

Chapter 1

Emerging Majorana Modes in Junctions of One-Dimensional Spin Systems

Domenico Giuliano^(1,2), Andrea Trombettoni^(3,4), and Pasquale Sodano⁽⁵⁾

⁽¹⁾ *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende I-87036, Cosenza, Italy*

⁽²⁾ *I.N.F.N., Gruppo collegato di Cosenza, Arcavacata di Rende I-87036, Cosenza, Italy*

⁽³⁾ *Department of Physics, University of Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste, Italy*

⁽⁴⁾ *CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS Simulation Center and SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

⁽⁵⁾ *I.N.F.N., Sezione di Perugia, I-64100 Perugia, Italy*

The non-local effects induced by Majorana fermions in field theories for condensed matter systems are deeply related to the fermion charge fractionalization discovered by Roman Jackiw in relativistic field theories. We show how the presence of Majorana fermions may be mimicked in pertinent networks of spin chains inducing a spin analogue of the multi-channel Kondo effect. The relevance of this spin analogue of the Kondo effect for networks of Josephson arrays and Tonks-Girardeau gases is highlighted.

1. Introduction

Low energy neutral fermionic excitations (Majorana modes), deeply related to the charged fermion zero modes discovered by Roman Jackiw in pioneering topological investigations of relativistic field theories,^{1,2} have been claimed to be relevant in a variety of strongly correlated condensed matter systems, providing new insights also for the investigation of non-Fermi liquid states.

Majorana fermions were first proposed in 1937 by Ettore Majorana³ who considered a modification to the relativistic Dirac equation for conventional spin-1/2 particles (Dirac fermions) giving purely real (as opposed to complex) solutions. These Majorana fermions are particles coinciding with their own antiparticles since their creation operator is equal to their annihilation operator. In spite of the beautiful simplicity of this idea, Majorana fermions are not easy to come by in nature. One could, for example, decompose a relativistic electron, whose wave equation does have charge conjugation symmetry, into its real and imaginary parts. However, the interaction of the electron with photons is not diagonal in this decomposition.

The real and the imaginary components will be readily remixed by the electromagnetic interaction: they cannot be stationary states of the full Hamiltonian of Quantum Electrodynamics. In condensed matter systems, however, it may be easier to look for emergent Majorana fermions, since usually electromagnetic interactions are screened.

Our particular interest in the following is in situations where the fermion spectrum has midgap, or zero energy, states. Already for complex electrons, mid-gap states give rise to fractional quantum numbers^{1,4-6} relevant for the studies on polyacetylene.⁷ With Majorana fermions, these states lead to peculiar representations of the anticommutator algebra, which can violate basic symmetries, such as fermion parity symmetry.⁸⁻¹²

The huge interest in Majorana fermions goes beyond fundamental curiosity since there is an enormous potential for applications to quantum technology and to devices based on the manipulation of Majorana fermions. For example, this could allow an electron to be splitted in a pair of widely separated Majorana bound states,¹³ which could be less sensitive to the effect of localized sources of decoherence. Current research attempts to develop integrated devices suitable for detecting, storing and manipulating Majorana fermions¹⁴

Junctions of one-dimensional (1D) wires seem to possess several distinct advantages when it comes to fabrication and subsequent detection of Majorana zero modes. In these 1D devices, zero energy Majorana modes are confined either at the wire edges or at a domain wall between topological and non-topological regions of the wire and, due to the 1D confinement, there are very few modes to “disturb” their observable signatures. From the experimental side, there have been recent advances in fabrication and manipulation of clean quantum wires allowing for an unprecedented level of control and analysis of these devices in a wide range of settings.

Low energy Majorana modes have been recently the object of many theoretical¹⁴⁻¹⁷ and experimental¹⁸ investigations. Located at the edges of 1D devices, they are responsible for the emergence of stretched nonlocal electron states^{13,19} allowing for distance independent tunnelling,²⁰ crossed Andreev reflection,²¹ teleportation-like coherent transfer of a fermion²² and fractional Josephson effects.^{23,24} Their effects emerge in a variety of platforms: quantum wires immersed in a p -wave superconductor,^{13,19,20} cold atomic systems,²⁵ topological insulator-superconductor magnetic structures,^{22,26,27} semiconductor heterostructures,²⁸⁻³¹ superconducting wires,³² Josephson arrays³³ and spin systems³⁴ In addition, they may be relevant excitations also in conventional s -wave superconductors.³⁵

It is worth to stress here that, when a superconductor is coupled to a conducting wire in a SN-junction, the Majorana mode hybridizes with the conducting electrons at the normal side of the junction. Such a feature eventually yields to a “Majorana hybridization” with the electrons in the normal wire,³⁶ a phenomenon strikingly similar with the emergence of the “electronic Kondo cloud” at the Kondo fixed

point.³⁷

Majorana low energy modes are expected to be relevant for applications to topological quantum computing³⁸ and quantum interferometry^{39,40} and their manipulation is under current investigation.^{38,41–44} In junctions of quantum wires, Majorana edge modes induce, for various network topologies, remarkable even-odd effects on the tunneling conductance.⁴⁵ In addition, Coulomb charging effects cause conductance oscillations and resonances connected to teleportation as well as peculiar finite-bias peaks^{46,47} and may trigger the flow towards exotic Kondo fixed points when the center island has a finite charging energy.⁴⁸

Majorana fermions in condensed matter are not fundamental particles. Rather, they are effective degrees of freedom, emerging in the presence of a degeneracy in the ground state which is topologically protected. One paradigmatic example is the Kitaev chain,¹⁹ a tight-binding model for the effectively spinless fermions in 1D p -wave superconductor. In this model, a topologically protected phase (i.e., a phase which cannot be changed by any local operation, and thus robust against interaction with the environment) can be described in terms of a pair of Majorana modes localized at the ends of the chain. Together, they form a non-local fermionic degree of freedom, which can be used to encode a qubit. As a solid-state realization of this model, a set of nanowires with strong Rashba coupling (InAs, InSb), laid on a conventional BCS superconductor (Al, Nb) and subject to a suitably tuned magnetic field can develop Majorana ending modes,^{30,31} for which experimental evidence has been provided (e.g.¹⁸).

The setup described above is used in the so-called Majorana-Coulomb box, or topological Kondo model (TKM).⁴⁹ It is obtained connecting a set of M effectively 1D wires to a set of nanowires supporting Majorana modes at their ends, hosted on a mesoscopic superconducting substrate with a large charging energy, and subject to an applied voltage potential (see Fig.1). At low temperatures, the model is integrable,⁵⁰ despite not being 1D. It is possible, via conformal field theory or Bethe ansatz, to compute thermodynamic quantities such as free energy, specific heat and entropy contribution from the central region.⁵¹




Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the topological Kondo model: Majorana edge modes of quantum wires are hosted on an s -wave superconductor and are capacitively coupled with the ground. These modes are proximity-coupled with the external leads.

It should be noticed that conventional multi-channel Kondo models are difficult to attain,⁵² due to the need for a perfect symmetry between the couplings of the spin density from the channels to the spin of the impurity. The TKM provides a shortcut to circumvent this problem,⁴⁹ relating the symmetry between the channels to the topological degeneracy characterizing a 1D quantum wire into a topological phase, and associated with the emergence of localized Majorana modes at the edges of the wire.

Neglecting the overlap between Majorana modes lying at the edges of the wire, the authors of⁴⁹ showed that the ground state of the wire exhibits a topological degeneracy and that, on coupling M topological quantum wires to a central superconducting island with a finite charging energy, for $M \geq 3$, one may realize over screened multi-channel Kondo physics, with the spin of the isolated impurity given by a pertinent combination of the real fermionic operators of the Majorana modes localized at the inner edges. The emerging Kondo Hamiltonian is topological as the central spin is a nonlocal combination of emerging Majorana modes. This allows for a robust realization of multi-channel Kondo physics where the effects of the potentially dangerous anisotropies in the couplings between the spin impurity and the various channels are suppressed due to topology, being irrelevant in the renormalization group flow towards the Kondo regime.^{49,53}

Finally, one should observe that the competition between superconductivity and Kondo physics leads to unusual Josephson current-phase relations and to a remarkable transfer of fractionalized charges.⁵⁴ Also interesting is the study of the concurrence of entanglement between two quantum dots in contact with Majorana bound states on a floating superconducting island. One finds that the distance between the Majorana states, the charging energy of the island and the average island charge are decisive parameters for the efficiency of the entanglement generation. This leads to the possibility of long range entanglement with distance independent concurrence over wide parameter regions.⁵⁵

A different platform for the multi-channel Kondo model has been recently proposed in⁵⁶ for a Y -junction of three 1D XX models and by Tsvetlik in a Y -junction of three 1D quantum Ising models, in both cases with the 1D chains joined at their inner edges.⁵³ This proposal, when the relevant parameters are pertinently tuned, is particularly attractive since the behaviour of the uncoupled spin models is known and it provides reliable and effective descriptions of strongly correlated phenomena in condensed matter systems. As a result one may envision to probe the multi-channel Kondo effect in a variety of controllable, and yet “topologically robust”, experimental settings such as the ones provided by degenerate Bose gases confined in an optical lattice^{57,58} or quantum Josephson junction networks (Refs.^{59–61} and Refs. therein). It should be noticed that spin realizations of multi-impurity⁶² and multi-channel⁶³ Kondo models revealed very useful not only for quantifying the entanglement,⁶⁴ but also for characterizing quantum phase transitions.⁶⁵

Remarkably, the TKM behavior is that of a non-Fermi liquid. Configurations

based on plaquette schemes are probably the simplest way of realizing a topologically protected memory,⁶⁶ and, within a surface code, all operations required for quantum computation. These configuration can be realized using as basic unit the Majorana-Coulomb box.⁶⁷ Remarkably, the TKM can be realized by a junction of spin chains⁵⁷ and it could be obtained in laboratory with the use of holographic optical traps for cold atomic gases, which paves the way of a whole new family of holographic devices.⁵⁷

The plan of the paper is the following. Using a pertinently generalized Jordan-Wigner transformation, Section 2 shows how a Y -junction of XY spin chains may be transformed in a fermionic model with a non-trivial boundary term containing Klein factors (KF), i.e. real fermion modes, introduced to ensure the appropriate commutation relations on the Y -graph. Section 3 is meant to clarify how the emergence of real fermion KF opens the possibility to engineer a spin-chain version of the TKM. In addition, one sees that a Y -junction of quantum Ising chains leads to a two-channel version of the topological Kondo effect, while a Y -junction of XX spin chains leads to a four channel Kondo model. Section 4 shows how one can map networks of quantum spin chains – in a pertinent range of parameters – in networks of Josephson junction arrays. In Section 5 one sees how a Y -junction of XX spin chains may be used to describe cold atomic systems in holographic traps. Section 6 summarizes our findings.

To help following the various abbreviations, we list in Table 1 the meaning of the ones we use most commonly throughout the paper.

Table 1. Glossary of most commonly used abbreviations.

1D	One-dimensional
TKM	Topological Kondo model
KF	Klein factors
QIC	Quantum Ising chain
JW	Jordan-Wigner
YSC	Y -junction of spin chains
JJC	Josephson junction chains
TG	Tonks-Girardeau

2. Emerging Majorana modes at junctions of quantum spin chains

In this Section we address networks of spin chains with nearest-neighbour magnetic exchange terms in the x - and y -directions in spin space (not necessarily equal to each other); in addition, we alledge for a nonzero uniform magnetic field applied in the z -direction. Specifically, each arm of the network is described by an XY spin-1/2 Hamiltonian given by

$$H_{XY} = -J \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + \gamma S_j^y S_{j+1}^y) - H \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} S_j^z \quad . \quad (1)$$

In Eq.(1) \vec{S}_j is a quantum spin-1/2 operator acting at site- j of an ℓ -site chain (with ℓ kept finite and eventually sent to infinite at the end of the calculations), obeying the algebra

$$[S_j^a, S_{j'}^b] = i\delta_{j,j'} \epsilon^{abc} S_j^c \quad . \quad (2)$$

J is the magnetic exchange strength between spins, H is the applied, uniform magnetic field in the z -direction and γ is the anisotropy parameter of the spin exchange interaction. In the following γ is chosen so that $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. By tuning γ between the extreme values $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the H_{XY} in (1) continuously interpolates between the quantum Ising chain (QIC) and the XX chain in transverse magnetic field.

To describe a junction of several XY chains, we introduce the boundary Hamiltonian H_{Δ} , given by

$$H_{\Delta} = -J_{\Delta} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (S_{1,\alpha}^x S_{1,\beta}^x + \gamma S_{1,\alpha}^y S_{1,\beta}^y) \quad . \quad (3)$$

In Eq.(3) the j -th spin of the α -th chain (with $\alpha = 1, \dots, M$) is denoted by $\vec{S}_{j,\alpha} = (S_{j,\alpha}^x, S_{j,\alpha}^y, S_{j,\alpha}^z)$. In H_{Δ} , each spin in position $j = 1$ of a chain α is coupled with all the others spins in the position $j = 1$ of all other chains $\beta \neq \alpha$. The advantage of the form (3) is that one can safely take the continuous limit in each chain, and the wires are coupled by a tunneling term.

The standard approach to the single chain described by the Hamiltonian (1) consists in mapping X_{XY} onto a quadratic, spinless fermion Hamiltonian via the Jordan-Wigner (JW) transformations.^{68,69} The latter allows for rewriting the quantum spin operators S_j^z and S_j^{\pm} , where $S_j^{\pm} = S_j^x \pm iS_j^y$, in terms of spinless lattice operators $\{a_j, a_j^{\dagger}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^+ &= a_j^{\dagger} e^{i\pi \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} a_r^{\dagger} a_r} \\ S_j^- &= a_j e^{i\pi \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} a_r^{\dagger} a_r} \\ S_j^z &= a_j^{\dagger} a_j - \frac{1}{2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In terms of the JW-fermions, one obtains

$$H_{XY} = -\frac{J(1+\gamma)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \{a_j^{\dagger} a_{j+1} + a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j\} + \frac{J(1-\gamma)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \{a_j a_{j+1} + a_{j+1}^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger}\} + H \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j^{\dagger} a_j \quad . \quad (5)$$

Once written in terms of JW-fermions, from Eq.(5) one has that, for $\gamma = 1$, H_{XY} reduces to the Hamiltonian for free fermions on a 1D lattice with a chemical potential $-H$ in the XX -limit, and to the Hamiltonian for a 1D Kitaev model for a p -wave superconductor when $\gamma = 0$.¹⁹

Remarkably, while the above JW transformations (4) are sufficient to rewrite the generic XY-Hamiltonian for a single chain in fermionic coordinates, a fundamental problem arises when several chains are connected to each other to form a junction of spin chains. Of course, one should have $M \geq 3$, since a junction of two chains can always be mapped onto one chain. Thus, for the sake of simplicity, in the following we shall take $M = 3$. The network with $M = 3$ is called a Y-junction of spin chains.

In general, models of Y-junctions have been studied at the crossing of three, or more, Luttinger liquids,^{61,70-78} in Bose gases in star geometries,⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ and in superconducting Josephson junctions.^{61,74,82} When introducing more than one chain, the Hamiltonian of the uncoupled chains (henceforth referred to as the "leads"), $H^{(0)}$, will be given by the sum of many Hamiltonians like the one in Eq.(5). In the specific case of the Y-junction of spin chains (YSC), one has

$$H = H^{(0)} + H_{\Delta} \quad , \quad (6)$$

where H_0 is the bulk Hamiltonian of the uncoupled chains and H_{Δ} is the junction Hamiltonian. The bulk Hamiltonian is given by

$$H^{(0)} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ -J \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (S_{j,\alpha}^x S_{j+1,\alpha}^x + \gamma S_{j,\alpha}^y S_{j+1,\alpha}^y) - H \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{j,\alpha}^z \right\} \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} H_{XY;\alpha} \quad , \quad (7)$$

with α being the chain index, while the junction Hamiltonian H_{Δ} is given by (3). Furthermore, in order to obtain the Kondo effect in spin chains, one should assume $|J_{\Delta}|/|J| \leq 1$.

The YSC-Hamiltonian $H \equiv H^{(0)} + H_{\Delta}$ provides the natural generalization of the Hamiltonian for a Y-junction of QIC's introduced in Ref.⁵³ and later on generalized in Refs.,^{67,83} to which it reduces for $\gamma = 0$, as well as of the Hamiltonian for a Y-junction of three quantum XX -spin chains discussed in Ref.,⁵⁶ to which it reduces for $\gamma = 1$.

In view of the successful application of the JW-transformation to solving a single XY-chain, one may attempt to look for an appropriate generalization to the YSC of the mapping onto a spinless fermionic model, but a simple-minded generalized JW-representation of the spin coordinates such as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j,\alpha}^+ &= a_{j,\alpha}^{\dagger} e^{i\pi \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} a_{r,\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{r,\alpha}} \\ S_{j,\alpha}^- &= a_{j,\alpha} e^{i\pi \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} a_{r,\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{r,\alpha}} \\ S_{j,\alpha}^z &= a_{j,\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{j,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

would eventually lead to operators that properly commute with each other, if they belong to the same chain, but such that $\{S_{j,\alpha}^\pm, S_{j',\alpha'}^\pm\} = 0$, if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, instead than $[S_{j,\alpha}^\pm, S_{j',\alpha'}^\pm] = 0$.

The solution to this problem was first put forward in Ref.,⁵⁶ in analogy to what is done when bosonizing spinful fermionic operators in interacting one-dimensional electronic systems.⁸⁴ Basically, one introduces the so-called KF, that is, real fermion modes, one per each chain. In the specific case of the YSC, one has to introduce three KF, η^1, η^2, η^3 , obeying the appropriate anticommutation relations with each other and anticommuting with all the other JW-fermion operators used to represent the spin operators in the leads. Specifically, one sets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\eta^\alpha, \eta^{\alpha'}\} &= 2\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \\ \{\eta^\alpha, a_{j,\alpha'}\} &= \{\eta^\alpha, a_{j,\alpha'}^\dagger\} = 0 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with $\alpha, \alpha' = 1, 2, 3$.

Using the KF, one modifies Eqs.(8) so as to take into account the commutation relations between the spin operators; that is, one sets^{53,56,85}

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j,\alpha}^+ &= ia_{j,\alpha}^\dagger e^{i\pi \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} a_{r,\alpha}^\dagger a_{r,\alpha}} \eta^\alpha \\ S_{j,\alpha}^- &= ia_{j,\alpha} e^{i\pi \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} a_{r,\alpha}^\dagger a_{r,\alpha}} \eta^\alpha \\ S_{j,\alpha}^z &= a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Apparently, the KF are introduced as a mathematical tool to ensure the correct commutation relations between operators acting on sites belonging to different chains. Equivalently, one may just state that their introduction corresponds to an "artificial" enlargement of the Hilbert space, which is yet necessary, in order to recover the correct operator algebra.⁵⁶

When joining together the leads into the YSC, the KF conspire to actually interact with the dynamical degrees of freedom of the leads, thus being legitimately promoted to "physical" degrees of freedom of the system, whose presence, or absence, can potentially strongly affect the behavior of the junction. Thus, in this respect, they can definitely be regarded as "emerging real-fermion degrees of freedom", that is, as emerging Majorana modes, by no means different from the "actual" Majorana modes emerging in e.g. a 1D topological superconductor in its topological phase.¹⁹ Notice that a number of exotic phases has been predicted to emerge in pertinently designed junctions of 1D leads, due to the effect of coupling the "bulk" degrees of freedom of the system to the KF,⁷³ to the so-called Klein-Majorana hybridization between the two kinds of real-fermion degrees of freedom entering the junction Hamiltonian,⁸⁶ or to both phenomena taking place at the same time.⁸⁷

Once the generalized JW transformations, Eqs.(10), are inserted into $H^{(0)}$ in Eq.(7), one obtains the fermionic version of the disconnected lead Hamiltonian as

$$H^{(0)} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ -\frac{J(1+\gamma)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \{a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j+1,\alpha} + a_{j+1,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha}\} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{J(1-\gamma)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \{a_{j,\alpha} a_{j+1,\alpha} + a_{j+1,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger\} + H \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha} \right\} . \quad (11)$$

We see that the KF do not appear in the "bulk" lead Hamiltonian in Eq.(11). At variance, they do appear in the junction Hamiltonian H_Δ in Eq.(3) which, once rewritten in fermionic coordinates, takes the form

$$H_\Delta = 2J_\Delta \left(\vec{\Sigma}_1 + \gamma \vec{\Upsilon}_1 \right) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{R}} . \quad (12)$$

