A CLASSIFICATION OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF SIMPLE POLARIZED ABELIAN FOURFOLDS OVER FINITE FIELDS
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Abstract. We give a classification of maximal elements of the set of finite groups that can be realized as the full automorphism groups of simple polarized abelian fourfolds over finite fields.

1. Introduction

Let $k$ be a finite field, and let $X$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $k$. We denote the endomorphism ring of $X$ over $k$ by $\text{End}_k(X)$. It is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $\leq 4g^2$. We also let $\text{End}_0^k(X) = \text{End}_k(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. This $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\text{End}_0^k(X)$ is called the endomorphism algebra of $X$ over $k$. Then $\text{End}_0^k(X)$ is a finite dimensional semisimple algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{End}_0^k(X) \leq 4g^2$. Moreover, if $X$ is simple, then $\text{End}_0^k(X)$ is a division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Now, it is well known that $\text{End}_k(X)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $\text{End}_0^k(X)$. The group $\text{Aut}_k(X)$ of the automorphisms of $X$ over $k$ is not finite, in general. But if we fix a polarization $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, then the group $\text{Aut}_k(X, \mathcal{L})$ of the automorphisms of the polarized abelian variety $(X, \mathcal{L})$ is finite. The goal of this paper is to classify all finite groups that can be realized as the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_k(X, \mathcal{L})$ of a simple polarized abelian variety $(X, \mathcal{L})$ of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$, which are maximal in the sense of Definition 4.1 below.

Along this line, the author [7] (resp. [8]) gave such a classification for arbitrary polarized abelian surfaces (resp. simple polarized abelian varieties of odd prime dimension) over finite fields. One of the main results of [7] implies that the automorphism groups of simple polarized abelian surfaces over finite fields need not be abelian (see [7, Theorem 6.5]), while the main theorem of [8] says that the automorphism groups of simple polarized abelian varieties of odd prime dimension over finite fields are necessarily cyclic (see [8, Theorem 4.1]). The methods that we used to prove the main results of [7] and [8] were essentially somewhat different. In this paper, as next step toward goal of considering other higher dimensional cases, we will give a similar classification of the automorphism groups of simple polarized abelian varieties of dimension 4 over finite fields by exhibiting an explicit list of such groups. One main difficulty arises from the fact that we need to deal with central simple algebras with larger even $\mathbb{Q}$-dimension compared to those of [7] and [8], which, in turn, results in the occurrence of some new finite groups that we need to consider. Consequently, we need a somewhat different method to prove the realizability of those newly introduced groups as the automorphism group of some polarized abelian fourfolds over finite fields.

Our main result is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** The possibilities for (maximal) automorphism groups of a simple polarized abelian variety $(X, \mathcal{L})$ of dimension 4 over a finite field is given by Table 2 below.
One notable thing about the result is that many of the groups which appeared in [7] Theorem 6.5 are not realizable in our current case because of some natural number theoretic reasons. For more details, see Theorem 4.9.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce several facts which are related to our desired classification. Explicitly, we will recall some facts about endomorphism algebras of simple abelian varieties (§2.1), the theorem of Tate (§2.2), Honda-Tate theory (§2.3), and a result of Waterhouse (§2.4). In Section 3 we find all the finite groups that can be embedded in certain division algebras by a detailed analysis using a paper of Amitsur [1]. In Section 4 we finally obtain the desired classification using the facts that were introduced in the previous sections, together with several auxiliary results that are proved in this section.

In the sequel, let \( g \geq 1 \) be an integer and let \( q = p^a \) for some prime number \( p \) and an integer \( a \geq 1 \), unless otherwise stated. Also, let \( \overline{k} \) denote an algebraic closure of a field \( k \). Finally, for an integer \( n \geq 1 \), we denote the cyclic group of order \( n \) (resp. the dihedral group of order \( 2n \)) by \( C_n \) (resp. \( D_n \)).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some of the facts in the general theory of abelian varieties over a field. Our main references are [3] and [11].

2.1. Endomorphism algebras of simple abelian varieties of dimension 4 over finite fields. In this section, we classify all the possible endomorphism algebras of simple abelian varieties of dimension 4 over finite fields.

Let \( X \) be a simple abelian variety of dimension \( g \) over a finite field \( k \). Then it is well known that \( \text{End}_k^0(X) \) is a division algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) with \( 2g \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{End}_k^0(X) < (2g)^2 \). Before giving our first result, we also recall Albert's classification. We choose a polarization \( \lambda : X \to \hat{X} \) where \( \hat{X} \) denotes the dual abelian variety of \( X \). Using the polarization \( \lambda \), we can define an involution, called the Rosati involution, \( \hat{\cdot} \) on \( \text{End}_k^0(X) \). (For a more detailed discussion about the Rosati involution, see [11, §20].) In this way, to the pair \((X, \lambda)\) we associate the pair \((D, \hat{\cdot})\) with \( D = \text{End}_k^0(X) \) and \( \hat{\cdot} \), the Rosati involution on \( D \). We know that \( D \) is a simple division algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of finite dimension and that \( \hat{\cdot} \) is a positive involution. Let \( K \) be the center of \( D \) so that \( D \) is a central simple \( K \)-algebra, and let \( K_0 = \{ x \in K \mid \hat{x} = x \} \) be the subfield of symmetric elements in \( K \). By a theorem of Albert, \( D \) (together with \( \hat{\cdot} \)) is of one of the following four types:

(i) Type I: \( K_0 = K = D \) is a totally real field.
(ii) Type II: \( K_0 = K \) is a totally real field, and \( D \) is a quaternion algebra over \( K \) with \( D \otimes_{K, \sigma} \mathbb{R} \cong M_2(\mathbb{R}) \) for every embedding \( \sigma : K \to \mathbb{R} \).
(iii) Type III: \( K_0 = K \) is a totally real field, and \( D \) is a quaternion algebra over \( K \) with \( D \otimes_{K, \sigma} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H} \) for every embedding \( \sigma : K \to \mathbb{R} \) (where \( \mathbb{H} \) is the Hamiltonian quaternion algebra over \( \mathbb{R} \)).
(iv) Type IV: \( K_0 \) is a totally real field, \( K \) is a totally imaginary quadratic field extension of \( K_0 \), and \( D \) is a central simple algebra over \( K \).

Keeping the notations as above, we let
\[
e_0 = [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}], \quad e = [K : \mathbb{Q}], \quad \text{and} \quad d = [D : K]^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

As our last preliminary fact of this section, we impose some numerical restrictions on those values \( e_0, e, \) and \( d \) in the next table, following [11, §21].
\textbf{Theorem 2.3.} Let $k$ be a finite field and let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. If $l$ is a prime number with $l \not= \text{char}(k)$, then we have:

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Type & $e|g$ & $e|g$ \\
\hline
Type I & $e|g$ & $2e|g$ \\
\hline
Type II & $2e|g$ & $2e|g$ \\
\hline
Type III & $2e|g$ & $e|g$ \\
\hline
Type IV & $e_0d^2|g$ & $e_0d|g$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Numerical restrictions on endomorphism algebras.}
\end{table}

Now, we are ready to introduce the following result for the case when $g = 4$:

\textbf{Lemma 2.1.} Let $X$ be a simple abelian variety of dimension 4 over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\lambda : X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ be a polarization. Then $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ (together with the Rosati involution $\lambda^\vee$ corresponding to $\lambda$) is of one of the following three types:

1. $D$ is a central simple division algebra of degree 4 over an imaginary quadratic field;
2. $D$ is a central simple division algebra of degree 2 over a CM-field of degree 4;
3. $D$ is a CM-field of degree 8.

\textit{Proof.} We recall that $X$ is of CM-type (see Corollary \ref{corollaryCM} (c) below), and hence, either $D$ is of Type III or Type IV in Albert’s classification.

(i) First, we show that $D$ is not of Type III. To this aim, suppose that $D$ is of Type III. By Table 1, we know that $e|g$. If $e = 1$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}D = 4$, which contradicts the fact that $8 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}D$. If $e = 2$ (resp. $e = 4$), then $D$ is a quaternion algebra over a totally real field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ of degree 2 (resp. of degree 4) where $\pi_X$ denotes the Frobenius endomorphism of $X$. Since $\pi_X$ is a $q$-Weil number, we have $|\pi_X| = \sqrt{q}$ so that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2$, and hence, we only need to consider the case when $e = 2$. In this case, it follows from Corollary \ref{corollaryCM} (b) that $\dim X = 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we can conclude that $D$ cannot be of Type III.

