{\ell-p} f((d \cdot t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w)))$$

holds for each $t \in (0, \infty)$, $x \in V$, $w \in H[E]^\ell$.

- For $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ and $q = 0, \ldots, \ell$, we define the continuous map
  $$\Theta_{\ell, q}[f, d](t, x, w) := \sum_{p=0}^\ell \sum_{q \in I_p} \Lambda_{\ell,1}(w) \cdots \Lambda_{\ell,p}(w) \cdot \partial_{\ell}^p (g^{(q)}(d \cdot t) \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell - q)((d \cdot t, x), \omega_{\ell,0}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell,\ell-p}(w), 1_{p-q}))$$

for each $t \in (0, \infty)$, $x \in V$, and $w \in H[E]^\ell$. By (27), for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, the map

$$\Psi^\ell[f, d] := \sum_{q=0}^\ell \Theta_{\ell, q}[f, d]$$

continuously extends $d^\ell \Gamma[f, d]$, i.e., we have

$$\Psi^\ell[f, d]|_{(0, \infty) \times V \times H[E]^\ell} = d^\ell \Gamma[f, d].$$
For $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathfrak{F}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$, we define the maps
\[
    f_- := f,
    \quad f_+ := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot 2^j
\]
\[
    \Phi[f]^\ell := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot 2^j
\]
\[
    \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k.
\]
We have the following statement.

**Lemma 5.** Let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathfrak{F}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$ be given. Then, $f_+ \in C^k((0, \infty) \times V, F)$ holds, as well as
\[
    \Phi[f]^\ell \in C^0((0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]^\ell, F)
\]
\[
    \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq k.
\]
Moreover, $\Phi[f]^\ell$ restricts to $d^i f_+$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, with $\Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{[\tau, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}} = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $s \in (0, \infty)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < s$ be given, and set $I := (s - \varepsilon, s + \varepsilon)$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $-2^j \cdot I \subseteq (-\infty, \tau)$ holds for each $j \geq N$. Since $\theta|_{(-\infty, \tau]} = 0$ holds, we have (the first line implies the second line)
\[
    f_+|_{I \times V} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot 2^j|_{I \times V}
\]
\[
    d^i f_+|_{I \times V \times H[E]} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot 2^j|_{I \times V \times H[E]}
\]
\[
    \Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{I \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot 2^j|_{I \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}
\]
for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$. Thus, $\Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{I \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}}$ is defined and continuous for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, $f_+$ is defined and of class $C^k$, and $\Phi[f]^\ell$ restricts to $d^i f_+$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ by (33). Since $-2^j \cdot [\tau, \infty) \subseteq (-\infty, \tau]$ holds for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (with $\theta|_{(-\infty, \tau]} = 0$), we have $\Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{[\tau, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}} = 0$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq k$. \qed

For $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathfrak{F}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$, and $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, we define the map
\[
    \Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{(-\infty, 0) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}} := \text{Ext}(f, \ell) \quad \text{as well as} \quad \Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{(0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]}} := \Phi[f]^\ell_+.
\]

In Sect. 4.3 we prove the following statement.

**Lemma 6.** Let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathfrak{F}) \in \Omega(E)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$ be given. Then, $\Phi[f]^\ell$ is continuous for each $0 \leq \ell \leq k$.

Together with Corollary 4, Lemma 6 implies:
\[
    \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R} \times V, F) \ni \tilde{f} := \Phi[f]^0|_{\mathbb{R} \times V}
\]
\[
    d^i \tilde{f} = \Phi[f]^\ell_{|_{\mathbb{R} \times V \times H[E]}} \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k
\]
\[
    \text{Ext}(f, \ell) = \Phi[f]^\ell \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k.
\]
\[
    (31)
\]
For $E \in \text{hlcVect}$ and $(V, \mathfrak{F}) \in \Omega(E)$, we define the map
\[
    \mathcal{E}_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(E, V, \mathfrak{F}) \colon \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F) \to \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R} \times V, F), \quad f \mapsto \tilde{f}.
\]
\[
    (32)
\]
We observe the following:

- It is clear from the construction that (32) is a linear map, with
\[
    \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(E, V, \mathfrak{F})(f), \ell)|_{(-\infty, 0) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]} = \text{Ext}(f, \ell)
\]
\[
    \text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(E, V, \mathfrak{F})(f), \ell)|_{(\tau, \infty) \times \mathfrak{F} \times H[E]} = 0
\]
for each $f \in \mathcal{C}^k_{\Omega}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq k$ (for the second line use the last statement in Lemma 5).