In particular, the KF determine the "topological" spin-1/2 operator $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$,^{53,56} which is given by

$$\vec{\mathcal{R}} = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \sigma^3 \\ \sigma^3 \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^1 \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (13)$$

It is, indeed, a straightforward check to verify that the components of $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfy the appropriate $su(2)$ -commutation relation for a spin-1/2 angular-momentum operator. Following the notation of Ref.,⁸⁵ in Eq.(13) we have also introduced the lattice spin- and isospin-density operators, $\vec{\Sigma}_j, \vec{\Upsilon}_j$, defined as

$$\vec{\Sigma}_j = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (a_{j,2} + a_{j,2}^\dagger)(a_{j,3} + a_{j,3}^\dagger) \\ (a_{j,3} + a_{j,3}^\dagger)(a_{j,1} + a_{j,1}^\dagger) \\ (a_{j,1} + a_{j,1}^\dagger)(a_{j,2} + a_{j,2}^\dagger) \end{pmatrix} , \quad \vec{\Upsilon}_j = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (a_{j,2} - a_{j,2}^\dagger)(a_{j,3} - a_{j,3}^\dagger) \\ (a_{j,3} - a_{j,3}^\dagger)(a_{j,1} - a_{j,1}^\dagger) \\ (a_{j,1} - a_{j,1}^\dagger)(a_{j,2} - a_{j,2}^\dagger) \end{pmatrix} . \quad (14)$$

Eqs.(11,12) are the key result of the generalized JW-transformations applied to a YSC. They evidence the emergence of actual degrees of freedom at the junction (the topological spin $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$) which, despite being determined by operators originally introduced as a mathematical mean to assure the consistency of the JW-transformations, eventually become true "physical" degrees of freedom

3. Kondo effect in spin systems and the topological Kondo model

The most striking physical consequences of the emergence of real-fermion KF at a junction of bosonic quantum spin chains is the possibility of using them to engineer spin-chain version of the so-called Topological Kondo effect.

Historically, the Kondo effect was discovered as a low-temperature upturn in the resistivity of conducting metals doped with magnetic impurities.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ It is determined by the relevance of nonperturbative spin-flip processes due to the magnetic

dipole interaction between the spin of a magnetic impurity and of the itinerant conduction electrons in the metal, which, as the temperature goes down, determines the formation of a strongly correlated Kondo state between the impurity and the conduction electrons (the "Kondo cloud").^{88,89,91}

In the last decades, the development in quantum device fabrication techniques has triggered a novel interest in the Kondo effect, as it has been possible to realize it in quantum dots with metallic,⁹²⁻⁹⁵ as well as superconducting leads.⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸

Of particular relevance is the proposal of realizing the Kondo impurity spin in terms of Majorana fermion modes emerging at the endpoints of one-dimensional quantum wires connected to topological superconductors. Such a peculiar realization of Kondo effect is characterized by the "topological" nature of the impurity spin, each component of which is determined by Majorana modes belonging to different quantum wires (leads). Thus, we see that in such a topological version of Kondo effect (the TKM), the "emerging" magnetic impurity is nonlocal in the lead index. Therefore, disconnecting even a single lead from the junction fully destroys the effect, which is the main signature of the topological nature of the phenomenon.^{50,51,99,100}

In Refs.,^{49,101} it was shown that networks of quantum wires supporting edge Majorana modes¹⁰² provide a possible experimentally attainable realization of the TKM. Subsequently, the spin dynamics,⁵⁰ as well as the exact solution for finite number of electrons,¹⁰³ were investigated.

Typically, a TKM is realized at a junction of quantum wires connected to a superconducting island with a finite charging energy E_c . In such a system, Coulomb interactions play an essential role for the host superconducting region, since they determine E_c .^{50,99} Focusing onto the temperature regime $T \ll E_c$, one obtains that in all the allowed physical processes at the junction the number of electrons on the island is conserved. Under these conditions, the effective Hamiltonian describing the TKM at low energy scales can be written in the form^{99,101,104,105}

$$H = -iv_F \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \int dx \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \partial_x \psi_{\alpha}(x) + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta} \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(0) \psi_{\beta}(0) + i \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} h_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta} . \quad (15)$$

In Eq.(15), the $\psi_{\alpha}(x)$'s are the Fermi fields describing electrons in the wires $\alpha = 1, \dots, M$. $\gamma_{\alpha} = \gamma_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ are Majorana fields constrained in a box connected with the wires and satisfying the Poisson algebra

$$\{\gamma_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\beta}\} = 2\delta_{\alpha,\beta} . \quad (16)$$

The symmetric matrix $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$ is the analog of the coupling with the magnetic impurity in the usual Kondo problem. The couplings $h_{\alpha,\beta} = h_{\beta,\alpha}$ represent a direct coupling between only a pair of Majorana fermions. These can be made exponentially small when the Majorana zero modes are far enough from each other in real space. For this reason, we shall neglect them henceforth. A related, yet different model, with real spinless fermions in the bulk, has been analyzed in.¹⁰⁶

3.1. Topological Kondo model from a Y-junction of one-dimensional spin systems

To prove the mapping between a YSC of three critical chains and a topological Kondo Hamiltonian with $M = 3$, one starts from Ref.⁵⁶ where, by introducing the KF approach to the generalized JW transformation for a junction of quantum spin chains, it was shown that a Y-junction of quantum XX -spin chains in their gapless phase (corresponding to taking the limit $\gamma = 1$ in $H^{(0)} + H_\Delta$) hosts a remarkable realization of the four-channel, spin-1/2 Kondo effect.

In the low-energy, long-wavelength limit for the lead excitations, the Y-junction of quantum XX -spin chains exactly maps onto the Hamiltonian in Eq.(15) for $M = 3$. In order to see this, one first of all notices that, for $\gamma = 1$, one obtains

$$H_{\gamma=1} = H_{\gamma=1}^{(0)} + H_{\Delta, \gamma=1} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ -J \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} [a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j+1,\alpha} + a_{j+1,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha}] - H \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha} \right\} + 2J_\Delta [\vec{\Sigma}_1 + \vec{\Upsilon}_1] \cdot \vec{\mathcal{R}} \quad (17)$$

In the "critical" region, $2J > |H|$, the lattice fermions in Eq.(18) show a gapless spectrum (in the $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ -limit), thus behaving as gapless free fermions on the leads of the junction. This allows to expand the lattice fermion operators by only retaining low-energy modes around the Fermi momenta of the single-fermion spectrum in each lead, $\pm k_F = \pm \arccos\left(\frac{H}{2J}\right)$. Specifically, one sets

$$a_{j,\alpha} \approx \sqrt{a} \{ e^{ik_F(j-1)} \psi_{R,\alpha}(x_j) + e^{-ik_F(j-1)} \psi_{L,\alpha}(x_j) \} \quad , \quad (18)$$

with $x_j = ja$ and a being the lattice step (which we will set to 1 henceforth, unless specifically required to do otherwise for the sake of clarity). In terms of the continuum fermion operators at the right-hand side of Eq.(18), one obtains

$$H_{\gamma=1} \approx -i\hat{v}_F \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \int_0^\ell dx \{ \psi_{R,\alpha}^\dagger(x) \partial_x \psi_{R,\alpha}(x) - \psi_{L,\alpha}^\dagger(x) \partial_x \psi_{L,\alpha}(x) \} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} J_\Delta \sigma^\alpha \sigma^\beta [\psi_{R,\alpha}^\dagger(0) + \psi_{L,\alpha}^\dagger(0)] [\psi_{R,\beta}(0) + \psi_{L,\beta}(0)] \quad , \quad (19)$$

with $\hat{v}_F = 2J \cos(k_F)$. To recover the Hamiltonian in Eq.(15), one should "unfold" the chiral fields by defining 3 right-handed field $\psi_\alpha(x)$ such that

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_{R,\alpha}(x) & , (x > 0) \\ \psi_{L,\alpha}(-x) & , (x < 0) \end{cases} \quad . \quad (20)$$

Once $H_{\gamma=1}$ is rewritten in terms of the unfolded fields in Eq.(20), by comparison with Eq.(15), one readily sees that $H_{\gamma=1}$ corresponds to an $M = 3$ TKM, provided

one identifies the Majorana modes $\{\gamma_\alpha\}$ with the KF $\{\sigma^\alpha\}$ and μ with H ; all the $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ should be independent of α and β and all equal to J_Δ .

To pertinently complement our discussion about the realization of the TKM with a YSC, it is worth recalling that a different spin-chain realization of the topological Kondo effect may be realized at a Y-junction of three quantum Ising chains. Indeed, using the approach highlighted above, in his paper onto a YSC of three critical quantum Ising chains,⁵³ Alexei Tsvelik provided a remarkable realization of a two-channel version of the topological Kondo effect.⁹⁹ Upon setting $\gamma = 0$ in Eq.(11) and, at the same time, assuming that H is tuned at the quantum-critical point $H = J$, Eq.(11) becomes

$$H_{\gamma=0,\text{crit}}^{(0)} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} [a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger - a_{j,\alpha}] [a_{j+1,\alpha}^\dagger + a_{j+1,\alpha}] - \frac{J}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} [a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger + a_{j,\alpha}] [a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger - a_{j,\alpha}] \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Upon defining the real-fermion vector operator $\vec{\Psi}_j$ so that

$$\vec{\Psi}_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_{j,1}^\dagger + a_{j,1} \\ a_{j,2}^\dagger + a_{j,2} \\ a_{j,3}^\dagger + a_{j,3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j \text{ odd}$$

$$\vec{\Psi}_j = \begin{bmatrix} -i(a_{j,1}^\dagger - a_{j,1}) \\ -i(a_{j,2}^\dagger - a_{j,2}) \\ -i(a_{j,3}^\dagger - a_{j,3}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad j \text{ even}, \quad (22)$$

with $j = 1, \dots, 2\ell$, one sees that the definition in Eqs.(22) allows for rewriting $H_{\gamma=0,\text{crit}} = H_{\gamma=0,\text{crit}}^{(0)} + H_{\Delta,\gamma=0}$ as

$$H_{\gamma=0,\text{crit}} = -\frac{iJ}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell-1} \vec{\Psi}_j \cdot \vec{\Psi}_{j+1} - 2iJ_\Delta (\vec{\Psi}_1 \times \vec{\Psi}_1) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{R}}. \quad (23)$$

As outlined in Ref.,¹⁰⁷ the right-hand side of Eq.(23) corresponds to the lattice version of the real-fermion realization of a two-channel Kondo model. As the details of the renormalization group flow of the running coupling, of the nature of the strongly-coupled Kondo fixed point and of possible ways of experimentally probing the Kondo physics have been largely addressed in the literature (see, for instance Refs.^{85,107,108}), here we just stress once more how this remarkable properties are determined by the emergence of the KF at the junction and by their interaction with the dynamical degrees of freedom of the junctions. At the same time, the topological nature of the spin operator $\vec{\mathcal{R}}$ is witnessed by the fact that its components are nonlocal functionals of operators related to different leads of the junction, so that once one lead is disconnected, the operator itself ceases to exist as an effective quantum spin-1/2 degree of freedom.⁹⁹

For generic values of γ and H , each single-chain fermionic Hamiltonian at the right-end side of Eq.(11) may be readily diagonalized, yielding the dispersion relation⁸⁵

$$\pm \epsilon_k = \pm \sqrt{[J(1 + \gamma) \cos(k) - H]^2 + J^2(1 - \gamma)^2 \sin^2(k)} . \quad (24)$$

For generic values of the parameters, the dispersion relation in Eq.(24) is fully gapped, with the gap $\Delta_w = \min \left\{ J(1 - \gamma) \sqrt{1 - \frac{H^2}{\gamma^2 J^2}}, |J(1 + \gamma) - H| \right\}$. Moving along the "critical" line $\Delta_w = 0$, one may continuously interpolate between the Y-junction of QICs and the Y-junction of XX spin chains studied in Ref.⁵⁶

In order to pertinently interpolate between the two Kondo systems discussed above, both characterized by a gapless dispersion relation of the lead fermions, one has to move along a line in parameter space on which $\Delta_w = 0$. This is explicitly illustrated in Fig.2. The line continuously connecting the two-channel Kondo system at $\gamma = 0$ with the four-channel one at $\gamma = 1$ is drawn in red and while the vertical line with $\gamma = 1$ is green.




Fig. 2. Phase diagram of the XY -chain in a magnetic field in the $\gamma - (H/J)$ plane: the green line at $\gamma = 1$ corresponds to the XX line. The red line corresponds to the gap closure at $H/J = 1 + \gamma$ (see text).

Specifically, the "critical" line connecting the Ising limit $\gamma = 0$ with the four-channel line, spanned at constant $\gamma = 1$ by varying the ratio $H/(2J)$ between -1 and 1, satisfies the equation $H = J(1 + \gamma)$, which implies

$$\pm \epsilon_{k,\text{crit}} = \pm 2J \left| \sin \left(\frac{k}{2} \right) \right| \sqrt{(1 + \gamma)^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} \right) + (1 - \gamma)^2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)} , \quad (25)$$

with the gap closing at $k = 0$.

An important observation is that, though, for any $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, H_Δ corresponds to a four-channel, spin-1/2 Kondo Hamiltonian, the condition $J_2/J_1 = \gamma < 1$ makes the coupling to be pairwise inequivalent. This implies that J_1 will flow towards strong coupling before J_2 . Once J_1 has flown to strong coupling, the flow of the other running coupling stops and the system behaves as a two-channel Kondo model.

3.2. Thermodynamics of the topological Kondo model

The thermodynamics of the TKM with an arbitrary number of wires M is analyzed in Ref.,⁵¹ where it is provided the complete Bethe ansatz solution for $T \neq 0$. The results for $T = 0$ are given in Refs.,^{109,110} We refer to Ref.⁵¹ for details of the finite temperature Bethe ansatz solution. Here, we limit ourselves to stress the most important results for the entropy and the specific heat.

The residual entropy at zero temperature shows that the degrees of freedom introduced by the Majorana modes, contribute as

$$S_J^{(0)} = \log \sqrt{\frac{M}{2}}, \quad (26)$$

for even M and

$$S_J^{(0)} = \log \sqrt{M}, \quad (27)$$

for odd M , in both cases in agreement with the boundary conformal field theory results of.¹⁰³

The signature of the non-Fermi liquid nature of the strongly coupled fixed point is given by the next-to-leading term in the expansion of the junction free energy. As a result, the Majorana contribution to the specific heat behaves at low temperatures as⁵¹

$$C_J = -T \frac{\partial^2 F_J}{\partial T^2} \sim \left(\frac{T}{T_K} \right)^{\frac{2(M-2)}{M}}, \quad (28)$$

where the (dimensionless) Kondo temperature T_K depends on the coupling between legs as

$$T_K \sim e^{-\frac{\pi}{\lambda(M-2)}}. \quad (29)$$

A non-integer power is a strong and experimentally detectable signature of the presence of a non-Fermi liquid fixed point. In particular, it is related to the operator content of the conformal field theory describing the fixed point at strong coupling, as explained in Ref.¹¹¹

4. Josephson junction networks and junctions of spin chains

In section 2 we have shown how real-fermion Majorana modes can emerge at a YSC. Spin chain models typically provide simplified, though effective, descriptions of strongly correlated, many-body systems. A basic question to answer, when proposing a YSC as a system to probe emerging Majorana modes, is how to realize it in practice with controlled parameters. A possible answer to this question is provided by the mapping between arrays of Josephson junction chains (JJC)s with pertinently chosen parameters and quantum spin chains.^{112–114} In the following we remind how the mapping works for XY model.

4.1. The XY model as an effective description of a Josephson junction chain

Starting from the pioneristic work by Bradley and Doniach,¹¹² it is by now well-established how JJs can be well-described in terms of either classical, or quantum, spin chains, in various regions of values of their parameters.^{112–114}

The first relevant example is provided by a quantum XX -chain realized as a JJC with finite charging energy E_Q .

One considers a chain of quantum Josephson junctions realized between superconducting grains, each one characterized by the value ϕ_i of the local superconducting order parameter. The charge operator at grain- i is canonically conjugated to ϕ_i and, in units of the Cooper pair charge $e^* = 2e$, it is given by $\hat{Q}_i = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_i}$. Additionally, one assumes that the charge at each grain is fixed by a gate voltage V_g . As a result, letting E_Q , E_J and \mathcal{N} respectively be equal to the charging energy at each grain, to the Josephson coupling between nearest-neighbor grains, and to the V_g -depending average charge at each grain, a uniform JJC with ℓ sites is described by the Hamiltonian^{113,114}

$$H_{\text{JJC}} = \frac{E_Q}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_j} - \mathcal{N} \right]^2 - E_J \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \cos[\phi_j - \phi_{j+1}] \quad . \quad (30)$$

To map H_{JJC} onto the model Hamiltonian for a quantum spin-1/2 XX -spin chain, one should assume that the JJC parameters are set so that $E_Q/E_J \gg 1$. When \mathcal{N} is integer, or near so, this corresponds to the so-called “charging” regime of the JJC, in which, typically, the chain behaves as a (Mott) insulator, as the Coulomb blockade, due to the large charging energy, prevents charges from tunneling from grain to grain, forbidding current transport across the chain.¹¹² In fact, at integer \mathcal{N} , the Coulomb blockade is determined by the condition that the minimum energy state at each grain is nondegenerate, with total charge $\sim e^*\mathcal{N}$. At variance, when $\mathcal{N} = n + \frac{1}{2}$, with integer n , two different charge eigenstates, with charge equal to e^*n and to $e^*(n+1)$, are degenerate, at each site of the chain. This means that one may recover the low-energy dynamics of H_{JJC} in Eq.(30) by only retaining those two states at each site. Accordingly, one naturally resorts to a quantum spin-1/2 re-formulation of the JJC dynamics, by defining, at each site i , the two states $|\uparrow\rangle_i \equiv |n+1\rangle_i$, and $|\downarrow\rangle_i \equiv |n\rangle_i$, with $|n+1\rangle_i$ and $|n\rangle_i$ being the two charge eigenstates corresponding to charge $n+1$ and n at site i .

Defining the low-energy subspace as $\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell = \pm 1} \{|\sigma_1\rangle_1 \otimes \dots \otimes |\sigma_\ell\rangle_\ell\}$, one defines the spin-1/2 operators \vec{S}_j by projecting on \mathcal{F} the operators at the right-hand side of Eq.(30). In particular, letting $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the projector on \mathcal{F} , one obtains¹¹⁴

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^z &\equiv \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \left[-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_j} - n - \frac{1}{2} \right] \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \\ S_j^\pm &\equiv \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}} e^{\pm i\phi_j} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

In terms of the spin-1/2 operators in Eq.(31) one then gets

$$H_{\text{JJC}} = -\frac{E_J}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \{S_j^+ S_{j+1}^- + S_{j+1}^+ S_j^-\} - h \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} S_j^z, \quad (32)$$

where, in Eq.(32), $h = E_Q \delta$, and δ corresponds to a possible small offset in \mathcal{N} from the exact degeneracy point, that is, $\mathcal{N} = n + \frac{1}{2} + \delta$.

One should observe that, though states with charge at a site i different from either n , or $n + 1$, are ruled out from \mathcal{F} as “high-energy” states, at finite E_Q they can still play a role as virtual states, entering the mapping leading to Eq.(32) by means of higher-order contributions in E_J/E_Q . For instance, to first-order in E_J/E_Q an additional term at the right-hand side of Eq.(32) is generated, that is $\propto -\frac{E_J^2}{E_Q} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} S_j^z S_{j+1}^z$.^{113,114} While such a term is of great interest in view of novel phase transitions it can trigger, its analysis goes beyond the scope of this work. The previous derivation shows how a JJC with appropriate parameters may be simulated by a quantum XX -spin chain.

At variance, engineering a Josephson junction network realizing a QIC is much more challenging. In fact, Cooper-pair tunneling between superconducting grains across each Josephson junction, naturally determines an XX planar coupling, once one resorts to the spin-chain description of the JJC. In order to recover an Ising-like coupling in spin space it is required to use a Josephson junction network, where the “elementary unit” is a rhombus, made out of a single, circular, four-junction chain.¹⁰⁸

4.2. Josephson junction network realization of Y-junctions of quantum spin chains

As a general observation, it is worth stressing again how, due to the optimal level of control reached on their fabrication and control parameters, Josephson junction networks provide an excellent arena to engineer reliable and largely tunable quantum devices.¹¹⁵ In particular, it is by now known that highly coherent two-level quantum systems may emerge at pertinently engineered Josephson junction rhombi chains.^{116,117} More generally, it is well established how a Josephson junction rhombi chain is able to induce charge $4e$ superconducting correlations,^{118–120} in the bulk as well as at a tunneling process across a quantum impurity.^{116,117,121} Once one has set the mapping between a Josephson junction rhombi chain and a QIC, three Josephson junction rhombi chains may be glued together into a Y-junction, as shown in Ref.,¹⁰⁸ thus providing the JJC realization of Tsvelik’s YSC.

Given the mapping between pertinent Josephson junction networks and quantum spin chains (XX -spin chains or QICs), one expects to translate all the observations concerning the emergence of Majorana modes at a YSC to Y-junctions realized with appropriate Josephson junction networks. To illustrate how the task can be

achieved, one begins with a Y-junction of JJs such as the ones described in Eq.(30). One considers three chains, described by the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{JJC}}^{(3)}$, given by

$$H_{\text{JJC}}^{(3)} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ \frac{E_Q}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j,\alpha}} - \mathcal{N} \right]^2 - E_J \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \cos[\phi_{j,\alpha} - \phi_{j+1,\alpha}] \right\} , \quad (33)$$

with $\phi_{j,\alpha}$ being the phase of the superconducting grain j of chain α and $-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j,\alpha}}$ being the corresponding charge operator. The three chains are connected at one of their endpoints, say $j = 1$, to the other two chains by means of a Josephson coupling term H_J , given by⁵⁶

$$H_J = -J' \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \{ e^{i\phi_{1,\alpha}} e^{-i\phi_{1,\alpha+1}} + e^{i\phi_{1,\alpha+1}} e^{-i\phi_{1,\alpha}} \} . \quad (34)$$

Assuming that the parameters are all the same in the ‘‘bulk’’ of each chain, one may undergo through the same projection onto the joint low-energy subspace of the three chains, thus resorting to an effective, spin-1/2 quantum spin chain description of the network. As a result, setting $\mathcal{N} = \bar{N} + \frac{1}{2} + h$, with $|h| \ll 1$, $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\sigma_{1,\alpha}, \dots, \sigma_{\ell,\alpha} = \pm 1} \{ |\sigma_{1,\alpha}\rangle_{1,\alpha} \otimes \dots \otimes |\sigma_{\ell,\alpha}\rangle_{\ell,\alpha} \}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \prod_{\alpha=1,2,3} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, one defines $S_{j,\alpha}^z = \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F} \left[-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j,\alpha}} - \bar{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F}$, $S_{j,\alpha}^\pm = \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F} e^{\pm i\phi_{j,\alpha}} \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F}$. Then one obtains that the whole junction (the set of the three JJs plus the coupling at the endpoints of the chains) is described by the Hamiltonian H_{junction} , given by

$$H_{\text{junction}} = \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F} [H_{\text{JJC}}^{(3)} + H_J] \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{F} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ -\frac{E_J}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} [S_{j,\alpha}^+ S_{j+1,\alpha}^- + S_{j+1,\alpha}^+ S_{j,\alpha}^-] - h \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{j,\alpha}^z \right\} - 2J_\Delta \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} S_{1,\alpha}^x S_{1,\alpha+1}^x , \quad (35)$$

with $J_\Delta/E_J < 1$, that is, the Hamiltonian of a YSC in the isotropic case $\gamma = 1$.

One may construct a YSC of quantum Ising chains, as illustrated in,¹⁰⁸ by considering three equal rhombi chains described by the Hamiltonian $H_m^{(3)}$ given by

$$H_m^{(3)} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2,3} \left\{ -J \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \sum_{r=1}^4 \{ e^{-\frac{i}{4}\varphi} \sigma_{r,p,\alpha}^+ \sigma_{r+1,p,\alpha}^- + \text{h.c.} \} - h \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} \sum_{r=1}^4 \sigma_{r,p,\alpha}^z - \mathcal{T} \sum_{p=1}^{\ell-1} \{ \sigma_{3,p,\alpha}^+ \sigma_{1,p+1,\alpha}^- + \text{h.c.} \} \right\} . \quad (36)$$

To effectively make a Y-junction, one assumes the existence of Josephson couplings with strength \mathcal{J} between sites number 2 of the endpoint-rhombus of each chain, described by the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{MB;J}}$ given by

$$H_{\text{MB;J}} = -\mathcal{J} \{ \sigma_{1,1,2}^+ \sigma_{2,1,2}^- + \sigma_{2,1,2}^+ \sigma_{3,1,2}^- + \sigma_{3,1,2}^+ \sigma_{1,1,2}^- + \text{h.c.} \} . \quad (37)$$

One then projects with \mathcal{P}_G the total Hamiltonian $H_m^{(3)} + H_{\text{MB};J}$. As a result, one gets the Hamiltonian H_Y , given by

$$H_Y = \sum_{\lambda=1,2,3} \{J_x \sum_{p=1}^{\ell-1} S_{p,\lambda}^x S_{p+1,\lambda}^x - 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\ell} H_p S_{p,\lambda}^z\} + H_B \quad , \quad (38)$$

with

$$H_B = J_K \{S_{1,1}^x S_{2,1}^x + S_{2,1}^x S_{3,1}^x + S_{3,1}^x S_{1,1}^x\} + \delta H_B \quad , \quad (39)$$

and $J_K = \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{J}$. $\delta H_B = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16} \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{J} \{S_{1,1}^z + S_{2,1}^z + S_{3,1}^z\}$ is a boundary magnetic field term which does not affect the behavior of the system. Apart for this term (and for a change in sign of J_x), the Hamiltonian in Eqs.(38)-(39) is exactly the one describing the YSC of QICs introduced before.

5. Ultracold atoms and junctions of spin chains

In order to implement a Y -junction with cold atomic systems,¹²² one has to recall that:

- 1 a Tonks-Girardeau (TG) gas of one-dimensional bosons on a Y -junction is a physical realization of the XX model on the Y -junction itself – and therefore can be mapped via the JW transformation of Section 2 in the TKM Hamiltonian;
- 2 TG gases of ultracold bosons have been implemented in several experiments;¹²³
- 3 Stable Y -configurations may be created by holographic techniques, as experimentally shown in.¹²²

For our purpose here, one should focus only on point 1) and show that (15) may be obtained from a model Hamiltonian for interacting bosons in the TG limit, confined to M one-dimensional waveguides arranged in a Y -junction.

In each waveguide $\alpha = 1, \dots, M$ the Lieb-Lininger Hamiltonian describing interacting bosons in one-dimensional guides of length \mathcal{L} reads:^{124–126}

$$H^{(\alpha)} = \int_0^{\mathcal{L}} dx \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_x \Psi_\alpha^\dagger(x) \partial_x \Psi_\alpha(x) + \frac{c}{2} \Psi_\alpha^\dagger(x) \Psi_\alpha^\dagger(x) \Psi_\alpha(x) \Psi_\alpha(x) \right]. \quad (40)$$

The parameter m is the mass of the bosons and $c > 0$ is the repulsion strength, as determined by the s -wave scattering length.¹²⁷ The bosonic fields Ψ_α satisfy canonical commutation relations $[\Psi_\alpha(x), \Psi_\alpha^\dagger(y)] = \delta(x - y)$.

The coupling of the Lieb-Lininger Hamiltonian, denoted by γ , is proportional to c/n where $n \equiv \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{L}$ is the density of bosons and \mathcal{N} is the number of bosons per waveguide. More specifically one has $\gamma = mc/\hbar^2 n$. The limit of vanishing

γ corresponds to an ideal one-dimensional Bose gas, while the limit of infinite γ corresponds to the TG gas,^{128,129} which generally has the expectation values and thermodynamic quantities of a one-dimensional ideal Fermi gas.^{123,126,130,131} The experimental realization of the TG gas with cold atoms^{132,133} triggered intense activity in the last decade, reviewed in.^{123,130,131}

One considers M copies of this one-dimensional Bose gas and joins them together by the ends of the segments, in such a way that the bosons can tunnel from one waveguide to the others. The bosonic fields in different legs commute:

$$\left[\Psi_\alpha(x), \Psi_\beta^\dagger(y) \right] = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta(x-y),$$

and the total Hamiltonian has the form $H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^M H^{(\alpha)} + H_J$ where the junction term H_J describes the tunneling process among legs.

As a tool for performing computations, as well as to give a precise meaning to the tunneling processes at the edges of the legs, in each leg we discretize space into a lattice of L sites with lattice spacing a (where $La = \mathcal{L}$ and the total number of sites N_S of the star lattice is $N_S \equiv LM$). This discretization can be physically realized by superimposing optical lattices on the legs.¹³⁴ One can then perform a tight-binding approximation^{135,136} and write the bosonic fields as $\Psi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{\alpha,j} w_{\alpha,j}(x) b_{\alpha,j}$ where $b_{\alpha,j}$ is the operator destroying a particle in the site $j = 1, \dots, L$ of the leg α and $w_{\alpha,j}(x)$ is the appropriate Wannier wavefunction localized in the same site.

The resulting lattice Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian on each leg then reads^{135,137}

$$H_U^{(\alpha)} = -t \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \left(b_{\alpha,j}^\dagger b_{\alpha,j+1} + b_{\alpha,j+1}^\dagger b_{\alpha,j} \right) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j=1}^L b_{\alpha,j}^\dagger b_{\alpha,j}^\dagger b_{\alpha,j} b_{\alpha,j} \quad (41)$$

where the interaction coefficient is $U = c \int |w_\alpha(x)|^4 dx$ ($\alpha = 1, \dots, L$), the hopping coefficient is $t = -\int w_{\alpha,j} \hat{T} w_{\alpha,j+1} dx$ with $\alpha = 1, \dots, L-1$, and $\hat{T} = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2/\partial x^2$ is the kinetic energy operator.

The total lattice Hamiltonian for a Y junction of atomic condensates is obtained by taking 3 copies of the system, connected to one another by a hopping term. The total Hamiltonian is then written as:

$$H_U = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 H_U^{(\alpha)} + H_J, \quad (42)$$

where the junction term has the form

$$H_J = -\lambda \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 3} \left(b_{\alpha,1}^\dagger b_{\beta,1} + b_{\beta,1}^\dagger b_{\alpha,1} \right) \quad (43)$$

with λ being the hopping between the first site of a leg and the first sites of the others. Typically one has $\lambda > 0$, which corresponds to an *antiferromagnetic* Kondo model, as shown in the following. Nevertheless, we observe that the sign of t and λ could be changed by shaking the trap.¹³⁸

The total number of bosons in the system, $N = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{L}$, is a conserved quantity in the lattice model and can be tuned in experiments. In the canonical ensemble $N = \sum_{\alpha,j} \langle b_{\alpha,j}^\dagger b_{\alpha,j} \rangle$. The phase diagram of the bulk Hamiltonian (41) in each leg undergoes quantum phase transitions between superfluid and Mott insulating phases:¹³⁹ notice that in the canonical ensemble the system is superfluid as soon as the filling N/N_S is not integer.

One is interested in the limit $U \rightarrow \infty$, so that after the continuous limit is taken back again, the TG gas is retrieved in the bulk. It is well known that this limit brings substantial simplifications in the computation: it was shown in¹⁴⁰ that, on each leg, the spectrum and the scattering matrix are equivalent to a system of spins in the $s = 1/2$ representation. As customary, one may map the hard-core bosons to $1/2$ spins. The Hamiltonian (42) written in spin variables is given by

$$H_\infty^{(\alpha)} = -t \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (S_{j,\alpha}^+ \sigma_{j+1,\alpha}^- + S_{j+1,\alpha}^+ \sigma_{j,\alpha}^-) \quad (44)$$

$$H_J = -\lambda \sum_{\alpha < \beta}^3 (S_{1,\alpha}^+ \sigma_{1,\beta}^- + \sigma_{1,\beta}^+ \sigma_{1,\alpha}^-) \quad (45)$$

which coincides with a junction of XX -type spin chains.⁵⁶ Now one can proceed exactly as in Section 2, finding an Hamiltonian of the form (3) via the correct identification of the parameters.

From the Hamiltonian (44)-(45), one obtains

$$H = -t \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 (c_{\alpha,j}^\dagger c_{\alpha,j+1} + c_{\alpha,j+1}^\dagger c_{\alpha,j}) + H_J \quad (46)$$

$$H_J = -\lambda \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 3} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta (c_{\alpha,1}^\dagger c_{\beta,1} + c_{\alpha,1} c_{\beta,1}^\dagger) \quad (47)$$

In conclusion, one has mapped the Hamiltonian (45), acting on N_S spin variables, onto another one, defined in terms of N_S spinless fermionic degrees of freedom plus one Klein factor per leg. In other words, the hard-core boson Hamiltonian (42) in the limit $U \rightarrow \infty$ is mapped onto the fermionic Hamiltonian (46), given by the sum of non-interacting wires and the highly nontrivial junction term H_J . The Fermi energy of the non-interacting fermions in (each of) the external wires is denoted by E_F .

It should be remarked that the only mechanism in which the topological protection of the degree of freedom encoded in the Majorana modes can be spoiled is the loss of bosonic atoms by the trap. With our parameters, we found this probability negligible on the time scales needed for the experiment, since the energy barrier for the atom loss is ~ 500 nK, much larger than the typical Fermi energy of the TG gases.

6. Concluding remarks

We argued that a pertinent modification of a remarkable result obtained in the seventies by Roman Jackiw and collaborators^{1,2} opened the avenue to consistently deal with non-local effects in field theories of relevant condensed matter systems.

In this paper we dealt with these non-local effects in spin models relevant for the study of the multi-channel Kondo effect. One advantage of these Kondo models is that the perfect symmetry of the couplings of the various channels with the spin impurity is guaranteed by a topological degeneracy and thus robust against decoherence. The topological Kondo effect stems from the interaction of localized Majorana modes with external 1D channels arranged in a pertinent graph geometry.

We considered the simple case of star-like geometries on which XX and XY spin models were defined. We showed how, from these models, the four- and two-channel Kondo models emerge.

We finally showed how these topological Kondo Models may be realized in Josephson Junction arrays and networks of Tonks-Girardeau gases.

Acknowledgements:

We all benefited from discussions with Professor Roman Jackiw and from his lectures on Majorana fermions and charge fractionalization. We are very happy to contribute to His festschrift volume.

I (P.S.) enjoyed Roman's friendship since 1985 when I, firstly, joined His research group at the Centre for theoretical physics of MIT. The possibility to visit MIT as a postdoctoral fellow in Roman's group was offered to me by Professor Sergio Fubini who, after the many discussions we had at CERN, assisted me in getting the financial support for my first visit at MIT. There, it started a very memorable time of my scientific and personal life! Indeed, I had the opportunity to enjoy the many teachings from Roman on a variety of topics ranging from Chern-Simons field theories to field theories of condensed matter systems. Our frequent discussions shaped my scientific attitude through the years and drove my interest towards the study of the role of topology in relativistic field theories and condensed matter systems. The friendship with Roman gave to me the unique chance to appreciate also His sense of humor, His loyalty as a friend, and His patience as a teacher; in addition, He had a unique ability in choosing always the very good restaurants where, from time to time, we celebrated our encounters. I wish Him a very happy birthday and many serene and interesting years to come.

It is also a pleasure to thank I. Affleck, H. Babujian, A. Bayat, S. Bose, F. Buccheri, M. Burrello, G. Campagnano, N. Crampé, D. Cassettari, R. Egger, R. Graham, V. Korepin, L. Lepori, D. Rossini, and A. Tagliacozzo for many enlightening discussions during our collaboration on some of the topics presented in this paper.

References

1. R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Solitons with fermion number $1/2$, *Phys. Rev. D.* **13**, 3398–3409 (Jun, 1976). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.13.3398.
2. R. Jackiw and P. Rossi, Zero modes of the vortex-fermion system, *Nuclear Physics B.* **190**(4), 681 – 691, (1981). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(81\)90044-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90044-4).
3. E. Majorana, Teoria simmetrica dell’elettrone e del positrone, *Il Nuovo Cimento (1924-1942)*. **14**(4), 171 (Sep, 1937). doi: 10.1007/BF02961314.
4. R. Rajaraman and J. Bell, On solitons with half integral charge, *Physics Letters B.* **116**(2), 151 – 154, (1982). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(82\)90996-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90996-0).
5. A. Niemi and G. Semenoff, Fermion number fractionization in quantum field theory, *Physics Reports.* **135**(3), 99 – 193, (1986). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(86\)90167-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(86)90167-5).
6. R. Jackiw, A. Kerman, I. Klebanov, and G. Semenoff, Fluctuations of fractional charge in soliton anti-soliton systems, *Nuclear Physics B.* **225**(2), 233 – 246, (1983). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(83\)90051-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(83)90051-2).
7. R. Jackiw and J. Schrieffer, Solitons with fermion number 12 in condensed matter and relativistic field theories, *Nuclear Physics B.* **190**(2), 253 – 265, (1981). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(81\)90557-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90557-5).
8. R. Jackiw, Fractional and majorana fermions: the physics of zero-energy modes, *Physica Scripta.* **T146**, 014005 (jan, 2012). doi: 10.1088/0031-8949/2012/t146/014005.
9. R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, State space for planar majorana zero modes, *Phys. Rev. B.* **85**, 033102 (Jan, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.033102.
10. R. Jackiw, Emergent majorana fermions and their restricted clifford algebra, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics.* **181**(1), 1164–1168 (Oct, 2014). doi: 10.1007/s11232-014-0206-6.
11. S.-H. Ho, F.-L. Lin, and X.-G. Wen, Majorana zero-modes and topological phases of multi-flavored jackiw-rebbi model, *Journal of High Energy Physics.* **2012**(12), 74 (Dec, 2012). doi: 10.1007/JHEP12(2012)074.
12. J. Lee and F. Wilczek, Algebra of majorana doubling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 226402 (Nov, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.226402.
13. G. W. Semenoff and P. Sodano, Stretching the electron as far as it will go, *Electron.J.Theor.Phys.* **10**, 157–190, (2006).
14. J. Alicea, New directions in the pursuit of majorana fermions in solid state systems, *Reports on Progress in Physics.* **75**(7), 076501 (jun, 2012). doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/75/7/076501.
15. C. Beenakker, Search for majorana fermions in superconductors, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics.* **4**(1), 113–136, (2013). doi: 10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-030212-184337.
16. M. Franz, Majorana’s wires, *Nature Nanotechnology.* **8**, 149–152, (2013). doi: 10.1038/nnano.2013.33.
17. M. Leijnse and K. Flensberg, Introduction to topological superconductivity and majorana fermions, *Semiconductor Science and Technology.* **27**(12), 124003 (nov, 2012). doi: 10.1088/0268-1242/27/12/124003.
18. V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Signatures of majorana fermions in hybrid superconductor-semiconductor nanowire devices, *Science.* **336**(6084), 1003–1007, (2012). doi: 10.1126/science.1222360.
19. A. Y. Kitaev, Unpaired majorana fermions in quantum wires, *Physics-Uspekhi.* **44**

- (10S), 131–136 (oct, 2001). doi: 10.1070/1063-7869/44/10s/s29.
20. G. W. Semenoff and P. Sodano, Stretched quantum states emerging from a majorana medium, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*. **40**(8), 1479–1488 (mar, 2007). doi: 10.1088/0953-4075/40/8/002.
 21. J. Nilsson, A. R. Akhmerov, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Splitting of a cooper pair by a pair of majorana bound states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 120403 (Sep, 2008). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.120403.
 22. L. Fu, Electron teleportation via majorana bound states in a mesoscopic superconductor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 056402 (Feb, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.056402.
 23. L. Jiang, D. Pekker, J. Alicea, G. Refael, Y. Oreg, and F. von Oppen, Unconventional josephson signatures of majorana bound states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 236401 (Nov, 2011). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.236401.
 24. J. D. Sau, E. Berg, and B. I. Halperin. On the possibility of the fractional ac josephson effect in non-topological conventional superconductor-normal-superconductor junctions, (2012).
 25. S. Tewari, S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, C. Zhang, and P. Zoller, Quantum computation using vortices and majorana zero modes of a $p_x + ip_y$ superfluid of fermionic cold atoms, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 010506 (Jan, 2007). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.010506.
 26. L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Superconducting proximity effect and majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 096407 (Mar, 2008). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.096407.
 27. V. Shivamoggi, G. Refael, and J. E. Moore, Majorana fermion chain at the quantum spin hall edge, *Phys. Rev. B*. **82**, 041405 (Jul, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.041405.
 28. J. D. Sau, S. Tewari, R. M. Lutchyn, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Das Sarma, Non-abelian quantum order in spin-orbit-coupled semiconductors: Search for topological majorana particles in solid-state systems, *Phys. Rev. B*. **82**, 214509 (Dec, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.214509.
 29. J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Generic new platform for topological quantum computation using semiconductor heterostructures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 040502 (Jan, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.040502.
 30. Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, Helical liquids and majorana bound states in quantum wires, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 177002 (Oct, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.177002.
 31. R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Majorana fermions and a topological phase transition in semiconductor-superconductor heterostructures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 077001 (Aug, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.077001.
 32. A. M. Tsvelik. Zero energy majorana modes in superconducting wires, (2011).
 33. F. Hassler and D. Schuricht, Strongly interacting majorana modes in an array of josephson junctions, *New Journal of Physics*. **14**(12), 125018 (dec, 2012). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/14/12/125018.
 34. A. A. Nersisyan and A. M. Tsvelik, Zero-energy majorana modes in spin ladders and a possible realization of the kitaev model, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*. **96**(1), 17002 (sep, 2011). doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/96/17002.
 35. C. Chamon, R. Jackiw, Y. Nishida, S.-Y. Pi, and L. Santos, Quantizing majorana fermions in a superconductor, *Phys. Rev. B*. **81**, 224515 (Jun, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.224515.
 36. D. Sticlet, C. Bena, and P. Simon, Spin and majorana polarization in topological

- superconducting wires, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 096802 (Mar, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.096802.
37. I. Affleck and D. Giuliano, Screening clouds and majorana fermions, *Journal of Statistical Physics.* **157**(4), 666–691 (Dec, 2014). doi: 10.1007/s10955-014-1056-1.
 38. C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Non-abelian anyons and topological quantum computation, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083–1159 (Sep, 2008). doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1083.
 39. S. Bose and P. Sodano, Nonlocal hanbury–brown–twiss interferometry and entanglement generation from majorana bound states, *New Journal of Physics.* **13**(8), 085002 (aug, 2011). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/13/8/085002.
 40. G. Strübi, W. Belzig, M.-S. Choi, and C. Bruder, Interferometric and noise signatures of majorana fermion edge states in transport experiments, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 136403 (Sep, 2011). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.136403.
 41. L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Probing neutral majorana fermion edge modes with charge transport, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 216403 (May, 2009). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.216403.
 42. A. R. Akhmerov, J. Nilsson, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Electrically detected interferometry of majorana fermions in a topological insulator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 216404 (May, 2009). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.216404.
 43. A. Romito, J. Alicea, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, Manipulating majorana fermions using supercurrents, *Phys. Rev. B.* **85**, 020502 (Jan, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.85.020502.
 44. A. Kitaev, Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, *Annals of Physics.* **303**(1), 2 – 30, (2003). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916\(02\)00018-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916(02)00018-0).
 45. A. Zazunov, P. Sodano, and R. Egger, Even–odd parity effects in majorana junctions, *New Journal of Physics.* **15**(3), 035033 (mar, 2013). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/15/3/035033.
 46. A. Zazunov, A. L. Yeyati, and R. Egger, Coulomb blockade of majorana-fermion-induced transport, *Phys. Rev. B.* **84**, 165440 (Oct, 2011). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.84.165440.
 47. R. Hützen, A. Zazunov, B. Braunecker, A. L. Yeyati, and R. Egger, Majorana single-charge transistor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 166403 (Oct, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.166403.
 48. A. Altland and R. Egger, Multiterminal coulomb-majorana junction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 196401 (May, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.196401.
 49. B. Béri and N. R. Cooper, Topological kondo effect with majorana fermions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 156803 (Oct, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.156803.
 50. A. Altland, B. Béri, R. Egger, and A. M. Tsvelik, Majorana spin dynamics in the topological Kondo effect, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (113), 076401, (2014).
 51. F. Buccheri, H. Babujian, V. E. Korepin, P. Sodano, and A. Trombettoni, Thermodynamics of the topological kondo model, *Nuclear Physics B.* **896**, 52 – 79, (2015). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.04.016>.
 52. A. Bayat, S. Bose, and P. Sodano, Entanglement routers using macroscopic singlets, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 187204 (Oct, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.187204.
 53. A. M. Tsvelik, Majorana fermion realization of a two-channel kondo effect in a junction of three quantum ising chains, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 147202 (Apr, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.147202.
 54. A. Zazunov, F. Buccheri, P. Sodano, and R. Egger, 6π josephson effect in majorana box devices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 057001 (Feb, 2017). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.057001.

55. S. Plugge, A. Zazunov, P. Sodano, and R. Egger, Majorana entanglement bridge, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 214507 (Jun, 2015). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.91.214507.
56. N. Crampé and A. Trombettoni, Quantum spins on star graphs and the kondo model, *Nuclear Physics B* **871**(3), 526 – 538, (2013). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.03.001>.
57. F. Buccheri, G. D. Bruce, A. Trombettoni, D. Cassetari, H. Babujian, V. E. Korepin, and P. Sodano, Holographic optical traps for atom-based topological kondo devices, *New Journal of Physics* **18**(7), 075012 (jul, 2016). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/18/7/075012.
58. D. Giuliano, P. Sodano, and A. Trombettoni, Kondo length in bosonic lattices, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 033603 (Sep, 2017). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.96.033603.
59. C. Chamon, M. Oshikawa, and I. Affleck, Junctions of three quantum wires and the dissipative hofstadter model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 206403 (Nov, 2003). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.206403.
60. M. Oshikawa, C. Chamon, and I. Affleck, Junctions of three quantum wires, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2006**(02), P02008, (2006).
61. D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Y-junction of superconducting josephson chains, *Nuclear Physics B* **811**(3), 395 – 419, (2009). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.11.011>.
62. A. Bayat, S. Bose, P. Sodano, and H. Johannesson, Entanglement probe of two-impurity kondo physics in a spin chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 066403 (Aug, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.066403.
63. B. Alkurtass, A. Bayat, I. Affleck, S. Bose, H. Johannesson, P. Sodano, E. S. Sørensen, and K. Le Hur, Entanglement structure of the two-channel kondo model, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 081106 (Feb, 2016). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.081106.
64. A. Bayat, P. Sodano, and S. Bose, Negativity as the entanglement measure to probe the kondo regime in the spin-chain kondo model, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 064429 (Feb, 2010). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.064429.
65. A. Bayat, H. Johannesson, S. Bose, and P. Sodano, An order parameter for impurity systems at quantum criticality, *Nature Communications* **5**(1), 3784, (2014). doi: 10.1038/ncomms4784.
66. L. A. Landau, S. Plugge, E. Sela, A. Altland, S. M. Albrecht, and R. Egger, Towards realistic implementations of a majorana surface code, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 050501 (Feb, 2016). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.050501.
67. A. M. Tsvelik, Topological kondo effect in star junctions of ising magnetic chains: exact solution, *New Journal of Physics* **16**(3), 033003 (mar, 2014). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/16/3/033003.
68. P. Jordan and E. Wigner, Über das paulische äquivalenzverbot, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **47**(9), 631–651 (Sep, 1928). doi: 10.1007/BF01331938.
69. E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, *Annals of Physics* **16**(3), 407 – 466, (1961). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916\(61\)90115-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(61)90115-4).
70. A. Komnik and R. Egger, Nonequilibrium transport for crossed luttinger liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2881–2884 (Mar, 1998). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.2881.
71. S. Lal, S. Rao, and D. Sen, Junction of several weakly interacting quantum wires: A renormalization group study, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 165327 (Oct, 2002). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.66.165327.
72. C. Chamon, M. Oshikawa, and I. Affleck, Junctions of three quantum wires and the dissipative hofstadter model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 206403 (Nov, 2003). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.206403.

73. M. Oshikawa, C. Chamon, and I. Affleck, Junctions of three quantum wires, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*. **2006**(02), P02008–P02008 (feb, 2006). doi: 10.1088/1742-5468/2006/02/p02008.
74. D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Frustration of decoherence in y-shaped superconducting josephson networks, *New Journal of Physics*. **10**(9), 093023 (sep, 2008). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/10/9/093023.
75. A. Agarwal, Time-resolved transport properties of a y junction of tomonaga-luttinger liquid wires, *Phys. Rev. B*. **90**, 195403 (Nov, 2014). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.195403.
76. S. Mardanya and A. Agarwal, Enhancement of tunneling density of states at a y junction of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ tomonaga-luttinger liquid wires, *Phys. Rev. B*. **92**, 045432 (Jul, 2015). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.045432.
77. D. Giuliano and A. Nava, Dual fermionic variables and renormalization group approach to junctions of strongly interacting quantum wires, *Phys. Rev. B*. **92**, 125138 (Sep, 2015). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.92.125138.
78. S. Yin and B. Béri, Universality and quantized response in bosonic mesoscopic tunneling, *Phys. Rev. B*. **93**, 245142 (Jun, 2016). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245142.
79. R. Burioni, D. Cassi, M. Rasetti, P. Sodano, and A. Vezzani, Bose-einstein condensation on inhomogeneous complex networks, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*. **34**(23), 4697–4710 (nov, 2001). doi: 10.1088/0953-4075/34/23/314.
80. I. Brunelli, G. Giusiano, F. P. Mancini, P. Sodano, and A. Trombettoni, Topology-induced spatial bose-einstein condensation for bosons on star-shaped optical networks, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*. **37**(7), S275–S286 (mar, 2004). doi: 10.1088/0953-4075/37/7/072.
81. A. Tokuno, M. Oshikawa, and E. Demler, Dynamics of one-dimensional bose liquids: Andreev-like reflection at y junctions and the absence of the aharonov-bohm effect, *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **100**, 140402 (Apr, 2008). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.140402.
82. A. Cirillo, M. Mancini, D. Giuliano, and P. Sodano, Enhanced coherence of a quantum doublet coupled to tomonaga-luttinger liquid leads, *Nuclear Physics B*. **852**(1), 235 – 268, (2011). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.06.014>.
83. A. M. Tsvetik and W.-G. Yin, Possible realization of a multichannel kondo model in a system of magnetic chains, *Phys. Rev. B*. **88**, 144401 (Oct, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.144401.
84. J. von Delft and H. Schoeller, Bosonization for beginners - refermionization for experts, *Annalen der Physik*. **7**(4), 225–305, (1998). doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-3889(199811)7:4<225::AID-ANDP225>3.0.CO;2-L.
85. D. Giuliano, P. Sodano, A. Tagliacozzo, and A. Trombettoni, From four- to two-channel kondo effect in junctions of xy spin chains, *Nuclear Physics B*. **909**, 135 – 172, (2016). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.05.003>.
86. B. Béri, Majorana-klein hybridization in topological superconductor junctions, *Phys. Rev. Lett*. **110**, 216803 (May, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.216803.
87. D. Giuliano and I. Affleck, Real fermion modes, impurity entropy, and nontrivial fixed points in the phase diagram of junctions of interacting quantum wires and topological superconductors, *Nuclear Physics B*. **944**, 114645, (2019). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114645>.
88. J. Kondo, Resistance minimum in dilute magnetic alloys, *Progress of Theoretical Physics*. **32**(1), 37–49 (07, 1964). doi: 10.1143/PTP.32.37.
89. A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions*. Cambridge Studies in Magnetism, (Cambridge University Press, 1993). doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511470752.

90. L. P. Kouwenhoven and L. Glazman, Revival of the kondo effect, *Physics World*. **14**, 33–38, (2001).
91. P. Nozières, A “fermi-liquid” description of the kondo problem at low temperatures, *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*. **17**(1), 31–42 (Oct, 1974). doi: 10.1007/BF00654541.
92. A. P. Alivisatos, Semiconductor clusters, nanocrystals, and quantum dots, *Science*. **271**(5251), 933–937, (1996). doi: 10.1126/science.271.5251.933.
93. L. Kouwenhoven and C. Marcus, Quantum dots, *Physics World*. **11**(6), 35–40 (jun, 1998). doi: 10.1088/2058-7058/11/6/26.
94. D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Kondo effect in a single-electron transistor, *Nature*. **391**, 156–159, (1998). doi: 10.1038/34373.
95. S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, A tunable kondo effect in quantum dots, *Science*. **281**(5376), 540–544, (1998). doi: 10.1126/science.281.5376.540.
96. Y. Avishai, A. Golub, and A. D. Zaikin, Tunneling through an anderson impurity between superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B*. **63**, 134515 (Mar, 2001). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.63.134515.
97. M.-S. Choi, M. Lee, K. Kang, and W. Belzig, Kondo effect and josephson current through a quantum dot between two superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B*. **70**, 020502 (Jul, 2004). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.70.020502.
98. G. Campagnano, D. Giuliano, A. Naddeo, and A. Tagliacozzo, Josephson current in a quantum dot in the kondo regime connected to two superconductors, *Physica C: Superconductivity*. **406**(1), 1 – 8, (2004). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2004.03.002>.
99. B. Béri and N. R. Cooper, Topological kondo effect with majorana fermions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 156803 (Oct, 2012). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.156803.
100. E. Eriksson, A. Nava, C. Mora, and R. Egger, Tunneling spectroscopy of majorana-kondo devices, *Phys. Rev. B*. **90**, 245417 (Dec, 2014). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.245417.
101. A. Altland and R. Egger, Multiterminal coulomb-majorana junction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 196401 (May, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.196401.
102. A. Zazunov, P. Sodano, and R. Egger, Even-odd parity effects in majorana junctions, *New Journal of Physics*. **15**(3), 035033, (2013).
103. A. Altland, B. Béri, R. Egger, and A. M. Tsvelik, Bethe ansatz solution of the topological kondo model, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*. **47** (26), 265001, (2014).
104. B. Béri, Majorana-klein hybridization in topological superconductor junctions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 216803 (May, 2013). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.216803.
105. A. Zazunov, A. Altland, and R. Egger, Transport properties of the coulomb-majorana junction, *New Journal of Physics*. **16**(1), 015010, (2014).
106. A. M. Tsvelik, Topological kondo effect in star junctions of ising magnetic chains: exact solution, *New Journal of Physics*. **16**(3), 033003, (2014).
107. P. Coleman, L. B. Ioffe, and A. M. Tsvelik, Simple formulation of the two-channel kondo model, *Phys. Rev. B*. **52**, 6611–6627 (Sep, 1995). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.52.6611.
108. D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Realization of a two-channel kondo model with josephson junction networks, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*. **103**(5), 57006 (sep, 2013). doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/103/57006.
109. E. Ogievetsky, N. Reshetikhin, and P. Wiegmann, The principal chiral field in two

- dimensions on classical lie algebras: The bethe-ansatz solution and factorized theory of scattering, *Nuclear Physics B*. **280**(0), 45 – 96, (1987). doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(87\)90138-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90138-6).
110. H. J. De Vega and M. Karowski, Exact bethe ansatz solution of $o(2n)$ symmetric theories, *Nuclear Physics B*. **280**(0), 225 – 254, (1987). doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(87\)90146-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(87)90146-5).
 111. I. Affleck and A. Ludwig, Critical theory of overscreened kondo fixed points, *Nuclear Physics B*. **360**(2-3), 641 – 696, (1991). doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(91\)90419-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90419-X).
 112. R. M. Bradley and S. Doniach, Quantum fluctuations in chains of josephson junctions, *Phys. Rev. B*. **30**, 1138–1147 (Aug, 1984). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.30.1138.
 113. L. I. Glazman and A. I. Larkin, New quantum phase in a one-dimensional josephson array, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3736–3739 (Nov, 1997). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3736.
 114. D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Effective boundary field theory for a josephson junction chain with a weak link, *Nuclear Physics B*. **711**(3), 480 – 504, (2005). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.01.037>.
 115. P. Ågren, K. Andersson, and D. B. Haviland, Kinetic inductance and coulomb blockade in one dimensional josephson junction arrays, *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*. **124**(1), 291–304 (Jul, 2001). doi: 10.1023/A:1017594322332.
 116. D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Pairing of cooper pairs in a josephson junction network containing an impurity, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*. **88**(1), 17012 (oct, 2009). doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/88/17012.
 117. D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Competing boundary interactions in a josephson junction network with an impurity, *Nuclear Physics B*. **837**(3), 153 – 185, (2010). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.04.022>.
 118. M. Rizzi, V. Cataudella, and R. Fazio, $4e$ -condensation in a fully frustrated josephson junction diamond chain, *Phys. Rev. B*. **73**, 100502 (Mar, 2006). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.73.100502.
 119. I. V. Protopopov and M. V. Feigel'man, Anomalous periodicity of supercurrent in long frustrated josephson-junction rhombi chains, *Phys. Rev. B*. **70**, 184519 (Nov, 2004). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.70.184519.
 120. I. V. Protopopov and M. V. Feigel'man, Coherent transport in josephson-junction rhombi chain with quenched disorder, *Phys. Rev. B*. **74**, 064516 (Aug, 2006). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.064516.
 121. B. Douçot and J. Vidal, Pairing of cooper pairs in a fully frustrated josephson-junction chain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 227005 (May, 2002). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.227005.
 122. F. Buccheri, G. D. Bruce, A. Trombettoni, D. Cassettari, H. Babujian, V. E. Korepin, and P. Sodano, Holographic optical traps for atom-based topological kondo devices, *New Journal of Physics*. **18**(7), 075012 (jul, 2016). doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/18/7/075012.
 123. M. A. Cazalilla, R. Citro, T. Giamarchi, E. Orignac, and M. Rigol, One dimensional bosons: From condensed matter systems to ultracold gases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1405–1466 (Dec, 2011). doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1405.
 124. T. D. Schultz, D. C. Mattis, and E. H. Lieb, Two-dimensional ising model as a soluble problem of many fermions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36**, 856–871 (Jul, 1964). doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.36.856.
 125. C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, Thermodynamics of a onedimensional system of bosons with repulsive deltafunction interaction, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*. **10**(7),

- 1115–1122, (1969). doi: 10.1063/1.1664947.
126. V. Korepin, N. Bogoliubov, and A. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, (Cambridge University Press, 1997).
 127. M. Olshanii, Atomic scattering in the presence of an external confinement and a gas of impenetrable bosons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 938–941 (Aug, 1998). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.938.
 128. L. Tonks, The complete equation of state of one, two and three-dimensional gases of hard elastic spheres, *Phys. Rev.* **50**, 955–963 (Nov, 1936). doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.50.955.
 129. M. Girardeau, Relationship between systems of impenetrable bosons and fermions in one dimension, *Journal of Mathematical Physics.* **1**(6), 516–523, (1960). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1703687>.
 130. V. A. Yurovsky, M. Olshanii, and D. S. Weiss. Collisions, correlations, and integrability in atom waveguides. In eds. E. Arimondo, P. R. Berman, and C. C. Lin, *Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, vol. 55, *Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, pp. 61 – 138. Academic Press, (2008). doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1049-250X\(07\)55002-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1049-250X(07)55002-0).
 131. I. Bouchoule, N. J. van Druten, and C. I. Westbrook, *Atom Chips and One-Dimensional Bose Gases*, In *Atom Chips*, pp. 331–363. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, (2011). doi: 10.1002/9783527633357.ch11.
 132. B. Paredes, A. Widera, V. Murg, O. Mandel, S. Fölling, I. Cirac, G. V. Shlyapnikov, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, Tonks-girardeau gas of ultracold atoms in an optical lattice, *Nature.* **429**, 277, (1996).
 133. T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. Weiss, Observation of a one-dimensional tonks-girardeau gas, *Science.* **305**(5687), 1125–1128 (AUG 20, 2004). doi: 10.1126/science.1100700.
 134. M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, and V. Ahufinger, *Ultracold atoms in optical lattices : simulating quantum many-body systems*. (Oxford University Press, 2012).
 135. D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Cold bosonic atoms in optical lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3108–3111 (Oct, 1998). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.3108.
 136. A. Trombettoni and A. Smerzi, Discrete solitons and breathers with dilute bose-einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2353–2356 (Mar, 2001). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.2353.
 137. D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, The cold atom hubbard toolbox, *Annals of Physics.* **315**(1), 52 – 79, (2005). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2004.09.010>. Special Issue.
 138. A. Eckardt, Colloquium: Atomic quantum gases in periodically driven optical lattices, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 011004 (Mar, 2017). doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.89.011004.
 139. M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Boson localization and the superfluid-insulator transition, *Phys. Rev. B.* **40**, 546–570 (Jul, 1989). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.40.546.
 140. R. Friedberg, T. Lee, and H. Ren, Equivalence between spin waves and lattice bosons with applications to the heisenberg model, *Annals of Physics.* **228**(1), 52 – 103, (1993). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1993.1088>.