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#### Abstract

The closure of a generic torus orbit in the flag variety $G / B$ of type $A$ is known to be a permutohedral variety and its Poincaré polynomial agrees with the Eulerian polynomial. In this paper, we study the Poincaré polynomial of a generic torus orbit closure in a Schubert variety in $G / B$. When the generic torus orbit closure in a Schubert variety is smooth, its Poincaré polynomial is known to agree with a certain generalization of the Eulerian polynomial. We extend this result to an arbitrary generic torus orbit closure which is not necessarily smooth.


## 1. Introduction

A toric variety of (complex) dimension $n$ is a normal algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ containing an algebraic torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ as an open dense subset, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to the whole variety. Toric varieties have a lot of symmetries, and studying toric varieties are closely related to the combinatorics on a lattice. One of the most important facts in toric geometry is that there is a bijective correspondence between projective toric varieties of dimension $n$ and full-dimensional lattice polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

The combinatorics of a lattice polytope $P$ encodes plentiful information on geometry and topology of the toric variety $X_{P}$ associated to $P$. For instance, the face structure of $P$ captures the torus orbits of $X_{P}$ via the Orbit-Cone correspondence in toric varieties. In particular, the smoothness of the toric variety $X_{P}$ can be determined by the combinatorics of the polytope $P$; the toric variety $X_{P}$ is smooth if and only if the primitive edge vectors emanating from each vertex $v$ of $P$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Such a polytope is called smooth.

Interestingly, when $X_{P}$ is smooth, both equivariant and ordinary cohomology rings of $X_{P}$ can be precisely computed in terms of $P$. Furthermore, the Betti numbers of $X_{P}$ are determined by the combinatorial type of $P$. The odd-degree cohomology groups of $X_{P}$ vanish and the even-degree Betti numbers $b_{2 k}\left(X_{P}\right)(=$ $\left.\operatorname{dim} H^{2 k}\left(X_{P} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)\right)$ are evaluated only using the number $f_{i}$ of the $i$-dimensional faces of $P$ for each $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ (see 2.2 ).

Unlike smooth toric varieties, the Betti numbers of a singular projective toric variety $X_{P}$ are not determined by the combinatorial type of $P$ in general. Indeed,

[^0]there exist two combinatorially equivalent lattice polytopes for which the associated toric varieties have different Betti numbers, see [15]. There are several approaches to computing the Betti numbers of singular toric varieties, e.g., using spectral sequences (see [9, 12] and [8, §12.3]), Białynicki-Birula decomposition [4], retraction sequences on polytopes [2, 3, 16].

In this article, we concentrate on generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties (in Type $A$ ) which are singular in general, and provide an explicit formula on their Betti numbers. The flag variety $\mathcal{F} \ell\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the set of full flags in the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ which is identified with the homogeneous space $G / B$, where $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $B$ is the set of upper triangular matrices in $G$. The standard action of the diagonal torus $T=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ on the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ induces an action of $T$ on $G / B$. Carrell and Kurth [7] proved that every torus orbit closure in the flag variety is a normal variety. Hence all the torus orbit closures in the flag variety are toric varieties.

Schubert varieties $X_{w}:=\overline{B w B / B}$ are subvarieties in the flag variety $G / B$ indexed by an element $w$ of the Weyl group $W\left(\cong \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right.$, the permutation group on $n$ elements) of $G$, and they are invariant under the action of $T$ on $G / B$. A $T$-orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $X_{w}$ is said to be generic if its closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $X_{w}$ have the same $T$-fixed points. Such a torus orbit always exists. Although there are many generic torus orbits in $X_{w}$, the isomorphism class of their closures is unique (see 11, Proposition 1 in $\S 5.2]$ ). We denote by $Y_{w}$ the closure of a generic torus orbit in $X_{w}$ and we call it the generic torus orbit closure in $X_{w}$.

For the longest element $w_{0}=n n-1 \cdots 1$ (in one-line notation) in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, the generic torus orbit closure $Y_{w_{0}}$ is the permutohedral variety, which is a smooth projective toric variety whose fan $\Sigma$ is obtained by the Weyl chambers in type $A_{n-1}$. Note that $\Sigma$ is the normal fan of the permutohedron, the convex hull of the points $(u(1), \ldots, u(n))$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$.

The notion of Bruhat interval polytope is a generalization of that of permutohedron. For two permutations $v$ and $w$ with $v \leq w$ in the Bruhat order, the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{v, w}$ is the convex hull of the points $(u(1), \ldots, u(n))$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for all $v \leq u \leq w$ introduced by [17]. For a permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, each generic torus orbit closure $Y_{w}$ in $X_{w}$ is a projective toric variety associated with the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$. Here, $i d$ is the identity element in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Bruhat interval polytopes are not smooth in general, and the first and the second authors provide a systematic way to determine whether the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ is smooth or not by considering a corresponding graph (see [13, Theorem 1.2]).

The first and the second authors associate a polynomial $A_{w}(t)$ to each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ (see [13, §8] or (3.4) for the definition of $A_{w}(t)$ ) using the poset structure on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and show that the Poincaré polynomial of $Y_{w}$ agrees with $A_{w}\left(t^{2}\right)$ when $Y_{w}$ is smooth. The following theorem is the aim of this article, which shows that the formula holds even when $Y_{w}$ is singular.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.6). The toric variety $Y_{w}$ is paved by affine spaces, and the Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P} \operatorname{Pin}\left(Y_{w}, t\right)=\sum_{k} b_{k}\left(Y_{w}\right) t^{k}$ of $Y_{w}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Poin}\left(Y_{w}, t\right)=A_{w}\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

The main tool used in the proof of the foregoing theorem is retraction sequences on polytopes (see Theorem 2.4). We would like to mention that one may get the same result using Białynicki-Birula decomposition (see [18]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide an overview on the relation between lattice polytopes and projective toric varieties (see Theorem 2.1). Also, we present an explanation of retraction sequences, and how to compute the Poincaré polynomial in Theorem 2.4. In Section 3, we study generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties and their Poincaré polynomials in Theorem 3.6 whose proof is given in Section 4. We enclose the manuscript providing concluding remarks in Section 5
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## 2. Backgrounds: polytopes and projective toric varieties

The aim of this section is to study the Poincaré polynomial of certain toric varieties. The main idea is to use a retraction sequence of a polytope introduced in [2, 3, 16. We first begin by reviewing some facts on projective toric varieties associated with lattice polytopes and their properties which are necessary to our aim. We refer to $\boldsymbol{8}$ for more details.

Let $P$ be a full-dimensional polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then it can be defined by finitely many linear inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\left\langle\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{v}_{j}\right\rangle \leq \lambda_{j} \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, r\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$. We may assume that there are no redundant inequalities in (2.1). For each vector $\mathbf{v}_{j}$ in the defining inequality, we obtain a facet, i.e., a codimension one face, of $P$ as follows:

$$
F_{j}=P \cap\left\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\left\langle\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{v}_{j}\right\rangle=\lambda_{j}\right\}
$$

We say that $P$ is a lattice polytope if its vertices are in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Given a lattice polytope $P$, one can define a toric variety $X_{P}$ as follows. Each face $Q$ of $P$ gives an affine toric variety $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{Q}\right]\right)$, where $S_{Q}$ is the semigroup generated by lattice points of $\left\{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid \mathbf{m} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$ for some lattice point $\mathbf{m}^{\prime} \in Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. It is straightforward to see that if $Q^{\prime}$ is a face of $Q$, then $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{Q}\right]\right) \subset \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{Q^{\prime}}\right]\right)$. Now, the toric variety $X_{P}$ associated to $P$ is defined by gluing these affine toric varieties with respect to the face structure of $P$.

Notice that $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right]\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ is a subset of $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{Q}\right]\right)$ for all faces $Q$ of $P$, which is identified by gluing. Hence we have $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right]\right) \subset X_{P}$ which yields a natural action of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ on $X_{P}$.

Next theorem tells us that the above association of $X_{P}$ from $P$ is indeed a bijection.

Theorem 2.1. [8, Theorem 6.2.1] There is a bijection:
$\left\{P \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid P\right.$ is a full-dimensional lattice polytope $\}$
$\uparrow_{1} 1$
$\left\{(X, D) \mid X\right.$ is a projective toric variety, $D$ is a $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-invariant ample divisor on $\left.X\right\}$

Because of Theorem 2.1, various geometric and topological information of $X_{P}$ can be read off from the combinatorics of $P$, one of which is the smoothness of $X_{P}$. A projective toric variety $X_{P}$ is smooth if and only if the polytope $P$ is smooth (see [8, Theorem 2.4.3]). More precisely, a vertex of an $n$-dimensional polytope $P$ is simple if there are exactly $n$ facets intersecting the vertex $v$. When all the vertices of $P$ are simple, we call $P$ a simple polytope. A vertex $v$ of a lattice polytope $P$ is smooth if it is simple and the set of primitive normal vectors of facets intersecting $v$ form an integral basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$; otherwise, we call $v$ singular. A lattice polytope $P$ is called smooth if all the vertices of $P$ are smooth. In Figure 1, we present two singular polytopes and one smooth polytope. In Figure 1(2), consider the following vertex

$$
\{(0,2)\}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid\langle x,(-1,0)\rangle=0\right\} \cap\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid\langle x,(1,2)\rangle=4\right\}
$$

Since the set $\{(-1,0),(1,2)\}$ does not form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, the vertex $(0,2)$ is singular.

For the case of a smooth toric variety $X_{P}$, it is well-known that the ordinary cohomology of $X_{P}$ is concentrated in even degrees and the Betti numbers $b_{2 k}\left(X_{P}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim} H^{2 k}\left(X_{P}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ are evaluated as follows (see [8, Theorem 12.3.12])

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2 k}\left(X_{P}\right)=\sum_{i=k}^{n}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{i}{k} f_{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}$ is the number of the $i$-dimensional faces of $P$. We refer the readers to $[\mathbf{6}$, Chapter 5] and [8, Chapter 12] for more details.

Turning our attention to singular toric varieties, the relation 2.2 is no longer true in general. Indeed, as we mentioned in Introduction, there are two toric varieties with different Betti numbers, but they are associated with combinatorially equivalent polytopes, see $\mathbf{1 5}$. However, if a polytope $P$ has a retraction sequence which we shall define below, then the Betti numbers can be captured from the combinatorics of $P$.

Consider a sequence of triples $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}$ defined inductively whose initial term $\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}, v_{1}\right)=(P, P, v)$ for some simple vertex $v$ of $P$. For $i \geq 2, P_{i}$ is the union of all faces of $P_{i-1}$ not containing $v_{i-1}$ and we choose a vertex $v_{i}$ in $P_{i}$ and the maximal dimensional face $Q_{i}$ of $P_{i}$ such that $v_{i}$ is simple in $Q_{i}$. We

(1) Not simple (so singular).

(2) Simple but singular.

(3) Smooth.

Figure 1. Example and non-examples of smooth lattice polytopes.
call $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ a retraction sequence of $P$ if it ends up with $\left(v_{\ell}, v_{\ell}, v_{\ell}\right)$ for some vertex $v_{\ell}$ of $P$ and $\ell$ is the number of vertices of $P$.

Example 2.2. Let $P$ be a pyramid with five vertices:

$$
P=\operatorname{Conv}\{(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(-1,0,0),(0,0,-1),(0,0,1)\}
$$

A retraction sequence of $P$ is illustrated in the figure below, where the simple vertex $v_{i}$ and the maximal face $Q_{i}$ containing $v_{i}$ for each term are highlighted.


REMARK 2.3. Every simple polytope has at least one retraction sequence (see [3, Proposition 2.3]). However, some singular polytopes, for instance, the rhombododecahedron appeared in $\mathbf{1 5}$ do not admit a retraction sequence. (Also, the polytope in Figure 6 does not admit a retraction sequence.) Hence it would be worthwhile to study necessary and sufficient conditions for a polytope to have a retraction sequence (see Question 5.1).

One of the information encoded in a retraction sequence of a lattice polytope $P$ is the Poincaré polynomial of $X_{P}$.

Theorem 2.4 (see [13, Proposition B.3] and reference therein). Let $P$ and $X_{P}$ be as above. If $P$ admits a retraction sequence $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$, then the Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}$ oin $\left(X_{P}, t\right)$ of $X_{P}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P} \operatorname{oin}\left(X_{P}, t\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t^{2 \operatorname{dim} Q_{i}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now discuss a specific way to obtain a retraction sequence for a lattice polytope $P$, which enables us to describe (2.3) in a more concrete formula. Let $h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear function satisfying that $h(u) \neq h(v)$ if two vertices $u$ and $v$ are joined by an edge in $P$. Then the function $h$ gives an orientation on each edge of $P$, namely, we give an orientation of the edge connecting two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $P$ by $u \rightarrow v$ if $h(u)<h(v)$. For each vertex $u$ of $P$, we define

$$
\operatorname{asc}(u):=\#\{u \rightarrow v\} .
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $P$ and $h$ be as above. For each vertex $u$ of $P$, if the direction vectors of ascending edges emanating from $u$ are linearly independent and form $a$ face of $P$, then there exists a retraction sequence of $P$ induced from $h$.

Proof. Let $Q(u)$ be the face defined by the edges emanating from $u$. Then, the linearly independence of the direction vectors implies that $\operatorname{dim} Q(u)=\operatorname{asc}(u)$. Moreover, the subset $\hat{Q}(u)$ obtained by removing from $Q(u)$ all faces not containing $u$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^{\operatorname{asc}(u)}$ and

$$
P=\bigsqcup_{u \in V(P)} \hat{Q}(u) .
$$

We now choose a total order $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell}$ on the vertices of $P$ such that

$$
h\left(u_{1}\right) \leq h\left(u_{2}\right) \leq \cdots \leq h\left(u_{\ell}\right)
$$



Figure 2. The pyramid with an orientation.
and define a retraction sequence by initiating $\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}, v_{1}\right)=\left(P, P, u_{1}\right)$. For $i \geq 2$, we set

$$
\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right):=\left(P_{i-1} \backslash \hat{Q}\left(u_{i-1}\right), Q\left(u_{i}\right), u_{i}\right) .
$$

Then the discussion above establishes the claim.
Remark 2.6. The hypothesis about the existence of the face of $P$ containing the edges emanating from the vertex $u$ in Lemma 2.5 can be satisfied if one finds a function $F: P \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}F(u-v)=0 & \text { if } u \rightarrow v,  \tag{2.4}\\ F(u-v)>0 & \text { if } v \rightarrow u .\end{cases}
$$

Indeed, the desired face is given by

$$
Q(u):=P \cap(u+\operatorname{ker} F) .
$$

We note that the existence of such a function $F$ will be specified for the polytopes in which we are interested for the main result of this paper.

The following theorem is straightforward from Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 .
Theorem 2.7. If there exists a linear function $h$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5, then the Poincaré polynomial $\operatorname{Poin}\left(X_{P}, t\right)$ of $X_{P}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Poin}\left(X_{P}, t\right)=\sum_{u \in V(P)} t^{2 \cdot \operatorname{asc}(u)}
$$

Example 2.8. We continue to consider the polytope in Example 2.2. Choose a linear function $h: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-2 x_{1}-x_{2}+3 x_{3} .
$$

The function $h$ gives an orientation on edges of $P$ as displayed in Figure 2. Then, for each vertex $u$ of $P$, the corresponding face $Q(u)$ and the number of ascending edges are given in Table 1 .

| $Q(u)$ | -2 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h(u)$ | -2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\operatorname{asc}(u)$ | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |

Table 1. A retraction sequence of the pyramid.

Finally, the Poincaré polynomial $\operatorname{Poin}\left(X_{P}, t\right)$ of $X_{P}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{P} \operatorname{oin}\left(X_{P}, t\right)=1+t^{2}+2 t^{4}+t^{6}
$$

We finish this section by describing a geometric interpretation of Theorem 2.7. An algebraic variety $X$ is called paved by (complex) affine spaces if $X$ has a filtration

$$
X=X_{\ell} \supset X_{\ell-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_{1} \supset X_{0}=\emptyset
$$

by closed subvarieties such that $X_{i} \backslash X_{i-1}$ is isomorphic to a disjoint union of affine spaces. If an algebraic variety is paved by affine spaces, then the cycle map

$$
c l_{X}: A_{*}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}(X ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

is an isomorphism and the Betti numbers are directly computed by counting dimensions of affine spaces. We refer to [10, Example 19.1.11].

Proposition 2.9. Let $P$ be a full-dimensional lattice polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If a lattice polytope $P$ admits a retraction sequence $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ such that $v_{i}$ is smooth in $Q_{i}$, then the associated toric variety $X_{P}$ is paved by affine spaces.

Proof. Each face $Q$ of $P$ defines a sublattice $\mathbb{Z}_{Q}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ generated by the lattice points in $Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, which yields a toric subvariety $X_{Q}$ of $X_{P}$. On the other hand, there is a bijection between the set of faces of $P$ and the set of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-orbits of $X_{P}$, see $\mathbf{8}$, Theorem 3.2.6]. Let $\mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q}\right)$ be the $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$-orbit corresponding to a face $Q$ of $P$. To be more precise, $\mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to the torus $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{Q}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} Q}$. These two objects $X_{Q}$ and $\mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q}\right)$ are related by [8, Proposition 3.2.9], which asserts that $X_{Q}$ is isomorphic to $\bigcup_{Q^{\prime}: \text { face of } Q} \mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q^{\prime}}\right)$.

Now, we assume that there is a retraction sequence $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ satisfying the hypothesis. We set

$$
X_{i}:=\bigcup_{Q_{\text {face }}^{\subset} P_{\ell-i+1}} X_{Q} \cong \bigcup_{Q_{\mathrm{face}}^{\subset} P_{\ell-i+1}} \mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q}\right)
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$. For example, $X_{\ell}=X_{P}$ and $X_{\ell-1}$ is isomorphic to the union of all orbits $\mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q}\right)$ such that $Q$ is a face of $P$ not containing $v_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\ell} \backslash X_{\ell-1} \cong \mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{Q}\right) \cong \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[S_{v_{1}}\right]\right) \cong \mathbb{A}^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second and the third isomorphisms follow from [8, Theorem 3.2.6-(c)] and the smoothness of $v$, respectively. In general, we claim that $X_{i} \backslash X_{i-1} \cong \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{dim}} Q_{\ell-i+1}$ for each $i \geq 1$, which is proved by a similar identification to (2.5).

## 3. Generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties and their Poincaré polynomials

Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $B$ the set of upper triangular matrices in $G$. Let $T$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $G$, accordingly $T \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. The flag variety $\mathcal{F} \ell\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)=G / B$ admits an action of the diagonal torus $T$ via the left multiplication, and $(G / B)^{T}=\{u B \mid u \in W\}$, where $W$ is the Weyl group of $G$ which is identified with the group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ of permutations of $n$ elements. Note that the subtorus $\left\{\operatorname{diag}(t, t, \ldots, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right\} \subset T$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{F} \ell\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. For each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, we denote by $X_{w}$ the Schubert variety $\overline{B w B / B} \subset G / B$. We consider the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic form $\omega$ on $G / B$ determined by the sum of all fundamental weights. Then the corresponding moment map $\mu: \mathcal{F} \ell\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the
following value at each fixed point $u B \in G / B$ :

$$
\mu(u B)=\left(u^{-1}(1), \ldots, u^{-1}(n)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

for $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. See [14, Section 3] and reference therein for more details. For notational simplicity, we denote by $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the moment map image $\mu(u B)$ for each $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Since $u$ is a permutation, the image $\bar{u}$ lies in the hyperplane

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right.\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the whole image $\mu(G / B)$ is contained in the hyperplane (3.1).
Let $Y_{w}$ be the generic torus orbit closure in $X_{w}$. Then

$$
Y_{w}^{T}=X_{w}^{T}=\{u B \mid i d \leq u \leq w\}
$$

and the image of $Y_{w}$ under the moment map $\mu$ is a Bruhat interval polytope

$$
\mu\left(Y_{w}\right)=\operatorname{Conv}\{\bar{u} \mid i d \leq u \leq w\}=\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}
$$

Here, the second equality follows from the fact that $v \leq w$ if and only if $v^{-1} \leq w^{-1}$ (cf. [5, Corollary 2.2.5]). Furthermore, the set of vertices of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ is the same as the image of the $T$-fixed points

$$
\text { vertices of } Q_{i d, w^{-1}}=\{\bar{u} \mid i d \leq u \leq w\}
$$

and the toric variety $Y_{w}$ is associated to the polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ via the bijective correspondence in Theorem 2.1. Note that for $v, w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{v, w}$ is defined whenever $v \leq w$ in the Bruhat order.

LEMMA 3.1. If $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ is a retraction sequence on a Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{v, w}$, then each vertex $v_{i}$ is smooth in $Q_{i}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\left(P_{i}, Q_{i}, v_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$ be a retraction sequence of $\mathrm{Q}_{v, w}$. Then $Q_{i}$ 's are Bruhat interval polytopes for all $i=1, \ldots, \ell$ by [17, Theorem 4.1]. From the definition of a retraction sequence, each vertex $v_{i}$ is simple in the polytope $Q_{i}$. Then, the vertex $v_{i}$ is smooth in $Q_{i}$ by [14, Proposition 4.6].

Combining Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 3.1, we obtain that if there is a retraction sequence on the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$, then the generic torus orbit closure $Y_{w}$ in $X_{w}$ is paved by affine spaces.

In the remaining part of this section, we shall observe that the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ has a retraction sequence, and compute the Poincaré polynomial of $Y_{w}$ in Theorem 3.6. To see the face structure of Bruhat interval polytopes, we recall the description of edges of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ from [13]. For $u \leq w$, we set

$$
\widetilde{E}_{w}(u)=\left\{(u(i), u(j))\left|i<j, t_{u(i), u(j)} u \leq w,\left|\ell(u)-\ell\left(t_{u(i), u(j)} u\right)\right|=1\right\}\right.
$$

where $t_{a, b}$ denotes the transposition interchanging $a$ and $b$. Moreover, $\ell(v)$ denotes the length of a permutation $v$. We associate a directed graph $G_{u}^{e, w}$ with $\widetilde{E}_{w}(u)$ such that the vertices are $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and the directed edges are given by elements in $\widetilde{E}_{w}(u)$. Then this graph $G_{u}^{e, w}$ is an acyclic digraph by [17, Theorem 4.19], and we denote by $E_{w}(u)$ the edge set of its transitive reduction. Here, a transitive reduction of a digraph is another digraph with the same vertices and as fewer edges as possible, such that if there is a directed path connecting two vertices, then there is also such a path in the reduction. Note that a transitive reduction of a finite acyclic digraph is unique (see [1]). Since our graph $G_{u}^{e, w}$ is an acyclic digraph, the
set $E_{w}(u)$ is well-defined by the uniqueness of transitive reduction of a finite acyclic digraph. We call elements in $E_{w}(u)$ indecomposable, and elements in $\widetilde{E}_{w}(u) \backslash E_{w}(u)$ decomposable.

Example 3.2. Take $w=3412$ and $u=2143$. Then we have that

$$
\widetilde{E}_{w}(u)=\{(1,4),(2,3),(2,1),(4,3)\}
$$

The corresponding graph $G_{u}^{e, w}$ and its transitive reduction are presented as follows.


