

# ON A TOPOLOGICAL COUNTERPART OF REGULARIZATION FOR HOLONOMIC $\mathcal{D}$ -MODULES

ANDREA D'AGNOLO AND MASAKI KASHIWARA

**ABSTRACT.** On a complex manifold, the embedding of the category of regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules into that of holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules has a left quasi-inverse functor  $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{\text{reg}}$ , called regularization. Recall that  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{reg}}$  is reconstructed from the de Rham complex of  $\mathcal{M}$  by the regular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Similarly, on a topological space, the embedding of sheaves into enhanced ind-sheaves has a left quasi-inverse functor, called here sheafification. Regularization and sheafification are intertwined by the irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Here, we study some of the properties of the sheafification functor. In particular, we provide a germ formula for the sheafification of enhanced specialization and microlocalization.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and complements	3
3. Sheafification	9
4. Germ formula	16
5. Specialization and microlocalization	19
Appendix A. Complements on enhanced ind-sheaves	25
Appendix B. Complements on weak constructibility	26
References	30

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. The regular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence (see [6]) states that the de Rham functor induces an equivalence

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32C38, 14F05.

*Key words and phrases.* irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, enhanced perverse sheaves, holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

The research of A.D'A. was partially supported by GNAMPA/INdAM. He acknowledges the kind hospitality at RIMS of Kyoto University during the preparation of this paper.

The research of M.K. was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 15H03608, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

between the triangulated category of regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and that of  $\mathbb{C}$ -constructible sheaves. More precisely, one has a diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{DR}} & \\ \uparrow \iota & & \\ D_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X) & \xrightleftharpoons[\Phi]{\mathcal{DR}} & D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X) \end{array}$$

where  $\iota$  is the embedding (i.e. fully faithful functor) of regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules into holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, the triangle quasi-commutes,  $\mathcal{DR}$  is the de Rham functor, and  $\Phi$  is an (explicit) quasi-inverse to  $\mathcal{DR}$ .

The *regularization* functor  $\text{reg}: D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow D_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  is defined by  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{reg}} := \Phi(\mathcal{DR}(\mathcal{M}))$ . It is a left quasi-inverse to  $\iota$ , of transcendental nature. Recall that  $(\iota, \text{reg})$  is *not* a pair of adjoint functors<sup>1</sup>. Recall also that  $\text{reg}$  is conservative<sup>2</sup>.

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field and  $M$  be a good topological space. Consider the natural embeddings  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_M) \xrightarrow{\iota} D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightarrow{e} E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  of sheaves into ind-sheaves into stable enhanced ind-sheaves. One has pairs of adjoint functors  $(\alpha, \iota)$  and  $(e, \text{Ish})$ , and we set  $\text{sh} := \alpha \text{Ish}$ :

$$\text{sh}: E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightarrow{\text{Ish}} D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} D^b(\mathbf{k}_M).$$

We call  $\text{Ish}$  and  $\text{sh}$  the *ind-sheafification* and *sheafification* functor, respectively. The functor  $\text{sh}$  is a left quasi-inverse of  $e \iota$ .

For  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $M = X$ , the irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence (see [1]) intertwines the pair  $(\iota, \text{reg})$  with the pair  $(e \iota, \text{sh})$ . In particular, the pair  $(e \iota, \text{sh})$  is *not* a pair of adjoint functors in general.

With the aim of better understanding the rather elusive regularization functor, in this paper we study some of the properties of the ind-sheafification and sheafification functors.

More precisely, the contents of the paper are as follows.

In §2, besides recalling notations, we establish some complementary results on ind-sheaves on bordered spaces that we need in the following. Further complements are provided in Appendix A.

Some functorial properties of ind-sheafification and sheafification are obtained in §3. In §4, we obtain a germ formula for the sheafification of a pull-back by an embedding. Then, these results are used in section §5 to obtain a germ formula for the sheafification of enhanced specialization and microlocalization. In particular, the formula for the specialization puts in a more geometric perspective what we called *multiplicity test functor* in [2, §6.3].

<sup>1</sup>By saying that  $(\iota, \text{reg})$  is a pair of adjoint functors, we mean that  $\iota$  is the left adjoint of  $\text{reg}$ .

<sup>2</sup>In fact, if  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{reg}} \simeq 0$  then  $\mathcal{DR}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{DR}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{reg}}) \simeq 0$ , and hence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq 0$ .

Finally, we provide in Appendix B a formula for the sections of a weakly constructible sheaf on a locally closed subanalytic subset, which could be of independent interest.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND COMPLEMENTS

We recall here some notions and results, mainly to fix notations, referring to the literature for details. In particular, we refer to [8] for sheaves, to [11] (see also [5, 3]) for enhanced sheaves, to [9] for ind-sheaves, and to [1] (see also [10, 7, 3]) for bordered spaces and enhanced ind-sheaves. We also add some complements.

In this paper,  $\mathbf{k}$  denotes a base field.

A good space is a topological space which is Hausdorff, locally compact, countable at infinity, and with finite soft dimension.

By subanalytic space we mean a subanalytic space which is also a good space.

**2.1. Bordered spaces.** The category of bordered spaces has for objects the pairs  $\mathbf{M} = (M, C)$  with  $M$  an open subset of a good space  $C$ . Set  $\mathring{\mathbf{M}} := M$  and  $\check{\mathbf{M}} := C$ . A morphism  $f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is a morphism  $\mathring{f}: \mathring{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \mathring{\mathbf{N}}$  of good spaces such that the projection  $\overline{\Gamma}_f \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{M}}$  is proper. Here,  $\overline{\Gamma}_f$  denotes the closure in  $\check{\mathbf{M}} \times \check{\mathbf{N}}$  of the graph  $\Gamma_f$  of  $\mathring{f}$ .

Note that  $\mathbf{M} \mapsto \check{\mathbf{M}}$  is not a functor. The functor  $\mathbf{M} \mapsto \mathring{\mathbf{M}}$  is right adjoint to the embedding  $M \mapsto (M, M)$  of good spaces into bordered spaces. We will write for short  $M = (M, M)$ .

Note that the inclusion  $k_{\mathbf{M}}: \mathring{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{M}}$  factors into

$$(2.1) \quad k_{\mathbf{M}}: \mathring{\mathbf{M}} \xrightarrow{i_{\mathbf{M}}} \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbf{M}}} \check{\mathbf{M}}.$$

By definition, a subset  $Z$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  is a subset of  $\mathring{\mathbf{M}}$ . We say that  $Z \subset \mathbf{M}$  is open (resp. closed, locally closed) if it is so in  $\mathring{\mathbf{M}}$ . For a locally closed subset  $Z$  of  $\mathbf{M}$ , we set  $Z_{\infty} = (Z, \overline{Z})$  where  $\overline{Z}$  is the closure of  $Z$  in  $\check{\mathbf{M}}$ . Note that  $U_{\infty} \simeq (U, \check{\mathbf{M}})$  for  $U \subset \mathbf{M}$  open.

We say that  $Z$  is a relatively compact subset of  $\mathbf{M}$  if it is contained in a compact subset of  $\check{\mathbf{M}}$ . Note that this notion does not depend on the choice of  $\check{\mathbf{M}}$ .

An *open covering*  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of a bordered space  $\mathbf{M}$  is an open covering of  $\mathring{\mathbf{M}}$  which satisfies the condition: for any relatively compact subset  $Z$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  there exists a finite subset  $I'$  of  $I$  such that  $Z \subset \bigcup_{i \in I'} U_i$ .

We say that a morphism  $f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is

- (i) *an open embedding* if  $\mathring{f}$  is a homeomorphism from  $\mathring{\mathbf{M}}$  onto an open subset of  $\mathring{\mathbf{N}}$ ,

- (ii) *borderly submersive* if there exists an open covering  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $M$  such that for any  $i \in I$  there exist a subanalytic space  $S_i$  and an open embedding  $g_i: (U_i)_\infty \rightarrow S_i \times N$  with a commutative diagram of bordered spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_i)_\infty & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \\ g_i \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ S_i \times N & \xrightarrow{p_i} & N, \end{array}$$

where  $p_i$  is the projection,

- (iii) *semiproper* if  $\bar{\Gamma}_f^\circ \rightarrow \check{N}$  is proper,  
 (iv) *proper* if it is semiproper and  $\check{f}: \check{M} \rightarrow \check{N}$  is proper,  
 (v) *self-cartesian* if the diagram  $\begin{array}{ccc} \check{M} & \xrightarrow{\check{f}} & \check{N} \\ i_M \downarrow & & \downarrow i_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$  is cartesian.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{M} & \xrightarrow{\check{f}} & \check{N} \\ i_M \downarrow & & \downarrow i_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

Recall that, by [1, Lemma 3.3.16], a morphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is proper if and only if it is semiproper and self-cartesian.

**2.2. Ind-sheaves on good spaces.** Let  $M$  be a good space.

We denote by  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$  the bounded derived category of sheaves of  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces on  $M$ . For  $S \subset M$  locally closed, we denote by  $\mathbf{k}_S$  the extension by zero to  $M$  of the constant sheaf on  $S$  with stalk  $\mathbf{k}$ .

For  $f: M \rightarrow N$  a morphism of good spaces, denote by  $\otimes$ ,  $f^{-1}$ ,  $Rf_!$  and  $R\mathcal{H}om$ ,  $Rf_*$ ,  $f^!$  the six operations. Denote by  $\boxtimes$  the exterior tensor and by  $D_M$  the Verdier dual.

We denote by  $D^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_M)$  the bounded derived category of ind-sheaves of  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces on  $M$ , and by  $\otimes$ ,  $f^{-1}$ ,  $Rf_{!!}$  and  $R\mathcal{I}hom$ ,  $Rf_*$ ,  $f^!$  the six operations. Denote by  $\boxtimes$  the exterior tensor and by  $D_M$  the Verdier dual.

There is a natural embedding  $\iota_M: D^b(\mathbf{k}_M) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_M)$ . It has a left adjoint  $\alpha_M$ , which in turn has a left adjoint  $\beta_M$ . The commutativity of these functors with the operations is as follows

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{c|ccccc} & \boxtimes & f^{-1} & Rf_* & f^! & Rf_{!!} \\ \hline \iota & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \times \\ \hline \alpha & \circ & \circ & \circ & \times & \circ \\ \hline \beta & \circ & \circ & \times & \times & \times \end{array}$$

where “ $\circ$ ” means that the functors commute, and “ $\times$ ” that they don’t.