(ii) As a result of (i), we may assume that $D$ is of Type IV. By Table 1, the possible pairs $(e_0, d)$ are contained in the following set:

$$\{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 4), (2, 2), (4, 1)\}$$

From the list above, we exclude the pairs $(1, 1), (2, 1), \text{ and } (1, 2)$, as follows: if $e_0 = d = 1$ (resp. $e_0 = 2, d = 1$), then $D$ is an imaginary quadratic field (resp. a CM-field of degree 4), and hence, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}D \leq 4$ in both cases, which contradicts the fact that $8 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}D$. If $e_0 = 1, d = 2$, then we have $\dim X = 2$ by Corollary \ref{corollaryCM} (b), which is also a contradiction. Now, the desired result follows from Albert’s classification.

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

\textbf{Remark 2.2.} There is a similar result for the case when $X$ is a simple abelian variety of dimension $p^2$ for a prime $p \geq 3$ over a finite field $k$ (see \cite[Lemma 2.1]{8}).

\subsection{The theorem of Tate}

In this section, we recall an important theorem of Tate, and give some interesting consequences of it.

Let $k$ be a field and let $l$ be a prime number with $l \not= \text{char}(k)$. If $X$ is an abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $k$, then we can introduce the Tate $l$-module $T_lX$ and the corresponding $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector space $V_lX := T_lX \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$. It is well known that $T_lX$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module of rank $2g$ and $V_lX$ is a $2g$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector space. In \cite{14}, Tate showed the following important result:

\textbf{Theorem 2.3.} Let $k$ be a finite field and let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. If $l$ is a prime number with $l \not= \text{char}(k)$, then we have:
(a) For any abelian variety $X$ over $k$, the representation
$$\rho_l = \rho_{l,X} : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(V_l X)$$
is semisimple.
(b) For any two abelian varieties $X$ and $Y$ over $k$, the map
$$\mathbb{Z}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z} \text{Hom}_k(X,Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Gamma(T_l X, T_l Y)$$
is an isomorphism.

Now, we recall that an abelian variety $X$ over a (finite) field $k$ is called elementary if $X$ is $k$-isogenous to a power of a simple abelian variety over $k$. Then, as an interesting consequence of Theorem 2.3, we have the following

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $X$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ over a finite field $k$. Then we have:

(a) The center $Z$ of $\text{End}_{0,k}(X)$ is the subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$. In particular, $X$ is elementary if and only if $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] = \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a field, and this occurs if and only if $f_X$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ where $f_X$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of $\pi_X$.

(b) Suppose that $X$ is elementary. Let $h = f_X^{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the minimal polynomial of $\pi_X$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Further, let $d = [\text{End}_{0,k}(X) : \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $e = [\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X) : \mathbb{Q}]$. Then $de = 2g$ and $f_X = h^d$.

(c) We have $2g \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{End}_{0,k}(X) \leq (2g)^2$ and $X$ is of CM-type.

(d) The following conditions are equivalent:

(d-1) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{End}_{0,k}(X) = 2g$;
(d-2) $\text{End}_{0,k}(X) = \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$;
(d-3) $\text{End}_{0,k}(X)$ is commutative;
(d-4) $f_X$ has no multiple root.

(e) The following conditions are equivalent:

(e-1) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{End}_{0,k}(X) = (2g)^2$;
(e-2) $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X] = \mathbb{Q}$;
(e-3) $f_X$ is a power of a linear polynomial;
(e-4) $\text{End}_{0,k}(X) \cong M_g(D_{p,\infty})$ where $D_{p,\infty}$ is the unique quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ that is ramified at $p$ and $\infty$, and split at all other primes;
(e-5) $X$ is supersingular with $\text{End}_k(X) = \text{End}_k(X_F)$ where $X_F = X \times_k \overline{k}$;
(e-6) $X$ is isogenous to $E^g$ for a supersingular elliptic curve $E$ over $k$ all of whose endomorphisms are defined over $k$.

**Proof.** For a proof, see [14, Theorem 2].

For a precise description of the structure of the endomorphism algebra of a simple abelian variety $X$, viewed as a simple algebra over its center $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$, we record the following result:

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $X$ be a simple abelian variety over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ and let $K = \mathbb{Q}[\pi_X]$. Then we have:

(a) If $\nu$ is a place of $K$, then the local invariant of $\text{End}_{0,k}(X)$ in the Brauer group $\text{Br}(K_{\nu})$ is given

---

\[1\]In the sequel, $D_{p,\infty}$ always denotes this quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. 
by

$$\text{inv}_\nu(\text{End}_k^0(X)) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \nu \text{ is a finite place not above } p; \\
\frac{\text{ord}_\nu(\pi_X)}{\text{ord}_\nu(q)} \cdot [K_\nu : \mathbb{Q}_p] & \text{if } \nu \text{ is a place above } p; \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \nu \text{ is a real place of } K; \\
0 & \text{if } \nu \text{ is a complex place of } K.
\end{cases}$$

(b) If $d$ is the degree of the division algebra $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ over its center $K$ (so that $d = [D : K]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $f_X = (f_{\pi_X}^*)^d$), then $d$ is the least common denominator of the local invariants $\text{inv}_\nu(D)$.

Proof. (a) For a proof, see [4, Corollary 16.30].
(b) For a proof, see [4, Corollary 16.32]. □

2.3. Abelian varieties up to isogeny and Weil numbers: Honda-Tate theory. In this section, we recall an important theorem of Honda and Tate. To achieve our goal, we first give the following

Definition 2.6. (a) A $q$-Weil number is an algebraic integer $\pi$ such that $|\iota(\pi)| = \sqrt{q}$ for all embeddings $\iota: \mathbb{Q}[\pi]\rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
(b) Two $q$-Weil numbers $\pi$ and $\pi'$ are said to be conjugate if they have the same minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$, or equivalently, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}[\pi]\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\pi']$ sending $\pi$ to $\pi'$.

Now, we introduce our main result of this section, which relates $q$-Weil numbers to simple abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$:

Theorem 2.7. For every $q$-Weil number $\pi$, there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$. Moreover, we have a bijection between the set of isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and the set of conjugacy classes of $q$-Weil numbers given by $X \mapsto \pi_X$.

The inverse of the map $X \mapsto \pi_X$ associates to a $q$-Weil number $\pi$ a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f_X$ is a power of the minimal polynomial $f_{\pi}^*$ of $\pi$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. For a proof, see [6, Main Theorem] or [4, §16.5]. □

2.4. Isomorphism classes contained in an isogeny class. In this section, we will give a useful result of Waterhouse [15]. Throughout this section, let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $X$ be an abelian variety over $k$. Then $\text{End}_k(X)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $\text{End}_k^0(X)$ containing $\pi_X$ and $q/\pi_X$. If a ring is the endomorphism ring of an abelian variety, then we may consider a left ideal of the ring, and give the following

Definition 2.8. Let $X$ be an abelian variety over $k$ with $R := \text{End}_k(X)$, and let $I$ be a left ideal of $R$, which contains an isogeny.
(a) We define $H(X, I)$ to be the intersection of the kernels of all elements of $I$. This is a finite subgroup scheme of $X$.
(b) We define $X_I$ to be the quotient of $X$ by $H(X, I)$ i.e. $X_I = X/H(X, I)$. This is an abelian variety over $k$ that is $k$-isogenous to $X$.

Now, we introduce our main result of this section, which plays an important role:
**Proposition 2.9.** Let $X$ be an abelian variety over $k$ with $R := \text{End}_k(X)$, and let $I$ be a left ideal of $R$, which contains an isogeny. Also, let $D = \text{End}_k^0(X)$. Then we have:

(a) $\text{End}_k(X)$ contains $O_r(I) := \{x \in \text{End}_k^0(X) \mid Ix \subseteq I\}$, the right order of $I$, and equals it if $I$ is a kernel ideal.\(^{2}\)

(b) Every maximal order in $D$ occurs as the endomorphism ring of an abelian variety in the isogeny class of $X$.

**Proof.** (a) For a proof, see [1, Lemma 16.56] or [15, Proposition 3.9].

(b) For a proof, see [15, Theorem 3.13]. \(\square\)

3. Finite subgroups of division algebras

Recall that if $X$ is a simple abelian variety of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$, then $\text{End}_k^0(X)$ is a CM-field of degree 8 over $\mathbb{Q}$ or a central simple division algebra of degree 2 (resp. 4) over a quartic CM-field (resp. an imaginary quadratic field) by Lemma 2.1. Hence, in this section, we give a classification of all possible finite groups that can be embedded in the multiplicative subgroup of a division algebra with certain properties that are related to our situation. Our main reference is a paper of Amitsur [1]. We start with the following

**Definition 3.1.** Let $m, r$ be two relatively prime positive integers, and we put $s := \gcd(r - 1, m)$ and $t := \frac{m}{s}$. Also, let $n$ be the smallest integer such that $r^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We denote by $G_{m,r}$ the group generated by two elements $a, b$ satisfying the relations

$$a^m = 1, \ b^n = a^t, \ bab^{-1} = a^r.$$

This type of groups includes the *dicyclic group* of order $mn$, in which case, we often write $\text{Dic}_{mn}$ for $G_{m,r}$. As a convention, if $r = 1$, then we put $n = s = 1$, and hence, $G_{m,1}$ is a cyclic group of order $m$.

Given $m, r, s, t, n$, as above, we will consider the following two conditions in the sequel:

(C1) $\gcd(n, t) = \gcd(s, t) = 1$.

(C2) $n = 2n', m = 2^{α_m}s, s = 2s'$ where $α ≥ 2$, and $n', m', s'$ are all odd integers. Moreover, $\gcd(n, t) = \gcd(s, t) = 2$ and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2^n}$.

Now, let $p$ be a prime number that divides $m$. We define:

(i) $α_p$ is the largest integer such that $p^{α_p} \mid m$.

(ii) $n_p$ is the smallest integer satisfying $r^{n_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{mp^{-α_p}}$.

(iii) $δ_p$ is the smallest integer satisfying $p^{δ_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{mp^{-α_p}}$.

Then we have the following result that provides us with a useful criterion for a group $G_{m,r}$ to be embedded in a division ring:

**Theorem 3.2.** A group $G_{m,r}$ can be embedded in a division ring if and only if either (C1) or (C2) holds, and one of the following conditions holds:

(1) $n \equiv s \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$.

(2) For every prime $q \mid n$, there exists a prime $p \mid m$ such that $q \nmid n_p$ and that either

(a) $p \neq 2$, and $\gcd(q, (p^{δ_p} - 1)/s) = 1$, or

(b) $p = q = 2$, (C2) holds, and $m/4 \equiv δ_p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

**Proof.** For a proof, see [1, Theorems 3, 4, and Lemma 10]. \(\square\)

\(^{2}\)Recall that $I$ is a kernel ideal of $R$ if $I = \{α \in R \mid αH(X, I) = 0\}.$
Now, let $G$ be a finite group. One of our main tools in this section is the following

**Theorem 3.3.** $G$ can be embedded in a division ring if and only if $G$ is of one of the following types:

1. Cyclic groups.
2. $G_{m,r}$ where the integers $m, r$, etc., satisfy Theorem 3.2 (which is not cyclic).
3. $\mathbb{V} \times G_{m,r}$ where $\mathbb{V}$ is the binary tetrahedral group of order 24, and $G_{m,r}$ is either cyclic of order $m$ with $\gcd(m,6) = 1$, or of the type (2) with $\gcd(|G_{m,r}|,6) = 1$. In both cases, for all primes $p \mid m$, the smallest integer $\gamma_p$ satisfying $2^{\gamma_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is odd.
4. $\Omega^*$, the binary octahedral group of order 48.
5. $\Theta^*$, the binary icosahedral group of order 120.

**Proof.** For a proof, see [1, Theorem 7].

Having stated most of the necessary results, we can proceed to achieve our goal of this section. First, we give three important lemmas, all of whose proofs follow from Theorem 3.2 unless otherwise stated:

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that $n = 2, m \geq 2$ is an integer with $\varphi(m) \mid 8$ and $\gcd(n, t) = \gcd(s, t) = 1$. Then the group $G := G_{m,r}$ can be embedded in a division ring if and only if $G$ is one of the following groups (up to isomorphism):

1. $C_4$,
2. $\text{Dic}_{12}$, a dicyclic group of order 12;
3. $\text{Dic}_{20}$, a dicyclic group of order 20;
4. $C_5 \rtimes C_8$, a semidirect product of $C_5$ and $C_8$;
5. $C_3 \rtimes C_{16}$, a semidirect product of $C_3$ and $C_{16}$;
6. $\text{Dic}_{60}$, a dicyclic group of order 60.

**Proof.** Note that $t$ is odd and $m \in \{2,3,4,5,6,8,10,12,15,16,20,24,30\}$. Hence, we have the following three cases to consider:

1. If $m \in \{3,5,15\}$, then $|G| = 2m$ is square-free. Now, if $m = 3$ or 5, then it is easy to see that $r \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ (by the definition of $n$). Similarly, if $m = 15$, then it is easy to see that $r \equiv -1$ or $r \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{m}$. Then in any of these cases, by looking at the presentation of $G$, we can see that $G$ is not cyclic. Hence by [1, Corollary 5], $G$ cannot be embedded in a division ring.

2. If $m \in \{2,4,6,8,10,12\}$, then we have the following six subcases to consider:
   (i) If $m = 2$, then since $\gcd(n,t) = 1$, we get $s = 2, t = 1$. In particular, we have $n = s = 2$ and $r \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$. Hence, $G$ can be $G_{2,r} = C_4$.
   (ii) If $m = 4$, then since $\gcd(n,t) = 1$, we get $s = 4, t = 1$. By the definition of $s$, we have $m = 4 \mid r - 1$, and hence, this case cannot occur because of our assumption that $n = 2$.
   By a similar argument as in (ii), we will exclude all the cases when $t = 1$ for the rest of the proof of the lemma.
   (iii) If $m = 6$, then we only need to consider the case when $s = 2, t = 3$. Since $n = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$. Hence, $G$ can be $G_{6,r} = \text{Dic}_{12}$.
   (iv) If $m = 8$, then we get $s = 8, t = 1$, and hence, this case cannot occur.
   (v) If $m = 10$, then we only need to consider the case when $s = 2, t = 5$. Since $n = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1 \pmod{10}$. Hence, $G$ can be $G_{10,r} = \text{Dic}_{20}$.
   (vi) If $m = 12$, then we only need to consider the case when $s = 4, t = 3$. If there exists a prime

---

$p$ \mid m$, the smallest integer $\gamma_p$ satisfying $2^{\gamma_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is odd.
number $p$ | 12 such that $q = 2 \nmid n_p$, then either $p = 2$ or $p = 3$. Since (C2) does not hold, $p \neq 2$.
If $p = 3$, then $\alpha_3 = 1, \delta_3 = 2$, and hence, we have $\gcd(2,(3^2 - 1)/4) = 2$. Therefore this case cannot occur by Theorem 3.2.

(3) If $m \in \{16, 20, 24, 30\}$, then we have the following four subcases to consider:

(i) If $m = 16$, then we get $s = 16, t = 1$, and hence, this case cannot occur.

(ii) If $m = 20$, then we only need to consider the case when $s = 4, t = 5$. Since $n = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1$ or $r \equiv 9 \pmod{20}$. By the definition of $s$, it follows that $r \equiv 9 \pmod{20}$. Now, by taking $q = 2, p = 5$ (so that $n_5 = \alpha_5 = \delta_5 = 1$) in Theorem 3.2 we can see that $G$ can be $G_{20,r} = C_5 \times C_8$ (by looking at the presentation of $G$).

