

Existence and smoothness of the solution to the Navier-Stokes equation

Dr. Bazarbekov Argyngazy B.

Kazakh National State University, EKSU. e-mail : arg.baz50@mail.ru

Abstract . A fundamental problem in analysis is to decide whether a smooth solution exists for the Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions . In this paper we shall study this problem. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by:

$u_{it}(x, t) - \rho \Delta u_i(x, t) - u_j(x, t) u_{ix_j}(x, t) + p_{x_i}(x, t) = f_i(x, t)$, $div \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ with initial conditions $\mathbf{u}|_{(t=0) \cup \partial\Omega} = 0$. We introduce the unknown vector-function: $(w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$: $u_{it}(x, t) - \rho \Delta u_i(x, t) - \frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i} = w_i(x, t)$ with initial conditions: $u_i(x, 0) = 0$, $u_i(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. The solution $u_i(x, t)$ of this problem is given by: $u_i(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; \xi, \tau) \left(w_i(\xi, \tau) + \frac{dp(\xi, \tau)}{d\xi_i} \right) d\xi d\tau$ where $G(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ is the Green function. We consider the following Navier- Stokes -2 problem : find a solution $\mathbf{w}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, $p(x, t) : p_{x_i}(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ of the system of equations: $w_i(x, t) - G \left(w_j(x, t) + \frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_j} \right) \cdot G_{x_j} \left(w_i(x, t) + \frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i} \right) = f_i(x, t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ satisfying almost every where on Q_t .

Where the vector-function $(p_{x_i}(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$ is defined by the vector-function $(w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$. Using the following estimates for the Green function: $|G(x, t; \xi, \tau)| \leq c/(t - \tau)^\mu \cdot |x - \xi|^{3-2\mu}$; $|G_{x_j}(x, t; \xi, \tau)| \leq c/(t - \tau)^\mu \cdot |x - \xi|^{3-(2\mu-1)}$ ($1/2 < \mu < 1$), from this system of equations we obtain: $w(t) < f(t) + b \left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right)^2$ where $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$, $b = const$; $w(\tau) = \|\mathbf{w}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$; $f(t) = \|\mathbf{f}(x, t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$, $p(\tau) = \sum_1^3 \|\frac{\partial p(x, \tau)}{\partial x_i}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$. Using the estimate: $p(t) < c w(t)$ from this inequality we infer: $w(t) < f(t) + b \left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right)^2$ where b is real number. After the replacements of the functions $\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} = w_1(t)$ and $z(t) = z(0)e^{-k \int_0^t w_1(\tau) d\tau}$ this inequality will accept the following form: $\frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \frac{\frac{z(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2}}{d\tau} + f^2(t) > 0$ where $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$ is a real number. This is analogue of the replacement of function by **Riccati** : $z(t) = -\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{z'(t)}{z(t)}$ for the solution of the following ordinary nonlinear equation : $\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = f(t) + bz^2(t)$; $z(0) = 0$ [10p.41]. From the last inequality we obtain the a priori estimate: $\|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} < \sqrt{2}\|\mathbf{f}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$ where $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$, $t > 0$ is an arbitrary real number. By the well known Leray-Schauder's method and this a priori estimate the existence and uniqueness of the solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ is proved. We used the nine known classical theorems.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification . Primary : 35 K 55 ; Secondary : 46E35; Keywords : The Holder's inequalities, Theorems: of Volterra V., of Hardy-Littlewood , of Sobolev S.L., of Leray-Schauder, of Weyl H., of Abel-Carleman , of Riccati, Gronwall' Lemma. Estimates for the Green function , Gamma function , projection operators , a priori estimate.

1. Introduction. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial t} - \rho \Delta u_i(x, t) + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x, t)$$

$$div \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0 ; i = 1, \dots, n$$

with the initial condition :

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \tag{1.2}$$

where $u(x, t) = (u_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$ and $p(x, t) \in R$ are the unknown velocity vector and pressure defined for position $x \in R^3$ and time $t \geq 0$. Here, $u_0(x)$ is a given divergence-free vector field on R^n , $f_i(x, t)$ are the components of a given externally force, $\rho > 0$ is a positive coefficient and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{d^2}{dx_i^2}$ is the Laplacian in the space variables.

Starting with Leray [1], important progress has been made in understanding weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. For instance, if (1.1) and (1.2) hold, then for any smooth vector field $\varphi(x, t) = (\varphi_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$, compactly supported in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$, a formal integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int_{R^n \times R} u(x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \sum_{i,j} \int \int_{R^n \times R} u_i(x, t) u_j(x, t) \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} dx dt = \\ & = -\rho \int \int_{R^n \times R} u(x, t) \Delta \varphi dx dt + \int \int_{R^3 \times R} f(x, t) \varphi dx dt - \int \int_{R^n \times R} p(x, t) (\operatorname{div} \varphi) dx dt \quad (1.3) \end{aligned}$$

Note that (1.3) makes sense for $u \in L_2, f \in L_1, p \in L_1$ whereas (1.1) makes sense only if $u(x, t)$ is twice differentiable in x . Similarly, if $\varphi(x, t)$ is a smooth function, compactly supported in $R^n \times (0, \infty)$, then a formal integration by parts and (1.2) imply:

$$\int \int_{R^n \times R} u(x, t) \nabla_x \varphi(x, t) dx dt = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

. A solution (1.3),(1.4) is called a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. Leray in [1] showed that the Navier-Stokes equation (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) in three space dimensions always have a weak solution $(u(x, t), p(x, t))$. The uniqueness of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation is not known. In two dimensions the existence, uniqueness and smoothness of weak solutions have been known for a long time (R.Temam [2], O. Ladyzhenskaya [3], I. Lions[4]).

In three dimensions, this questions studied for the initial velocity $u_0(x)$ satisfying a smallness condition. For the initial data $u_0(x)$ not assumed to be small, it is known that the existence of smooth weak solutions holds if the time interval $[0, \infty)$ is replaced by a small time interval $[0, T)$ depending on the initial data.

A fundamental problem in analysis is to decide whether a smooth solution exists for the Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions.

2. Results

Let $\Omega \subset R^3$ be a finite domain bounded by the Lipschitz surface $\partial\Omega$. $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$, $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = (f_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$ are vector-functions. Here $t > 0$ is an arbitrary real number. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial t} - \rho \Delta u_i(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x, t) \quad (2.1),$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

The Navier-Stokes problem 1. Find a vector-function $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} : \Omega \times [0, t] \rightarrow R^3$ and a scalar function $p(x, t) : \Omega \times [0, t] \rightarrow R^1$ satisfying the equation (2.1) and the following initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}(x, t) |_{\partial\Omega \times [0, t]} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

Let $p > 1, r > 1$ be real numbers. We shall use the following functional spaces. $L_{p,r}(Q_t)$ is the Banach space with the norm [3 p.33]

$$\|u(x, t)\|_{L_{p,r}(Q_t)} = \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^p dx \right)^{r/p} dt \right]^{1/r}, \quad L_{p,p}(Q_t) = L_p(Q_t).$$

$W_p^{2,1}(Q_t)$ is the Banach space supplied by the norm

$$\|u(x, t)\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_t)} = \left[\|u\|_{L_p(Q_t)}^p + \|u_t\|_{L_p(Q_t)}^p + \|u_x\|_{L_p(Q_t)}^p + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_p(Q_t)}^p \right]^{1/p}$$

$\mathbf{L}_{p,r}(Q_t)$ is the Banach vector-space with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x, t)\|_{L_{p,r}(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u_i(x, t)\|_{L_{p,r}(Q_t)}$$

$\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ is the Hilbert vector-space with the inner product

$$(\mathbf{u}(x, t), \mathbf{v}(x, t))_{L_2(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (u_i(x, t), v_i(x, t))_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

$\mathbf{V}_0(Q_t)$ ($\mathbf{V}(Q_t)$) are the vector-spaces of smooth functions

$$\mathbf{V}_0(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in C^2(\overline{Q_t}), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i(x, t) \cos(\mathbf{n}, x_i)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\},$$

$$\mathbf{V}(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in C^2(\overline{Q_t}) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0\}$$

$\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ is the closure of $\mathbf{V}_0(Q_t)$ in the norm of $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. [2 p.13] I.e.

$$\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

$\mathbf{E}_2(Q_t)$ is the closure of $\mathbf{V}(Q_t)$ in the norm of $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. [2 p. 13] I.e.

$$\mathbf{E}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0\}$$

It is obvious that $\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) \subseteq \mathbf{E}_2(Q_t)$. Further, we shall denote the vector-functions and vector-spaces by bold type. The following is principal result.