**2.3. Ind-sheaves on bordered spaces.** Let  $M$  be a bordered space.

Setting  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_M) := D^b(\mathbf{k}_M^\vee)/D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \setminus M}^\vee)$ , one has  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_M) \simeq D^b(\mathbf{k}_M^\circ)$ .

The bounded derived category of ind-sheaves of  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces on  $\mathbf{M}$  is defined by  $D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}) := D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}^\vee)/D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \setminus \mathbf{M}}^\vee)$ . For operations, we use the same notations as in the case of good spaces.

Recall (see [1, Proposition 3.3.19]<sup>3</sup>) that

$$(2.3) \quad Rf_{!!} \simeq Rf_* \quad \text{if } f \text{ is proper,}$$

$$(2.4) \quad f^! \simeq f^! \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{N}}^\circ \otimes f^{-1} \quad \text{if } f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \text{ is borderly submersive.}$$

The last statement implies

$$(2.5) \quad f^! \text{ commutes with } \alpha \text{ if } f \text{ is borderly submersive.}$$

With notations (2.1), (2.4) implies that

$$(2.6) \quad i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \simeq i_{\mathbf{M}}^!, \quad j_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \simeq j_{\mathbf{M}}^!.$$

The quotient functor  $D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}^\vee) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  is isomorphic to  $j_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \simeq j_{\mathbf{M}}^!$  and has a left adjoint  $Rj_{\mathbf{M}!!}$  and a right adjoint  $Rj_{\mathbf{M}*}$ , both fully faithful.

There is a natural embedding

$$\iota_{\mathbf{M}}: D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ) \simeq D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$$

induced by  $\iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\vee$ . It has a left adjoint

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}: D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ),$$

which in turn has a left adjoint  $\beta_{\mathbf{M}}$ . One sets  $R\mathcal{H}om := \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} R\mathcal{I}hom$ , a functor with values in  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ)$ .

For  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ)$ , we often simply write  $F$  instead of  $\iota_{\mathbf{M}} F$  in order to make notations less heavy.

The functors  $\iota_{\mathbf{M}}$ ,  $\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}$  and  $\beta_{\mathbf{M}}$  are exact. Moreover,  $\iota_{\mathbf{M}}$  and  $\beta_{\mathbf{M}}$  are fully faithful. This was shown in [9] in the case of good spaces. The general case reduces to the former by the

**Lemma 2.1.** *One has*

- (i)  $\iota_{\mathbf{M}} \simeq j_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\vee Rk_{\mathbf{M}*} \simeq Ri_{\mathbf{M}*} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ,$
- (ii)  $\alpha_{\mathbf{M}} \simeq k_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^\vee Rj_{\mathbf{M}!!} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1},$
- (iii)  $\beta_{\mathbf{M}} \simeq Ri_{\mathbf{M}!!} \beta_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ.$

*Proof.* One has

$$j_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\vee Rk_{\mathbf{M}*} \underset{(*)}{\simeq} j_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} Rk_{\mathbf{M}*} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ \simeq j_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} Rj_{\mathbf{M}*} Ri_{\mathbf{M}*} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ \simeq Ri_{\mathbf{M}*} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ,$$

where  $(*)$  follows from (2.2).

This proves (i). Then (ii) and (iii) follow by adjunction.  $\square$

---

<sup>3</sup>The statement of this proposition is erroneous. The first isomorphism in loc. cit. may not hold under the condition that  $\mathring{f}$  is topologically submersive. However, it holds if  $f$  is borderly submersive. The second isomorphism, i.e. (2.4), holds under the condition that  $\mathring{f}$  is topologically submersive.

For bordered spaces, the commutativity of the functor  $\alpha$  with the operations is as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be a morphism of bordered spaces.*

- (i) *There are a natural isomorphism and a natural morphism of functors*

$$f^{\circ-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{N}} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} f^{-1}, \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} f^! \rightarrow f^! \alpha_{\mathbf{N}},$$

*and the above morphism is an isomorphism if  $f$  is borderly submersive.*

- (ii) *There are natural morphisms of functors*

$$Rf_!^{\circ} \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \alpha_{\mathbf{N}} Rf_{!!}, \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{N}} Rf_* \rightarrow Rf_*^{\circ} \alpha_{\mathbf{M}},$$

*which are isomorphisms if  $f$  is self-cartesian.*

- (iii) *For  $K \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $L \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{N}})$  one has*

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}}(K \boxtimes L) \simeq (\alpha_{\mathbf{M}} K) \boxtimes (\alpha_{\mathbf{N}} L).$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* (i-a) By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and (2.2), one has  $f^{\circ-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{N}} \simeq f^{\circ-1} \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} f^{\circ-1} i_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} f^{-1} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} f^{-1}$ ,

(i-b) By Lemma 2.1 (ii), the morphism is given by the composition

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} f^! \xrightarrow[\quad (*) \quad]{\simeq} \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} f^! i_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\quad (**) \quad} f^! \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1}.$$

Here,  $(*)$  follows from (2.6), and  $(**)$  follows by adjunction from  $f^! \rightarrow f^! \iota_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} \simeq \iota_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} f^! \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ}$ , with the isomorphism due to (2.2).

If  $f$  is borderly submersive,  $(**)$  is an isomorphism by (2.5).

(ii-a) By Lemma 2.1 (ii), the morphism is given by

$$Rf_!^{\circ} \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} Rf_{!!}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\quad (*) \quad} \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1} Rf_{!!}.$$

Here  $(*)$  follows by adjunction from  $Ri_{\mathbf{N}!!} Rf_{!!}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^! \simeq Rf_{!!} Ri_{\mathbf{M}!!} i_{\mathbf{M}}^! \rightarrow Rf_{!!}$ , recalling (2.6).

If  $f$  is self-cartesian, this is an isomorphism by cartesianity.

(ii-b) By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and (2.2), the morphism is given by the composition

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1} Rf_* \xrightarrow{\quad (*) \quad} \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}^{\circ} Rf_*^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \simeq Rf_*^{\circ} \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}.$$

Here  $(*)$  follows from Lemma A.3.

Recall (2.6). If  $f$  is self-cartesian, then  $(*)$  is an isomorphism by cartesianity.

(iii) follows from  $\alpha_{\mathbf{M}} \simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}$  and (2.2). □

**2.4. Enhanced ind-sheaves.** Denote by  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the coordinate on the affine line, consider the two-point compactification  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ , and set  $\mathbb{R}_\infty := (\mathbb{R}, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . For  $\mathbf{M}$  a bordered space, consider the projection

$$\pi_{\mathbf{M}}: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{M}.$$

Denote by  $E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}) := D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty}) / \pi_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  the bounded derived category of enhanced ind-sheaves of  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces on  $\mathbf{M}$ . Denote by  $Q: D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty}) \rightarrow E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  the quotient functor, and by  $L^E$  and  $R^E$  its left and right adjoint, respectively. They are both fully faithful.

For  $f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  a morphism of bordered spaces, set

$$f_{\mathbb{R}} := f \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty.$$

Denote by  $\overset{+}{\otimes}$ ,  $Ef^{-1}$ ,  $Ef_{!!}$  and  $R\mathcal{I}hom^+$ ,  $Ef_*$ ,  $Ef^!$  the six operations for enhanced ind-sheaves. Recall that  $\overset{+}{\otimes}$  is the additive convolution in the  $t$  variable, and that the external operations are induced via  $Q$  by the corresponding operations for ind-sheaves, with respect to the morphism  $f_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Denote by  $\overset{+}{\boxtimes}$  the exterior tensor and by  $D^E$  the Verdier dual.

We have

$$(2.7) \quad L^E Q(F) \simeq (\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{\{t \leq 0\}}) \overset{+}{\otimes} F \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.8) \quad R^E Q(F) \simeq R\mathcal{I}hom^+(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{\{t \leq 0\}}, F).$$

The functors  $R\mathcal{I}hom^E$  and  $R\mathcal{H}om^E$ , taking values in  $D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^\circ)$ , respectively, are defined by

$$(2.9) \quad R\mathcal{I}hom^E(K_1, K_2) := R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(F_1, R^E K_2) \\ \simeq R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(L^E K_1, F_2),$$

$$(2.10) \quad R\mathcal{H}om^E(K_1, K_2) := \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} R\mathcal{I}hom^E(K_1, K_2),$$

for  $K_i \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $F_i \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty})$  such that  $K_i = Q F_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

There is a natural decomposition  $E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}) \simeq E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}) \oplus E_-^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$ , given by  $K \mapsto (Q \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}} \overset{+}{\otimes} K) \oplus (Q \mathbf{k}_{\{t \leq 0\}} \overset{+}{\otimes} K)$ .

There are embeddings

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{M}}^\pm: D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}) \hookrightarrow E_\pm^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}), \quad F \mapsto Q(\mathbf{k}_{\{\pm t \geq 0\}} \otimes \pi_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} F),$$

and one sets  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{M}}(F) := \epsilon_{\mathbf{M}}^+(F) \oplus \epsilon_{\mathbf{M}}^-(F) \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$ . Note that  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{M}}(F) \simeq Q(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}} \otimes \pi_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} F)$ .

**2.5. Stable objects.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a bordered space. Set

$$\mathbf{k}_{\{t \gg 0\}} := \varinjlim_{a \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq a\}} \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty}),$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^E := Q \mathbf{k}_{\{t \gg 0\}} \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}).$$

An object  $K \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  is called *stable* if  $\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ K \xrightarrow{\sim} K$ . We denote by  $E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  the full subcategory of  $E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  of stable objects. The embedding  $E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \hookrightarrow E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  has a left adjoint  $\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ *$ , as well as a right adjoint  $R\mathcal{I}hom^+(\mathbf{k}_M^E, *)$ .

