

# THE BICATEGORY OF TOPOLOGICAL CORRESPONDENCES

ROHIT DILIP HOLKAR

ABSTRACT. It is known that a topological correspondence  $(X, \lambda)$  from a locally compact groupoid with a Haar system  $(G, \alpha)$  to another one,  $(H, \beta)$ , produces a  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  from  $C^*(G, \alpha)$  to  $C^*(H, \beta)$ . In one of our earlier article we described composition two topological correspondences. In the present article, we prove that second countable locally compact Hausdorff topological groupoids with Haar systems form a bicategory  $\mathfrak{T}$  when equipped with a topological correspondences as 1-arrows. The equivariant homeomorphisms of topological correspondences preserving the families of measures are the 2-arrows in  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

One the other hand, it well-known that  $C^*$ -algebras form a bicategory  $\mathfrak{C}$  with  $C^*$ -correspondences as 1-arrows. The 2-arrows in  $\mathfrak{C}$  are unitaries of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules that intertwine the representations. In this article, we show that a topological correspondence going to a  $C^*$ -one is a bifunctor  $\mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Recap	3
2.1. Topological correspondences	3
2.2. Bicategory	7
3. The bicategory of topological correspondences	9
3.1. Isomorphism of topological correspondences	9
3.2. The bicategory of topological correspondences	15
3.3. The $C^*$ -bifunctor	25
References	31

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [5], we define topological correspondences and show that a topological correspondence between locally compact groupoids with Haar systems induce a  $C^*$ -correspondence between the groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras. In that article, we also give many examples of topological correspondences most of which are the analogues of the standard examples of  $C^*$ -correspondences. These examples show that maps of spaces ([5]Example 3.1) and group homomorphisms ([5, 3.4]) can be seen as topological correspondences. In the next article [4] of this series, we describe how to compose topological correspondences. Examples 4.1 and 4.3 in [4] show that the composition of space maps and composition of group homomorphisms agree with

---

*Date:* 2nd November 2021.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22D25, 22A22, 47L30, 46L08, 58B30, 46L89, 18D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Topological correspondences, bicategory of topological correspondences, functoriality of topological correspondences.

This article was partially written during the authors stay as an NPDR in IISER Pune which was funded by DST-SERB India. Some of the work was also done during the visits to Bhaskaracharya Prathisthan Pune. The major part is finished in IISER Bhopal. The author is thankful to all these institutes and funding agencies.

the compositions of the topological correspondences associated with them. Examples related to equivalence of groupoids, transformation groupoids, induction correspondence are also discussed these two articles.

Let  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , be locally compact groupoids with Haar systems, and for  $i = 1, 2$  let  $(X_i, \lambda_i)$  be topological correspondences from  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$  to  $(G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$  with  $X_i$  Hausdorff. Let  $s_{X_1}$  and  $r_{X_2}$  be the momentum maps for the action of  $G_2$  on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , respectively. To define composite of these correspondences, one considers the proper diagonal action of  $G_2$  on the fibre product  $X_1 \times_{s_{X_1}, G_2^{(0)}, r_{X_2}} X_2$ . Assume that the quotient space  $X := (X_1 \times_{s_{X_1}, G_2^{(0)}, r_{X_2}} X_2)/G_2$  is paracompact. Then we define a composite of  $(X_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(X_2, \lambda_2)$  as a topological correspondence  $(X, \lambda): (G_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (G_3, \alpha_3)$ ; here the family of measures  $\lambda$  is obtained using  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . Constructing  $\lambda$  is an involved task, moreover, this family of measures  $\lambda$  is *not* unique. This family of measures depends on the choice of a 0-cocycle on the transformation groupoid  $(X_1 \times_{s_{X_1}, G_2^{(0)}, r_{X_2}} X_2) \rtimes G_2$ . The main result in [4] shows that, irrespective of choice of  $\lambda$ , the  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda): C^*(G_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow C^*(G_3, \alpha_3)$  is isomorphic to the composite  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathcal{H}(X_2, \lambda_2) \hat{\otimes}_{C^*(G_2, \alpha_2)} \mathcal{H}(X_1, \lambda_1)$ . In present article, we show that the family of measures  $\lambda$  is unique upto isomorphism of topological correspondences (Proposition 3.11).

A bicategory  $\mathfrak{S}$  consists of objects, morphisms between objects called 1-arrows and morphisms between 1-arrows are called 2-arrows. In literature, 1-arrows are called 1-morphism or simply morphism, and in accordance with this terminology, a 2-arrow is respectively called a 2-morphism or bigon. The objects and 1-arrows form a category. Given objects  $A, B$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , the class of morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$  denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B)$  forms a category in which the objects class is  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B)$  itself and 2-arrows are the morphisms. Each object  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  has the identity 1-arrow  $I_A: A \rightarrow A$ , and every 1-arrow  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  has the identity 2-arrow  $i_f$  on it. These identity arrows fulfil certain identity isomorphisms. The composition of 1-arrows in  $\mathfrak{S}$  is equipped with the associativity isomorphisms — this data is the data required for the a bicategory, and this data satisfies some coherence conditions, for details see 2.11. Bicategories are also referred to as weak 2-categories. One may demand that in a bicategory the identity and associativity *isomorphisms* are replaced by *equalities*. In this case, the bicategory is called a strict 2-category.

Our main reference for bicategories is B é nabou's notes [1]; we follow the terminology and convention he introduces. Unlike modern authors, Bénabou writes arrows the other way round; therefore, so do we.

In [3], Buss, Meyer and Zhu define weak action of a discrete group  $G$  on an object  $A$  in the weak and twisted 2-categories of  $C^*$ -algebras; denote these 2-categories by  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$ , respectively. And they show that these actions correspond to Fell bundles over  $G$  and Busby-Smith twisted actions of the group  $G$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . They also show that the equivalence of two weak actions in  $\mathfrak{M}$  is same as exterior equivalence for the Busby-Smith twisted action ([2, Def 2.4]). And that an equivalence of two actions in  $\mathfrak{C}$  is same as equivalence of Fell bundles. These result are valid even if  $G$  is a locally compact group provided that the 2-categories are enriched with appropriate topological assumptions. This shows that this structural descriptions of  $C^*$ -algebras are useful.

The interplay between topology or geometry, and operator algebras — particularly  $C^*$ -algebras — has been a topic of deep interest for mathematicians. The subcategories of the category of  $C^*$ -algebras consisting of unital (or non-unital) abelian  $C^*$ -algebras equipped with  $*$ -homomorphisms ( $*$ -homomorphisms into the multiplier algebras, respectively) are classic classes of  $C^*$ -algebras which have natural topological counterparts. We ask a question similar to these classical questions,

namely, if one equips  $C^*$ -algebras with  $C^*$ -correspondences as morphisms, does the new structure have a topological analogue? If it does, then what is it? How does the  $C^*$ -functor behave with these structures? In [3](Section 2.2), Buss, Meyer and Zhu show that when equipped with  $C^*$ -correspondences as morphisms, one may form weak (and strong) bicategory(ies) of  $C^*$ -algebras. The 1-arrows are the  $C^*$ -correspondences, and the 2-arrows are unitaries of Hilbert modules intertwining the representations of left  $C^*$ -algebras. Needless to say that this weak bicategory of  $C^*$ -algebras *contains* most of the categories of  $C^*$ -algebras. With this picture in mind, we look at the examples at our disposal, which show that the composition of topological correspondences is well-behaved with the categories of spaces and groups. Therefore, it is very natural to ask

- (i) if topological correspondences yield a categorical structure?
- (ii) If they do then is the assignment that a topological correspondence is assigned a  $C^*$ -one functorial for this structure?

We answer both these questions in this article. The answer to the first one is Theorem 3.15 which states that topological correspondences form a bicategory. And the answer to the second question is that the  $C^*$ -assignment is a bifunctor from the (weak) bicategory of topological correspondences to that of  $C^*$ -correspondences which Buss, Meyer and Zhu describe in [3]. In the bicategory of topological correspondences, the objects are locally compact second countable groupoids equipped with Haar systems; the 1-arrow are topological correspondences between groupoids; and measures preserving equivariant homeomorphisms of topological correspondences are the 2-arrows. The  $C^*$ -functor maps a groupoid equipped with a Haar system to its  $C^*$ -algebra, a topological correspondence to a  $C^*$ -correspondence, and 2-arrows to unitary isomorphisms of  $C^*$ -correspondences.

Proving these fact is not straightforward: In general, composition of topological correspondences is complicated. This complexity trickles to the coherence conditions and associativity isomorphism. Therefore, one has to take care of various minute details involved in the composition of topological correspondences. The relation between the invariant families of measures on a proper  $G$ -space and the families of measures quotient of this space is a key ingredient for many proofs.

*Structure of the article:* In the first section, Section 2, we revise the main results and techniques from [5] and [4]. The method of forming a composite of topological correspondences which appear after Example 2.5 and continue till Definition 2.9 shall be used a lot and it will keep appearing throughout the article. After that, we re-write the definition of bicategory and bifunctor from Bénabou's notes [1]; this is to establish our notation and definitions regarding bicategories.

In the second section, we first define an isomorphism of topological correspondences (Section 3.1), discuss some examples of it and prove few useful technical lemmas. Then, in Section 3.2, we define the bicategory of topological correspondences and prove Theorem 3.15. In the last part, in Section 3.3, we prove Theorem 3.35 which shows that the  $C^*$ -assignment is a bifunctor.

We adopt the notation and conventions from the earlier articles in this series. We believe that the many examples from the earlier two articles suffice for this one as well. At the end, we give three illustrations using Examples 3.1 in [5] and 4.1 in [4], Examples 3.3 in [5] and 4.2 in [4], and Examples 3.4 in [5] and 4.3 in [4].

## 2. RECAP

**2.1. Topological correspondences.** Let  $G$  be a groupoid. Then  $G^{(0)}$  denotes the set of units of  $G$ . Let  $X$  be a left (or right)  $G$ -space; we tacitly assume that  $r_X$  (respectively,  $s_X$ ) is the momentum map for the action. The transformation groupoid for this action is denoted by  $G \ltimes X$  (respectively,  $X \ltimes G$ ). By  $r_G$  and

$s_G$  we denote the range and the source maps of  $G$ , respectively, which are also the momentum maps for the left and right multiplication action of  $G$  on itself. The fibre product  $G \times_{s_G, G^{(0)}, r_X} X$  of  $G$  and  $X$  over  $G^{(0)}$  along  $s_G$  and  $r_X$  is denoted by  $G \times_{G^{(0)}} X$ . If  $X$  is a right  $G$ -space, then  $X \times_{G^{(0)}} G$  has a similar meaning. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are, respectively, left and right  $G$  spaces, then we denote the fibre product  $X \times_{s_X, G^{(0)}, r_Y} Y$  by  $X \times_{G^{(0)}} Y$ , that is,  $X \times_{G^{(0)}} Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : s_X(x) = r_Y(y)\}$ .

Let  $A, B$  be  $C^*$  algebras,  $\mathcal{H}$  a Hilbert  $B$ -module and  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  a nondegenerate representation that makes  $(\mathcal{H}, \phi)$  a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $A$  to  $B$ . Then we simply call  $\mathcal{H}$  a  $C^*$ -correspondence from  $A$  to  $B$ . Let  $C$  be another  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{K}: B \rightarrow C$  a  $C^*$ -correspondence. Then  $\mathcal{H} \hat{\otimes}_B \mathcal{K}$  is the interior tensor product of the Hilbert modules; we may also write  $\mathcal{H} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{K}$  when the middle  $C^*$ -algebra is clear.

By  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  we denote the multiplicative group of positive real numbers.

**Definition 2.1** (Topological correspondence ([5] Definition 2.1)). A *topological correspondence* from a locally compact groupoid  $G$  with a Haar system  $\alpha$  to a locally compact groupoid  $H$  equipped with a Haar system  $\beta$  is a pair  $(X, \lambda)$ , where:

- i)  $X$  is a locally compact  $G$ - $H$ -bispaces,
- ii) the action of  $H$  is proper,
- iii)  $\lambda = \{\lambda_u\}_{u \in H^{(0)}}$  is an  $H$ -invariant continuous family of measures along the momentum map  $s_X: X \rightarrow H^{(0)}$ ,
- iv)  $\Delta$  is a continuous function  $\Delta: G \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  such that for each  $u \in H^{(0)}$  and  $F \in C_c(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_u} \int_{G^{r_X(x)}} F(\gamma^{-1}, x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) d\lambda_u(x) \\ = \int_{X_u} \int_{G^{r_X(x)}} F(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) \Delta(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) d\lambda_u(x). \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\Delta$  is unique and is called *the adjoining function* of the correspondence. The family of measures  $\lambda$  above is called an  $s_X$ -system in [10].

For  $\phi \in C_c(G)$ ,  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $\psi \in C_c(H)$  define the functions  $\phi \cdot f$  and  $f \cdot \psi$  on  $X$  as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} (\phi \cdot f)(x) := \int_{G^{r_X(x)}} \phi(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}x) \Delta^{1/2}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma), \\ (f \cdot \psi)(x) := \int_{H^{s_X(x)}} f(x\eta) \psi(\eta^{-1}) d\beta^{s_X(x)}(\eta). \end{cases}$$

For  $f, g \in C_c(X)$  define the function  $\langle f, g \rangle$  on  $H$  by

$$(2.3) \quad \langle f, g \rangle(\eta) := \int_{X_{r_H(\eta)}} \overline{f(x)} g(x\eta) d\lambda_{r_H(\eta)}(x).$$

We often write  $\phi f$  and  $f\psi$  instead of  $\phi \cdot f$  and  $f \cdot \psi$ , respectively. Lemma in [5] shows that  $\phi f, f\psi \in C_c(X)$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle \in C_c(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([5, Theorem 2.10]). *Let  $(G, \alpha)$  and  $(H, \beta)$  be locally compact groupoids with Haar systems. Then a topological correspondence  $(X, \lambda)$  from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$  produces a  $C^*$ -correspondence  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  from  $C^*(G, \alpha)$  to  $C^*(H, \beta)$ .*

*Example 2.5* (The identity topological correspondence). Let  $(G, \alpha)$  be a locally compact topological groupoid with a Haar system. Then define the space  $X = G$ . Then  $X$  is a free, as well as, proper  $G$ - $G$ -bispaces with the left and right multiplication actions of  $G$  on itself. Example 3.7 in [5] shows that  $G$  is a Macho Stadler–Ouchi correspondence from  $G$  to itself; in fact  $X$  is an equivalence of  $G$  with itself. What is the family of measures  $\lambda$  on  $X$  along the right momentum map  $s_G$  that makes it a

topological correspondence in the sense of Definition 2.1? The discussion in [5, Example 3.7] shows that for  $k \in C_c(X)$  and  $u \in G^{(0)}$

$$\int_X k \lambda_u := \int_G k(\gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_G(x)}(\gamma)$$

where  $x \in X$  is any element with  $s_G(x) = u$ . Since  $\lambda_u$  does not depend on  $x \in s_G^{-1}(u)$ , we choose  $x = u \in G^{(0)}$  which shows that

$$\int_X k \lambda_u := \int_G k(\gamma^{-1}) d\alpha^{r_G(x)}(\gamma).$$

Thus  $\lambda = \alpha^{-1}$ . The adjoining function for this correspondences is the constant function 1.

Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}(X, \alpha^{-1})$  and  $C^*(G, \alpha)$  are same as Hilbert  $C^*(G, \alpha)$ -module, and the isomorphism is implemented by the identity map  $\text{Id}_G: X \rightarrow G$ . To see this, we firstly notice that  $C_c(X, \beta^{-1})$  is a dense complex vector subspace of  $C^*(G, \alpha)$ , as well as,  $\mathcal{H}(X, \alpha^{-1})$ . For  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $\psi \in C_c(G)$ , Equation 2.2 gives us

$$(2.6) \quad f \cdot \psi(x) = \int_G f(x\eta)\psi(\eta^{-1}) d\alpha^{s_G(x)}(\eta) = f * \psi(x)$$

where  $x \in X$ , and  $f * \psi$  is the convolution of  $f, \psi \in C_c(G) \subseteq C^*(G, \alpha)$ . If  $g \in C_c(X)$  is another function and  $\eta \in G$ , then Equation 2.3 says

$$\langle f, g \rangle(\gamma) = \int_G \overline{f(x)}g(x\gamma) d\alpha_{r_G(\gamma)}^{-1}(x)$$

which equals

$$\int_G \overline{f(x^{-1})}g(x^{-1}\gamma) d\alpha^{r_G(\gamma)}(x) = \int_G f^*(x)g(x^{-1}\gamma) d\alpha^{r_G(\gamma)}(x) = f^* * g(\eta)$$

where  $f^*$  is the involution of  $f \in C_c(G) \subseteq C^*(G, \alpha)$  and  $f^* * g$  denotes the convolution as earlier. From the construction of  $\mathcal{H}(X, \alpha^{-1})$  (proof of Theorem 2.4), it is clear that  $\mathcal{H}(X, \alpha^{-1}) = C^*(G, \alpha)$  as Hilbert  $C^*(G, \alpha)$ -modules. Finally, as in Equation (2.6) above, one can show that  $\psi \cdot f = \psi * f$  which shows that  $\mathcal{H}(X, \alpha^{-1})$  and  $C^*(G, \alpha)$  are  $C^*$ -correspondences on  $C^*(G, \alpha)$ .

Let  $(G_i, \chi_i)$  be a locally compact groupoid with a Haar system for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $(X, \alpha): (G_1, \chi_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \chi_2)$  and  $(Y, \beta): (G_2, \chi_2) \rightarrow (G_3, \chi_3)$  be topological correspondences, and let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be their adjoining functions, respectively. Additionally, assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff, and  $(X \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Y)/G_2$  is paracompact. Recall from page 3 that  $X \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Y$  denotes the fibre product  $X \times_{s_X, G_2^{(0)}, r_Y} Y$ . Now, from [4], we recall how to form the composite  $(Y, \beta) \circ (X, \alpha)$ : We need to find a  $G_1$ - $G_2$ -bispaces  $\Omega$  (obtained using  $X$  and  $Y$ ) and a family of measures  $\mu = \{\mu_u\}_{u \in G_3^{(0)}}$  (obtained from  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) with the properties that (i)  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a correspondence from  $(G_1, \chi_1)$  to  $(G_3, \chi_3)$  and (ii) we have an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -correspondences  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega, \mu) \simeq \mathcal{H}(X, \alpha) \hat{\otimes}_{C^*(G_2, \chi_2)} \mathcal{H}(Y, \beta)$ . Reader may refer [4, Section 2.1] or [6, Chapter 4] for details of interior tensor product of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and composition of  $C^*$ -correspondences.

Continuing the above discussion, let  $Z$  denote the fibre product  $X \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Y$ ; then  $Z$  is a  $G_1$ - $G_2$ -bispaces with the obvious left and right actions. Let  $s_Z: Z \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$  be the map  $s_Z(x, y) = s_X(x)$ , equivalently,  $s_Z(x, y) = r_Y(y)$ . The space  $Z$  carries the diagonal action of  $G_2$ , that is,  $(x, y)\gamma = (x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y)$  for  $(x, y, \gamma) \in Z \times_{G_2^{(0)}} G_2$ ;  $s_Z$  is the momentum map for this action. Since the action of  $G_2$  on  $X$  is proper (by hypothesis), so is that of  $G_2$  on  $Z$ . We define  $\Omega = Z/G_2$ . the quotient space  $\Omega$  is a  $G_1$ - $G_3$ -bispaces with the actions induced from those on  $Z$ . Moreover, the right

action of  $G_3$  in  $\Omega$  is proper ([4, Lemma 3.4]). This  $\Omega$  is the desired space in the composite. Now we form the composite of the families of measures on  $\Omega$ .

(1) Fix  $u \in G_3^{(0)}$ . Define the measure  $m_u$  on the space  $Z$  by

$$\int_Z f dm_u = \int_Y \int_X f(x, y) d\alpha_{r_Y(y)}(x) d\beta_u(y)$$

where  $f \in C_c(Z)$ .

(2) Let  $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Omega$  be the quotient map. For  $f \in C_c(Z)$  and  $\omega = [x, y] \in \Omega$ , let

$$\int_Z f d\lambda^\omega := \int_{G_2^{r_Y(y)}} f(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y) d\chi_2^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma);$$

notice that  $\lambda^\omega$  is, in fact, defined over  $\pi^{-1}(\omega) \subseteq Z$ . Then  $\lambda = \{\lambda^\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a continuous family of measures along  $\pi$ .

(3) It is well-known that the Haar system  $\chi_2$  of  $G_2$  induce a Haar system  $\chi$  on the transformation groupoid  $Z \rtimes G_2$ : for  $f \in C_c(Z \rtimes G_2)$  and  $v \in Z$ ,

$$\int_{Z \rtimes G_2} f d\chi^v := \int_{G_2} f(\gamma^{-1}, v) d\chi_2^{s_Z(v)}(\gamma).$$

Let  $\chi^{-1}$  be the corresponding right invariant Haar system on  $Z \rtimes G_2$ , that is,  $\int_{Z \rtimes G_2} f d\chi_v^{-1} = \int_{Z \rtimes G_2} f \circ \text{inv}_{Z \rtimes G_2} d\chi^v$  for  $f \in C_c(Z \rtimes G_2)$  and  $v \in (Z \rtimes G_2)^{(0)}$ . Here  $\text{inv}_G: G \rightarrow G$  is the homeomorphism  $\text{inv}_G(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}$  for  $\gamma \in G$ . Figure 1 shows the maps in (1)–(3) and the families of measures along with them.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \rtimes G_2 & \xrightarrow{\chi^{-1}} & Z \\ \chi \downarrow r_{Z \rtimes G_2} & \begin{array}{c} s_{Z \rtimes G_2} \\ \lambda \end{array} & \downarrow \pi \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \Omega \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1

The first part in the proof of Lemma 3.6 in [4] shows that, for each  $u \in Z$ , the measure  $m_u$  on  $Z = Z \rtimes G_2^{(0)}$  is  $(Z \rtimes G_2, \chi)$ -quasi-invariant. That is, there is an  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ -valued continuous 1-cocycle  $D$  (denoted by  $\Delta$  in [4]) on  $Z \rtimes G_2$  with the property that  $m_u \circ \chi = D(m_u \circ \chi^{-1})$ . The cocycle  $D$  is given by

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta: ((x, y), \gamma) \mapsto \Delta_2(\gamma^{-1}, y).$$

Recall from the discussion a few paragraphs above, that the action of  $G_2$  on  $Z$  is proper, that is, the transformation groupoid  $Z \rtimes G_2$  is proper. Proposition 2.7 in [4] says that every  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued 1-cocycle on  $Z \rtimes G_2$  is a coboundary. Using this, we get a family of 0-cocycles  $b = \{b_u\}_{u \in Z}$  such that  $D = \frac{b_u \circ s_{Z \rtimes G_2}}{b_u \circ r_{Z \rtimes G_2}}$  for each  $u \in Z$ . Now [4, Lemma 2.7] shows that  $b_u m_u$  is a  $(Z \rtimes G_2, \chi)$ -symmetric measure on its space of units, that is,  $(b_u m_u) \circ \chi = (b_u m_u) \circ \chi^{-1}$ . Fix  $u \in Z$ . Now [4, Proposition 3.1] says that there is a measure  $\mu_u$  on  $\Omega$  which gives the disintegration of measures  $b_u m_u \circ \chi = \mu_u$ . We write  $b$  instead of  $b_u$ . Then this measure is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \int_\Omega f[x, y] d\mu'_u([x, y]) = \int_Y \int_X f \circ \pi(x, y) e(x, y) b(x, y) d\alpha_{r_Y(y)}(x) d\beta_u(y)$$

for  $f \in C_c(\Omega)$ . In the above equation,  $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Omega$  is the quotient map,  $e: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  a cutoff function; see Proposition 3.1(ii) in [4].

Finally, [4, Proposition 3.10] shows that  $\mu = \{\mu_u\}_{u \in G_3}$  is a  $G_3$ -invariant continuous family of measures on  $\Omega$ . And [4, Proposition 3.12] shows that each  $\mu_u$  is  $(G_1, \chi_1)$ -quasi-invariant; the adjoining function is

$$\Delta_{1,2}(\eta, [x, y]) := b(\eta x, y)^{-1} \Delta_1(\eta, x) b(x, y)$$

where  $\Delta_1: G_1 \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is the adjoining function of the topological correspondence  $(X, \alpha)$ .

**Definition 2.9** (Composite). Let

$$(X, \alpha): (G_1, \chi_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \chi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y, \beta): (G_2, \chi_2) \rightarrow (G_3, \chi_3)$$

be topological correspondences with  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  as the adjoining function, respectively. A composite of these correspondences  $(\Omega, \mu): (G_1, \chi_1) \rightarrow (G_3, \chi_3)$  is defined by:

- i) the space  $\Omega := (X \times_{G_2(0)} Y)/G_2$ ,
- ii) a family of measures  $\mu = \{\mu_u\}_{u \in G(0)_3}$  that lifts to  $\{b(\alpha \times \beta_u)\}_{u \in G_3(0)}$  on  $Z$  for a cochain  $b \in C_{G_3}^0((X \times_{G_2(0)} Y) \rtimes G_2, \mathbb{R}_+^*)$  satisfying  $d^0(b) = D$  where  $D: (X \times_{G_2(0)} Y) \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is  $D((x, y), \gamma) = \Delta_2(\gamma^{-1}, y)$ .

**Theorem 2.10** (Theorem 3.14, [4]). *Let*

$$(X, \alpha): (G_1, \chi_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \chi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y, \beta): (G_2, \chi_2) \rightarrow (G_3, \chi_3)$$

*be topological correspondences of locally compact groupoids with Haar systems. In addition, assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff and second countable. Let  $(\Omega, \mu): (G_1, \chi_1) \rightarrow (G_3, \chi_3)$  be a composite of the correspondences. Then  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(X, \alpha) \hat{\otimes}_{C^*(G_2, \chi_2)} \mathcal{H}(Y, \beta)$  are isomorphic  $C^*$ -correspondences from  $C^*(G_1, \chi_1)$  to  $C^*(G_3, \chi_3)$ .*

**2.2. Bicategory.** We follow Bénabou's notation and terminology, from [1], for bicategories. Bénabou's convention for composition is the other way round than the standard one. As Leinster shows in [7], a bicategory is biequivalent to a 2-category.

**Definition 2.11** (Bicategory, [1, Definition 1.1]). A bicategory  $\mathfrak{S}$  is determined by the following data:

- i) a set  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  called set of objects or vertices;
- ii) for each pair  $(A, B)$  of objects, a category  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B)$ ;
- iii) for each triple  $(A, B, C)$  of objects of  $\mathfrak{S}$  a *composition functor*

$$c(A, B, C): \mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(A, C);$$

- iv) for each object  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  an object  $I_A$  of  $\mathfrak{S}(A, A)$  called *identity arrow* of  $A$  (the identity map of  $I_A$  in  $\mathfrak{S}(A, A)$  is denoted  $i_A: I_A \implies I_A$  and is called *identity 2-cell* of  $A$ );
- v) for each quadruple  $(A, B, C, D)$  of objects of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , a natural isomorphism  $a(A, B, C, D)$  called *associativity isomorphism* between the two composite functors making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, C) \times \mathfrak{S}(C, D) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times c(B, C, D)} & \mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, D) \\ \downarrow c(A, B, C) \times \text{Id} & \nearrow \sim & \downarrow c(A, B, D) \\ \mathfrak{S}(A, C) \times \mathfrak{S}(C, D) & \xrightarrow{c(A, C, D)} & \mathfrak{S}(A, D) \end{array}$$

- vi) for each pair  $(A, B)$  of objects of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , two natural isomorphisms  $l(A, B)$  and  $r(A, B)$ , called left and right identities such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
1 \times \mathfrak{S}(A, B) & \xrightarrow{I_A \times \text{Id}} & \mathfrak{S}(A, A) \times \mathfrak{S}(A, B) \\
\downarrow \text{canonical} & \nearrow l(A, B) & \downarrow c(A, A, B) \\
& \mathfrak{S}(A, B) & \\
\mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times I_B} & \mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, B) \\
\downarrow \text{canonical} & \nearrow r(A, B) & \downarrow c(A, B, B) \\
& \mathfrak{S}(A, B) &
\end{array}$$

This data satisfies the following conditions:

- vii) *associativity coherence*: If  $(S, T, U, V)$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, C) \times \mathfrak{S}(C, D) \times \mathfrak{S}(D, E)$ , then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
((S \circ T) \circ U) \circ V & \xrightarrow{a(S, T, U) \circ \text{Id}_V} & (S \circ (T \circ U)) \circ V \\
\downarrow a(S \circ T, U, V) & & \downarrow a(S, T \circ U, V) \\
(S \circ T) \circ (U \circ V) & & S \circ ((T \circ U) \circ V) \\
\downarrow a(S, T, U \circ V) & & \downarrow \text{Id}_S \circ a(T, U, V) \\
& S \circ (T \circ (U \circ V)) &
\end{array}$$

- viii) *identity coherence*: If  $(S, T)$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, C)$ , then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(S \circ I_B) \circ T & \xrightarrow{a(S, I_B, T)} & S \circ (I_B \circ T) \\
\downarrow r(S) \circ \text{Id}_T & & \downarrow \text{Id}_S \circ l(T) \\
& S \circ T &
\end{array}$$

In modern literature, a vertex, an arrow (or a 1-cell) and a 2-cell are called an object, a 1-arrow and a 2-arrow, respectively. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two objects and let  $t, u$  be two arrows in the category  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B)$ . Then we call the rule of composition of  $t$  and  $u$  in  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B)$  the vertical composition of 1-arrows. The composite functor  $c$  in (iii) above gives the horizontal composition of 2-arrows. Let  $(S, T)$  and  $(S', T')$  be two objects in  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, C)$ , respectively, and let  $s: S \rightarrow S'$  and  $t: T \rightarrow T'$  be 2-arrows. Then  $s$  and  $t$  induce a 2-arrow  $s \cdot_h t: S \circ T \rightarrow S' \circ T'$ . The 2-arrow  $s \cdot_h t$  is called the vertical composite of the 2-arrows  $s$  and  $t$ .

*Example 2.12.* In Section 2.2 of [3] Buss, Meyer and Zhu form a bicategory of  $C^*$ -algebraic correspondences. In this bicategory the objects are the  $C^*$ -algebras, 1-arrows are the  $C^*$ -algebraic correspondences and 2-arrows are the equivariant unitary intertwiners of  $C^*$ -correspondences.

*Example 2.13.* The  $C^*$ -correspondences of commutative (or commutative and unital)  $C^*$ -algebras is a sub-bicategory of the bicategory in Example 2.12.

**Definition 2.14** (Morphisms of bicategories, [1, Definition 4.1]). Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}'$  be bicategories. A morphism  $\mathfrak{A} = (V, v)$  from  $\mathfrak{S}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}'$  consists of:

- i) a map  $V: \mathfrak{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}'_0$  sending an object  $A$  to  $V(A)$ ;
- ii) a family of functors  $V(A, B): \mathfrak{S}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}'(V(A), V(B))$  sending a 1-cell  $S$  to  $V(S)$  and a 2-cell  $s$  to  $V(s)$ ;
- iii) for each object  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , a 2-cell  $v_A \in \mathfrak{S}(V(A), V(I_A))$

$$v_A: I_{V(A)} \Rightarrow V(I_A);$$

- iv) a family of natural transformations

$$v(A, B, C): c(V(A), V(B), V(C)) \circ (V(A, B) \times V(B, C)) \rightarrow V(A, C) \circ c(A, B, C).$$

If  $(S, T)$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}'(B, C)$ , the  $(S, T)$ -components of  $v(A, B, C)$

$$v(A, B, C)(S, T): V(S) \circ V(T) \Rightarrow V(S \circ T)$$

shall be abbreviated  $v$  or  $v(S, T)$ .