(iii) If $m = 24$, then we only need to consider the case when $s = 8, t = 3$. Since $n = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1$ or $r \equiv 5$ or $r \equiv 7$ or $r \equiv 11$ (mod 24). By the definition of $s$, it follows that $r \equiv 17 \pmod{24}$. Now, by taking $q = 2, p = 3$ (so that $n_3 = \alpha_3 = 1, \delta_3 = 2$) in Theorem 3.2 we can see that $G$ can be $G_{24,r} = C_3 \times C_16$ (by looking at the presentation of $G$).

(iv) If $m = 30$, then we get $s = 2, t = 15$ or $s = 6, t = 5$ or $s = 10, t = 3$. If $s = 2, t = 15$, then since $n = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1$ or $r \equiv 11 \pmod{30}$. By the definition of $s$, it follows that $r \equiv -1 \pmod{30}$. Hence, $G$ can be $G_{30,r} = \text{Dic}_{30}$. If $s = 6, t = 5$ or $s = 10, t = 3$, then by a similar argument as in (2)-(vi), we can see that both of these cases cannot occur.

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Remark 3.5. The group $C_5 \times C_8$ (resp. $C_3 \times C_16$) is (isomorphic to) the group with GAP SmallGroup ID $[40,1]$ (resp. $[48,1]$).

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that $n = 2, m = 2^a \cdot m'$ with $\varphi(m) \mid 8, s = 2s', \gcd(s,t) = 2$, and 
$r \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$ where $\alpha \geq 2$ is an integer and $m', s'$ are odd integers. Then the group $G := G_{m,r}$ can be embedded in a division ring if and only if $G$ is one of the following groups:

(1) $Q_8$, a quaternion group;
(2) $\text{Dic}_{16}$, a dicyclic group of order 16;
(3) $\text{Dic}_{24}$, a dicyclic group of order 24;
(4) $\text{Dic}_{32}$, a dicyclic group of order 32;
(5) $\text{Dic}_{40}$, a dicyclic group of order 40;
(6) $\text{Dic}_{48}$, a dicyclic group of order 48.

Proof. Note that $m \in \{4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24\}$. Hence, we have the following six cases to consider:

(1) If $m = 4$, then we get $s = t = 2$. Since $n = s = 2$ and $r \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ (by assumption), $G$ can be $G_{4,r} = Q_8$.

(2) If $m = 8$, then we get $s = 2, t = 4$. Since $n = s = 2$ and $r \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ (by assumption), $G$ can be $G_{8,r} = \text{Dic}_{16}$.

(3) If $m = 12$, then we get $s = 2, t = 6$ or $s = 6, t = 2$. If $s = 2, t = 6$, then since $n = s = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1 \pmod{12}$ (by the definition of $s$). Hence, $G$ can be $G_{12,r} = \text{Dic}_{24}$. If $s = 6, t = 2$, then since $n = 2, s = 6$, we have $r \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. If there exists a prime number $p \mid 12$ such that $q = 2 \nmid n_p$, then we get $p = 2$. (Note that $n_2 = 1$ and $n_3 = 2$.) Then we further compute $\alpha_2 = \delta_2 = 2$, and this contradicts Theorem 3.2 (2). Hence, this case cannot occur.

(4) If $m = 16$, then we get $s = 2, t = 8$. Then by a similar argument as in (2), $G$ can be $G_{16,r} = \text{Dic}_{32}$.

(5) If $m = 20$, then we get $s = 2, t = 10$ or $s = 10, t = 2$. If $s = 2, t = 10$, then since $n = s = 2$, we have $r \equiv -1 \pmod{20}$ (by the definition of $s$). Hence, $G$ can be $G_{20,r} = \text{Dic}_{40}$. If $s = 10, t = 2$, then since $n = 2, s = 10$, we have $r \equiv 11 \pmod{20}$. If there exists a prime number
\( p \mid 20 \) such that \( q = 2 \mid n_p \), then we get \( p = 2 \). (Note that \( n_2 = 1 \) and \( n_5 = 2 \).) Then we further compute \( \alpha_2 = 2, \delta_2 = 4 \), and this contradicts Theorem 3.2 (2). Hence, this case cannot occur.

(6) If \( m = 24 \), then we get \( s = 2, t = 12 \) or \( s = 6, t = 4 \). If \( s = 2, t = 12 \), then since \( n = s = 2 \) and \( r \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \), we have \( r \equiv -1 \pmod{24} \). Hence, \( G \) can be \( G_{24,r} = \text{Dic}_{24} \). If \( s = 6, t = 4 \), then since \( n = 2, s = 6 \) and \( r \equiv -1 \pmod{8} \), we have \( r \equiv 7 \pmod{24} \). Then by a similar argument as in (5), we can see that this case cannot occur.

This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.7.** Suppose that \( n = 4, m \geq 2 \) is an integer with \( \varphi(m) \mid 8 \), and \( \gcd(n, t) = \gcd(s, t) = 1 \). Then the group \( G := G_{m,r} \) can be embedded in a division ring if and only if \( G = C_5 \times C_{16} \), a semidirect product of \( C_5 \) and \( C_{16} \).

**Proof.** Note that \( t \) is odd and \( m \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 16, 20, 24, 30\} \). Furthermore, by the definition of \( n \) and the fact that \( n^2 \mid |G| \) (see [1, §7]), it suffices to consider the following two cases:

(1) If \( m = 16 \), then since \( \gcd(n, t) = 1 \), we get \( s = 16, t = 1 \). By the definition of \( s \), we have \( m = 16 \mid r - 1 \), and hence, this case cannot occur because of our assumption that \( n = 4 \).

By a similar argument as in (1), we will exclude the case when \( t = 1 \) for part (2) below:

(2) If \( m = 20 \), then by the definition of \( n \) and \( s \), we get \( r \equiv 13 \) or \( r \equiv 17 \pmod{20} \) and \( s = 4, t = 5 \). In both cases (on \( r \)), by taking \( q = 2, p = 5 \) (so that \( n_5 = \alpha_5 = \delta_5 = 1 \) in Theorem 3.2) we can see that \( G \) can be \( G_{20,r} = C_5 \times C_{16} \) (by looking at the presentation of \( G \)).

This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.8.** The group \( C_5 \times C_{16} \) is (isomorphic to) the group with GAP SmallGroup ID \([80,3]\).

Now, we focus on our situation of Lemma 2.3 (1) and (2) respectively. First, let \( D \) be a division algebra of degree 2 over its center \( K \), where \( K \) is a quartic CM-field. If \( G \) is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of \( D \), then \( G \) can be of any of the types (1)-(5) in Theorem 3.3 a priori. If the group \( G := G_{m,r} \) is contained in \( D^\times \), then \( n \mid 2 \) (see [1, §7]), and hence, we have that either \( n = 1 \) or \( n = 2 \). Furthermore, if \( n = 2 \), then \( 4 \) divides \( |G| \) (see [1, §7]). Also, since \( G \) contains an element of order \( m \) and \( \dim_Q D = 16 \), we have \( m \leq 30 \) with \( \varphi(m) \mid 8 \). The last preliminary result that we need is the following

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( D \) be a division algebra of degree 2 over its center \( K \), where \( K \) is a quartic CM-field \( K \).

(a) If \( D \) contains an \( O^\times \), then \( \sqrt{2} \in K \).

(b) If \( D \) contains an \( O^\times \), then \( \sqrt{5} \in K \).

Moreover, in both cases, we have \( D = D_{2,\infty} \otimes_Q K \).

**Proof.** For a proof, see [1, Theorems 9 and 10].

\[ \square \]

Summarizing, we have the following

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \( D \) be a division algebra of degree 2 over its center \( K \), where \( K \) is a quartic CM-field \( K \). If a finite group \( G \) (of even order\(^4\)) can be embedded in \( D^\times \), then \( G \) is one of the following groups:

(1) \( C_2, C_4, C_6, C_8, C_{10}, C_{12}, C_{16}, C_{20}, C_{24}, C_{30} \);

\(^4\)In fact, in this specific situation, the groups \( G_{20,13} \) and \( G_{20,17} \), a priori, are isomorphic to each other.

\(^5\)This assumption can be made based on the goal of this paper.
Finally, the other possibilities for $\sqrt{s}$ of Theorem 3.3. Also, clearly, order $m$ groups are $S$ with Theorem 3.9, we have $G$ we can write Proof. We refer the list of possible such groups to Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $G$ is cyclic. Then we can write $G = (f)$ for some element $f$ of order $d$. Then according to the argument given before Theorem 3.9 we have $d \in \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20, 24, 30\}$. Hence, we obtain (1). If $G = G_{m,r}$ with $n = 2$ and $\phi(m) | 8$, then (2) and (3) follow from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 (If $n = 1$, then $s = m$ so that $t = 1$. Now, the presentation of the group tells us that the group is cyclic of order $m$ in this case.) Now, if $G = \mathcal{S}^* \times G_{m,r}$ is a general $T$-group, then the only possible such groups are $\mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{S}^* \times C_5$. (If $n = 2$ so that $G_{m,r}$ is not cyclic, then $|G_{m,r}| = 2m$, and hence, we have $\text{gcd}(|G_{m,r}|, 6) \neq 1$.) In the latter case, we have $\gamma_5 = 4$ which contradicts the condition of Theorem 3.3. Also, clearly, $\mathcal{S}^*$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.3 and hence, we get (4). Finally, the other possibilities for $G$ are $\mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{I}^*$. If $G = \mathcal{S}^*$, then $|G| = 48$, and in this case, $\sqrt{2} \in K$ by Theorem 3.9. If $G = \mathcal{I}^*$, then $|G| = 120$, and in this case, $\sqrt{5} \in K$ by Theorem 3.9.

This completes the proof. □

By a similar argument as above, we can deal with the other case:

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $D$ be a division algebra of degree 4 over its center $K$, where $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field. If a finite group $G$ (of even order) can be embedded in $D^\times$, then $G$ is one of the following groups:

1. $C_2, C_4, C_6, C_8, C_{10}, C_{12}, C_{16}, C_{20}, C_{24}, C_{30}$;
2. $\text{Dic}_{12}, \text{Dic}_{20}, C_5 \times C_8, C_3 \times C_{16}, \text{Dic}_{60}$;
3. $C_5 \times C_{16}$;

**Proof.** Since $K$ is an algebraic number field, it follows from [11] Theorems 2 and 9] that all Sylow subgroups of $G$ are cyclic.

Now, since the Sylow 2-subgroup of any $\mathcal{S}^* \times G_{m,r}$ of Theorem 3.3 (3) is $Q_8$, we can exclude these groups. If $G = \mathcal{S}^*$ (resp. $G = \mathcal{I}^*$), then we have that $Q(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq K$ (resp. $Q(\sqrt{5}) \subseteq K$) by Theorem 3.9 which contradicts the fact that $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field. If $G$ is a cyclic group of order $d$, then we have $\varphi(d) | 8$, and hence, by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.11, we obtain (1). Finally, if $G := G_{m,r}$ for some relatively prime integers $m, r$, then we obtain (2) and (3) by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7.

This completes the proof. □

Regarding our goal of this paper, the above theorems have a nice consequence:

**Corollary 3.12.** Let $X$ be a simple abelian variety of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$. Let $G$ be a finite subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of $\text{End}_k^0(X)$. Then $G$ is one of the following groups:

1. $C_2, C_4, C_6, C_8, C_{10}, C_{12}, C_{16}, C_{20}, C_{24}, C_{30}$;
2. $Q_8, \text{Dic}_{12}, \text{Dic}_{16}, \text{Dic}_{20}, \text{Dic}_{24}, \text{Dic}_{32}, \text{Dic}_{40}, \text{Dic}_{48}, \text{Dic}_{60}$;
3. $C_5 \times C_8, C_3 \times C_{16}$;
4. $C_5 \times C_{16}$;
5. $\mathcal{S}^*$;

---

6In other words, $G$ is of type (2A) in the sense of [11] Theorem 2.
(6) $\Omega^*$;
(7) $\Delta^*$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.4 and Theorems 3.10, 3.11. \hfill \Box

4. Main Result

In this section, we give a classification of finite groups that can be realized as the automorphism group of a simple polarized abelian variety of dimension 4 over a finite field which is maximal in the following (slightly more general) sense: let $g \geq 1$ be an integer.

Definition 4.1. Let $X$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ over a field $k$, and let $G$ be a finite group. Suppose that the following two conditions hold:

(i) there exists an abelian variety $X'$ over $k$ that is $k$-isogenous to $X$ with a polarization $\mathcal{L}$ such that $G = \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L})$, and

(ii) there is no finite group $H$ such that $G$ is isomorphic to a proper subgroup of $H$ and $H = \text{Aut}_k(Y, \mathcal{M})$ for some abelian variety $Y$ over $k$ that is $k$-isogenous to $X$ with a polarization $\mathcal{M}$.

In this case, $G$ is said to be realizable maximally (or maximal, in short) in the isogeny class of $X$ as the full automorphism group of a polarized abelian variety of dimension $g$ over $k$.

In our case, we take $g = 4, k$ a finite field, and $X$ to be simple.

Now, to introduce our main result, we need the following lemmas, which are obtained by examining some of the situations of Corollary 3.12 further:

Lemma 4.2. Let $G = \text{Dic}_{12}$ or $\text{Dic}_{20}$. Then there exists no simple abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$ such that $G$ is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of $\text{End}_k^0(X)$.

Proof. Let $G = \text{Dic}_{12}$ and suppose on the contrary that there exists such an abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over some finite field $k$. Let $D = \text{End}_k^0(X)$. Note that since $G \leq D^\times$, we have $D_{3,\infty} = \left(\frac{-1,3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \subset D$. Also, by Lemma 2.4 and Theorems 3.10, 3.11, we only need to consider the following two cases:

(1) $D$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K$ where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a quartic CM-field. Then we have $D_{3,\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K = D$ (by dimension counting). Also, we note that $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12}) \subset D$. Let $B = C_D(L)$ (resp. $C = C_D(B)$) be the centralizer of $L$ (resp. $B$) in $D$. By definition, $B$ (resp. $C$) contains both $K$ and $L$. In particular, $B$ (resp. $C$) is a $K$-subalgebra of $D$ containing $L$. By the double centralizer theorem, we have that $[B : K] \cdot [C : K] = 4$. If either $[B : K] = 1$ or $[B : K] = 4$ (so that $[C : K] = 1$), then we can see that $K = L$. (For this case, we refer to subcase (i) below.) If $[B : K] = [C : K] = 2$, then we get that $B$ is commutative, and hence, $B$ is a maximal subfield of $D$ with $[B : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$. Now, suppose that $K \cap L = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $M = K.L$ be the compositum of $K$ and $L$ in $B$. In particular, we have $M \subseteq B$. But then since $K \cap L = \mathbb{Q}$, we also have that $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 16$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, we only need to consider the following two cases further:

(i) If $K = L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$, then since there is a unique prime $\nu$ of $K$ lying over 3 so that $2 \mid [K_\nu : \mathbb{Q}_3] = 4$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$ is a CM-field, it follows that $D$ splits at all primes of $K$, which contradicts the fact that $D$ is a division algebra.

(ii) If $K \cap L$ is a quadratic number field, then we have $K \cap L \in \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})\}$.
Note that 3 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and totally ramified in both $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$. Then since $2 \mid [K_\nu : \mathbb{Q}_3]$ for any prime $\nu$ of $K$ lying over 3, and $K$ is a CM-field, it follows again that $D$ splits at all primes of $K$, which is a contradiction.

Thus we can see that this case cannot occur.

(2) $D$ is a central simple division algebra of degree 4 over $K$ where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is an imaginary quadratic field. Then let $B = D_{3,\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$, and let $C = C_D(B)$ be the centralizer of $B$ in $D$. In particular, the center of both $B$ and $C$ is $K$. By the double centralizer theorem, we have $[C : K] = 4$ and $B \otimes_K C \cong D$. Then since $D$ has period 4 (being a central simple division algebra of degree 4 over a number field $K$) while the period of $B \otimes_K C$ is at most 2 ([12 §1.5]), this is a contradiction. Thus we can see that this case cannot occur.

From (1) and (2), the desired result follows for $G = \text{Dic}_{12}$.

For $G = \text{Dic}_{20}$, we can proceed in a similar fashion with the observation that $D_{2,\infty} = \left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \subset D_{2,\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \subset D$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10}) \subset D$.

This completes the proof. \hfill \□

By a similar argument, we obtain other necessary results:

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $G = \text{Dic}_{16}$ or $\text{Dic}_{24}$. Then there exists no simple abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$ such that $G$ is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of $\text{End}_k^0(X)$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \text{Dic}_{16}$ (resp. $G = \text{Dic}_{24}$) and suppose on the contrary that there exists such an abelian variety of dimension 4 over some finite field $k$. Since the 2-Sylow subgroup of $G$ is a generalized quaternion group of order 16 (resp. a quaternion group of order 8), it follows from Lemma 2.1 and [11, Theorem 9] that $D := \text{End}_k^0(X) = D_{2,\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K$, where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a quartic CM-field. Also, since $C_8 \leq \text{Dic}_{16}$ (resp. $C_{12} \leq \text{Dic}_{24}$), we have that $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) \subset D$ (resp. $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$). Then we can proceed as in the proof of the case (1) of Lemma 4.2 to see that this cannot occur.

This completes the proof. \hfill \□

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $G = \Omega^+$ or $\Omega^*$. Then there exists no simple abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$ such that $G$ is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of $\text{End}_k^0(X)$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \Omega^+$ (resp. $G = \Omega^*$) and suppose on the contrary that there exists such an abelian variety of dimension 4 over some finite field $k$. Since the 2-Sylow subgroup of $G$ is a quaternion group of order 16 (resp. a quaternion group of order 8), we can see that $D := \text{End}_k^0(X) = D_{2,\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K$, where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a quartic CM-field, as before. Furthermore, by Theorem 3.9 we know that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subset K$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \subset K$). Since 2 is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ (resp. 2 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$), we can see that $2 \mid [K_\nu : \mathbb{Q}_2]$ for any prime $\nu$ of $K$ lying over 2, and hence, it follows that $D$ splits at all primes of $K$, which contradicts the fact that $D$ is a division algebra. Thus this case cannot occur.

This completes the proof. \hfill \□

The following lemma deals with a special class of groups in light of Remark 4.6 below:

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $G \in \{\text{Dic}_{32}, \text{Dic}_{40}, \text{Dic}_{48}, \text{Dic}_{60}\}$. Then there exists no simple abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over a finite field $k$ such that $G$ is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of $\text{End}_k^0(X)$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \text{Dic}_{48}$ and suppose on the contrary that there exists such an abelian variety of dimension 4 over some finite field $k$. Since the 2-Sylow subgroup of $G$ is a generalized quaternion
group of order 16, we can see that $D := \text{End}_k^0(X) = D_{2,\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Q} K$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K$, where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a quartic CM-field, as before. Also, since $C_{24} \leq \text{Dic}_{48}$, we have that $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24}) \subset D$. Then by a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can see that $L$ must contain $K$, and hence, it follows that $K \in \{ \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24} + \zeta_{24}^{-1}) \}$. (In fact, $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24} + \zeta_{24}^{-1})$ because of our assumption that $K$ is a CM-field.) Now, it is easy to see that both $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$ split $D_{2,\infty}$, and this contradicts the fact that $D$ is a division algebra. Thus this case cannot occur.

For $G = \text{Dic}_{32}$ (resp. $\text{Dic}_{40}$), we can proceed in a similar fashion with $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{20})$).

Finally, let $G = \text{Dic}_{60}$. In view of Lemma 2.1 and Theorems 3.10, 3.11 we consider the following two cases:

(1) $D$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K$ where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a quartic CM-field. Since $C_{30} \leq \text{Dic}_{60}$, we have that $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{30}) \subset D$. Then by a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 we can see that $L$ must contain $K$ so that $K \in \{ \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{-3}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15} + \zeta_{15}^{-1}) \}$. Moreover, since $D^\times/K^\times$ contains $\text{Dic}_{60}/\{ \pm 1 \} \cong D_{15}$, whence, $C_{15}$, it follows from [9] Lemma 2.1 that $\zeta_{15} + \zeta_{15}^{-1} \in K$. Thus we can see that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15} + \zeta_{15}^{-1})$, and this contradicts the fact that $K$ is a CM-field. Thus we can see that this case cannot occur.

(2) $D$ is a central simple division algebra of degree 4 over $K$ where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is an imaginary quadratic field. Then since $\text{Dic}_{12} \leq \text{Dic}_{60}$ so that $D_{3,\infty} \subset D$, we can proceed in a similar fashion as in the proof of case (2) of Lemma 4.2 to see that this case cannot occur.

From (1) and (2), the desired result follows for $G = \text{Dic}_{60}$.

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.6.** In view of [12] Theorem 6.1, each of the four groups in Lemma 4.2 is an absolutely irreducible maximal finite subgroup of some totally definite quaternion algebra over a totally real number field.

Sometimes, we can show that a certain group cannot be maximally realized (in the sense of Definition 2.1 above):

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $G = \mathbb{Q}_8$. If there exists a finite field $k$ and a simple abelian variety of dimension 4 over $k$ such that $G$ is a subgroup of the multiplicative subgroup of $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$, then there is a finite group $H \leq D^\times$ such that $G$ is a proper subgroup of $H$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \mathbb{Q}_8$. Since the 2-Sylow subgroup of $G$ is a quaternion group of order 8, we can see that $D = D_{2,\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Q} K$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K$, where $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ is a quartic CM-field, as before. In particular, we have that $H := \mathbb{Q}^*$ is a finite subgroup of $D^\times$ containing $G$ properly.

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Also, we record one result on the embeddability of certain number fields into a specific division algebra, which will be used in the proof of our main theorem:

**Lemma 4.8.** Let $D$ be a quaternion division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, which is ramified exactly at the places $\nu$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ lying over 97. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24})$ cannot be embedded into $D$.

**Proof.** Let $p$ be a prime of $K := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ lying over 97, and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of $L := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})$ lying over $p$. In particular, $\mathfrak{P}$ lies over 97. Since 97 splits completely in both $K$ and $L$, it follows that $[L_\mathfrak{P} : K_p] = 1$. On the other hand, by our assumption, $D$ is ramified at $p$ i.e. we have
$D_p := D \otimes_K K_p$ is a quaternion division algebra over $K_p$. Since 2 does not divide $1 = [L_p : K_p]$, it follows from the first theorem of [3] p. 407] that $L$ cannot be embedded into $D$. By a similar argument, we can show that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24})$ cannot be embedded into $D$, either.

This completes the proof. \qed

Now, we are ready to introduce the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let $G$ be a finite group. Then there exists a finite field $k$ and a simple abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over $k$ such that $G$ is the automorphism group of a simple polarized abelian variety of dimension 4 over $k$, which is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$ if and only if $G$ is one of the following groups in Table 2 (up to isomorphism):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$#1$ $C_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#2$ $C_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#3$ $C_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#4$ $C_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#5$ $C_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#6$ $C_{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#7$ $C_{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#8$ $C_{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#9$ $C_{24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#10$ $C_{30}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#11$ $C_5 \times C_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#12$ $C_5 \times C_{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#13$ $C_5 \times C_{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$#14$ $\mathbb{F}^\times$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Maximal automorphism groups of simple polarized abelian fourfolds over finite fields.

**Proof.** Suppose first that there exists a finite field $k$ and a simple abelian variety $X$ of dimension 4 over $k$ such that $G$ is the automorphism group of a polarized abelian variety over $k$, which is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$. (In particular, we have that $|G|$ is even because $-1 \in G$.) Then by Albert’s classification, Corollary 3.12, Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, and 4.7, $G$ is one of the 14 groups in the above table. Hence, it suffices to show the converse. We prove the converse by considering them one by one.

1. Take $G = C_2$. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ and let $\pi$ be a zero of the polynomial $t^8 + 2t^6 - t^5 + t^4 - 2t^3 + 8t^2 + 16 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ so that $\pi$ is a 2-Weil number. By Theorem [2.7] there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ of dimension $r$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$. Then we have that $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X) = \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ is a CM-field of degree 8 so that $K$ has no real embeddings, and hence, we can compute that all the local invariants of $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ are zero. (Note that 2 splits into a product of two primes in $K$.). Hence it follows from Proposition 2.5(b) and Corollary 2.4(b) that $D = K$ and $r = 4$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $K$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $D$, there exists a simple abelian variety $X'$ over $k$ such that $X'$ is $k$-isogenous to $X$ and $\text{End}_k(X') = \mathcal{O}$ by Proposition 2.4(b). Note also that $C_2 \leq \mathcal{O}^\times = \mathbb{Z}^\times \times C_2 = \text{Aut}_k(X')$. 


Now, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $X'$, and put

$$\mathcal{L}' := \bigotimes_{f \in \mathcal{C}_2} f^* \mathcal{L}.$$ 

Then $\mathcal{L}'$ is also an ample line bundle on $X'$ that is preserved under the action of $C_2$ so that $C_2 \leq \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')$. Note also that the maximal finite subgroup of $D^\times$ is $C_2$ by Dirichlet Unit Theorem. Since $\text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')$ is a finite subgroup of $D^\times$, it follows that

$$G = C_2 = \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}').$$

Furthermore, again, since $C_2$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $D^\times$, we can conclude that $G$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

(2) Take $G = C_4$. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{2414}$ and let $\pi$ be a zero of the quadratic polynomial $t^2 + 240 \cdot 241t + 241^4 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ so that $\pi$ is a $241^4$-Weil number. By Theorem 2.7, there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2414}$ of dimension $r$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$. Then we have $K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X) = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ so that $K$ has no real embeddings. Also, note that 241 splits completely in $K$, and we can compute the local invariants of $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ at the two places of $K$ lying above 241 to be $3/4$ and $1/4$. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.8(b) and Corollary 2.9(b) that $D$ is a central simple division algebra of degree 4 over $K$ and $r = 4$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $D$ containing the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ of $K$. Then since $\mathcal{O}$ is a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $D$, there exists a simple abelian variety $X'$ over $k$ such that $X'$ is $k$-isogenous to $X$ and $\text{End}_k(X') = \mathcal{O}$ by Proposition 2.9(b). Note also that $C_4 \leq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]^\times \leq \mathcal{O}^\times = \text{Aut}_k(X')$.

Now, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $X'$, and put

$$\mathcal{L}' := \bigotimes_{f \in \mathcal{C}_4} f^* \mathcal{L}.$$ 

Then $\mathcal{L}'$ is also an ample line bundle on $X'$ that is preserved under the action of $C_4$ so that $C_4 \leq \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')$. We claim that $C_4$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $D^\times$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there is a finite group $H \leq D^\times$ containing $C_4$ properly. Then by Theorem 3.11 and Lemmas 4.2, 4.5, we have that

$$H \in \{C_8, C_{12}, C_{16}, C_{20}, C_{24}, C_5 \times C_8, C_3 \times C_{16}, C_5 \times C_{16}\}.$$ 

Now, since 241 splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ for $n \in \{8, 12, 16, 20, 24\}$, it follows from the first theorem of [9] p. 407 that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ cannot be embedded in $D$, and hence, $C_4$ is not a finite subgroup of $D^\times$ for all $n = 8, 12, 16, 20, 24$. Also, since $C_8 \leq C_5 \times C_8$ and $C_{16} \leq C_3 \times C_{16}, C_5 \times C_{16}$, we can see that $C_5 \times C_8, C_3 \times C_{16},$ and $C_5 \times C_{16}$ are not finite subgroups of $D^\times$. Thus we can conclude that $C_4$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $D^\times$. Then since $\text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')$ is a finite subgroup of $D^\times$, it follows that

$$G = C_4 = \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}').$$

Furthermore, again, since $C_4$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $D^\times$, we can conclude that $G$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

(3) For $G = C_6$, let $k = \mathbb{F}_{2414}$ and let $\pi$ be a zero of the quadratic polynomial $t^2 + 286 \cdot 241t + 241^4 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Then we can proceed by a similar argument as in the proof of (2) with these choices.

(4) Take $G = C_8$. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{9409}$. Then $\pi := 97 \cdot \zeta_8$ is a 9409-Weil number, and hence, by Theorem 2.7, there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{9409}$ of dimension $r$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$. Then we have that $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, and hence, $\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)$ has no real embeddings. Since 97 splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, we can compute that $\text{inv}_\nu(\text{End}_k^0(X)) = 1/2$ for any place $\nu$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ lying over 97 by Proposition 2.8(a). Hence it follows from Proposition 2.8(b) that
End\(k(X)\) is a quaternion algebra over \(\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)\), which, in turn, implies that \(r = 4\) by Corollary 2.34 (b). Now, it is well known that such \(D := \text{End}^0_k(X)\) has a maximal \(\mathbb{Z}\)-order \(\mathcal{O}\) containing the ring of integers \(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]\) of \(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)\). Since \(\mathcal{O}\) is a maximal \(\mathbb{Z}\)-order in \(D\), there exists a simple abelian variety \(X'\) over \(k\) such that \(X'\) is \(k\)-isogenous to \(X\) and \(\text{End}_k(X') = \mathcal{O}\) by Proposition 2.49 (b). Note also that \(C_8 = \langle \zeta_8 \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_8]^\times \leq \mathcal{O}^\times = \text{Aut}_k(X')\).

Now, let \(\mathcal{L}\) be an ample line bundle on \(X'\), and put
\[
\mathcal{L}' := \bigotimes_{f \in \langle \zeta_8 \rangle} f^* \mathcal{L}.
\]
Then \(\mathcal{L}'\) is also an ample line bundle on \(X'\) that is preserved under the action of \(\langle \zeta_8 \rangle\) so that \(\langle \zeta_8 \rangle \leq \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')\). We claim that \(C_8\) is a maximal finite subgroup of \(D^\times\). Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there is a finite group \(H \leq D^\times\) containing \(C_8\) properly. Then by Theorem 3.10 and Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, we have that
\[
H \in \{C_{16}, C_{24}, C_5 \rtimes C_8, C_3 \rtimes C_{16}\}.
\]
But then, by Lemma 4.8 (together with the fact that \(C_{16} \leq C_3 \rtimes C_{16}\)) and [2, Table 5] (for the group \(C_5 \rtimes C_8\), we can see that \(H\) cannot be any of those groups in the above list. Thus we can conclude that \(C_8\) is a maximal finite subgroup of \(D^\times\). Then since \(\text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')\) is a finite subgroup of \(D^\times\), it follows that
\[
G = C_8 = \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')
\]
Furthermore, again, since \(C_8\) is a maximal finite subgroup of \(D^\times\), we can conclude that \(G\) is maximal in the isogeny class of \(X\).

(5)-(6): For \(G = C_{10}\) (resp. \(C_{12}\)), let \(k = \mathbb{F}_{3721}\) (resp. \(k = \mathbb{F}_{5329}\)) and let \(\pi = 61 \cdot \zeta_{10}\) (resp. \(\pi = 73 \cdot \zeta_{12}\)) which is a 3721-Weil number (resp. 5329-Weil number). Then we can proceed by a similar argument as in the proof of (4) with these choices.

(7) Take \(G = C_{16}\). Let \(k = \mathbb{F}_4\). Then \(\pi := 2 \cdot \zeta_{16}\) is a 4-Weil number, and hence, by Theorem 2.71 there exists a simple abelian variety \(X\) over \(\mathbb{F}_4\) of dimension \(r\) such that \(\pi_X\) is conjugate to \(\pi\). Then we have that \(\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})\), and hence, \(\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)\) has no real embeddings. Since 2 is totally ramified in \(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})\), we can see that all the local invariants of \(\text{End}_k^0(X)\) are zero, and hence, it follows from Proposition 2.73 (b) that \(\text{End}_k^0(X) = \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)\) and it is a CM-field of degree 2\(r\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\). Hence, we get \(r = 4\) by Corollary 2.4 (b). Now, since \(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{16}]\) is a (unique) maximal \(\mathbb{Z}\)-order in \(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{16})\), there exists a simple abelian variety \(X'\) over \(k\) such that \(X'\) is \(k\)-isogenous to \(X\) and \(\text{End}_k(X') = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{16}]\) by Proposition 2.79 (b). Note also that \(C_{16} \cong \langle \zeta_{16} \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{16}]^\times = \mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \langle \zeta_{16} \rangle = \text{Aut}_k(X')\). Then we can proceed as in the proof of (1) to see that \(G\) is maximal in the isogeny class of \(X\).

(8)-(10): For \(G = C_{20}\) (resp. \(C_{24}\)), let \(k = \mathbb{F}_4\) and let \(\pi = 2 \cdot \zeta_{20}\) (resp. \(\pi = 2 \cdot \zeta_{24}\)). For \(G = C_{30}\), let \(k = \mathbb{F}_{25}\) and let \(\pi = 5 \cdot \zeta_{30}\). Then we can proceed by a similar argument as in the proof of (7) with these choices.

(11) Take \(G = C_5 \rtimes C_8\). Let \(k = \mathbb{F}_{25}\) and let \(\pi\) be a zero of the quartic polynomial \(t^4 - 30t^2 + 625 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]\) so that \(\pi\) is a 25-Weil number. By Theorem 2.71 there exists a simple abelian variety \(X\) over \(\mathbb{F}_{25}\) of dimension \(r\) such that \(\pi_X\) is conjugate to \(\pi\). Then we have that \(K := \mathbb{Q}(\pi_X) = \mathbb{Q}(2\sqrt{5} - \sqrt{-5}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{20} + \zeta_{20}^5)\), and hence, \(K\) has no real embeddings. Note also that 5 splits into a product of two primes in \(K\) and we can compute that \(\text{inv}_v(\text{End}_k^0(X)) = 1/2\) for any place \(v\) of \(K\) lying over 5. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.79 (b) that \(D := \text{End}_k^0(X)\) is a quaternion algebra over \(\mathbb{Q}(\pi_X)\) (that is ramified exactly at the two places of \(K\) lying over
we can have that follows from the first theorem of [9, p. 407] that both $Q$ and $D$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

Now, let $\mathcal{O}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $D$ that contains the group $G$. Then since $\mathcal{O}$ is a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $D$, there exists a simple abelian variety $X'$ over $k$ such that $X'$ is $k$-isogenous to $X$ and $\text{End}_k(X') = \mathcal{O}$ by Proposition 2.39(b). Note also that $G \leq \mathcal{O}^\times = \text{Aut}_k(X')$ and $G$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $D^\times$ by Theorem 3.10 and Lemma 4.4. Then we can proceed as in the proof of (1) to see that $G$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

(12) Take $G = C_3 \times C_{16}$. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{81}$ and let $\pi$ be a zero of the quartic polynomial $t^4 - 126t^2 + 6561 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ so that $\pi$ is a 81-Weil number. By Theorem 2.7, there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{81}$ of dimension $r$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$. Then we have that $K := Q(\pi_X) = Q(6\sqrt{-2} - 3\sqrt{-1}) = Q(\zeta_8)$, and hence, $K$ has no real embeddings. Note also that 3 splits into a product of two primes in $K$ and we can compute that $\text{inv}_v(\text{End}_k(X)) = 1/2$ for any place $v$ of $K$ lying over 3. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.39(b) that $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ is a quaternion algebra over $Q(\pi_X)$ (that is ramified exactly at the places of $K$ lying over 3), which, in turn, implies that $r = 4$ by Corollary 2.41(b). Also, in view of [2, Table 5], by considering the ramification behavior of $D$ and the local Schur index, we can conclude that $G \leq D^\times$. Furthermore, since 3 splits into a product of two primes in both $Q(\zeta_{16})$ and $Q(\zeta_3)$, it follows from the first theorem of [9, p. 407] that both $Q(\zeta_{16})$ and $Q(\zeta_3)$ embed into $D$. Then we can proceed as in the proof of (11) to see that $G$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

(13) Take $G = C_5 \times C_{16}$. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{625}$ and let $\pi$ be a zero of the quadratic polynomial $t^2 - 30t + 625 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ so that $\pi$ is a 625-Weil number. Then by Theorem 2.7, there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{625}$ of dimension $r$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$, and, in fact, we can have that $r = 4$ by [10, Proposition 2.5]. Hence it follows that we have $Q(\pi_X) = Q(\sqrt{-1})$ and $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ is a central simple division algebra of degree 4 over $Q(\sqrt{-1})$ by Lemma 2.41. (In fact, we can compute the local invariants directly to see that they are 1/4, 3/4 at the two places of $K$ above 5, and 0 at other places of $K$.) Also, in view of [2, Table 5], by considering the ramification behavior of $D$ and the local Schur index, we can conclude that $G \leq D^\times$. Furthermore, since 5 splits completely in $Q(\sqrt{-1})$ and splits into a product of two primes in both $Q(\zeta_{16})$ and $Q(\zeta_3)$, it follows from the first theorem of [9, p. 407] that both $Q(\zeta_{16})$ and $Q(\zeta_3)$ embed into $D$. Then we can proceed as in the proof of (11) to see that $G$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

(14) Take $G = \mathbb{S}^\times$. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{16}$ and let $\pi$ be a zero of the polynomial $t^4 + 7t^3 + 36t^2 + 112t + 256 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ so that $\pi$ is a 16-Weil number. By Theorem 2.7, there exists a simple abelian variety $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ of dimension $r$ such that $\pi_X$ is conjugate to $\pi$. Then we have that $K := Q(\pi_X) = Q(\pi)$ is a (non-Galois) CM-field of degree 4 so that $K$ has no real embeddings, and we can compute the local invariants of $D := \text{End}_k^0(X)$ directly at the three places $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$ of $K$ above 2 to get 1/2, 1/2, and 0. (For convenience, we assume that the local invariant of $D$ at the prime $\nu_3$ is 0.) Hence it follows from Proposition 2.39(b) that $D$ is a quaternion algebra over $Q(\pi_X)$ (that is ramified precisely at the two places $\nu_1, \nu_2$ of $K$), which, in turn, implies that $r = 4$ by Corollary 2.41(b). Since we also know that the quaternion division algebra $D_{2,\infty} \otimes_Q K$ is ramified exactly at the two places $\nu_1, \nu_2$ of $K$ above 2 (because $K$ is a CM-field), it follows that $D = D_{2,\infty} \otimes_K K$. In particular, we can see that $G \leq D^\times$. Now, let $\mathcal{O}'$ be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order of $D$ containing a unique maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order $\mathcal{O}$ of $D_{2,\infty}$. Since $\mathcal{O}'$ is a maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in $D$, there exists a simple
abelian variety $X'$ over $k$ such that $X'$ is $k$-isogenous to $X$ and $\text{End}_k(X') = \mathcal{O}'$ by Proposition 2.9 (b). Note also that $\mathfrak{S}^* \leq \mathcal{O}^* \leq (\mathcal{O}')^* = \text{Aut}_k(X')$.

Now, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on $X'$, and put
\[
\mathcal{L}' := \bigotimes_{f \in \mathfrak{S}^*} f^* \mathcal{L}.
\]
Then $\mathcal{L}'$ is also an ample line bundle on $X'$ that is preserved under the action of $\mathfrak{S}^*$ so that $\mathfrak{S}^* \leq \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')$. Note also that $\mathfrak{S}^*$ is the maximal finite subgroup of $(\mathcal{O}')^*$ by Theorem 3.10 and Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 (together with the fact that $\mathfrak{S}^*$ is not (isomorphic to) a subgroup of $C_3 \rtimes C_{16}$). Since $\text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}')$ is a finite subgroup of the multiplicative group of $(\mathcal{O}')^*$, it follows that
\[
G = \mathfrak{S}^* = \text{Aut}_k(X', \mathcal{L}').
\]
Furthermore, since $\mathfrak{S}^*$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $D^*$, we can conclude that $G$ is maximal in the isogeny class of $X$.

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 4.10.** According to the proof of Theorem 4.9, we can see that all the candidates for the possible types of endomorphism algebras given in Lemma 2.7 are actually realizable. As a consequence, the set
\[
\left\{ m \in \mathbb{Q} \mid m = \frac{2 \cdot \text{dim} X}{[\text{End}_k(X) : \mathbb{Q}]} \text{ for some simple abelian variety } X \text{ over a field } k \right\}
\]
contains $\{1, 1/2, 1/4\}$ as a subset. In other words, this can be regarded as a concrete example of [13, §2].
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