There is an embedding

$$e_M: D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \hookrightarrow E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M), \quad F \mapsto \mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ \epsilon_M(F) \simeq Q(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \gg 0\}} \otimes \pi_M^{-1} F).$$

**Notation 2.3.** Let  $S \subset T$  be locally closed subsets of  $M$ .

- (i) For continuous maps  $\varphi_{\pm}: T \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $-\infty \leq \varphi_- \leq \varphi_+ < +\infty$ , set

$$E_{S|M}^{\varphi_+ \triangleright \varphi_-} := Q \mathbf{k}_{\{x \in S, -\varphi_+(x) \leq t < -\varphi_-(x)\}} \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{S|M}^{\varphi_+ \triangleright \varphi_-} := \mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ E_{S|\overset{\circ}{M}}^{\varphi_+ \triangleright \varphi_-} \in E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M),$$

where we write for short

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in S, -\varphi_+(x) \leq t < -\varphi_-(x)\} \\ & := \{(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{M} \times \mathbb{R}; x \in S, -\varphi_+(x) \leq t < -\varphi_-(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $<$  the total order on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . If  $S = T$ , we also write for short

$$\{-\varphi_+(x) \leq t < -\varphi_-(x)\} := \{x \in T, -\varphi_+(x) \leq t < -\varphi_-(x)\}.$$

- (ii) For a continuous map  $\varphi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , consider the object of  $E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$

$$E_{S|M}^{\varphi} := Q \mathbf{k}_{\{x \in S, t + \varphi(x) \geq 0\}} \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M),$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{S|M}^{\varphi} := \mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ E_{S|\overset{\circ}{M}}^{\varphi} \in E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M).$$

where we write for short

$$\{x \in S, t + \varphi(x) \geq 0\} = \{(x, t) \in \overset{\circ}{M} \times \mathbb{R}; x \in S, t + \varphi(x) \geq 0\}.$$

If  $S = T$ , we also write for short

$$\{t + \varphi(x) \geq 0\} := \{x \in T, t + \varphi(x) \geq 0\}.$$

Note that one has  $E_{S|M}^{\varphi} \simeq E_{S|M}^{\varphi \triangleright -\infty}$ , and that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_{S|M}^{\varphi_+ \triangleright \varphi_-} \rightarrow E_{S|M}^{\varphi_+} \rightarrow E_{S|M}^{\varphi_-} \rightarrow 0$$

in the heart of  $E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  for the natural  $t$ -structure.

**2.6. Constructible objects.** A subanalytic bordered space is a bordered space  $\mathring{M}$  such that  $\mathring{M}$  is an open subanalytic subset of the subanalytic space  $\check{M}$ . A morphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  of subanalytic bordered spaces is a morphism of bordered spaces such that  $\Gamma_f^\circ$  is subanalytic in  $\check{M} \times \check{N}$ . By definition, a subset  $Z$  of  $M$  is subanalytic if it is subanalytic in  $\check{M}$ .

Let  $M$  be a subanalytic bordered space.

Denote by  $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$  the full subcategory of  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_M^\circ)$  whose objects  $F$  are such that  $Rk_{M*}F$  (or equivalently,  $Rk_{M!}F$ ) is weakly  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible, for  $k_M: \mathring{M} \rightarrow \check{M}$  the embedding. We similarly define the category  $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible sheaves.

Denote by  $E_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  the strictly full subcategory of  $E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  whose objects  $K$  are such that for any relatively compact open subanalytic subset  $U$  of  $M$ , one has

$$\pi_M^{-1}\mathbf{k}_U \otimes K \simeq e_M F$$

for some  $F \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty})$ . In particular,  $K$  belongs to  $E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ . We similarly define the category  $E_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible enhanced ind-sheaves.

### 3. SHEAFIFICATION

In this section, we discuss what we call here ind-sheafification and sheafification functor, and prove some of their functorial properties. Concerning constructibility, we use a fundamental result from [10, §6].

**3.1. Associated ind-sheaf.** Let  $M$  be a bordered space. Let  $i_0: M \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty$  be the embedding  $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $K \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  and take  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty})$  such that  $K \simeq QF$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ish}_M(K) &:= R\mathcal{I}hom^E(Q \mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, K) \\ &\simeq R\pi_{M*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{\{t \leq 0\}}, F) \\ &\simeq R\pi_{M*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E K) \\ &\simeq R\pi_{M!!} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E K) \\ &\simeq i_0^! R^E K \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \end{aligned}$$

(see [1, Lemma 4.5.16]), and call it the *associated ind-sheaf* (in the derived sense) to  $K$  on  $M$ . We will write for short  $\text{Ish} = \text{Ish}_M$ , if there is no fear of confusion.

Note that one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ish}(K) &\simeq R\mathcal{I}hom^E(Q \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, K) && \text{for } K \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M), \\ \text{Ish}(K) &\simeq R\mathcal{I}hom^E(\mathbf{k}_M^E, K) && \text{for } K \in E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The following are pairs of adjoint functors*

- (i)  $(\epsilon, \text{Ish}): D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Ish}]{\epsilon} E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ ,
- (ii)  $(\epsilon^+, \text{Ish}): D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Ish}]{\epsilon^+} E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ ,
- (iii)  $(e, \text{Ish}): D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Ish}]{e} E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ .

*Proof.* (i) For  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  and  $K \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)}(\epsilon(F), K) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty)}(\pi^{-1}F \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E K) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)}(F, R\pi_* R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E K)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)}(F, \text{Ish}(K)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) and (iii) follow from (i), noticing that there are pairs of adjoint functors  $(* \overset{+}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, \iota)$  and  $(* \overset{+}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}_M^E, \iota)$ :

$$E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightleftharpoons[\iota]{* \overset{+}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}} E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \xrightleftharpoons[\iota]{* \overset{+}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}_M^E} E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M).$$

Here we denote by  $\iota$  the natural embeddings. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a morphism of bordered spaces.*

- (i) *There are a natural morphism and a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$f^{-1} \text{Ish}_N \rightarrow \text{Ish}_M E f^{-1}, \quad f^! \text{Ish}_N \simeq \text{Ish}_M E f^!,$$

*and the above morphism is an isomorphism if  $f$  is borderly submersive.*

- (ii) *There are a natural morphism and a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$Rf_{!!} \text{Ish}_M \rightarrow \text{Ish}_N E f_{!!}, \quad Rf_* \text{Ish}_M \simeq \text{Ish}_N E f_*,$$

*and the above morphism is an isomorphism if  $f$  is proper.*

- (iii) *For  $K \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  and  $L \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_N)$ , there is a natural morphism*

$$\text{Ish}(K) \boxtimes \text{Ish}(L) \rightarrow \text{Ish}(K \overset{+}{\boxtimes} L).$$

*Proof.* Recall that one sets  $f_{\mathbb{R}} := f \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_\infty}: M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty \rightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}_\infty$ .

- (i) Let  $L \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_N)$  and set  $G := R^E L \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_N \times \mathbb{R}_\infty)$ .

(i-a) One has

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}\mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{N}}(L) &\simeq f^{-1}\mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{N}!!}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}!!}f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, G) \\
&\xrightarrow{(*)} \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}G) \\
&\xrightarrow{(**)} \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, \mathrm{R}^E \mathrm{E}f^{-1}L) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathrm{E}f^{-1}L).
\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $(*)$  follows from [1, Proposition 3.3.13], and  $(**)$  from Lemma A.4.

If  $f$  is borderly submersive, then  $(*)$  is an isomorphism by [1, Proposition 3.3.19] and  $(**)$  is an isomorphism by Lemma A.4.

(i-b) Recall that  $f_{\mathbb{R}}^!G \simeq \mathrm{R}^E(\mathrm{E}f^!L)$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned}
f^!\mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{N}}(L) &= f^!\mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{N}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}f_{\mathbb{R}}^!\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, f_{\mathbb{R}}^!G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, \mathrm{R}^E(\mathrm{E}f^!L)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathrm{E}f^!L).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $K \in \mathrm{E}^b(\mathrm{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and set  $F := \mathrm{R}^E K \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathrm{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}})$ .

(ii-a) One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathrm{E}f_{!!}K) &= \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{N}!!}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, \mathrm{R}^E \mathrm{E}f_{!!}K) \\
&\leftarrow \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{N}!!}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, \mathrm{R}f_{\mathbb{R}!!}F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{N}!!}\mathrm{R}f_{\mathbb{R}!!}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, F) \\
&\xrightarrow{(*)} \mathrm{R}f_{!!}\mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}!!}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, F) \\
&\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{R}f_{!!}(\mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{M}}(K)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $(*)$  follows from [9, Lemma 5.2.8].

(ii-b) Since  $\mathrm{R}^E(\mathrm{E}f_*K) \simeq \mathrm{R}f_{\mathbb{R}*}F$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ish}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathrm{E}f_*K) &\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{N}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, \mathrm{R}f_{\mathbb{R}*}F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}\mathrm{R}f_{\mathbb{R}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{R}f_*\mathrm{R}\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, F).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  is proper,  $f_! \simeq f_*$ .

(iii) Set  $F := \mathrm{R}^E K \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathrm{Ik}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}})$  and  $G := \mathrm{R}^E L \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathrm{Ik}_{\mathbf{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}})$ . Recall that  $F \boxplus^+ G := \mathrm{R}m_{!!}(F \boxplus G)$ , where

$$m: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty} \times \mathbf{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty} \quad (x, t_1, y, t_2) \mapsto (x, y, t_1 + t_2).$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Ish}(K) \boxtimes \text{Ish}(L) \\
& \simeq R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t_1=0\}}, F) \boxtimes R\pi_{\mathbf{N}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t_2=0\}}, G) \\
& \rightarrow R(\pi_{\mathbf{M}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{N}})_*(R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t_1=0\}}, F) \boxtimes R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t_2=0\}}, G)) \\
& \rightarrow R\pi_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}*} Rm_* R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t_1=0\}} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}_{\{t_2=0\}}, F \boxtimes G) \\
& \rightarrow R\pi_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(Rm_!!(\mathbf{k}_{\{t_1=0\}} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}_{\{t_2=0\}}), Rm_!!(F \boxtimes G)) \\
& \simeq R\pi_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, F \boxplus G),
\end{aligned}$$

One concludes using the natural morphism  $F \boxplus G \rightarrow R^E(K \boxplus L)$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Associated sheaf.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a bordered space.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $K \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}})$ .

(i) We set

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}}(K) &:= R\mathcal{H}om^E(\mathbb{Q} \mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, K) \\
&= \alpha_{\mathbf{M}} \text{Ish}_{\mathbf{M}}(K) \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ}),
\end{aligned}$$

and call it the *associated sheaf* (in the derived sense) to  $K$  on  $\mathring{\mathbf{M}}$ . We will write for short  $\text{sh} = \text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}}$ , if there is no fear of confusion.

(ii) We say that  $K$  is of *sheaf type* (in the derived sense) if it is in the essential image of

$$e_{\mathbf{M}} \iota_{\mathbf{M}}: D^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ}) \rightarrow E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}),$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}}(K) &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om^E(\mathbb{Q} \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, K), & \text{for } K \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}), \\
\text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}}(K) &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om^E(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}}^E, K), & \text{for } K \in E_{\text{st}}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{\mathbf{M}}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.5.** One has  $\text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}} \simeq \text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} Ei_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $i_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1} \simeq i_{\mathbf{M}}^!$ . Using Lemma 2.1 (ii), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\mathbf{M}} \text{Ish}_{\mathbf{M}} &\simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^! R\mathcal{I}hom^E(\mathbb{Q} \mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, K) \\
&= \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M}}^! R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E K) \\
&\simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}^{\circ} i_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}}^! R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E K) \\
&\simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}^{\circ} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, i_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{\infty}}^! R^E K) \\
&\simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} R\pi_{\mathbf{M}*}^{\circ} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, R^E Ei_{\mathbf{M}}^! K) \\
&\simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M}}^{\circ} R\mathcal{I}hom^E(\mathbb{Q} \mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}}, Ei_{\mathbf{M}}^! K).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Let  $M$  be a bordered space, and consider the natural morphisms of good spaces

$$\mathring{M} \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{k} \mathring{M} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} \mathring{M}.$$

We write  $\bar{t}$  for points of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ .

An important tool in this framework is given by

**Proposition 3.6** ([10, Corollary 6.6.6]). *Let  $M$  be a bordered space. Then, for  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \mathbb{R}}^\circ)$  one has*

$$\mathrm{sh}_M(\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ QF) \simeq R\bar{\pi}_*(\mathbf{k}_{\{-\infty < \bar{t} \leq +\infty\}} \otimes Rk_*F).$$

Denote by  $i_{\pm\infty}: M \rightarrow M \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  the embeddings  $x \mapsto (x, \pm\infty)$ . Using the above proposition and [1, Proposition 4.3.10, Lemma 4.3.13], we get

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a bordered space. Then, for  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \mathbb{R}}^\circ)$  one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}_M(\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ QF) &\simeq i_{+\infty}^{-1} Rj_* L_+^E QF \\ &\simeq i_{-\infty}^{-1} Rj_* R_+^E QF[-1] \\ &\simeq R\pi_* L_+^E QF \\ &\simeq R\pi_! R_+^E QF. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the functors

$$(3.1) \quad D^b(\mathbf{k}_M^\circ) \xrightleftharpoons[\mathrm{sh}_M]{e_M \iota_M} E^b(\mathrm{Ik}_M).$$

As explained in the Introduction,  $(e_M \iota_M, \mathrm{sh}_M)$  is *not* an adjoint pair of functors in general.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Consider the functors (3.1).*

- (i)  $\mathrm{sh}_M$  is a left quasi-inverse to  $e_M \iota_M$ .
- (ii) *The property of being of sheaf type is local<sup>4</sup> on  $M$ , and  $K \in E^b(\mathrm{Ik}_M)$  is of sheaf type if and only if  $K \simeq e_M \iota_M(\mathrm{sh}_M(K))$ .*

*Proof.* (i) By Proposition 3.6, for  $L \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_M^\circ)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}_M e_M \iota_M(L) &\simeq \mathrm{sh}_M(\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ Q(\mathbf{k}_{\{t=0\}} \otimes \pi^{-1} \iota_M L)) \\ &\simeq R\bar{\pi}_*(\mathbf{k}_{\{-\infty < \bar{t} \leq +\infty\}} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\{\bar{t}=0\}} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{-1} L) \\ &\simeq R\bar{\pi}_!(\mathbf{k}_{\{\bar{t}=0\}} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{-1} L) \\ &\simeq (R\bar{\pi}_! \mathbf{k}_{\{\bar{t}=0\}}) \otimes L \simeq L. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) follows from (i). □

<sup>4</sup> Saying that a property  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  is local on  $M$  means the following. For any open covering  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  is true if and only if  $\mathcal{P}((U_i)_\infty)$  is true for any  $i \in I$ .

By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.3, one gets

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be a morphism of bordered spaces.*

(i) *There are natural morphisms of functors*

$$\overset{\circ}{f}^{-1} \text{sh}_{\mathbf{N}} \rightarrow \text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}} \text{E} f^{-1}, \quad \text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}} \text{E} f^! \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{f}^! \text{sh}_{\mathbf{N}},$$

*which are isomorphisms if  $f$  is borderly submersive.*

(ii) *There are natural morphisms of functors*

$$\text{R} \overset{\circ}{f}_! \text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \text{sh}_{\mathbf{N}} \text{E} f_{!!}, \quad \text{sh}_{\mathbf{N}} \text{E} f_* \rightarrow \text{R} \overset{\circ}{f}_* \text{sh}_{\mathbf{M}}.$$

*The first morphism is an isomorphism if  $f$  is proper. The second morphism is an isomorphism if  $f$  is self-cartesian, and in particular if  $f$  is proper.*

(iii) *For  $K \in \text{E}^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $L \in \text{E}^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{N}})$ , there is a natural morphism*

$$\text{sh}(K) \boxtimes \text{sh}(L) \rightarrow \text{sh}(K \boxplus L).$$

**Example 3.10.** Let  $M = \mathbb{R}_x$ ,  $U = \{x > 0\}$ . By Corollary 3.7 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R}^{\text{E}} \text{E}_{U|M}^{1/x} &\simeq \mathbf{k}_{\{x>0, xt<-1\}}[1], & \text{R}^{\text{E}} \text{E}_{U|M}^{-1/x} &\simeq \mathbf{k}_{\{x\geq 0, xt<1\}}[1], \\ \text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{1/x}) &\simeq \mathbf{k}_{\{x>0\}}, & \text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{-1/x}) &\simeq \mathbf{k}_{\{x\geq 0\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, denoting by  $i: \{0\} \rightarrow M$  the embedding, one has

$$i^!(\text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{1/x})) \not\simeq \text{sh}(\text{E} i^!(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{1/x})), \quad i^{-1}(\text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{-1/x})) \not\simeq \text{sh}(\text{E} i^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{-1/x})).$$

In fact, on one hand one has  $i^!(\text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{1/x})) \simeq \mathbf{k}[-1]$  and  $\text{E} i^!(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{1/x}) \simeq 0$ , and on the other hand one has  $i^{-1}(\text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{-1/x})) \simeq \mathbf{k}$  and  $\text{E} i^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{U|M}^{-1/x}) \simeq 0$ .

Note also that  $\text{sh}$  is *not* conservative, since  $\text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{U|X}^{2/x \triangleright 1/x}) \simeq 0$ .

**Example 3.11.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}_z$  be an open neighborhood of the origin, and set  $\dot{X} = X \setminus \{0\}$ . The real oriented blow-up  $p: X_0^{\text{rb}} \rightarrow X$  with center the origin is defined by  $X_0^{\text{rb}} := \{(r, w) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{C}; |w| = 1, rw \in X\}$ ,  $p(r, w) = rw$ . Denote by  $S_0 X = \{r = 0\}$  the exceptional divisor.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(*0)$  be a meromorphic function with pole order  $d > 0$  at the origin. With the identification  $\dot{X} \simeq \{r > 0\} \subset X_0^{\text{rb}}$ , the set  $I := S_0 X \setminus \overline{\{z \in \dot{X}; \text{Re } f(z) \geq 0\}}$  is the disjoint union of  $d$  open non-empty intervals. Here  $\overline{\{\cdot\}}$  is the closure in  $X_0^{\text{rb}}$ . Then, recalling Notation 2.3,

$$\text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{\dot{X}|X}^{\text{Re } f}) \simeq \text{sh}(\text{E} p_* \mathbb{E}_{\dot{X}|X_0^{\text{rb}}}^{\text{Re } f \circ p}) \simeq \text{R} p_* \text{sh}(\mathbb{E}_{\dot{X}|X_0^{\text{rb}}}^{\text{Re } f \circ p}) \simeq \text{R} p_! \mathbf{k}_{I \sqcup \dot{X}}.$$

Recall that, for  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ , the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence of [1] associates the meromorphic connection  $d - df$  with  $\mathbb{E}_{\dot{X}|X}^{\text{Re } f}$  by the functor

$$\mathcal{DR}_X^{\text{E}}.$$

**3.3. (Weak-) constructibility.** An important consequence of Proposition 3.6 is

**Proposition 3.12** ([10, Theorem 6.6.4]). *Let  $M$  be a subanalytic bordered space. The functor  $\mathrm{sh}_M$  induces functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}_M &: E_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(I\mathbf{k}_M) \rightarrow D_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_M), \\ \mathrm{sh}_M &: E_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(I\mathbf{k}_M) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_M). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $M$  be a subanalytic bordered space. For  $K \in E_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(I\mathbf{k}_M)$  there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{sh}_M(D_M^E K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_M^\circ(\mathrm{sh}_M K).$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathrm{sh}_M \simeq \mathrm{sh}_M^\circ Ei_M^{-1}$  and  $Ei_M^{-1} \simeq Ei_M^!$ . Since  $Ei_M^{-1} D_M^E \simeq D_M^E Ei_M^{-1}$ , we may assume that  $M = \mathring{M} = M$  is a subanalytic space.

(i) Let us construct a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{sh}(D^E K) \rightarrow D(\mathrm{sh} K).$$

By adjunction, it is enough to construct a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{sh}(D^E K) \otimes \mathrm{sh}(K) \rightarrow \omega_M.$$

Note that we have a morphism

$$D^E K \overset{+}{\otimes} K \rightarrow \omega_M^E.$$

Let  $\delta: M \rightarrow M \times M$  be the diagonal embedding, so that  $D^E K \overset{+}{\otimes} K \simeq E\delta^{-1}(D^E K \overset{+}{\boxtimes} K)$ . There are natural morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}(D^E K) \otimes \mathrm{sh}(K) &\simeq \delta^{-1}(\mathrm{sh}(D^E K \overset{+}{\boxtimes} K)) \\ &\xrightarrow{(*)} \delta^{-1}(\mathrm{sh}(D^E K \overset{+}{\boxtimes} K)) \\ &\xrightarrow{(**)} \mathrm{sh}(E\delta^{-1}(D^E K \overset{+}{\boxtimes} K)) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{sh}(\omega_M^E) \simeq \omega_M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  is due to Lemma 3.9 (iii), and  $(**)$  is due to Lemma 3.9 (i).