\[\text{Notably, this coincides with } \tilde{f} \text{ as defined in (22).}\]
• Let \( E, \bar{E} \in \text{hc} \text{ Vect} \), \( W \subseteq E \) a linear subspace, \( Y: W \to \bar{E} \) a linear map, \((V, \mathfrak{U}) \in \Omega(E)\), \((V, \mathfrak{U}) \in \Omega(\bar{E})\), \( x \in \mathfrak{U}, \bar{x} \in \mathfrak{U}, f \in C^0_\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R} \times V, F), \bar{f} \in C^0_\mathfrak{U}(\mathbb{R} \times V, F), 0 \leq s \leq k \) be given, with

\[
\text{Ext}(f, \ell) \circ W([\tau, 0], x, \ell) = \text{Ext}(\bar{f}, \ell) \circ W([\tau, 0], \bar{x}, \ell) \quad \forall 0 \leq \ell \leq s.
\]

Then, it is clear from the construction that

\[
\Psi^\ell[f, -2j] \circ W((0, \infty), x, s) = \Psi^\ell[f, -2j] \circ W((0, \infty), \bar{x}, s)
\]

holds for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), hence

\[
\text{Ext}(E_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(E, V, \mathfrak{U})(f), s) \circ W((\tau, \infty), x, s) = \text{Ext}(E_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(\bar{E}, V, \mathfrak{U})(f), s) \circ W((\tau, \infty), \bar{x}, s).
\]

To establish Theorem [1], it thus remains to prove Lemma 6 (conferring Sect. 4.3), as well as the continuity estimates in Part 2 of Theorem [1] (conferring Sect. 4.4).

### 4.3 The Proof of Lemma 6

Let \( E \in \text{hc} \text{ Vect} \), \((V, \mathfrak{U}) \in \Omega(E)\), \( f \in C^0_\mathfrak{U}((-\infty, 0) \times V, F), x \in \mathfrak{U}, p \in \text{Sem}(F) \) be given. The following estimates hold for each \( d \leq -1 \):

- **a)** Since \( \text{Ext}(f, 0) \) is continuous, and since \([\tau, 0]\) is compact, there exists \( C_0 \geq 1 \) and a neighbourhood \( U_x \subseteq \mathfrak{U} \) of \( x \), with

\[
P(\text{Ext}(f, 0)(t, x')) \leq C_0 \quad \forall t \in [\tau, 0], x' \in U_x.
\]

We obtain from (20), (26), and (33) that

\[
P(\Psi^\ell[f, d](t, x')) \leq C_0 \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty), x' \in U_x.
\]

- **b)** Let \( 1 \leq \ell \leq k \) and \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_\ell) \in H[E]^\ell \) be given.

  - According to Point [a] and Corollary [3] there exists a neighbourhood \( U_x \subseteq \mathfrak{U} \) of \( x \), \( \tilde{C}_\ell \geq 1 \), and \( q \in \text{Sem}(E) \), such that we have

\[
P(\text{Ext}(f, 0)(t, x')) \leq \tilde{C}_\ell \quad \forall t \in [\tau, 0], x' \in U_x
\]

  as well as

\[
P(\text{Ext}(f, q)(t, x'), w')) \leq \tilde{C}_\ell \cdot \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_1) \cdots \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_\ell)
\]

for each \( t \in [\tau, 0], x' \in U_x, 1 \leq q \leq \ell \), and \( w' = (w'_1, \ldots, w'_\ell) \in H[E]^q \).

  - We obtain for \( 0 \leq q \leq \ell \) from (20), (21), (23), (35), (36) that

\[
P(\Theta_{\ell, q}[f, d](t, x'), w')) \leq |d|^{(\ell + 1)!} \cdot |q|(w'_1) \cdots \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_\ell)
\]

holds for each \( t \in (0, \infty), x' \in U_x, \) and \( w' = (w'_1, \ldots, w'_\ell) \in H[E]^\ell \). We define

\[
Q_\ell := (\ell + 1) \cdot (\ell + 1)! \cdot \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_1) \cdots \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_\ell)
\]

and obtain for \( t \in (0, \infty), x' \in U_x, w' = (w'_1, \ldots, w'_\ell) \in H[E]^\ell \) from (20) that

\[
P(\Psi^\ell[f, d](t, x'), w')) \leq |d|^{\ell} \cdot Q_\ell \cdot \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_1) \cdots \max |\cdot| \cdot |q|(w'_\ell).
\]
Proof of Lemma 6.

Let \( 1 \leq \ell \leq k \) and \( \ell \) be given. We discuss the cases \( \ell = 0 \) and \( 0 < \ell \leq k \) separately.

- **Case \( \ell = 0 \)**: We choose \( C_0 \geq 1 \) and \( U_x \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \) as in (a). By Property (ii), there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell} \). We obtain from (33), (34), and the triangle inequality that

\[
\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot \|\Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') - \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell} \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty), x' \in U_x.
\]

Since \( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j = 1 \) holds by (6), the triangle inequality yields

\[
p(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') - \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)) = p(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)) \\
\leq p(\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') - \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)) + \|\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') - \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\ell}
\]

for \( t \in (0, \infty) \) and \( x' \in U_x \). We observe the following:

- By (20) and (26), for \( 0 \leq j \leq N \), we have

\[
\Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') = \text{Ext}(f, 0)(-2^j \cdot t, x') \quad \forall t \in (0, |v|/2^N), x' \in \mathfrak{M}.
\]

- Since \( \text{Ext}(f, 0) \) is continuous, we can shrink \( U_x \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \) around \( x \) and fix \( 0 < \delta < |v|/2^N \), such that for \( j = 0, \ldots, N \), we have

\[
p(\text{Ext}(f, 0)(-2^j \cdot t, x') - \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(N+1)\cdot\max(1, |c_0|, \ldots, |c_N|)}
\]

for all \( t \in (0, \delta) \) and \( x' \in U_x \).

Combining both points with (10), we obtain

\[
p(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \Psi^0[f, -2^j](t, x') - \text{Ext}(f, 0)(0, x)) < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in (0, \delta), x' \in U_x.
\]

- **Case \( 0 < \ell \leq k \)**: Let \( \ell \) be fixed. We choose \( C_\ell \geq 1 \), \( U_x \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \), and \( O_w \subseteq H[E]^{\ell} \) as in (b) and define

\[
\Xi[j] := \sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \sum_{\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}} (2^p)^{\ell} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\ell, z_1, \ldots, z_p}(w) \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), \omega_{\ell, o_1}(w), \ldots, \omega_{\ell, o_{\ell-p}}(w), 1_p)
\]

for each \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). We observe the following:
○ Given $\Delta > 0$, Property [i] provides some $N_\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$ with
\[
|\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot ((-2^j)^p - 1)| < \Delta \quad \forall N \geq N_\Delta, \ p = 0, \ldots, \ell.
\]
By [24], there exists some $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ with
\[
p\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\tilde{N}} c_j \cdot \Xi[j] - \sum_{j=0}^{\tilde{N}} c_j \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \quad \forall N \geq \tilde{N}. \tag{41}
\]
○ By Property [ii], there exists some $N \geq \tilde{N}$ with
\[
\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot (2^j)^q < \frac{\varepsilon}{6^q} \quad \forall q = 0, \ldots, \ell.
\]
We obtain from [39] and the triangle inequality that
\[
\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot \left|\text{Ext}(\Psi^f, \Xi)[(t, x'), w') - \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right|
\leq C_{\ell} \cdot \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot (2^j)^{\ell} + 1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \tag{42}
\]
holds for each $t \in (0, \infty)$, $x' \in U_x$, and $w' \in O_w$.
○ By [20], [28], [29], for $0 \leq j \leq N$, $t \in (0, |v|/2^N)$, $x' \in \mathcal{U}$, and $w' \in H|E|^{\ell}$ we have
\[
\Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') = \begin{cases} 
\Theta_{\ell, 0}|f, -2^j|((-2^j)^{\ell} \cdot t, x'), w') \\
\sum_{p=0}^{\ell} \sum_{z_1, \ldots, z_p} (-2^j)^p \cdot \Lambda_{\ell, z_1, \ldots, z_p}(w') \\
\cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((-2^j)^{\ell} \cdot t, x'), w_{\ell, 0}(w'), \ldots, w_{\ell, o_{\ell}}(w'), 1_p).
\end{cases}
\]
Since $\text{Ext}(f, q)$ is continuous for $q = 0, \ldots, \ell$, we can shrink $U_x \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ around $x$ as well as $O_w$ around $w$, and furthermore fix $0 < \delta < |v|/2^N$ such that
\[
p\left(\Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \Xi[j]\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(N+1)\max(1, |v_0|, \ldots, |v_N|)}
\]
holds for $t \in (0, \delta)$, $x' \in U_x$, $w' \in O_w$, and $j = 0, \ldots, N$. We obtain
\[
p\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \Xi[j]\right)
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{N} |c_j| \cdot p\left(\Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \Xi[j]\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \tag{43}
\]
for all $t \in (0, \delta)$, $x' \in U_x$, $w' \in O_w$.

Since $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j = 1$ holds by [i], the triangle inequality together with [11], [12], [13] yields
\[
p\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right)
\]
\[
= p\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right)
\]
\[
\leq p\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot p\left(\Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right)
\]
\[
= p\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \Xi[j] - \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j \cdot \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot p\left(\Psi^f f, -2^j|(t, x'), w') - \text{Ext}(f, \ell)((0, x), w)\right)
\]
\[
< \varepsilon
\]
for all $t \in (0, \delta)$, $x' \in U_x$, and $w' \in O_w$. 

\[\blacksquare\]
4.4 The Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathcal{V}) \in \Omega(E)$, $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, $x \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq E$ bounded, $p \in \text{Sem}(F)$, and $f \in C^b_k((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$. The following estimates hold for each $d \leq -1$:

- By (20) and (24), we have
  $$p(\mathcal{P}_k^0[f, d](t, x)) \leq p_{[r, 0] \times \{x\}}^0(f).$$  

- Let $1 \leq s \leq k$. Then, for $1 \leq \ell \leq s$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq \ell^f$, we have (recall (20), (21), (28), (44))
  $$p(\mathcal{P}_{\ell, q}[f, d](t, x, w)) \leq (\ell + 1)! \cdot |d|^{\ell} \cdot \max(|I_{\ell, 0}|, \ldots, |I_{\ell, \ell}|) \cdot M_{\ell} \cdot \max(1, |\lambda_{\ell, 1}(w)|, \ldots, |\lambda_{\ell, \ell}(w)|)^{\ell}$$
  $$\leq p_{[r, 0] \times \{x\} \times \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{B})}(f)$$

for each $w \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B})^{\ell}$. We define
  $$Q_s := (s + 1) \cdot (s + 1)! \cdot M_{\ell} \cdot \max(|I_{\ell, p}| | 1 \leq \ell \leq s, 0 \leq p \leq \ell) \geq 1,$$
and obtain for $1 \leq \ell \leq s$ from (20) and (14) that
  $$p(\mathcal{P}_k^0[f, d](t, x, w)) \leq |d|^{\ell} \cdot Q_s \cdot \max(1, |\lambda_{\ell, 1}(w)|, \ldots, |\lambda_{\ell, \ell}(w)|)^{\ell} \cdot p_{[r, 0] \times \{x\} \times \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{B})}(f)$$

holds for each $w \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B})^{\ell}$.

We are ready for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $E \in \text{hlcVect}$, $(V, \mathcal{V}) \in \Omega(E)$, $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, $x \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq E$ bounded, $p \in \text{Sem}(F)$, and $f \in C^b_k((-\infty, 0) \times V, F)$.

- Let $s = 0$. By Property 1.1 we have $C_0 := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j| < \infty$. We obtain from (44) and the triangle inequality that
  $$p(\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(E, V, \mathcal{V}))(f), 0)(t, x) \leq C_0 \cdot p_{[r, 0] \times \{x\}}(f).$$

- Let $1 \leq s \leq k$. We choose $Q_s$ as in (46), and define
  $$C_s := Q_s \cdot \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq s} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j| \cdot (2j)! \right)^{\ell} < \infty.$$

We obtain from (17) that
  $$p(\text{Ext}(\mathcal{E}_{-\infty, \tau, 0}(E, V, \mathcal{V}))(f), \ell)(t, x, w) \leq C_s \cdot \max(1, |\lambda_{\ell, 1}(w)|, \ldots, |\lambda_{\ell, \ell}(w)|)^{\ell} \cdot p_{[r, 0] \times \{x\} \times \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{B})}(f)$$

holds, for each $1 \leq \ell \leq s$ and $w \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B})^{\ell}$. □
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APPENDIX

A Some Details to Example [I]

Let \((\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\) be a real pre-Hilbert space, \(E \in \text{hlcVect}, H := \mathcal{H} \times E\). We set \(\xi(\cdot) := \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}\), fix \(0 < r < \tau < 1\), and define

\[
\mathcal{O} := \xi^{-1}([0,1]), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}} := \xi^{-1}([0,1]), \quad \mathcal{O}(r) := \xi^{-1}((r,1)), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(r) := \xi^{-1}((r,1)).
\]

Given \(Z \in A = \xi^{-1}(\{1\})\), we set

\[
Z_\perp := \{X \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle Z, X \rangle = 0\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}(Z) := \{X \in Z_\perp \mid \xi(X) < 1\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}(Z) := \{X \in \mathcal{H} \mid \xi(X) > r \text{ and } \langle Z, X \rangle > 0\}.
\]

The following maps are smooth and inverse to each other:

\[
\psi_Z: \quad \mathcal{C}(Z) \to (r, \infty) \times \mathcal{D}(Z), \quad X \mapsto (\xi(X), \frac{1}{\xi(X)} \cdot X - \frac{1}{\xi(X)} \cdot (X, Z) \cdot Z)
\]

\[
\phi_Z: (r, \infty) \times \mathcal{D}(Z) \to \mathcal{C}(Z)
\]

\[
(t,Y) \mapsto t \cdot (Y + \sqrt{1 - \xi(Y)^2} \cdot Z).
\]

Then, given \(f \in \mathcal{O}\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{O}\mathbb{V} ((0 \times V, F), it suffices to construct an extension of the restriction \(f|_{\mathcal{O}(r) \times U} \in \mathcal{O}\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{O}\mathbb{V} ((0 \times V, F)
\]

in order to obtain an extension of \(f\). For this, we proceed as follows:

- We have by Lemma [I] (with the notation \((\mathcal{O})\) in the second line)

\[
f_Z := f \circ (\phi_Z|_{(r,1) \times \mathcal{D}(Z)} \times \text{id}_V) \in \mathcal{O}\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{O}\mathbb{V} ((r,1) \times \mathcal{D}(Z) \times V, F)
\]

\[
(\equiv \mathcal{O}\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{O}\mathbb{V} ((r,1) \times \mathcal{D}(Z) \times V, F)
\]

with \(\text{Ext}(f_Z, 0) = \text{Ext}(f, 0) \circ (\phi_Z|_{(r,1) \times \mathcal{D}(Z)} \times \text{id}_Y)\).

- First applying the extension operator \(\mathcal{E}_{r,1,1}(Z, E, H, \mathcal{D}(Z) \times V, \mathcal{D}(Z) \times \mathcal{V})\) from Theorem [I] and then composing with \(\psi_Z \times \text{id}_V\), we obtain (from Lemma [I]) an extension

\[
\tilde{f}_Z \in \mathcal{E}_X \mathcal{V} ((\mathcal{C}(Z) \times V, F) \quad \text{of the restriction} \quad f|_{(\mathcal{O}(r) \cap \mathcal{C}(Z)) \times V}
\]

- Given \(Z' \in A\) and \(X \in \mathcal{C}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}(Z')\), the definitions ensure that for \(Y := (\text{pr}_2 \circ \psi_Z)(X)\) and \(Y' := (\text{pr}_2 \circ \psi_{Z'})(X)\), we have

\[
\text{Ext}(f_Z, 0)(t,Y, x) = \text{Ext}(f_{Z'}, 0)(t,Y', x) \quad \forall \ t \in [\tau,1], \ x \in \mathcal{V}.
\]

Theorem [I3] implies \(\tilde{f}_Z|_{((r,\infty) \times X)} = \tilde{f}_{Z'}|_{((r,\infty) \times X)}\), and we conclude

\[
\tilde{f}_Z|_{\mathcal{C}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}(Z')} = \tilde{f}_{Z'}|_{\mathcal{C}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}(Z')}.
\]

Since \(U := \mathcal{C}(Z) \cap \mathcal{C}(Z')\) is open, we obtain for \(0 \leq \ell \leq k\) that

\[
d^.\tilde{f}_Z|_{U \times V \times H^{\ell}} = d^.\tilde{f}_{Z'}|_{U \times V \times H^{\ell}} \quad \text{continuity} \Rightarrow \quad \text{Ext}(\tilde{f}_Z, \ell)|_{U \times \mathcal{O} \times H^{\ell}} = \text{Ext}(\tilde{f}_{Z'}, \ell)|_{U \times \mathcal{O} \times H^{\ell}}.
\]

It follows that the maps \(\{\tilde{f}_Z\}_{Z \in A}\) glue together to an extension \(\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}_X \mathcal{V} ((\mathcal{C}(Z) \times V, F)\) of the restriction \((48)\).
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