Theorem 2.1. For any right-hand side $\mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ in equation (2.1) and for any real numbers $\rho > 0, t > 0$, the Navier-Stokes problem-1 has a unique smooth solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ and a scalar function $p(x, t) : p_{x_i}(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ satisfying (2.1) almost everywhere on Q_t , and the following estimates are valid:

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x, t)\|_{W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)} \leq c \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_2(Q_t)}, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq c \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \quad (2.3)$$

Here and bellow by symbol c , we denote a generic constant, independent on the solution and right-hand side whose value is inessential to our aims, and it may change from line to line.

Remark 2.1. The case when the right-hand side $\mathbf{f}(x, t)$ has a small norm or a time $t \ll 1$ (or $\rho \gg 1$) is well-known and so not interesting. But in Theorem 1 $\mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ is an arbitrary vector-function and $t > 0$, $\rho > 0$ are arbitrary real numbers. In recent paper [6] Ladyzhenskaja formulates the Navier-Stokes problem as in the formulas (2.1) - (2.2) and in Theorem 1. For simplicity, we consider the Navier-Stokes problem for the homogeneous case (i.e. $u(x, 0) = 0$, $u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$). We consider the inhomogeneous case (i.e. $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$, $u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$) in Section 4.

Definition 2.1. A vector-function $\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t)|_{(t=0) \cup \partial\Omega} = 0$ and a scalar function $p(x, t)$ are called a **smooth** solution to the Navier-Stokes problem-1, if $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$ and $p_{x_i}(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$.

We adduce the well-known definition of the Hopf solution to the Navier-Stokes equation.

Definition 2.2 (the Hopf's solution). Let a right-hand side $\mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. A vector-function $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2([0, t]; H_0(\Omega)) \cap \mathbf{L}^\infty([0, t]; L_2(\Omega))$ is called the Hopf's solution, if the following equality [2 p.225].

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{u}(x, t), \mathbf{v}(x))}{\partial t} + \rho(\mathbf{u}_x(x, t), \mathbf{v}_x(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_j(x, t)(\mathbf{u}_{x_j}(x, t), \mathbf{v}(x)) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(x) dx$$

is fulfilled for all vector-functions $\mathbf{v}(x) \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega) = \{\mathbf{u}(x) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x) = 0, \mathbf{u}(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \mathbf{u}(x), \mathbf{u}_{x_i}(x) \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)[2p.24]\}$.

Remark 2.2. By Theorem 2.1 it follows that the Hopf's solution is the smooth solution. ◀

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we shall use the following known propositions.

Theorem of Weyl H. In the book [2 p.22] the following equalities are proved:

$\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t) = \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) \oplus \mathbf{G}_2(Q_t)$ where $\mathbf{H}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, t]} = 0\}$. $\mathbf{G}_2(Q_t) = \{\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{grad} p(x, t) : p_{x_i}(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)\}$. I.e. for any $\mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, the following equality: $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = H(\mathbf{f}(x, t)) + G(\mathbf{f}(x, t))$ is valid where $H : \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t) \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$, $G : \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t) \Rightarrow \mathbf{G}_2(Q_t)$ - are the projection operators.

Proposition 1. (The Holder inequality). Let $p_1 > 1, p_2 > 1; r_1 > 1, r_2 > 1$ be a real numbers. Then, the following Holder inequality is valid [5 p.75].

$$\|u(x, t)v(x, t)\|_{L_{\frac{p_1 p_2}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{r_1 r_2}{r_1 + r_2}}(Q_t)} \leq \|u(x, t)\|_{L_{p_1, r_1}(Q_t)} \|v(x, t)\|_{L_{p_2, r_2}(Q_t)} \quad (2.4)$$

Proposition 2. (The system equations of Volterra V.) On the space of vector-functions $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ we shall consider the following system of nonlinear integral equations of Volterra: [7 p.59, p.62]

$$u_l(x, t) - \sum_{s=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} K_{l,s}(x, t; \xi, \tau; \mathbf{u}(\xi, \tau)) u_s(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau = f_l(x, t) \quad (2, 5)$$

$l = 1, 2, 3$. Or, in the vector form

$$\mathbf{u}(x, t) - K \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T) \quad (2, 6)$$

This system of equations under some conditions to the nonlinear kernel $K(x, t; \xi, \tau; \mathbf{u}(\xi, \tau))$ has been studied in the book [7 p.61]. We shall study this nonlinear system of equations by using the theorem of Leray J., Schauder J.

Proposition 3. (Theorem of Hardy G.H., Littlewood J.E.) Let $\mu : 0 < \mu < 1$ be a real number. We shall consider the following operator of the fractional integration $J^\mu u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{u(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu}$. Then:

a) If $1 < p < \frac{1}{1-\mu}$, then the operator J^μ is bounded from the space $L_p(0, t)$ into the space $L_q(0, t)$ where $q = \frac{p}{1-p(1-\mu)}$ and $\|J^\mu u(t)\|_{L_q(0,t)} \leq c \|u(t)\|_{L_p(0,t)}$. [8 p.64].

Proposition 4. (Theorem of Sobolev S.L.) Let a function $u(x)$ be represented as the potential of a function $f(x)$, i.e. $u(x) = \int_\Omega \frac{f(\xi) d\xi}{|x-\xi|^{3-\lambda}}$ $\lambda > 0$. [3 p.32]. Then

a) If $0 < \lambda < 3/p$ and $f(x) \in L_p(\Omega)$, then $u(x) \in L_q(\Omega)$ where $q \leq \frac{3p}{3-p-\lambda}$ and $\|u(x)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq c \|f(x)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$.

b) If $\lambda = 3/p$ and $f(x) \in L_p(\Omega)$, then $u(x) \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ and $\|u(x)\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq c \|f(x)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$.

Proposition 5. We shall consider the following problem on the domain Q_t :

$$u_t(x, t) - \rho \Delta u(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, t]} = 0 \quad (2, 7)$$

The solution $u(x, t)$ of this problem is given by

$$u(x, t) = Gg(\xi, \tau) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega G(x, t; \xi, \tau) g(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \quad (2, 8)$$

where $G(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ is the Green function for Q_t . The construction of the Green function is resulted in book [9 p.111]. The following estimates are valid.[9 p.170]

$$|G(x, t; \xi, \tau)| \leq \frac{const}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{3-2\mu}}, \quad 0 < \mu < 1 \quad (2, 9)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x, t; \xi, \tau) \right| \leq \frac{const}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{3-(2\mu-1)}}, \quad 1/2 < \mu < 1 \quad (2, 10)$$

From estimates in Propositions 3, 4 and the estimates (2,9),(2,10) follow that:

a) If $g(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ and $\mu = 5/8$, then

$$Gg(x, t) \in L_{12,8}(Q_t), \quad G_x g(x, t) = \frac{\partial Gg(x, t)}{\partial x} \in L_{12/5,8}(Q_t), \quad (2, 11)$$

$$\|Gg(x, t) G_x g(x, \tau)\|_{L_{2,4}(Q_t)} \leq \|Gg(x, t)\|_{L_{12,8}(Q_t)} \|G_x g(x, \tau)\|_{L_{12/5,8}(Q_t)} \leq c \|g(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}^2$$

b) If $g_1(x, t), g_2(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ and $g_i(t) = \|g_i(x, t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ $i = 1, 2$, then for any $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$ the following estimates are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gg_i(x, t)\|_{L_{\frac{6}{3-4\mu}}(\Omega)} &\leq c \int_0^t \frac{g_i(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu}; & \|G_x g_i(x, t)\|_{L_{\frac{6}{5-4\mu}}(\Omega)} &\leq c \int_0^t \frac{g_i(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \\ \|Gg_1(x, t) G_x g_2(x, \tau)\|_{L_{\frac{3}{4(1-\mu)}}(\Omega)} &\leq c \int_0^t \frac{g_1(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{g_2(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12.1)$$

and for $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < 1$ follows that $2 \leq \frac{3}{4(1-\mu)}$. Therefore,

$$\|Gg_1(x, t) G_x g_2(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq$$

$$\leq c \left\| Gg_1(x, t) G_x g_2(x, \tau) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{4(1-\mu)}}(\Omega)} \leq c \int_0^t \frac{g_1(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{g_2(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \quad (2.12.2)$$

► **a)** We shall prove the inequality (2.11). From Holder inequality (2,4) (with $p = 2, p_1 = 12, r_1 = 8$) and ($p = 2, p_2 = 12/5, r_2 = 8$), the first inequality of (2.11) follows. From the estimate (2.9) with ($\mu = 5/8$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gg(x, \tau)\|_{L_{12,8}(Q_t)} &= \left\| \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega G(x, \tau; \xi, \tau_1) g(\xi, \tau_1) d\xi d\tau_1 \right\|_{L_{12,8}(Q_t)} \leq \\ &\leq c \left\| \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{(\tau - \tau_1)^{5/8}} \left\| \int_\Omega \frac{g(\xi, \tau_1) d\xi}{|x - \xi|^{3-5/4}} \right\|_{L_{12}(\Omega)} d\tau_1 \right\|_{L_8(0,t)} \leq \\ &\leq c \left\| \int_0^\tau \frac{\|g(x, \tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} d\tau_1}{(\tau - \tau_1)^{5/8}} \right\|_{L_8(0,t)} \leq c \|g(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that from Proposition 4_a (with $p = 2, \lambda = 5/4; q = \frac{6}{3-2\lambda} = 12$) follows the inequality $\left\| \int_\Omega \frac{g(\xi, \tau_1) d\xi}{|x - \xi|^{3-5/4}} \right\|_{L_{12}(\Omega)} \leq c \|g(x, \tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ and since $\|g(x, \tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \in L_2(0, t)$, then from Proposition 3 (with $p = 2; \mu = 5/8; q = \frac{p}{1-p(1-\mu)} = 8$) the following inequality $\left\| \int_0^\tau \frac{\|g(x, \tau_1)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} d\tau_1}{(\tau - \tau_1)^{5/8}} \right\|_{L_8(0,t)} \leq c \|g(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$ follows.

Using the estimate (2,10) (with $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < 1$), the Proposition 4_a (with $\lambda = 1/4; q = \frac{6}{3-2\lambda} = \frac{12}{5}$) and Proposition 3 (with $p = 2; \mu = 5/8; q = \frac{p}{1-p(1-\mu)} = 8$) the following estimate $\left\| \frac{\partial Gg(x, t)}{\partial x} \right\|_{L_{12/5,8}(Q_t)} \leq c \|g(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$ is proved similarly. By these estimates the second estimate of (2.11) follows. The inequality (2.11) is proved.

b) The proofs of the inequalities in (2.12.1), (2.12.2) follow from the estimates of the Green function (2,9), (2,10), Proposition 4_a and is similar to the proof of **a)**. The parameters in the second inequality of (2,12.1) satisfy all conditions of parameters p_1, p_2 in (2,4) of Proposition 1. ◀

Proposition 6. (Theorem of Leray J., Schauder J.) Let A be a compact nonlinear operator on $L_2(Q_t)$. If every possible solution to the following equation [3 p.42]

$$w(x, t) + Aw(x, t) = f(x, t)$$

do not fall outside the bounds of some sphere $|w(x, t)|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq c$, then for any right-hand side $f(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ the equation has at least one solution in this sphere.

Proposition 7. (The equation of Abel-Carleman.) The equation of Abel-Carleman is set by the following formulas [8 p.39]:

$$\int_0^t \frac{u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = f(t); \quad u(t) = \frac{\sin \pi \mu}{\pi} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \quad (2.13)$$

Let $-\infty < \mu_1 < 1; -\infty < \mu_2 < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu_1}} \int_0^\tau \frac{g(\tau_1) d\tau_1}{(\tau - \tau_1)^{\mu_2}} &= \int_0^t g(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu_1} (\tau - \tau_1)^{\mu_2}} = \\ &= \Gamma_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \int_0^t \frac{g(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{\mu_1 + \mu_2 - 1}}; \quad ; \Gamma_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} = \frac{\Gamma(1-\mu_1)\Gamma(1-\mu_2)}{\Gamma(2-\mu_1-\mu_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Proposition 8. (The linear Navier-Stokes equation) Let $t > 0$ be an arbitrary real number. We consider the following linear Navier-Stokes problem on the domain Q_t : [3, p.95]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial t} - \rho \Delta u_i(x, t) - \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} &= w_i(x, t) \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) &= 0 ; \quad \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}(x, t) |_{\partial\Omega \times [0, t]} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.15),$$

For this problem in the manuscript of author [12] is received the explicit expression to the pressure function $p(x, t)$, depending on the right-hand side $w_i(x, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, t) &= -T * \Delta^{-1} * \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau = \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \Delta^{-1} * \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau + \rho \cdot \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where $T * u(x, t) = \frac{d}{dt} u(x, t) - \rho \Delta_x u(x, t)$ is the parabolic operator, Δ^{-1} is the inverse operator to Dirichlet problem for Laplace equation on the domain Ω . $G(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ is the Green function of Dirichlet problem for the parabolic equation on the domain $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$ [9 p.106]. If $\mathbf{w}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, then $\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; \xi, \tau) \mathbf{w}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$. It is obvious that:

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \right|_{W_2^{1,1}(Q_t)} < c \cdot \|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

From this estimate follows the following estimate:

$$\int_0^t \left(\left| \frac{d}{dt} \Delta^{-1} * \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau_1)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau_1) d\xi d\tau_1 \right|_{W_2^1(\Omega)} \right)^2 d\tau < c \|\mathbf{w}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}^2$$

We consider the formula (2,16) in detail. It is known that the following classical problem for the parabolic equations: $u_t - \rho \Delta u(x, t) = f(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$, $u_{t=0} = 0$ has the unique solution $u(x, t) \in W_2^1(Q_t)$ and $\|u(x, t)\|_{W_2^1(Q_t)} < c \|f(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$ where $Q_t = \Omega \times [0, t]$. Therefore, from the formula (2,16) follow the following estimates:

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dx_i} \frac{d}{dt} \Delta^{-1} * \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} < c \|w(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dx_i} \rho \cdot \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^3 \frac{dG(x, t; \xi, \tau)}{dx_i} w_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} < c \|w(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

Differentiating the function of pressure $p(x, t)$ in formula (2,16) by x_i , we find $\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, depending on the right-hand side $w_i(x, t)$. And by these estimates, integrating on the domain Q_t , we obtain the following estimate:

$$\int_0^t \sum_1^3 \left| \frac{\partial p(x, \tau)}{\partial x_i} \right|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau < c \cdot \int_0^t \sum_1^3 |w_i(x, \tau)|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \quad (2, 17)$$

By the formula (2,16) and estimate (2,17) on the vector space $\mathbf{w}(x, t) = \left(w_i(x, t) \right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ we define the following linear and bounded operator:

$$P\left(w_i(x, t) \right)_{i=1,2,3} = \left(\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i} \right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(x, t) \quad (2, 18)$$

where the functions $\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i}$ is defined by the functions $w_i(x, t)$ from the formula (2,16). ◀

Using the Green function $G(x, t; \xi, \tau)$, from the equation (2,15) we find :

$$u_i(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; \xi, \tau) \left(w_i(\xi, \tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) d\xi d\tau \quad (2, 19)$$

And present the nonlinear Navier-Stokes equations (2,1) as:

$$w_i(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 G\left(w_j(x, t) + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_j} \right) \cdot G_{x_j} \left(w_i(x, t) + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} \right) = f_i(x, t) \quad (2.20)$$

where $G_{x_j} w_i(x, t) = \frac{\partial G w_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j}$. Differentiating the pressure function $p(x, t)$ in the formula (2,16) by x_i , we find $\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, depending on the functions $w_i(x, t)$, and **substitute** these functions to the equation (2,20). **Then, for the definition of the three unknown functions $(w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$ we obtain the three system of nonlinear equations of Volterra (2,20).**

Remark 2.3. It is obvious that the vector function $\left(\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i} \right)_{i=1,2,3}$ depends on the vector function $(w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$ linearly. But we shall not write the expressions of these depends, we shall use the estimate (2,17). ◀

Navier-Stokes problem 2. Find the vector-function $(w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, satisfying the equation (2,20) almost every where on Q_t . ◀

We will find the unknown vector-function $\mathbf{w}(x, t) = (w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$.

Theorem 2.2 For any right-side $\mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$ in the system of equations (2,20) there exists a unique vector-function $\mathbf{w}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$, satisfying almost everywhere on Q_T , the system equations (2,20). And for any possible solution $\mathbf{w}(x, t) : \|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} = \left\| \|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \right\|_{L_2(0, T)} = \|w(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} < \infty$ to the basis equation (2,20), the following a priori estimate is valid

$$\|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} < \sqrt{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{f}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} \quad (2.21)$$

where $Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$, ; $T > 0$ is an arbitrary real number.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

The following is the key Lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 3.1. Let the vector-function $\mathbf{g}(x, t) = (g_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. I.e. $\|\mathbf{g}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{Q_t} g_i^2(x, \tau) dx d\tau \right)^{1/2} < \infty$. We define the following vector-function:

$$Gg_j(x, t)G_{x_j} \mathbf{g}(x, t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 Gg_j(x, t)G_{x_j} g_i(x, t) \right)_{i=1,2,3} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 Gg_j(x, t) \frac{\partial Gg_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} \right)_{i=1,2,3}$$

Then for any $t > 0$ there exists a constant $b > 0$ independent on $\mathbf{g}(x,t)$ such that the following inequality is valid:

$$\left\| Gg_j(x,t)G_{x_j}\mathbf{g}(x,t) \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq b \left(\int_0^t \frac{g(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \right)^2 \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < 1$, $g(\tau) = \|\mathbf{g}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} (\int_{\Omega} g_i^2(x,\tau) dx)^{1/2}$.

► Let $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < 1$. By the estimate (2.12.2) in Proposition 5 and the following inequality: $\sum_{i,j=1}^3 c_i c_j \cdot a_i \cdot a_j \leq b (\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i)^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gg_j(x,t)G_{x_j}\mathbf{g}(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|Gg_j(x,t)G_{x_j}g_i(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} < \\ &< \sum_{i,j=1}^3 c_i c_j \int_0^t \frac{g_i(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{g_j(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} < b \left(\int_0^t \frac{g(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma is proved. ◀

From the basis equation (2,20) and the inequality (3,1), integrating over the domain Ω , and, using the Holder inequality, the estimates (2,9), (2,10) for Green function, we obtain the following estimate:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b \left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right)^2 \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$w(\tau) = \|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \left(\int_{\Omega} w_i^2(x,\tau) dx \right)^{1/2} \geq 0;$$

$$p(\tau) = \sum_1^3 \left\| \frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \geq 0; \quad f(t) = \|\mathbf{f}(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i^2(x,\tau) dx \right)^{1/2} \geq 0 \quad (3.2')$$

Remark 3-1. Let $T > 0$ be an arbitrary number. We have proved that for all solutions $\mathbf{w}(x,t), p(x,t)$ of the basis equation (2.20) the functions $w(\tau) = \|\mathbf{w}(x,\tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$, $p(\tau) = \sum_1^3 \left\| \frac{\partial p(x,\tau)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ satisfy to the inequality (3.2). The inequality (3.2) does not exclude the functions of the type $w(t) + p(t) = \frac{t}{T-t} \notin L_2(0,T)$. I.e. these functions satisfy the inequality (3.2). Note that $\mu : \frac{5}{8} \leq \mu < \frac{3}{4}$ and $w(t) \in L_2(0,T)$. By Proposition 3 and estimate (2,17) in Proposition 8 it follows that:

$$\left\| \left(\int_0^t \frac{(w(\tau) + p(\tau)) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \right)^2 \right\|_{L_2(0,T)} < c \cdot \|w(t) + p(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < c \cdot \|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} \quad (3.3)$$

In the Theorem 2.2 we assumed that for all possible solutions $\mathbf{w}(x,t)$ of the basic equation (2,20) the function $w(t) = \|\mathbf{w}(x,t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \in L_2(0,T)$.

By the basis equation (2,20) we have proved the inequality (3,2). Below (see Theorem 3.1), we shall prove that for all functions $w(t), p(t) \in L_2(0,T) : \|p(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < c\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \infty$, satisfying the inequality (3,2), the following a priori estimate: $\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \sqrt{2} \|f(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)}$ holds. ◀

Lemma 3.2. From the estimates (2,17), (3,2) follows that:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b_1 \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (3.4)$$

where $b_1 = b + c$ is constant independent on function $w(t)$.

► By Holder inequality and the inequality $(a+b)^2 < 2a^2 + 2b^2$ from the basic inequality (3,2) follows that:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b \left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} d\tau \right)^2 < f(t) + \frac{2b}{1-\mu} \cdot T^{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau) + p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$$

Using the estimate (2,17) in Proposition 8 and the inequality $(a_1+a_2+a_3)^2 < 3 \cdot (a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2)$, we infer:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau &= \int_0^t \left(\sum_1^3 \|p_{x_i}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \right)^2 d\tau < 3 \cdot \int_0^t \sum_1^3 \|p_{x_i}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau < \\ &< 3c \cdot \int_0^t \sum_1^3 \|w_i(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau < c \int_0^t \left(\sum_1^3 \|w_{x_i}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \right)^2 d\tau = 3c \cdot \int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

I.e.:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b_1 \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau) + p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau; \quad ; \int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau < c \cdot \int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau \quad (3,4')$$

where $b_1 = \frac{2b}{1-\mu} \cdot T^{1-\mu}$. We shall prove by the second inequality of (3,4') that there exists a constant $c > 0$:

$$\int_0^t \frac{p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau < c \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (3,4'')$$

We shall prove this estimate by contradiction method and assumed that there exists a constants $c_n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\int_0^t \frac{p^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau > c_n \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$$

Let us apply to this inequality the following operator: $J^{1-\mu}u(t) = \int_0^t \frac{u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau$. Then: $\int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau > c_n \int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau$. But: $\int_0^t p^2(\tau) d\tau < c \cdot \int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau$. And this contraction proves the estimate (3,4''). Lemma 3,2 is proved. ◀

Remark 3-2. Below, using the **Riccati's** replacement of the function $w(t)$, from the estimate (3,4) we derive the following estimate: $\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)} < \sqrt{2} \|f(t)\|_{L_2(0,T)}$. For these aims we consider the following equation.

The equation of Riccati. In 1715, Riccati has studied the following nonlinear equation on the segment $[0,T]$ where $T > 0$ is an arbitrary real number [10 p.41]:

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = f(t) + b z^2(t); \quad z(0) = 0$$

By the replacement of the unknown function $z(t) = -\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)}$, this nonlinear equation is reduced to the following linear equation of the second order:

$$\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} + bf(t)u(t) = 0; \quad \frac{du(t)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Using the Riccati's result , we prove the following key proposition.

Theorem 3.1. For all functions $w(t) \in L_2(0, T)$ satisfying the inequality (3,4) the following estimate holds:

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} < \sqrt{2} \cdot \|f(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} \quad (3, 5)$$

This estimate does not depends on the number b_1 in (3,4).

►► In (3.4) we make the replacement of the function:

$$w_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (3, 6)$$

and, using the inequality $(a+b)^2 < 2a^2 + 2b^2$, we rewrite the basis inequality (3,4) as:

$$\int_0^t \frac{\frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^2(t) + 2b_1^2 \cdot w_1^2(t) \quad (3, 7)$$

Let $k : 0 < k < \infty$ and $s : 0 < s < 1$ - are an arbitraries real numbers and for $t > 1$ we present the inequality (3,7) as:

$$\int_0^t \frac{\frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^2(t) + k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} + 2b_1^2 \cdot t^\mu w_1^2(t) - k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \quad (3, 8)$$

Applying the Mellin transformation, for $s : 0 < s + \mu < 1$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \cdot \left(2b_1^2 \cdot t^\mu w_1^2(t) - k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \right) dt = \\ & = \left(2b_1^2 - k \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{s+\mu} \cdot (1-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \right) \cdot \int_0^\infty \tau^{s+\mu-1} w_1^2(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

From this equality follows that: $\left(2b_1^2 \cdot t^{1-\mu} w_1^2(t) - k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \right) < 0$ for all numbers $k \gg 1$:

$$\left(2b_1^2 - k \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{s+\mu} \cdot (1-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \right) < 0 \quad (3, 9)$$

where $s : 0 < s + \mu < 1$. Using this inequality, we rewrite the inequality (3,8) as follows:

$$\int_0^t \frac{\frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau}}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau < 2f^2(t) + k \int_0^t \frac{w_1^2(\tau)d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \quad (3, 10)$$

In this inequality , as Riccati , we shall make the replacement of the function $w_1(\tau)$

$$w_1(\tau) = -\frac{1}{k} \frac{z'(\tau)}{z(\tau)}; \quad ; \frac{dw_1(\tau)}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{z''(\tau)}{z(\tau)} + \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{z'(\tau)}{z(\tau)} \right)^2 \quad (3, 11)$$

From the definition of the function $w_1(t)$ by (3,6) follows that:

$$z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t w_1(\tau) d\tau} = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \quad (3, 11')$$

Since $w_1(0) = 0$, then $z'(0) = \frac{dz(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$. From the inequality (3,10) we have

$$-\frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \frac{1}{z(\tau)} \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2} d\tau < 2f^2(t) \quad (3, 12)$$

Or

$$-\frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \frac{1}{z(\tau)} \cdot \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2} d\tau < \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (3, 13)$$

Let us denote:

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_0^t \frac{1}{z(\tau)} \cdot \frac{d^2 z(\tau)}{d\tau^2} d\tau + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = g(t) > 0$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{d^2 z(t)}{dt^2} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot z(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = z(t) \frac{dg(t)}{dt} \quad (3, 13')$$

Since $g(t) > 0, g(0) = 0, -\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau} > 0$, integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$\int_0^t z(\tau) \cdot \frac{d}{d\tau} g(\tau) = z(t)g(t) + \int_0^t \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau} \right) \cdot g(\tau) d\tau > 0$$

Since $\frac{dz(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = 0$, integrating the equation (3,13') over $[0,t]$, we obtain the following **important inequality**:

$$-\frac{dz(t)}{dt} < k \cdot \int_0^t z(\tau) \frac{dF_\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} d\tau \quad (3, 14)$$

where

$$F_\mu(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad \blacktriangleleft \quad (3, 15)$$

Integrating by parts, from the basis inequality (3,14), we have:

$$-\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{dz(t)}{dt} < z(t) \cdot F_\mu(t) + \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau} \right) \cdot d\tau \quad (3, 16)$$

In order to proof the a priori estimate, it is **necessary** that the function $F_\mu(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$ is increasing on $[0,T]$. Otherwise, the proof of this estimate is difficult.

Remark 3-3. Not for all positive right-hand side $f^2(t) : f^2(t) \in L_1(0,T)$ and real numbers $\mu : 1/2 < \mu < 1$ the function $f_\mu(t) = \frac{\sin\pi\delta}{\pi} \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$ is increasing on $[0,T]$. For example, we consider the following function $f_\mu(t) = \frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\pi} \int_0^t \frac{1-k\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$ where $(1-k\tau) > 0$ on $(0,t)$, i.e. $0 < \tau < 1/k$. Then $\frac{df_\mu(t)}{dt} = \frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{t^\mu} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k}{1-\mu} \cdot t\right)$, and for $t : \frac{1-\mu}{k} < t < \frac{1}{k}$ the function $f_\mu(t)$ is decreasing on $(\frac{1-\mu}{k}, \frac{1}{k})$. ◀

Let $f(t) : f(t) \in L_2(0,T)$ - is an arbitrary function. To define the right-hand side $f(t)$, for which the function $F_\mu(t)$ is increasing on $[0,T]$, we introduce the following functional spaces:

$$L_2^+(0,T) = \left\{ f^2(t) : f(t) > 0, \int_0^T f^2(\tau) d\tau < \infty, \right\}$$

$$L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T) = \left\{ f_{1-\mu}(t) : f_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{g^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \right\} \quad (3, 17)$$

where $g(t) : g(t) \in L_2^+(0, T)$ is an arbitrary function.

Remark 3-4. For all functions $\{f_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{g^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)\}$ the functions

$$F_\mu(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f_{1-\mu}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \int_0^\tau \frac{g^2(\tau_1) d\tau_1}{(\tau-\tau_1)^{1-\mu}} = 2 \int_0^t g^2(\tau) d\tau \quad (3, 18)$$

are increasing on $[0, T]$. This remark is **important** for the proof the a priori estimate. ◀

Lemma 3.3 For any right-side $f(t) = f_{1-\mu}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{g^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)$ in the basis equations (3,14) and (3,15) the following a priori estimate holds:

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} < \sqrt{2} \cdot \|f_{1-\mu}(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} \quad (3, 19)$$

► Since $\left(-\frac{dz(t)}{dt}\right) > 0$ and for any function $f_{1-\mu}(t) \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)$ the function $F_\mu(t) = 2 \cdot \int_0^t g^2(\tau) d\tau$ is increasing (Remark 3.4), we rewrite the inequality (3,16) as:

$$-\frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{dz(t)}{dt} < z(t) \cdot F_\mu(t) + F_\mu(t) \cdot \int_0^t \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) \cdot d\tau \quad (3, 20)$$

Let us denote: $\int_0^t \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) d\tau = z_1(t)$. Then this equation will accept the following kind:

$$\frac{dz_1(t)}{dt} - k \cdot F_\mu(t) z_1(t) < k \cdot F_\mu(t) \cdot z(t) \quad (3, 20')$$

Since $z_1(0) = 0$ and $k \cdot F_\mu(t) \cdot z(t) > 0$, from this inequality and Gronwall's Lemma we infer that:

$$z_1(t) = \int_0^t \left(-\frac{dz(\tau)}{d\tau}\right) d\tau < k \cdot \left(\int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) z(\tau) e^{-k \int_0^\tau F_\mu(\tau_1) d\tau_1} d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau}$$

Since $z(t) > 0$ and the function $F_\mu(t) > 0$ is increasing, we rewrite this inequality:

$$z(0) - z(t) < k \cdot F_\mu(t) \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) e^{-k \int_0^\tau F_\mu(\tau_1) d\tau_1} d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 21)$$

Let us denote:

$$z_2(t) = \int_0^t z(\tau) e^{-k \int_0^\tau F_\mu(\tau_1) d\tau_1} d\tau \quad (3, 22)$$

and we present (3,21) in the following form:

$$\frac{dz_2(t)}{dt} + k \cdot F_\mu(t) \cdot z_2(t) > z(0) \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 23)$$

Using the Gronwall's Lemma and $z_2(0) = 0$, we infer that:

$$z_2(t) > z(0) \cdot t \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 24)$$

Since $z_2(t) = \int_0^t z(\tau) e^{-k \int_0^\tau F_\mu(\tau_1) d\tau_1} d\tau < \int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau$, it follows from (3,22),(3,24) that

$$z(0) \cdot t < \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 25)$$

where the function $z(t)$ is defined by (3,11') and (3,6):

$$z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-k \int_0^t w_1(\tau) d\tau} = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \quad (3, 25')$$

Remark 3.5. From (3,25') we have:

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = -z(0) \cdot k \cdot \left(\int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} < 0.$$

If for a some $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ $\frac{dz(t)}{dt}|_{t=t_0} = 0$, then the following two cases are possible:
1. $\int_0^{t_0} \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t_0-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = 0$, or **2.** $\int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$. If **1.** $\int_0^{t_0} \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t_0-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = 0$, then $w(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0, t_0)$, since $w(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, t_0)$. If **2.** $\int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$, then below we shall prove that for all positive functions $w^2(t)$ satisfying to the basis inequality (3,25): $\int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < \infty$. ◀

The following is the key Lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 3,5'. Let $t > 0$ is an arbitrary real number. For all positive functions $w^2(\tau)$ satisfying to the basis inequality (3,25) follows that:

$$\int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < \infty \quad (3, 36)$$

where $\mu : 1/2 < \mu < 1$. Or, passing to limit $\mu \rightarrow 1$, we obtain:

$$\int_0^t w^2(\tau) d\tau < \infty \quad (3, 36')$$

► We shall prove this Lemma by contradiction method and rewrite the basis inequality (3,25):

$$z(0) \cdot t < \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 25)$$

where the function $z(t)$ is defined by (3,11'):

$$z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \quad (3, 25')$$

Let for a some number $t_0 : \int_0^{t_0} w^2(\tau)(t_0-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$. I.e. $w^2(\tau) \approx \frac{c}{|t_0-\tau|^\lambda \cdot |t_0-\tau|^{1-\mu}}$ where $\lambda \geq 1$ is a real number. Then for all $t \geq t_0 : \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = \infty$. And $z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \equiv 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Since $e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \cdot t \Big|_0^{t_0} = 0$, then, integrating by part, we have:

$$\frac{1}{z(0)} \int_0^{t_0} z(\tau) d\tau = + \int_0^{t_0} t \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} d\left(\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \right)$$

In this equality we shall make the replacement of variable $\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau = t_1$. Then:

$$\frac{1}{z(0)} \int_0^{t_0} z(\tau) d\tau < t_0 \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-t_1} dt_1 = t_0$$

From the definition of the function $F_\mu(t)$ by (3,15) we obtain:

$$\int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t f^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \quad (3, 15')$$

Using the following limits: $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1} \Gamma(1-\mu) \cdot (1-\mu) = 1$ and $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1} (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} = 1$, we get: $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1} \int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t f^2(\tau) d\tau$. Using these facts, from the basis inequality (2,25) we have:

$$t < t_0 \cdot e^{\int_0^T f^2(\tau) d\tau}$$

But, for $t \gg 1$ we have received the contradiction. Therefore $\int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < \infty$.

Lemma is proved. ◀

Remark 3.35'. Hence, for **any positive** function $w^2(t) \geq 0$ satisfying to the basis inequality (3,25) the function $z(t) > 0$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and monotonously decreasing from $z(0)$ to zero on $[0, \infty)$. ◀

Let $T > 0$ be an arbitrary real number. We rewrite the basis inequality (3,25) for $t = T$ as:

$$T \cdot z(0) < \left(\int_0^T z(t) dt + \int_T^{2T} z(t) dt \right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^T F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 27)$$

Since the function $z(t) = z(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau}$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and monotonously decreasing from $z(0)$ to zero, there exists the numbers t_1, t_2 :

$$t_1 : 0 < t_1 < T ; \quad ; t_2 : T < t_2 < 2T \quad (3, 27')$$

such that the following equalities holds:

$$\int_0^T e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} dt = \left(e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_1} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \right) \cdot T \quad (3, 28)$$

$$\int_T^{2T} e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^t w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} dt = \left(e^{-\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} \right) \cdot T \quad (3, 28')$$

Using these equalities, we rewrite the inequality (3,27) as:

$$1 < \left(e^{-w_2(t_1)} + e^{-w_2(t_2)} \right) \cdot e^{k \cdot \int_0^T F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 29)$$

where

$$w_2(t_1) = \frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_1} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau ; \quad ; w_2(t_2) = \frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \quad (3, 29')$$

and present the inequality (3,29) as:

$$e^{w_2(t_2)} < \left(\frac{e^{w_2(t_2)}}{e^{w_2(t_1)}} + 1 \right) \cdot e^{k \cdot \int_0^T F_\mu(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 30)$$

Let in formula (3,15) the right-side

$$f^2(t) = f_{1-\mu}^2(t) = \int_0^t \frac{g^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} d\tau \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T) \quad (3, 31)$$

where the $g(t) : g(t) \in L_2(0, T)$ is an arbitrary function. Then, from (3,15) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu(t) &= \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^\mu} \int_0^\tau \frac{g^2(\tau_1) d\tau_1}{(\tau-\tau_1)^{1-\mu}} d\tau = 2 \cdot \int_0^t g^2(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (3, 32)$$

From (3,31) and (3,32) follows that:

$$g^2(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau; \quad ; F_\mu(t) = 2 \int_0^t g^2(\tau) d\tau = 2 \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (3, 32')$$

and from (3,32') we have:

$$\int_0^t F_\mu(\tau) d\tau = \frac{2}{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} \cdot f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau) d\tau \quad (3, 33)$$

Since the function $e^{-w_2(t)}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $t_2 > t_1$, we have: $e^{w_2(t_2)}/e^{w_2(t_1)} < 1$. And from (3,30) follows that

$$e^{\frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau} < 2 \cdot e^{2 \cdot \frac{k}{1-\mu} \int_0^T f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau}$$

or

$$k \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < (1-\mu) \cdot \ln 2 + 2k \cdot \int_0^T f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau)(t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau \quad (3, 34)$$

Passing to the limit $\mu \rightarrow 1$, from $t_2 > T$, $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1} (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} = 1$ and this inequality we obtain:

$$\int_0^T w^2(\tau) d\tau < 2 \cdot \int_0^T f_{1-\mu}^2(\tau) d\tau \quad (3, 34')$$

Lemma 3.3 is proved. ◀

The proof of Theorem 3.1. Lemma 3-4. The space of functions $L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)$ is dense in the space of functions $L_2^+(0, T)$ in the norm of the space $L_2(0, T)$. I.e. for all functions $f(t) \in L_2^+(0, T)$, there exists a sequence of functions: $f_{n_1-\mu}(t) \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|f_{n_1-\mu}(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} - \|f(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} \right) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_1-\mu}(t) - f(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3, 35)$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|f_{n_1-\mu}^2(t)\|_{L_1(0, T)} - \|f^2(t)\|_{L_1(0, T)} \right) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_1-\mu}^2(t) - f^2(t)\|_{L_1(0, T)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3, 35')$$

Remark 3.6. Let us note that for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the functions $f_{n_1-\mu}^2(t) \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)$. From Remark 3.4 follows that the functions $F_{n_\mu}(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f_{n_1-\mu}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$ are

increasing on $[0, T]$. And from (3,34) in Lemma 3.3 follow the following estimates:
 $\|w(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)} < 2 \cdot \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}(t)\|_{L_2(0, T)}$. ◀

► We prove Lemma 3.4 by the contradiction method. Let there exists a function $f_0(t) : f_0(t) \geq 0, f_0(t) \neq 0, f_0(t) \in L_2(0, T)$, such that for all functions $f(t) : f(t) \geq 0, f(t) \in L_2(0, T)$ the following equality is valid

$$\int_0^T f_0(t) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f(\tau) d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} dt = \int_0^T f(\tau) \cdot \left(\int_\tau^T \frac{f_0(t) dt}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \right) d\tau = 0$$

Since $f(\tau) \geq 0$ is an arbitrary function, by this equality it follows that for all $\tau \in [0, T] : \int_\tau^T \frac{f_0(t) dt}{(t-\tau)^{1-\mu}} \equiv 0$. Then $f_0(t) \equiv 0$. Lemma is proved. ◀

Let $\epsilon_n : 0 < \epsilon_n \ll 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ are an arbitrariable real numbers. Then for any function $f(t) \in L_2(0, T), f(t) > 0$ by (3,35') and for $n \gg 1$ it follows that:

$$f^2(t) = f^2(t) - f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t) + f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t) < |f^2(t) - f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t)| + f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t) < \epsilon_n + f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t)$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu(t) &= \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{f^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau < \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\epsilon_n + f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = \\ &= \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} \cdot \epsilon_n + \int_0^t \frac{f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote:

$$F_\mu^n(t) = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} \cdot \epsilon_n + \int_0^t \frac{f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right) \quad (3, 36)$$

Since the functions $f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2 \in L_{1-\mu}^+(0, T)$ and the function $t^{1-\mu}$ is increasing, the functions $F_\mu^n(t)$ are increasing on $[0, T]$ and $F_\mu(t) < F_\mu^n(t)$. Therefore, from the basis inequality (3,25) we have:

$$z(0) \cdot t < \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau \right) \cdot e^{k \int_0^t F_\mu^n(\tau) d\tau} \quad (3, 25')$$

and

$$\int_0^t F_\mu^n(\tau) d\tau = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{1-\mu}^\mu} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{2-\mu}}{(1-\mu)(2-\mu)} \epsilon_n + \frac{1}{1-\mu} \cdot \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(\tau) d\tau \right)$$

Further similarly to the proof of an estimate (3,34), we obtain:

$$k \int_0^{t_2} w^2(\tau) (t-\tau)^{1-\mu} d\tau < (1-\mu) \cdot \ln 2 + \int_0^T F_\mu^n(\tau) d\tau \quad (3, 34')$$

Using the following limits: $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1} \Gamma(1-\mu) \cdot (1-\mu) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_{1-\mu}}^2(t)\|_{L_1(0, T)} = \|f^2(t)\|_{L_1(0, T)}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ and Remark 3.6, passing to the limits $\mu \rightarrow 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, from the inequality (3,34') we get:

$$\int_0^T w^2(\tau) d\tau < 2 \cdot \int_0^T f^2(\tau) d\tau \quad (3, 37)$$

Theorem 3,1 is proved. ◀◀

Proof of Theorem 2,1.

Definition 3. If the sequence of vector-functions $\{\mathbf{w}_n(x, t)\}$ **weakly** converges to the vector-function $\mathbf{w}_0(x, t)$ in the space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, then we denote : $\mathbf{w}_n(x, t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}_0(x, t)$. I.e. for an arbitrary vector-function $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ the following convergence is valid: $(\mathbf{w}_n(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t))_{L_2(Q_t)} \rightarrow (\mathbf{w}_0(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t))_{L_2(Q_t)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

2) If the sequence of vector-functions $\{\mathbf{w}_n(x, t)\}$ **strongly** converges to the vector-function $\mathbf{w}_0(x, t)$ in the space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, then we denote: $\mathbf{w}_n(x, t) \Rightarrow \mathbf{w}_0(x, t)$. I.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{w}_n(x, t) - \mathbf{w}_0(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 3.4 Let the vector-function $\mathbf{w}(x, t) = (w^i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. I.e. $\|\mathbf{w}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\int_{Q_t} (w^i)^2(x, \tau) dx d\tau)^{1/2} < \infty$. We define the following nonlinear operator K on the vector-space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$:

$$K * (\mathbf{w}(x, t)) = Gw^j(x, t)G_{x_j}\mathbf{w}(x, t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 Gw^j(x, t)G_{x_j}w^i(x, t) \right)_{i=1,2,3}$$

Let us prove that

$$K * \mathbf{w}_n(x, t) \Rightarrow K * \mathbf{w}_0(x, t) \quad (3.38)$$

as $\mathbf{w}_n(x, t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}_0(x, t)$.

It follows from this proposition that the operator K is compact on the vector-space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. It is follows from book [3 p.42]

► Then

$$\|K * \mathbf{w}_n(x, t) - K * \mathbf{w}_0(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq c \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|Gw_n^j(x, t)G_{x_j}w_n^i(x, t) - Gw_0^j(x, t)G_{x_j}w_0^i(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

Let us estimate a each member:

$$\left\| Gw_n(x, t)G_x w_n(x, t) - Gw_0(x, t)G_x w_0(x, t) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq \quad (3.39)$$

$$\leq \left\| G_x w_n(x, t) \left(Gw_n(x, t) - Gw_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} + \left\| Gw_0(x, t) \left(G_x w_n(x, t) - G_x w_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$$

We obtain the following estimates, using the formula (2.12.2) in Proposition 5, the inequality (2,4) in Proposition 1 and Proposition 3 for $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$,:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| G_x w_n(x, t) \left(Gw_n(x, t) - Gw_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq \\ & \leq c \left\| G_x w_n(x, t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{6}{5-4\mu}, \frac{2}{2\mu-1}}(Q_t)} \cdot \left\| G \left(w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)} \leq \\ & \leq c \left\| w_n(x, t) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \cdot \left\| G \left(w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3, 40)$$

We obtain the following estimates, using the formula (2.12.1) in Proposition 5 and the inequality (2,4) in Proposition 1 for $\mu : 5/8 < \mu < 1$,:

$$\left\| Gw_0(x, t) \left(G_x w_n(x, t) - G_x w_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \left\| G w_0(x, t) \right\|_{L_{\frac{6}{3-4\mu}, \frac{2}{2\mu-1}}(Q_t)} \cdot \left\| G_x \left(w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)} \leq \\
&\leq c \left\| w_0(x, t) \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \cdot \left\| G_x \left(w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \right) \right\|_{L_{\frac{3}{2\mu}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3, 41)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that by the weakly convergence $w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \rightharpoonup 0$ it follows that for any number n there is a constant c such that: $\|w_n(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)} < c\|w_0(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_t)}$. Since $G(w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t)) \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$, the space $W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$ is compactly enclosed into the space $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$ [5 p.78], and on the space $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu-1}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$ the compact operator G translates the weakly convergence $w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \rightharpoonup 0$ to the strongly convergence, then the strongly convergence (3.40) is valid.

Since $G_x(w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t)) \in W_2^1(Q_t)$, the space $W_2^1(Q_t)$ is compactly enclosed into the space $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$ [5 p.78], and on the space $L_{\frac{3}{2\mu}, \frac{1}{1-\mu}}(Q_t)$ the compact operator G_x translates the weakly convergence $w_n(x, t) - w_0(x, t) \rightharpoonup 0$ to the strongly convergence, then the strongly convergence (3.41) is valid. The strongly convergence (3.38) follows by (3.39), (3.40), (3.41). Lemma is proved. \blacktriangleleft

The proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathbf{z}(x, t) = (z_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ be an arbitrary vector-function. And the sequence vector-functions $\mathbf{w}^n(x, t)$ weakly converges to the vector-function $\mathbf{w}^0(x, t)$, i.e. $\mathbf{w}^n(x, t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}^0(x, t)$. On the vector-space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ we define the following nonlinear operator K_p :

$$K_p * (\mathbf{w}(x, t)) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 G \left(w_j(x, t) + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_j} \right) \cdot G_{x_j} \left(w_i(x, t) + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} \right) \right)_{i=1,2,3} \quad (3, 42)$$

where the functions $\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i}$ are defined by the functions $w_i(x, t)$ from the formula (2,16) in Proposition 8. On the vector space $\mathbf{w}(x, t) = (w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ by the formula (2,18) in Proposition 8 we have defined the following linear and bounded operator:

$$P * (w_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} = \left(\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i} \right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(x, t) \quad (3, 43)$$

where the functions $\frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i}$ is defined by the functions $w_i(x, t)$ from the formula (2,16) in Proposition 8. Since P is linear and bounded operator on $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$, there exists the linear and bounded connected operator P^* . Let $\mathbf{z}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ is an arbitrary vector-function and $\mathbf{w}^n(x, t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}^0(x, t)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left(w_i^n(x, t) + \frac{dp(x, t)}{dx_i} \right)_{i=1,2,3}, \mathbf{z}(x, t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} = \left((w_i^n(x, t) + P * (w_i^n(x, t)))_{i=1,2,3}, \mathbf{z}(x, t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} = \\
&= \left(\mathbf{w}^n(x, t), \mathbf{z}(x, t) + P^* * \mathbf{z}(x, t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} \rightarrow \left(\mathbf{w}^0(x, t), \mathbf{z}(x, t) + P^* * \mathbf{z}(x, t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)} = \\
&= \left(\mathbf{w}^0(x, t) + P * \mathbf{w}^0(x, t), \mathbf{z}(x, t) \right)_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)}
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. I.e, it is proved that: $\mathbf{w}^n(x, t) + P * \mathbf{w}^n(x, t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w}^0(x, t) + P * \mathbf{w}^0(x, t)$. Then, similarly to the proof in Lemma 3.4, proves that: $K_p * \mathbf{w}^n(x, t) \Rightarrow K_p * \mathbf{w}^0(x, t)$.

Hence, it follows that on the vector-space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ the nonlinear operator K_p is compact. [3 p.42]. Therefore, it follows by the Leray -Schauder's theorem in Proposition 6, that the basis equation (2,20) has at least one solution $\mathbf{w}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ and it follows from Theorem 3.1 that: $\|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \|\mathbf{f}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)}$. Then, it follows by Proposition 8 that there exists the smooth solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_T) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$. But the Navier-Stokes problem has the unique smooth solution [3p.139]. Therefore, $\mathbf{w}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_T)$ is the unique solution to (2,20). The existence and smoothness of the solution to Navier-stokes equation is proved. ◀

4. The Navier-Stokes problem for the inhomogeneous boundary condition.

Let $\Omega \subset R^3$ be a finite domain bounded by a Lipschitz surface $\partial\Omega$ and $Q_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$, $S = \partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$, $\mathbf{f}(x, t) = (f_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3}$ are vector-functions. Here $T > 0$ is an arbitrary real number. The Navier-Stokes equations are given by:

$$\frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial t} - \rho \Delta u_i(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x, t) \quad (4.1),$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

The Navier-Stokes problem 1. Find a vector-function $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_i(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow R^3$, the scalar function $p(x, t) : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow R^1$ satisfying the equation (4.1) and the following initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{a}(x), \quad \mathbf{u}(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

where $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x) = \frac{da_1(x)}{dx_1} + \frac{da_2(x)}{dx_2} + \frac{da_3(x)}{dx_3} = 0$ and $\mathbf{a}(x) \in \mathbf{W}_2^1(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.1. For any right-hand side $\mathbf{f}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ in equation (4.1) and for any real numbers $\rho > 0, t > 0$, the Navier-Stokes problem-1 has a unique smooth solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t) : \mathbf{u}(x, t) \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t) \cap \mathbf{H}_2(Q_t)$, the scalar function $p(x, t) : p_{x_i}(x, t) \in L_2(Q_t)$ satisfying to (4.1) almost everywhere on Q_t , and to the initial conditions (4,2). The following estimate holds:

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x, t)\|_{W_2^{2,1}(Q_t)} + \left\| \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L_2(Q_t)} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_2(Q_t)} + \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)} \right) \quad (4.3)$$

► In 1941 Hopf proved that this problem has a weak solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$:

$$\|\mathbf{u}(x, t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + 2\rho \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_x(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} d\tau < \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)} + c \int_0^t \|\mathbf{f}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} d\tau \quad (4.4)$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\mathbf{u}(x, t) - \mathbf{a}(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} = 0$. [3p.143] ◀

The problem 2. Find a vector-function $\mathbf{u}^0(x, t) = (u_i^0(x, t))_{i=1,2,3} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow R^3$ satisfying the following equation and the initial condition:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}^0(x, t)}{dt} - \rho \Delta \mathbf{u}^0(x, t) = 0; \quad \mathbf{u}^0(x, 0) = \mathbf{a}(x), \quad \mathbf{u}^0(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0 \quad (4, 5)$$

► It follows by $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a}(x) = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}^0(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0$ that: $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}^0(x, t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$. And it follows by $\mathbf{a}(x) \in \mathbf{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ that: $|\mathbf{u}^0(x, t)| \leq c \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}, \left| \frac{\mathbf{u}^0(x, t)}{dx_i} \right| \leq c \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)}$. ◀

Then the vector-function: $\mathbf{v}(x, t) = \mathbf{u}(x, t) - \mathbf{u}^0(x, t)$ satisfies the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial v_i(x, t)}{\partial t} - \rho \Delta v_i(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j(x, t) \frac{\partial v_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j^0(x, t) \frac{\partial v_i(x, t)}{\partial x_j} \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i^0(x, t)}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j^0(x, t) \frac{\partial u_i^0(x, t)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x, t) \quad (4.6), \\ & \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial v_i(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

and the following initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

Similarly, we introduce the unknown vector-function $\left(w_i(x, t)\right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial v_i(x, t)}{\partial t} - \rho \Delta v_i(x, t) - \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = w_i(x, t) \quad (4.8) \\ & \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial v_i(x, t)}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

and the following initial conditions:

$$\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0 \quad (4.8')$$

In the Proposition 8 we have proved that for any right-side $\mathbf{w}(x, t) \in \mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$ this problem has a unique solution $\left(v_i(x, t)\right)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t)$ and $\|\mathbf{v}(x, t)\|_{\mathbf{W}_2^{2,1}(Q_t)} < c \cdot \|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)}$. It follows from (4,8) that.

$$v_i(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; \xi, \tau) \left(w_i(\xi, \tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) d\xi d\tau; \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (4.9)$$

Using (4,8) and this formula, we rewrite the Navier-Stokes equation (4,6) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & w_i(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 G \left(w_i(\xi, \tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \cdot G_{x_j} \left(w_i(\xi, \tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) - \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^3 G_{x_j} \left(w_i(\xi, \tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \cdot u_j^0(x, t) - \sum_{j=1}^3 G \left(w_j(\xi, \tau) + \frac{\partial p(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi_j} \right) \cdot \frac{du_i^0(x, t)}{dx_j} - \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j^0(x, t) \cdot \frac{du_i^0(x, t)}{dx_j} = f_i(x, t) \quad (4.10) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the inequality (3,2), using the estimates (4,4), (4,5), it follows by the equation (4,10) that:

$$w(t) < f(t) + b \left(\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \right)^2 + c(T) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (4, 11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w(\tau) &= \|\mathbf{w}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \geq 0; \quad p(\tau) = \sum_1^3 \left\| \frac{\partial p(x, \tau)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \\ f(t) &= \|\mathbf{f}(x, t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| u_j^0(x, t) \cdot \frac{du_j^0(x, t)}{dx_j} \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad (4.11') \\ c(T) &= \|\mathbf{a}(x)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + c \int_0^T \|\mathbf{f}(x, \tau)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality $a \cdot b < a^2 + b^2$ the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau = \int_0^t \frac{w(\tau) + p(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\mu/2}} d\tau < \int_0^t \frac{(w(\tau) + p(\tau))^2}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau + \frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu}$$

By this inequality and the inequality (3,4) in Lemma 3.2 we rewrite the inequality (4,11) as:

$$w(t) < \left(f(t) + \frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} \right) + (b_1 + c(T)) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{(w(\tau) + p(\tau))^2}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau$$

Similarly, based on the proof of Lemma 3.2, we derive the following inequality:

$$w(t) < \left(f(t) + \frac{t^{1-\mu}}{1-\mu} \right) + (b_1 + c(T)) \cdot (1 + c) \int_0^t \frac{w^2(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\mu} d\tau \quad (4.12)$$

From the Theorem 3.1, using this inequality, similarly we obtain:

$$\|\mathbf{w}(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot c(T) \cdot \|\mathbf{f}_u(x, t)\|_{L_2(Q_T)} \quad (4, 13)$$

where the vector-function $\mathbf{f}_u(x, t) = \left(f_i(x, t) \right)_{i=1,2,3} + \left(\sum_1^3 u_j^0(x, t) \frac{du_j^0(x, t)}{dx_j} \right)_{i=1,2,3}$ and $c(T)$ are defined by (4,11'). And we present the basic equation (4,10) as:

$$\mathbf{w}(x, t) - \left(K_p + K_1 \right) * \left(E + P \right) \mathbf{w}(x, t) = \mathbf{f}(x, t) + \sum_1^3 u_j^0(x, t) \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{u}^0(x, t)}{dx_j} \quad (4, 14)$$

where the operator $\left(K_p \right)$ is defined by (3,42), the operator P is defined by (3,43) and E is an identify operator, i.e. $E\left(\mathbf{w}(x, t)\right) = \mathbf{w}(x, t)$. The operator $\left(K_1 \right) * \left(E + P \right)$ is defined as:

$$K_1 * \left(E + P \right) \left(\mathbf{w}(x, t) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{du_j^0(x, t)}{dx_j} \cdot G + u_j^0(x, t) \cdot G_{x_j} \right) * \left(E + P \right) \left(\mathbf{w}(x, t) \right) \quad (4, 15)$$

As the proof of Theorem 2.1(p.19) and definitions of the operators K_p, P, K_1 by the formulas (3,42), (3,43) and (4,15) it is proves that the following operators: $\left(K_p \right) * \left(E + P \right)$;

$\left(K_1 \right) * \left(E + P \right)$ are compact on the vector-space $\mathbf{L}_2(Q_t)$. Similarly, using Leray-Schauder's theorem and the estimate (4.13), the existence and smoothness of solution to the Navier-Stokes problem with $u(x, 0) = a(x) \neq 0$ is proved. ◀ Thank you for your attention.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

There are no funding sources for this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

References

- [1]. Leray J. : Sur le Mouvement d'un Liquide Emplissent l'Espace , Acta.Math. 51(1934).
- [2]. Temam P. : Navier-Stokes equations , theory and numerical analysis ,Oxford ,(1979).
- [3]. Ladyzhenskaya O. :The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flows, Gordon and Breach , (1969) .
- [4]. Lions J.L. :Quelques methodes de resolution des problemes aux limites non lineaires, Paris , (1969).
- [5]. Ladyzhenskaya O.,Solonnikov B., Uralzeva N. : The linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type , Moscow , (1967).
- [6]. Ladyzhenskaya O. : Problems for Navier - Stokes equation , Successes of math sciences / 2003 , v.58 , 2(350) , March -April .
- [7]. Tricomi F.G. : Integral equations. , Interscience publishers , INC.,New York (1957).
- [8]. Samko S.,Kilbas A.,Marichev O. : Fractional Integrals and Derivative . Theory and Applications. , Cordon and Breach Sci.Publishers , (1993).
- [9]. Friedman Avner . :Partial differential equations of parabolic type., Prentice-Hall , (1964).
- [10].Kamke E: Gewohnliche Differential Gleichungen. , Leipzig , (1959).
- [11].Sobolev S.L.Partial differential equations of Mathematical Physics.,Moskow,(1966).
- [12]. Bazarbekov A. "Estimates of solutions to the linear Navier-Stokes equation, 5 pages in Latex". This manuscript has not been published.

Address:050026 ,Muratbaeva St. 94-5,
Almaty , Republic Kazakhstan.