This data satisfies the following coherence conditions:

- v) If  $(S, T, U)$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B) \times \mathfrak{S}(B, C) \times \mathfrak{S}(C, D)$  the diagram in Figure 2 is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V(S) \circ (V(T) \circ V(U)) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{a(V(S), V(T), V(U))} & (V(S) \circ V(T)) \circ V(U) \\
 \downarrow \text{Id}_{V(S)} \circ v(T, U) & & \downarrow v(S, T) \circ \text{Id}_{V(U)} \\
 V(S) \circ V(T \circ U) & & V(S \circ T) \circ V(U) \\
 \downarrow v(S, T \circ U) & & \downarrow v(S \circ T, U) \\
 V(S \circ (T \circ U)) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{V(a(S, T, U))} & V((S \circ T) \circ U)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Associativity coherence for a transformation between bicategories

- vi) If  $S$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{S}(A, B)$  then the diagram in Figure 3, for the right identity commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V(S) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{} & V(S \circ I_B) \\
 \uparrow \text{Id} & & \uparrow v(S, I_B) \\
 V(S) \circ I_{V(B)} & \xrightarrow[\text{Id} \circ \phi_B]{} & V(S) \circ V(I_B)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Coherence of the right identity (and a similar diagram is drawn for the the left identity)

A similar diagram for the left identity commutes.

### 3. THE BICATEGORY OF TOPOLOGICAL CORRESPONDENCES

**3.1. Isomorphism of topological correspondences.** Let  $X$  be a space, and let  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  be equivalent Radon measures on it. Thus the Radon-Nikodym derivatives  $d\lambda/d\lambda'$  and  $d\lambda'/d\lambda$  are, respectively,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$ -almost everywhere positive. Moreover, the equality  $d\lambda/d\lambda' \cdot d\lambda'/d\lambda = 1$  holds  $\lambda$  or  $\lambda'$ -almost everywhere. Assume that  $Y$  is another space and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism. Then the measure  $\lambda: C_c(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  induces the measure  $\pi_*(\lambda): C_c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on  $Y$  as follows:

for  $f \in C_c(Y)$ ,  $\pi_*(\lambda)(f) = \lambda(f \circ \pi)$ . We call  $\pi_*(\lambda)$  the push-forward (measure) of  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be an open surjection, and  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  families of measures along  $\pi$ . We call  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  equivalent if,

- (i) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\lambda_y$  and  $\lambda'_y$  are equivalent,
- (ii) the function  $d\lambda/d\lambda': X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $d\lambda/d\lambda'(x) \mapsto \frac{d\lambda_{\pi(x)}}{d\lambda'_{\pi(x)}}(x)$  is continuous.

In this case, we write  $\lambda \sim \lambda'$ ; we call the function  $d\lambda/d\lambda'$  the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\lambda'$ . Note that in (ii) above,  $d\lambda_{\pi(x)}/d\lambda'_{\pi(x)}$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda_{\pi(x)}$  with respect to  $\lambda'_{\pi(x)}$ .

Note that if, in Definition 3.1,  $Y$  is singleton, then two measures  $\lambda \sim \lambda'$  on  $X$  if the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\lambda/d\lambda'$  is continuous. Also notice that in Definition 3.1, *continuously equivalent* families of measures is a better terminology than *equivalent*. However, in our work, we shall not encounter any instance of families of measures which are not continuously equivalent. Therefore, we choose the present terminology. In (ii) of the the same definition, we are asking the not only the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d\lambda_y}{d\lambda'_y}$  is continuous for  $y \in Y$  but also that the family of functions  $\{d\lambda_{\pi(x)}/d\lambda'_{\pi(x)}\}_{x \in X}$  is continuous *in the direction* of  $X$ ; this transverse continuity is used to prove Proposition 3.30.

Finally, in Definition 3.1, for each  $y \in Y$ , the continuity of the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\lambda_y/d\lambda'_y$  implies that the function  $d\lambda/d\lambda' > 0$ .

Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be spaces,  $X \xrightarrow{\pi_X} Z \xleftarrow{\pi_Y} Y$  maps, and let  $\lambda$  be a family of measures along  $\pi_X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a homeomorphism such that  $\pi_X = \pi_Y \circ f$ . Then  $\{f_*(\lambda_z)\}_{z \in Z}$  is continuous family of measures which we denote by  $f_*(\lambda)$ , thus we can write  $f_*(\lambda)_z$  for  $f_*(\lambda_z)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $X_1, X_2$  and  $Z$  be spaces, let  $\pi_i: X_i \rightarrow Z$  be maps for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $a: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  be a homeomorphism such that  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ a$ . Assume that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equivalent family of measures along  $\pi_1$  with Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\lambda/d\mu$ . Then  $a_*(\lambda) \sim a_*(\mu)$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $da_*(\lambda)/da_*(\mu) = d\lambda/d\mu \circ a^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Follows from a direct computation.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $i, X_i, Z_i, \pi_i$  and  $a_i$  be as in the succeeding lemma, Lemma 3.4. If  $\lambda_1$  is a family of measures along  $\pi_1$ , then  $(a_2 \circ a_1)_*(\lambda_1) = a_{2*}(a_{1*}(\lambda_1))$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from the definition of push-forward of a measure.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** (Chain rule). Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  and  $Z$  be space. For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $\pi_i: X_i \rightarrow Z$  be maps and  $\lambda_i$  families of measures along  $\pi_i$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $a_i: X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$  be homeomorphisms such that  $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1} \circ a_i$ . If  $a_{i*}(\lambda_i)$  is equivalent to  $\lambda_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(a_2 \circ a_1)_*(\lambda_1)$  is equivalent to  $\lambda_3$ . Moreover, the Radon-Nikodym derivative satisfy the following relation

$$\frac{d(a_2 \circ a_1)_*(\lambda_1)}{d\lambda_3} = \frac{da_{1*}(\lambda_1)}{d\lambda_2} \circ a_2^{-1} \cdot \frac{da_{2*}(\lambda_2)}{d\lambda_3}.$$

*Proof.* This is a straightforward computation: For  $z \in Z$  and  $f \in C_c(X_3)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_3} f(x) \frac{da_{1*}(\lambda_{1z})}{d\lambda_{2z}} \circ a_2^{-1}(x) \frac{da_{2*}(\lambda_{2z})}{d\lambda_{3z}}(x) d\lambda_{3z}(x) \\ &= \int_{X_2} f \circ a_2(y) \frac{da_{1*}(\lambda_{1z})}{d\lambda_{2z}}(y) d\lambda_{2z}(y) = \int_{X_1} f \circ a_2 \circ a_1(w) d\lambda_{1z}(w) \\ &= \int_{X_3} f(x) d(a_2 \circ a_1)_*(\lambda_1)(x). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.5** (Of the chain rule). *Let  $X_i, \pi_i, \lambda_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, Z$  and  $a_1$  be as in Lemma 3.4 above. If  $a_{1*}(\lambda_1) \sim \lambda_2$ , then  $\lambda_1 \sim a_{1*}^{-1}(\lambda_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Apply the chain rule (Lemma 3.4) to  $X_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{a_1^{-1}} X_1$  to get

$$1 = \frac{da_{1*}(\lambda_1)}{d\lambda_2} \circ a_1 \cdot \frac{da_{1*}^{-1}(\lambda_2)}{d\lambda_1}.$$

Since  $\frac{da_{1*}(\lambda_1)}{d\lambda_2}$  is positive continuous and  $a_1$  is a homeomorphism,  $\frac{da_{1*}(\lambda_1)}{d\lambda_2} \circ a_1$  is also positive continuous function. Thus  $da_{1*}^{-1}(\lambda_2)/d\lambda_1 > 0$  and continuous, that is,  $da_{1*}^{-1}(\lambda_2) \sim d\lambda_1$ .  $\square$

Following is a lemma that will prove useful in many computations later.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(G, \alpha)$  be a groupoid equipped with a Haar system. Let  $m$  and  $m'$  be  $G$ -invariant equivalent measures on  $G^{(0)}$  with continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dm/dm'$ . Then the following hold.*

(i) *On  $G$ ,  $m \circ \alpha \sim m' \circ \alpha$  and  $m \circ \alpha^{-1} \sim m' \circ \alpha^{-1}$ . Moreover, the Radon-Nikodym derivatives*

$$\frac{dm \circ \alpha^{-1}}{dm' \circ \alpha^{-1}} = \frac{dm}{dm'} \circ s_G \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dm \circ \alpha}{dm' \circ \alpha} = \frac{dm}{dm'} \circ r_G.$$

(ii) *the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dm/dm'$  is  $G$ -invariant.*

*Additionally, assume that  $G$  is proper,  $G^{(0)}/G$  paracompact. Let  $q: G^{(0)} \rightarrow G^{(0)}/G$  be the quotient map. Let  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  be the measures on  $G^{(0)}/G$  which give the disintegration  $\mu \circ [\alpha] = m$  and  $\mu' \circ [\alpha] = m'$ . Let  $[dm/dm']$  denote the function which  $dm/dm'$  induce on  $G^{(0)}/G$  (cf. (ii) above). Then*

(iii)  *$\mu \sim \mu'$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\mu/d\mu' = [dm/dm']$ .*

We know that every groupoid  $G$  acts on its space of orbits (from right) by  $u \cdot \gamma = s_G(\gamma)$  for  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and  $\gamma \in G^u$ . For a proper groupoid, this action is proper. A function  $\phi: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called invariant (under this action) if  $\phi(u \cdot \gamma) = \phi(u)$ , that is,  $\phi(r_G(\gamma)) = \phi(u)$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $\phi \circ r_G = \phi \circ s_G$  on  $G$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.6.* (i): We shall check that  $m \circ \alpha^{-1} \sim m' \circ \alpha^{-1}$ . Let  $f \in C_c(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G f(\gamma) dm \circ \alpha^{-1}(\gamma) &:= \int_{H^{(0)}} \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}) d\alpha^x(\gamma) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{H^{(0)}} \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}) d\alpha^x(\gamma) \frac{dm}{dm'}(x) dm'(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x = r_G(\gamma)$ , we may write the last term above as

$$\int_{H^{(0)}} \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}) \frac{dm}{dm'}(r_G(\gamma)) d\alpha^x(\gamma) dm'(x)$$

which, in turn, equals

$$\int_{H^{(0)}} \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}) \frac{dm}{dm'}(s_G(\gamma^{-1})) d\alpha^x(\gamma) dm'(x) := \int_G f(\gamma) \frac{dm}{dm'} \circ s_G(\gamma) dm' \circ \alpha^{-1}(\gamma).$$

Thus  $m \circ \alpha^{-1} \sim m' \circ \alpha^{-1}$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dm \circ \alpha^{-1}/dm' \circ \alpha^{-1} = dm/dm' \circ s_G$ . The other claim can be proved along similar lines.

(ii): Since  $m$  (or  $m'$ ) is an invariant measure on  $G^{(0)}$ , we have  $m \circ \alpha = m \circ \alpha^{-1}$  (and similar for  $m'$ ). Which along with (i) above says that

$$\frac{dm}{dm'} \circ s_G = \frac{dm \circ \alpha^{-1}}{dm' \circ \alpha^{-1}} = \frac{dm \circ \alpha}{dm' \circ \alpha} = \frac{dm}{dm'} \circ r_G.$$

In other words,  $dm/dm'$  is an invariant function on  $G^{(0)}$ .

(iii): First of all, we note that functions  $\frac{dm}{dm'}$  and  $[\frac{dm}{dm'}]$  have the same images in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now, given  $m$  (or  $m'$ ), recall the definition of  $\mu$  (or  $\mu'$ , respectively) from Proposition 3.1(ii) in [4]. Let  $f \in C_c(G^{(0)}/G)$  and  $e: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \cup \{0\}$  be a cutoff function for the quotient map  $q$ . Then

$$\mu(f) := m((f \circ q) \cdot e) = m' \left( f \circ q \cdot \frac{dm}{dm'} \cdot e \right) = m' \left( f \cdot \left[ \frac{dm}{dm'} \right] \cdot e \right) := m' \left( f \cdot \left[ \frac{dm}{dm'} \right] \right).$$

Thus  $\mu \sim \mu'$  and  $\frac{d\mu}{d\mu'} = \left[ \frac{dm}{dm'} \right]$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.7** (Isomorphism of topological correspondences). Let  $(X, \lambda)$  and  $(X', \lambda')$  be topological correspondences from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ . An isomorphism  $(X, \lambda, \Delta) \rightarrow (X', \lambda', \Delta')$  is a  $G$ - $H$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$  with  $\phi_*(\lambda) \sim \lambda'$ .

Following is an example of isomorphism of correspondences; Proposition 3.11 gives a class of isomorphism correspondences.

*Example 3.8* (The identity isomorphism of identity correspondence). In this example, we discuss the left and right identity isomorphisms. Let  $(G, \alpha)$  and  $(H, \beta)$  be topological groupoids with Haar systems. Recall from Example 2.5 that  $(G, \alpha^{-1})$  is a topological correspondence on  $(G, \alpha)$ , and similar is for  $(H, \beta)$ . Let  $(X, \lambda): (G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$  be a topological correspondence. What are composites of  $(G, \alpha^{-1})$  and  $(X, \lambda)$ , and  $(X, \lambda)$  and  $(H, \beta^{-1})$ ?

*The left identity isomorphism:* The quotient  $(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)/G$  is isomorphic to  $X$ ; the map  $i: (G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)/G \rightarrow X$  given by  $i([\gamma^{-1}, x]) = \gamma^{-1}x$ , where  $[\gamma^{-1}, x] \in (G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)/G$  is the equivalence class of  $(\gamma^{-1}, x) \in G \times_{G^{(0)}} X$ , induces this homeomorphism. The inverse of  $i$  is given by  $i^{-1}(x) = [r_X(x), x]$ . Moreover, the map  $i$  is  $G$ - $H$ -equivariant. Thus we identify  $X$  as the quotient space  $(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)/G$  and call the map

$$q: G \times_{G^{(0)}} X \rightarrow X, \quad q: (\gamma^{-1}, x) \mapsto \gamma^{-1}x$$

the quotient map<sup>1</sup>. The family of measures  $[\alpha]$  —as in (2) on page 6— along the quotient map  $q$  is given by

$$\int_{G \times_{G^{(0)}} X} f(t) d[\alpha]_x(t) = \int_G f(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma)$$

where  $f \in C_c(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let  $T$  denote the transformation groupoid  $(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X) \rtimes G$  for the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $G \times_{G^{(0)}} X$ . Then we know that  $T$  is a proper groupoid with  $T^{(0)} = G \times_{G^{(0)}} X$ . Moreover, the Haar system  $\alpha$  on  $G$  induces a Haar system  $\tilde{\alpha}$  on  $T$ . Fix  $u \in H^{(0)}$ . Define the measure  $m_u = \alpha \times \lambda_u$  on  $T^{(0)}$  as in —as in (1) on page 6. Then  $m_u$  is  $(T, \tilde{\alpha})$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $T^{(0)}$  (cf. (2) on page 6). The modular function  $\Delta_1$  of  $T$  associated with  $m_u$  is

$$(3.9) \quad \Delta_1(\gamma^{-1}, x, \eta) = \Delta(\eta^{-1}, x) \quad (\text{see Equation (2.7)})$$

where  $\Delta$  is the adjoining function of  $(X, \lambda)$ . Now we get a 0-cocycle  $b: T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  on  $T$  such that  $d^0(b) = \Delta_1$  and  $bm_u$  is a  $(T, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant measure on  $T^{(0)}$ . This measure produces a measures  $\mu_u$  on  $X \approx T^{(0)}/T$  such that  $(X, \{\mu_u\}_{u \in H^{(0)}})$  composite of  $(G, \alpha)$  and  $(X, \lambda)$ . Write  $\{\mu_u\}_{u \in H^{(0)}} = \mu$ . In what follows, we shall show that the identity map on  $X$  produces an isomorphism of topological correspondences  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(X, \lambda)$ .