As a result, $E_{w}(u)=\{(1,4),(2,1),(4,3)\}$, and the element $(2,3)$ is decomposable.
The elements in $E_{w}(u)$ correspond to the edges of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ emanating from the vertex $\bar{u}$. Indeed, the following is observed in [13, Proposition 7.7].

Proposition 3.3. In the polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$, two vertices $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ are joined by an edge if and only if

$$
v=t_{u(i), u(j)} u\left(=u t_{i, j}\right) \quad \text { for }(u(i), u(j)) \in E_{w}(u)
$$

Now we take a linear function $h$ appropriately such that it satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.5

Lemma 3.4. Let $h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear function defined by the inner product with a vector $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{n}$. Then for $(u(i), u(j)) \in$ $E_{w}(u)$, the edge connecting $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$, where $v=t_{u(i), u(j)} u$, is ascending with respect to the function $h$ if and only if $u(i)<u(j)$.

Proof. Since $v=t_{u(i), u(j)} u$, we have $v^{-1}=u^{-1} t_{u(i), u(j)}$, that is, $u^{-1}$ and $v^{-1}$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}u^{-1}(k)=v^{-1}(k) & \text { if } k \neq u(i), u(j) \\ u^{-1}(k)=i, v^{-1}(k)=j & \text { if } k=u(i) \\ u^{-1}(k)=j, v^{-1}(k)=i & \text { if } k=u(j)\end{cases}
$$

Hence the direction vector of the edge emanating from $\bar{u}=\left(u^{-1}(1), \ldots, u^{-1}(n)\right)$ to $\bar{v}=\left(v^{-1}(1), \ldots, v^{-1}(n)\right)$ is

$$
\bar{v}-\bar{u}=(j-i)\left(e_{u(i)}-e_{u(j)}\right) .
$$

Since the inner product of $\bar{v}-\bar{u}$ with $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is $(j-i)\left(a_{u(i)}-a_{u(j)}\right)$, it is positive if and only if $u(i)<u(j)$ because $i<j$ and $a_{1}>\cdots>a_{n}$, proving the lemma.

Remark 3.5. In the proof of [13, Theorem 8.3], the authors say,
" $e_{u(j)}-e_{u(i)}$ 's for $(u(i), u(j)) \in E_{w}(u)$ are primitive inwardpointing edge vectors from the vertex $\mu(u)$."
However, this seems incorrect $\left(e_{u(j)}-e_{u(i)}\right.$ should be $\left.e_{u(i)}-e_{u(j)}\right)$ although the conclusion is correct because the authors take the vector $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ with $a_{1}<$ $\cdots<a_{n}$ there.

Motivated by Lemma 3.4, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{w}(u)^{+}=\left\{(u(i), u(j)) \in E_{w}(u) \mid u(i)<u(j)\right\} \\
& E_{w}(u)^{-}=\left\{(u(i), u(j)) \in E_{w}(u) \mid u(i)>u(j)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
E_{w}(u)=E_{w}(u)^{+} \sqcup E_{w}(u)^{-} .
$$

Note that $E_{w}(u)^{+}$(resp. $\left.E_{w}(u)^{-}\right)$corresponds to ascending (resp. descending) edges emanating from $\bar{u}$ by Lemma 3.4 with respect to the function $h$. Now we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{w}(u):=\left|E_{w}(u)^{+}\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{w}(t):=\sum_{u \leq w} t^{a_{w}(u)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these notations, we present the main result of this article whose proof will be given in Section 4.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $Y_{w}$ be the generic torus orbit closure of the Schubert variety $X_{w}$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Then the toric variety $Y_{w}$ is paved by affine spaces, and the Poincaré polynomial of $Y_{w}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Poin}\left(Y_{w}, t\right)=A_{w}\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

As mentioned in the introduction, the formula is established when $Y_{w}$ is smooth in 13 . Theorem 3.6 shows that the formula holds even when $Y_{w}$ is singular.

We enclose this section by presenting the Poincaré polynomials of singular toric varieties $Y_{4231}$ and $Y_{3412}$ using Theorem 3.6. The moment polytopes of $Y_{4231}$ and $Y_{3412}$ are singular Bruhat interval polytopes $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, 4231^{-1}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, 3412^{-1}}$, respectively, see Figure 3. In both polytopes, we take $h: \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the inner product with a vector $(12,2,-1,-2)$. For instance, the image of the vertex $\mu(4132)=(2,4,3,1)$ under $h$ is given by

$$
h(\mu(4132))=\langle(2,4,3,1),(12,2,-1,-2)\rangle=2 \times 12+4 \times 2-3-2=27
$$

We label each vertex $\bar{u}$ with $h(\mu(u))$. Therefore, the vertex $\mu(4132)=(2,4,3,1)$ is labeled by 27 (see Figure 3(1)).


Figure 3. Bruhat interval polytopes.

In Tables 2 and 3 (on pages 17 and 18), we present retraction sequences for these Bruhat interval polytopes. Using this observation, we get the Poincaré polynomials of these singular generic torus orbit closures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Poin}\left(Y_{4231}\right)=1+7 t^{2}+11 t^{4}+t^{6} \\
& \operatorname{Poin}\left(Y_{3412}\right)=1+5 t^{2}+7 t^{4}+t^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Even though retraction sequences we presented in Tables 2 and 3 are different from those in [13, Tables B. 4 and B.5], we get the same Poincaré polynomials.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3.6

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 3.6. To give a proof, we use retraction sequences (see Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.9). We shall show that for the linear function $h$ in Lemma 3.4 the following two conditions hold at each vertex $\bar{u}$ of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ :
(C1) the direction vectors of ascending edges emanating from $\bar{u}$ are linearly independent, and
(C2) the ascending edges emanating from $\bar{u}$ produce a desired face of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$. We will verify these two conditions in Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.5, respectively. Combining these two propositions with Lemma 3.1, the proof of Theorem 3.6 follows.

The following lemma is an easy consequence from the definition of $E_{w}(u)^{+}$, but quite useful.

Lemma 4.1. If $(u(i), u(k)) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$, then there is no $j$ such that $i<j<k$ and $u(i)<u(j)<u(k)$. Similarly, if $(u(i), u(k)) \in E_{w}(u)^{-}$, then there is no $j$ such that $i<j<k$ and $u(i)>u(j)>u(k)$.

Proof. It follows from the requirement $\left|\ell\left(t_{u(i), u(j)} u\right)-\ell(u)\right|=1$.
Now we prove Condition (C1).
Lemma 4.2. If $(u(i), u(j)),(u(i), u(k)) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$, then $j=k$. Similarly, if $(u(i), u(k)),(u(j), u(k)) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$, then $i=j$.

Proof. We will prove only the former statement because the latter statement can be proved similarly. Suppose that $j \neq k$. Then we may assume $j<k$ without loss of generality, and we have $i<j<k$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $u(j)>$ $u(k)$. Then there is a sequence

$$
j=j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{p}=k \quad \text { with } \quad u(j)=u\left(j_{1}\right)>u\left(j_{2}\right)>\cdots>u\left(j_{p}\right)=u(k)
$$

such that for each $r=1,2, \ldots, p-1$, there is no $j^{\prime}$ with

$$
j_{r}<j^{\prime}<j_{r+1} \quad \text { and } \quad u\left(j_{r}\right)>u\left(j^{\prime}\right)>u\left(j_{r+1}\right)
$$

Then $\left(u\left(j_{r}\right), u\left(j_{r+1}\right)\right) \in \widetilde{E}_{w}(u)$ for $r=1,2, \ldots, p-1$. This together with the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{u(i)}-e_{u(k)}=e_{u(i)}-e_{u(j)}+\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\left(e_{u\left(j_{r}\right)}-e_{u\left(j_{r+1}\right)}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

shows that $(u(i), u(k))$ is decomposable. Consequently $(u(i), u(k)) \notin E_{w}(u)$, but this contradicts the assumption $(u(i), u(k)) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$.

The above lemma allows us to give an order on the elements of the set $E_{w}(u)^{+}$ as follows:

$$
E_{w}(u)^{+}=\left\{\left(u\left(i_{r}\right), u\left(j_{r}\right)\right) \mid r=1,2, \ldots, a_{w}(u)\right\}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(i_{1}\right)<u\left(i_{2}\right)<\cdots<u\left(i_{a_{w}(u)}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{w}(u)=\left|E_{w}(u)^{+}\right|$, see (3.3).
Proposition 4.3. The direction vectors of the edges corresponding to $E_{w}(u)^{+}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. Recall that the primitive direction vector of the edge corresponding to $\left(u\left(i_{r}\right), u\left(j_{r}\right)\right) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$is $e_{u\left(i_{r}\right)}-e_{u\left(j_{r}\right)}$. Suppose that $\sum_{r=1}^{a_{w}(u)} c_{r}\left(e_{u\left(i_{r}\right)}-e_{u\left(j_{r}\right)}\right)=0$ with $c_{r} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the coefficient of $e_{u\left(i_{1}\right)}$ at the left hand side is $c_{1}$ by 4.2) since $u\left(i_{r}\right)<u\left(j_{r}\right)$ for each $r$. Therefore $c_{1}$ must be zero. Then the coefficient of $e_{u\left(i_{2}\right)}$ is $c_{2}$ and this must be zero. Repeating this argument, we see that all $c_{r}$ 's are zero. Hence the set of primitive direction vectors $\left\{e_{u\left(i_{r}\right)}-e_{u\left(j_{r}\right)} \mid r=1,2, \ldots, a_{w}(u)\right\}$ is linearly independent.

For the remaining part of this section, we will concentrate on showing Condition (C2). It is enough to find a function $F: \mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (2.4), see Remark 2.6. Such a function $F$ can be obtained by the function $f:[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.4. For each vertex $\bar{u}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$, there exists a function $f:[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}f(p)=f(q) & \text { if }(p, q) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}  \tag{4.3}\\ f(p)>f(q) & \text { if }(p, q) \in E_{w}(u)^{-}\end{cases}
$$

We give the proof of the lemma above at the end of this section.
Proposition 4.5. For each vertex $\bar{u}$ of the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$, there is a face of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ whose edges meeting at $\bar{u}$ are the ascending edges emanating from $\bar{u}$.

Proof. We define a linear function $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the inner product with the vector $(f(1), \ldots, f(n))$. Then

$$
\begin{cases}F\left(e_{p}-e_{q}\right)=0 & \text { if }(p, q) \in E_{w}(u)^{+} \\ F\left(e_{p}-e_{q}\right)>0 & \text { if }(p, q) \in E_{w}(u)^{-}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the intersection of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ with the hyperplane $\bar{u}+\operatorname{ker} F$ is the face of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ containing the vertex $\bar{u}$ whose edges meeting at $\bar{u}$ are exactly the ascending edges emanating from $\bar{u}$.

To prove Lemma 4.4 we need a series of lemmas. We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 4.6. Let $(u(i), u(j)),\left(u\left(i^{\prime}\right), u\left(j^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$. Suppose that $i<i^{\prime}<j$ and $u(i)>u\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{cases}u(i)>u\left(j^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } j^{\prime}<j, \text { and } \\ u(j)>u\left(j^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } j<j^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$



Figure 4. Visualization of Lemma 4.6.

We visualize the above lemma in Figure 4.
Proof of Lemma 4.6. From the hypotheses, we have $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u(i)<u(j)$. First assume $j^{\prime}<j$. Then $i<i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}<j$. Since $(u(i), u(j)) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$, we have $u(i)>u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ or $u\left(j^{\prime}\right)>u(j)$ by Lemma 4.1. However, the latter does not occur. Indeed, if $u\left(j^{\prime}\right)>u(j)$, then we get descending paths from $u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ to $u(j)$ and from $u(i)$ to $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ since $i<i^{\prime}$ and $u(i)>u\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $(u(i), u(j))$ becomes a decomposable element which is a contradiction. Thus, $u(i)>u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ if $j^{\prime}<j$.

Now assume $j<j^{\prime}$. Then $i^{\prime}<j<j^{\prime}$. Since $\left(u\left(i^{\prime}\right), u\left(j^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $u(j)>u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$.

To each set $E_{w}(u)^{+}$, we associate a graph $\Gamma_{w}(u)^{+}$as follows:
(1) the vertices of $\Gamma_{w}(u)^{+}$are labeled with $i$ and placed at the position $(i, u(i))$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and
(2) the vertices $i$ and $j$ are joined by an edge if and only if $(u(i), u(j)) \in$ $E_{w}(u)^{+}$.
Lemma 4.2 says that every connected component of the graph is a path (or a point). For an ordered set $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ with $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r} \leq n$, we call $I$ an ascent in $E_{w}(u)^{+}$if $\left(u\left(i_{k}\right), u\left(i_{k+1}\right)\right) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$for every $k=1, \ldots, r-1$. If an ascent $I$ corresponds to a connected component of the graph $\Gamma_{w}(u)^{+}$, then we call it maximal. For two maximal ascents $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ in $E_{w}(u)^{+}$, we define a set $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ of ordered pairs by

$$
\left(I, I^{\prime}\right):=\left\{\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \mid i \in I, i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}, i<i^{\prime}, u(i)>u\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

Note that both $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(I^{\prime}, I\right)$ may be empty. If both $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(I^{\prime}, I\right)$ are non-empty, then two paths corresponding to $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ are crossing. In the following, we show that there is no crossing in the graph $\Gamma_{w}(u)^{+}$. For example, in Figure 5, two ascents $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right)$ and $I^{\prime}=\left(i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ are crossing, $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left\{\left(i_{1}, i_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $\left(I^{\prime}, I\right)=\left\{\left(i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}\right),\left(i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}\right)\right\}$. However, this does not occur because if $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right),\left(i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$and $i_{1}<i_{1}^{\prime}<i_{2}<i_{2}^{\prime}$, then $u\left(i_{2}^{\prime}\right)<u\left(i_{2}\right)$ by Lemma 4.6.

Lemma 4.7. Either $\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ or $\left(I^{\prime}, I\right)$ is empty.
Proof. Suppose that both sets are non-empty. We will deduce a contradiction. If $\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \in\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(I^{\prime}, I\right)$, then $i<j$ or $j<i$. Furthermore, if $i<j$, then $i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$ since $i<i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}<j$ and $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u(i)<u(j)<u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, if $j<i$,


Figure 5. Crossing ascents.
then $j^{\prime}<i^{\prime}$. Therefore, one of the following two sets are non-empty:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \mid\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \in\left(I, I^{\prime}\right),\left(j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(I^{\prime}, I\right), i<j\right\} \quad \text { and } \\
& \left\{\left(j^{\prime}, j, i, i^{\prime}\right) \mid\left(j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(I^{\prime}, I\right),\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \in\left(I, I^{\prime}\right), j^{\prime}<i^{\prime}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the roles of $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ are the same, without loss of generality we assume that the former set above is non-empty. That is,

$$
\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right):=\left\{\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \mid\left(i, i^{\prime}\right) \in\left(I, I^{\prime}\right),\left(j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(I^{\prime}, I\right), i<j\right\} \neq \emptyset
$$

Note that $\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$ if and only if $i<i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}<j$ and $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u(i)<$ $u(j)<u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is a finite set, we can take a minimal element $\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in the sense that if $\left(k, k^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, \ell\right) \in\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$ satisfies $i \leq k, i^{\prime} \leq k^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime} \leq j^{\prime}, \ell \leq j$, then $\left(k, k^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, \ell\right)=\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right)$. Since $i<i^{\prime}$ and $u(i)>u\left(i^{\prime}\right)$, there is a descending path from the vertex $i$ to the vertex $i^{\prime}$. Similarly, since $j^{\prime}<j$ and $u\left(j^{\prime}\right)>u(j)$, there is a descending path from the vertex $j^{\prime}$ to the vertex $j$. Moreover, there is an ascending path from the vertex $i^{\prime}$ to the vertex $j^{\prime}$ since $i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$ with $i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. These three paths connect the vertex $i$ and the vertex $j$, so $(u(i), u(j)) \notin E_{w}(u)^{+}$. Therefore, there is $k \in I$ with $i<k<j$ such that $(u(i), u(k)) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$. Then $u(i)<u(k)<u(j)$.

If $i<k<i^{\prime}$, then $k<i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}<j$ and $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u(i)<u(k)<u(j)<u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$. It means that $\left(k, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$, but this contradicts the minimality of $\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right)$. Similarly, we can exclude the case $j^{\prime}<k<j$.

In the sequel we may assume $i^{\prime}<k<j^{\prime}$. Then $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u(k)<u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ since $\left.u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u(i)<u(k)<u(j)<u\left(j^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence $\left(u\left(i^{\prime}\right), u\left(j^{\prime}\right)\right) \notin E_{w}(u)^{+}$by Lemma 4.1. However, since $i^{\prime}, j^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$, there must exist $k^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$ such that $i^{\prime}<k^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$ and $u\left(i^{\prime}\right)<u\left(k^{\prime}\right)<u\left(j^{\prime}\right)$.

We may further assume $\left(u\left(i^{\prime}\right), u\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$. If $k^{\prime}<k$, then $i<i^{\prime}<k^{\prime}<k$. Since both $(u(i), u(k))$ and $\left(u\left(i^{\prime}\right), u\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are in $E_{w}(u)^{+}$, we have $u\left(k^{\prime}\right)<u(i)$ by Lemma 4.6. Therefore $\left(i, k^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$ but this contradicts the minimality of $\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right)$. If $k<k^{\prime}$, then $i<i^{\prime}<k<k^{\prime}$. Since both $(u(i), u(k))$ and $\left(u\left(i^{\prime}\right), u\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are in $E_{w}(u)^{+}$, we have $u\left(k^{\prime}\right)<u(k)$ by Lemma 4.6. Therefore $\left(k, k^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right) \in\left(\left(I, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$ but this also contradicts the minimality of $\left(i, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}, j\right)$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. We denote by $u(I)$ the ascending path associated to an ascent $I$, that is, if $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$, then $u(I)=\left(u\left(i_{1}\right), \ldots, u\left(i_{r}\right)\right)$. Let $P_{w}(u)^{+}$ be the set of all maximal ascending paths in $\Gamma_{w}(u)^{+}$. For $u(I), u\left(I^{\prime}\right) \in P_{w}(u)^{+}$, we define $u(I)>u(I)^{\prime}$ if there are $i \in I$ and $i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}$ such that $i<i^{\prime}$ and $u(i)>u\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. The order $u(I)>u\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ is well-defined by Lemma 4.7. We extend this partial order on $P_{w}(u)^{+}$to a total order and take a function $f: P_{w}(u)^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ preserving the total order. Since $P_{w}(u)^{+}$defines a partition of $[n]$, the function $f$ induces a function on $[n]$, which we also denote by $f$. This function $f$ satisfies the condition in (4.3). Indeed, the former condition that $f(p)=f(q)$ if $(p, q) \in E_{w}(u)^{+}$is obvious from our construction of $f$. To see the latter condition, we write $p=u(i)$ and $q=u(j)$ for $(p, q) \in E_{w}(u)^{-}$. Then $i<j$ and $u(i)>u(j)$. Therefore, the maximal ascending path containing $p=u(i)$ is bigger than that containing $q=u(j)$ with respect to the total order on $P_{w}(u)^{+}$. Hence $f(p)>f(q)$ since $f$ is an order preserving function. This proves the existence of the function $f$ in 4.3.

## 5. Concluding remarks

The study of generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties is related to combinatorics of Bruhat interval polytopes and the recent study of retraction sequences of polytopes. In this paper, we have observed retraction sequences of a certain class of Bruhat interval polytopes and their combinatorics. Below, we briefly mention some possible avenues for further exploration.

The generic torus orbit closures in Schubert varieties are related to Bruhat interval polytopes $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$. The polytopes $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ are a special class of the Bruhat interval polytopes. In general, for $v, w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $v \leq w$, the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{v^{-1}, w^{-1}}$ is related to the generic torus orbit closure in the Richardson variety $X_{w}^{v}:=X_{w} \cap w_{0} X_{w_{0} v}$. Here, $w_{0}$ is the longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$.

If the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{v^{-1}, w^{-1}}$ admits a retraction sequence with respect to a linear function $h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the inner product with a vector $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $a_{1}>\cdots>a_{n}$, then the Poincaré polynomial of the generic torus orbit closure $Y_{v, w}$ in $X_{w}^{v}$ can be expressed by the polynomial

$$
A_{v, w}(t):=\sum_{v \leq u \leq w} t^{a_{v, w}(u)}
$$

where $a_{v, w}(u)$ is the number of ascending edges emanating from the vertex $\bar{u}$ of $\mathrm{Q}_{v^{-1}, w^{-1}}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\operatorname{Poin}\left(Y_{v, w}, t\right)=A_{v, w}\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

However, not every Bruhat interval polytope admits a retraction sequence in general. For instance, the Bruhat interval polytope $Q_{1324,4231}$ is not a simple polytope and there are eight simple vertices. See Figure 6. It is not difficult to check that there is no retraction sequence. Consequently, we propose the following question.

Question 5.1. Which pair of permutations $v$ and $w$ guarantees that the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{v, w}$ admits a retraction sequence?

The vertex $\overline{i d}=(1, \ldots, n)$ of $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ is smooth for any $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ (see [13, Corollary 7.13]), though the vertex $\bar{w}=\left(w^{-1}(1), \ldots, w^{-1}(n)\right)$ is not necessarily smooth. The smoothness of the vertex $\bar{w}$ can be determined in terms of graphs (see [13, Theorem 1.2]). It is conjectured in [13, Conjecture 7.17] that the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, w^{-1}}$ is smooth if it is smooth at the vertex $\bar{w}$. (This is equivalent to


Figure 6. Bruhat interval polytope $Q_{1324,4231}$ with vertices labeled by permutations $1324 \leq u \leq 4231$, where the red-colored vertices are simple.
saying that the generic torus orbit closure $Y_{w}$ in $X_{w}$ is smooth if it is smooth at the fixed point $w B$.) This conjecture is related to the following question.

Question 5.2. If the set $\left\{e_{w(i)}-e_{w(j)} \mid(w(i), w(j)) \in E_{w}(w)^{-}=E_{w}(w)\right\}$ is linearly independent (this is equivalent to $w B$ being a smooth point in $Y_{w}$ ), then is the set

$$
\left\{e_{u(i)}-e_{u(j)} \mid(u(i), u(j)) \in E_{w}(u)^{-}\right\}
$$

also linearly independent for each $u \leq w$ ?


| $u$ | 3214 | 4213 | 2341 | 2431 | 3241 | 4231 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu(u)$ | $(3,2,1,4)$ | $(3,2,4,1)$ | $(4,1,2,3)$ | $(4,1,3,2)$ | $(4,2,1,3)$ | $(4,2,3,1)$ |
| $h(\mu(u))$ | 31 | 34 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 47 |
| $a_{w}(u)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |

Table 2. A retraction sequence of the Bruhat interval polytope $Q_{i d, 4231^{-1}}$.


TABLE 3. A retraction sequence of the Bruhat interval polytope $\mathrm{Q}_{i d, 3412^{-1}}$.
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