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Abstract. The rotational dimension is a minor monotone graph invariant related to the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of the graph Laplacian. In this paper, we study rotational dimensions of graphs which contain a large complete graph. The complete graph is characterized by its rotational dimension. And it will be obtained that a chordal graph may be made large while keeping the rotational dimension constant.

Introduction

Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be a finite, undirected and simple graph, where $V = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ is the vertex set, $E = \{ij : i, j \in V, i \text{ is adjacent to } j\}$ is the edge set and $w = (\cdots w_{ij} \cdots) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}$ is a edge weight vector. $E_{ij}$ is the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix whose $ii$ and $jj$ components are 1, $ij$ and $ji$ components are $-1$, and all other components are zero. Laplacian matrix $L_w = \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} E_{ij}$ is positive semidefinite (denoted as $L_w \succeq 0$) and its eigenvalues are ordered as $0 = \lambda_1(L_w) \leq \lambda_2(L_w) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n(L_w)$. Fiedler focused on $\lambda_2(L_w)$ which is related to graph connectivity and introduced the following optimization problem.

$$\hat{a}(G) = \max \{\lambda_2(L_w) : \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} = |E|, w \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}\}. \tag{1}$$

He called the optimal value $\hat{a}(G)$ the absolute algebraic connectivity of $G$. This problem is associated with an embedding problem for a graph into Euclidean spaces via Lagrange primal-dual approach. The problem of Fiedler is rewritten in a standard form of a semidefinite programming. Let $e$ be an $n$-column vector in which all components are 1. The problem (1) becomes

$$\frac{|E|}{\hat{a}(G)} = \text{minimize} \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij}$$

subject to $L_{\tilde{w}} + \tilde{\mu} e \cdot e - I \succeq 0$, $\tilde{w} \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}$, $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$. \tag{2}

The following is obtained as the dual problem.

$$\text{maximize} \sum_{i \in V} ||v_i||^2$$

subject to $||\sum_{i \in V} v_i||^2 = 0$, $||v_i - v_j|| \leq 1, \forall ij \in E$, $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall i \in V$. \tag{3}
Let \( s = t(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in (\mathbb{R} \geq 0)^n \) be a vertex weight vector and \( l = t(l_{ij}, \ldots) \in (\mathbb{R} \geq 0)^{|E|} \) be an edge length vector. The generalized embedding problem is

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{maximize} & \quad \sum_{i \in V} s_i ||v_i||^2 \\
\text{subject to} & \quad ||\sum_{i \in V} s_i v_i||^2 = 0, \\
& \quad ||v_i - v_j|| \leq l_{ij}, \quad \forall ij \in E, \\
& \quad v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall i \in V.
\end{align*}
\]

The rotational dimension is the minimal dimension of an optimal embedding.

**Definition 0.1** (rotational dimension).

\[
\text{rotdim}_G(s, l) := \min \left\{ \dim \text{span} \{v_i : i \in V\} : \right. \\
\left. \{v_i : i \in V\} \text{ is an optimal solution of } (4) \right\},
\]

\[
\text{rotdim}(G) := \max \{ \text{rotdim}_G(s, l) : s \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{|V|}, l \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{|E|} \}.
\]

Here \( \dim \text{span} \{v_i : i \in V\} \) is the dimension of the linear subspace spanned by \( \{v_i : i \in V\} \). \( \text{rotdim}(G) \) is called the rotational dimension of \( G \).

The rotational dimension was introduced by Göring, Helmberg and Wappler motivated by the multiplicity of the second Laplacian eigenvalue. Several other invariants or optimal embeddings related to the multiplicity of the second Laplacian eigenvalue are also known, such as the Colin de Verdière number or the valid representation.

By duality the problem (2) is also generalized:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \sum_{ij \in E} l_{ij}^2 \tilde{w}_{ij} \\
\text{subject to} & \quad DL\tilde{w}D + \tilde{\mu} D^{-1} e^T e D^{-1} - I \succeq 0, \\
& \quad \tilde{w} \in (\mathbb{R} \geq 0)^{|E|}, \\
& \quad \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( D = \text{diag}(s_1^{-1/2}, \ldots, s_n^{-1/2}) \) for a vertex parameter \( s \in (\mathbb{R} > 0)^n \). There is no duality gap for this primal-dual pair, that is, the optimal values of the two problems are equal. This fact is useful for consideration of optimal solutions and the rotational dimension.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the work on the optimal dimension by Göring et al. We derive the embedding problem dual to the optimization problem whose optimal value is the absolute algebraic connectivity. In addition we describe the properties of the optimal dimension. In Section 3 we consider a graph obtained by removing one edge from a complete graph, and find the optimal embedding and the optimal dimension of this graph. In Section 4 we study the rotational dimension of a chordal graph. For a chordal graph the upper bound by tree-width and the lower bound by the clique number of the rotational dimension are tight. We present a way to make a chordal graph larger while keeping the rotational dimension constant.

1. **Second eigenvalue optimization and graph embedding problem**

In this section we study the case that the vectors \( s, l \) are all one. Note that generalized problems can be discussed similarly.
1.1. Lagrangian dual problem and KKT-conditions. In this subsection we sketch the procedure deriving the dual problem from the problem (1). First we observe the flow from (1) to (2). See [4] for the details. First we consider a new constraint
\[ Lw + \mu e^t e - \lambda I \succeq 0, \]
where \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \). In this constraint \( \mu \) shifts the eigenvalue 0 to a sufficiently large value. Thus \( \lambda \) attains the second eigenvalue of \( Lw \). Then the problem (1) is rewritten as follows.
\[
\hat{a}(G) = \max_{\lambda} \lambda \quad \text{subject to} \quad Lw + \mu e^t e - \lambda I \succeq 0, \quad \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} = |E|, \quad w \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Next we regard this problem as a minimization of \( 1/\lambda \). Let \( \tilde{w}_{ij} = w_{ij}/\lambda \) and \( \tilde{\mu} = \mu/\lambda \). We are led to (2):
\[
(P) : |E| \hat{a}(G) = \min \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij} E_{ij} + \tilde{\mu} e^t e - I \succeq 0, \quad \tilde{w} \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}, \quad \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
We define the Lagrange function with \( \tilde{w} \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( X \succeq 0 \) as follows.
\[
L(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mu}, X) := \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij} - \left( \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij} E_{ij} + \tilde{\mu} e^t e - I, X \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij} (1 - \langle E_{ij}, X \rangle) - \tilde{\mu} (e^t e, X) + \langle I, X \rangle.
\]
It is easy to see that the following inequality holds.
\[
\inf_{\tilde{w} \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{X \succeq 0} L(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mu}, X) \geq \sup_{X \succeq 0} \inf_{\tilde{w} \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}} L(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mu}, X).
\]
The left-hand side is equal to the optimal value of the primal problem (P). The right-hand side is the dual problem:
\[
(D) : |E| \hat{a}(G) = \max \langle I, X \rangle \quad \text{subject to} \quad \langle e^t e, X \rangle = 0, \quad \langle E_{ij}, X \rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall ij \in E, \quad X \succeq 0.
\]
By the constraint \( X \succeq 0 \), \( X \) can be expressed as \( X = V^t V \) using an \( n \times n \) matrix \( V = (v_1 \cdots v_n) \). Then we can rewrite (D) as a problem on \( v_i \)'s:
\[
(D) : \max \sum_{i \in V} ||v_i||^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i \in V} ||v_i||^2 = 0, \quad ||v_i - v_j|| \leq 1, \quad \forall ij \in E, \quad v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall i \in V.
\]
Since \( v_i \)'s are associated with vertices of the graph, they can be interpreted as a graph embedding in Euclidean space. In this case there is no duality gap, that is, the optimal values of primal and dual are equal [4].

In general, when there is no duality gap, both feasible solutions satisfy the KKT-conditions if and only if these feasible solutions are optimal. In the present case, for optimal \( \tilde{w} \) and \( v_i \)'s they are expressed as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
    (i) & \quad \tilde{w}_{ij} (1 - \langle E_{ij}, X \rangle) = 0, \quad \forall ij \in E, \\
    (ii) & \quad \langle \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij} E_{ij} - I, X \rangle = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Conversely, if feasible solutions satisfy these, then these solutions are optimal and there is no duality gap.

In (i) when \( \tilde{w}_{ij} > 0 \), \( ||(v_i - v_k)||^2 = 1 \) should hold. This means that the distance \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) maximized in the optimal embedding.

The formula (ii) gives

\[
    v_i = \sum_{ik \in E} \tilde{w}_{ik} (v_i - v_k), \quad \forall i \in V.
\]

These are equivalent to

\[
    \begin{pmatrix}
        {}^t v_1 \\
        \vdots \\
        {}^t v_n
    \end{pmatrix} = L \tilde{w} 
    \begin{pmatrix}
        {}^t v_1 \\
        \vdots \\
        {}^t v_n
    \end{pmatrix}.
\]

This gives that \( v_i \)'s are the eigenfunctions of \( \lambda_2(L_{\tilde{w}}) \). Thus, the dimension of the linear subspace spanned by the optimal \( v_i \)'s are less than the multiplicity of \( \lambda_2(L_{\tilde{w}}) \).

1.2. Graph embedding problem and rotational dimension. In the previous subsection we showed that the dimension of the optimal embedding is bounded by the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue. However, this bound is not necessarily optimal, and better bounds were studied by Göring et al [4].

A separator is a vertex subset that divides a graph into two or more components. The Separator-Shadow theorem is one of the ingredients that give a better bound. Let \( v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, i \in V \), be an optimal solution of (4) for \( s \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{|V|}, l \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{|E|} \).

**Theorem 1.1** (Separator-Shadow [4]). Let \( S \) be a separator that divides \( G = (V, E, w) \) into two components \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \subset V \), and let \( \{v_i : i \in V\} \) be an optimal embedding. Then at least one of \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \), say \( C_1 \), satisfies

\[
    \text{conv}\{0, v_i\} \cap \text{conv}\{v_s \mid s \in S\} \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall i \in C_1.
\]

Here, \( \text{conv}\{0, v_i\} \) is a line segment connecting the origin and \( v_i \), and \( \text{conv}\{v_s \mid s \in S\} \) is the convex hull of the set \( \{v_s \mid s \in S\} \).

If we regard the origin as a light source and the convex hull of the separator's points as a solid body, then all the vertices of either components are in the shadow of the separator. By the Separator-Shadow theorem the dimension of the subspace spanned by \( S \) and one component which not contained in the shadow of \( S \) attains the optimal dimension for \( G \). In the case when the convex hull of the separator contains the origin, this theorem is not very effective, but in that situation the dimension can still be bounded [4].
One of the main results of [4] is the tree-width bound of the minimum dimension of an optimal embedding. A tree-decomposition of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a tree $T$ whose vertex set $V(T)$ is a family of subsets of $V$, satisfying the following properties.

(i) $V = \bigcup_{U \in V(T)} U$,
(ii) for any edge $e \in E$ there exists $U \in V(T)$ such that $e \subset U$,
(iii) If $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in V(T)$ and the path between $U_1$ and $U_2$ contains $U_3$, then $U_3 \supset U_1 \cap U_2$.

The width of a tree-decomposition is the cardinality of the largest size subset of $V$ minus 1, and the tree-width $tw(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum width of a tree-decomposition of $G$.

See, e.g. [1] for details about tree-decomposition and tree-width.

**Theorem 1.2** ([5]). For any graph $G$ and any parameters $s$, $l$ there exists an optimal embedding whose dimension is less than or equal to the tree-width of $G$ plus one.

Note that the rotational dimension has the same bound. Next we recall some facts about the rotational dimension.

**Definition 1.3** (minor). A graph $G'$ obtained by repeating three operations (i) deletion of isolated vertex, (ii) deletion of edge, (iii) contraction of edge from $G$, is called a minor of $G$. Then we write $G \succeq G'$.

**Theorem 1.4** (minor monotonicity of rotational dimension [5]).

$G \succeq G' \Rightarrow \text{rotdim}(G) \geq \text{rotdim}(G')$.

In the definition of the rotational dimension we can replace $s \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{|V|}, l \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{|E|}$ with $s \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|V|}, l \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{|E|}$ or $s \in (\mathbb{R}_{> 0})^{|V|}, l \in (\mathbb{R}_{> 0})^{|E|}$ [5]. When a graph $G$ is disconnected, the rotational dimension of $G$ is

$$\text{rotdim}(G) := \max\{\text{rotdim}(C) : C \text{ is a connected component of } G\}.$$  

Graphs having low rotational dimensions are classified as follows.

**Theorem 1.5.** [5]

$$\text{rotdim}(G) = 0 \iff G \text{ does not have edges},$$
$$\text{rotdim}(G) \leq 1 \iff G \text{ is composed of disjoint union of paths},$$
$$\text{rotdim}(G) \leq 2 \iff G \text{ is outer planar.}$$

2. **Rotational dimension of a complete graph**

In this section we check that the rotational dimension of an $n$ vertices graph is bounded from above by $n - 1$ and that the complete graph is the only $n$ vertices graph whose rotational dimension attains $n - 1$.

**Proposition 2.1.** If $G$ is an $n$ vertices graph, then

$$\text{rotdim}(G) \leq n - 1.$$
Proof. For any parameters \( s, l \) and optimal solution \( \{ v_i : i \in V \} \) we have \( \dim \text{span}\{ v_i : i \in V \} \leq n - 1 \), because the vectors \( v_i \)'s are not linearly independent by constraint \( \| \sum_{i \in V} s_i v_i \|^2 = 0 \). Therefore \( \text{rotdim}(G) \leq n - 1. \)

When parameters \( s, l \) are all one, a regular simplex is an unique optimal solution of a complete graph. Here we regard the embeddings which differ by a rotation around the origin as the same embedding. Then \( \text{rotdim}(K_n(1, 1)) = n - 1. \)

**Proposition 2.2.**

\[ \text{rotdim}(K_n) = n - 1. \]

**Proof.** Since \( \text{rotdim}(K_n) \geq \text{rotdim}(K_n(1, 1)) = n - 1 \), we obtain \( \text{rotdim}(K_n) = n - 1 \) by Lemma 2.1 \( \square \)

We can see immediately that \( \text{rotdim}(G) \geq \omega(G) - 1 \) by this example and the minor monotonicity, where \( \omega(G) \) is a clique number of \( G \). A clique number \( \omega(G) \) is the number of vertices of the largest sized complete subgraph in \( G \).

The following theorem is the main result in this section. We characterize the complete graph by its rotational dimension.

**Theorem 2.3.** If \( G \) is an \( n \) vertices graph, then

\[ \text{rotdim}(G) = n - 1 \iff G = K_n. \]

A similar property for the valid representation invariant \( \lambda(G) \) is obtained in [7].

In order to prove Theorem 2.3 we consider a graph obtained by connecting the complete graphs.

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be graphs with cliques of the same size. And let \( G \) be a graph obtained by identifying the respective cliques in the disjoint union of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \). This \( G \) is called the clique sum and denoted as \( G = G_1 \oplus G_2. \)

A graph obtained by removing one edge from the complete graph \( K_n \) is the clique sum of two \( K_{n-1} \)'s (illustrated in FIGURE 1 for \( n = 8 \)). The rotational dimension of that graph is calculated as follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( e \in E \). Then

\[ \text{rotdim}(K_n \setminus \{ e \}) = n - 2. \]

**Proof.** \( \text{rotdim}(K_n \setminus \{ e \}) \geq n - 2 \) holds because \( K_n \setminus \{ e \} \) contains \( K_{n-1} \) as a minor. Therefore we prove the opposite inequality. Let \( V \) be the vertex set of \( K_n \setminus \{ e \} \), and \( s, l \) be parameters all of whose components are positive. If \( \text{rotdim}(K_n \setminus \{ e \}) \leq n - 2 \) is shown for such parameters, we can get the same inequality for parameters whose components are nonnegative. Let \( \{ v_i : i \in V \} \) be an optimal solution of (4) that attains \( \text{rotdim}(K_n \setminus \{ e \}) \). We regard \( K_n \setminus \{ e \} \) as \( K_{n-1} \oplus K_{n-1} \) with a common clique \( K_{n-2} \) and let \( S \) be this common clique \( K_{n-2}. \)

