arXiv:2002.06839v2 [math-ph] 3 Aug 2021

Integrable models and K-theoretic pushforward of Grothendieck classes

Kohei Motegi *

Faculty of Marine Technology, Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology, Etchujima 2-1-6, Koto-Ku, Tokyo, 135-8533, Japan

February 18, 2022

Abstract

We show that a multiple commutation relation of the Yang-Baxter algebra of integrable lattice models derived by Shigechi and Uchiyama can be used to connect two types of Grothendieck classes by the K-theoretic pushforward from the Grothendieck group of Grassmann bundles to the Grothendieck group of a nonsingular variety. Using the commutation relation, we show that two types of partition functions of an integrable five-vertex model, which can be explicitly described using skew Grothendieck polynomials, and can be viewed as Grothendieck classes, are directly connected by the K-theoretic pushforward. We show that special cases of the pushforward formula which correspond to the nonskew version are also special cases of the formulas derived by Buch. We also present a skew generalization of an identity for the Grothendieck polynomials by Guo and Sun, which is an extension of the one for Schur polynomials by Fehér, Némethi and Rimányi. We also show an application of the pushforward formula and derive an integration formula for the Grothendieck polynomials.

1 Introduction

Recently, various connections between integrable systems [1, 2, 3] and K-theoretic objects in algebraic geometry have been explored [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. A typical object which appears in both areas is the Grothendieck polynomials [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] which are polynomial representatives for K-theoretic Schubert classes in algebraic geometry. They appear in integrable models as explicit forms of partition functions in statistical physics. The study of partition functions of integrable lattice models based on the quantum inverse scattering method [3, 22, 23] was initiated by Korepin [24] and Izergin [25]. See [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31] for examples on the developments of the method. The partition functions are found to be connected with famous symmetric functions and their generalizations, and

*E-mail: kmoteg0@kaiyodai.ac.jp

based on the correspondence between partition functions and symmetric functions, various algebraic identities have been found. See the aforementioned papers as well as [32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50] for examples on the developments on this subject. As for the Grothendieck polynomials, the connection with quantum integrable models gave new perspectives on algebraic identities and also on the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients, Gromov-Witten invariants and so on [4, 5, 9, 10].

In this paper, we discuss another aspect of the connection between integrable models and algebraic geometry. We investigate the K-theoretic pushforward from the Grothendieck group of Grassmann bundles to the Grothendieck group of a nonsingular variety from the perspective of quantum integrability.

Let us first recall the algebraic geometry side. See [17, 18, 51, 52] for examples for more details. Let X be a smooth projective complex variety and $K(X)$ the Grothendieck ring of isomorphism classes of algebraic vector bundles over X. For a subvariety Y of X, the Grothendieck class of the structure sheaf of Y is denoted $[\mathcal{O}_Y]$, or $[Y]$ for short. Let $\mathcal{A} \to X$ be a rank n vector bundle, and $\pi : \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}) \to X$ the Grassmann bundle of k-planes in $\mathcal{A}$ with universal tautological exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{S} \to \pi^*\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0$ of vector bundles over $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ and $\omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k}\}$ be the set of Grothendieck roots for the subbundle $\mathcal{S}$ and the quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively, i.e., defined by the exterior powers of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ by the relation $[\bigwedge^i \mathcal{S}] = e_i(\sigma)$ and $[\bigwedge^j \mathcal{Q}] = e_j(\omega)$, where $e_i(\sigma)$ and $e_j(\omega)$ are the ith and jth elementary symmetric functions with the set of variables σ and ω, respectively.

Let $f(\sigma;\omega)$ be a Laurent polynomial in $K(X)[\sigma_i^{\pm};\omega_j^{\pm}]$ which are symmetric in σ variables and also symmetric in ω variables, which makes it possible for the polynomial to be viewed as a certain Grothendieck class in $K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}))$.

We consider the following K-theoretic pushforward $\pi_* : K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})) \to K(X)$ induced from π. The following localization type formula holds for $\pi_*(f)$ [51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60]:

Proposition 1.1. *Let S_k^n be a k-subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and denote the set of k-subsets of n as $\binom{[n]}{k}$, and $\overline{S_k^n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \backslash S_k^n$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the Grothendieck roots for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_J = \{\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_r}\}$ for any subset $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ of $[n]$. For a Grothendieck class $f(\sigma;\omega) \in K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}))$, we have*

$$\pi_*(f(\sigma;\omega)) = \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i \in S_k^n, j \in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_i/\alpha_j} f(\alpha_{S_k^n}; \alpha_{\overline{S_k^n}}). \tag{1.1}$$

The explicit computations of the pushforward have been explored through various ways. One of the approaches which have been studied extensively in recent years is to reexpress the right hand side of (1.1) as iterated residues and find various pushforward formulas for polynomials which can be viewed as generalized cohomology classes [51, 52, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74].

