

# Covariant hamiltonian for supergravity in $d = 3$ and $d = 4$

Leonardo Castellani

*Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica  
Università del Piemonte Orientale, viale T. Michel 11, 15121 Alessandria, Italy  
INFN, Sezione di Torino, via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy  
Arnold-Regge Center, via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy*

## Abstract

We extend the covariant canonical formalism recently discussed in ref. [1] to geometric theories coupled to both bosonic and fermionic  $p$ -forms. This allows a covariant hamiltonian treatment of supergravity theories. As examples we present the covariant hamiltonian formulation for  $d = 3$  anti-De Sitter supergravity and for the “new minimal”  $d = 4$ ,  $N = 1$  supergravity (with 1-form and 2-form auxiliary fields). Form-Poisson brackets and form-Dirac brackets are defined, and used to find the form-canonical generators of all gauge symmetries via an algorithmic procedure.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>A summary of the covariant hamiltonian formalism</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Geometric action and Euler-Lagrange equations . . . . .	3
2.2	Form Hamiltonian . . . . .	3
2.3	Form Poisson bracket . . . . .	4
2.4	Properties of the form Poisson bracket . . . . .	5
2.5	Infinitesimal canonical transformations . . . . .	6
2.6	Form-canonical algebras . . . . .	6
2.7	Action invariance and Noether theorem . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Anti de Sitter <math>N = 1</math> supergravity in <math>d = 3</math></b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Lagrangian and symmetries . . . . .	8
3.2	Form hamiltonian and constraints . . . . .	9
3.3	Form Dirac brackets . . . . .	11
3.4	Canonical gauge generators . . . . .	12
3.4.1	Lorentz gauge rotations . . . . .	12
3.4.2	Gauge translations . . . . .	13
3.4.3	Gauge supersymmetry . . . . .	14
<b>4</b>	<b><math>N = 1</math> supergravity in <math>d = 4</math>, new minimal model</b>	<b>14</b>
4.1	Lagrangian . . . . .	14
4.2	Field equations . . . . .	15
4.3	Symmetries . . . . .	15
4.4	Form hamiltonian and constraints . . . . .	16
4.5	Dirac brackets . . . . .	17
4.6	Canonical gauge generators . . . . .	18
4.6.1	Lorentz gauge transformations . . . . .	18
4.6.2	$U(1)$ transformations . . . . .	19
4.6.3	Transformation with 1-form parameter . . . . .	19
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>A</b>	<b><math>\gamma</math> matrices in <math>d = 2 + 1</math></b>	<b>20</b>
A.1	Useful identities . . . . .	20
A.2	Fierz identity for two Majorana one-forms . . . . .	20
<b>B</b>	<b><math>\gamma</math> matrices in <math>d = 3 + 1</math></b>	<b>21</b>
B.1	Useful identities . . . . .	21
B.2	Charge conjugation and Majorana condition . . . . .	21
B.3	Fierz identity for two spinor one-forms . . . . .	21
B.4	Fierz identity for two Majorana spinor one-forms . . . . .	21

# 1 Introduction

We have re-examined in ref. [1] the covariant hamiltonian approach proposed long ago by D'Adda, Nelson and Regge [2]-[6], and extended it with a new definition of form-Poisson brackets and form-Dirac brackets consistent with the form-Legendre transformation that defines momenta and Hamiltonian. In short, the momenta  $\pi^i$  are defined as the derivative of a  $d$ -form Lagrangian  $L$  with respect to the exterior derivative  $d\phi_i$  of the fundamental  $p$ -form fields, and the  $d$ -form Hamiltonian is  $H = (d\phi_i)\pi^i - L$ .

Here we generalize the covariant hamiltonian framework to include fermions, and apply it to  $d = 3$  and  $d = 4$  supergravity.

This framework is well suited to translate in hamiltonian language the group-geometric approach to supergravity developed since the late 70's, based on free differential algebras (FDA's) [7]-[13] (for a recent review see for ex. [14]). A comprehensive account on Regge works can be found in the book [15].

Hamiltonian methods are very useful for conceptual and practical reasons, prominent ones being study of the symmetries and quantization. Our treatment being covariant (no time direction singled out), it simplifies considerably the canonical analysis and provides a basis for a covariant quantization procedure.

Other covariant Hamiltonian formalisms have been proposed in the literature, and a very partial list of references on multimomentum and multisymplectic canonical frameworks is given in ref. [1], to which we add the recent paper of [16]. The essential ideas appeared in papers by De Donder and Weyl more than seventy years ago [17, 18]. Some of these approaches are quite similar in spirit to the one we discuss here, but to our knowledge the first proposal of a  $d$ -form Hamiltonian, together with its application to gravity, can be found in ref. [2].

In the present paper a definition of form Poisson brackets and form Dirac brackets for bosonic and fermionic fundamental  $p$ -forms is given, and applied to  $d = 3$  and  $d = 4$  supergravity to find all the canonical symmetry generators. The method allows to discover in a systematic way all the gauge symmetries of a theory reformulated in hamiltonian terms. Geometric theories have also an *a priori* symmetry by construction, i.e. diffeomorphism invariance. In  $d = 3$  pure gravity diffeomorphisms coincide with gauge translations, when we consider the theory in second order formalism with vanishing torsion. This is no more true for  $d = 3$  supergravity, and in higher dimensions. In these cases the transformation rules under diffeomorphisms can be obtained as usual by acting on the fields (and their momenta) with the Lie derivative.

In Section 2 we present a short résumé of the covariant hamiltonian formalism, based on ref. [1], and apply it in Section 3 to  $d = 3$ ,  $N = 1$  AdS supergravity and in Section 4 to  $d = 4$ ,  $N = 1$  supergravity with the auxiliary fields of the “new minimal” model. One of the auxiliary fields being a 2-form, this example shows the versatility of the form-Poisson (and Dirac) brackets to accomodate  $p$ -forms in their definition. Section 5 contains some conclusions, and  $\gamma$  matrix conventions are summarized in the Appendices.

## 2 A summary of the covariant hamiltonian formalism

### 2.1 Geometric action and Euler-Lagrange equations

Consider the action :

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} L(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \quad (2.1)$$

where the Lagrangian  $L$  depends on a collection of  $p_i$ -form fields  $\phi_i$  and their exterior derivatives, and is integrated on a  $d$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}^d$ .

The variational principle reads

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} \delta\phi_i \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial\phi_i} + d(\delta\phi_i) \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

All products are exterior products between forms, satisfying

$$AB = (-)^{ab+\eta_a\eta_b} BA \quad (2.3)$$

with  $a, b$  and  $\eta_a, \eta_b$  the form and fermionic gradings of the forms  $A$  and  $B$  respectively ( $\eta = 0$  for bosons and  $\eta = 1$  for fermions). The symbol  $\frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial\phi_i}$  indicates the right derivative of  $L$  with respect to a  $p$ -form  $\phi_i$ , defined by first bringing  $\phi_i$  to the left in  $L$  (taking into account the sign changes due to the gradings) and then canceling it against the derivative.

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are:

$$d \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} - (-)^{p_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial\phi_i} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

### 2.2 Form Hamiltonian

We define the  $d$ -form Hamiltonian density as:

$$H \equiv d\phi_i \pi^i - L \quad (2.5)$$

where the  $(d - p_i - 1)$ -form momenta are given by:

$$\pi^i \equiv \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_i)} \quad (2.6)$$

The form-analogue of the Hamilton equations reads:

$$d\phi_i = (-)^{(d+1)(p_i+1)+\eta_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial\pi^i}, \quad d\pi^i = (-)^{p_i+1} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial\phi_i} \quad (2.7)$$

The first equation is equivalent to the momentum definition, and is obtained by taking the right derivative of  $H$  as given in (2.5) with respect to  $\pi^i$ :

$$\frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial \pi^i} = \frac{\vec{\partial} d\phi_j}{\partial \pi^i} \pi^j + (-)^{(d-p_i-1)(p_i+1)+\eta_i} d\phi_i - \frac{\vec{\partial} d\phi_j}{\partial \pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_j)} \quad (2.8)$$

and then using (2.6), and  $(d-p_i-1)(p_i+1) = (d+1)(p_i+1) \pmod{2}$ .

The second is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange form equations since

$$\frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial \phi_i} = \frac{\vec{\partial} d\phi_j}{\partial \phi_i} \pi^j - \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial \phi_i} - \frac{\vec{\partial} d\phi_j}{\partial \phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial(d\phi_j)} = -\frac{\vec{\partial} L}{\partial \phi_i} \quad (2.9)$$

because of the momenta definitions (2.6). Then using (2.4) yields the form Hamilton equation for  $d\pi^i$ .

### 2.3 Form Poisson bracket

The form Hamilton equations allow to express the (on shell) exterior differential of any  $p$ -form  $F(\phi_i, \pi^i)$  as

$$dF = d\phi_i \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial \phi_i} + d\pi^i \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial \pi^i} = (-)^{(d+1)(p_i+1)+\eta_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial \pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial \phi_i} + (-)^{p_i+1} \frac{\vec{\partial} H}{\partial \phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial \pi^i} \quad (2.10)$$

Using left derivatives this expression simplifies:

$$dF = \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} H}{\partial \pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial \phi_i} - (-)^{p_i d + \eta_i} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} H}{\partial \phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial \pi^i} \quad (2.11)$$

**Note:** left derivatives are defined as “acting on the left” and for example  $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} H}{\partial \phi_i}$  really means  $\frac{H \overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \phi_i}$ . It is easy to verify<sup>1</sup> that the left and right derivatives of an  $f$ -form  $F$  with respect to an  $a$ -form  $A$  satisfy

$$\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{a(f+1)+\eta_a(\eta_f+1)} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial A} \quad (2.12)$$

and this relation is used to prove eq. (2.11).

The expression for the differential (2.11) suggests the definition of the *form Poisson bracket* (FPB):

$$\{A, B\} \equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial \pi^i} \frac{\vec{\partial} A}{\partial \phi_i} - (-)^{p_i d + \eta_i} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial \phi_i} \frac{\vec{\partial} A}{\partial \pi^i} \quad (2.13)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>suppose that  $A$  is contained in  $F$  as  $F = F_1 A F_2$ . Then  $\frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{a f_1 + \eta_a \eta_{f_1}} F_1 F_2$  and  $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{a f_2 + \eta_a \eta_{f_2}} F_1 F_2$  so that  $\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{a(f_1+f_2)+\eta_a(\eta_{f_1}+\eta_{f_2})} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{a(f-a)+\eta_a(\eta_f-\eta_a)} \frac{\vec{\partial} F}{\partial A}$  and (2.12) follows.

so that

$$dF = \{F, H\} \quad (2.14)$$

The form Poisson bracket between the  $a$ -form  $A$  and the  $b$ -form  $B$  is a  $(a+b-d+1)$ -form, and canonically conjugated forms satisfy:

$$\{\phi_i, \pi^j\} = \delta_i^j \quad (2.15)$$

As observed in ref. [6], there is a convenient notation that encodes both form and fermionic gradings. Defining the vectors

$$[\phi_i] \equiv (p_i, \eta_i), \quad [\pi^i] \equiv (d - p_i - 1, \eta_i) \quad (2.16)$$

where the first components are the form gradings of  $\phi_i$  and  $\pi^i$ , and the second components are their fermionic gradings, the form Poisson bracket can be rewritten as:

$$\{A, B\} \equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial \pi^i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} A}{\partial \phi_i} - (-)^{[\phi_i] \cdot [\pi^i]} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} B}{\partial \phi_i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} A}{\partial \pi^i} \quad (2.17)$$

where  $[\phi_i][\pi^i]$  is the scalar product:

$$[\phi_i][\pi^i] \equiv p_i(d - p_i - 1) + \eta_i \eta_i = p_i d + \eta_i \pmod{2} \quad (2.18)$$

Similarly, formula (2.12) can be written as

$$\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial} F}{\partial A} = (-)^{[a][f+1]} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial} F}{\partial A} \quad (2.19)$$

with  $[a] = (a, \eta_a)$  and  $[f+1] = (f+1, \eta_f+1)$ .

## 2.4 Properties of the form Poisson bracket

Using the definition (2.13), the following relations for the FPB of (2.17) can be shown to hold:

$$\{B, A\} = -(-)^{(a+d+1)(b+d+1)+\eta_a \eta_b} \{A, B\} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\{A, BC\} = B\{A, C\} + (-)^{c(a+d+1)+\eta_c \eta_a} \{A, B\}C \quad (2.21)$$

$$\{AB, C\} = \{A, C\}B + (-)^{a(c+d+1)+\eta_a \eta_c} A\{B, C\} \quad (2.22)$$

$$(-)^{(a+d+1)(c+d+1)+\eta_a \eta_c} \{A, \{B, C\}\} + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

$$(-)^{(a+d+1)(b+d+1)+\eta_a \eta_b} \{\{B, C\}, A\} + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

i.e. graded antisymmetry, derivation property, and form-Jacobi identities. Here too the signs can be expressed in vector notation, for example:

$$(-)^{(a+d+1)(b+d+1)+\eta_a \eta_b} = (-)^{[a+\phi_i+\pi^i][b+\phi_i+\pi^i]} \quad (2.25)$$

since

$$[\phi_i + \pi^i] = (p_i + d - p_i - 1, \eta_i + \eta_i) = (d + 1, 0) \pmod{2} \quad (2.26)$$

## 2.5 Infinitesimal canonical transformations

We can define the action of infinitesimal form-canonical transformations on any  $a$ -form  $A$  as follows:

$$\delta A = \{A, G\} \quad (2.27)$$

where  $G = G(\phi_i, \pi^i)$  is a bosonic  $(d-1)$ -form, the generator of the canonical transformations. Then  $\{A, G\}$  is a  $a$ -form like  $A$ . The generator  $G$  can contain bosonic or fermionic infinitesimal parameters  $\varepsilon(x)$  depending only on spacetime, for example as  $G = \varepsilon G'$ . Then if the parameter  $\varepsilon$  is bosonic (fermionic),  $G'$  is bosonic (fermionic), so that  $G = \varepsilon G'$  is always bosonic. These transformations preserve the canonical FPB relations (2.15), and therefore we can call them form-canonical transformations. As in the usual case the proof involves the Jacobi identities applied to  $\phi_i, \pi^j, G$ :

$$\{\{\phi_i, \pi^j\}, G\} + (-)^{p_i(p_i+d+1)+\eta_i\eta_j} \{\{\pi^j, G\}, \phi_i\} + \{\{G, \phi_i\}, \pi^j\} = 0 \quad (2.28)$$

Using the graded antisymmetry of the FPB this reduces to:

$$\{\phi_i, \{\pi^j, G\}\} + \{\{\phi_i, G\}, \pi^j\} = \{\{\phi_i, \pi^j\}, G\} = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

since  $\{\phi_i, \pi^j\} = \delta_i^j$  is a number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi'_i, \pi'^j\} &= \{\phi_i + \{\phi_i, G\}, \pi^j + \{\pi^j, G\}\} \\ &= \{\phi_i, \pi^j\} + \{\phi_i, \{\pi^j, G\}\} + \{\{\phi_i, G\}, \pi^j\} + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= \{\phi_i, \pi^j\} + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Q.E.D.

## 2.6 Form-canonical algebras

As discussed in [1], the commutator of two infinitesimal canonical transformations generated by the  $(d-1)$ -forms  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is again an infinitesimal canonical transformation, generated by the  $(d-1)$ -form  $\{G_1, G_2\}$ . This is due to

$$\{G_1, G_2\} = -\{G_2, G_1\} \quad (2.31)$$

for  $(d-1)$ -form entries, and to the form-Jacobi identity

$$\{\{A, G_1\}, G_2\} - \{\{A, G_2\}, G_1\} = \{A, \{G_1, G_2\}\} \quad (2.32)$$

holding for any  $p$ -form  $A$ . Therefore the form-canonical transformations close an algebra. This algebra is finite dimensional if all fundamental fields (“positions and momenta”) are  $p$ -forms with  $p \geq 1$ , since there is only a finite number of  $(d-1)$ -form polynomials made out of the fundamental fields. On the other hand, if there are fundamental 0-forms, the algebra becomes infinite dimensional because there are infinitely many  $(d-1)$ -form polynomials.

## 2.7 Action invariance and Noether theorem

Consider the action

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\phi_i \pi^i - H \quad (2.33)$$

Its variation under an infinitesimal form-canonical transformation generated by a  $(d-1)$ -form  $G$  is

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d(\{\phi_i, G\})\pi^i + d\phi_i\{\pi^i, G\} - \{H, G\} \quad (2.34)$$

and with a little algebra (see [1]) one finds:

$$\delta S = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}^d} (\{\phi_i, G\}\pi^i - G) - \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} \{H, G\} \quad (2.35)$$

after using the definition of FPBs and

$$dG = d\pi^i \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial\pi^i} G + d\phi_i \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial\phi_i} G \quad (2.36)$$

Thus the action is invariant (up to a boundary term) under the infinitesimal canonical form-transformation generated by  $G$  iff

$$\{H, G\} = 0 \quad (2.37)$$

up to a total derivative. This result reproduces Noether's theorem in form language, and is identical to the result obtained in [1] for the purely bosonic case (fermionic signs cancel out).

Suppose now that the  $d-1$ -form generators include infinitesimal parameters and their exterior derivatives as follows:

$$\mathbb{G} = \varepsilon(x)G + (d\varepsilon)F \quad (2.38)$$

where  $G$  and  $F$  are respectively a  $g$ -form and a  $(g-1)$ -form, while the parameters  $\varepsilon(x)$  are  $(d-g-1)$ -form external fields depending only on spacetime. Then under the infinitesimal canonical transformation generated by  $\mathbb{G}$  the action varies as:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S = & \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}^d} \varepsilon(\{\phi_i, G\}\pi^i - (-)^{g+d+1}G) + d\varepsilon(\{\phi_i, F\}\pi^i - (-)^{g+d}F) \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{M}^d} d\varepsilon((-)^{g+d+1}G - \{H, F\}) - \varepsilon\{H, G\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Thus  $\varepsilon(x)G + (d\varepsilon)F$  is a gauge generator leaving the action invariant iff

$$(-)^{g+d+1}G - \{H, F\} = 0, \quad \{H, G\} = 0 \quad (2.40)$$



**Note 1:** invariance of the action under local symmetries implies hamiltonian constraints, as can be seen from the conditions (2.40). In fact part of these constraints are the primary constraints due to momenta definitions. Equalities valid modulo constraints are said to be *weak* equalities, and are written with a  $\approx$  symbol. Thus both equalities in (2.40) should be written with  $\approx$ .

**Note 2:** the infinitesimal transformation generated by  $\varepsilon(x)G + (d\varepsilon)F$  must preserve the constraints, implying

$$\{constraints, G\} \approx 0, \quad \{constraints, F\} \approx 0 \quad (2.41)$$

**Note 3:** as observed in [1], the conditions (2.40) and (2.41) generalize to geometric theories with fundamental bosonic and fermionic  $p$ -form fields the conditions for gauge generators found in [27], and provide the basis for a constructive algorithm yielding all the gauge generators. This procedure is applied in the next Sections.

**Note 4 :**  $F$  and  $G$  must be first-class quantities, i.e. have weakly vanishing FPS's with all the constraints, but do not have necessarily to be constraints.

### 3 Anti de Sitter $N = 1$ supergravity in $d = 3$

#### 3.1 Lagrangian and symmetries

We consider here  $N = 1$  AdS supergravity in  $d = 3$  (see [19, 20, 21], ref. [22] for its derivation as a super Chern-Simons theory, and ref. [23] for its group manifold construction). The fields  $\phi_i$  are the  $d = 3$  vierbein  $V^a$ , the spin connection  $\omega^{ab}$  and the Majorana gravitino  $\psi$ . The 3-form Lagrangian is

$$L(\phi, d\phi) = R^{ab}V^c\varepsilon_{abc} + 2i\bar{\psi}\Sigma + \frac{2}{3\lambda^2}V^aV^bV^c - \frac{i}{2\lambda}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi V^c\varepsilon_{abc} \quad (3.1)$$

where the super *AdS* curvatures are defined as

$$R^a = dV^a - \omega^a_b V^b - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\psi \quad (3.2)$$

$$R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} - \omega^a_e \omega^{eb} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}V^aV^b + \frac{i}{2\lambda}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Sigma = d\psi - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi - \frac{1}{2\lambda}V^a\gamma_a\psi \quad (3.4)$$

and  $\lambda$  is the AdS radius. The supertorsion  $R^a$  does not appear in the Lagrangian. The field equations are simply  $R^a = R^{ab} = \Sigma = 0$ .

The action is invariant under the  $d = 3$  anti-De Sitter supergroup  $OSp(1|2) \times Sp(2)$ , with the following gauge transformations on the basic fields:

$$\delta V^a = \mathcal{D}\varepsilon^a + \varepsilon^a_b V^b + i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^a\psi \quad (3.5)$$

$$\delta\omega^{ab} = \mathcal{D}\varepsilon^{ab} - \frac{2}{\lambda^2}V^{[a}\varepsilon^{b]} - \frac{i}{\lambda}\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^{ab}\psi \quad (3.6)$$

$$\delta\psi = \mathcal{D}\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2\lambda}V^a\gamma_a\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi + \frac{1}{2\lambda}\varepsilon^a\gamma_a\psi \quad (3.7)$$

where the gauge parameters  $\varepsilon^a$ ,  $\varepsilon^{ab}$  and  $\epsilon$  correspond to translations, Lorentz rotations and supersymmetry transformations, respectively. These symmetry variations will be recovered in the form-canonical treatment that follows.

The action corresponding to (3.1) is also invariant under the transformations:

$$\delta V^a = \delta_{gauge} V^a \quad (3.8)$$

$$\delta \omega^{ab} = \delta_{gauge} \omega^{ab} + 2\varepsilon^c R_{cd}^{ab} V^d + \bar{\theta}^{ab}_c \epsilon V^c - \bar{\theta}^{ab}_c \psi \varepsilon^c \quad (3.9)$$

$$\delta \psi = \delta_{gauge} \psi + 2\varepsilon^a \Sigma_{ab} V^b \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\delta_{gauge}$  refers to the variations in (3.5)-(3.7), the components  $R_{cd}^{ab}$  and  $\Sigma_{ab}$  are defined as

$$R^{ab} = R_{cd}^{ab} V^c V^d, \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_{ab} V^a V^b \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\bar{\theta}^{ab}_c = 2i \bar{\Sigma}_c^{[a} \gamma^{b]} - i \bar{\Sigma}^{ab} \gamma_c \quad (3.12)$$

These transformations can be interpreted as superdiffeomorphisms on the super AdS manifold. They close only on-shell, and in order to promote them to symmetries that close also off-shell one has to introduce auxiliary fields, see for ex. the review [14], and ref.s [23, 24].

### 3.2 Form hamiltonian and constraints

The 1-form momenta conjugated to  $V^a$ ,  $\omega_{ab}$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  are respectively :

$$\pi_a = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(dV^a)} = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(d\omega^{ab})} = V^c \varepsilon_{abc} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\pi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(d\bar{\psi})} = 2i\psi \quad (3.15)$$

All momenta definitions are *primary constraints*:

$$\Phi_a \equiv \pi_a = 0, \quad \Phi_{ab} \equiv \pi_{ab} - V^c \varepsilon_{abc} = 0, \quad \Phi \equiv \pi - 2i\psi = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

since they do not involve the “velocities”  $dV^a$ ,  $d\omega^{ab}$  and  $d\psi$ . The 3-form Hamiltonian is:

$$H = dV^a \pi_a + d\omega^{ab} \pi_{ab} + d\bar{\psi} \pi - L = \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= dV^a \Phi_a + d\omega^{ab} \Phi_{ab} + d\bar{\psi} \Phi + \omega^a_d \omega^{db} V^c \varepsilon_{abc} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{\lambda} \bar{\psi} V^a \gamma_a \psi + \frac{1}{3\lambda^2} V^a V^b V^c \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The Hamilton equations of motion for  $dV^a$ ,  $d\omega^{ab}$  and  $d\psi$  are identities, while for the momenta they read:

$$d\pi_a = \frac{\partial H}{\partial V^a} = -R^{bc}\epsilon_{abc} \quad (3.19)$$

$$d\pi_{ab} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \omega^{ab}} = 2\omega^c_{[a} V^d \epsilon_{b]cd} - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{ab} \psi \quad (3.20)$$

$$d\pi = -4i \Sigma + 2i d\psi \quad (3.21)$$

Requiring the “conservation” of  $\Phi_a$  and  $\Phi_{ab}$  leads to the conditions:

$$d\Phi_a = \{\Phi_a, H\} = -R^{bc}\epsilon_{abc} = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

$$d\Phi_{ab} = \{\Phi_{ab}, H\} = -R^c \epsilon_{abc} = 0 \quad (3.23)$$

$$d\Phi = \{\Phi, H\} = -4i \Sigma = 0 \quad (3.24)$$

implying the vanishing of all curvatures:  $R^a = 0$ ,  $R^{ab} = 0$ ,  $\Sigma = 0$ . These are the equations of motion of  $d = 3$  anti-De Sitter supergravity, and completely determine the “velocities”  $dV^a$ ,  $d\omega^{ab}$  and  $d\psi$ :

$$dV^a = \omega^a_b V^b, \quad d\omega^a_b = \omega^a_c \omega^{cb}, \quad d\psi = \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi + \frac{1}{2\lambda} V^a \gamma_a \psi \quad (3.25)$$

Using the form Poisson bracket we find the constraint algebra:

$$\{\Phi_a, \Phi_{bc}\} = -\epsilon_{abc}, \quad \{\Phi^\alpha, \Phi^\beta\} = 4i C^{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.26)$$

all other FPB's vanishing;  $C^{\alpha\beta}$  is the charge conjugation matrix. Thus constraints are second-class, and this is consistent with the fact that all the “velocities” get fixed by requiring conservation of the primary constraints. The three constraints  $\Phi_{ab}$  ( $ab = 12, 13, 23$ ) are equivalent to the three linear combinations  $\Xi^a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \Phi_{bc}$ , and we find

$$\{\Phi_a, \Xi^b\} = \delta_a^b \quad (3.27)$$

We'll use the  $\Xi^a$  in the definition of Dirac brackets of next Section. Note that form-Poisson brackets between bosonic (fermionic) 1-forms are symmetric (anti-symmetric) in  $d = 3$ , and in all odd dimensions, see eq. (2.20). Also, the FPB between constraints yield numbers in  $d = 3$  gravity, and this allows a definition of form-Dirac brackets (see next Section). A similar definition is not available in  $d = 4$ , since the FPB between constraints yield 1-forms, and the corresponding FPB matrix has no obvious inverse.

**Note:** the action variations (2.35) and (2.39) have been deduced assuming that  $H$  depends only on basic fields and momenta. This is not the case in constrained systems, where some of the velocities remain undetermined, and therefore appear in the hamiltonian. However they always appear multiplied by primary constraints, and the variation of these terms always vanishes weakly.

### 3.3 Form Dirac brackets

We define form Dirac brackets as follows

$$\{f, g\}^* \equiv \{f, g\} - \{f, \Phi_a\}\{\Xi^a, g\} - \{f, \Xi^a\}\{\Phi_a, g\} - \frac{1}{4i}\{f, \bar{\Phi}_\alpha\}\{\Phi^\alpha, g\} \quad (3.28)$$

These Dirac brackets vanish strongly if any entry is a constraint  $\Phi_a$ ,  $\Xi^a$  or  $\Phi^\alpha$ . With the help of the general formulas (2.20)-(2.24) with  $d = 3$  we can verify that the Dirac brackets inherit the same properties of the Poisson brackets, i.e. :

$$\{B, A\}^* = -(-)^{ab+\eta_a\eta_b}\{A, B\}^* \quad (3.29)$$

$$\{A, BC\}^* = B\{A, C\}^* + (-)^{ca+\eta_c\eta_a}\{A, B\}^*C \quad (3.30)$$

$$\{AB, C\}^* = \{A, C\}^*B + (-)^{ac+\eta_a\eta_c}A\{B, C\}^* \quad (3.31)$$

$$(-)^{ac+\eta_a\eta_c}\{A, \{B, C\}^*\}^* + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad (3.32)$$

$$(-)^{ab+\eta_a\eta_b}\{\{B, C\}^*, A\}^* + \text{cyclic} = 0 \quad (3.33)$$

Using Dirac brackets the second-class constraints (i.e. all the constraints of the  $d = 3$  theory) disappear from the game, and we can use the 3-form Hamiltonian

$$H = \omega_e^a \omega^{eb} V^c \varepsilon_{abc} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi + \frac{i}{\lambda} \bar{\psi} V^a \gamma_a \psi + \frac{1}{3\lambda^2} V^a V^b V^c \varepsilon_{abc} \quad (3.34)$$

The nonvanishing Dirac brackets between the basic fields and their momenta are given by:

$$\{V^a, \omega^{bc}\}^* = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc}, \quad \{\omega^{ab}, \pi_{cd}\}^* = \delta_{cd}^{ab}, \quad \{\bar{\psi}_\alpha, \pi^\beta\}^* = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^\beta \quad (3.35)$$

$$\{\bar{\psi}_\alpha, \psi^\beta\}^* = \frac{1}{4i}\delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \{\psi^\alpha, \psi^\beta\}^* = -\frac{1}{4i}\delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \{\pi^\alpha, \pi^\beta\}^* = -iC^{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.36)$$

The Hamilton equations expressed via the Dirac bracket become:

$$dV^a = \{V^a, H\}^* = \omega_b^a V^b + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi \Rightarrow R^a = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

$$d\omega^{ab} = \{\omega^{ab}, H\}^* = \omega_e^{[a} \omega^{b]e} - \frac{i}{2\lambda} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{ab} \psi + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} V^a V^b \Rightarrow R^{ab} = 0$$

$$d\psi = \{\psi, H\}^* = \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi + \frac{1}{2\lambda} V^a \gamma_a \psi \Rightarrow \Sigma = 0 \quad (3.38)$$

i.e. the field equations of  $d = 3$  AdS supergravity. For the “evolution” of the momenta we find:

$$d\pi_a = \{\pi_a, H\}^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow d\Phi_a = 0 \quad (3.39)$$

$$d\pi_{ab} = \{\pi_{ab}, H\}^* = \epsilon_{abc} \omega_d^c V^d - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{ab} \psi \Leftrightarrow d\Phi_{ab} = 0 \quad (3.40)$$

$$d\pi = \{\pi, H\}^* = \frac{i}{2} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi + \frac{i}{\lambda} V^a \gamma_a \psi \Leftrightarrow d\Phi = 0 \quad (3.41)$$

where in the second line we used the identity

$$\omega_{[a}^d \epsilon_{bc]d} = 0 \quad (3.42)$$

The momenta evolutions re-express the fact that the constraints are conserved, or equivalently that the exterior derivative of the momenta is in agreement with their expression given by the second-class constraints.

**Note:** as observed after eq. (3.25), the field equations fix all the undetermined velocities  $dV^a$ ,  $d\omega^{ab}$  and  $d\psi$ . On the other hand, using Dirac brackets really amounts to substitute these “velocities” with their expressions in terms of canonical variables given in (3.25), so that eq.s (3.39)-(3.41) can be obtained from (3.19)-(3.21) by setting  $R^{ab} = 0$ ,  $\Sigma = 0$ .

### 3.4 Canonical gauge generators

Now we apply our procedure to find the canonical generators for Lorentz transformations, gauge translations and supersymmetry.

#### 3.4.1 Lorentz gauge rotations

We start from the first class 1-forms  $\pi_{ab}$ . They are first class in the since they have vanishing Dirac brackets with all the constraints. Actually the constraints being all second class, they have been effectively eliminated from the theory by the use of Dirac brackets. We take these 1-forms  $\pi_{ab}$  as the  $(d-2)$ -forms  $F$  in eq. (2.40), and find the  $(d-1)$ -forms  $G$  that complete the gauge generator:

$$G_{ab} = \{H, F_{ab}\}^* = \{H, \pi_{ab}\}^* = 2\omega_{[a}^c V^d \epsilon_{b]cd} - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{ab} \psi \quad (3.43)$$

Next we have to check that  $\{H, G_{ab}\} = 0$ . Notice that here it is useless to add to  $G_{ab}$  any combination of constraints, since second-class constraints have no effect in a generator when using Dirac brackets. So  $\{H, G_{ab}\}^* = 0$  must hold with the  $G_{ab}$  as given in (3.43), and indeed this is the case, as one can check with a little algebra.

Thus

$$\mathbb{G} = d\varepsilon^{ab} F_{ab} + \varepsilon^{ab} G_{ab} = d\varepsilon^{ab} \pi_{ab} + \varepsilon^{ab} (2\omega_{[a}^c V^d \epsilon_{b]cd} - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{ab} \psi) \quad (3.44)$$

generates gauge transformations via the Dirac bracket. Using the (second-class) constraint  $\pi_{ab} = \epsilon_{abc} V^c$  in the second term of the generator yields

$$\mathbb{G} = d\varepsilon^{ab} \pi_{ab} + 2\varepsilon^{ab} \omega_{[a}^c \pi_{b]c} - \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{ab} \psi = (\mathcal{D}\varepsilon^{ab}) \pi_{ab} - \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{ab} \psi \quad (3.45)$$

It generates local Lorentz transformations with parameter  $\epsilon_{ab}(x)$ , since

$$\delta V^a = \{V^a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 2\{\omega^b{}_d, V^a\}^* \epsilon^c{}_d \pi_{bc} = \epsilon^a{}_b V^b \quad (3.46)$$

$$\delta \omega^{ab} = \{\omega^{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \mathcal{D}\epsilon^{ab} \quad (3.47)$$

$$\delta \psi = \{\psi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \{\psi, -\frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\psi\}^* \epsilon^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi \quad (3.48)$$

$$\delta \pi_a = \{\pi_a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 0 \quad (3.49)$$

$$\delta \pi_{ab} = \{\pi_{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \{\epsilon_{abc}V^c, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \epsilon^c{}_{[a}\pi_{b]c} \quad (3.50)$$

$$\delta \pi = \{\pi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \epsilon^{ab}\{\pi, -\frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\psi\}^* = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\pi \quad (3.51)$$

Note that  $\delta \pi_a = 0$  since  $\mathbb{G}$  has no effect on second class constraints.

### 3.4.2 Gauge translations

The procedure of the preceding paragraph can be started with any 1-form: indeed here any 1-form has vanishing Dirac brackets with the constraints. We choose  $F_a$  to be  $\epsilon_{abc}\omega^{bc}$ , since this 1-form is conjugated to  $V^a$ , and therefore a good candidate to multiply the  $d\epsilon^a$  term in the generator of the gauge translations. Then  $G_a$  is found in the usual way:

$$G_a = \{H, F_a\}^* = \epsilon_{abc}(\omega^b{}_d \omega^{dc} - \frac{i}{2\lambda}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{bc}\psi + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}V^b V^c) \quad (3.52)$$

We have now to check that the second condition in (2.40) is satisfied, i.e. that  $\{H, G_a\}^* = 0$ . This condition gives rise to four structures:  $\omega\omega\omega$ ,  $\omega\omega V$ ,  $\psi\psi\omega$  and  $\psi\psi V$ . By explicit computation one can verify that the coefficients of these four terms are all zero (the  $\omega\omega\omega$  term was shown to vanish in ref. [1]).

Therefore

$$\mathbb{G} = d\epsilon^a F_a + \epsilon^a G_a = (\mathcal{D}\epsilon^a)\epsilon_{abc}\omega^{bc} + \epsilon^a \epsilon_{abc}(-\frac{i}{2\lambda}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{bc}\psi + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}V^b V^c) \quad (3.53)$$

generates a symmetry. Its action on the basic fields is given by:

$$\delta V^a = \{V^a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \mathcal{D}\epsilon^a \quad (3.54)$$

$$\delta \omega^{ab} = \{\omega^{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}(\epsilon^a V^b - \epsilon^b V^a) \quad (3.55)$$

$$\delta \psi = \{\psi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\epsilon^a \gamma_a \psi \quad (3.56)$$

$$\delta \pi_a = \{\pi_a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 0 \quad (3.57)$$

$$\delta \pi_{ab} = \{\pi_{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \epsilon_{abc}\mathcal{D}\epsilon^c \quad (3.58)$$

$$\delta \pi = \{\pi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \frac{i}{\lambda}\epsilon^a \gamma_a \pi \quad (3.59)$$

$$(3.60)$$

and reproduces the gauge translations contained in the variations (3.5)-(3.7). This infinitesimal transformation clearly differs from the diffeomorphisms transformation in (3.8)-(3.10), even in second order formalism (i.e. on the “partial shell”  $R^a = 0$ ). Thus  $\mathbb{G}$  does not generate the *bona fide* infinitesimal diffeomorphisms one obtains acting with the Lie derivative.

### 3.4.3 Gauge supersymmetry

Another first-class 1-form is the fermionic momentum  $\pi$ . Taking  $2\pi$  as candidate  $F$  for a supersymmetry generator of the form  $\mathbb{G} = d\bar{\epsilon}F + \bar{\epsilon}G$ , we must have

$$G = 2\{H, \pi\}^* = i\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi + \frac{2i}{\lambda}V^a\gamma_a\psi \quad (3.61)$$

and check that  $\{H, G\} = 0$ . This FPB yields two structures,  $\omega\omega\psi$  and  $\omega V\psi$ , and both have vanishing coefficient, as an explicit computation can verify. Therefore the canonical generator of gauge supersymmetry is:

$$\mathbb{G} = 2d\bar{\epsilon}\pi + i\bar{\epsilon}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi + \frac{2i}{\lambda}\bar{\epsilon}V^a\gamma_a\psi = 2\mathcal{D}\bar{\epsilon}\pi + \frac{2i}{\lambda}\bar{\epsilon}V^a\gamma_a\psi \quad (3.62)$$

where we used  $\pi = 2i\psi$  in the last equality.

The gauge supersymmetry variations are

$$\delta V^a = \{V^a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \{V^a, i\bar{\epsilon}\omega^{cd}\gamma_{cd}\psi\}^* = i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^a\psi \quad (3.63)$$

$$\delta\omega^{ab} = \{\omega^{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 2\{\omega^{ab}, \frac{i}{\lambda}\bar{\epsilon}V^a\gamma_a\psi\}^* = -\frac{i}{\lambda}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{ab}\psi \quad (3.64)$$

$$\delta\psi = \{\psi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 2\{\psi, \mathcal{D}\bar{\epsilon}\pi\}^* = \mathcal{D}\epsilon - \frac{1}{2\lambda}V^a\gamma_a\epsilon \quad (3.65)$$

$$\delta\pi_a = \{\pi_a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 0 \quad (3.66)$$

$$\delta\pi_{ab} = \{\pi_{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \{V^c\epsilon_{abc}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = -i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{ab}\psi \quad (3.67)$$

$$\delta\pi = \{\pi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \{2i\psi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = 2i\mathcal{D}\epsilon - \frac{i}{\lambda}V^a\gamma_a\epsilon \quad (3.68)$$

and coincide (on the fundamental fields) with those contained in (3.5)-(3.7). Here we have found also the gauge supersymmetry variations of the momenta.

## 4 $N = 1$ supergravity in $d = 4$ , new minimal model

### 4.1 Lagrangian

The theory was first constructed in ref. [25], and recast in the group manifold formalism in ref. [26].

The basic fields are the vierbein  $V^a$ , the spin connection  $\omega^{ab}$ , the (Majorana) gravitino  $\psi$ , and the auxiliary fields  $A$  (1-form) and  $T$  (2-form). The 12 bosonic

off-shell degrees of freedom (6 for  $V^a$ , 3 for  $A$  and 3 for  $T$ ) are balanced by the 12 fermionic degrees of freedom for the Majorana gravitino  $\psi$ . The curvatures are defined as:

$$R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} - \omega^a_c \omega^{cb} \quad (4.1)$$

$$R^a = dV^a - \omega^a_b V^b - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi \equiv \mathcal{D}V^a - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi \quad (4.2)$$

$$\rho = d\psi - \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi - \frac{i}{2} \gamma_5 \psi A \equiv \mathcal{D}\psi - \frac{i}{2} \gamma_5 \psi A \quad (4.3)$$

$$R^\square = dA \quad (4.4)$$

$$R^\otimes = dT - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_a \psi V^a \quad (4.5)$$

Taking exterior derivatives of both sides yields the Bianchi identities:

$$\mathcal{D}R^{ab} = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{D}R^a + R^a_b V^b - i \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \rho = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathcal{D}\rho + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \rho A + \frac{1}{4} R^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \psi - \frac{i}{2} \gamma_5 \psi R^\square = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

$$dR^\square = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

$$dR^\otimes - i \bar{\psi} \gamma_a \rho V^a + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_a \psi R^a = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

The Einstein-Hilbert action is

$$S = \int_{M^4} R^{ab} V^c V^d \epsilon_{abcd} + 4 \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho V^a - 4 R^\square T \quad (4.11)$$

## 4.2 Field equations

Varying  $\omega^{ab}$ ,  $V^a$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $A$ , and  $T$  in the action (4.11) leads to the equations of motion:

$$2\epsilon_{abcd} R^c V^d = 0 \Rightarrow R^a = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

$$2R^{bc} V^d \epsilon_{abcd} - 4 \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

$$8\gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho V^a - 4\gamma_5 \gamma_a \psi R^a = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

$$R^\otimes = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

$$R^\square = 0 \quad (4.16)$$

$$(4.17)$$

## 4.3 Symmetries

The action is invariant under diffeomorphisms (with parameter  $\varepsilon^a$ ), supersymmetry ( $\epsilon$ ), Lorentz rotations ( $\varepsilon^{ab}$ ),  $U(1)$  gauged by  $A$  (parameter  $\eta$ ) and an abelian symmetry gauged by the two-form  $T$  (1-form parameter  $\mu$ ). The symmetry variations



are:

$$\delta V^a = \mathcal{D}\varepsilon^a + 2R_{bc}^a \varepsilon^b V^c + \varepsilon_b^a V^b - i\bar{\psi}\gamma^a \epsilon \quad (4.18)$$

$$\delta \omega^{ab} = \mathcal{D}\varepsilon^{ab} + 2R_{cd}^{ab} \varepsilon^c V^d - \bar{\theta}^{ab}{}_c \psi \varepsilon^c + \bar{\theta}^{ab}{}_c \epsilon V^c + 3i\varepsilon^{abcd} \bar{\psi}\gamma_c \epsilon \quad (4.19)$$

$$\delta \psi = \mathcal{D}\epsilon + \frac{i}{2}A\epsilon + \frac{3i}{4}\gamma_5 \epsilon f_a V^a - \frac{3i}{2}\gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \epsilon V^a f^b + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{ab} \varepsilon_{ab} \psi + \quad (4.20)$$

$$+ 2\rho_{ab} \varepsilon^a V^b - \frac{3i}{4}\gamma_5 \psi f_a \varepsilon^a + \frac{3i}{2}\gamma_5 \gamma_{ab} \psi \varepsilon^a f^b - \frac{i}{2}\gamma_5 \psi \eta \quad (4.21)$$

$$\delta T = d\mu - i\bar{\psi}\gamma^a \epsilon + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^a \bar{\psi}\gamma_a \psi + 3f^a \varepsilon^b V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\delta A = d\eta + 2F_{ab} \varepsilon^a V^b - \bar{\psi}\chi_a \varepsilon^a + \bar{\epsilon}\chi_a V^a + \frac{9i}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_a \psi f^a \quad (4.23)$$

with  $f_a$  defined as  $R^\otimes = f^a V^b V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd}$ , and

$$\bar{\theta}^{ab}{}_c \equiv 2i\bar{\rho}_c^{[a} \gamma^{b]} - i\bar{\rho}^{ab} \gamma_c \quad (4.24)$$

$$\chi_a \equiv 2(\gamma_5 \gamma^b \rho_{ab} + \frac{i}{8}\varepsilon_{abcd} \gamma^b \rho^{cd}) \quad (4.25)$$

These symmetries close off-shell, thanks to the auxiliary fields.

#### 4.4 Form hamiltonian and constraints

The 2-form momenta conjugate to  $V^a$ ,  $\omega_{ab}$ ,  $\psi$  and  $A$ , and the 1-form momentum conjugate to the 2-form  $T$  are respectively<sup>2</sup> :

$$\pi_a = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(dV^a)} = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

$$\pi_{ab} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(d\omega^{ab})} = V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\pi = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(d\bar{\psi})} = 4\gamma_5 \gamma_a \psi V^a \quad (4.28)$$

$$\pi(A) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(dA)} = -4T \quad (4.29)$$

$$\pi(T) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(dT)} = 0 \quad (4.30)$$

All momenta definitions are *primary constraints*:

$$\Phi_a \equiv \pi_a, \quad \Phi_{ab} \equiv \pi_{ab} - V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd}, \quad \Phi \equiv \pi - 4\gamma_5 \gamma_a \psi V^a \quad (4.31)$$

$$\Phi(A) \equiv \pi(A) + 4T, \quad \Phi(T) \equiv \pi(T) \quad (4.32)$$

The form Hamiltonian is:

$$\begin{aligned} H = & dV^a \pi_a + d\omega^{ab} \pi_{ab} + d\bar{\psi} \pi + dA \pi(A) + dT \pi(T) \\ & - d\omega^{ab} V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} + \omega_e^a \omega^{eb} V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} + 4d\bar{\psi}\gamma_5 \gamma_a \psi V^a \\ & - 2i\bar{\psi}\gamma_a \psi A V^a - i\bar{\psi}\gamma^d \omega^{bc} V^a \varepsilon_{abcd} + 4(dA)T \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>unless stated otherwise, all partial derivatives act from the left in the following.

or, using the definition of the constraints:

$$H = dV^a \Phi_a + d\omega^{ab} \Phi_{ab} + d\bar{\psi} \Phi + dA \Phi(A) + dT \Phi(T) \\ + \omega_e^a \omega^{eb} V^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} - 2i\bar{\psi} \gamma_a \psi A V^a - i\bar{\psi} \gamma^d \omega^{bc} V^a \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.34)$$

The Hamilton equations giving  $dV^a$ ,  $d\omega^{ab}$ ,  $d\psi$ ,  $dA$  and  $dT$  are identities, so these “velocities” are undetermined at this stage. The Hamilton equations for the momenta read:

$$d\pi_a = \{\pi_a, H\} = -2R^{bc} V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} + 4\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho \quad (4.35)$$

$$d\pi_{ab} = \{\pi_{ab}, H\} = 2\omega_{[a}^c V^d V^e \varepsilon_{b]cde} + i\bar{\psi} \gamma^c \psi V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.36)$$

$$d\pi = \{\pi, H\} = -4\gamma_5 \gamma_a d\psi V^a - 4i\gamma_a \psi A V^a - 2i\gamma^d \psi \omega^{bc} V^a \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.37)$$

$$d\pi(A) = \{\pi(A), H\} = -2i\bar{\psi} \gamma_a \psi V^a \quad (4.38)$$

$$d\pi(T) = \{\pi(T), H\} = -4dA \quad (4.39)$$

Requiring the “conservation” of the constraints leads to the conditions:

$$0 = d\Phi_a = \{\Phi_a, H\} = -2R^{bc} V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} + 4\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho \quad (4.40)$$

$$0 = d\Phi_{ab} = \{\Phi_{ab}, H\} = -2R^c V^d \varepsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.41)$$

$$0 = d\Phi = \{\Phi, H\} = -8\gamma_5 \gamma_a \rho V^a + 4\gamma_5 \gamma_a \psi R^a \quad (4.42)$$

$$0 = d\Phi(A) = \{\Phi(A), H\} = 4R^\otimes \quad (4.43)$$

$$0 = d\Phi(T) = \{\Phi(T), H\} = -4dA \quad (4.44)$$

that reproduce the field equations of the theory. Note that these conditions fix the velocities  $dV^a$ ,  $dA$  and  $dT$  in terms of the canonical variables:

$$dV^a = \omega^a_b V^b, \quad dA = 0, \quad dT = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi V^a \quad (4.45)$$

while  $d\omega^{ab}$  and  $d\psi$  are constrained to satisfy the Einstein and Rarita-Schwinger field equations.

Using the form Poisson bracket we find the constraint algebra:

$$\{\Phi_a, \Phi_{bc}\} = -2\varepsilon_{abcd} V^d, \quad \{\Phi_a, \Phi\} = 4\gamma_5 \gamma_a \psi, \quad (4.46)$$

$$\{\Phi^\alpha, \Phi^\beta\} = 8(\gamma_5 \gamma_a C^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} V^a, \quad \{\Phi(A), \Phi(T)\} = 4 \quad (4.47)$$

all other PFB between constraints vanishing. Thus the constraints are not all first-class. This is consistent with the fact that some of the undetermined “velocities” get fixed by requiring conservation of the primary constraints. Classical references on constrained hamiltonian systems are given in [28, 29, 30].

## 4.5 Dirac brackets

We can eliminate the second-class constraints  $\Phi(A)$ ,  $\Phi(T)$  by using the Dirac brackets defined as:

$$\{A, B\}^* \equiv \{A, B\} + \frac{1}{4} \{A, \Phi(A)\} \{\Phi(T), B\} - \frac{1}{4} \{A, \Phi(T)\} \{\Phi(A), B\} \quad (4.48)$$

and satisfy the relations (2.20)-(2.24) with  $d = 4$ . With the above definition  $\{\Phi(A), \text{anything}\}^* = \{\Phi(T), \text{anything}\}^* = 0$ .

## 4.6 Canonical gauge generators

### 4.6.1 Lorentz gauge transformations

We start from the first class 2-forms  $\pi_{ab}$ , having vanishing Dirac brackets with all the constraints, and take them as the  $(d-2)$ -forms  $F$  in eq. (2.40). To find the corresponding  $(d-1)$ -forms  $G_{ab}$  that complete the gauge generator one uses the first condition in (2.40), yielding  $G_{ab}$  as the Dirac bracket of  $H$  with  $F_{ab}$ , up to constraints. Since

$$\{H, \pi_{ab}\}^* = 2\omega^e_{[a} V^c V^d \epsilon_{b]ecd} + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^c \psi V^d \epsilon_{abcd} \quad (4.49)$$

we find that

$$G_{ab} = 2\omega^e_{[a} V^c V^d \epsilon_{b]ecd} + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^c \psi V^d \epsilon_{abcd} + \alpha^c_{ab} \Phi_c + \beta^{cd}_{ab} \Phi_{cd} + \bar{\xi}_{ab} \Phi + \bar{\Phi} \zeta_{ab} \quad (4.50)$$

where  $\alpha^c_{ab}$ ,  $\beta^{cd}_{ab}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_{ab}$  and  $\zeta_{ab}$  are 1-form coefficients to be determined by the second condition in (2.40), i.e. weak vanishing of the Dirac bracket between  $H$  and  $G_{ab}$ . This yields

$$\alpha^c_{ab} = \delta^c_{[a} V_{b]}, \quad \beta^{cd}_{ab} = 2\omega^c_{[a} \delta^d_{b]}, \quad \bar{\xi} = -\frac{1}{8}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\psi, \quad \zeta_{ab} = \frac{1}{8}\gamma_{ab}\psi \quad (4.51)$$

so that  $G_{ab}$  becomes:

$$G_{ab} = 2\omega^c_{[a} \pi_{b]c} - V_{[a} \pi_{b]} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\pi \quad (4.52)$$

It is easy to check that this  $G_{ab}$  has weakly vanishing Dirac brackets with the constraints  $\Phi_a$ ,  $\Phi_{ab}$ ,  $\Phi$  and is therefore a first-class 3-form.

We have thus constructed the gauge generator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G} &= \varepsilon^{ab} G_{ab} + d\varepsilon^{ab} F_{ab} = \varepsilon^{ab} (2\omega^c_a \pi_{bc} - V_a \pi_b - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\pi) + (d\varepsilon^{ab})\pi_{ab} \\ &= \mathcal{D}\varepsilon^{ab} \pi_{ab} - \varepsilon^{ab} V_a \pi_b - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\pi \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

It generates the Lorentz gauge rotations on all canonical variables. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V^a &= \{V^a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \varepsilon^a_b V^b, \quad \delta \omega^{ab} = \{\omega^{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \mathcal{D}\varepsilon^{ab}, \quad \delta \psi = \{\psi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi \\ \delta \pi_a &= \{\pi_a, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \varepsilon^b_a \pi_b, \quad \delta \pi_{ab} = \{\pi_{ab}, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \varepsilon^c_{[a} \pi_{b]c}, \quad \delta \pi = \{\pi, \mathbb{G}\}^* = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\pi \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

and satisfies all the conditions to be a symmetry generator of the action.

### 4.6.2 U(1) transformations

Another first-class 2-form is  $\pi(A)$ , or equivalently  $T$ , since using the Dirac brackets we can use the second-class constraint  $\pi(A) + 4T = 0$  as a strong equality. Starting the procedure for constructing the gauge generator yields

$$G = \{H, \pi(A)\}^* + \text{constraints} \quad (4.55)$$

where the part proportional to constraints is determined by requiring

$$\{G, H\}^* \approx 0 \quad (4.56)$$

Using

$$\{H, \pi(A)\}^* = -2\bar{\psi}\gamma_a\psi V^a \quad (4.57)$$

one finds for  $G$ :

$$G = -2i\bar{\psi}\gamma_a\psi V^a + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\Phi = \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\pi \quad (4.58)$$

and it is easy to verify that  $G$  has vanishing Dirac bracket with all the constraints (i.e. with  $\phi_a$ ,  $\phi_{ab}$  and  $\phi$ , the constraints  $\phi(A)$  and  $\phi(T)$  having strongly vanishing Dirac brackets with anything). Then the generator

$$\mathbb{G} = d\eta \pi(A) + \eta \frac{i}{2}\gamma_5\pi \quad (4.59)$$

satisfies all conditions to be a gauge generator. Its action is nontrivial only on the fields  $\psi$  and  $A$ , and on the momenta  $\pi$ :

$$\delta\psi = \{\psi, \mathbb{G}\} = -\frac{i}{2}\eta\gamma_5\psi \quad (4.60)$$

$$\delta A = \{A, \mathbb{G}\} = d\eta \quad (4.61)$$

$$\delta\pi = \{\pi, \mathbb{G}\} = -\frac{i}{2}\eta\gamma_5\pi \quad (4.62)$$

and reproduce on  $\psi$  and  $A$  the  $U(1)$  transformations contained in eq.s (4.18)-(4.23). In addition we find here also the  $U(1)$  transformations on the momenta conjugate to  $\psi$ . Not surprisingly, these momenta have the same  $U(1)$  charge as the gravitino.

### 4.6.3 Transformation with 1-form parameter

Finally, there is the first-class 1-form  $\pi(T)$  that one can use to find a symmetry generator with a 1-form parameter, according to the discussion in Section 2. The procedure here is immediate, since  $\{H, \pi(T)\}^* = 0$ , and therefore

$$\mathbb{G} = d\mu \pi(T) \quad (4.63)$$

generates the transformation on the 2-form auxiliary field  $T$ :

$$\delta T = d\mu\{T, \mathbb{G}\}^* = d\mu \quad (4.64)$$

contained in the symmetry variations given in (4.18)-(4.23).

## 5 Conclusions

We have presented the covariant hamiltonian treatment of geometric theories containing bosonic and fermionic  $p$ -forms, and applied it to supergravity in  $d = 3$  and  $d = 4$ . Using form language, besides built-in Lorentz covariance (no time direction singled out) and invariance under diffeomorphisms, a further bonus is given by a considerable simplification in the analysis: for contrast one can compare it with the canonical treatment of first order tetrad gravity in ref. [31]. Our formulation allows also an algorithmic procedure to find all gauge symmetry generators of the theory, including generators with  $p$ -form parameters, appearing in the gauge transformations of  $(p + 1)$ -form gauge fields.

## Acknowledgement

This research has been partially supported by Università del Piemonte Orientale research funds.

## A $\gamma$ matrices in $d = 2 + 1$

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\eta_{ab} = (-1, 1, 1), \quad \{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}, \quad [\gamma_a, \gamma_b] = 2\gamma_{ab} = -2\varepsilon_{abc}\gamma^c, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\varepsilon_{012} = -\varepsilon^{012} = 1, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\gamma_a^\dagger = \gamma_0 \gamma_a \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_a^T = -C \gamma_a C^{-1}, \quad C^T = -C, \quad C^2 = \mathbf{1} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

### A.1 Useful identities

$$\gamma_a \gamma_b = \gamma_{ab} + \eta_{ab} = -\varepsilon_{abc} \gamma^c + \eta_{ab} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\gamma_{ab} \gamma_c = \eta_{bc} \gamma_a - \eta_{ac} \gamma_b - \varepsilon_{abc} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\gamma_c \gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ac} \gamma_b - \eta_{bc} \gamma_a - \varepsilon_{abc} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\gamma_a \gamma_b \gamma_c = \eta_{ab} \gamma_c + \eta_{bc} \gamma_a - \eta_{ac} \gamma_b - \varepsilon_{abc} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\gamma^{ab} \gamma_{cd} = -4\delta_{[c}^{[a} \gamma_{d]}^{b]} - 2\delta_{cd}^{ab} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where  $\delta_{cd}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_c^a \delta_d^b - \delta_d^a \delta_c^b)$ , and index antisymmetrizations in square brackets have weight 1.

### A.2 Fierz identity for two Majorana one-forms

$$\psi \bar{\psi} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi) \gamma_a \quad (\text{A.10})$$

As a consequence

$$\gamma_a \psi \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi = 0 \quad (\text{A.11})$$

## B $\gamma$ matrices in $d = 3 + 1$

$$\eta_{ab} = (1, -1, -1, -1), \quad \{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}, \quad [\gamma_a, \gamma_b] = 2\gamma_{ab}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3, \quad \gamma_5\gamma_5 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = -\varepsilon^{0123} = 1, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\gamma_a^\dagger = \gamma_0\gamma_a\gamma_0, \quad \gamma_5^\dagger = \gamma_5 \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\gamma_a^T = -C\gamma_a C^{-1}, \quad \gamma_5^T = C\gamma_5 C^{-1}, \quad C^2 = -1, \quad C^T = -C \quad (\text{B.4})$$

### B.1 Useful identities

$$\gamma_a\gamma_b = \gamma_{ab} + \eta_{ab} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\gamma_{ab}\gamma_5 = -\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma^{cd} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\gamma_{ab}\gamma_c = \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - \eta_{ac}\gamma_b + i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$\gamma_c\gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - \eta_{bc}\gamma_a + i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c = \eta_{ab}\gamma_c + \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - \eta_{ac}\gamma_b + i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\gamma^{ab}\gamma_{cd} = i\varepsilon^{ab}_{cd}\gamma_5 - 4\delta_{[c}^{[a}\gamma_{d]}^{b]} - 2\delta_{cd}^{ab} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

### B.2 Charge conjugation and Majorana condition

$$\text{Dirac conjugate } \bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$\text{Charge conjugate spinor } \psi^c = C(\bar{\psi})^T \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\text{Majorana spinor } \psi^c = \psi \Rightarrow \bar{\psi} = \psi^T C \quad (\text{B.13})$$

### B.3 Fierz identity for two spinor one-forms

$$\psi\bar{\chi} = \frac{1}{4}[(\bar{\chi}\psi)1 + (\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\psi)\gamma_5 + (\bar{\chi}\gamma^a\psi)\gamma_a + (\bar{\chi}\gamma^a\gamma_5\psi)\gamma_a\gamma_5 - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\chi}\gamma^{ab}\psi)\gamma_{ab}] \quad (\text{B.14})$$

### B.4 Fierz identity for two Majorana spinor one-forms

$$\psi\bar{\psi} = \frac{1}{4}[(\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\psi)\gamma_a - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi)\gamma_{ab}] \quad (\text{B.15})$$

As a consequence

$$\gamma_a\psi\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\psi = 0, \quad \psi\bar{\psi}\gamma^a\psi - \gamma_b\psi\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi = 0 \quad (\text{B.16})$$

## References

- [1] L. Castellani and A. D’Adda, “Covariant hamiltonian for gravity coupled to  $p$ -forms,” Phys. Rev. D **101**, no. 2, 025015 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.025015 [arXiv:1906.11852 [hep-th]].
- [2] A. D’Adda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism for the Group Manifold,” Annals Phys. **165**, 384 (1985). doi:10.1016/0003-4916(85)90302-1
- [3] J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism for Gravity,” Annals Phys. **166**, 234 (1986). doi:10.1016/0003-4916(86)90057-6
- [4] A. Lerda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism for Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. **161B**, 294 (1985). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(85)90764-6
- [5] A. Lerda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “The Group Manifold Hamiltonian for Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. **161B**, 297 (1985). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(85)90765-8
- [6] A. Lerda, J. E. Nelson and T. Regge, “Covariant Canonical Formalism For Polynomial Supergravity In Any Dimension,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **2**, 1643 (1987). doi:10.1142/S0217751X87000855
- [7] Y. Ne’eman and T. Regge, “Gravity and Supergravity as Gauge Theories on a Group Manifold,” Phys. Lett. **74B**, 54 (1978). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(78)90058-8
- [8] A. D’Adda, R. D’Auria, P. Fré and T. Regge, “Geometrical Formulation of Supergravity Theories on Orthosymplectic Supergroup Manifolds,” Riv. Nuovo Cim. **3N6**, 1 (1980). doi:10.1007/BF02724337
- [9] R. D’Auria, P. Fré and T. Regge, “Graded Lie Algebra Cohomology and Supergravity,” Riv. Nuovo Cim. **3N12**, 1 (1980). doi:10.1007/BF02905929
- [10] T. Regge, “The Group Manifold Approach To Unified Gravity,” Conf. Proc. C **8306271**, 933 (1983).
- [11] L. Castellani, R. D’Auria and P. Fré, “Supergravity and superstrings: A Geometric perspective. 3 Vol.s” Singapore, World Scientific (1991);
- [12] L. Castellani, P. Fré and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “A Review of the Group Manifold Approach and Its Application to Conformal Supergravity,” Annals Phys. **136**, 398 (1981);
- [13] L. Castellani, “Group geometric methods in supergravity and superstring theories,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 1583 (1992).

- [14] L. Castellani, “Supergravity in the Group-Geometric Framework: A Primer,” Fortsch. Phys. **66**, no. 4, 1800014 (2018) doi:10.1002/prop.201800014 [arXiv:1802.03407 [hep-th]].
- [15] “Tullio Regge: An Eclectic Genius: From Quantum Gravity to Computer Play”, edited by L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria and P. Fré, World Scientific 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1142/11643>
- [16] S. Nakajima, “Generators of local gauge transformations in the covariant canonical formalism of fields,” arXiv:1909.06779 [gr-qc].
- [17] Th. De Donder, *Theorie Invariantive du Calcul des Variations*, Nuov. éd. (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1935)
- [18] H. Weyl, *Geodesic fields in the calculus of variations*, Ann. Math. (2) **36** (1935) 607-629
- [19] N. Marcus and J. H. Schwarz, “Three-Dimensional Supergravity Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **228** (1983) 145. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(83)90402-9
- [20] S. J. Gates, Jr., M. T. Grisaru, M. Roček and W. Siegel, *Superspace, or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry*, Front. Phys. **58**, 1 (1983) [arXiv:hep-th/0108200].
- [21] F. Ruiz Ruiz and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Lectures on supersymmetry and supergravity in (2+1)-dimensions and regularization of supersymmetric gauge theories*, Published in Tlaxcala 1996, Recent developments in gravitation and mathematical and Mexican School on Gravitation and Mathematical Physics, Tlaxcala, Mexico, : 1 (1996) 7. physics-2
- [22] A. Achúcarro and P. K. Townsend, “A Chern-Simons Action for Three-Dimensional anti-De Sitter Supergravity Theories,” Phys. Lett. B **180**, 89 (1986). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)90140-1
- [23] L. Castellani, R. Catenacci and P. A. Grassi, “The Integral Form of Supergravity,” JHEP **1610**, 049 (2016) [arXiv:1607.05193 [hep-th]].
- [24] L. Castellani, C. A. Cremonini and P. A. Grassi, “Chern-Simons Supergravity on Supergroup Manifolds,” arXiv:2002.09400 [hep-th].
- [25] M. F. Sohnius and P. C. West, “An Alternative Minimal Off-Shell Version of N=1 Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. **105B**, 353 (1981). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(81)90778-4
- [26] R. D’Auria, P. Fré, P. K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Invariance of Actions, Rheonomy and the New Minimal  $N = 1$  Supergravity in the Group Manifold Approach,” Annals Phys. **155**, 423 (1984). doi:10.1016/0003-4916(84)90007-1



- [27] L. Castellani, “Symmetries in Constrained Hamiltonian Systems,” *Annals Phys.* **143**, 357 (1982). doi:10.1016/0003-4916(82)90031-8
- [28] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
- [29] A. Hanson, T. Regge, and C. Teitelboim, “Constrained Hamiltonian Systems,” *Contrib. centro linceo interdisc. di scienze mat.* N. 22, 1976.
- [30] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, “Quantization of gauge systems,” Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr. (1992) 520 p
- [31] L. Castellani, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and M. Pilati, “First Order Tetrad Gravity in Dirac’s Hamiltonian Formalism,” *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 352 (1982). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.26.352