Case (i): \( 0 \notin \text{conv}(S) \). Note that the dimension of the linear subspace \( \text{span}(S) \) is \( n - 2 \) or less. By Theorem 1.1 we get \( v_1 \in \text{span}(S) \) or \( v_2 \in \text{span}(S) \) where \( V \setminus S = \{ 1, 2 \} \). Without loss of generality we may assume \( v_1 \in \text{span}(S) \). And we also have \( v_2 \in \text{span}(S) \) by the equilibrium constraint \( \| \sum_{i \in V} s_i v_i \|^2 = 0 \). Thus \( \text{span}(V) \subset \text{span}(S) \), and \( \dim \text{span}(V) \leq n - 2. \)
Case (ii) : $0 \in \text{conv}(S)$. Let $v_1$ and $v_2$ be vectors which are not included in the common clique. Since $0 \in \text{aff-span}(S \cup \{v_1\})$ holds, we also have $\dim \text{span}(S \cup \{v_1\}) \leq n - 2$. By the equilibrium constraint we also have $v_2 \in \text{span}(S \cup \{v_1\})$, and $\dim \text{span}(V) \leq n - 2$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We prove the contrapositive of $\implies$. If $G$ is an arbitrary $n$ vertex graph which is not a complete graph, then $K_n \setminus \{e\} \succeq G$. And by Theorem 1.4, 2.5, $n - 2 = \text{rotdim}(K_n \setminus \{e\}) \geq \text{rotdim}(G)$.

Even if an optimal dimension can be calculated, it is generally difficult to find an optimal embedding whose dimension attains the optimal dimension. However, for example, when all parameters are one, this is possible for $K_n$ and $K_n \setminus \{e\}$. It is known that the regular simplex is the only optimal embedding of the complete graph. For a general graph its complete subgraph may be embedded similarly.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be an $n$ vertices graph. For a complete subgraph $K_m \leq G$ and an optimal embedding $\{v_i : i \in V\}$ of $K_m$, if $\|v_i - v_j\| = 1$ holds for every $ij \in E(K_m)$, then the vectors corresponding to the vertices of $K_m$ form an $(m - 1)$-regular simplex with side distance 1.

*Proof.* It is clear when $m$ is 1 and 2. Assuming that the claim is satisfied up to $m$ vertices, we consider where the new vertex is located. The new vertex may be placed on the straight line which is orthogonal to the given $(m - 1)$-regular simplex and pass through the center of mass of the simplex. Whichever we chose, we get an $m$-regular simplex. □

If we apply Proposition 2.6 to $K_n \setminus \{e\}$, the configuration of an optimal embedding can be found.

**Proposition 2.7.** When $s \equiv 1$ and $l \equiv 1$, we have

$$\text{rotdim}_{K_n \setminus \{e\}}(1, 1) = n - 2.$$  

And the optimal embedding that gives this dimension exists uniquely.

*Proof.* Let $m = n - 2$, $\{1, \cdots, m\}$ be a vertex set of the common clique $K_m$ when considered as $K_n \setminus \{e\} = K_{n-1} \oplus K_{n-1}$, and let $m + 1, m + 2$ be the other vertices. We will prove that the following embedding is only optimal embedding of $K_n \setminus \{e\}$.

- The vertices of $K_m$ are mapped bijectively onto the vertices of the $(m - 1)$-regular simplex inscribed in a $(m - 1)$-sphere of radius $r_m = \sqrt{(m - 1)/2m}$.
- Two vertices $m + 1$ and $m + 2$ are placed central-symmetrically on the straight line that is orthogonal to the above simplex and passes through the origin.
Note that the second property implies $\|\tilde{v}_{m+1}\| = \|\tilde{v}_{m+2}\| = \sqrt{(m + 1)/2m}$ since $\|\tilde{v}_i - \tilde{v}_j\| = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = m + 1, m + 2$. Here $\{\tilde{v}_i : i \in V\}$ is the above embedding.

First we check that $\{\tilde{v}_i : i \in V\}$ is optimal. This embedding is obviously feasible, and the objective value is $(m^2 + m + 2)/2m$. On the other hand,

$$
\tilde{w}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{m} - \frac{2}{m^2}, & 1 \leq i, j \leq m, \\
\frac{1}{m}, & 1 \leq i \leq m, j = m + 1 \text{ or } m + 2
\end{cases}
$$

is feasible solution of (2), because

$$
L\tilde{w} + \tilde{\mu}e^te - I = \begin{pmatrix} 2/m^2 & 0 \\
0 & 1/m \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0
$$

is satisfied where $\tilde{\mu} = 1/m$. This solution is found as follows. Let

$$
\tilde{w}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
a, & 1 \leq i, j \leq m, \\
b, & 1 \leq i \leq m, j = m + 1 \text{ or } m + 2
\end{cases}
$$

by taking the symmetry of the graph into account. By inserting this $\tilde{w}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_i : i \in V\}$ into the KKT-condition

$$
v_i = \sum_{ij \in E} \tilde{w}_{ij}(\tilde{v}_i - \tilde{v}_j), \quad \forall i \in V,
$$

we get (8). For this edge weight the objective value is $(m^2 + m + 2)/2m$. Since both objective values are equal, $\tilde{w}$ and $\{\tilde{v}_i : i \in V\}$ are both optimal.

Next, we give an optimal embedding $\{v_i : i \in V\}$ arbitrarily. By inserting $\tilde{w}$ and $\{v_i : i \in V\}$ into the KKT-conditions, we get

$$
\|v_i - v_j\| = 1, \quad \forall i, j \in E,
$$

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} v_i = 0,
$$

$$
v_{m+1} + v_{m+2} = 0.
$$

Thus, by Proposition $2.6$ $\{v_i : i \in V\}$ is the desired arrangement. \hfill \Box

3. Rotational dimension of a chordal graph

In the Separator-Shadow Theorem the upper bound for the optimal dimension becomes tight if the chosen separator has strong connectivity close to that of a clique. A chordal graph has the required structure. A chordal graph is a graph in which all cycles of length 4 or more have a chord. A chord in a cycle is an edge which connects two non-adjacency vertices of this cycle. For any graph $G$ let $tw(G)$ be the tree-width and $\omega(G)$ be the clique number, then $tw(G) \geq \omega(G) - 1$ holds. The equal holds for a chordal graph (see, e.g. [6]). For any graph

$$
\omega(G) - 1 \leq \text{rotdim}(G) \leq tw(G) + 1
$$
holds. However, those bound is tight for a chordal graph. In fact, if $G$ is a chordal graph, then

$$\text{rotdim}(G) = \omega(G) - 1, \text{ or } \omega(G).$$

**Example 3.1.** Let $G(n) = K_{n+1} \oplus K_{n+1} \oplus K_{n+1}$ with common clique $K_n$, that is, $G(n)$ is a graph in which three vertices are completely connected to $K_n$. Then we have

$$\text{rotdim}_{G(n)}(1, 1) = n + 1, \quad n \geq 4.$$ 

Detailed calculation is given in [4].

Since $G(n)$ is a chordal graph and $\omega(G(n)) = n + 1$, we conclude as

$$\text{rotdim}(G(n)) = n + 1, \quad n \geq 4.$$ 

Using this fact, we can calculate the rotational dimension of the graph $G(n, l) = K_{n+1} \oplus K_{n+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_{n+1}$ which is the $l$-clique sum with common clique $K_n$ for $n \geq 4$, $l \geq 3$. Note that $G(n) = G(n, 3)$. By $G(n) \leq G(n, l)$ and evaluation by clique number, we obtain

$$\text{rotdim}(G(n, l)) = n + 1.$$ 

Rotational dimensions of $G(n, l)$ for all $n$ and $l$ are as follows.

$$\text{rotdim}(G(n, l)) = \begin{cases} n, & l = 1, 2, \\ n + 1, & l \geq 3. \end{cases}$$ 

Applying the properties of a chordal graph, we calculate the rotational dimension of large size graphs.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $G$ be a chordal graph that satisfies $\text{rotdim}(G) = \omega(G)$. Also, let $\widehat{G}$ be a chordal graph containing $G$ as a subgraph, and it is supposed that $\omega(\widehat{G}) = \omega(G)$ is satisfied. Then,

$$\text{rotdim}(\widehat{G}) = \text{rotdim}(G).$$

**Proof.** Since $\text{tw}(\widehat{G}) = \omega(\widehat{G}) - 1 = \omega(G) - 1$, we obtain $\text{rotdim}(\widehat{G}) \leq \text{tw}(\widehat{G}) + 1 = \omega(G) = \text{rotdim}(G)$. On the other hand, $\text{rotdim}(G) \leq \text{rotdim}(\widehat{G})$ by the minor monotonicity. \qed

The technique in this theorem is used to obtain $\text{rotdim}(G(n, l)) = n + 1$ for $l \geq 4$.
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