Fix  $u \in H^{(0)}$ . The measure  $\lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  on  $T^{(0)}$  is also  $((T, \tilde{\alpha})$ -invariant due to Proposition 3.1(i) in [4]. Moreover, the third part of the same Proposition shows

<sup>1</sup>At this point, we avoid using a different notation — say  $X'$  — for the quotient space  $(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)/G$  as it will increase complexity in writing.

that  $\lambda_u$  is the unique measure on  $X$  that disintegrates  $\lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  along  $[\alpha]$ . What is the relation between  $\lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  and  $bm_u$ ? We claim that they are equivalent invariant measures on  $T^{(0)}$  with a continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative. If the claim holds, then Lemma 3.6(iii) applied to  $(T, \tilde{\alpha})$ ,  $bm_u$  and  $\lambda_u$  will show that  $\mu_u \sim \lambda_u$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\mu_u/d\lambda_u$  is continuous. This proves that the identity map of  $X$  induces the isomorphism of topological correspondences  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(X, \lambda)$ .

Now we prove the claim, namely,  $bm_u$  and  $\lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  are equivalent invariant measures on  $T^{(0)}$  having continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative. The first observation is that  $\lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  and  $m_u$  are equivalent. This follows because for any  $f \in C_c(T^{(0)})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_u(f) &= \int_X \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}, x) d\alpha^u(\gamma) d\lambda_u(x) \\ &= \int_X \int_G f(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) \Delta(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^u(\gamma) d\lambda_u(x) = \lambda_u \circ [\alpha](f \cdot \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality above is the definition of adjoining function  $\Delta$  (see Definition 2.1(iv)) of  $(X, \lambda)$ . Thus  $m_u \sim \lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  with the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dm_u/d\lambda_u \circ [\alpha] = \Delta > 0$ . Since  $b$  is 0-cocycle in the  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued cohomology of  $T$  (with  $d^0(b) = \Delta_1 > 0$ ), we get that  $bm_u \sim m_u$ . Therefore,  $bm_u \sim \lambda_u \circ [\alpha]$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dbm_u/d\lambda_u \circ [\alpha] = b\Delta$ . Moreover, Lemma 3.6(iii) says that  $\mu_u \sim \lambda_u$  with  $d\mu_u/d\lambda_u = [dbm_u/d\lambda_u \circ [\alpha] = [b\Delta]$ ; note that both  $b$  and  $\Delta$  are  $H$ -invariant, therefore,  $[b\Delta]$  makes sense.

Before we finish the discussion, we simplify the function  $b\Delta$  so that it will prove useful in later computations. For  $(\eta^{-1}, x) \in T^{(0)}$ ,

$$b\Delta(\eta^{-1}, x) = b(\eta^{-1}, x)\Delta(\eta^{-1}, x).$$

Using Equation (3.9) and the fact that  $\Delta = b \circ s_G / b \circ r_G = d^0(b)$ , we can see that last term above equals

$$\begin{aligned} b(\eta^{-1}, x)\Delta_1(\eta^{-1}, x, \eta) &= b(\eta^{-1}, x) \frac{b \circ s_T(\eta^{-1}, x, \eta)}{b \circ r_T(\eta^{-1}, x, \eta)} \\ &= b(\eta^{-1}, x) \frac{b(s_H(\eta), \eta^{-1}x)}{b(\eta^{-1}, x)} = b(s_H(\eta), \eta^{-1}x). \end{aligned}$$

We know that the space of units of the transformation groupoid  $H \ltimes X$  is identified with  $X$  via the homeomorphism  $\xi: X \rightarrow H \ltimes X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\xi: x \mapsto (r_X(x), x)$ . Now note that  $b$  is already a function on  $T^{(0)}$ , therefore, the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{d\mu_u}{d\lambda_u}(\eta^{-1}, x) = [b\Delta(\eta^{-1}, x)] = b(s_H(\eta), \eta^{-1}x) = b \circ s_{H \ltimes X}(\eta^{-1}, x) = \xi(\eta^{-1}x)$$

for all  $(\eta^{-1}, x) \in H \ltimes X$ .

*The right identity isomorphism:* In a similar fashion as for the left identity isomorphism, one may prove that any composite  $(X, \lambda) \circ (H, \beta^{-1}): (G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$  is isomorphic to  $(X, \lambda)$ . The equivariant homeomorphism of spaces  $(X \times_{H^{(0)}} H)/H \approx X$  is clear. While constructing the measures, note that the adjoining function of  $(H, \beta)$  is the constant function 1, see Example 2.5. Therefore, the function  $\Delta_1$  above is also constant 1. Choose any 0-cocycle  $b$  on the transformation groupoid  $T := (X \times_{H^{(0)}} H) \ltimes H$  with  $d^0(b) = \Delta_1 = 1$  and  $bm_u$  is an invariant measure (where  $m_u := \lambda \times \beta_u^{-1}$ ) on the space of units of  $T$ . Then

$$1 = d^0(b) := \frac{b \circ s_T}{b \circ r_T}, \quad \text{that is,} \quad b \circ r_T = b \circ s_T.$$

Thus  $b$  induces a function  $[b]$  on  $X \approx (X \times_{H^{(0)}} H)/H$ . With this observation, the discussion for left identity correspondence holds here word-to-word. And we get

that any composite  $(X \circ H, \mu)$  of  $(X, \lambda)$  and  $(H, \beta^{-1})$  is isomorphic to  $(X, \lambda)$  with the homeomorphism given above and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\mu_u/d\lambda_u = [b]$ .

Recall from Definition 2.9 that a composite of two topological correspondences is not defined uniquely; it depends on a 0-cocycle on a certain transformation groupoid. Now we show that any two composites of topological correspondences are isomorphic in the sense of Definition 3.7.

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} (X, \alpha) &: (G_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow (G_2, \lambda_2) \\ (Y, \beta) &: (G_2, \lambda_2) \rightarrow (G_3, \lambda_3) \end{aligned}$$

*be topological correspondences, and let*

$$(\Omega, \mu), (\Omega, \mu') : (G_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow (G_3, \lambda_3)$$

*be two composites of these correspondences. Assume that  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is obtained by using a 0-cochain  $b$  and  $(\Omega', \mu')$  is obtained by using a 0-cochain  $b'$  on the transformation groupoid  $(X \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Y) \rtimes G_2$ . Then  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $(\Omega, \mu')$  are isomorphic topological correspondences.*

*Proof.* In this proof we use the notation which is used after Theorem 2.4 to state Definition 2.9. Let  $Z := X \times_{G_2^{(0)}} Y$  and  $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Omega := Z/G_2$  be the quotient map. Since  $b, b' \in C_{G_3}^0(Z, \mathbb{R}_+^*)$  with  $d^0(b) = d^0(b') = \Delta$ , Remark 1.14 in [5] gives a positive function  $c: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  with the property that

$$(3.12) \quad b' = (c \circ \pi)b.$$

Since the quotient map  $\pi$  is open, the continuity of  $b, b'$  implies that any function  $c$  satisfying Equation (3.12) is continuous. Let  $f \in C_c(\Omega)$  and  $u \in G_3^{(0)}$ . Then using Equation (2.8) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f[x, y] d\mu'_u([x, y]) &= \int_Y \int_X f \circ \pi(x, y) e(x, y) b'(x, y) d\alpha_{r_Y(y)}(x) d\beta_u(y) \\ &= \int_Y \int_X f \circ \pi(x, y) e(x, y) c \circ \pi(x, y) b(x, y) d\alpha_{r_Y(y)}(x) d\beta_u(y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f[x, y] c[x, y] d\mu_u([x, y]) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a cutoff function. This calculation shows that for every  $u \in G_3^{(0)}$ ,  $\mu'_u \sim \mu_u$  with the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d\mu'_u}{d\mu_u} = c$ , where  $c: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is a function satisfying Equation (3.12).  $\square$

Let  $(G, \alpha)$  and  $(H, \beta)$  be locally compact groupoids equipped with Haar systems. For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $(X_i, \lambda_i)$  be a topological correspondence from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ . Assume that, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\phi_i: X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$  is an isomorphism of correspondences. Then the composite  $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_3$  gives an isomorphism of correspondences—this follows because of the fact that the composite of  $G$ - $H$ -equivariant maps is also an equivariant map and the chain rule.

Let  $(G, \alpha)$  and  $(H, \beta)$  be groupoids with Haar systems. Then isomorphism is an equivalence relation on the set of topological correspondences from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ : Let  $(X, \lambda_1)$ ,  $(Y, \lambda_2)$  and  $(Z, \lambda_3)$  be correspondences from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ .

Reflexivity: is given by the identity function on  $X$ .

Symmetry: if  $\phi$  is an isomorphism from  $(X, \lambda_1)$  to  $(Y, \lambda_2)$ , then  $\phi^{-1}$  is  $G$ - $H$ -equivariant homeomorphism. Now use Corollary 3.5 to see that  $\phi_*^{-1}(\lambda_2) \sim \lambda_1$ .

Transitivity: Follows from the discussion just before this paragraph.

*Remark 3.13.* Let  $(G, \alpha)$ ,  $(H, \beta)$  and  $(K, \kappa)$  be groupoids with Haar systems. Let  $(X, \lambda)$  and  $(Y, \kappa)$  be correspondences from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ , and  $(X', \lambda')$  and  $(Y', \kappa')$  be correspondences from  $(H, \beta)$  to  $(K, \mu)$ . Let  $(X \circ X', \lambda \circ \lambda')$  and  $(Y \circ Y', \kappa \circ \kappa')$  be some composites of  $(X, \lambda)$  and  $(X', \lambda')$ , and  $(Y, \kappa)$  and  $(Y', \kappa')$ , respectively, see Figure 4. Thus  $X \circ X' = (X \times_{H^{(0)}} X')/H$ , and the family of measures  $\lambda \circ \lambda'$  is given by (ii) in Definition 2.9. Similar is for  $Y \circ Y'$  and  $\kappa \circ \kappa'$ . Let  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  be the cochains in appropriate groupoid cohomologies used to produce  $\lambda \circ \lambda'$  and  $\kappa \circ \kappa'$ , respectively.

Additionally, assume that  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\phi': X' \rightarrow Y'$  are isomorphisms of correspondences. Then the map  $[\phi \times \phi']: (X \times_{H^{(0)}} X')/H \rightarrow (Y \times_{H^{(0)}} Y')/H$  is an isomorphism of correspondences where  $[\phi \times \phi']([x, y]) = [\phi(x), \phi'(y)]$ , see Figure 4.




FIGURE 4

To check this, first of all, note that  $\phi \times \phi': X \times_{H^{(0)}} X' \rightarrow Y \times_{H^{(0)}} Y'$  and  $[\phi \times \phi']: X \circ X' \rightarrow Y \circ Y'$  are well-defined  $G$ - $K$ -equivariant homeomorphisms. Then, since  $\phi_*(\lambda) \sim \kappa$  and  $\phi'_*(\lambda') \sim \kappa'$ , we get

$$(\phi \times \phi')_*(\lambda \times \lambda') \sim \kappa \times \kappa';$$

the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d(\phi \times \phi')_*(\lambda \times \lambda')}{d(\kappa \times \kappa')} = \frac{d\phi_*(\lambda)}{d\kappa} \frac{d\phi'_*(\lambda')}{d\kappa'}.$$

This discussion along with the fact that  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are continuous positive functions allows us to say that

$$(3.14) \quad b_1 \cdot (\phi \times \phi')_*(\lambda \times \lambda') \sim b_2 \cdot (\kappa \times \kappa');$$

the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d(b_1 (\phi \times \phi')_*(\lambda \times \lambda'))}{d(b_2 \kappa \times \kappa')_u} = \frac{d\phi_*(\lambda)}{d\kappa} \frac{d\phi'_*(\lambda'_u)}{d\kappa'_u} \frac{b_1}{b_2}.$$

Now use Lemma 3.6(iii) on the transformation groupoid  $(Y \times_{H^{(0)}} Y') \rtimes H$  to conclude that  $\lambda \circ \lambda' \sim \kappa \circ \kappa'$ . This remarks shows in the bicategory of topological correspondences, two 2-arrows between a two composable 1-arrows induce a 2-arrow between a composite of 2-arrows.

**3.2. The bicategory of topological correspondences.** Now, with the help of the discussion until now in our hand, we can define the data to form the bicategory of topological correspondences:

*Objects or vertices:* second countable, locally compact, Hausdorff groupoids with Haar systems.

*1-arrows or edges:* topological correspondences in which the space is locally compact, Hausdorff, second countable.

*2-arrows or 2-cells:* isomorphisms of topological correspondences (Definition 3.7).

*Vertical composition of 2-arrows:* 2-arrows are merely functions between spaces; their composition is the usual composition of functions.

*1-identity arrow:* the identity 1-arrow on  $(G, \alpha)$  is  $(G, \alpha^{-1})$ , see Example 2.5.

*2-identity arrow:* the identity 2-arrow on a topological correspondence  $(X, \lambda)$  is the identity map  $\text{Id}_X: X \rightarrow X$ .

*Composition of 1-arrows:* composition of correspondences as in Definition 2.9.

*Horizontal composition of 2-arrows:* with the data in Remark 3.13, we call  $[\phi \times \phi']$  the horizontal product of  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$ .

*The associativity isomorphism:* described in Theorem 3.15 below.

*The identity isomorphism:* described in Example 3.8 earlier.

Thus now we have the data required in *i-iv* in Definition 2.11. The following theorem describes how this data fulfills the necessary conditions to give us the bicategory of topological correspondences. The proof of associativity isomorphisms is very long. Therefore, we break it into pieces and describe after the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.15.** *The above data along with (obvious) associativity and identity isomorphisms form the bicategory  $\mathfrak{T}$  of topological correspondences.*

*Proof.* In the following discussion, the number of each topic indicates what topic in Definition 2.11 it is.

v) *Associativity isomorphism:* Firstly, we list our data and notation for the associativity isomorphism.

- i) For  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $(X_i, \lambda_i)$  is a correspondence from  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$  to  $(G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$  with  $\Delta_i$  as the adjoining function;
- ii)  $(X_{i(i+1)}, \mu_{i(i+1)})$  denotes a composite of  $(X_i, \lambda_i)$  and  $(X_{i+1}, \lambda_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $X_{ii+1}$  denotes the quotient space  $(X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1})/G_{i+1}$ . We write the cochain in  $C_{G_{i+2}}^0((X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1}) \times G_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}_+^*)$  that produces the family of measures  $\mu_{i(i+1)}$  as  $b_{i(i+1)}$  (cf. Definition 2.9). We write  $\pi_{ii+1}$  for the quotient map  $X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1} \rightarrow (X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1})/G_{i+1}$ .

Each space  $X_i$  above is locally compact, Hausdorff, and the action of the groupoid  $G_{i+1}$  on it is proper for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore, the diagonal action of  $G_{i+1}$  on the fibre product  $X_i \times_{H_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1}$  is proper. Moreover, the similar diagonal action of  $G_2 \times G_3$  on  $X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2 \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3$  is proper. Let  $T$  denote the proper transformation groupoid  $(X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2 \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3) \times (G_2 \times G_3)$  for the diagonal action of  $G_2 \times G_3$  on  $X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2 \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3$ . Let  $\pi_{123}$  denote the quotient map  $T^{(0)} \rightarrow X_{123}$ . The Haar system  $\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3$  on  $G_2 \times G_3$  induces a Haar system on  $T$  which we denote by  $\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3$  itself. The quotient  $X_{123} = T^{(0)}/T$  is denoted by  $X_{123}$ .