(ii) By (i), the problem is local on  $M$ . Hence, we may assume that  $K \simeq \mathbf{k}_M^E \overset{+}{\otimes} QF$  for  $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty})$ . Considering the morphisms

$$k: M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty \xrightarrow{i^\pm} M \times (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}, \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{j^\pm} M \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{k}_{\{-\infty < i \leq +\infty\}} \otimes Rk_* F \simeq Rj_!^+ Ri_*^+ F \simeq Rj_*^- Ri_!^- F,$$

Proposition 3.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}_M(K) &\simeq R\pi_* Rj_!^+ Ri_*^+ F \\ &\simeq R\pi_* Rj_*^- Ri_!^- F. \end{aligned}$$

By [1, Proposition 4.8.3] one has  $D_M^E(\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ Q F) \simeq \mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ Q a^{-1} D_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty} F$ , where  $a: M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty$  is given by  $a(x, t) = (x, -t)$ . Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}_M(D_M^E K) &\simeq \mathrm{sh}_M(\mathbf{k}_M^E \otimes^+ Q a^{-1} D_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty} F) \\ &\simeq R\pi_* Rj_!^+ Ri_*^+ a^{-1} D_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty} F \\ &\simeq R\pi_* Rj_!^- Ri_*^- D_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty} F \\ &\simeq D_M(R\pi_* Rj_*^- Ri_!^- F) \\ &\simeq D_M(\mathrm{sh}_M(K)). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be bordered spaces. Let  $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $L \in E^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{N}})$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{sh}(\epsilon(F) \boxtimes^+ L) \simeq F \boxtimes \mathrm{sh}(L).$$

*Proof.* For  $G := R^E L \in D^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{N} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty})$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}(\epsilon(F) \boxtimes^+ L) &\simeq \alpha_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}} R\pi_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, F \boxtimes G) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\simeq} \alpha_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}} R\pi_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}*} (F \boxtimes R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, G)) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\simeq} \alpha_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}} (F \boxtimes R\pi_{\mathbf{N}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, G)) \\ &\simeq F \boxtimes \alpha_{\mathbf{N}} R\pi_{\mathbf{N}*} R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq 0\}}, G), \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from [1, Corollary 2.3.5] and (b) follows from Proposition A.2 in Appendix. □

#### 4. GERM FORMULA

As we saw in the previous section, sheafification does not commute with the pull-back by a closed embedding, in general. We provide here a germ formula for the sheafification of such a pull-back, using results from Appendix B.

**4.1. Restriction and germ formula.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a subanalytic bordered space. Recall Notation 2.3.

Let  $N \subset \mathbf{M}$  be a closed subanalytic subset, denote by  $i: N_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  the embedding. To illustrate the difference between  $\mathrm{sh} Ei^{-1}$  and  $i^{-1} \mathrm{sh}$  note

that on one hand, by [2, Lemma 2.4.1], for  $K \in E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  and  $y_0 \in N$  one has<sup>5</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} (i^{-1}\mathrm{sh}(K))_{y_0} &\simeq \mathrm{sh}(K)_{y_0} \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{U \ni y_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^0, K), \end{aligned}$$

where  $U$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $y_0$  in  $\mathring{M}$ . On the other hand,

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\infty$  be a morphism of subanalytic bordered spaces, set  $N := \mathring{\varphi}^{-1}(0) \subset M$ , and denote by  $i: N_\infty \rightarrow M$  the embedding. For  $y_0 \in N$  and  $K \in E_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$  one has*

$$\mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K)_{y_0} \simeq \varinjlim_{\substack{U \ni y_0 \\ \delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+}} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\mathring{\varphi}(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K),$$

where  $U$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $y_0$  in  $\mathring{M}$ . Here, we set  $-\delta|\mathring{\varphi}(x)|^{-\varepsilon} = -\infty$  for  $\mathring{\varphi}(x) = 0$ .

More generally, for  $T \subset N$  a compact subset one has

$$R\Gamma(T; \mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K)) \simeq \varinjlim_{\substack{U \supset T \\ \delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+}} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\mathring{\varphi}(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K),$$

where  $U$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $T$  in  $\mathring{M}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $y_0 \in N \subset \mathring{M}$ , we may assume that  $M = \mathring{M} =: M$  is a subanalytic space.

Since  $R\Gamma(T; \mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K)) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \supset T} R\Gamma(U; \mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K))$ , we may assume

that  $U$  runs over the open *subanalytic* neighborhoods of  $T$  in  $\mathring{M}$ .

We will split the proof of the last isomorphism in the statement into three parts.

(i) Up to shrinking  $M$  around  $T$ , we can assume that there exists  $F \in D_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty})$  such that  $K \simeq \mathbf{k}_M^E \overset{+}{\otimes} QF$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $U$  an open relatively compact subanalytic subset of  $M$  containing  $T$ , set

$$U_{c, \delta, \varepsilon} := \{(x, t) \in U \times \mathbb{R}; t + c < \delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}\}.$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Recall from [2, §2.1] that, for any  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , small filtrant inductive limits exist in  $D^{[c, d]}(\mathbf{k})$ , the full subcategory of  $D^b(\mathbf{k})$  whose objects  $V$  satisfy  $H^j(V) = 0$  for  $j < c$  or  $j > d$ . That is, uniformly bounded small filtrant inductive limits exist in  $D^b(\mathbf{k})$ .

Note that  $L^E E_{U|M}^{c \triangleright c - \delta |\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}} \simeq \mathbf{k}_{U_{c,\delta,\varepsilon}} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq -c\}}$ . Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta |\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K) &\simeq \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \mathrm{RHom}^E(Q \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq -c\}} \otimes^+ E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta |\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, QF) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(L^E E_{U|M}^{c \triangleright c - \delta |\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, F) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_{U_{c,\delta,\varepsilon}} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\{t \geq -c\}}, F) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_{U_{c,\delta,\varepsilon}}, \mathbf{k}_{\{t > -c\}} \otimes F) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} R\Gamma(U_{c,\delta,\varepsilon}; \mathbf{k}_{\{t > -c\}} \otimes F) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} R\Gamma(U_{c,\delta,\varepsilon} \cap \{t \geq -c\}; \mathbf{k}_{\{t > -c\}} \otimes F).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Consider the natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N \times \mathbb{R}_\infty & \xrightarrow{i_\mathbb{R}} & M \times \mathbb{R}_\infty \\
\downarrow \pi_N & & \downarrow \pi_M \\
N & \xrightarrow{i} & M
\end{array}$$

and set, for  $S = M, N$ ,

$$\mathbf{k}_{S \times \{t > *\}} := \varinjlim_{c \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{k}_{S \times \{t > -c\}} \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{S \times \mathbb{R}_\infty}).$$

Noticing that  $Ei^{-1}K \simeq \mathbf{k}_N^E \otimes^+ Q i_\mathbb{R}^{-1}F$ , by [10, Proposition 6.6.5] one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K) &\simeq \alpha_N R\pi_{N*}(\mathbf{k}_{N \times \{t > *\}} \otimes i_\mathbb{R}^{-1}F) \\
&\simeq \alpha_N R\pi_{N*} i_\mathbb{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \{t > *\}} \otimes F).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
R\Gamma(T; \mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K)) &\simeq \varinjlim_V R\Gamma(V; \mathrm{sh}(Ei^{-1}K)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c,V} R\Gamma(V; R\pi_{N*} i_\mathbb{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \{t > -c\}} \otimes F)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c,V} R\Gamma(V \times \mathbb{R}; i_\mathbb{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \{t > -c\}} \otimes F)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c,V} R\Gamma(V \times \{t \geq -c\}; i_\mathbb{R}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}_{M \times \{t > -c\}} \otimes F)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{c,V,W} R\Gamma(W; \mathbf{k}_{M \times \{t > -c\}} \otimes F)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $V$  runs over the system of open relatively compact subanalytic neighborhoods of  $T$  in  $N$ , and  $W = W_{c,V}$  runs over the

system of open subanalytic subsets of  $M \times \{\bar{t} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}; +\infty \geq \bar{t} \geq -c\}$ , such that  $W \supset V \times \{t \in \mathbb{R}; t \geq -c\}$ . Here, the last isomorphism follows from Corollary B.3.

(iii) For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  consider the following inductive systems:  $I_c$  is the set of tuples  $(U, \delta, \varepsilon)$  as in (i);  $J_c$  is the set of tuples  $(V, W)$  as in (ii). We are left to show the cofinality of the functor  $\phi: I_c \rightarrow J_c$ ,  $(U, \delta, \varepsilon) \mapsto (U \cap N, U_{c, \delta, \varepsilon} \cap \{t \geq -c\})$ .

Given  $(V, W) \in J_c$ , we look for  $(U, \delta, \varepsilon) \in I_c$  such that  $U \cap N \subset V$  and  $U_{c, \delta, \varepsilon} \cap \{t \geq -c\} \subset W$ . Let  $U$  be a subanalytic relatively compact open neighborhood of  $T$  in  $M$  such that  $\overline{U} \cap N \subset V$ . With notations as in Lemma B.1, set  $X = M \times \{\bar{t} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \mid \bar{t} \geq -c\}$ ,  $W = W$ ,  $T = \overline{U} \times \{\bar{t} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \mid \bar{t} \geq -c\}$ ,  $f(x, \bar{t}) = \varphi(x)$  and

$$g(x, \bar{t}) = (\bar{t} + c + 1)^{-1}.$$

Note that  $g(x, +\infty) = 0$ . Since (B.1) is satisfied, Lemma B.1 (ii) provides  $C > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$\{(x, \bar{t}) \in \overline{U} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}; \bar{t} \geq -c, Cg(x, \bar{t})^n > |\varphi(x)|\} \subset W.$$

Then

$$\{(x, t) \in U \times \mathbb{R}; t \geq -c, C(t + c + 1)^{-n} > |\varphi(x)|\} \subset W.$$

One concludes by noticing that the set on the left hand side contains  $U_{c, \delta, \varepsilon} \cap \{t \geq -c\}$  for  $\delta = C^{1/n}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1/n$ .  $\square$

## 5. SPECIALIZATION AND MICROLOCALIZATION

Using results from the previous section, we establish here a germ formula for the natural enhancement of Sato's specialization and microlocalization functors, as introduced in [4].

**5.1. Real oriented blow-up transforms.** Let  $M$  be a real analytic manifold and  $N \subset M$  a closed submanifold. Denote by  $S_N M$  the sphere normal bundle. Consider the real oriented blow-up  $M_N^{\text{rb}}$  of  $M$  with center  $N$ , which enters the commutative diagram with cartesian square

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_N M & \xrightarrow{i} & M_N^{\text{rb}} \xleftarrow{j} (M \setminus N)_\infty \\ \sigma \downarrow & \square & \downarrow p \\ N & \xrightarrow{i_N} & M. \end{array} \quad \swarrow j_N$$

Recall the blow-up transform of [4, §4.4]

$$\text{Ev}^{\text{rb}}: \text{E}^b(\text{Ik}_M) \rightarrow \text{E}^b(\text{Ik}_{S_N M}), \quad K \mapsto \text{E}i^{-1}\text{E}j_*\text{E}j_N^{-1}K.$$

A *sectorial neighborhood* of  $\theta \in S_N M$  is an open subset  $U \subset M \setminus N$  such that  $S_N M \cup j(U)$  is a neighborhood of  $\theta$  in  $M_N^{\text{rb}}$ . We write  $U \dot{\ni} \theta$  to indicate that  $U$  is a sectorial neighborhood of  $\theta$ . We say that  $U \subset M \setminus N$

is a sectorial neighborhood of  $Z \subset S_N M$ , and we write  $U \dot{\supset} Z$ , if  $U$  is a sectorial neighborhood of each  $\theta \in Z$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a subanalytic continuous map such that  $N = \varphi^{-1}(0)$ . Let  $K \in E_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ . For  $\theta_0 \in S_N M$ , one has*

$$\mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Ev}_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K))_{\theta_0} \simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, U} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K),$$

where  $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  and  $U \dot{\supset} \theta_0$ . More generally, if  $Z \subset S_N M$  is a closed subset one has

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Z; \mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Ev}_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K))) \simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, U} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K)$$

where  $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  and  $U \dot{\supset} Z$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove the last statement.

Note that in  $M_N^{\mathrm{rb}}$  one has  $S_N M = (\varphi \circ p)^{-1}(0)$ . Hence, by Proposition 4.1,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Z; \mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Ev}_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K))) \simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, \tilde{U}} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{\tilde{U}|M_N^{\mathrm{rb}}}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(p(\tilde{x}))|^{-\varepsilon}}, \mathrm{E}j_* \mathrm{E}j_N^{-1} K),$$

where  $\tilde{U} \subset M_N^{\mathrm{rb}}$  runs over the neighborhoods of  $i(Z)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Z; \mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{Ev}_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K))) &\simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, \tilde{U}} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\mathrm{E}j_{N!!} \mathrm{E}j^{-1} E_{\tilde{U}|M_N^{\mathrm{rb}}}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(p(\tilde{x}))|^{-\varepsilon}}, K) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, \tilde{U}} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\mathrm{E}j_{N!!} E_{j^{-1}(\tilde{U})|(M \setminus N)_\infty}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, \tilde{U}} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{j_N(j^{-1}(\tilde{U}))|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K). \end{aligned}$$

One concludes by noticing that  $U \dot{\supset} Z$  if and only if  $U = j_N(j^{-1}(\tilde{U}))$  for some neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $i(Z)$  in  $M_N^{\mathrm{rb}}$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Sheafification on vector bundles.** Recall from [4, §2.2] that any morphism  $p: M \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ , from a good space to a bordered space, admits a unique bordered compactification  $p_\infty: M_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  such that  $(M_\infty)^\circ = M$  and  $p_\infty$  is semiproper.

Let  $\tau: V \rightarrow N$  be a vector bundle. Denote by  $V_\infty$  its bordered compactification, and by  $o: N \rightarrow V$  the zero section.

The natural action of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  on  $V$  extends to an action of the bordered group<sup>6</sup>  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times)_\infty := (\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  on  $V_\infty$ . Denote by  $E_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times)_\infty}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{V_\infty})$  the category of conic enhanced ind-sheaves on  $V_\infty$ .

<sup>6</sup>a group object in the category of bordered spaces

**Lemma 5.2.** *For  $K \in E_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})_\infty}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{V_\infty})$ , one has*

$$o^{-1}\mathrm{sh}(K) \simeq \mathrm{sh}(Eo^{-1}K), \quad o^!\mathrm{sh}(K) \simeq \mathrm{sh}(Eo^!K).$$

*Proof.* We shall prove only the first isomorphism since the proof of the second is similar.

With the identification  $N \simeq o(N) \subset V$ , set  $\dot{V} = V \setminus N$ . Consider the commutative diagram, associated with the real oriented blow-up of  $V$  with center  $N$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_NV \hookrightarrow & (V_N^{\mathrm{rb}})_\infty & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} & S_NV & \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow p & \nwarrow \tilde{j} & \nearrow \sigma \\ N \hookrightarrow & V_\infty & \xleftarrow{j} & (\dot{V})_\infty & \xrightarrow{\dot{\tau}} N \\ & & \searrow \tau & & \end{array}$$

Consider the distinguished triangle

$$Ej_!!Ej^{-1}K \rightarrow K \rightarrow Eo_*Eo^{-1}K \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

One has

$$o^{-1}\mathrm{sh}(Eo_*Eo^{-1}K) \underset{(*)}{\simeq} o^{-1}o_*\mathrm{sh}(Eo^{-1}K) \simeq \mathrm{sh}(Eo^{-1}K).$$

where  $(*)$  holds since  $o$  is proper. Hence, we can assume

$$K \simeq Ej_!!Ej^{-1}K$$

and, since  $Eo^{-1}K \simeq 0$ , we have to show

$$o^{-1}\mathrm{sh}(K) \simeq 0.$$

Recall that  $Ej^{-1}K \simeq E\gamma^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}}$  for  $K^{\mathrm{sph}} := E\gamma_*Ej^{-1}K$ . Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} K &\simeq Ej_!!E\gamma^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}} \\ &\simeq Ep_*E\tilde{j}_!!E\tilde{j}^{-1}E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}} \\ &\simeq Ep_*(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, recalling that  $o^{-1}\mathrm{sh}(K) \simeq R\tau_*\mathrm{sh}(K)$  since  $\mathrm{sh}(K)$  is conic,

$$\begin{aligned} o^{-1}\mathrm{sh}(K) &\simeq R\tau_*\mathrm{sh}(Ep_*(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}})) \\ &\underset{(*)}{\simeq} R\tau_*Rp_*\mathrm{sh}(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}}) \\ &\simeq R\sigma_*R\tilde{\gamma}_*\mathrm{sh}(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  holds since  $p$  is proper. It is then enough to show

$$R\tilde{\gamma}_*\mathrm{sh}(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}}) \simeq 0.$$

Since  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is borderly submersive and  $\tilde{\gamma}^!\mathbf{k}_{S_NV} \simeq \mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV}$ , one has by (2.4)

$$\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\mathrm{rb}} \setminus S_NV} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}K^{\mathrm{sph}} \simeq E\tilde{\gamma}^!K^{\mathrm{sph}}.$$

Hence one obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R\tilde{\gamma}_* \mathbf{sh}(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\text{rb}} \setminus S_N V} \otimes E\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} K^{\text{sph}}) &\simeq R\tilde{\gamma}_* \mathbf{sh}(E\tilde{\gamma}^! K^{\text{sph}}) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{\simeq} R\tilde{\gamma}_* \tilde{\gamma}^! \mathbf{sh}(K^{\text{sph}}) \\
&\simeq R\tilde{\gamma}_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\text{rb}}}, \tilde{\gamma}^! \mathbf{sh}(K^{\text{sph}})) \\
&\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(R\tilde{\gamma}_! \mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\text{rb}}}, \mathbf{sh}(K^{\text{sph}})).
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  follows from Lemma 3.9 (i). Then the desired result follows from  $R\tilde{\gamma}_! \mathbf{k}_{V_N^{\text{rb}}} \simeq 0$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Specialization and microlocalization.** Let us recall from [4] the natural enhancement of Sato's specialization and microlocalization functors.

Let  $M$  be a real analytic manifold and  $N \subset M$  a closed submanifold. Consider the normal and conormal bundles

$$T_N M \xrightarrow{\tau} N \xleftarrow{\varpi} T_N^* M,$$

and denote by  $(T_N M)_\infty$  and  $(T_N^* M)_\infty$  the bordered compactification of  $\tau$  and  $\varpi$ , respectively.

Denote by  $(p, s): M_N^{\text{nd}} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$  the normal deformation of  $M$  along  $N$  (see [8, §4.1]). Setting  $\Omega := s^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ , one has morphisms

$$(5.2) \quad (T_N M)_\infty \xhookrightarrow{i} (M_N^{\text{nd}})_\infty \xleftarrow{j} \Omega_\infty \xrightarrow{p_\Omega} M,$$

where  $(M_N^{\text{nd}})_\infty$  is the bordered compactification of  $p$ , and  $p_\Omega = p|_\Omega$ . The enhanced Sato's specialization functor is defined by

$$E\nu_N: E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \rightarrow E_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})_\infty}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N M)_\infty}), \quad K \mapsto Ei^{-1}Ej_*Ep_\Omega^{-1}K.$$

Sato's Fourier transform have natural enhancements (see e.g. [4, §5.2])

$$\begin{aligned}
(\cdot)^\wedge: E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N M)_\infty}) &\rightarrow E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N^* M)_\infty}), \\
{}^L(\cdot): E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N M)_\infty}) &\rightarrow E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N^* M)_\infty}),
\end{aligned}$$

and we denote by  $(\cdot)^\vee$  and  ${}^L(\cdot)$  their respective quasi-inverses. Recall that  $(\cdot)^\wedge$  and  $(\cdot)^\vee$  take values in conic objects, and that  ${}^L(\cdot)$  and  ${}^L(\cdot)$  send conic objects to conic objects.

Finally, Sato's microlocalization functor have a natural enhancement

$$E\mu_N: E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M) \rightarrow E_+^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N^* M)_\infty}) \cap E_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})_\infty}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{(T_N^* M)_\infty}),$$

defined by  $E\mu_N(K) := {}^L E\nu_N(K) \simeq E\nu_N(K)^\wedge$ .

Consider the natural morphisms

$$S_N M \xleftarrow{\gamma} (\dot{T}_N M)_\infty \xrightarrow{u} (T_N M)_\infty \xleftarrow{o} N,$$

where  $\dot{T}_N M$  is the complement of the zero-section, and  $o$  is the embedding of the zero-section. Recall that one has

$$E\gamma^{-1} \circ E\nu_N^{\text{rb}} \simeq Eu^{-1} \circ E\nu_N.$$

Recall from [8, §4.1] that the normal cone  $C_N(S) \subset T_N M$  to  $S \subset M$  along  $N$  is defined by  $C_N(S) := T_N M \cap \overline{p_\Omega^{-1}(S)}$ , where  $\overline{(\cdot)}$  denotes the closure in  $M_N^{\text{nd}}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous subanalytic function such that  $N = \varphi^{-1}(0)$ . For  $v_0 \in T_N M$ ,  $\xi_0 \in T_N^* M$ , and  $K \in E_{\mathbf{w}-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ , one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \text{sh}(E\nu_N(K))_{v_0} &\simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, U} \text{RHom}^E(E_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \text{sh}(E\mu_N(K))_{\xi_0} &\simeq \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, W, Z} \text{RHom}^E(E_{W \cap Z|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ ,  $U$  runs over the open subsets of  $M$  such that  $v_0 \notin C_N(M \setminus U)$ ,  $W$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $\varpi(\xi_0)$  in  $M$ , and  $Z$  runs over the closed subsets of  $M$  such that

$$C_N(Z)_{\varpi(\xi_0)} \subset \{v \in (T_N M)_{\varpi(\xi_0)}; \langle v, \xi_0 \rangle > 0\} \cup \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* (i-a) Assume that  $v_0 \in \dot{T}_N M$ , and set  $\theta_0 = \gamma(v_0)$ . Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sh}(E\nu_N(K))_{v_0} &\underset{(*)}{\simeq} \text{sh}(Eu^{-1}E\nu_N(K))_{v_0} \\ &\simeq \text{sh}(E\gamma^{-1}E\nu_N^{\text{rb}}(K))_{v_0} \\ &\underset{(**)}{\simeq} \text{sh}(E\nu_N^{\text{rb}}(K))_{\theta_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  and  $(**)$  follow from Lemma 3.9 (i). Then, the statement follows from Lemma 5.1 (i), by noticing that  $U \ni \theta_0$  if and only if  $v_0 \notin C_N(M \setminus U)$ .

(i-b) Assume that  $v_0 = o(y_0)$  for  $y_0 \in N$ , where  $o: N \rightarrow T_N M$  is the embedding of the zero section. Then, Lemma 5.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sh}(E\nu_N(K))_{o(y_0)} &\simeq (o^{-1}\text{sh}(E\nu_N(K)))_{y_0} \\ &\simeq (\text{sh}(Eo^{-1}E\nu_N(K)))_{y_0} \\ &\underset{(*)}{\simeq} (\text{sh}(Ei_N^{-1}K))_{y_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  follows from [4, Lemma 4.8 (i)]. Then the statement follows from Proposition 4.1.

(ii) For  $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^b(\mathbf{k}_{T_N^*M})$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(F), E\mu_N(K)) &= \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(F), {}^L E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E({}^J \epsilon(F), E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(F^\vee), E\nu_N(K)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sh}(E\mu_N(K))_{\xi_0} &\simeq \varinjlim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_V), E\mu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_V^\vee), E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\simeq} \varinjlim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{V^\circ}), E\nu_N(K)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $V$  runs over the conic open neighborhoods of  $\xi_0$  in  $T_N^*M$ , and  $V^\circ := \{v \in T_N^*M; \langle v, \xi \rangle \geq 0, \forall \xi \in V\}$  denotes the polar cone. Here  $(*)$  follows by noticing that  $\xi_0$  has a fundamental system of open conic neighborhoods  $V \subset T_N^*M$  such that  $\tau|_V$  has convex fibers.

We are left to compute  $\varinjlim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(e(F), E\nu_N(K))$  for  $F = \mathbf{k}_{V^\circ}$ . For this, setting  $W = \tau(V)$ , and considering the distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W)} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{V^\circ} \xrightarrow{+1},$$

we will instead compute the cases where  $F = \mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W)}$  or  $F = \mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ}$ .

On one hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W)}), E\nu_N(K)) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(E\tau^{-1}\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_W), E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_W), E\tau_*E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_W), Ei^{-1}K). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, noticing that  $W = \tau(V)$  is a system of neighborhoods of  $\varpi(\xi_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W)}), E\nu_N(K)) &\simeq \varinjlim_{W \ni \varpi(\xi_0)} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_W), Ei^{-1}K) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\simeq} \varinjlim_{\delta, \varepsilon, W} \mathrm{RHom}^E(E_{W|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(*)$  follows from Proposition 4.1.

On the other hand, setting  $\tilde{V} = \gamma(\tau^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ) \subset S_N M$ , one has  $\mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ} \simeq Ru! \gamma^{-1} \mathbf{k}_{\tilde{V}}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ}), E\nu_N(K)) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(Eu!! E\gamma^{-1}\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tilde{V}}), E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tilde{V}}), E\gamma_* Eu^{-1}E\nu_N(K)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tilde{V}}), E\nu_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that when  $V$  runs over the neighborhoods of  $\xi_0$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  runs over the neighborhoods of  $Z = \gamma(\{\xi_0\}^\circ)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tau^{-1}(W) \setminus V^\circ}), \mathrm{E}\nu_N(K)) &\simeq \lim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\epsilon(\mathbf{k}_{\tilde{V}}), \mathrm{E}\nu_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K)) \\ &\simeq \lim_{V \ni \xi_0} \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_{\tilde{V}}, \mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{E}\nu_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K))) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma(Z; \mathrm{sh}(\mathrm{E}\nu_N^{\mathrm{rb}}(K))) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\simeq} \lim_{\delta, \varepsilon, U} \mathrm{RHom}^E(\mathbf{E}_{U|M}^{0 \triangleright -\delta|\varphi(x)|^{-\varepsilon}}, K), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ , and  $U \supset Z$ . Here,  $(*)$  follows from Lemma 5.1 (iii).  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. COMPLEMENTS ON ENHANCED IND-SHEAVES

We provide here some complementary results on (enhanced ind-)sheaves that we need in this paper. ■

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a subanalytic bordered space, and  $\mathbf{N}$  a bordered space. Then, for any  $F \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}})$  and  $K \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathbf{I}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{N}})$  we have*

$$(A.1) \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{M}} F \boxtimes K \simeq \mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(p^{-1}F, q^!K).$$

Here,  $p: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  and  $q: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  are the projections.

*Proof.* By [1, Proposition 2.3.4], one has

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{M}}^{\vee} \mathrm{R}j_{\mathbf{M}!} F \boxtimes \mathrm{R}j_{\mathbf{N}!} K \simeq \mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(\check{p}^{-1} \mathrm{R}j_{\mathbf{M}!} F, \check{q}^! \mathrm{R}j_{\mathbf{N}!} K),$$

where  $\check{p}$  and  $\check{q}$  are the projections from  $\check{\mathbf{M}} \times \check{\mathbf{N}}$ , and  $j_{\mathbf{M}}: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{M}}$  is the natural morphism.

Applying  $j_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N}}^{-1}$ , (A.1) follows.  $\square$

**Proposition A.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}, F, K$  be as in the preceding proposition. Let  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  be a morphism of bordered spaces, and let  $f' = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{M}} \times f: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{S}$ . Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{R}f'_*(F \boxtimes K) \simeq F \boxtimes \mathrm{R}f_* K.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p_{\mathbf{N}}: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  and  $q_{\mathbf{N}}: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be the projections. We define similarly  $p_{\mathbf{S}}$  and  $q_{\mathbf{S}}$ . Then, the preceding proposition implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}f'_*(F \boxtimes K) &\simeq \mathrm{R}f'_* \mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(p_{\mathbf{N}}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{M}} F, q_{\mathbf{N}}^! K) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{R}f'_* \mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(f'^{-1} p_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{M}} F, q_{\mathbf{N}}^! K) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(p_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{M}} F, \mathrm{R}f'_* q_{\mathbf{N}}^! K) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{R}\mathcal{I}hom(p_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{M}} F, q_{\mathbf{S}}^! \mathrm{R}f_* K) \\ &\simeq F \boxtimes \mathrm{R}f_* K. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma A.3.** *Let us consider a commutative square of bordered spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' & \xrightarrow{g'} & M \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ N' & \xrightarrow{g} & N. \end{array}$$

*For any  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_M)$ , one has a canonical morphism in  $D^b(\mathbf{Ik}_{N'})$*

$$g^{-1}Rf_*F \rightarrow Rf'_*g'^{-1}F.$$

*If the square is cartesian and  $g$  is borderly submersive, then the above morphism is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The morphism is induced by adjunction from

$$Rf_*F \rightarrow Rf_*Rg'_*g'^{-1}F \simeq Rg_*Rf'_*g'^{-1}F.$$

Assume that the square is cartesian and  $g$  is borderly submersive. Then we may assume that  $N' = S \times N$  and  $M' = S \times M$  for a subanalytic space  $S$ , and that  $g$  and  $g'$  are the second projections. Hence the assertion follows from  $Rf'_*g'^{-1}F \simeq Rf'_*(\mathbf{k}_S \boxtimes F) \simeq \mathbf{k}_S \boxtimes Rf_*F \simeq g^{-1}Rf_*F$ , which is a consequence of Proposition A.2.  $\square$

**Lemma A.4.** *For  $f: M \rightarrow N$  a morphism of bordered spaces and  $K \in E^b(\mathbf{Ik}_N)$  there is a natural morphism  $f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(R^E K) \rightarrow R^E(Ef^{-1}K)$ . If  $f$  is borderly submersive, then the previous morphism is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The morphism in the statement follows by adjunction from the isomorphism  $Q_M(f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}R^E K) \simeq Ef^{-1}K$ . If  $f$  is borderly submersive, we have

$$R\pi_{M*}f_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}R^E K \underset{(*)}{\simeq} f^{-1}R\pi_{N*}R^E K \simeq 0,$$

where  $(*)$  follows from Proposition A.2. Hence, the fact that the morphism in the statement is an isomorphism follows from [1, Proposition 4.4.4 (ii-b)].  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. COMPLEMENTS ON WEAK CONSTRUCTIBILITY

In this appendix we obtain a formula for the sections, on a locally closed subanalytic subset, of a weakly constructible sheaf. This result might be of independent interest.

**B.1. Lojasiewicz's inequalities.** Let  $M$  be a subanalytic space.

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $T \subset M$  be a compact subanalytic subset, and let  $f, g: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous subanalytic functions.*

- (i) *Assume that  $T \cap f^{-1}(0) \subset g^{-1}(0)$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that*

$$\varepsilon|g(x)|^n \leq |f(x)| \quad \text{for } x \in T.$$

(ii) Let  $W \subset M$  be an open subanalytic subset, and assume that

$$(B.1) \quad \{x \in T; g(x) > 0, f(x) = 0\} \subset W.$$

Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$\{x \in T; g(x) > 0, \varepsilon g(x)^n > |f(x)|\} \subset W.$$

*Proof.* Consider the subanalytic map  $(f, g): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{(t,u)}^2$ .

(i) The set  $Z = (f, g)(T)$  is a compact subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and we have

$$Z \cap \{(t, u); t = 0\} \subset \{(t, u); u = 0\}.$$

Hence, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$Z \subset \{(t, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2; \varepsilon |u|^n \leq |t|\}.$$

This gives the statement.

(ii) Let  $T' = T \cap g^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) \setminus W$ . Since  $T' \cap f^{-1}(0) \subset g^{-1}(0)$ , (i) gives

$$T' \subset \{x \in M; \varepsilon |g(x)|^n \leq |f(x)|\},$$

which implies the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem B.2.** Let  $M$  be a subanalytic space, and  $F \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ . Then, for any locally closed subanalytic subset  $Z$  of  $M$ , and any open subanalytic subset  $W$  of  $M$  such that  $Z \subset W$ , there exists  $U \subset W$  open subanalytic in  $M$ , such that  $Z$  is a closed subset of  $U$  and

$$R\Gamma(U; F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(Z; F).$$

The proof is given in § B.3 after the preparation of the next subsection.

**Corollary B.3.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a subanalytic bordered space,  $Z$  a locally closed subanalytic subset of  $\mathbf{M}$ , and let  $F \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-}c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{M}})$ . Then, there is an isomorphism

$$R\Gamma(Z; F) \xleftarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_U R\Gamma(U; F),$$

where  $U$  runs over the open subanalytic subsets of  $\mathbf{M}$  such that  $Z \subset U$ .

**B.2. Barycentric decomposition.** We will use here the language of simplicial complexes, for which we refer to [8, §8.1].

Let  $\Sigma = (S, \Delta)$  be a simplicial complex, with  $S$  the set of vertices, and  $\Delta$  the set of simplexes (i.e., finite subsets of  $S$ ). Recall that one sets  $|\Sigma| := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta} |\sigma|$ , where

$$|\sigma| := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S; \sum_p x(p) = 1, x(p) = 0 \text{ for } p \notin \sigma, x(p) > 0 \text{ for } p \in \sigma\}.$$

Here,  $\mathbb{R}^S$  denote the set of maps  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  equipped with the product topology.

For a subset  $Z$  of  $|\Sigma|$ , we set

$$\Delta_Z := \{\sigma \in \Delta; |\sigma| \subset Z\}.$$

A subset  $Z$  of  $|\Sigma|$  is called  $\Sigma$ -constructible if  $Z$  is a union of simplexes.

**Lemma B.4.** *Let  $Z$  be a  $\Sigma$ -constructible subset of  $|\Sigma|$ .*

- (i) *the following conditions are equivalent.*
  - (a)  *$Z$  is closed,*
  - (b) *if  $\tau, \sigma \in \Delta$  satisfy  $\sigma \in \Delta_Z$  and  $\tau \subset \sigma$ , then  $\tau \in \Delta_Z$ .*
- (ii) *the following conditions are equivalent.*
  - (a)  *$Z$  is open*
  - (b) *if  $\tau, \sigma \in \Delta$  satisfy  $\sigma \in \Delta_Z$  and  $\sigma \subset \tau$ , then  $\tau \in \Delta_Z$ .*
- (iii) *the following conditions are equivalent.*
  - (a)  *$Z$  is locally closed,*
  - (b) *if  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \Delta$  satisfy  $\sigma_1, \sigma_3 \in \Delta_Z$  and  $\sigma_1 \subset \sigma_2 \subset \sigma_3$ , then  $\sigma_2 \in \Delta_Z$ .*

*Proof.* (i) follows from  $|\overline{\sigma}| = \bigcup_{\tau \in \Delta, \tau \subset \sigma} |\tau|$ . (ii) and (iii) follow from (i).  $\square$

For  $\sigma \in \Delta$ , we set

$$U(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\sigma \subset \tau \in \Delta} |\tau| = \{x \in |\Sigma|; x(s) > 0 \text{ for any } s \in \sigma\}.$$

It is the smallest open  $\Sigma$ -constructible subset containing  $|\sigma|$ .

Let us denote by  $D_{w\Sigma-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{|\Sigma|})$  the full subcategory of  $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{|\Sigma|})$  whose objects are weakly  $|\Sigma|$ -constructible. By [8, Proposition 8.1.4], we have

**Lemma B.5.** *Let  $F \in D_{w\Sigma-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{|\Sigma|})$  and  $\sigma \in \Delta$ . Then, one has*

$$R\Gamma(U(\sigma); F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(|\sigma|; F).$$

Let  $\mathbf{B}(\Sigma) = (S_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}, \Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)})$  be the barycentric decomposition of  $\Sigma$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)} &= \Delta, \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)} &= \{\tilde{\sigma} ; \tilde{\sigma} \text{ is a finite totally ordered subset of } \Delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}$  is ordered by the inclusion relation. Then there is a homeomorphism  $f: |\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |\Sigma|$  defined as follows. For  $\sigma \in \Delta = S_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}$ , let  $e_\sigma \in |\Sigma|$  be given by

$$e_\sigma(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#\sigma} & \text{if } s \in \sigma, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we define

$$f(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}} x(\sigma) e_\sigma \quad \text{for any } x \in |\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)| \subset \mathbb{R}^{S_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}}.$$

That is,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^S$  is given by

$$(f(x))(s) = \sum_{\sigma \ni s, \sigma \in S_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}} \frac{x(\sigma)}{\# \sigma} \quad \text{for any } s \in S.$$

Note that we have

$$(B.2) \quad f(|\tilde{\sigma}|) \subset |\max(\tilde{\sigma})| \quad \text{for any } \tilde{\sigma} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)},$$

where  $\max(\tilde{\sigma}) \in \Delta$  is the largest member of  $\tilde{\sigma} \subset \Delta$ . Conversely, for  $y \in |\Sigma|$  one has

$$y \in f(|\tilde{\sigma}|),$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma} := \{\sigma \in \Delta ; \quad \sigma = \{s \in S ; \quad y(s) \geq a\} \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

**Lemma B.6.** *Let  $Z \subset |\Sigma|$  be a locally closed  $\Sigma$ -constructible subset. Then for any  $\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2 \in \Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\sigma}_2 \in \Delta_{\mathbf{B}(\Sigma)}$  and  $f(|\tilde{\sigma}_1|), f(|\tilde{\sigma}_2|) \subset Z$ , we have  $f(|\tilde{\sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\sigma}_2|) \subset Z$ .* ■

*Proof.* Set  $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\sigma}_1 \cup \tilde{\sigma}_2$ . We have  $|\max(\tilde{\sigma}_1)|, |\max(\tilde{\sigma}_2)| \subset Z$ . Then the desired result follows from the fact that  $\max(\tilde{\tau})$  is equal to either  $\max(\tilde{\sigma}_1)$  or  $\max(\tilde{\sigma}_2)$ . Hence  $|\tilde{\tau}| \subset |\max(\tilde{\tau})| \subset Z$ . □

### B.3. Proof of Theorem B.2.

**Lemma B.7.** *Let  $\Sigma = (S, \Delta)$  be a simplicial complex. Let  $Z \subset |\Sigma|$  be a  $\Sigma$ -constructible locally closed subset such that*

$$(B.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{for any } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Delta_Z \text{ such that } \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \in \Delta, \\ &\text{one has } \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \in \Delta_Z. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$U := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta_Z} U(\sigma).$$

Then, for  $F \in D_{w-\Sigma-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{|\Sigma|})$  one has

$$R\Gamma(U; F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(Z; F).$$

*Proof.* Let us remark that  $U$  is an open subset and  $Z$  is a closed subset of  $U$ . Hence it is enough to show that

$$R\Gamma(U; F \otimes \mathbf{k}_{U \setminus Z}) \simeq 0.$$

Thus, we reduce the problem to prove that  $R\Gamma(U; F) \simeq 0$  under the condition that  $F \in D_{w-\Sigma-c}^b(\mathbf{k}_{|\Sigma|})$  satisfies  $F|_Z \simeq 0$ .

Let us take the open covering  $\mathfrak{U} := \{U(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta_Z}$  of  $U$ . For  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell \in \Delta_Z$ , if  $\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq \ell} U(\sigma_k) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sigma := \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \ell} \sigma_k \in \Delta_Z$  by condition (B.3) and  $\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq \ell} U(\sigma_k) = U(\sigma)$ .

Hence, one has by Lemma B.5

$$R\Gamma\left(\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq \ell} U(\sigma_k); F\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(|\sigma|; F) \simeq 0.$$

Thus, we have  $R\Gamma(\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq \ell} U(\sigma_k); F) \simeq 0$  for any  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell \in \Delta_Z$ . We conclude that  $R\Gamma(U; F) \simeq R\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}; F) \simeq 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem B.2.* There exists a simplicial complex  $\Sigma = (S, \Delta)$  and a subanalytic isomorphism  $M \simeq |\Sigma|$  such that  $Z$  and  $W$  are  $\Sigma$ -constructible and  $F$  is weakly  $\Sigma$ -constructible (after identifying  $M$  and  $|\Sigma|$ ). Let  $\tilde{\Sigma} = (\tilde{S}, \tilde{\Delta})$  be the barycentric decomposition of  $\Sigma$ , and identify  $|\tilde{\Sigma}|$ ,  $|\Sigma|$  and  $M$ . Then  $F$  is weakly  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ -constructible and  $Z$  and  $W$  are  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ -constructible. Set  $U = \bigcup_{\tilde{\sigma} \in \tilde{\Delta}_Z} U(\tilde{\sigma})$ . Then  $U \subset W$  by Lemma B.4. Moreover, condition (B.3) is satisfied by Lemma B.6. Hence, Lemma B.7 implies that  $R\Gamma(U; F) \rightarrow R\Gamma(Z; F)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. D'Agnolo and M. Kashiwara, *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for holonomic  $D$ -modules*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **123** (2016), no. 1, 69–197.
- [2] ———, *A microlocal approach to the enhanced Fourier-Sato transform in dimension one*, Adv. Math. **339** (2018), 1–59.
- [3] ———, *Enhanced perversities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal) **751** (2019), 185–241.
- [4] ———, *Enhanced specialization and microlocalization*, [arxiv:1908.01276](#) (2019), 29 pp.
- [5] S. Guillermou and P. Schapira, *Microlocal theory of sheaves and Tamarkin's non displaceability theorem*, in: Homological Mirror Symmetry and Tropical Geometry, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana **15**, Springer, Berlin (2014), 43–85.
- [6] M. Kashiwara, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **20** (1984), no. 2, 319–365.
- [7] ———, *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for irregular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules*, Jpn. J. Math. **11** (2016), no. 1, 113–149.
- [8] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **292**, Springer, Berlin (1990), x+512 pp.
- [9] ———, *Ind-sheaves*, Astérisque **271** (2001), 136 pp.
- [10] ———, *Regular and irregular holonomic  $D$ -modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **433**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016), vi+111 pp.
- [11] D. Tamarkin, *Microlocal condition for non-displaceability*, in: Algebraic and Analytic Microlocal Analysis, Springer Proc. in Math. & Stat. **269** (2018), 99–223.

(Andrea D'Agnolo) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA,  
VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* dagnolo@math.unipd.it

(Masaki Kashiwara) KYOTO UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, RE-  
SEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO  
606-8502, JAPAN & KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 02455,  
KOREA

*E-mail address:* masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp