# Existence and smoothness of the solution to the Navier-Stokes equation

Dr. Bazarbekov Argyngazy B.

Kazakh National State University, EKSU. e-mail: arg.baz50@mail.ru

Abstract . A fundamental problem in analysis is to decide whether a smooth solution exists for the Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions. In this paper we shall study this problem. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by:  $u_{it}(x,t) - \rho \triangle u_i(x,t) - u_j(x,t) \ u_{ix_j}(x,t) + p_{x_i}(x,t) = f_i(x,t) \ , \ div \ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0 \ , \ i \ = \ 1,2,3 \ \ \text{with}$ initial conditions  $\mathbf{u}|_{(t=0)\bigcup\partial\Omega} = 0$ . We introduce the unknown vector-function:  $\left(w_i(x,t)\right)_{i=1,2,3}$ :  $u_{it}(x,t) - \rho \bigtriangleup u_i(x,t) - \rho$  $\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i} = w_i(x,t)$  with initial conditions:  $u_i(x,0) = 0$ ,  $u_i(x,t) \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . The solution  $u_i(x,t)$  of this problem is given by:  $u_i(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega G(x,t;\xi,\tau) \left( w_i(\xi,\tau) + \frac{dp(\xi,\tau)}{d\xi_i} \right) d\xi d\tau$  where  $G(x,t;\xi,\tau)$  is the Green function. We consider the following Navier- Stokes -2 problem : find a solution  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), p(x,t) : p_{x_i}(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$  of the system of equations:  $w_i(x,t) - G\left(w_j(x,t) + \frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_j}\right) \cdot G_{x_j}\left(w_i(x,t) + \frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}\right) = f_i(x,t), i = 1, 2, 3$  satisfying almost every where on  $Q_t$ . Where the vector-function  $(p_{x_i}(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}$  is defined by the vector-function  $(w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}$ . Using the following estimates for the Green function:  $|G(x,t;\xi,\tau)| \leq c/(t-\tau)^{\mu} \cdot |x-\xi|^{3-2\mu}; |G_x(x,t;\xi,\tau)| \leq c/(t-\tau)^{\mu} \cdot |x-\xi|^{3-(2\mu-1)}(1/2 < \mu < 1),$  from this system of equations we obtain:  $w(t) < f(t) + b\left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau)+p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}}d\tau\right)^2$  where  $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1, b = const; w(\tau) = c(t-\tau)^{\mu}$  $\|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}; \ f(t) = \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \\ p(\tau) = \sum_1^3 \|\frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_i}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$ Using the estimate: p(t) < c w(t) from this inequality we define the set of the set infer:  $w(t) < f(t) + b \cdot \left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau\right)^2$  where b is real number. After the replacements of the functions  $\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} = w_1(t)$  and  $z(t) = z(0)e^{-k\int_0^t w_1(\tau)d\tau} \text{ this inequality will accept the following form: } \frac{1}{k}\int_0^t \frac{\frac{1}{z(\tau)} \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau + f^2(t) > 0 \text{ where } \mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$ is a real number. This is analogue of the replacement of function by  $\operatorname{Riccati}: z(t) = -\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)}$  for the solution of the following ordinary nonlinear equation :  $\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = f(t) + bz^2(t)$ ; z(0) = 0[10p.41]. From the last inequality we obtain the a priori estimate:  $\|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} < \sqrt{2} \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$  where  $Q_t = \Omega \times [0,t]$ , t > 0 is an arbitrary real number. By the well known Leray-Schauder's method and this a priori estimate the existence and uniqueness of the solution  $\mathbf{u}(x,t)$ :  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ is proved. We used the nine known classical theorems.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification . Primary : 35 K 55; Secondary : 46E35; Keywords : The Holder's inequalities, Theorems: of Volterra V., of Hardy-Littlewood , of Sobolev S.L., of Lerau-Schauder, of Weyl H., of Abel-Carleman , of Riccati, Gronwall' Lemma. Estimates for the Green function , Gamma function , projection operators , a priori estimate.

**1. Introduction**. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \rho \bigtriangleup u_i(x,t) + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x,t) \frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x,t)$$

$$div \ u(x,t) = 0; \ i = 1,...,n$$

with the initial condition :

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \tag{1.2}$$

where  $u(x,t) = (u_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}$  and  $p(x,t) \in R$  are the unknown velocity vector and pressure defined for position  $x \in R^3$  and time  $t \ge 0$ . Here,  $u_0(x)$  is a given divergencefree vector field on  $R^n$ ,  $f_i(x,t)$  are the components of a given externally force,  $\rho > 0$ is a positive coefficient and  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{d^2}{dx_i^2}$  is the Laplacian in the space variables.

Starting with Lerau [1], important progress has been made in understanding weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. For instance, if (1.1) and (1.2) hold, then for any smooth vector field  $\varphi(x,t) = (\varphi_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}$ , compactly supported in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)$ , a formal integration by parts yields

$$\int \int_{R^n \times R} u(x,t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j} \int \int_{R^n \times R} u_i(x,t) u_j(x,t) \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} dx dt = -\rho \int \int_{R^n \times R} u(x,t) \bigtriangleup \varphi dx dt + \int \int_{R^3 \times R} f(x,t) \varphi dx dt - \int \int_{R^n \times R} p(x,t) (div\varphi) dx dt \quad (1.3)$$

Note that (1.3) makes sense for  $u \in L_2, f \in L_1, p \in L_1$  whereas (1.1) makes sense only if u(x,t) is twice differentiable in x. Similarly, if  $\varphi(x,t)$  is a smooth function, compactly supported in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ , then a formal integration by parts and (1.2) imply:

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}} u(x,t) \nabla_x \varphi(x,t) \, dx dt = 0 \tag{1,4}$$

. A solution (1.3),(1.4) is called a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. Leray in [1] showed that the Navier-Stokes equation (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) in three space dimensions always have a weak solution (u(x,t), p(x,t)). The uniqueness of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation is not known. In two dimensions the existence, uniqueness and smoothness of weak solutions have been known for a long time (R.Temam [2],O. Ladyzhenskaya [3],I. Lions[4]).

In three dimensions, this questions studied for the initial velocity  $u_0(x)$  satisfying a smallness condition. For the initial data  $u_0(x)$  not assumed to be small, it is known that the existence of smooth weak solutions holds if the time interval  $[0,\infty)$  is replaced by a small time interval [0,T) depending on the initial data.

A fundamental problem in analysis is to decide whether a smooth solution exists for the Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions.

### 2. Results

=

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a finite domain bounded by the Lipchitz surface  $\partial \Omega$ .  $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_i(x, t)_{i=1,2,3}, \mathbf{f}(x, t) = (f_i(x, t)_{i=1,2,3} \text{ are vector-functions.}]$  Here t > 0 is an arbitrary real number. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \rho \bigtriangleup u_i(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(x,t) \frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x,t)$$
(2.1),

$$div \mathbf{u}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad , i = 1, 2, 3$$

The Navier-Stokes problem 1. Find a vector-function  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) = (u_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} : \Omega \times [0,t] \to R^3$  and a scalar function  $p(x,t) : \Omega \times [0,t] \to R^1$  satisfying the equation (2.1) and the following initial condition

Let p > 1, r > 1 be real numbers. We shall use the following functional spaces.  $L_{p,r}(Q_t)$  is the Banach space with the norm [3 p.33]

$$\|u(x,t)\|_{L_{p,r}(Q_t)} = \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x,t)|^p dx\right)^{r/p} dt\right]^{1/r}, \ L_{p,p}(Q_t) = L_p(Q_t).$$

 $W_p^{2,1}(Q_t)$  is the Banach space supplied by the norm

$$\|u(x,t)\|_{W_{p}^{2,1}(Q_{t})} = \left[\|u\|_{L_{p}(Q_{t})}^{p} + \|u_{t}\|_{L_{p}(Q_{t})}^{p} + \|u_{x}\|_{L_{p}(Q_{t})}^{p} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_{p}(Q_{t})}^{p}\right]^{1/p}$$

 $\mathbf{L}_{p,r}(Q_t)$  is the Banach vector-space with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x,t)\|_{L_{p,r}(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u_i(x,t)\|_{L_{p,r}(Q_t)}$$

 $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  is the Hilbert vector-space with the inner product

$$(\mathbf{u}(x,t),\mathbf{v}(x,t))_{L_2(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (u_i(x,t),v_i(x,t))_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

 $\mathbf{V}_0(Q_t)$  ( $\mathbf{V}(Q_t)$ ) are the vector-spaces of smooth functions

$$V_0(Q_t) = \{ \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in C^2(\overline{Q_t}), \ div \ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0, \ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i(x,t) cos(\mathbf{n},x_i)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \},$$

$$V(Q_t) = (\{\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in C^2(\overline{Q_t}) : div \ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0\})$$

 $\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$  is the closure of  $\mathbf{V}_0(Q_t)$  in the norm of  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . [2 p.13] I.e.

$$\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T), div \ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

 $\mathbf{E}_2(Q_t)$  is the closure of  $\mathbf{V}(Q_t)$  in the norm of  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . [2 p. 13] I.e.

$$\mathbf{E}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), div \ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0\}$$

It is obvious that  $\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_2(Q_t)$ . Further, we shall denote the vector-functions and vector-spaces by bold type. The following is principal result.

**Theorem 2.1.** For any right-hand side  $\mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  in equation (2.1) and for any real numbers  $\rho > 0, t > 0$ , the Navier-Stokes problem-1 has a unique smooth solution  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$  and a scalar function  $p(x,t) : p_{x_i}(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ satisfying (2.1) almost everywhere on  $Q_t$ , and the following estimates are valid:

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x,t)\|_{W_{2}^{2,1}(Q_{t})} \leq c \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})}, \left\|\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} \leq c \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})}$$
(2.3)

Here and below by symbol c, we denote a generic constant , independent on the solution and right-hand side whose value is inessential to our aims, and it may change from line to line. **Remark 2.1.** The case when the right-hand side  $\mathbf{f}(x,t)$  has a small norm or a time  $t \ll 1$  (or  $\rho \gg 1$ ) is well-known and so not interesting. But in Theorem 1  $\mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  is an arbitrary vector-function and t > 0,  $\rho > 0$  are arbitrary real numbers. In recent paper [6] Ladyzhenskaja formulates the Navier-Stokes problem as in the formulas (2.1) - (2.2) and in Theorem 1. For simplicity, we consider the Navier-Stokes problem for the homogeneous case (i.e. u(x,0) = 0,  $u(x,t) \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ .). We consider the inhomogeneous case (i.e. u(x,0) = 0,  $u(x,t) \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ .)

**Definition 2.1.** A vector-function  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t)|_{(t=0)\cup\partial\Omega} = 0$  and a scalar function  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x},t)$  are called a **smooth** solution to the Navier-Stokes problem-1, if  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$  and  $p_{x_i}(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ .

We adduce the well-known definition of the Hopf solution to the Navier-Stokes equation.

**Definition 2.2** (the Hopf's solution). Let a right-hand side  $\mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . A vector-function  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2([0,t]; H_0(\Omega)) \cap \mathbf{L}^{\infty}([0,t]; L_2(\Omega))$  is called the Hopf's solution, if the following equality [2 p.225].

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{u}(x,t),\mathbf{v}(x))}{\partial t} + \rho \left(\mathbf{u}_x(x,t),\mathbf{v}_x(x)\right) - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_j(x,t)(\mathbf{u}_{x_j}(x,t),\mathbf{v}(x)) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}(x,t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(x) dx$$

is fulfilled for all vector-functions  $\mathbf{v}(x) \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) = {\mathbf{u}(x) : div \mathbf{u}(x) = 0, \mathbf{u}(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \mathbf{u}(x), \mathbf{u}_{x_i}(x) \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)[2p.24]}.$ 

**Remark 2.2.** By Theorem 2.1 it follows that the Hopf's solution is the smooth solution.  $\blacktriangleleft$ 

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we shall use the following known propositions. Theorem of Weyl H. In the book [2 p.22] the following equalities are proved:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t) &= \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) \oplus \mathbf{G}_2(Q_t) & \text{where } \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), div \ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = \\ 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0,t]} &= 0\}. \ \mathbf{G}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), \mathbf{u}(x,t) = \mathbf{grad}p(x,t) : \\ p_{x_i}(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)\}. & \text{I.e. for any } \mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), \text{ the following equality:} \\ \mathbf{f}(x,t) &= H(\mathbf{f}(x,t)) + G(\mathbf{f}(x,t)) \text{ is valid where } H : \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t) \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) \ , \ G : \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t) \Rightarrow \\ \mathbf{G}_2(Q_t)\text{- are the projection operators.} \end{aligned}$ 

**Proposition 1.** (The Holder inequality). Let  $p_1 > 1, p_2 > 1; r_1 > 1$ ,  $r_2 > 1$  be a real numbers. Then, the following Holder inequality is valid [5 p.75].

$$\|u(x,t)v(x,t)\|_{L_{\frac{p_1p_2}{p_1+p_2},\frac{r_1r_2}{r_1+r_2}(Q_t)} \leq \|u(x,t)\|_{L_{p_1,r_1}(Q_t)} \|v(x,t)\|_{L_{p_2,r_2}(Q_t)}$$
(2.4)

**Proposition 2.** (The system equations of Volterra V.) On the space of vector-functions  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) = (u_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  we shall consider the following system of nonlinear integral equations of Volterra: [7 p.59, p.62]

$$u_l(x,t) - \sum_{s=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_\Omega K_{l,s}(x,t;\xi,\tau;\mathbf{u}(\xi,\tau)) \ u_s(\xi,\tau) \ d\xi \ d\tau = f_l(x,t)$$
(2.5)

l = 1, 2, 3. Or, in the vector form

$$\mathbf{u}(x,t) - K \mathbf{u}(x,t) = \mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$$
(2.6)

This system of equations under some conditions to the nonlinear kernel  $K(x, t; \xi, \tau; \mathbf{u}(\xi, \tau))$  has been studied in the book [7 p.61]. We shall study this nonlinear system of equations by using the theorem of Leray J., Schauder J.

**a)** If  $1 , then the operator <math>J^{\mu}$  is bounded from the space  $L_p(0,t)$  into the space  $L_q(0,t)$  where  $q = \frac{p}{1-p\cdot(1-\mu)}$  and  $\|J^{\mu}u(t)\|_{L_q(0,t)} \leq c \|u(t)\|_{L_p(0,t)}$ . [8 p.64].

**Proposition 4.** (Theorem of Sobolev S.L.) Let a function u(x) be represented as the potential of a function f(x), i.e.  $u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\xi) d\xi}{|x - \xi|^{3-\lambda}} \quad \lambda > 0.$  [3 p.32]. Then **a)** If  $0 < \lambda < 3/p$  and  $f(x) \in L_p(\Omega)$ , then  $u(x) \in L_q(\Omega)$  where  $q \leq \frac{3p}{3-p\lambda}$  and

 $\|u(x)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq c \|f(x)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$ 

**b)** If  $\lambda = 3/p$  and  $f(x) \in L_p(\Omega)$ , then  $u(x) \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$ and  $||u(x)||_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq c ||f(x)||_{L_p(\Omega)}$ .

**Proposition 5.** We shall consider the following problem on the domain  $Q_t$ :

$$u_t(x,t) - \rho \bigtriangleup u(x,t) = g(x,t), \qquad u(x,0) = 0 , \ u(x,t) \mid_{\partial\Omega \times [0,t]} = 0$$
 (2.7)

The solution u(x,t) of this problem is given by

$$u(x,t) = Gg(\xi,\tau) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega G(x,t;\xi,\tau) g(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau \qquad (2,8)$$

where  $G(x,t;\xi,\tau)$  is the Green function for  $Q_t$ . The construction of the Green function is resulted in book [9 p.111]. The following estimates are valid.[9 p.170]

$$|G(x,t;\xi,\tau)| \leq \frac{const}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{3-2\mu}} , \quad 0 < \mu < 1$$
(2,9)

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x,t;\xi,\tau)\right| \leq \frac{const}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{3-(2\mu-1)}} , \quad 1/2 < \mu < 1$$
 (2.10)

From estimates in Propositions 3, 4 and the estimates (2,9),(2,10) follow that:

a) If  $g(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$  and  $\mu = 5/8$ , then

$$Gg(x,t) \in L_{12,8}(Q_t)$$
,  $G_xg(x,t) = \frac{\partial Gg(x,t)}{\partial x} \in L_{12/5,8}(Q_t)$ , (2,11)

 $\|Gg(x,t) \ G_x g(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2,4}(Q_t)} \leq \|Gg(x,t)\|_{L_{12,8}(Q_t)} \|G_x g(x,\tau)\|_{L_{12/5,8}(Q_t)} \leq c \|g(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(Q_t)}^2$ **b)** If  $g_1(x,t), \ g_2(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$  and  $g_i(t) = \|g_i(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \ i = 1,2$ , then for any  $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$  the following estimates are valid:

$$\left\| Gg_{i}(x,t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{6}{3-4\mu}}(\Omega)} \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g_{i}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}}; \quad ; \left\| G_{x}g_{i}(x,t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{6}{5-4\mu}}(\Omega)} \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g_{i}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \\ \left\| Gg_{1}(x,t) G_{x}g_{2}(x,\tau) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{4(1-\mu)}}(\Omega)} \leq c \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g_{1}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g_{2}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}}$$
(2.12.1)

and for  $\mu: \frac{5}{8} \le \mu < 1$  follows that  $2 \le \frac{3}{4(1-\mu)}$ . Therefore,

$$\left\| Gg_1(x,t) \ G_x g_2(x,\tau) \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq$$

$$\leq c \left\| Gg_1(x,t) \ G_x g_2(x,\tau) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{4(1-\mu)}}(\Omega)} \leq c \ \int_0^t \frac{g_1(\tau) \ d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{g_2(\tau) \ d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}}$$
(2.12.2)

▶ a) We shall prove the inequality (2.11). From Holder inequality (2,4) (with  $p = 2, p_1 = 12, r_1 = 8$ ) and  $(p = 2, p_2 = 12/5, r_2 = 8)$ , the first inequality of (2.11) follows. From the estimate (2.9) with  $(\mu = 5/8)$  we have

$$\begin{split} \|Gg(x,\tau)\|_{L_{12,8}(Q_t)} &= \left\| \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega G(x,\tau;\xi,\tau_1) \ g(\xi,\tau_1) \ d\xi \ d\tau_1 \right\|_{L_{12,8}(Q_t)} \le \\ &\le c \left\| \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{(\tau-\tau_1)^{5/8}} \right\| \int_\Omega \frac{g(\xi,\tau_1)d\xi}{|x-\xi|^{3-5/4}} \Big\|_{L_{12}(\Omega)} d\tau_1 \Big\|_{L_8(0_t)} \le \\ &\le c \left\| \int_0^\tau \frac{\|g(x,\tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}d\tau_1}{(\tau-\tau_1)^{5/8}} \right\|_{L_8(0_t)} \le c \ \|g(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \end{split}$$

Here we used the fact that from Proposition  $4_a$  (with  $p = 2, \lambda = 5/4; q = \frac{6}{3-2\lambda} = 12$ ) follows the inequality  $\left\| \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(\xi,\tau_1)d\xi}{|x-\xi|^{3-5/4}} \right\|_{L_{12}(\Omega)} \leq c \|g(x,\tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$  and since  $\|g(x,\tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \in L_2(0,t)$ , then from Proposition 3 (with  $p = 2; \mu = 5/8; q = \frac{p}{1-p(1-\mu)} = 8$ ) the following inequality  $\left\| \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\|g(x,\tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}d\tau_1}{(\tau-\tau_1)^{5/8}} \right\|_{L_8(0,t)} \leq c \|g(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$  follows.

Using the estimate (2,10) (with  $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \le \mu < 1$ ), the Proposition  $4_a$  (with  $\lambda = 1/4; q = \frac{6}{3-2\lambda} = \frac{12}{5}$ ) and Proposition 3 (with  $p = 2; \mu = 5/8; q = \frac{p}{1-p(1-\mu)} = 8$ ) the following estimate  $\|\frac{\partial Gg(x,t)}{\partial x}\|_{L_{12/5,8}(Q_t)} \le c \|g(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$  is proved similarly. By these estimates the second estimate of (2.11) follows. The inequality (2.11) is proved.

**b)** The proofs of the inequalities in (2.12.1), (2.12.2) follow from the estimates of the Green function (2,9), (2,10), Proposition  $4_a$  and is similar to the proof of **a**). The parameters in the second inequality of (2,12.1) satisfy all conditions of parameters  $p_1, p_2$  in (2,4) of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 6.** (Theorem of Leray J., Schauder J.) Let A be a compact nonlinear operator on  $L_2(Q_t)$ . If every possible solution to the following equation [3 p.42]

$$w(x,t) + Aw(x,t) = f(x,t)$$

do not fall outside the bounds of some sphere  $|w(x,t)|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq c$ , then for any right-hand side  $f(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$  the equation has at least one solution in this sphere.

**Proposition 7.**(The equation of Abel-Carleman.) The equation of Abel-Carleman is set by the following formulas [8 p.39]:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = f(t); \qquad u(t) = \frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\pi} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \qquad (2.13)$$

Let  $-\infty < \mu_1 < 1; -\infty < \mu_2 < 1.$  Then

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu_{1}}} d\tau \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{g(\tau_{1})d\tau_{1}}{(\tau-\tau_{1})^{\mu_{2}}} = \int_{0}^{t} g(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1} \int_{\tau_{1}}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu_{1}}} \frac{d\tau}{(\tau-\tau_{1})^{\mu_{2}}} =$$
$$= \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}^{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}}; \qquad ; \Gamma_{\mu_{1}}^{\mu_{2}} = \frac{\Gamma(1-\mu_{1})\Gamma(1-\mu_{2})}{\Gamma(2-\mu_{1}-\mu_{2})}$$
(2.14)

**Proposition 8.** (The linear Navier-Stokes equation) Let t > 0 be an arbitrary real number. We consider the following linear Navier-Stokes problem on the domain  $Q_t$ : [3, p.95]

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \rho \bigtriangleup u_i(x,t) - \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = w_i(x,t)$$

$$div \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0 ; \quad \mathbf{u}(x,0) = 0 , \quad \mathbf{u}(x,t) \mid_{\partial\Omega \times [0,t]} = 0$$

$$(2.15),$$

For this problem in the manuscript of author [12] is received the explicit expression to the pressure function p(x,t), depending on the right-hand side  $w_i(x,t)$ :

$$p(x,t) = -T * \Delta^{-1} * \int_0^t \int_\Omega \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau = (2,16)$$

$$= -\frac{d}{dt} \triangle^{-1} * \int_0^t \int_\Omega \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau + \rho \cdot \int_0^t \int_\Omega \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau$$

where  $T * u(x,t) = \frac{d}{dt}u(x,t) - \rho \Delta_x u(x,t)$  is the parabolic operator ,  $\Delta^{-1}$  is the inverse operator to Dirichlet problem for Laplase equation on the domain  $\Omega$ .  $G(x,t;\xi,\tau)$  is the Green function of Dirichlet problem for the parabolic equation on the domain  $Q_t = \Omega \times [0,t]$ [9 p.106]. If  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ , then  $\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x,t;\xi,\tau) \mathbf{w}(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$ . It is obvious that:

$$\Big| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_{1}^3 \frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau \Big|_{W_2^{1,1}(Q_t)} < c \cdot |\mathbf{w}(x,t)|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

From this estimate follows the following estimate:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left( \left| \frac{d}{dt} \, \triangle^{-1} * \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{1}^{3} \frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau_{1})}{dx_{i}} w_{i}(\xi,\tau_{1}) d\xi d\tau_{1} \right|_{W_{2}^{1}(\Omega)} \right)^{2} d\tau < c |\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})}^{2}$$

We consider the formula (2,16) in detail. It is known that the following classical problem for the parabolic equations:  $u_t - \rho \Delta u(x,t) = f(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ ,  $u_{t=0\cup\partial\Omega} = 0$  has the unique solution  $u(x,t) \in W_2^1(Q_t)$  and  $||u(x,t)||_{W_2^1(Q_t)} < c||f(x,t)||_{L_2(Q_t)}$  where  $Q_t = \Omega \times [0,t]$ . Therefore, from the formula (2,16) follow the following estimates:

$$\left\|\frac{d}{dx_{i}}\frac{d}{dt}\triangle^{-1}*\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\Omega}\sum_{1}^{3}\frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{dx_{i}}w_{i}(\xi,\tau)d\xi d\tau\right\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} < c\|w(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})}$$
$$\left\|\frac{d}{dx_{i}}\rho\cdot\int_{0}^{t}\int_{\Omega}\sum_{1}^{3}\frac{dG(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{dx_{i}}w_{i}(\xi,\tau)d\xi d\tau\right\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} < c\|w(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})}$$

Differentiating the function of pressure p(x,t) in formula (2,16) by  $x_i$ , we find  $\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3, depending on the right-hand side  $w_i(x, t)$ . And by these estimates, integrating on the domain  $Q_t$ , we obtain the following estimate:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{1}^{3} \left| \frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_{i}} \right|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d\tau < c \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{1}^{3} |w_{i}(x,\tau)|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d\tau$$
(2.17)

By the formula (2,16) and estimate (2,17) on the vector space  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) = \left(w_i(x,t)\right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  we define the following linear and bounded operator:

$$P(w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} = \left(\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}\right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(x,t)$$
(2,18)

where the functions  $\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}$  is defined by the functions  $w_i(x,t)$  from the formula (2,16). Using the Green function  $G(x,t;\xi,\tau)$ , from the equation (2,15) we find :

$$u_i(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega G(x,t;\xi,\tau) \left( w_i(\xi,\tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) d\xi d\tau$$
(2.19)

And present the nonlinear Navier-Stokes equations (2,1) as:

$$w_i(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 G\left(w_j(x,t) + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_j}\right) \cdot G_{x_j}\left(w_i(x,t) + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i}\right) = f_i(x,t) \quad (2.20)$$

where  $G_{x_j}w_i(x,t) = \frac{\partial Gw_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j}$ . Differentiating the pressure function p(x,t) in the formula (2,16) by  $x_i$ , we find  $\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3, depending on the functions  $w_i(x,t)$ , and substitute these functions to the equation (2,20). Then, for the definition of the three unknown functions  $(w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}$  we obtain the three system of nonlinear equations of Volterra (2,20).

**Remark 2,3.** It is obvious that the vector function  $\left(\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}\right)_{i=1,2,3}$  depends on the vector function  $(w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}$  linearly. But we shall not write the expressions of these depends, we shall use the estimate (2,17).

**Navier-Stokes problem 2.** Find the vector-function  $(w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ , satisfying the equation (2,20) almost every where on  $Q_t$ .

We will find the unknown vector-function  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) = (w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t).$ 

**Theorem 2.2** For any right-side  $\mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$  in the system of equations (2,20) there exists a unique vector-function  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$ , satisfying almost everywhere on  $Q_T$ , the system equations (2,20). And for any possible solution  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) : \|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} = \|\|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} = \|\|\mathbf{w}(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \infty$  to the basis equation (2,20), the following a priori estimate is valid

$$\|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} < \sqrt{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)}$$
(2.21)

where  $Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$ ; T > 0 is an arbitrary real number.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

### The following is the key Lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let the vector-function  $\mathbf{g}(x,t) = (g_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . I.e.  $|\mathbf{g}(x,\tau)|_{L_2(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} (\int_{Q_t} g_i^2(x,\tau) \, dx d\tau)^{1/2} < \infty$ . We define the following vector-function:

$$Gg_j(x,t)G_{x_j}\mathbf{g}(x,t) = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^3 Gg_j(x,t)G_{x_j}g_i(x,t)\Big)_{i=1,2,3} = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^3 Gg_j(x,t)\frac{\partial Gg_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j}\Big)_{i=1,2,3}$$

Then for any t > 0 there exists a constant b > 0 independent on  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x},t)$  such that the following inequality is valid:

$$\left\| Gg_j(x,t)G_{x_j}\mathbf{g}(x,t) \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq b \left( \int_0^t \frac{g(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \right)^2$$
(3.1)

where  $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < 1$ ,  $g(\tau) = \|\mathbf{g}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} (\int_{\Omega} g_i^2(x,\tau) dx)^{1/2}$ .  $\blacktriangleright$  Let  $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < 1$ . By the estimate (2.12.2) in Proposition 5 and the following inequality:  $\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_i c_j \cdot a_i \cdot a_j \leq b (\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i)^2$  we have

$$\|Gg_{j}(x,t)G_{x_{j}}\mathbf{g}(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \|Gg_{j}(x,t)G_{x_{j}}g_{i}(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} <$$
  
$$< \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{i} c_{j} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g_{i}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g_{j}(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} < b \Big( \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \Big)^{2}$$

Lemma is proved.  $\blacktriangleleft$ 

From the basis equation (2.20) and the inequality (3.1), integrating over the domain  $\Omega$ , and, using the Holder inequality, the estimates (2,9), (2,10) for Green function, we obtain the following estimate:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b \left( \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau \right)^2$$
 (3.2)

where

$$w(\tau) = \|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_i^2(x,\tau) \, dx\right)^{1/2} \ge 0;$$
$$p(\tau) = \sum_{1}^{3} \left\|\frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_i}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \ge 0; \quad f(t) = \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i^2(x,\tau) \, dx\right)^{1/2} \ge 0 \quad (3.2')$$

**Remark 3-1.** Let T > 0 be an arbitrary number. We have proved that for all solutions  $\mathbf{w}(x,t), p(x,t)$  of the basis equation (2.20) the functions  $w(\tau) =$  $\|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \ p(\tau) = \sum_{1}^{3} \left\|\frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_i}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$  satisfy to the inequality (3.2). The inequality (3.2) does not exclude the functions of the type  $w(t) + p(t) = \frac{t}{T-t} \notin L_2(0,T)$ . I.e. these functions satisfy the inequality (3.2). Note that  $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < \frac{3}{4}$  and  $w(t) \in L_2(0,T)$ . By Proposition 3 and estimate (2,17) in Proposition 8 it follows that:

$$\left\| \left( \int_0^t \frac{(w(\tau) + p(\tau)) d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{\mu}} \right)^2 \right\|_{L_2(0,T)} < c \cdot \|w(t) + p(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < c \cdot \|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)}$$
(3.3)

In the Theorem 2.2 we assumed that for all possible solutions  $\mathbf{w}(x,t)$  of the basic equation (2,20) the function  $w(t) = \|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \in L_2(0,T).$ 

By the basis equation (2,20) we have proved the inequality (3,2). Below (see Theorem 3.1), we shall prove that for all functions  $w(t), p(t) \in L_2(0,T)$ :  $\|p(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < c\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \infty$ , satisfying the inequality (3,2), the following a priori estimate:  $||w(t)||_{L_2(0,T)} < \sqrt{2} ||f(t)||_{L_2(0,T)}$  holds.

**Lemma 3.2.** From the estimates (2,17), (3,2) follows that:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b_1 \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$
 (3.4)

where  $b_1 = b + c$  is constant independent on function w(t).

▶ By Holder inequality and the inequality  $(a+b)^2 < 2a^2 + 2b^2$  from the basic inequality (3,2) follows that:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b \Big( \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(t)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} \, d\tau \Big)^2 < f(t) + \frac{2 b}{1-\mu} \cdot T^{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau) + p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau \Big)^2 = 0$$

Using the estimate (2,17) in Proposition 8 and the inequality  $(a_1+a_2+a_3)^2 < 3 \cdot (a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2)$ , we infer:

$$\int_{0}^{t} p^{2}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{0}^{t} \left( \sum_{1}^{3} \|p_{x_{i}}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \right)^{2} d\tau < 3 \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{1}^{3} \|p_{x_{i}}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d\tau < 3c \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{1}^{3} \|w_{i}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d\tau < c \int_{0}^{t} \left( \sum_{1}^{3} \|w_{x_{i}}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \right)^{2} d\tau = 3c \cdot \int_{0}^{t} w^{2}(\tau) d\tau$$

I.e.:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b_1 \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau) + p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau; \qquad ; \int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau < c \cdot \int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau \qquad (3,4')$$

where  $b_1 = \frac{2 b}{1-\mu} \cdot T^{1-\mu}$ . We shall prove by the second inequality of (3,4') that there exists a constant c > 0:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{p^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau < c \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{w^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$
(3,4")

We shall prove this estimate by contradiction method and assumed that there exists a constants  $c_n \to \infty$ :

$$\int_0^t \frac{p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau > c_n \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$

Let us apply to this inequality the following operator:  $J^{1-\mu}u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau$ . Then:  $\int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau > d\tau$  $\int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau$ . But:  $\int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau < c \cdot \int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau$ . And this contraction proves the estimate (3,4"). Lemma 3,2 is proved.

**Remark 3-2.** Below, using the **Riccati's** replacement of the function w(t), from the estimate (3,4) we derive the following estimate:  $||w(t)||_{L_2(0,T)} < \sqrt{2} ||f(t)||_{L_2(0,T)}$ . For these aims we consider the following equation.

The equation of Riccati. In 1715, Riccati has studied the following nonlinear equation on the segment [0,T] where T > 0 is an arbitrary real number [10 p.41]:

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = f(t) + b z^{2}(t); \quad z(0) = 0$$

By the replacement of the unknown function  $z(t) = -\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)}$ , this nonlinear equation is reduced to the following linear equation of the second order:

$$\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} + bf(t)u(t) = 0; \quad \frac{du(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$$

Using the Riccati's result, we prove the following key proposition.

**Theorem 3.1.** For all functions  $w(t) \in L_2(0,T)$  satisfying the inequality (3,4) the following estimate holds:

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \sqrt{2} \cdot \|f(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)}$$
(3,5)

This estimate does not depends on the number  $b_1$  in (3,4).

 $\blacktriangleright$  In (3.4) we make the replacement of the function:

$$w_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$
 (3,6)

and, using the inequality  $(a + b)^2 < 2a^2 + 2b^2$ , we rewrite the basis inequality (3,4) as:

$$\int_0^t \frac{\frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^2(t) + 2b_1^2 \cdot w_1^2(t)$$
(3,7)

Let  $k: 0 < k < \infty$  and s: 0 < s < 1 - are an arbitraries real numbers and for t > 1 we present the inequality (3,7) as:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\frac{dw_{1}(\tau)}{d\tau}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^{2}(t) + k \int_{0}^{t} \frac{w_{1}^{2}(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} + 2b_{1}^{2} \cdot t^{\mu}w_{1}^{2}(t) - k \int_{0}^{t} \frac{w_{1}^{2}(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} (3,8)$$

Applying the Mellin transformation, for  $s: 0 < s + \mu < 1$  we obtain:

$$\int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \cdot \left(2b_1^2 \cdot t^\mu w_1^2(t) - k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}}\right) dt = \\ = \left(2b_1^2 - k \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{s+\mu} \cdot (1-\tau)^{1-\mu}}\right) \cdot \int_0^\infty \tau^{s+\mu-1} w_1^2(\tau) d\tau$$

From this equality follows that:  $\left(2b_1^2 \cdot t^{1-\mu}w_1^2(t) - k\int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}}\right) < 0$  for all numbers  $k \gg 1$ :

$$\left(2b_1^2 - k \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{s+\mu} \cdot (1-\tau)^{1-\mu}}\right) < 0 \tag{3,9}$$

where  $s: 0 < s + \mu < 1$ . Using this inequality, we rewrite the inequality (3,8) as follows:

$$\int_0^t \frac{\frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^2(t) + k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}}$$
(3,10)

In this inequality, as Riccati, we shall make the replacement of the function  $w_1(\tau)$ 

$$w_1(\tau) = -\frac{1}{k} \frac{z'(\tau)}{z(\tau)}; \quad ; \frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{z''(\tau)}{z(\tau)} + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{z'(\tau)}{z(\tau)}\right)^2$$
(3,11)

From the definition of the function  $w_1(t)$  by (3,6) follows that:

$$z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t w_1(\tau) d\tau} = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau}$$
(3,11')

Since  $w_1(0) = 0$ , then  $z'(0) = \frac{dz(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$ . From the inequality (3,10) we have

$$-\frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \frac{\frac{1}{z(\tau)} \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^2(t)$$
(3,12)

Or

$$-\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{z(\tau)} \cdot \frac{d^{2}z(\tau)}{d\tau^{2}} d\tau < \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$
(3,13)

Let us denote:

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \frac{1}{z(\tau)} \cdot \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2} d\tau + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = g(t) > 0$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{d^2 z(t)}{dt^2} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot z(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = z(t) \frac{dg(t)}{dt}$$
(3,13')

Since  $g(t) > 0, g(0) = 0, -\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau} > 0$ , integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$\int_0^t z(\tau) \cdot \frac{d}{d\tau} g(\tau) = z(t)g(t) + \int_0^t \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) \cdot g(\tau)d\tau > 0$$

Since  $\frac{dz(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$ , integrating the equation (3,13') over [0,t], we obtain the following important inequality:

$$-\frac{dz(t)}{dt} < k \cdot \int_0^t z(\tau) \frac{dF_\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} d\tau$$
(3,14)

where

$$F_{\mu}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau \qquad (3,15)$$

Integrating by parts, from the basis inequality (3,14), we have:

$$-\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{dz(t)}{dt} < z(t) \cdot F_{\mu}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} F_{\mu}(\tau) \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) \cdot d\tau$$
(3,16)

In order to proof the a priori estimate , it is **necessary** that the function  $F_{\mu}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$  is increasing on [0,T]. Otherwise, the proof of this estimate is difficult.

**Remark 3-3.** Not for all positive right-hand side  $f^2(t) : f^2(t) \in L_1(0,T)$  and real numbers  $\mu : 1/2 < \mu < 1$  the function  $f_{\mu}(t) = \frac{\sin \pi \delta}{\pi} \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$  is increasing on [0,T]. For example, we consider the following function  $f_{\mu}(t) = \frac{\sin \pi \mu}{\pi} \int_0^t \frac{1-k\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$  where  $(1-k\tau) > 0$  on (0,t), i.e.  $0 < \tau < 1/k$ . Then  $\frac{df_{\mu}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\sin \pi \mu}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{\mu}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k}{1-\mu} \cdot t\right)$ , and for  $t : \frac{1-\mu}{k} < t < \frac{1}{k}$  the function  $f_{\mu}(t)$  is decreasing on  $(\frac{1-\mu}{k}, \frac{1}{k})$ .

Let  $f(t) : f(t) \in L_2(0,T)$  - is an arbitrary function. To define the right-hand side f(t), for which the function  $F_{\mu}(t)$  is increasing on [0,T], we introduce the following functional spaces:

$$L_2^+(0,T) = \left\{ f^2(t) : f(t) > 0, \int_0^T f^2(\tau) d\tau < \infty, \right\}$$

$$L_{1-\mu}^{+}(0,T) = \left\{ f_{1-\mu}(t) : f_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \right\}$$
(3,17)

where  $g(t): g(t) \in L_2^+(0,T)$  is an arbitrary function.

**Remark 3-4.** For all functions  $\{f_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{g^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \in L^+_{1-\mu}(0,T)\}$  the functions

$$F_{\mu}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f_{1-\mu}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{g^{2}(\tau_{1})d\tau_{1}}{(\tau-\tau_{1})^{1-\mu}} = 2\int_{0}^{t} g^{2}(\tau)d\tau \quad (3,18)$$

are increasing on [0,T]. This remark is **important** for the proof the a priori estimate.

**Lemma 3.3** For any right-side  $f(t) = f_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{g^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \in L^+_{1-\mu}(0,T)$  in the basis equations (3,14) and (3,15) the following a priori estimate holds:

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \sqrt{2} \cdot \|f_{1-\mu}(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)}$$
(3,19)

• Since  $\left(-\frac{dz(t)}{dt}\right) > 0$  and for any function  $f_{1-\mu}(t) = \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0,T)$  the function  $F_{\mu}(t) = 2 \cdot \int_0^t g^2(\tau) d\tau$  is increasing (Remark 3.4), we rewrite the inequality (3,16) as:

$$-\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{dz(t)}{dt} < z(t) \cdot F_{\mu}(t) + F_{\mu}(t) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) \cdot d\tau$$
(3,20)

Let us denote:  $\int_0^t \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) d\tau = z_1(t)$ . Then this equation will accept the following kind:

$$\frac{dz_1(t)}{dt} - k \cdot F_{\mu}(t) z_1(t) < k \cdot F_{\mu}(t) \cdot z(t)$$
(3,20')

Since  $z_1(0) = 0$  and  $k \cdot F_{\mu}(t) \cdot z(t) > 0$ , from this inequality and Gronwall's Lemma we infer that:

$$z_{1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left( -\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau} \right) d\tau < k \cdot \left( \int_{0}^{t} F_{\mu}(\tau) z(\tau) e^{-k \int_{0}^{\tau} F_{\mu}(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1}} d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_{0}^{t} F_{\mu}(\tau) d\tau}$$

Since z(t) > 0 and the function  $F_{\mu}(t) > 0$  is increasing, we rewrite this inequality:

$$z(0) - z(t) < k \cdot F_{\mu}(t) \left( \int_{0}^{t} z(\tau) e^{-k \int_{0}^{\tau} F_{\mu}(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1}} d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_{0}^{t} F_{\mu}(\tau) d\tau}$$
(3,21)

Let us denote:

$$z_2(t) = \int_0^t z(\tau) e^{-k \cdot \int_0^\tau F_\mu(\tau_1) d\tau_1} d\tau$$
 (3,22)

and we present (3,21) in the following form:

$$\frac{dz_2(t)}{dt} + k \cdot F_{\mu}(t) \cdot z_2(t) > z(0) \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t F_{\mu}(\tau) d\tau}$$
(3,23)

Using the Gronwall's Lemma and  $z_2(0) = 0$ , we infer that:

$$z_2(t) > z(0) \cdot t \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau}$$
 (3,24)

Since  $z_2(t) = \int_0^t z(\tau) e^{-k \int_0^\tau F_\mu(\tau_1) d\tau_1} d\tau < \int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau$ , it follows from (3,22),(3,24) that

$$z(0) \cdot t \quad < \quad \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau\right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \tag{3.25}$$

where the function z(t) is defined by (3,11') and (3,6):

$$z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t w_1(\tau) d\tau} = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau}$$
(3,25')

**Remark 3.5**. From (3,25') we have:

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = -z(0) \cdot k \cdot \left(\int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau\right) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} < 0.$$

If for a some  $t_0 \in (0,\infty)$   $\frac{dz(t)}{dt}|_{t=t_0} = 0$ , then the following two cases are possible:  $\mathbf{1} \cdot \int_0^{t_0} \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t_0-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = 0$ , or  $\mathbf{2} \cdot \int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$ . If  $\mathbf{1} \cdot \int_0^{t_0} \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t_0-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = 0$ , then  $w(t) \equiv 0$  on  $[0, t_0)$ , since  $w(t) \ge 0$  on  $[0, t_0)$ . If  $\mathbf{2} \cdot \int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$ , then below we shall prove that for all positive functions  $w^2(t)$  satisfying to the basis inequality (3,25):  $\int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < \infty$ .

### The following is the key Lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 3.5'.** Let t > 0 is an arbitrary real number. For all positive functions  $w^2(\tau)$  satisfying to the basis inequality (3,25) follows that:

$$\int_{0}^{t} w^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < \infty$$
(3,36)

where  $\mu : 1/2 < \mu < 1$ . Or, passing to limit  $\mu \to 1$ , we obtain:

$$\int_0^t w^2(\tau) \ d\tau \quad < \quad \infty \tag{3.36'}$$

• We shall prove this Lemma by contradiction method and rewrite the basis inequality (3,25):

$$z(0) \cdot t \quad < \quad \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau\right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \tag{3,25}$$

where the function z(t) is defined by (3,11'):

$$z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau}$$
(3,25')

Let for a some number  $t_0$ :  $\int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0 - \tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$ . I.e.  $w^2(\tau) \approx \frac{c}{|t_0 - \tau|^{\lambda} \cdot |t_0 - \tau|^{1-\mu}}$ where  $\lambda \ge 1$  is a real number. Then for all  $t \ge t_0$ :  $\int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t - \tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$ . And  $z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \equiv 0$  for  $t \ge t_0$ . Since  $e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} \tau} \cdot t \Big|_0^{t_0} = 0$ , then, integrating by part, we have:

$$\frac{1}{z(0)} \int_0^{t_0} z(\tau) d\tau = + \int_0^{t_0} t \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} d\left(\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} \tau\right)$$

In this equality we shall make the replacement of variable  $\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}\tau = t_1$ . Then:

$$\frac{1}{z(0)} \int_0^{t_0} z(\tau) d\tau < t_0 \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-t_1} dt_1 = t_0$$

From the definition of the function  $F_{\mu}(t)$  by (3,15) we obtain:

$$\int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t f^2(\tau) (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \qquad (3,15')$$

Using the following limits:  $\lim_{\mu\to 1} \Gamma(1-\mu) \cdot (1-\mu) = 1$  and  $\lim_{\mu\to 1} (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} = 1$ , we get:  $\lim_{\mu\to 1} \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t f^2(\tau) d\tau$ . Using these facts, from the basis inequality (2,25) we have:

$$t < t_0 \cdot e^{\int_0^T f^2(\tau) d\tau}$$

But, for  $t \gg 1$  we have received the contradiction. Therefore  $\int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < \infty$ . Lemma is proved.

**Remark 3.35'.** Hence, for **any positive** function  $w^2(t) \ge 0$  satisfying to the basis inequality (3,25) the function z(t) > 0 is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and monotonously decreasing from z(0) to zero on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Let T > 0 be an arbitrary real number. We rewrite the basis inequality (3,25) for t = T as:

$$T \cdot z(0) < \left(\int_0^T z(t)dt + \int_T^{2T} z(t)dt\right) \cdot e^{k\int_0^T F_\mu(\tau)d\tau}$$
(3,27)

Since the function  $z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau}$  is continuous on  $[0,\infty)$  and monotonously decreasing from z(0) to zero, there exists the numbers  $t_1, t_2$ :

 $t_1 : 0 < t_1 < T ; ; t_2 : T < t_2 < 2T$  (3,27)

such that the following equalities holds:

$$\int_{0}^{T} e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} w^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} d\tau = \left( e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} w^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \right) \cdot T$$
(3,28)

$$\int_{T}^{2T} e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{t} w^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} d\tau = \left( e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} w^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \right) \cdot T$$
(3,28')

Using these equalities, we rewrite the inequality (3,27) as:

$$1 < \left(e^{-w_2(t_1)} + e^{-w_2(t_2)}\right) \cdot e^{k \cdot \int_0^T F_\mu(\tau) d\tau}$$
 (3,29)

where

$$w_2(t_1) = \frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_1} w^2(\tau) (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau; \quad ; w_2(t_2) = \frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau) (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \quad (3,29')$$

and present the inequality (3,29) as:

$$e^{w_2(t_2)} < \left(\frac{e^{w_2(t_2)}}{e^{w_2(t_1)}} + 1\right) \cdot e^{k \cdot \int_0^T F_\mu(\tau) d\tau}$$
 (3,30)

Let in formula (3,15) the right-side

$$f^{2}(t) = f^{2}_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \in L^{+}_{1-\mu}(0,T)$$
(3,31)

where the  $g(t): g(t) \in L_2(0,T)$  is an arbitrary function. Then, from (3,15) we have:

$$F_{\mu}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f_{1-\mu}^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau =$$

$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \frac{g^{2}(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1}}{(\tau-\tau_{1})^{1-\mu}} d\tau = 2 \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g^{2}(\tau) d\tau$$
(3,32)

From (3,31) and (3,32) follows that:

$$g^{2}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f_{1-\mu}^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau; \qquad ; F_{\mu}(t) = 2 \int_{0}^{t} g^{2}(\tau) d\tau = 2 \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f_{1-\mu}^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau \qquad (3,32')$$

and from (3,32') we have:

$$\int_{0}^{t} F_{\mu}(\tau) d\tau = \frac{2}{1-\mu} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} \cdot f_{1-\mu}^{2}(\tau) d\tau \qquad (3,33)$$

Since the function  $e^{-w_2(t)}$  is decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $t_2 > t_1$ , we have:  $e^{w_2(t_2)}/e^{w_2(t_1)} < 1$ . And from (3,30) follows that

$$e^{\frac{k}{1-\mu}\int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}d\tau} < 2 \cdot e^{2\cdot\frac{k}{1-\mu}\int_0^T f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}d\tau}$$

or

$$k \int_{0}^{t_{2}} w^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \quad < \quad (1-\mu) \cdot \ln 2 \quad + \quad 2k \cdot \int_{0}^{T} f_{1-\mu}^{2}(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \qquad (3,34)$$

Passing to the limit  $\mu \to 1$ , from  $t_2 > T$ ,  $\lim_{\mu \to 1} (t - \tau)^{1-\mu} = 1$  and this inequality we obtain:

$$\int_{0}^{T} w^{2}(\tau) d\tau < 2 \cdot \int_{0}^{T} f_{1-\mu}^{2}(\tau) d\tau \qquad (3,34')$$

Lemma 3.3 is proved.  $\triangleleft$ 

**The proof of Theorem 3.1.** Lemma 3-4. The space of functions  $L_{1-\mu}^+(0,T)$  is dense in the space of functions  $L_2^+(0,T)$  in the norm of the space  $L_2(0,T)$ . I.e. for all functions  $f(t) \in L_2^+(0,T)$ , there exists a sequence of functions:  $f_{n_{1-\mu}}(t) \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0,T)$ :

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} - \|f(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} \right) < \lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}(t) - f(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} \to 0 \quad (3,35)$$

or

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t)\|_{L_1(0,T)} - \|f^2(t)\|_{L_1(0,T)} \right) < \lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t) - f^2(t)\|_{L_1(0,T)} \to 0 \quad (3,35')$$

**Remark 3.6.** Let us note that for any n = 1, 2, ... the functions  $f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t) \in L^+_{1-\mu}(0, T)$ . From Remark 3.4 follows that the functions  $F_{n_{\mu}}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma^{\mu}_{1-\mu}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f_{n_{1-\mu}}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$  are  $||w(t)||_{L_2(0,T)} < 2 \cdot ||f_{n_{1-\mu}}(t)||_{L_2(0,T)}$ .  $\blacktriangleright$  We prove Lemma 3.4 by the contradiction method. Let there exists a function  $f_0(t) : f_0(t) \ge 0, f_0(t) \ne 0, f_0(t) \in L_2(0,T)$ , such that for all functions  $f(t) : f(t) \ge 0, f(t) \in L_2(0,T)$  the following equality is valid

$$\int_0^T f_0(t) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} dt = \int_0^T f(\tau) \cdot \left(\int_\tau^T \frac{f_0(t)dt}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}}\right) d\tau = 0$$

Since  $f(\tau) \ge 0$  is an arbitrary function, by this equality it follows that for all  $\tau \in [0, T]$ :  $\int_{\tau}^{T} \frac{f_0(t)dt}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \equiv 0$ . Then  $f_0(t) \equiv 0$ . Lemma is proved.

Let  $\epsilon_n : 0 < \epsilon_n \ll 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$  are an arbitraries real numbers. Then for any function  $f(t) \in L_2(0,T)$ , f(t) > 0 by (3,35') and for  $n \gg 1$  it follows that:

$$f^{2}(t) = f^{2}(t) - f^{2}_{n_{1-\mu}}(t) + f^{2}_{n_{1-\mu}}(t) < |f^{2}(t) - f^{2}_{n_{1-\mu}}(t)| + f^{2}_{n_{1-\mu}}(t) < \epsilon_{n} + f^{2}_{n_{1-\mu}}(t)$$

Then:

$$F_{\mu}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau < \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\epsilon_{n} + f_{n_{1-\mu}}^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} \cdot \epsilon_{n} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f_{n_{1-\mu}}^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau\right)$$

Let us denote:

$$F_{\mu}^{n}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} \cdot \epsilon_{n} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f_{n_{1-\mu}}^{2}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau\right)$$
(3,36)

Since the functions  $f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2 \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0,T)$  and the function  $t^{1-\mu}$  is increasing, the functions  $F_{\mu}^n(t)$  are increasing on [0,T] and  $F_{\mu}(t) < F_{\mu}^n(t)$ . Therefore, from the basis inequality (3,25) we have:

$$z(0) \cdot t \quad < \quad \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau\right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu^n(\tau) d\tau} \tag{3,25'}$$

and

$$\int_0^t F_{\mu}^n(\tau) d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^{\mu}} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{2-\mu}}{(1-\mu)(2-\mu)}\epsilon_n + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(\tau) d\tau\right)$$

Further similarly to the proof of an estimate (3,34), we obtain:

$$k \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < (1-\mu) \cdot \ln 2 + \int_0^T F_\mu^n(\tau) d\tau \qquad (3,34')$$

Using the following limits:  $\lim_{\mu\to 1} \Gamma(1-\mu) \cdot (1-\mu) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t)\|_{L_1(0,T)} = \|f^2(t)\|_{L_1(0,T)}$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$  and Remark 3.6, passing to the limits  $\mu \to 1$  and  $n \to \infty$ , from the inequality (3,34') we get:

$$\int_{0}^{T} w^{2}(\tau) d\tau < 2 \cdot \int_{0}^{T} f^{2}(\tau) d\tau \qquad (3,37)$$

Theorem 3,1 is proved.  $\blacktriangleleft \blacktriangleleft$ 

#### Proof of Theorem 2,1.

**Definition 3.** If the sequence of vector-functions  $\{\mathbf{w}_n(x,t)\}$  weakly converges to the vector-function  $\mathbf{w}_0(x,t)$  in the space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ , then we denote :  $\mathbf{w}_n(x,t) \rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0(x,t)$ . I.e. for an arbitrary vector-function  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  the following convergence is valid:  $(\mathbf{w}_n(x,t), \mathbf{u}(x,t))_{L_2(Q_t)} \rightarrow (\mathbf{w}_0(x,t), \mathbf{u}(x,t))_{L_2(Q_t)} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$ 

2) If the sequence of vector-functions  $\{\mathbf{w}_n(x,t)\}$  strongly converges to the vectorfunction  $\mathbf{w}_0(x,t)$  in the space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ , then we denote:  $\mathbf{w}_n(x,t) \Rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0(x,t)$ . I.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathbf{w}_n(x,t) - \mathbf{w}_0(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \to 0$ .

**Lemma 3.4** Let the vector-function  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) = (w^i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . I.e.  $\|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} (\int_{Q_t} (w^i)^2(x,\tau) dx d\tau)^{1/2} < \infty$ . We define the following nonlinear operator K on the vector-space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ :

$$K * \left( \mathbf{w}(x,t) \right) = Gw^{j}(x,t)G_{x_{j}}\mathbf{w}(x,t) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{3} Gw^{j}(x,t)G_{x_{j}}w^{i}(x,t) \right)_{i=1,2,3}$$

Let us prove that

$$K * \mathbf{w}_n(x, t) \Rightarrow K * \mathbf{w}_0(x, t)$$
 (3.38)

as  $\mathbf{w}_n(x,t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}_0(x,t)$ .

It follows from this proposition that the operator K is compact on the vector-space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . It is follows from book [3 p.42]

▶ Then

$$\|K \ast \mathbf{w}_n(x,t) - K \ast \mathbf{w}_0(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \le c \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|Gw_n^j(x,t)G_{x_j}w_n^i(x,t) - Gw_0^j(x,t)G_{x_j}w_0^i(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

Let us estimate a each member:

$$\left\| Gw_n(x,t)G_xw_n(x,t) - Gw_0(x,t)G_xw_0(x,t) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \le$$
(3.39)

$$\leq \left\| G_x w_n(x,t) \left( G w_n(x,t) - G w_0(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} + \left\| G w_0(x,t) \left( G_x w_n(x,t) - G_x w_0(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

We obtain the following estimates, using the formula (2.12.2) in Proposition 5, the inequality (2,4) in Proposition 1 and Proposition 3 for  $\mu: 5/8 < \mu < 1$ ,:

$$\left\| G_{x}w_{n}(x,t) \left( Gw_{n}(x,t) - Gw_{0}(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} \leq \\ \leq c \left\| G_{x}w_{n}(x,t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{6}{5-4\mu},\frac{2}{2\mu-1}}(Q_{t})} \cdot \left\| G \left( w_{n}(x,t) - w_{0}(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_{t})} \leq \\ \leq c \left\| w_{n}(x,t) \right\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} \cdot \left\| G \left( w_{n}(x,t) - w_{0}(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_{t})} \to 0 \quad (3,40)$$

We obtain the following estimates , using the formula (2.12.1) in Proposition 5 and the inequality (2,4) in Proposition 1 for  $\mu: 5/8 < \mu < 1$ ,:

$$\left\| Gw_0(x,t) \left( G_x w_n(x,t) - G_x w_0(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \le$$

$$\leq c \left\| Gw_0(x,t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{6}{3-4\mu},\frac{2}{2\mu-1}}(Q_t)} \cdot \left\| G_x \left( w_n(x,t) - w_0(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)} \leq \leq c \left\| w_0(x,t) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \cdot \left\| G_x \left( w_n(x,t) - w_0(x,t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)} \to 0$$
(3,41)

Note that by the weakly convergence  $w_n(x,t) - w_0(x,t) \rightarrow 0$  it follows that for any number n there is a constant c such that:  $||w_n(x,t)||_{L_2(Q_t)} < c||w_0(x,t)||_{L_2(Q_t)}$ . Since  $G\Big(w_n(x,t) - w_0(x,t)\Big) \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$ , the space  $W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$  is compactly enclosed into the space  $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$  [5 p.78], and on the space  $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$  the compact operator G translates the weekly convergence  $w_n(x,t) - w_0(x,t) \rightarrow 0$  to the strongly convergence, then the strongly convergence (3.40) is valid.

Since  $G_x\left(w_n(x,t)-w_0(x,t)\right) \in W_2^1(Q_t)$ , the space  $W_2^1(Q_t)$  is compactly enclosed into the space  $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$  [5 p.78], and on the space  $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu},\frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$  the compact operator  $G_x$  translates the weakly convergence  $w_n(x,t)-w_0(x,t) \rightarrow 0$  to the strongly convergence, then the strongly convergence (3.41) is valid. The strongly convergence (3,38) follows by (3,39), (3,40), (3,41). Lemma is proved.

The proof of Theorem 2.1. Let  $\mathbf{z}(x,t) = (z_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  be an arbitrary vector-function. And the sequence vector-functions  $\mathbf{w}^n(x,t)$  weakly converges to the vector-function  $\mathbf{w}^0(x,t)$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{w}^n(x,t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}^0(x,t)$ . On the vector-space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  we define the following nonlinear operator  $K_p$ :

$$K_p * \left( \mathbf{w}(x,t) \right) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 G \left( w_j(x,t) + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_j} \right) \cdot G_{x_j} \left( w_i(x,t) + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} \right) \right)_{i=1,2,3} \quad (3,42)$$

where the functions  $\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}$  are defined by the functions  $w_i(x,t)$  from the formula (2,16) in Proposition 8. On the vector space  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) = (w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  by the formula (2,18) in Proposition 8 we have defined the following linear and bounded operator:

$$P * \left( w_i(x,t) \right)_{i=1,2,3} = \left( \frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i} \right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(x,t)$$
(3,43)

where the functions  $\frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i}$  is defined by the functions  $w_i(x,t)$  from the formula (2,16) in Proposition 8. Since P is linear and bounded operator on  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ , there exists the linear and bounded connected operator  $P^*$ . Let  $\mathbf{z}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  is an arbitrary vector-function and  $\mathbf{w}^n(x,t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}^0(x,t)$ . Then:

$$\begin{split} \left( \left( w_i^n(x,t) + \frac{dp(x,t)}{dx_i} \right)_{i=1,2,3}, \mathbf{z}(x,t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} &= \left( (w_i^n(x,t) + P * (w_i^n(x,t))_{i=1,2,3}, \mathbf{z}(x,t)) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} = \\ &= \left( \mathbf{w}^n(x,t) \ , \ \mathbf{z}(x,t) + P^* * \mathbf{z}(x,t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} \to \left( \mathbf{w}^0(x,t) \ , \ \mathbf{z}(x,t) + P^* * \mathbf{z}(x,t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} = \\ &= \left( \mathbf{w}^0(x,t) + P * \mathbf{w}^0(x,t) \ , \ \mathbf{z}(x,t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} \end{split}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . I.e, it is proved that:  $\mathbf{w}^n(x,t) + P * \mathbf{w}^n(x,t) \rightarrow \mathbf{w}^0(x,t) + P * \mathbf{w}^0(x,t)$ . Then, similarly to the proof in Lemma 3.4, proves that:  $K_p * \mathbf{w}^n(x,t) \Rightarrow K_p * \mathbf{w}^0(x,t)$ . Hence, it follows that on the vector-space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  the nonlinear operator  $K_p$  is compact. [3 p.42]. Therefore, it follows by the Leray -Schauder's theorem in Proposition 6, that the basis equation (2,20) has at least one solution  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  and it follows from Theorem 3.1 that:  $\|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)}$ . Then, it follows by Proposition 8 that there exists the smooth solution  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_T) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ . But the Navier-Stokes problem has the unique smooth solution [3p.139]. Therefore,  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$  is the unique solution to (2,20). The existence and smoothness of the solution to Navier-stokes equation is proved.

### 4. The Navier-Stokes problem for the inhomogeneous boundary condition.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a finite domain bounded by a Lipschitz surface  $\partial\Omega$  and  $Q_T = \Omega \times [0,T], S = \partial\Omega \times [0,T]$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) = (u_i(x,t)_{i=1,2,3}, \mathbf{f}(x,t) = (f_i(x,t)_{i=1,2,3})$  are vector-functions. Here T > 0 is an arbitrary real number. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by:

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \rho \bigtriangleup u_i(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(x,t) \frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x,t) \quad (4.1),$$
$$div \mathbf{u}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad , i = 1,2,3$$

The Navier-Stokes problem 1. Find a vector-function  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) = (u_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , the scalar function  $p(x,t) : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^1$  satisfying the equation (4.1) and the following initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}(x,0) = \mathbf{a}(x) \quad , \qquad \mathbf{u}(x,t) \mid_{\partial\Omega \times [0,T]} = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

where div  $\mathbf{a}(x) = \frac{da_1(x)}{dx_1} + \frac{da_2(x)}{dx_2} + \frac{da_3(x)}{dx_3} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{a}(x) \in \mathbf{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** For any right-hand side  $\mathbf{f}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  in equation (4.1) and for any real numbers  $\rho > 0, t > 0$ , the Navier-Stokes problem-1 has a unique smooth solution  $\mathbf{u}(x,t) : \mathbf{u}(x,t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ , the scalar function  $p(x,t) : p_{x_i}(x,t) \in L_2(Q_t)$  satisfying to (4.1) almost everywhere on  $Q_t$ , and to the initial conditions (4,2). The following estimate holds:

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x,t)\|_{W_{2}^{2,1}(Q_{t})} + \left\|\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_{2}(Q_{t})} + \|a(x)\|_{W_{2}^{1}(\Omega)}\right)$$
(4.3)

▶ In 1941 Hopf proved that this problem has a weak solution  $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ :

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} + 2\rho \int_{0}^{t} \|\mathbf{u}_{x}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} d\tau < \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{W_{2}^{1}(\Omega)} + c \int_{0}^{t} \|\mathbf{f}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} d\tau$$
(4.4)

and  $\lim_{t\to 0} \|\mathbf{u}(x,t) - \mathbf{a}(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = 0. [3p.143] \blacktriangleleft$ 

The problem 2. Find a vector-function  $\mathbf{u}^0(x,t) = (u_i^0(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$  satisfying the following equation and the initial condition:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}^{0}(x,t)}{dt} - \rho \,\,\Delta \,\mathbf{u}^{0}(x,t) = 0; \quad \mathbf{u}^{0}(x,0) \,\,=\,\,\mathbf{a}(x), \quad \mathbf{u}^{0}(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega\times[0,T]} \,\,=\,\,0 \tag{4.5}$$

► It follows by  $div \mathbf{a}(x) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{u}^0(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega\times[0,T]} = 0$  that:  $div \mathbf{u}^0(x,t) = 0$  for any t > 0. And it follows by  $\mathbf{a}(x) \in \mathbf{W}_2^1(\Omega)$  that:  $|\mathbf{u}^0(x,t)| \le c \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{w_2^1(\Omega)}, |\frac{\mathbf{u}^0(x,t)}{dx_i}| \le c \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{w_2^1(\Omega)}$ . Then the vector-function:  $\mathbf{v}(x,t) = \mathbf{u}(x,t) - \mathbf{u}^0(x,t)$  satisfies the following system of equations:

$$\frac{\partial v_i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \rho \bigtriangleup v_i(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j(x,t) \frac{\partial v_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j^0(x,t) \frac{\partial v_i(x,t)}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j(x,t) \frac{\partial u_i^0(x,t)}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j^0(x,t) \frac{\partial u_i^0(x,t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x,t) \quad (4.6),$$
$$div \mathbf{v}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial v_i(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad , i = 1, 2, 3$$

and the following initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{v}(x,0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega\times[0,T]} = 0 \tag{4,7}$$

Similarly, we introduce the unknown vector-function  $(w_i(x,t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ :

$$\frac{\partial v_i(x,t)}{\partial t} - \rho \bigtriangleup v_i(x,t) - \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = w_i(x,t)$$

$$div \mathbf{v}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial v_i(x,t)}{\partial x_i} = 0 , i = 1,2,3$$
(4.8)

and the following initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{v}(x,0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(x,t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0,T]} = 0$$
 (4,8)

In the Proposition 8 we have proved that for any right-side  $\mathbf{w}(x,t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$  this problem has a unique solution  $\left(v_i(x,t)\right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}(x,t)\|_{W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)} < c \cdot \|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$ . It follows from (4,8) that.

$$v_i(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega G(x,t;\xi,\tau) \left( w_i(\xi,\tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) d\xi \ d\tau; \quad i = 1,2,3$$
(4.9)

Using (4,8) and this formula, we rewrite the Navier-Stokes equation (4,6) as:

$$w_{i}(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^{3} G\left(w_{i}(\xi,\tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \xi_{i}}\right) \cdot G_{x_{j}}\left(w_{i}(\xi,\tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \xi_{i}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} G_{x_{j}}\left(w_{i}(\xi,\tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \xi_{i}}\right) \cdot u_{j}^{0}(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^{3} G\left(w_{j}(\xi,\tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi,\tau)}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right) \cdot \frac{du_{i}^{0}(x,t)}{dx_{j}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{0}(x,t) \cdot \frac{du_{i}^{0}(x,t)}{dx_{j}} = f_{i}(x,t)$$

Similarly to the inequality (3,2), using the estimates (4,4), (4,5), it follows by the equation (4,10) that:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b \left( \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau \right)^2 + c(T) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau \qquad (4,11)$$

where

$$w(\tau) = \|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \ge 0; \quad p(\tau) = \sum_{1}^{3} \left\|\frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \ge 0$$
  
$$f(t) = \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\|u_{j}^{0}(x,t) \cdot \frac{du_{i}^{0}(x,t)}{dx_{j}}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \ge 0 \quad (4.11')$$
  
$$c(T) = \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} + c \int_{0}^{T} \|\mathbf{f}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} d\tau$$

$$c(T) = \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} + c \int_{0}^{T} \|\mathbf{f}(x,\tau)\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} d\tau$$

From the inequality  $a \cdot b < a^2 + b^2$  the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau = \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} d\tau < \int_0^t \frac{(w(\tau) + p(\tau))^2}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau + \frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu}$$

By this inequality and the inequality (3,4) in Lemma 3.2 we rewrite the inequality (4,11) as:

$$w(t) < \left(f(t) + \frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu}\right) + \left(b_1 + c(T)\right) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{(w(\tau) + p(\tau))^2}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$

Similarly, based on the proof of Lemma 3.2, we derive the following inequality:

$$w(t) < \left(f(t) + \frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu}\right) + \left(b_1 + c(T)\right) \cdot (1+c) \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu}} d\tau$$
(4.12)

From the Theorem 3.1, using this inequality, similarly we obtain:

$$\|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} \le \sqrt{2} \cdot c(T) \cdot \|\mathbf{f}_u(x,t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)}$$
(4,13)

where the vector-function  $\mathbf{f}_u(x,t) = \left(f_i(x,t)\right)_{i=1,2,3} + \left(\sum_{1}^3 u_j^0(x,t) \frac{du_i^0(x,t)}{dx_j}\right)_{i=1,2,3}$  and c(T) are defined by (4,11'). And we present the basic equation (4,10) as:

$$\mathbf{w}(x,t) - (K_p + K_1) * (E + P) \mathbf{w}(x,t) = \mathbf{f}(x,t) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_j^0(x,t) \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{u}^0(x,t)}{dx_j} \quad (4,14)$$

where the operator  $(K_p)$  is defined by (3,42), the operator P is defined by (3,43) and E is an identify operator, i.e.  $E(\mathbf{w}(x,t)) = \mathbf{w}(x,t)$ . The operator  $(K_1) * (E + P)$  is defined as:

$$K_1 * \left(E + P\right) \left(\mathbf{w}(x,t)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{du_i^0(x,t)}{dx_j} \cdot G + u_j^0(x,t) \cdot G_{x_j}\right) * \left(E + P\right) \left(\mathbf{w}(x,t)\right) \quad (4,15)$$

As the proof of Theorem 2.1(p.19) and definitions of the operators  $K_p$ , P,  $K_1$  by the formulas (3,42), (3,43) and (4,15) it is proves that the following operators:  $(K_p) * (E + P);$ 

 $(K_1) * (E + P)$  are compact on the vector-space  $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ . Similarly, using Lerau-Schauder's theorem and the estimate (4.13), the existence and smoothness of solution to the Navier-Stokes problem with  $u(x, 0) = a(x) \neq 0$  is proved. Thank you for your attention.

## Declarations

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Funding

There are no funding sources for this manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

### References

- Leray J. : Sur le Mouvement d'un Liquide Emplissent l'Espace , Acta.Math. 51(1934).
- [2]. Temam P.: Navier-Stokes equations, theory and numerical analysis, Oxford, (1979).
- [3]. Ladyzhenskaya O. :The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flows, Gordon and Breach , (1969) .
- [4]. Lions J.L. :Quelques methodes de resolution des problemes aux limites non lineaires, Paris, (1969).
- [5]. Ladyzhenskaya O., Solonnikov B., Uralzeva N. : The linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type, Moscow, (1967).
- [6]. Ladyzhenskaya O. : Problems for Navier Stokes equation , Successes of math sciences / 2003 , v.58 , 2(350) , March - April .
- [7]. Tricomi F.G.: Integral equations., Interscience publishers, INC., New York (1957).
- [8]. Samko S., Kilbas A., Marichev O. : Fractional Integrals and Derivative . Theory and Applications. , Cordon and Breach Sci. Publishers , (1993).
- [9]. Friedman Avner . :Partial differential equations of parabolic type., Prentice-Hall , (1964).
- [10].Kamke E: Gewohnliche Differential Gleichungen., Leipzig, (1959).
- [11].Sobolev S.L.Partial differential equations of Mathematical Physics., Moskow, (1966).
- [12]. Bazarbekov A. "Estimates of solutions to the linear Navier-Stokes equation, 5 pages in Latex". This manuscript has not been published.

Address:050026 ,Muratbaeva St. 94-5, Almaty , Republic Kazakhstan.