Let  $(X_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3})$  be the given composite of  $(X_{12}, \mu_{12})$  and  $(X_3, \lambda_3)$ , and let similar be the meaning of  $(X_{1(23)}, \mu_{1(23)})$ . Let  $\pi'_{(12)3}: X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3 \rightarrow X_{(12)3}$  be the quotient map, and similar be the meaning of  $\pi'_{1(23)}$ . Let  $\pi_{(12)3} = \pi'_{(12)3} \circ \pi_{12}$ . The proof starts now by defining two functions  $a'$  and  $a''$  below. All above data and these maps gives us Figure 6. The map  $a$  in this figure is the associativity isomorphism that we explain now.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} a' : X_{123} &\rightarrow X_{(12)3}, \text{ by} & a' : [x_1, x_2, x_2] &\mapsto [[x_1, x_2], x_3], \\ a'' : X_{123} &\rightarrow X_{1(23)}, \text{ by} & a'' : [x_1, x_2, x_2] &\mapsto [x_1, [x_2, x_3]] \end{aligned}$$

where  $[x_1, x_2, x_3] \in X_{123}$ . We show that  $a'$  is well-defined and the well-definedness of  $a''$  can be proven along similar lines. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3} : T^{(0)} &\rightarrow X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3, \\ \pi'_{(12)3} : X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3 &\rightarrow X_{(12)3} \end{aligned}$$

be the quotient maps for the diagonal actions of  $H_2$  and  $H_3$  for the actions on  $T^{(0)}$  and  $X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3$ , respectively. Then  $\pi'_{123} \circ \pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3} = \pi_{123}$  is a well-defined continuous surjection (cf. Figure 6). Note that for  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in T^{(0)}$  and appropriate  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_{123}(\pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3}(x_1\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}x_2\gamma_2, \gamma_2^{-1}x_3)) &= [[x_1\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}x_2\gamma_2], \gamma_2^{-1}x_3] \\ &= [[x_1\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}x_2]\gamma_2, \gamma_2^{-1}x_3] = [[x_1, x_2]\gamma_2, \gamma_2^{-1}x_3] \\ &= [[x_1, x_2], x_3] = \pi'_{123}(\pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, due to the the universal property of the quotient space,  $\pi'_{123} \circ \pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3}$  induces a continuous surjection  $X_{123} \rightarrow (X_1 \circ X_2) \circ X_3$  which we call  $a'$ .

We claim that both  $a'$  and  $a''$  are homeomorphisms. We prove that  $a'$  is a homeomorphism, and the claim for  $a''$  can be proved similarly. Surjectivity of  $a'$  is built in its definition. To show that  $a'$  is one-to-one, assume that for some  $[x_1, x_2, x_3], [y_1, y_2, y_3] \in X_{123}$   $a'[x_1, x_2, x_3] = a'[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ , that is,  $[[x_1, x_2], x_3] = [[y_1, y_2], y_3]$ . Then there is  $\gamma_2 \in G_2$  with the property that

$$([x_1, x_2\gamma_2], \gamma_2^{-1}x_3) = ([x_1, x_2]\gamma_2, \gamma_2^{-1}x_3) = ([y_1, y_2], y_3).$$

Now there is  $\gamma_1 \in G_1$  such that

$$(x_1\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}x_2\gamma_2, \gamma_2^{-1}x_3) = (y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

Thus

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3] = [y_1, y_2, y_3] \in X_{123}.$$

Next we show that  $a'$  is open. Let  $\pi_{123}: T^{(0)} \rightarrow X_{123}$  be the quotient map, and let  $U \subseteq X_{123}$  be open. Then  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is open. Since all the groupoids we are working with have open range maps, the quotient maps  $\pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3}$  and  $\pi'_{(12)3}$  are open, [9, Lemma 2.1]. Therefore,  $a'(U) = p'(p(\pi^{-1}(U))) \subseteq X_{(12)3}$  is open.

Since the quotient maps in Figure 6 are  $G_1$ - $G_4$ -equivariant, so are  $a'$  and  $a''$ . Eventually, we define the associativity isomorphism  $a(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} a(X_1, X_2, X_3) &= a'' \circ a'^{-1}, \text{ that is,} \\ a(X_1, X_2, X_3): [[x_1, x_2], x_3] &\mapsto [x_1, [x_2, x_3]] \end{aligned}$$

where  $[[x_1, x_2], x_3] \in (X_1 \circ X_2) \circ X_3$ . Whenever the spaces  $X_i$ , in the discussion, are clear, we write  $a$  instead of  $a(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ . We shall write  $a$  in rest of the discussion in this part of the proof.

We still need to show that  $a$  satisfies (ii) of Definition 3.7 to conclude that it is an isomorphism of correspondences. The proof of this fact is written at the end of the present main proof; the reader may see page 19. Proposition 3.24 and Remark 3.26 mark end of that proof.

vi) *Identity isomorphisms*: Let  $i = 1, 2$  and  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$  a groupoid with a Haar system, and let  $(X, \lambda)$  be a correspondence from  $(G_1, \alpha_1)$  to  $(G_2, \alpha_2)$  with  $\Delta$  as the adjoining function. As we chose  $(G_i, \alpha_i^{-1})$  is the identity arrow on  $(G_1, \alpha)$ . The claim is that the  $G_1$ - $G_2$ -equivariant homeomorphisms of spaces

$$\begin{aligned} l(G_1): (G_1 \times_{G_1^{(0)}} X)/G_1 &\rightarrow X, & [\gamma^{-1}, x] &\mapsto \gamma^{-1}x \\ r(G_1): (X \times_{G_2^{(0)}} G_2)/G_2 &\rightarrow X, & [x, \gamma] &\mapsto x\gamma \end{aligned}$$

are, respectively, the left and right identity coherences. This claim is proved, with an abuse of notation, in Example 3.8.

vii) *Horizontal composition of 2-arrows*: Let  $(X_i, \lambda_i), (X'_i, \lambda'_i)$  be correspondences from  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$  to  $(G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and let  $\phi_i: X_i \rightarrow X'_i$  be isomorphisms

of correspondences. Let  $(X_{12}, \mu)$  be a composite of  $(X_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(X_2, \lambda_2)$ , and  $(X'_{12}, \mu')$  a composite of  $(X'_1, \lambda'_1)$  and  $(X'_2, \lambda'_2)$ .

Now the map

$$\phi_1 \times \phi_2: X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2 \rightarrow X'_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X'_2, \quad \phi_1 \times \phi_2(x, y) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(y)),$$

where  $(x, y) \in X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2$ , is a  $G_1$ - $G_3$ -equivariant homeomorphism for the left and right obvious actions of  $G_1$  and  $G_3$ . Moreover, this map is also  $G_2$ -equivariant for the diagonal actions of  $G_2$  on the fibre products. Therefore, the map induces a well-defined  $G_1$ - $G_3$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $[\phi_1 \times \phi_2]: X_{12} \rightarrow X'_{12}$ . We define  $[\phi_1 \times \phi_2]$  the horizontal composition of the 2-arrows  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  which is often written as  $\phi_2 \cdot_h \phi_1$ . To check that this definition makes sense, one needs to check that  $[\phi_1 \times \phi_2]$  induces an isomorphism of topological correspondences  $(X_{12}, \mu)$  and  $(X'_{12}, \mu')$ . This is verified in Remark 3.13.

vii) *Associativity coherence*: Let  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$  be groupoids equipped with Haar systems for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ . Let  $(X_i, \lambda_i)$  be a correspondence from  $G_i$  to  $G_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . Let  $(X_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3})$  and  $(X_{1(23)}, \mu_{1(23)})$  have meanings as in case of the associativity isomorphism, see page 16. And let  $(X_{((12)3)4}, \mu_{((12)3)4})$  and other subscripts of  $X$  and  $\mu$  with parentheses have similar meanings. Let  $a(\_, \_, \_)$  denote the associativity isomorphism when the blanks filled appropriately, as discussed for associativity isomorphism. Then the associativity coherence demands that the pentagon in Figure 5 should commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_{((12)3)4} & \xrightarrow{a(X_1, X_2, X_3) \times \text{Id}_{X_4}} & X_{1(23)4} \\
 \Downarrow a(X_{12}, X_3, X_4) & & \Downarrow a(X_1, X_{23}, X_4) \\
 X_{(12)(34)} & & X_{1((23)4)} \\
 \swarrow a(X_1, X_2, X_{34}) & & \searrow \text{Id}_{X_1} \times a(X_2, X_3, X_4) \\
 & X_{1(2(34))} & 
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 5. Associativity coherence

Let  $[[[x_1, x_2], x_3], x_4]$  be a point in  $X_{((12)3)4}$ . Following the left top vertex of the pentagon along the right top sides till the vertex at the bottom, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [[x_1, x_2], x_3], x_4 \xrightarrow{a(X_1, X_2, X_3) \times \text{Id}_{X_4}} [[x_1, [x_2, x_3]], x_4 \\
 & \xrightarrow{a(X_1, X_{23}, X_4)} [x_1, [[x_2, x_3], x_4]] \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{X_1} \times a(X_2, X_3, X_4)} [x_1, [x_2, [x_3, x_4]]].
 \end{aligned}$$

And, on the other way,

$$[[x_1, x_2], x_3], x_4 \xrightarrow{a(X_{12}, X_3, X_4)} [[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]] \xrightarrow{a(X_1, X_2, X_{34})} [x_1, [x_2, [x_3, x_4]]].$$

This the figure commutes.

viii) *Identity coherence*: Let  $(X_i, \lambda_i)$  be topological correspondences from  $(G_i, \alpha_i)$  to  $(G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $(G_1 \circ X_1, \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \lambda_2)$  be a composite of the identity correspondence at  $(G_1, \alpha_1)$  and  $(X_1, \lambda_1)$ ; let  $(X_1 \circ G_2, \lambda_1 \circ \alpha_2^{-1})$  be a composite of  $(X_1, \lambda_1)$  and the identity correspondence at  $(G_2, \alpha_2)$ ; and let  $(X_{12}, \lambda_{12})$  be a composite of  $(X_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(X_2, \lambda_2)$ . Then we need to show that following diagram is commutative for identity coherence commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X_1 \circ G_2) \circ X_2 & \xrightarrow{a(X_1, G_2, X_2)} & X_1 \circ (G_2 \circ X_2) \\
 \searrow r(G_2) \circ \text{Id}_{X_2} & & \swarrow \text{Id}_{X_1} \circ l(G_1) \\
 & X_1 \circ X_2 &
 \end{array}$$

Let  $[[x_1, \gamma], x_2] \in (X_1 \circ G_2) \circ X_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Id}_{X_1} \circ l(G_1) (a(X_1, G_2, X_2) ([[x_1, \gamma], x_2])) &= [x_1, \gamma x_2] \\
 &= [x_1 \gamma, x_2] = r(G_2) \circ \text{Id}_{X_2} ([[x_1, \gamma], x_2]).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves all the axioms and hence the theorem.  $\square$

From here up to Remark 3.26 is the proof of last claim in the associativity isomorphism in Theorem 3.15. Recall the data and notation for the discussion that follows from page 25:

We have the commuting diagram in Figure 6. From Lemma 3.17 to 3.23, we discuss the measures residing on the spaces and various families of measures along the maps in the left-half of Figure 6; the discussion ends at Lemma 3.23. Following is the idea behind this: for each  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ , the measure  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$  on  $T^{(0)}$  is  $(G_2 \times G_3, \alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)$ -quasi-invariant. We multiply this measure by appropriate 0-cocycles on the groupoid  $T$  so that the resultant measure is invariant. This invariant measure then agrees a disintegration along the map  $\pi_{123}$  with respect to a family of measures along  $\pi_{123}$  —which is induced by  $\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3$ — to produce measures  $\mu_{(12)3}$  and  $\mu_{123}$  on  $X_{123}$ . Lemma 3.23 shows that the measures  $a'_*{}^{-1}(\mu_{(12)3_u})$  and  $\mu_{123_u}$  on  $X_{123}$  are equivalent.

In the right-half of Figure 6, a measure  $\mu_{1(23)_u}$  similar to  $\mu_{(12)3_u}$  can be defined on  $X_{1(23)}$ , and an analogue of Lemma 3.23 can be proved for  $a''_*{}^{-1}(\mu_{1(23)_u})$  and  $\mu_{123_u}$ .

Putting the above two observations together, finally, Proposition 3.24 shows that the families of measures  $a_*(\mu_{(12)3})$  and  $a_{1(23)}$  on  $X_{1(23)}$  are equivalent; the proposition also computes that the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $da_*(\mu_{(12)3_u})/da_{1(23)_u}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T^{(0)} & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi_{(12)3} & & \searrow \pi_{(12)3} & \\
 & & \pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3} & & \text{Id}_{X_1} \times \pi_{23} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3 & & X_{123} & & X_1 \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_{23} \\
 \swarrow \pi'_{(12)3} & & \downarrow \pi_{123} & & \searrow \pi'_{(12)3} \\
 X_{(12)3} & \xleftarrow{a'} & X_{123} & \xrightarrow{a''} & X_{1(23)} \\
 & & \downarrow a = a'' \circ a'^{-1} & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 6

Let  $[\alpha_2] \times \delta_{X_3} = \{[\alpha_2] \times \delta_{X_3([x,y],z)}\}_{([x,y],z) \in X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3}$  be the family of measures along  $\pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3}$  defined as

$$\int_{T^{(0)}} f(t) d[\alpha_2] \times \delta_{X_3([x,y],z)}(t) = \int_{G_2} f(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y, z) d\alpha_2(\gamma)$$

for  $f \in C_c(T^{(0)})$ ; let  $[\alpha'_3] = \{\alpha'_3'_{[[x,y],z]}\}_{[[x,y],z] \in X_{(12)3}}$  be the one along  $\pi'_{(12)3}$  given by

$$\int_{X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3} g(t) d[\alpha'_3]'_{[[x,y],z]}(t) = \int_{G_3} g([x,y]\eta, \eta^{-1}z) d\alpha_3(\eta)$$

where  $g \in C_c(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3)$ . The composite  $[\alpha'_3] \circ ([\alpha_2] \times \delta_{X_3})$  gives a family of measures along  $\pi_{(12)3} = \pi'_{(12)3} \circ (\pi_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3})$ . On the other hand, define families of measures

- (i)  $\delta_{X_1} \times [\alpha_3]'$  along  $\text{Id}_{X_1} \times \pi_{23}$ ,
- (ii)  $[\alpha_2]'$  along  $\pi'_{123}$  and
- (iii)  $\pi_{1(23)}$  along  $\pi_{1(23)}$

analogous to  $[\alpha_2] \times \delta_{X_3}$ ,  $[\alpha_3]'$  and  $\pi_{(12)3}$ , respectively. Finally, let  $\alpha_{123}$  be the family of measures along  $\pi_{123}$  as in (2) on page 6.

**Definition 3.16.** Define the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} A_{123} : C_c(T^{(0)}) &\rightarrow C_c(X_{123}), & A_{123}(f)(w) &:= \alpha_{123_w}(f); \\ A_{12} : C_c(T^{(0)}) &\rightarrow C_c(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3), & A_{12}(f)(p) &:= ([\alpha_2] \times \delta_{X_3})_p(f); \\ A'_{(12)3} : C_c(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3) &\rightarrow C_c(X_{(12)3}), & A'_{(12)3}(h)(q) &:= [\alpha_3]'_q(h); \\ A_{(12)3} &= A'_{(12)3} \circ A_{12} & A_{(12)3}(f)(q) &:= \alpha_{(12)3_q}(f); \\ A'_* : C_c(X_{123}) &\rightarrow C_c(X_{(12)3}), & A'_*(k)(w) &:= k \circ a'^{-1}(w) \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in C_c(T^{(0)})$ ,  $h \in C_c(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3)$ ,  $k \in C_c(X_{123})$ ,  $p \in X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3$ ,  $q \in X_{(12)3}$  and  $w \in X_{(12)3}$ . And define  $A_{23}$ ,  $A'_{1(23)}$ ,  $A_{1(23)}$  and  $A''_*$  analogously using the families of measures  $\delta_{X_1} \times \alpha_{23}$ ,  $[\alpha_2]'$ ,  $\alpha_{1(23)}$  and the homeomorphism  $a''$ .

With the functions in Definition 3.16, we draw Figure 7.




FIGURE 7

**Lemma 3.17.** *The maps  $A'_*$  and  $A''_*$  are isomorphisms of complex vector spaces. And  $A_{(12)3} = A'_* \circ A_{123}$  and  $A_{1(23)} = A''_* \circ A_{123}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a'$  and  $a''$  are homeomorphisms,  $A'_*$  and  $A''_*$  are isomorphisms of complex vector spaces. Then, for  $f \in C_c(T^{(0)})$  and  $[x, y, z] \in X_{123}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(12)3}(f)([x, y, z]) &= \int_{G_3} A'_{(12)3}(f)([x, y]\eta, \eta^{-1}z) d\alpha_3^{r_{X_3}}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{G_3} \int_{G_2} f(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y\eta, \eta^{-1}z) d\alpha_2^{r_{X_2}(y)}(\gamma) d\alpha_3^{r_{X_3}}(\eta) \\ &= \int_{G_3 \times G_2} f(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y\eta, \eta^{-1}z) d(\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)^{(r_{X_2}(y), r_{X_3}(z))}(\gamma, \eta) \\ &= A_{123}(f)([x, y, z]) = A'_*(A_{123}(f))([x, y, z]). \end{aligned}$$

The third equality above is due to Fubini's theorem. This shows that  $(A_{(12)3} = A'_* \circ A_{123})$ . The last claim follows from a similar computation as above.  $\square$

With the help of Lemma 3.17, and definitions of  $A_{(12)3}$  and  $A_{1(23)}$ , one can see the Figure 7 commutes.

Now we discuss the (families of) measures on the spaces involved in the left-half of Figure 6. Let  $i = 1, 2$  and fix  $u \in G_{i+2}^{(0)}$ . Recall from the discussion about Equation (2.7) on page 6 that the measure  $\lambda_i \times \lambda_{i+1_u}$  on  $X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1}$  is  $(G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$ -quasi-invariant; the 1-cocycle  $D_i$  on the transformation groupoid  $(X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1}) \rtimes G_{i+1}$  that implements the quasi-invariance and is given by Equation (2.7); in this case it is

$$D_{i+1}(x_i, x_{i+1}, \gamma) = \Delta_{i+1}(\gamma^{-1}, x_{i+1})$$

where  $(x_i, x_{i+1}, \gamma) \in (X_i \times_{G_{i+1}^{(0)}} X_{i+1}) \rtimes G_{i+1}$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  is the adjoining function of the correspondence  $(X_{i+1}, \lambda_{i+1})$ .

Continuing the above discussion, we know that  $T^{(0)}$  is a proper  $G_{i+1}$ -space for an appropriate diagonal action. Now we notice that the family of measures  $\{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_v}\}_{v \in G_4^{(0)}}$  on  $T^{(0)}$  is  $(G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$ -quasi-invariant with the function

$$(3.18) \quad (x_1, x_2, x_3, \gamma) \mapsto D_{i+1}(x_i, x_{i+1}, \gamma) = \Delta_{i+1}(\gamma^{-1}, x_{i+1}), \quad T^{(0)} \rtimes G_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

as the modular function. Moreover, if we focus on the case  $i = 1$ ,

$$b_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3} : T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

is the 0-cocycle for which the measure  $b_{12} \times \text{Id}_{X_3} \cdot (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u})$  is  $G_2$ -invariant where  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ . This invariant measure induces the measure  $\mu_{12} \times \lambda_{3_u}$  on  $X_{12} \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_3$ . The action of  $G_3$  on  $T^{(0)}$  induces a proper diagonal action on  $X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3$ . This is a direct computation. Now the measure  $\mu_{12} \times \lambda_{3_u}$  is, in turn,  $(G_3, \alpha_3)$ -quasi-invariant with the function

$$D'_{(12)3} : ([x, y], z, \eta) \mapsto \Delta_3(\eta^{-1}, z), \quad (X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3) \rtimes G_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

as the modular function; this is a direct computation that uses  $(G_3, \alpha_3)$ -quasi-invariance of the family of measures  $\lambda_3$  on  $X_3$ .

Let  $b'_{(12)3}$  be a 0-cocycle on the transformation groupoid  $(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3) \rtimes G_3$  such that

$$d^0(b'_{(12)3}) = D'_{(12)3}$$

Then  $b''_{(12)3}(\mu_2 \times \lambda_3)$  is a  $G_3$ -invariant family of measures. This family of measures induces the family of measures  $\mu'_{(12)3}$  on  $X_{(12)3}$  that so that  $(X_{(12)3}, \mu'_{(12)3}) : (G_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (G_4, \alpha_4)$  is a topological correspondence.

All the claims above follow from a direct computation. In the next lemma and what succeeds it, we discuss the measures on  $X_{123}$  using the map  $\pi_{123}$ .

**Lemma 3.19** (For associativity isomorphism). *(i) For  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ , the measure  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3u}$  on  $T^{(0)}$  is  $(T, \alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)$ -quasi-invariant. The 1-cocycle  $D$  on  $T$  that implements the quasi-invariance is given by  $D(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) := D_2(x, y, \gamma)D'_{(12)3}([x, y], z, \eta)$  where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are defined in above discussion.*

*(ii) The map  $B': T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $B': (x, y, z) \mapsto b_{12}(x, y)b'_{(12)3}([x, y], z)$  is a 0-cocycle on  $T$  with  $d^0(B') = D$ .*

*Proof.* (i): Fix  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ , and let  $f \in C_c(T)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T^{(0)}} \int_T f(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) d(\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)^{(x, y, z)}(\gamma, \eta) d(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3u})(x, y, z) \\
&= \int_{X_3} \int_{X_2} \int_{X_1} \int_{G_3} \int_{G_2} f(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) d\alpha_2^{r_{X_2}(y)}(\gamma) d\alpha_3^{r_{X_3}(z)}(\eta) \\
&\quad d\lambda_1^{r_{X_2}(y)}(x) d\lambda_2^{r_{X_3}(z)}(y) d\lambda_3^u(z) \\
&= \int_{X_3} \int_{X_2} \int_{X_1} \int_{G_3} \int_{G_2} f(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y\eta, \eta^{-1}z, \gamma^{-1}, \eta^{-1}) \Delta_2(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma y) \Delta_3(\eta^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}z\eta) \\
&\quad d\alpha_2^{r_{X_2}(y)}(\gamma) d\alpha_3^{r_{X_3}(z)}(\eta) d\lambda_1^{r_{X_2}(y)}(x) \lambda_2^{r_{X_3}(z)}(y) \lambda_3^u(z) \\
&= \int_{T^{(0)}} \int_T f(x\gamma^{-1}, \gamma y\eta^{-1}, \eta z, \gamma, \eta) \Delta_{12}(x\gamma^{-1}, \gamma) \Delta_{23}(\gamma y\eta^{-1}, \eta) \\
&\quad d(\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)^{(x, y, z)}(\gamma, \eta) d(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_3)(x, y, z) \\
&= \int_{T^{(0)}} \int_T f(x\gamma^{-1}, \gamma y\eta^{-1}, \eta z, \gamma, \eta) D(x\gamma^{-1}, \gamma y\eta^{-1}, \eta z, \gamma, \eta) \\
&\quad d(\alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)^{(x, y, z)}(\gamma, \eta) d(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_3)(x, y, z)
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is due to a direct computation that usages Fubini's theorem and  $(G_2, \alpha_2)$ -quasi-invariant of  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ , and Fubini's theorem and  $(G_3, \alpha_3)$ -quasi-invariant of  $\lambda_2 \times \lambda_3$ , and Fubini's theorem. This shows that the function  $D$  is the desired 0-cocycle.

(ii): This claim follows from a direct computation: for  $(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) \in T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
d^0(B')(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) &:= \frac{B' \circ s_T(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta)}{B' \circ r_T(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta)} = \frac{B'(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y\eta, \eta^{-1}z)}{B'(x, y, z)} \\
&= \frac{b_{12}(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y\eta)}{b_{12}(x, y)} \frac{b'_{(12)3}([x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y\eta], \eta^{-1}z)}{b'_{(12)3}([x, y], z)} = \frac{b_{12}(x\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y)}{b_{12}(x, y)} \frac{b'_{(12)3}([x, y]\eta, \eta^{-1}z)}{b'_{(12)3}([x, y], z)} \\
&= \frac{D_2 \circ s_{(X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2) \rtimes G_2}(x, y, \gamma)}{D_2 \circ r_{(X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_2) \rtimes G_2}(x, y, \gamma)} \frac{D'_{(12)3} \circ s_{(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3) \rtimes G_3}([x, y], z, \eta)}{D'_{(12)3} \circ r_{(X_{12} \times_{G_3^{(0)}} X_3) \rtimes G_3}([x, y], z, \eta)} \\
&= \Delta_2(\gamma^{-1}, y) \Delta_{(12)3}([x, y], z\eta) = D(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

We use the  $G_3$ -invariance of  $b_{12}$  and  $G_2$ -invariance of  $b_{23}$  to get the fourth equality.  $\square$

To see what does the analogue of above lemma say regarding the right-half of Figure 6, we continue the discussion that follows Equation (3.18) for  $i = 2$ . In this case,

$$\text{Id}_{X_1} \times b_{23}: T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

is the 0-cocycle for which the measure  $\text{Id}_{X_1} \times b_{23} \cdot (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u})$  is  $G_2$ -invariant where  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ . This invariant measure induces the measure  $\lambda_1 \times \mu_{23_u}$  on  $X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_{23}$ . The action of  $G_2$  on  $T^{(0)}$  induces a proper diagonal action on  $X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_{23}$ . The measure  $\lambda_1 \times \mu_{12_u}$  is, in turn,  $(G_2, \alpha_2)$ -quasi-invariant with the function

$$D''_{1(23)}: (x, [y, z], \eta) \mapsto \Delta_2(\eta^{-1}, y), \quad (X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_{23}) \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

as the modular function; recall from [5, Remark 2.5] that  $\Delta_2$  is  $G_3$ -invariant due to which  $D''_{1(23)}$  is well-defined. Now fix a 0-cocycle  $b''_{(12)3}$  on the transformation groupoid  $(X_1 \times_{G_2^{(0)}} X_{23}) \rtimes G_2$  with the property that

$$d^0(b'_{1(23)}) = D'_{1(23)}.$$

Then  $b''_{1(23)}(\lambda_1 \times \mu_2)$  is a  $G_2$ -invariant family of measures. This family of measures induces the family of measures  $\mu'_{1(23)}$  on  $X_{1(23)}$  that so that  $(X_{1(23)}, \mu'_{1(23)}): (G_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (G_4, \alpha_4)$  is a topological correspondence. Now the analogue of Lemma 3.19 can be stated as

**Lemma 3.20.** (i) For  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ , the measure  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$  on  $T^{(0)}$  is  $(T, \alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)$ -quasi-invariant. The 1-cocycle  $D_R$  on  $T$  that implements the quasi-invariance is given by  $D_R(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) := D_{1(23)}(x, [y, z], \gamma)D_3(y, z, \eta)$ .  
(ii) The map  $B'': T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $B'': (x, y, z) \mapsto b_{23}(y, z)b''_{1(23)}(x, [y, z])$  is a 0-cocycle on  $T$  with  $d^0(B'') = D_R$ .

*Proof.* Similar to that of Lemma 3.19.  $\square$

*Remark 3.21.* Since the cocycles  $D$  and  $D_R$  in Lemmas 3.19 and 3.20 are modular functions of the measure  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_3$  on the unit space of the locally compact groupoid  $T$  equipped with the Haar system  $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ , we have  $D = D_R \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_3$ -almost everywhere on  $T^{(0)}$ . But both  $D$  and  $D_R$  are continuous. Therefore,  $D = D_R$ . Hereon, we shall use  $D$  for this cocycle. In fact, one can show that  $D(x, y, z, \gamma, \eta) = D_2(x, y, \gamma)D_3(y, z, \eta)$  and  $P: T^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by  $P(x, y, z) = b_{12}(x, y)b_{23}(y, z)$  is a 0-cocycle with  $d^0(P) = D$ .

Now we fix a 0-cocycle  $B$  on  $T$  with the property that  $d^0(B) = D$ ; use the same cocycle while working with the right-half of Figure 6. Then Lemma 3.19 and Proposition 3.1(i) in [4] gives us a family of measures  $\mu_{123} := \{\mu_{123_u}\}_{u \in G_4^{(0)}}$  with the property that

$$(3.22) \quad \mu_{123_u}(A_{123}(f)) = (B \cdot (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}))(f)$$

for all  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ . Additionally, since  $d^0(B') = d^0(B) = D$ , Remark 1.1.4 in [5] says that the function  $B'/B$  (or  $B''/B$ ) is constant on the  $T$ -orbits of  $T^{(0)}$ . Thus  $B'/B$  (or  $B''/B$ ) induces a continuous function on  $T^{(0)} \setminus T = X_{123}$  which we denote by  $[B'/B]$  (or  $[B''/B]$ , respectively).

**Lemma 3.23.** The families of measures  $a'^{-1}_* \mu_{(12)3}$  and  $\mu_{123}$  on  $X_{123} = T^{(0)}/T$  are equivalent, and  $[B'/B]$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $da'^{-1}_* \mu_{(12)3_u} / d\mu_{123_u}$  where  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ .

*Proof.* We claim that the measure  $a'^{-1}_*(\mu_{(12)3_u})$  on  $X_{123}$  disintegrates the measure  $B' \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$

$$a'^{-1}_*(\mu_{(12)3_u}) \circ A_{123} B' \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}.$$

Therefore,  $B' \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$  is a  $(T, \alpha_2 \times \alpha_3)$ -invariant measure on  $T^{(0)}$  (see Proposition 3.1(i) in [4]). We already know that  $B \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$  is also such

an invariant measure on  $T^{(0)}$ , see Equation (3.22) and the discussion preceding it. Moreover, since  $B$  and  $B'$  are  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -valued cocycles,  $B' \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$  and  $B \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}$  are equivalent measures with the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{d B' \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}}{d B \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times \lambda_{3_u}} = \frac{B'}{B}.$$

Now we apply Lemma 3.6 to these two invariant measures on  $T^{(0)}$  to infer the claim of the present lemma. Following is the proof regarding disintegration of the measure: Let  $f \in C_c(T^{(0)})$  and  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a'^{-1} \mu_{(12)3_u}^*(A_{123}(f)) &:= \mu_{(12)3_u}^*(A_{123}(f) \circ a'^{-1}) \\ &= \mu_{(12)3_u}^*(A'_* \circ A_{123}(f)) = \mu_{(12)3_u}^*(A_{(12)3}(f)) = \mu_{(12)3_u}^*(A_{23}(A_{12}(f))) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is due to Definition 3.16, second one is the definition of push-forward of a measures, the second one follows due to Lemma 3.17 and third one follows from the definition of  $A_{(12)3}$  (cf. Definition 3.16). We use the disintegration  $b'_{(12)3} \cdot \mu_{12} \times \lambda_{3_u} = \mu_{(12)3_u} \circ A_{23}$  of measures along  $\pi'_{(12)3}$  to see that the last term above equals

$$\begin{aligned} &b'_{(12)3} \cdot \mu_{12} \times \lambda_{3_u}(A_{12}(f)) \\ &= \int_{X_3} \int_{X_{12}} A_{12}(f)([x, y], z) b'_{(12)3}([x, y], z) d\mu_{12_{r_{X_3}(z)}}([x, y]) d\lambda_{3_u}(z) \\ &= \int_{X_3} \mu_{12_{r_{X_3}(z)}}(A_{12}(f) b'_{(12)3}) d\lambda_{3_u}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the disintegration of families of measures  $\mu_{12} \circ A_{12} = b_{12} \cdot \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$  to see that the last term in above equation equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{X_3} \int_{X_1} \int_{X_2} f(x, y, z) b_{12}(x, y) b'_{(12)3}([x, y], z) d\lambda_{1_{r_{X_2}(y)}}(x) d\lambda_{2_{r_{X_3}(z)}}(y) d\lambda_{3_u}(z) \\ &= \int_{X_1} \int_{X_2} \int_{X_3} f(x, y, z) B'(x, y, z) d\lambda_{1_{r_{X_2}(y)}}(x) d\lambda_{2_{r_{X_3}(z)}}(y) d\lambda_{3_u}(z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $B'$  is the 0-cocycle on  $T$  as in Lemma 3.19.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.24.** *The families of measures  $a_*(\mu_{(12)3})$  and  $\mu_{1(23)}$  on  $X_{1(23)}$  are equivalent, and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $da_*\mu_{(12)3}/d\mu_{1(23)} = [B'/B''] \circ a''^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 3.23 shows that  $a'^{-1}(\mu_{(12)3}) \sim \mu_{123}$  on  $X_{123}$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $da'^{-1}\mu_{(12)3_u}/d\mu_{123_u} = [B'/B]$  for  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ . For the right-half of Figure 6, one may prove —on the similar lines as Lemma 3.23— that  $a''^{-1}(\mu_{1(23)}) \sim \mu_{123}$  on  $X_{123}$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $da''^{-1}\mu_{1(23)_u}/d\mu_{123_u} = [B''/B]$  for  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$ .

Now the transitivity of equivalence of measures implies that  $a'^{-1}(\mu_{(12)3}) \sim a''^{-1}(\mu_{1(23)})$  on  $X_{123}$ , and the function  $[B'/B'']$  implements the equivalence. Using Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$(3.25) \quad a'' a'^{-1}(\mu_{(12)3}) \sim a'' a''^{-1}(\mu_{1(23)}) \quad \text{on } X_{1(23)}.$$

Furthermore, Lemma 3.3, implies that  $a'' a'^{-1}(\mu_{(12)3}) = (a'' \circ a'^{-1})_*(\mu_{(12)3}) = a_*(\mu_{(12)3})$ , and, similarly,  $a'' a''^{-1}(\mu_{1(23)}) = \mu_{1(23)}$ . Therefore, Equation (3.25) says that  $a_*(\mu_{(12)3}) \sim \mu_{1(23)}$ . Moreover, due to the Chain rule, for each  $u \in G_4^{(0)}$  the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$da_*\mu_{(12)3_u}/d\mu_{1(23)_u} = [B'/B''] \circ a''^{-1}.$$

□

*Remark 3.26.* Since  $B', B''$  and  $a''$  are  $G_4$ -invariant, so are  $B'/B'', [B'/B'']$  and  $[B'/B''] \circ a''^{-1}$ . The 0-cocycles  $B$  and  $B'$  on  $T^{(0)}$  induces well-defined function  $[B'/B'']$  on  $X_{123}$ .

### 3.3. The $C^*$ -bifunctor.

**Lemma 3.27.** *Let  $(X, \lambda)$  and  $(Y, \tau)$  be topological correspondences, with  $\Delta_X$  and  $\Delta_Y$  as the adjoining functions, respectively, from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ . Let  $t: X \rightarrow Y$  be an isomorphism of topological correspondences. Let  $G \ltimes X$  denote the transformation groupoid for the left action of  $G$  on  $X$ . Let  $M: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the continuous function  $M(y) = \frac{\tau_{s_Y(y)}}{dt_*(\lambda_{s_Y(y)})}(y)$ .*

- i)  $M$  is  $H$ -invariant.*
- ii)  $\Delta_X = (M \circ t \circ r_{G \ltimes X} / M \circ t \circ s_{G \ltimes X}) \Delta_Y \circ (\text{Id} \times t)$ .*

*Proof.* (i): Fix  $u \in H^{(0)}$ . By definition  $\tau_u$  is invariant measures on the space of units of the transformation groupoid  $X \rtimes H$  when the transformation groupoid is equipped with the Haar system induced by  $\beta$ ; similar claim holds for  $\lambda_u$ . Since  $t$  is  $G$ - $H$ -equivariant homeomorphism,  $t_*(\lambda_u)$  is also a  $X \rtimes H$ -invariant measure on  $Y$ . Also, since  $t$  is an isomorphism of topological correspondences  $t_*(\lambda_u) \sim \tau_u$  for all  $u \in H^{(0)}$ . Now Lemma 3.6 gives us the desired result.

(ii): The isomorphism  $t$  induces the  $G$ - $H$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\text{Id} \times t: G \times_{G^{(0)}} X \rightarrow G \times_{G^{(0)}} Y$ . Let  $f \in C_c(G \times X)$  and  $u \in H^{(0)}$ . Then we may write

$$(3.28) \quad \int_X \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}, x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) d\lambda_u(x) \\ = \int_X \int_G f(\gamma^{-1}, t^{-1}(y)) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) d\lambda_u(t^{-1}(y))$$

as  $r_Y(y) = r_X(x)$ . The last term can be written as

$$\int_X \int_G f \circ (\text{Id} \times t)^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}, y) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) dt_*(\lambda_u)(y).$$

Change the measures  $t_*(\lambda_u)$  to  $\tau_u$  makes above term equal to

$$\int_X \int_G f \circ (\text{Id} \times t)^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}, y) \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(y) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) d\tau_u(y).$$

Using the  $(G, \alpha)$ -quasi-invariance of  $\tau$ , we see that above term equals

$$\int_X \int_G f \circ (\text{Id} \times t)^{-1}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y) \Delta_Y(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y) \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(y) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) d\tau_u(y).$$

Now change the measures  $\tau_u$  to  $t_*(\lambda_u)$  to see that above term equals

$$\int_X \int_G f \circ (\text{Id} \times t)^{-1}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y) \Delta_Y(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y) \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(y) \frac{d\tau_u}{dt_*(\lambda_u)}(\gamma^{-1}y) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) dt_*(\lambda_u)(y).$$

Now note that, since  $t_*(\lambda_u) \sim \tau_u$ ,  $d\tau_u/dt_*(\lambda_u) = (dt_*(\lambda_u)/d\tau_u)^{-1}$ . Therefore, above term equals which is same as

$$(3.29) \quad \int_X \int_G f(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) \Delta_Y(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}t(x)) \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(y) \left( \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(\gamma^{-1}y) \right)^{-1} d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) \lambda_u(x).$$

Comparing Equations (3.28) and (3.29) with the definition of adjoining function of  $(X, \lambda)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_X(\gamma^{-1}, x) &= \Delta_Y(\gamma^{-1}, t(x)) \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(\gamma^{-1}y) \Big/ \frac{dt_*(\lambda_u)}{d\tau_u}(y) \\ &= \frac{M \circ t \circ r_{G \times X}}{M \circ t \circ s_{G \times X}}(\gamma^{-1}, x) \Delta_Y \circ (\text{Id} \times t)(\gamma^{-1}, x) \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda_u \circ \alpha$ -almost everywhere on  $G \times_{G^{(0)}} X_u$ . But  $\Delta_X, \Delta_Y, t$  and  $M_u$  are continuous functions, therefore, the equality holds for all  $(\gamma^{-1}, x) \in G \times_{H^{(0)}} X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.30.** *With the same data and hypothesis as Lemma 3.27,  $t$  induces an isomorphism  $T: \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$  of  $C^*$ -correspondences.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  continue to have the same meaning in Lemma 3.27. Define

$$T: C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y), \quad T(f) = f \circ t^{-1} \cdot M^{1/2}$$

where  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Then we claim that  $T$  induces an isomorphism of topological correspondences from  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  to  $\mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$ .

We first prove that  $T$  extends to a unitary operator of Hilbert  $C^*(H, \beta)$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$ . Firstly,  $T$  is clearly a linear mapping between complex vector spaces. Let  $\psi \in C_c(H)$  and  $f, g \in C_c(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(f\psi)(y) &= (f\psi)(t^{-1}(y)) M^{1/2}(y) = \int_H f(t^{-1}(y)\eta)\psi(\eta^{-1}) M^{1/2}(y) d\beta^{s_X(x)}(\eta) \\ &= \int_H f \circ t^{-1}(y\eta) f \circ t^{-1}(y\eta)\psi(\eta^{-1}) M^{1/2}(y\eta) d\beta^{s_X(x)}(\eta) \\ &= \int_H T(f)(y\eta)\psi(\eta^{-1}) \beta^{s_Y(y)}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

To get the third equality above, we use the facts that  $t^{-1}$  is a  $H$ -equivariant homeomorphism, and that  $M$  is  $H$ -invariant (cf. 3.27(i)). Thus  $T$  is a linear map of pre-Hilbert modules over the pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $C_c(H)$ .

Now, recall from Lemma 3.27 that  $M = dt_*(\lambda)/d\tau$ . Applying chain rule to the composites of the functions  $(X, \lambda_u) \xrightarrow{t} (Y, \tau_u) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} (X, \lambda_u)$  of measures spaces, where  $u \in H^{(0)}$ , one observes that

$$(3.31) \quad 1 = d\lambda_u/d\lambda_u = M \circ t \cdot \frac{dt_*^{-1}(\tau_u)}{d\lambda_u} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dt_*^{-1}(\tau_u)}{d\lambda_u} = \frac{d\tau_u}{dt_*(\lambda_u)} \circ t = \frac{1}{M} \circ t.$$

This observation motivates us to define

$$T^*: C_c(Y) \rightarrow C_c(X) \quad \text{by} \quad T^*(g) = g \circ t \cdot \sqrt{\frac{d\tau}{dt_*(\lambda)}} \circ t = g \circ t \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \circ t$$

for  $g \in C_c(Y)$ , and expect that  $T^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$ . We verify claim: for  $f \in C_c(X), g \in C_c(Y)$  and  $\eta \in H$ , Equation (2.3) gives

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle(\eta) = \int_X \overline{f \circ t^{-1}(y)} M^{1/2}(y) g(y\eta) d\tau_{\tau_H(\eta)}(y).$$

Now we change the measure  $\tau_{\tau_H(\eta)}$  to  $t_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})$  in the last term so it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \overline{f \circ t^{-1}(y)} \sqrt{\frac{dt_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})}{d\tau_{\tau_H(\eta)}}}(y) \frac{d\tau_{\tau_H(\eta)}}{dt_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})}(y) g(y\eta) dt_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})(y) \\ = \int_X \overline{f \circ t^{-1}(y)} \sqrt{\frac{d\tau_{\tau_H(\eta)}}{dt_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})}}(y) g(y\eta) dt_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})(y). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $t$  is an equivariant homeomorphism. Now change the measure  $t_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})$  to  $t_*^{-1}(t_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})) = \lambda_{r_H(\eta)}$ . Then  $y \mapsto t(x)$  and the last term above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \overline{f(x)} \sqrt{\frac{d\tau_{r_H(\eta)}}{dt_*(\lambda_{r_H(\eta)})}} \circ t(x)g \circ t(x\eta) d\lambda_{r_H(\eta)}(x) \\ = \int_X \overline{f(x)} T^*(g)(x\eta) d\lambda_{r_H(\eta)}(x) = \langle f, T^*(g) \rangle (\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Equation (3.31) one can check that  $\text{Id}_{C_c(X)} = T^* \circ T$  and  $\text{Id}_{C_c(Y)} = T \circ T^*$  — for  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$T^*(T(f))(x) = T(f)(t(x)) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{M(t(x))}} = f(x) \frac{\sqrt{M(t(x))}}{\sqrt{M(t(x))}} = f(x).$$

Similarly,  $T \circ T^* = \text{Id}_{C_c(Y)}$ . This implies that  $T: C_c(X) \rightarrow C_c(Y)$  is an isometric isomorphism of pre-Hilbert modules over the pre-C\*-algebra  $C_c(H, \beta)$  with  $T^{-1} = T^*$ . Similar claim holds for  $T^*$ . Now extend the isometries  $T$  and  $T^*$  to unitaries of Hilbert module  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$ ; we shall use the symbols  $T$  and  $T^*$  themselves for the unitary extensions of the isomorphisms  $T$  and  $T^*$  of pre-Hilbert modules.

In the rest of the part of the proof, we show that  $T'$  intertwines the representation of  $C^*(G, \alpha)$  on  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$ . This will complete the proof.

Let

$$\pi_1: C^*(G, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda))_{C^*(H, \beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2: C^*(G, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(Y, \tau))_{C^*(H, \beta)}$$

denote the representations that gives the C\*-correspondences  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$ . The first one in Equation (2.2) gives these representations for certain functions. Due to density of  $C_c(X)$  and  $C_c(Y)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(Y, \tau)$ , it suffices to show that  $T \circ \pi_1(\psi)(f) = \pi_2(\psi) \circ T(f)$  for  $\psi \in C_c(G)$  and  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Following is the computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(\psi)(T(f))(y) &= \int_G \psi(\gamma) T(f)(\gamma^{-1}y) \Delta_Y^{1/2}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) \\ &= \int_G \psi(\gamma) f \circ t^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}y) M^{-1/2}(\gamma^{-1}y) \Delta_Y(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y)^{1/2} d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where  $y \in Y$ . The last term above can also be written as

$$\int_G \psi(\gamma) f \circ t^{-1}(\gamma^{-1}y) \frac{M^{1/2}(y)}{M^{1/2}(\gamma^{-1}y)} \Delta_Y(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}y)^{1/2} M^{-1/2}(y) d\alpha^{r_Y(y)}(\gamma).$$

Now change the variable  $y \mapsto t(x)$ , and use the  $G$ -equivariance of  $t^{-1}$  so the last term can be written as

$$\int_G \psi(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}x) \sqrt{\left( \frac{M \circ t(x)}{M \circ t(\gamma^{-1}x)} \Delta_Y \circ (\text{Id}_G \times t)(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) \right)} M^{-1/2}(t(x)) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma).$$

Using Lemma 3.27(ii), we can write the above term as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \psi(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}x) \sqrt{\Delta_X(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x)} M^{-1/2}(t(x)) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) \\ = M^{-1/2}(t(x)) \int_G \psi(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}x) \Delta_X^{1/2}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) \\ = M^{-1/2}(t(x)) \cdot \pi_2(\psi)(f)(x) = M^{-1/2}(y) \cdot (\pi_1(\psi)f) \circ t^{-1}(y) = T \circ \pi_1(\psi)(f)(y); \end{aligned}$$

in the second last equality above, we change the variable  $t(x) \mapsto y$ .  $\square$

Note that in Proposition 3.30, the identity map on  $X$  induces the identity isomorphism on  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$ .

**Corollary 3.32.** *Along with the same data and hypothesis as Lemma 3.27, assume that  $(Z, \kappa)$  is another topological correspondence from  $(G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$ , and  $l: Y \rightarrow Z$  is an isomorphism of correspondences. If  $L: \mathcal{H}(Y, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Z, \kappa)$  is the isomorphism of  $C^*$ -correspondences that  $l$  induces, and  $T$  the one induced by  $t$ , then  $T \circ L: \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Z, \kappa)$  is the isomorphism of  $C^*$ -correspondences that the composite  $l \circ t$  induces.*

*Proof.* Follows from the definitions of  $T$  and  $L$  and the chain rule for measures.  $\square$

*Remark 3.33.* Let  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  be separable  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. In [11, Definition 2.2], Sunder defines an automorphism of  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  as a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -measurable function  $T: X \rightarrow X$  which is  $\mu$ -almost everywhere invertible. Then the automorphisms of  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  form a group, say  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space, and let  $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mu; \mathcal{H})$  denote the Hilbert space of  $\mu$ -square integrable function on  $X$  taking values in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then Sunder shows the  $G$  group has an obvious unitary representation on  $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mu; \mathcal{H})$ , see [11, Proposition 2.4] for details and compare it with Proposition 3.30 and Corollary 3.32. On the similar lines, with the help of Proposition 3.30 and Corollary 3.32, one may start with a locally compact second countable topological space  $X$ , a map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  of spaces, a continuous family of measures along  $\pi$ . Define the group  $G$  of continuous automorphisms of the pair  $\mu$  as all homeomorphisms  $T$  of  $X$  with  $\pi \circ T = \pi$ . Now let  $p: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$  be a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. Let  $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mu; \mathcal{H})$  denote the Hilbert  $C_0(Y)$ -module consisting of square-integrable sections of  $p$ . Then  $G$ , the group of automorphisms of  $(X, \mu)$ , has a representation on  $\mathcal{L}^2(X, \mu; \mathcal{H})$ . This representation is given by similar formula as in [11, Proposition 2.4].

**Corollary 3.34.** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} (X, \alpha): (G_1, \lambda_1) &\rightarrow (G_2, \lambda_2), \\ (Y, \beta): (G_2, \lambda_2) &\rightarrow (G_3, \lambda_3) \end{aligned}$$

*be correspondences and let  $(\Omega, \mu), (\Omega, \mu'): (G_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow (G_3, \lambda_3)$  be two composites of them. Then  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega, \mu')$  are isomorphic  $C^*$ -correspondences.*

*Proof.* Follows directly from Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.30.  $\square$

Denote the bicategory of topological correspondences by  $\mathfrak{T}$  and the one of  $C^*$ -correspondences by  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.35.** *Let  $(G, \alpha), (H, \beta)$  objects in  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $(X, \lambda): (G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$  a 1-arrow. Then the assignments  $(G, \alpha) \mapsto C^*(G, \alpha)$  and  $(X, \lambda) \mapsto \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  define a bifunctor from  $\mathfrak{T}$  to  $\mathfrak{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall Definition 2.14. We define the bifunctor  $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \phi): \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  by the following assignments of objects, 1-arrows, 2-arrows, identity morphisms and natural transformations in  $\mathfrak{T}$  to that of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . The note in parentheses at the end of each item indicates what data in the definition of bifunctor it refers to.

*Object::*  $F((G, \alpha)) = C^*(G, \alpha)$  (Data (i) in Definition 2.14)

*1-arrow::* map a 1-arrow  $(X, \lambda): (G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$  to the 1-arrow  $F((X, \lambda)) = \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$  in  $\mathfrak{C}(C^*(G, \alpha), C^*(H, \beta))$  (Data (ii) in Definition 2.14).

*2-arrow::* map a 2-arrow  $t$  in  $\mathfrak{T}((G, \alpha), (H, \beta))$  to the isomorphism of  $C^*$ -correspondences  $F(t) := T$  in  $\mathfrak{C}(C^*(G, \alpha), C^*(H, \beta))$  as in Proposition 3.30. Note that  $F$  is a functor from  $\mathfrak{T}((G, \alpha), (H, \beta))$  to  $\mathfrak{C}(C^*(G, \alpha), C^*(H, \beta))$ ; this follows from Corollary 3.32 and the remark above it (Data (ii) in Definition 2.14).

*Identity 2-morphism:* In  $\mathfrak{T}$  the identity 1-arrow at  $(G, \alpha)$  is  $(G, \alpha^{-1})$ , and the one at  $C^*(G, \alpha)$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  is  $C^*(G, \alpha)$ . The identity 2-arrow  $C^*(G, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, \alpha^{-1})$  is the identity isomorphism  $\text{Id}_{C^*(G, \alpha)}$  of  $C^*$ -correspondences as discussed in Example 2.5 (Data (iii) in Definition 2.14).

*Natural transformation between composites:* Let  $(X, \lambda): (G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$  and  $(Y, \mu): (H, \beta) \rightarrow (K, \nu)$  be 1-arrows. Then the natural transformation  $\phi((G, \alpha), (H, \beta), (K, \nu))$  between composites in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$  is the isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) \otimes_{C^*(H, \beta)} \mathcal{H}(Y, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X \circ Y, \lambda \circ \mu)$  defined in Theorem 2.10.

We briefly recall definition of  $\phi((G, \alpha), (H, \beta), (K, \nu))$ . Write simply  $\phi$ . For  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $g \in C_c(Y)$ ,  $\phi(f \otimes g) \in C_c((X \times_{H^{(0)}} Y)/H)$  is the function

$$(3.36) \quad \phi(f \otimes g)([x, y]) = \int_H f(x\eta)g(\eta^{-1}y)b^{-1/2}(x\eta, \eta^{-1}y) d\beta^{r_Y}(y)(\eta) := [(f \otimes g)b^{1/2}]( [x, y] )$$

where  $[x, y]$  is the equivalence class of  $(x, y) \in X \times_{H^{(0)}} Y$  in  $(X \times_{H^{(0)}} Y)/H$ , and  $b$  is the 0-cocycle used to construct the family of measures on  $(X \times_{H^{(0)}} Y)/H$ . For more details, reader may refer to proof of Theorem 3.14 in [4], particularly, page 110 there.

Now we prove that the pair  $(F, \phi) = \mathfrak{F}$  is a morphism from the bicategory  $\mathfrak{T}$  to the bicategory  $\mathfrak{C}$ . For this, we need to check that associativity coherence for transformations (Figures 2) and the coherence of (left and right) identity (Figure 3) hold. For this purpose, one can check the commutativity on the elementary tensors in the dense pre-Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules consisting of  $C_c$  functions. Then the result can be extended to Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules by using the linearity of maps and standard density arguments.

Checking commutativity of Figure 2 is not so hard; it follows from a direct computation the definition of the natural ho  $\phi$  and the associativity of 1-arrows in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Let  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and let  $(X_i, \lambda_i): (G_i, \alpha_i) \rightarrow (G_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1})$  be three 1-arrows in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Let  $f_i \in C_c(X_i, \lambda_i)$  where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . If one starts tracing how the function  $(f_1 \otimes f_2) \otimes f_3$  travels across Figure 2, we get Figure 8 from which, one can see the desired results clearly holds. In this figure, we write  $F(X_i)$  instead of  $F((X_i, \lambda_i))$  for simplicity of writing where  $i = 1, 2$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_1 \otimes (f_2 \otimes f_3) & \xleftarrow{\sim \frac{a(F(X_1), F(X_2), F(X_3))}{\sim}} & (f_1 \otimes f_2) \otimes f_3 \\ \text{Id}_{X_1} \circ \phi(X_2, X_3) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi(X_1, X_2) \circ \text{Id}_{F(X_3)} \\ f_1 \otimes ([f_2 \otimes f_3] b_2^{1/2}) & & ([f_1 \otimes f_2] b_1^{1/2}) \otimes f_3 \\ \phi(X_1, X_2 \circ X_3) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi(X_1 \circ X_2, X_3) \\ [f_1 \otimes [f_2 \otimes f_3]] b_1^{1/2} b_2^{1/2} & \xleftarrow{\sim \frac{F(a(X_1, X_2, X_3))}{\sim}} & [[f_1 \otimes f_2] \otimes f_3] b_1^{1/2} b_2^{1/2} \end{array}$$

FIGURE 8

*The identity isomorphisms:* Let  $(X, \lambda)$  be a correspondence from  $(G, \alpha)$  to  $(H, \beta)$ . First we check the left identity coherence. Let  $(G \circ X, \mu)$  denote a composite of the identity correspondence  $(G, \alpha^{-1})$  at  $(G, \alpha)$  and  $(X, \lambda)$ . Let  $b$  be a 0-cocycle on the transformation groupoid  $Q = (G \times_{G^{(0)}} X) \times G$  that is used to create  $\mu$ . Let  $\Delta_1$

denote the 1-cocycle on  $Q$  such that  $d^0(b) = \Delta_1$ . For the left identity coherence we need to check that Figure 9 commutes. In this figure, the map  $\text{Id}_{C^*(G, \alpha)}$  in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) & \xleftarrow{\quad \mathbb{T} \quad} & \mathcal{H}(G \circ X, \mu') \\ \uparrow \text{i} & & \uparrow \phi(G, X) \\ C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{Id}_{C^*(G, \alpha)} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)} \quad} & \mathcal{H}(G, \alpha^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 9

the bottom horizontal arrow the identity isomorphism discussed in Example 3.8; this isomorphism of Hilbert  $C^*(G, \alpha)$ -modules is induced by the identity map on  $C_c(G)$ . Thus  $\text{Id}_{C^*(G, \alpha)} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)}$  is the identity map. The right vertical map is the assignment  $\phi$  of 2-arrows. The top horizontal map  $\mathbb{T}$  is given in Proposition 3.30. And  $i$  is the isomorphism  $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$  for an elementary tensor  $a \otimes b \in C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$ . Let  $f \in C_c(G) \subseteq C^*(G, \alpha)$  and  $g \in C_c(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$ . Then for the elementary tensor  $f \otimes g \in C^*(G, \alpha) \otimes \mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)$ ,

$$\phi(G, X) (\text{Id}_{C^*(G, \alpha)} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}(X, \lambda)} (f \otimes g)) = [(f \otimes g)b^{1/2}]$$

where  $(f \otimes g)$  is defined in Equation (3.36). Recall from Equation 3.10 and Example 3.8 that  $d\mu/d\lambda(\gamma^{-1}, x) = b(s_G(\gamma), \gamma^{-1}x)$  for  $(\gamma^{-1}, x) \in G \times X$ . Also, recall that in this Example, we identify  $(G \times_{G^{(0)}} X)/G$  by  $G$  itself; this allows us to consider  $\mu$  as a family of measures on  $X$ . With this in mind, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}([(f \otimes g)b^{1/2}](x)) &= [(f \otimes g)b^{1/2}][r_X(x), x] \cdot \frac{d\mu_u}{d\lambda_u}([r_X(x), x])^{1/2} \\ &= b^{1/2}(r_X(x), x) \int_G f(\gamma)g(\gamma^{-1}x)b^{-1/2}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) \\ &= \int_G f(\gamma)g(\gamma^{-1}x) \sqrt{\frac{b(r_X(x), x)}{b(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x)}} d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $r_X(x) = r_G(\gamma)$ , and that for  $\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1} \in Q$ ,  $s_Q(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1}) = (r_G(\gamma), \gamma^{-1}x) = (r_X(x), \gamma^{-1}x)$  and  $r_Q(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1}) = (\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x)$ . With this we re-write the last term in above computation as follows and compute further:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G f(\gamma)g(\gamma^{-1}x) \sqrt{\frac{b \circ s_Q(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1})}{b \circ r_Q(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1})}} d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) \\ &= \int_G f(\gamma)g(\gamma^{-1}x) \sqrt{\Delta_1(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1})} d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\Delta_1(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1}) = \Delta(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x)$ . Therefore, the above term equals

$$\int_G f(\gamma)g(\gamma^{-1}x)\Delta^{1/2}(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}x) d\alpha^{r_X(x)}(\gamma) = f \cdot g(x) = i(f \otimes g)(x)$$

where  $f \cdot g$  is the action of  $f \in C_c(G)$  on  $g \in C_c(X)$ . This shows that Figure 9 commutes.

With the help of Example 3.8, one may verify the coherence for the right identity in a similar fashion as above.  $\square$

Finally, we give three illustrations describing the  $C^*$ -(bi)functor: the first one involving spaces, second one involving topological quivers and the last one involving topological groups. We avoid the rigorous definition of the term embedding below.

*Illustration 3.37* (The  $C^*$ -functor on spaces). Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  denote the category of locally compact spaces with continuous functions as morphisms. Considering a space as a trivial (étale) groupoid, a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of spaces gives us the topological correspondence  $(Y, \delta_X): X \rightarrow Y$ , see Example 3.1 in [5] for details. If  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is another map of spaces then Example 4.1 in [4] shows that the composition

$$(Y, \delta_X) \circ (Z, \delta_Y) = (Z, \delta_X)$$

where  $(Z, \delta_X)$  is the correspondence associated with  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ . This embeds  $\mathfrak{S}$  in  $\mathfrak{T}$ . Theorem 3.35 is clear for  $\mathfrak{S}$  due to [4]Example 4.1 — this is a halve of the well-known functoriality in Gelfand’s characterisation of abelian  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Illustration 3.38* (The (bi)category of quivers). Recall from [8, Definition 3.17] that a topological quiver from a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$  is quintuple  $(Z, b, f, \lambda)$  where  $X \xleftarrow{b} Z \xrightarrow{f} Y$  are continuous maps and  $\lambda$  is a continuous family of measures along  $f$ . As shown in [5]Examples 3.3 a topological quiver is a topological correspondence. The composite of two topological quivers is again a topological quiver as explained in [4, Example 4.2]. Thus, topological quivers form a category which clearly embeds in  $\mathfrak{T}$ . One can extend this category to a bicategory by adding vertical arrows between the spaces involved in the quivers; these two arrows are homeomorphisms commuting with the maps  $b$  and  $f$ . Then Theorem 3.35 explains the  $C^*$ -functor for quivers— this functoriality can be checked more easily for quivers.

*Illustration 3.39* (The  $C^*$ -functor for groups). As in [5, Examples 3.4], a group homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  of locally compact groups yields the topological correspondence  $(H, \beta^{-1}): (G, \alpha) \rightarrow (H, \beta)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the Haar measures on  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. Example 4.3 in [4] shows that a homomorphism going to a correspondence is functorial. This embeds the category of locally compact groups into  $\mathfrak{T}$ . The  $C^*$ -functor assigns a group  $G$  its  $C^*$ -algebra, a group homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  the  $C^*$ -correspondence  $C^*(H, \beta^{-1})$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The author is thankful to Ralf Meyer and Suliman Albandik for many fruitful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jean Bénabou, *Introduction to bicategories*, Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar, 1967, pp. 1–77. MR0220789 (36 #3841)
- [2] Robert C. Busby and Harvey A. Smith, *Representations of twisted group algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 503–537. MR0264418
- [3] Alcides Buss, Ralf Meyer, and Chenchang Zhu, *A higher category approach to twisted actions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **56** (2013), no. 2, 387–426. MR3056650
- [4] Rohit Dilip Holkar, *Composition of topological correspondences*, Journal of Operator Theory **78.1** (2017), 89–117.
- [5] ———, *Topological construction of  $C^*$ -correspondences for groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras*, Journal of Operator Theory **77:1** (2017), no. 23–24, 217–241.
- [6] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. A toolkit for operator algebraists. MR1325694 (96k:46100)
- [7] Tom Leinster, *Basic bicategories*, arXiv preprint math.CT **589** (1998).
- [8] Paul S. Muhly and Mark Tomforde, *Topological quivers*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), no. 7, 693–755. MR2158956 (2006i:46099)
- [9] Paul S Muhly and Dana P Williams, *Groupoid cohomology and the dixmier-douady class*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **71** (1995), no. 1, 109–134.
- [10] Jean Renault, *Représentation des produits croisés d’algèbres de groupoïdes*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), no. 1, 67–97. MR912813 (89g:46108)
- [11] V. S. Sunder, *Notes on the imprimitivity theorem*, Analysis, geometry and probability, 1996, pp. 299–321. MR1477702 (98k:22027)

*E-mail address:* `rohit.d.holkar@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH  
BHOPAL, BHOPAL BYPASS ROAD, BHAURI, BHOPAL 462 066, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA.