

Optimal representation of quantum channels

Paulina Lewandowska^{*1}, Ryszard Kukulski¹, and Łukasz Paweł¹

¹Institute of Theoretical and Applied Informatics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bałtycka 5, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland

Abstract

This work shows an approach to reduce the dimensionality of matrix representations of quantum channels. It is achieved by finding a base of the cone of positive semidefinite matrices which represent quantum channels. Next, this is implemented in the `Julia` programming language as a part of the `QuantumInformation.jl` package.

1 Introduction

This paper aims at finding an optimal representation of quantum channels for the purposes of machine learning. By *optimal* we understand the lowest possible number of real parameters needed to define a quantum channel [1]. Further, we would like this representation to be technically usable so that we could train, for instance, neural networks to approximate functions of this objects. Such a neural network could be used for computing the diamond norm [2] which in turn gives us a measure of distance between quantum channels. This approach could provide a large speed boost in the problem of quantum channel discrimination [3,4]. This problem is currently at the forefront of study in the field of quantum information theory.

Our work is naturally divided into three parts. In the first part we show the mathematical structures needed to find the optimal representation. This involves dealing with cones of positive semidefinite matrices. The second part we present the example of whereas the last part presents the implementation of this example in the `Julia` language. This implementation is now a part of the `QuantumInformation.jl` [5,6] numerical library available on-line at <https://github.com/iitis/QuantumInformation.jl>. Surprisingly, despite the complex mathematical structure and quite technical proofs, the implementation is relatively simple and therefore useful.

^{*}plewandowska@iitis.pl

2 Mathematical framework

2.1 Quantum channels

Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be complex finite-dimensional vector spaces, let $L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be the set of all linear operators transforming vectors from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} and denote $L(\mathcal{X}) := L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. Further, consider mappings of the form

$$\Phi : L(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{Y}). \quad (1)$$

The set of all such mappings will be denoted $T(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $T(\mathcal{X}) := T(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. Quantum channels are such $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ which are trace preserving and completely positive. The former means that

$$\forall A \in L(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{Tr}(\Phi(A)) = \text{Tr}(A). \quad (2)$$

The latter is a bit more complicated. Formally this condition can be written as

$$\forall \mathcal{Z} \quad \forall A \in L(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Z}) \quad A \geq 0 \implies (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{L(\mathcal{Z})})(A) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

The intuitive explanation is as follows. First, consider a $\rho \in L(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$ and $\rho \geq 0$. Such an operator is called a quantum state. We would like our channels not only to transform states into states, but also we would like the ability to perform a channel on only a part of the system. In other words we would like the output of $(\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{L(\mathcal{Z})})(\rho)$ to also be a proper quantum state for an arbitrary space \mathcal{Z} and all $\rho \in L(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Z})$. This can only be fulfilled when we introduce the need for completely positivity. We will denote the set of all quantum channels as $C(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $C(\mathcal{X}) = C(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$.

The mappings $T(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ may be represented in a number of ways. For our purposes only the Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorphism [7, 8] will be relevant. This representation states that there exists a bijection J between the sets $T(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $L(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X})$. This bijection can be explicitly written as

$$J(\Phi) = \sum_{i,j}^{\dim(\mathcal{X})} \Phi(|i\rangle\langle j|) \otimes |i\rangle\langle j|. \quad (4)$$

Φ is completely positive if and only if $J(\Phi) \geq 0$; Φ is trace preserving if and only if $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} J(\Phi) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Finally, Φ is Hermiticity preserving if and only if $J(\Phi) \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X})$, where $\text{Herm}(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the set of all Hermitian matrices in $L(\mathcal{X})$.

2.2 Convex cone structures

Consider \mathcal{X} is a real finite-dimensional vector space and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a closed convex cone. We assume that \mathcal{C} is pointed, i.e. $\mathcal{C} \cap -\mathcal{C} = \{0\}$ and generating, i.e. for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists $u, w \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x = u - w$. Such a cone

\mathcal{C} is called a proper cone in the space \mathcal{X} . The proper cone \mathcal{C} becomes a partially ordered vector space $x \geq y \iff x - y \in \mathcal{C}$ for each $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Let \mathcal{X}^* be the space dual to \mathcal{X} defined by the inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. Then, we may introduce a partial order in \mathcal{X}^* as well with the dual cone

$$\mathcal{C}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{X}^* : \langle f | z \rangle \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (5)$$

The cone \mathcal{C}^* is also closed and convex cone. If \mathcal{C} is generating in space \mathcal{X} , then \mathcal{C}^* is pointed and we may introduce partial order in \mathcal{X}^* given by

$$f \geq g \iff f - g \in \mathcal{C}^* \quad (6)$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{X}^*$.

An interior point $e \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ of a cone \mathcal{C} is called an order unit [9] if for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda e - x \in \mathcal{C}$ whereas a base of \mathcal{C} is defined as compact and convex subset $B \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that for every $z \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists unique $t > 0$ and an element $b \in B$ such that $z = tb$. The following theorem shows there exists relation between the order unit e and a base of cone \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 1. *The set $B_e = \{z \in \mathcal{C} : \langle e | z \rangle = 1\}$ is the base of \mathcal{C} (determined by element e) if and only if an element e is an order unit and $e \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}^*)$.*

The proof of this theorem is presented in Appendix A.

2.3 Base of Hermiticity preserving maps

Let us now define the finite-dimensional linear space

$$\{\Phi \in \text{T}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) : \Phi - \text{Hermiticity preserving}\}. \quad (7)$$

Due to the Choi–Jamiołkowski isomorphism, the set of all Hermiticity preserving linear maps of a finite-dimensional space is mathematically closely related to the set

$$\mathcal{V} = \{J(\Phi) : J(\Phi) \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X})\}, \quad (8)$$

of all Choi matrices of Hermiticity preserving maps.

In every linear space of Hermitian matrices $\text{Herm}(\mathcal{Z})$ we can introduce an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$. The basis $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a collection of $\dim(\mathcal{Z})^2$ matrices. The standard orthonormal basis is denoted by the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z}) = & \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Z}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{Z})}}, \right. \\ & \frac{\sum_{a=1}^k |a\rangle\langle a| - k|k+1\rangle\langle k+1|}{\sqrt{k+k^2}}, \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, \dim(\mathcal{Z}) - 1, \\ & \left. \frac{|a\rangle\langle b| + |b\rangle\langle a|}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i|a\rangle\langle b| - i|b\rangle\langle a|}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ for } a, b = 1, \dots, \dim(\mathcal{Z}) \text{ and } a \neq b \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If we consider the space \mathcal{V} of all Choi matrices of Hermiticity preserving maps we receive the $\dim(\mathcal{X})^2 \dim(\mathcal{Y})^2$ dimensional space. To reduce the number of dimensions of \mathcal{V} we introduce the concept of a cone in this space and the base of cone.

Now we introduce a proper cone in the space \mathcal{V} as

$$\mathcal{C} = \{J(\Phi) \in \mathcal{V} : J(\Phi) \geq 0\}, \quad (10)$$

and a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S} = \{J(\Phi) \in \mathcal{V} : \text{tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} J(\Phi) = c\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}, c \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (11)$$

By \mathcal{S}^\perp we denote the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{S} which is given by

$$\mathcal{S}^\perp := \{X \in \mathcal{V} : \text{tr}(XY) = 0, Y \in \mathcal{S}\}. \quad (12)$$

Fact 1. *The set \mathcal{S}^\perp is given by*

$$\mathcal{S}^\perp = \{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes H : H \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X}), \text{tr}(H) = 0\}. \quad (13)$$

The proof of this fact is presented in Appendix B.

We can also introduce a proper cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ in space \mathcal{S} given by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C}$ and a base $B_{\mathcal{S}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ of the cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$. We can prove, using Theorem 1, that the set $B_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the base of cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$ if and only if $B_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S} \cap B_E$ for some order unit $E \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}^*)$. The base $B_{\mathcal{S}}$ determined by an order unit E will be denoted as $B_{\mathcal{S}}^E$ and is given by

$$B_{\mathcal{S}}^E = \{X \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}} : \langle X | E \rangle = 1\}. \quad (14)$$

One can easily see that identity matrix $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an order unit in cone \mathcal{C} . Thus we have the following observation.

Fact 2. *For $E := \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}}{\dim(\mathcal{X})}$ the base $B_{\mathcal{S}}^E$ is determined by the set of Choi matrices of quantum channels $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ i.e.*

$$B_{\mathcal{S}}^E = \{J(\Phi) : \Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})\}. \quad (15)$$

We are ready to establish the main result of our work.

Theorem 2. *The linear space \mathcal{S} is the smallest linear subspace containing the set of quantum channels $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ which orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S})$ given by*

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{X}) \dim(\mathcal{Y})}} \right\} \cup \left\{ G \otimes H : G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}) \setminus \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}} \right\}, H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Moreover,

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}) = \dim(\mathcal{X})^2 \dim(\mathcal{Y})^2 - \dim(\mathcal{X})^2 + 1. \quad (17)$$

The proof of this theorem is presented in Appendix C.

Theorem 2 states that every quantum channel $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ can be uniquely determined by $\dim(\mathcal{X})^2 \dim(\mathcal{Y})^2 - \dim(\mathcal{X})^2$ real numbers due to fact that for every $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ holds $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} J(\Phi) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Moreover, for $J(\Phi)$ the coefficient

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{X}) \dim(\mathcal{Y})}} \middle| J(\Phi) \right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\dim(\mathcal{X})}{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}}. \quad (18)$$

remains fixed for every $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

As a conclusion, we reduced the dimension of computational space by $\dim(\mathcal{X})^2$.

3 Example

In this section we present how one can use the `Julia` language and `QuantumInformation.jl` library in order express quantum channels as vectors in the space S .

Let us consider $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{C}^3$ along with quantum channels $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$ given by

$$\Phi(X) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} X \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}), \quad (19)$$

and $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y})$ defined as

$$\Psi(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.92 - 0.14i & 0.84 - 0.19i \\ 0.92 + 0.14i & 1 & 0.81 + 0.06i \\ 0.84 + 0.19i & 0.81 - 0.06i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot Y, \quad Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}), \quad (20)$$

where \odot denotes the Hadamard product.

First we calculate the Choi matrices of Φ given by

$$J(\Phi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 & -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Analogously for Ψ we have

$$J(\Psi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.92 - 0.14i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.84 - 0.19i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.92 + 0.14i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.81 + 0.06i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.84 + 0.19i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.81 - 0.06i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Now we use the function `channelbasis`. The inputs of this function are the dimensions of spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} of channels Φ, Ψ . The function returns an orthonormal basis of S . Then, we are able to use the function `represent` which factor out Choi matrices $J(\Phi), J(\Psi)$ on basis elements and returns a vector representations $v_{J(\Phi)}, v_{J(\Psi)}$ of basis coefficients. In our examples we have

$$v_{J(\Phi)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \\ 0.70711 \\ 0.70711 \\ -0.70711 \\ -0.70711 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_7, \quad v_{J(\Psi)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70711 \\ -0.70711 \\ -0.20356 \\ -0.26978 \\ 1.29553 \\ 0.40825 \\ 0.40825 \\ -0.8165 \\ 0.08119 \\ 1.18231 \\ 1.14206 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \mathbf{0}_{61} \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{0}_i$ denotes vector of zeros of length i . If we want to reverse vector representation process, we can use function `combine`. The output matrix elements shall be accurate with original Choi matrix elements to 10^{-16} or better.

4 Julia implementation

Here, we present the code structure for the basis representation of Choi matrix of a qubit unitary channel Φ given by Eq. (19).

```
julia> using QuantumInformation
julia> H=hadamard(2)
```

```

2×2 Array{Float64,2}:
0.707107  0.707107
0.707107 -0.707107

julia> # defining Choi Matrix
J_Φ=res(H)*res(H)'
4×4 Array{Float64,2}:
0.5  0.5  0.5 -0.5
0.5  0.5  0.5 -0.5
0.5  0.5  0.5 -0.5
-0.5 -0.5 -0.5  0.5

julia> # representing Choi matrix in the basis of the subspace S
v_J_Φ=represent(channelbasis(Matrix{ComplexF64}, 2, 2),J_Φ)
13-element Array{Float64,1}:
0.0
0.9999999999999996
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.7071067811865474
0.7071067811865474
-0.7071067811865474
-0.7071067811865474
0.9999999999999998

julia> # recovering the original Choi matrix from its basis representation
J_Φ_recovered=combine(channelbasis(Matrix{ComplexF64}, 2,2),v_J_Φ).matrix
4×4 Array{Complex{Float64},2}:
0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im  -0.5+0.0im
0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im  -0.5+0.0im
0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im  -0.5+0.0im
-0.5+0.0im -0.5+0.0im -0.5+0.0im  0.5+0.0im

julia> # checking accuracy of recovery process using trace norm
print(norm_trace(J_Φ-J_Φ_recovered))
8.881784197001252e-16

```

5 Conclusion

In this work we find a matrix basis for quantum channels and provide strict mathematical proofs supporting our result. This basis allows us to reduce the dimensionality of the matrix which represents a quantum channel. This, in turn, allows us to speed up computation of a class of functions of these channels, which is applicable in, for instance, the study of quantum channel discrimination. Our analytical results are accompanied by functions written in the `Julia` language which decompose a given quantum channel in our basis. This implementation is now a part of the `QuantumInformation.jl` package [5, 6].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Foundation for Polish Science (FNP) under grant number POIR.04.04.00-00-17C1/18-00.

References

- [1] J. A. Holbrook, D. W. Kribs, and R. Laflamme, “Noiseless subsystems and the structure of the commutant in quantum error correction,” *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 381–419, 2003.
- [2] J. Watrous, *The theory of quantum information*. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [3] C. W. Helstrom, *Quantum detection and estimation theory*. Academic press, 1976.
- [4] A. Jenčová, “Base norms and discrimination of generalized quantum channels,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 55, no. 2, p. 022201, 2014.
- [5] “QuantumInformation.jl; Available from: [https:// github.com/iitis/QuantumInformation.jl](https://github.com/iitis/QuantumInformation.jl).”
- [6] P. Gawron, D. Kurzyk, and Ł. Pawela, “QuantumInformation.jl—a julia package for numerical computation in quantum information theory,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. e0209358, 2018.
- [7] M.-D. Choi, “Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 285–290, 1975.
- [8] A. Jamiołkowski, “Linear transformations which preserve trace and positive semidefiniteness of operators,” *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 275–278, 1972.
- [9] B. Fuchssteiner and W. Lusky, *Convex cones*. Elsevier, 2011.
- [10] W. Rudin *et al.*, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 3. McGraw-hill New York, 1964.
- [11] C. D. Meyer, *Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra*, vol. 71. Siam, 2000.

Appendix A: Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. " \implies " Consider that B_e is a base of \mathcal{C} . An element $e \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ if and only if there exists $r > 0$ such that the ball $K(e, r) \subset \mathcal{C}^*$, which is equivalent above condition

$$\exists_{r>0} \forall_{f \in \mathcal{X}^*} (\|f - e\| < r \implies \forall_{z \in \mathcal{C}} \langle f | z \rangle \geq 0). \quad (24)$$

By using the fact that in finite-dimensional spaces all norms are equivalent, we use the definition of induced norm given by

$$\|f - e\| = \inf \{M \in [0, \infty) : \forall_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\langle f | x \rangle - \langle e | x \rangle| \leq M\|x\|\}. \quad (25)$$

Then, we have

$$\|f - e\| < r \iff \exists_{0 < M < r} \forall_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\langle f | x \rangle - \langle e | x \rangle| \leq M\|x\|. \quad (26)$$

Assume that $f \in K(e, r)$, $M := \max\{\|b\| : b \in B_e\}$ and $0 < r < \frac{1}{M}$. Then, we have

$$|\langle f | b \rangle - \langle e | b \rangle| \leq \|f - e\| \cdot \|b\| \leq r\|b\| \leq rM < 1. \quad (27)$$

If $b \in B_e$, then $\langle e | b \rangle = 1$. Hence $|\langle f | b \rangle - 1| < 1$. That entails that $\langle f | b \rangle > 0$. By using the assumption we have $\langle f | z \rangle = t\langle f | b \rangle$, which implies that $\langle f | z \rangle > 0$.

" \Leftarrow " Now consider that $e \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ is order unit. It easy to see that B_e is a convex set. First prove that $\langle e | z \rangle \neq 0$. Let $z \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $e \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}^*)$. If $e \in \mathcal{C}^*$, then $\langle e | z \rangle \geq 0$. It suffices to show that $\langle e | z \rangle \neq 0$. We will show this fact by contradiction. Assume $\langle e | z \rangle = 0$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem [10], there exists $z^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$ such that $\langle z^* | z \rangle = \|z\|$. Then

$$\langle e - \epsilon z^* | z \rangle = -\epsilon\|z\| < 0 \quad (28)$$

It implies that $K(e, \epsilon) \not\subset \mathcal{C}^*$, which is contradiction with the assumption $e \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}^*)$. Therefore, $\langle e | z \rangle > 0$.

Let us see that if $b := \frac{z}{\langle e | z \rangle}$ and $t := \langle e | z \rangle$, then each element $z \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as $z = tb$. To prove that B_e is compact we note that \mathcal{X} is a finite-dimensional space. Then, the set B_e is compact if and only if B_e is closed and bounded. To prove that B_e is closed, take any sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_e$ such that $z_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} z$. By the inner product continuity, we get

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle e | z_n \rangle = \left\langle e \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n \right. \right\rangle = \langle e | z \rangle. \quad (29)$$

It implies that $z \in B_e$ therefore B_e is closed. To prove that B_e is bounded we show there exists $M \in [0, \infty)$ such that $\|z\| \leq M$ for every $z \in B_e$. Let us take a compact sphere $S(0, 1)$ and closed cone \mathcal{C} . Then $S = S(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{C}$ is also compact. Notice the function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by $f(x) = \langle e | x \rangle$,

where e is an order unit. By the Weierstrass theorem, a function f attains infimum and supremum. Therefore, there exists $x_0 \in S$ such that $0 \leq f(x_0) = \inf_{x \in S} f(x)$. Consider by contradiction that $f(x_0) = \langle e | x_0 \rangle = 0$. We have $0 = \langle e | x_0 \rangle = \langle e | tb_0 \rangle = t$, where $b_0 \in B_e$, which is a contradiction with the assumption $t > 0$. Thus there exists $\lambda := \langle e | x_0 \rangle > 0$ such that $\langle e | z \rangle \geq \lambda \|z\|$ for every $z \in B_e$, hence $\|z\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Taking $M := \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we get thesis. \square

Appendix B: Proof of Fact 1

Proof. It is clear that $\dim(\mathcal{V}) = (\dim(\mathcal{X}) \dim(\mathcal{Y}))^2$. Consider a linear space $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ which is $(\dim(\mathcal{X}) \dim(\mathcal{Y}))^2 + 1$ dimensional. Take any $J(\Phi) \in \mathcal{S}$. The condition $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{Y}} J(\Phi) = c \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ in the space $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{Y})} \Re(J(\Phi)_{j+(k-1)\dim(\mathcal{X}), i+(k-1)\dim(\mathcal{X})}) - c = 0 \quad \forall_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, \dim(\mathcal{X})\}} \quad (30)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \dim(\mathcal{X})\}$. This homogeneous system of $\dim(\mathcal{X})^2$ linear equations is linearly independent. By rank-nullity theorem [11], we have

$$\dim(\mathcal{S}) = (\dim(\mathcal{X}) \dim(\mathcal{Y}))^2 + 1 - \dim(\mathcal{X})^2. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, $\dim(\mathcal{S}^\perp) = \dim(\mathcal{X})^2 - 1$. To complete the proof, note that

$$\dim(\{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes H : H \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X}), \text{tr}(H) = 0\}) = \dim(\mathcal{X})^2 - 1. \quad (32)$$

\square

Appendix C: Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. According to Fact 2 the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the base of a proper cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}$. That means

$$\text{span}(\{J(\Phi) \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X})\}) = \mathcal{S}. \quad (33)$$

Now we fix an orthonormal basis of the space \mathcal{V} . Let it be given as the collection

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}) = \{G \otimes H : G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}), H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})\}. \quad (34)$$

By using Fact 1, if we take $X \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, then there exists $H \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{tr}(H) = 0$ such that $X = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes H$. Let us set up the basis of \mathcal{S}^\perp

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^\perp) = \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}} \otimes H : H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{X})}} \right\} \right\}. \quad (35)$$

Bearing in mind the relation $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp$, we conclude that basis of \mathcal{S} can be chosen as $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$, namely

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{X}) \dim(\mathcal{Y})}} \right\} \cup \left\{ G \otimes H : G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}) \setminus \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{Y})}} \right\}, H \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \right\}, \quad (36)$$

which completes the proof. \square