In this paper, we study the map π_* of Grothendieck classes from the point of view of quantum integrability. On the integrable model side, the key observation is that the right hand side of the following commutation relation between the monodromy matrix elements of

certain integrable models

$$\prod_{j=k+1}^{n} D(u_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k} B(u_j) = \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i \in S_k^n, j \in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1-u_i/u_j} \prod_{i \in S_k^n} B(u_i) \prod_{j \in \overline{S_k^n}} D(u_j), \tag{1.2}$$

whose details of the notations will be explained later, resembles the right hand side of the K-theoretic pushforward formula (1.1). This type of commutation relation is one of the commutation relations derived essentially by Shigechi and Uchiyama [35] when they investigated the integrable boson model [34], and also holds for an integrable five vertex model used in this paper. One can observe that the the expressions in the right hand side of (1.1) and (1.2) resemble. However, in (1.2), what appears are the so-called B-operators and D-operators which are noncommutative objects. Noncommutative objects do not appear in the K-theoretic pushforward formula (1.1). To go from the noncommutative world to the commutative world, we consider partition functions of an integrable five-vertex model, which are fundamental objects in statistical physics. We introduce two classes of partition functions which can be regarded as some Grothendieck classes of the Grassmann bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$ and a nonsingular variety X. Using the Shigechi-Uchiyama commutation relation (1.2), we show that they are directly connected by the K-theoretic pushforward π_*. We also show that the partition functions introduced in this paper can be explicitly described using the skew Grothendieck polynomials, i.e., we show that two polynomials described by the skew Grothendieck polynomials are connected by the K-theoretic pushforward. It is also shown that special cases of the formula which correspond to the nonskew version are also special cases of the formulas derived by Buch [17]. We also present an identity for the skew Grothendieck polynomials by taking matrix elements of the commutation relation (1.2), which can be regarded as a skew extension of the identity for the Grothendieck polynomials by Guo and Sun [75], which is an extension of an identity for the Schur polynomials by Fehér, Némethi and Rimányi [76]. By combining the pushforward formula with another approach, we also get an integration formula for the Grothendieck polynomials. It would be interesting to investigate other kinds of maps which appear in algebraic geometry from the point of view of quantum integrability by observing similarities between formulas appearing in both areas.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce an integrable five-vertex model and the Yang-Baxter algebra associated with the model, and review a commutation relation by Shigechi and Uchiyama. In section 3, we introduce partition functions constructed from the R-matrix of the integrable five-vertex model, and write their explicit forms using skew Grothendieck polynomials. In section 4, we prove a correspondence formula between two types of Grothendieck classes of the Grassmann bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$ and a nonsingular variety X by the K-theoretic pushforward. The proof is given by using the partition function represenations of the Grothendieck classes and the Shigechi-Uchiyama commutation relation. We also present an identity for the skew Grothendieck polynomials obtained as the matrix elements of the commutation relation. We show in section 5 that special cases of the formula and identity are also special cases of the formulas derived by Buch and Guo-Sun. We also show an application of the pushforward formula and derive an integration formula for the Grothendieck polynomials.

2 Integrable five-vertex model

In this section, we introduce an integrable five-vertex model and the Yang-Baxter algebra associated with the model.

We first introduce a two-dimensional vector space W, and denote its standard basis as $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, where $|0\rangle := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, |1\rangle := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We denote the dual of $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$ as $\langle 0| := (1, 0)$, $\langle 1| := (0, 1)$, and the dual vector space as W^*. We use the bra-ket notation (without taking complex conjugation) in this paper. We define $\langle A|B\rangle$ for two vectors $|A\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}, |B\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ as the standard inner product between $|A\rangle \in W$ and $|B\rangle \in W$: $\langle A|B\rangle := A \cdot B = A_1B_1 + A_2B_2$, and view this as the product between $\langle A|$ and $|B\rangle$ where $\langle A| := (A_1, A_2) \in W^*$. The inner product between basis vectors (product between basis and dual basis vectors) are $\langle 0|0\rangle = \langle 1|1\rangle = 1$, $\langle 1|0\rangle = \langle 0|1\rangle = 0$. Using the bra-ket notation, the identity operator Id acting on W can be written as $\mathrm{Id} = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|$. This can be checked by noting that the action of $|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|$ on $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are $(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)|0\rangle = |0\rangle\langle 0|0\rangle + |1\rangle\langle 1|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)|1\rangle = |0\rangle\langle 0|1\rangle + |1\rangle\langle 1|1\rangle = |1\rangle$, and any vector in W can be written as a linear combination of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

Next, we consider the tensor product of the two-dimensional vector spaces $W_i \otimes W_j$. Here we introduce subscripts to distinguish the two-dimensional vector spaces. We can take $\{|0\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, |0\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j, |1\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, |1\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j\}$ as a basis of $W_i \otimes W_j$. The R-matrix $R_{ij}(u, w)$ acting on $W_i \otimes W_j$ is defined by acting on this basis as

$$R_{ij}(u, w)|0\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j = |0\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, \tag{2.1}$$
$$R_{ij}(u, w)|0\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j = w/u|1\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, \tag{2.2}$$
$$R_{ij}(u, w)|1\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j = |0\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j + (1 - w/u)|1\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, \tag{2.3}$$
$$R_{ij}(u, w)|1\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j = |1\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j. \tag{2.4}$$

Here, u and w are complex numbers. To indicate the vector spaces which the R-matrix act, we use subscripts and denote the R-matrix as $R_{ij}(u, w)$.

We also introduce the following notation for the matrix elements: we define $[R(u, w)]^{\delta_1\delta_2}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}$ for $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 = 0, 1$ as $R_{ij}(u, w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_i \otimes |\epsilon_2\rangle_j = \sum_{\delta_1,\delta_2=0,1} [R(u, w)]^{\delta_1\delta_2}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2} |\delta_1\rangle_i \otimes |\delta_2\rangle_j$. By defintion of the R-matrix (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have $[R(u, w)]^{00}_{00} = [R(u, w)]^{11}_{11} = [R(u, w)]^{01}_{10} = 1$, $[R(u, w)]^{10}_{01} = w/u$, $[R(u, w)]^{10}_{10} = 1 - w/u$ and $[R(u, w)]^{\delta_1\delta_2}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2} = 0$ otherwise. An important property of the matrix elements for this R-matrix is $[R(u, w)]^{\delta_1\delta_2}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2} = 0$ if $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \neq \delta_1 + \delta_2$. This property is called as the ice-rule. We also remark that $[R(u, w)]^{\delta_1\delta_2}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}$ is the same with ${}_i\langle\delta_1| \otimes {}_j\langle\delta_2|R_{ij}(u, w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_i \otimes |\epsilon_2\rangle_j$ using the bra-ket notation.

Identifying $|0\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, |0\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j, |1\rangle_i \otimes |0\rangle_j, |1\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j$, with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

respectively, the R-matrix is written as the 4×4 matrix

$$R_{ij}(u,w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & w/u & 1-w/u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

We remark that the R-matrix (2.5) can be regarded as a certain limit of the $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ R-matrix [77, 78, 79] for the six-vertex model. See Figure 1 for a graphical description of the R-matrix of the integrable five-vertex model.

More generally, for an integer $m \geq 2$, we define $R_{ij}(u,w)$ $(1 \leq i < j \leq m)$ as an operator acting on $W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. We can take $\{|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes |\epsilon_2\rangle_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m \mid \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_m = 0, 1\}$ as a basis of $W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. The operator $R_{ij}(u,w)$ is defined by acting on this basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_{ij}(u,w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m \\
&= |\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m, \qquad (2.6) \\
&R_{ij}(u,w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m \\
&= w/u|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m, \qquad (2.7) \\
&R_{ij}(u,w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m \\
&= |\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m \\
&+ (1-w/u)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m, \qquad (2.8) \\
&R_{ij}(u,w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m \\
&= |\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_j \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m, \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon_k = 0, 1$ $(k = 1, \ldots, m,\ k \neq i, j)$. The subscripts i and j of $R_{ij}(u,w)$ indicate the vector spaces which the operator acts nontrivially. Note that $R_{ij}(u,w)$ acts as identity on vector spaces except for the spaces W_i and W_j, and the action (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) can be regarded as an extension of the action (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) to the space $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. $R_{ij}(u,w)$ acts on the 2^m-dimensional vector space $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$, hence can be regarded as a $2^m \times 2^m$ matrix. The matrix elements $[R_{ij}(u,w)]^{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_m}_{\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_m}$ for $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m, \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_m = 0, 1$ defined as $R_{ij}(u,w)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m = \sum_{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m = 0,1} [R_{ij}(u,w)]^{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_m}_{\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_m} |\delta_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\delta_m\rangle_m$ can be expressed using $[R(u,w)]^{\delta_i \delta_j}_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j}$ as

$$[R_{ij}(u,w)]^{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_m}_{\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_m} = [R(u,w)]^{\delta_i \delta_j}_{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i,j}}^{m} \delta_{\epsilon_k \delta_k}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\delta_{\epsilon_k \delta_k} = 1$ if $\epsilon_k = \delta_k$, and $\delta_{\epsilon_k \delta_k} = 0$ otherwise.

The operator $R_{ij}(u,w)$ defined as (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) satisifies the Yang-Baxter relation (Figure 2)

$$R_{ij}(u,v)R_{ik}(u,w)R_{jk}(v,w) = R_{jk}(v,w)R_{ik}(u,w)R_{ij}(u,v), \tag{2.11}$$




Figure 1: A graphical description of the R-matrix $R_{ij}(u,w)$ of the integrable five-vertex model (2.5). The elements of the R-matrix are displayed. The R-matrix is represented as two crossing arrows. The left and the up arrow represents the space W_i and W_j, respectively. 0 or 1 on the left and the right around a vertex denote the input (basis vector) and the output (dual basis vector) of W_i, and 0 or 1 on the bottom and the top denote the input (basis vector) and the output (dual basis vector) of W_j, respectively. For example, $[R_{ij}(u,w)]_{01}^{10} = {}_i\langle 1| \otimes {}_j\langle 0|R_{ij}(u,w)|0\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_j = w/u$. There are $2^2 \times 2^2 = 16$ matrix elements, and 6 of them $[R_{ij}(u,w)]_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}^{\delta_1\delta_2}$ satisfying $\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2 = \delta_1+\delta_2$ are displayed. The other matrix elements are identically zero.

which acts on $W_i \otimes W_j \otimes W_k$. Note that $R_{ij}(u,v)$, $R_{ik}(u,w)$ and $R_{jk}(v,w)$ are operators acting on $W_i \otimes W_j \otimes W_k$, and acts nontrivially on $W_i \otimes W_j$, $W_i \otimes W_k$ and $W_j \otimes W_k$ respectively, and acts as identity on the remaining space. In terms of matrix entries, the Yang-Baxter relation (2.11) means that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3=0,1} [R(u,v)]_{\gamma_3\gamma_1}^{\delta_1\delta_2} [R(u,w)]_{\epsilon_1\gamma_2}^{\gamma_3\delta_3} [R(v,w)]_{\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \\ = &\sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3=0,1} [R(v,w)]_{\gamma_2\gamma_3}^{\delta_2\delta_3} [R(u,w)]_{\gamma_1\epsilon_3}^{\delta_1\gamma_3} [R(u,v)]_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

holds for $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\epsilon_3,\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3 = 0,1$. Acting both hand sides of (2.11) on $|\epsilon_1\rangle_i \otimes |\epsilon_2\rangle_j \otimes |\epsilon_3\rangle_k$ and taking coefficients of $|\delta_1\rangle_i \otimes |\delta_2\rangle_j \otimes |\delta_3\rangle_k$ give (2.12). Note that $R_{ij}(u,v)$, $R_{ik}(u,w)$ and $R_{jk}(v,w)$ act on $W_i \otimes W_j \otimes W_k$, hence are $2^3 \times 2^3$ matrices, and we used relations (2.10) to express the matrix elements in terms of $[R(u,v)]_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ etc. For example, $[R(u,v)]_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ in the right hand side of (2.12) comes from the following computation: $R_{ij}(u,v)|\epsilon_1\rangle_i \otimes |\epsilon_2\rangle_j \otimes |\epsilon_3\rangle_k = \sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma=0,1} [R_{ij}(u,v)]_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma} |\gamma_1\rangle_i \otimes |\gamma_2\rangle_j \otimes |\gamma\rangle_k = \sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma=0,1} [R(u,v)]_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \delta_{\epsilon_3\gamma} |\gamma_1\rangle_i \otimes |\gamma_2\rangle_j \otimes |\gamma\rangle_k =$




Figure 2: The Yang-Baxter relation (2.11). The left and right figure represents $R_{ij}(u,v)R_{ik}(u,w)R_{jk}(v,w)$ and $R_{jk}(v,w)R_{ik}(u,w)R_{ij}(u,v)$ respectively.

$$\sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2=0,1} [R(u,v)]^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2} |\gamma_1\rangle_i \otimes |\gamma_2\rangle_j \otimes |\epsilon_3\rangle_k.$$

We remark that more generally, (2.11) can be viewed as a relation in $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ for an integer $m \geq 3$ where $R_{ij}(u,v)$ acts nontrivially on W_i and W_j, $R_{ik}(u,w)$ on W_i and W_k, $R_{jk}(v,w)$ on W_j and W_k, and acts as identity on the remaining space. The relation (2.11) also holds as operators acting on $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ due to the identities (2.12).

We next construct the monodromy matrix $T_a(u)$

$$T_a(u) = R_{a,m}(u,1) \cdots R_{a1}(u,1), \tag{2.13}$$

which acts on $W_a \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. The operator $R_{a,j}(u,1)$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ acts on W_a and W_j nontrivially and as identity on the other spaces, and the space W_a which every R-matrix used to construct the monodromy matrix acts nontrivially is called as the auxiliary space. To distinguish the auxiliary space from the other spaces $W_1, \ldots, W_m$, we use alphabets, a for example, to label this space and denote the vector space as W_a.

The monodromy matrix $T_a(u)$ can be regarded as a $2^{m+1} \times 2^{m+1}$ matrix since it acts on the 2^{m+1}-dimensional space $W_a \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. The matrix elements of the monodromy matrix $[T(u)]^{\delta_a,\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_a,\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_m}$ for $\epsilon_a, \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m, \delta_a, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m = 0, 1$ defined as

$$T_a(u)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \otimes |\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m = \sum_{\delta_a,\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_m=0,1} [T(u)]^{\delta_a,\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_a,\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_m} |\delta_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\delta_m\rangle_m, \tag{2.14}$$

can be written using the R-matrix elements as

$$[T(u)]^{\delta_a,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_a,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} = \sum_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_{m-1}=0,1} [R(u,1)]^{\delta_a\delta_m}_{\gamma_{m-1}\epsilon_m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2} [R(u,1)]^{\gamma_{j+1}\delta_{j+1}}_{\gamma_j\epsilon_{j+1}} [R(u,1)]^{\gamma_1\delta_1}_{\epsilon_a\epsilon_1}. \tag{2.15}$$

The matrix elements of the monodromy matrix $T_a(u)$ with respect to the auxiliary space W_a are called as the A- B- C- and D-operators. Namely, we write the results of the action of the operator $T_a(u)$ on the basis vectors $|0\rangle_a$, $|1\rangle_a$ of the space W_a as

$$T_a(u)|0\rangle_a = |0\rangle_a \otimes A(u) + |1\rangle_a \otimes C(u), \tag{2.16}$$
$$T_a(u)|1\rangle_a = |0\rangle_a \otimes B(u) + |1\rangle_a \otimes D(u), \tag{2.17}$$

and the coefficients $A(u)$, $B(u)$, $C(u)$ and $D(u)$ are the $ABCD$-operators. Note that $A(u)$, $B(u)$, $C(u)$ and $D(u)$ act on the 2^m-dimensional vector space $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$, and can be regarded as $2^m \times 2^m$ matrices. By definition (2.16), (2.17), the matrix elements $[A(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}$, $[B(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}$, $[C(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}$, $[D(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}$ for $\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m = 0,1$ defined as

$$A(u)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m = \sum_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m=0,1} [A(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} |\delta_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\delta_m\rangle_m, \tag{2.18}$$
$$B(u)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m = \sum_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m=0,1} [B(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} |\delta_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\delta_m\rangle_m, \tag{2.19}$$
$$C(u)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m = \sum_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m=0,1} [C(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} |\delta_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\delta_m\rangle_m, \tag{2.20}$$
$$D(u)|\epsilon_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon_m\rangle_m = \sum_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m=0,1} [D(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} |\delta_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\delta_m\rangle_m, \tag{2.21}$$

can be obtained from the monodromy matrix elements $[T(u)]^{\delta_a,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_a,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}$ by specializing ϵ_a and δ_a as follows:

$$[A(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} = [T(u)]^{0,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{0,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}, \quad [B(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} = [T(u)]^{0,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{1,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}, \tag{2.22}$$
$$[C(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} = [T(u)]^{1,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{0,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}, \quad [D(u)]^{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m} = [T(u)]^{1,\delta_1,\dots,\delta_m}_{1,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_m}. \tag{2.23}$$

Using the bra-ket notation, the $ABCD$-operators are written as

$$A(u) = {}_a\langle 0|T_a(u)|0\rangle_a, \tag{2.24}$$
$$B(u) = {}_a\langle 0|T_a(u)|1\rangle_a, \tag{2.25}$$
$$C(u) = {}_a\langle 1|T_a(u)|0\rangle_a, \tag{2.26}$$
$$D(u) = {}_a\langle 1|T_a(u)|1\rangle_a. \tag{2.27}$$

In this paper, we focus on commutation relations and partition functions constructed from the B-operator (2.25) and the D-operator (2.27) (Figure 3).

Let us review the commutation relations in this section. The $ABCD$-operators satisfy commutation relations which can be obtained from the intertwining relation

$$R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)\widetilde{T}_b(u_2) = \widetilde{T}_b(u_2)\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)R_{ab}(u_1,u_2), \tag{2.28}$$




Figure 3: The B-operator (2.25) (top) and the D-operator (2.27) (bottom).

acting on $W_a \otimes W_b \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. Here, $R_{ab}(u_1, u_2)$ acts nontrivially on $W_a \otimes W_b$ and as identity on $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$. $\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)$ and $\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)$ are monodromy matrices acting on $W_a \otimes W_b \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ which naturally extend the monodromy matrix (2.13). $\widetilde{T}_a(u_1) := R_{a,m}(u_1, 1) \cdots R_{a1}(u_1, 1)$ acts on $W_a, W_1, \ldots, W_m$ nontrivially and as identity on W_b, and $\widetilde{T}_b(u_2) := R_{b,m}(u_2, 1) \cdots R_{b1}(u_2, 1)$ acts on $W_b, W_1, \ldots, W_m$ nontrivially and as identity on W_a. Note that $\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)$ can be regarded as a $2^{m+2} \times 2^{m+2}$ matrix, and since it acts on W_b trivially, the matrix elements of $\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)$ with respect to the space W_b are given as

$$ {}_b\langle 0|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|0\rangle_b = {}_b\langle 1|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|1\rangle_b = T_a(u_1), \quad {}_b\langle 0|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|1\rangle_b = {}_b\langle 1|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|0\rangle_b = \mathbf{0}, \tag{2.29} $$

using the bra-ket notation. Here, $T_a(u_1)$ is the monodromy matrix (2.13) which acts on $W_a \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ which we introduced before, and $\mathbf{0}$ is the $2^{m+1} \times 2^{m+1}$ zero matrix.

Similarly, we note that $\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)$ can be regarded as a $2^{m+2} \times 2^{m+2}$ matrix which acts on W_a trivially, which means that the matrix elements with respect to the space W_a are given as

$$ {}_a\langle 0|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|0\rangle_a = {}_a\langle 1|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|1\rangle_a = T_b(u_2), \quad {}_a\langle 0|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|1\rangle_a = {}_a\langle 1|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|0\rangle_a = \mathbf{0}, \tag{2.30} $$

where $T_b(u_2) = R_{b,m}(u_2, 1) \cdots R_{b1}(u_2, 1)$ is the monodromy matrix which acts on $W_b \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$.

The intertwining relation (2.28) follows by applying the Yang-Baxter relation (2.11) re-

peatedly. For example, the $m = 2$ case of (2.28) can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)\\
=&R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)R_{a2}(u_1,1)R_{a1}(u_1,1)R_{b2}(u_2,1)R_{b1}(u_2,1)\\
=&R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)R_{a2}(u_1,1)R_{b2}(u_2,1)R_{a1}(u_1,1)R_{b1}(u_2,1)\\
=&R_{b2}(u_2,1)R_{a2}(u_1,1)R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)R_{a1}(u_1,1)R_{b1}(u_2,1)\\
=&R_{b2}(u_2,1)R_{a2}(u_1,1)R_{b1}(u_2,1)R_{a1}(u_1,1)R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)\\
=&R_{b2}(u_2,1)R_{b1}(u_2,1)R_{a2}(u_1,1)R_{a1}(u_1,1)R_{ab}(u_1,u_2)\\
=&\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)\widetilde{T}_a(u_2)R_{ab}(u_1,u_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

In the second equality in (2.31), we used $R_{a1}(u_1,1)R_{b2}(u_2,1) = R_{b2}(u_2,1)R_{a1}(u_1,1)$. This commutativity holds since $R_{a1}(u_1,1)$ acts nontrivially only on W_a and W_1 and acts as identity on the other spaces, in particular W_b and W_2, and $R_{b2}(u_2,1)$ acts nontrivially only on W_b and W_2 and acts as identity on the rest, in particular W_a and W_1, hence the result of acting $R_{a1}(u_1,1)$ and $R_{b2}(u_2,1)$ successively on any vector in $W_a \otimes W_b \otimes W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ gives the same result and is independent of the order of the action of the two operators. We can also see that $R_{a2}(u_1,1)R_{b1}(u_2,1) = R_{b1}(u_2,1)R_{a2}(u_1,1)$ holds by the same arugment which is used in the fifth equality. In the third and fourth equalities, we used the Yang-Baxter relation (2.11).

Acting both sides of (2.28) on $|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \otimes |\epsilon_b\rangle_b \in W_a \otimes W_b$ and noting $\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)$ and $\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)$ acts trivially on W_b and W_a respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\delta_a,\delta_b,\gamma_a,\gamma_b=0,1} |\gamma_a\rangle_a \otimes |\gamma_b\rangle_b \; [R(u_1,u_2)]^{\gamma_a\gamma_b}_{\delta_a\delta_b}\\
&\times {}_a\langle\delta_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\delta_b|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_b\rangle_b \; {}_a\langle\epsilon_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\delta_b|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \otimes |\epsilon_b\rangle_b\\
=&\sum_{\delta_a,\delta_b,\gamma_a,\gamma_b=0,1} |\gamma_a\rangle_a \otimes |\gamma_b\rangle_b \; {}_a\langle\gamma_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\gamma_b|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|\gamma_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_b\rangle_b\\
&\times {}_a\langle\gamma_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\delta_b|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|\delta_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_b\rangle_b \; [R(u_1,u_2)]^{\delta_a\delta_b}_{\epsilon_a\epsilon_b}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

From (2.29) and (2.30), we have

$$ {}_a\langle\delta_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\delta_b|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_b\rangle_b = {}_a\langle\delta_a|T_a(u_1)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a, \tag{2.33}$$

$$ {}_a\langle\epsilon_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\delta_b|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \otimes |\epsilon_b\rangle_b = {}_b\langle\delta_b|T_b(u_2)|\epsilon_b\rangle_b, \tag{2.34}$$

$$ {}_a\langle\gamma_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\gamma_b|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|\gamma_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_b\rangle_b = {}_b\langle\gamma_b|\widetilde{T}_b(u_2)|\delta_b\rangle_b, \tag{2.35}$$

$$ {}_a\langle\gamma_a| \otimes {}_b\langle\delta_b|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|\delta_a\rangle_a \otimes |\delta_b\rangle_b = {}_a\langle\gamma_a|\widetilde{T}_a(u_1)|\delta_a\rangle_a, \tag{2.36}$$

and inserting these into (2.32), we get the following commutation relations between the $ABCD$-operators

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\delta_a,\delta_b=0,1} [R(u_1,u_2)]^{\gamma_a\gamma_b}_{\delta_a\delta_b} \; {}_a\langle\delta_a|T_a(u_1)|\epsilon_a\rangle_a \; {}_b\langle\delta_b|T_b(u_2)|\epsilon_b\rangle_b\\
=&\sum_{\delta_a,\delta_b=0,1} {}_b\langle\gamma_b|T_b(u_2)|\delta_b\rangle_b \; {}_a\langle\gamma_a|T_a(u_1)|\delta_a\rangle_a \; [R(u_1,u_2)]^{\delta_a\delta_b}_{\epsilon_a\epsilon_b}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

For example, the $\epsilon_a = \epsilon_b = \gamma_a = 1,\ \gamma_b = 0$ case of (2.37) is

$$(1 - u_2/u_1)D(u_1)B(u_2) + u_2/u_1 B(u_1)D(u_2) = B(u_2)D(u_1). \tag{2.38}$$

We rewrite (2.38) as

$$D(u_1)B(u_2) = \frac{1}{1-u_2/u_1}B(u_2)D(u_1) + \frac{1}{1-u_1/u_2}B(u_1)D(u_2), \tag{2.39}$$

for later purpose. Some other cases of the commutation relations (2.37) which are used in this paper are

$$D(u_1)B(u_2) = D(u_2)B(u_1), \tag{2.40}$$
$$B(u_1)B(u_2) = B(u_2)B(u_1), \tag{2.41}$$
$$D(u_1)D(u_2) = D(u_2)D(u_1). \tag{2.42}$$

Note that (2.39), (2.40), (2.41) and (2.42) are relations which act on $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ since $B(u)$ and $D(u)$ are operators acting on $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$.

Now recall the following notations for the sets given in the introduction. S_k^n is a k-subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the set of k-subsets of n is denoted as $\binom{[n]}{k}$, and $\overline{S_k^n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \backslash S_k^n$. The following commutation relation between the multiple operators
$\prod_{j=k+1}^{n} D(u_j)$ and $\prod_{j=1}^{k} B(u_j)$

$$\prod_{j=k+1}^{n} D(u_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k} B(u_j) = \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i \in S_k^n, j \in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1-u_i/u_j} \prod_{i \in S_k^n} B(u_i) \prod_{j \in \overline{S_k^n}} D(u_j), \tag{2.43}$$

is one of the commutation relations between multiple operators essentially derived by Shigechi and Uchiyama [35] in their study of integrable models whose L-operators satisify the RLL Yang-Baxter relation with (a gauge transformed version of) the five-vertex model R-matrix (2.5) intertwining the L-operators. Various multiple commutation relations including this type were investigated in [35] for the purpose of studying generalized scalar products. One can also use this commutation relation to give another proof [80] of an identity for the factorial Grothendieck polynomials [75], which is an extension of the one for the Schur polynomials [76].

We record the argument given in [35] (which appears in Proof of Theorem 6.1) for completeness. First, using (2.39), (2.41) and (2.42) to move all the B-operators to the left of all the D-operators, one notes the operator part of all the terms which appear can be expressed as

$$\prod_{i \in S_k^n} B(u_i) \prod_{j \in \overline{S_k^n}} D(u_j), \tag{2.44}$$

for $S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}$. To extract the coefficient of (2.44) for a fixed S_k^n, one uses (2.40) repeatedly to rewrite the left hand side of (2.43) as

$$\prod_{j \in \overline{S_k^n}} D(u_j) \prod_{i \in S_k^n} B(u_i). \tag{2.45}$$

Finally, we only use (2.39) repeatedly to move all the B-operators to the left of all the D-operators. We only need to concentrate on the first term of the right hand side of (2.39) when

commuting the B- and D-operators to extract the coefficient of (2.44), since if we once use the second term of the left hand side of (2.39), we get other operators. Noting this, one finds the coefficient of the operator is given by $\prod_{i\in S_k^n, j\in\overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1-u_i/u_j}$, and hence the commutation relation (2.43) follows.

3 Partition functions

In this section, we introduce several types of partition functions which are used in this paper, and give their explicit forms using one version of skew Grothendieck polynomials.




Figure 4: The partition functions $Z_{m,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+n})$ constructed from the B-operators (3.3). The figure represents the case $m=11$, $n=5$, $\ell=3$, $(x_1,x_2,x_3)=(2,5,7)$, $(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5,y_6,y_7,y_8)=(2,3,4,6,7,8,9,11)$.

For an increasing sequence of integers $\boldsymbol{x}=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_\ell)$ and an integer j, let us define $\delta_{j,\boldsymbol{x}}$ as $\delta_{j,\boldsymbol{x}}=1$ if there exists x_k $(1\le k\le \ell)$ such that $j=x_k$, and $\delta_{j,\boldsymbol{x}}=0$ otherwise. For each increasing sequence of integers $1\le x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_\ell\le m$, we define the configuration vector and its dual as

$$|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m := \otimes_{j=1}^m(|0\rangle_j+\delta_{j,\boldsymbol{x}}(|1\rangle_j-|0\rangle_j))\in W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_m, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$${}_m\langle x_1\cdots x_\ell| := \otimes_{j=1}^m({}_j\langle 0|+\delta_{j,\boldsymbol{x}}({}_j\langle 1|-{}_j\langle 0|))\in W_1^*\otimes\cdots\otimes W_m^*. \tag{3.2}$$

For example, $|3,5\rangle_6=|0\rangle_1\otimes|0\rangle_2\otimes|1\rangle_3\otimes|0\rangle_4\otimes|1\rangle_5\otimes|0\rangle_6$.

By using the B-operators, we introduce the first type of partition functions $Z_{m,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+n})$ for two increasing sequences of integers $1\le x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_\ell\le m$ and $1\le y_1<y_2<\cdots<y_{\ell+n}\le m$ as (Figure 4)

$$Z_{m,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+n}) = {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+n}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m. \tag{3.3}$$




Figure 5: The matrix element ${}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m$ which we take as a definition of $G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u^{-1})$. The figure represents the case $m = 9$, $\ell = 3$, $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (3, 5, 7)$, $(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (2, 5, 6, 9)$. The matrix element of the B-operator can be computed as ${}_9\langle 2, 5, 6, 9|B(u)|3, 5, 7\rangle_9 = [R(u,1)]^{01}_{10}[R(u,1)]^{10}_{10}[R(u,1)]^{10}_{01}[R(u,1)]^{01}_{10}[R(u,1)]^{11}_{11}[R(u,1)]^{10}_{10}[R(u,1)]^{10}_{01}[R(u,1)]^{01}_{10}[R(u,1)]^{10}_{10} = 1\times(1-u^{-1})\times u^{-1}\times 1\times 1\times(1-u^{-1})\times u^{-1}\times 1\times(1-u^{-1}) = (1-u^{-1})^3u^{-2}$. We can compute graphically by multiplying all nine R-matrix elements $1-u^{-1}$, 1, u^{-1}, $(1-u^{-1})$, 1, 1, u^{-1}, $1-u^{-1}$, 1 in the figure (see also Figure 1). In terms of skew Grothendieck polynomials, λ and μ in this example are $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4) = (y_4 - 4, y_3 - 3, y_2 - 2, y_3 - 1) = (5, 3, 3, 1)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = (x_3 - 3, x_2 - 2, x_1 - 1) = (4, 3, 2)$, so this matrix element of the B-operator corresponds to $G_{(5,3,3,1)//(4,3,2)}(1-u^{-1})$. One can check the right hand side of (3.8) when $\lambda = (5, 3, 3, 1)$, $\mu = (4, 3, 2)$ is $(1-u^{-1})^3u^{-2}$.

For $\ell = 0$, we define $Z_{m,n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n|\phi|y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ as

$$Z_{m,n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n|\phi|y_1, \ldots, y_n) := {}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_n|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_n)|0\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_m. \tag{3.4}$$

The partition functions $Z_{m,n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n|\phi|y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ are called as the off-shell Bethe wavefunctions of the five-vertex model, and can be expressed using the Grassmannian Grothendieck polynomials [15, 17, 18, 19, 81], whose determinant representation is given by [18, 21, 82, 83]

$$G_\lambda(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \frac{\det(z_i^{\lambda_j+n-j}(1-z_i)^{j-1})_{i\le i,j\le n}}{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(z_i - z_j)}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ is a set of commuting variables and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is a partition, i.e. a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers whose graphical representation is naturally given by the Young diagram.

The off-shell Bethe wavefunctions (3.4) of the integrable five-vertex model is a partition function representation of the Grothendieck polynomials [4, 5, 9, 10].

Proposition 3.1. *([4] Lemma 5.2, [5] Thm 2.2, [9] Prop 4.1, [10] Prop 1) We have*

$$Z_{m,n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n|\phi|y_1, \ldots, y_n) = G_\lambda(1-u_1^{-1}, \ldots, 1-u_n^{-1}), \tag{3.6}$$

where λ *and* $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ *are related by* $\lambda_j = y_{n-j+1} - n + j - 1$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$.

In view of the correspondence (3.6), we introduce a version of the skew Grothendieck polynomials with a single variable as the matrix elements of a single B-operator:

$$G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u^{-1}) = {}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\lambda_j = y_{\ell-j+2} - \ell + j - 2$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell+1)$ and $\mu_j = x_{\ell-j+1} - \ell + j - 1$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$.

One can compute the matrix elements of a single B-operator ${}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m$ explicitly (see [5] Prop 3.4 for example), and through the identification (3.7), the skew Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u^{-1})$ is explicitly given by

$$G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u^{-1}) = \begin{cases} (1-u^{-1})^{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1}\lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\mu_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell}\{u^{-1} + \delta_{\lambda_{j+1},\mu_j}(1-u^{-1})\}, & \lambda \succ \mu, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Here, $\lambda \succ \mu$ is defined as the following relation between λ and μ

$$\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_\ell \geq \lambda_{\ell+1}, \tag{3.9}$$

which is called as the interlacing relation. See Figure 5 for an example of (3.8), and [5] Prop 3.4 for a proof of (3.8) (the argument in the proof of Prop 3.4 applied to the R-matrix (2.5) gives (3.8). The R-matrix in [5] and (2.5) are related by gauge transformation).

Let us give a remark. We used the notation $G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u^{-1})$ here instead of $G_{\lambda/\mu}(1-u^{-1})$. There are two versions of skew Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda/\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $G_{\lambda//\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})$ introduced by Buch in [18]. $G_{\lambda/\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is defined as certain types of stable Grothendieck polynomials which are originally labeled by permutations, and $G_{\lambda//\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is introduced as a natural skew version of the stable Grothendieck polynomials from the coproduct structure. A further study of this type of skew Grothendieck polynomials was done by Yeliussizov in [84], in which skew Grothendieck polynomials with finite number of variables is first defined using Schur operators, and skew Grothendieck polynomials with infinitely many variables is defined as a limit. We note that the explicit expression for one variable case (3.8) which we defined as matrix elements of the B-operators is the same with the $\beta = 1$ case of the one given in Proposition 4.3 in [84], hence we use this notation. The skew Grothendieck polynomials with multivariables which we later define as (3.18) can also be shown to be the same with the one which is introduced using Schur operators in [84], Definition 4.1. See Appendix A for details.

We can show the following relation

$$G_\lambda(1-u_1^{-1}, \ldots, 1-u_\ell^{-1}, 1-u_{\ell+1}^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda \succ \mu} G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u_{\ell+1}^{-1}) G_\mu(1-u_1^{-1}, \ldots, 1-u_\ell^{-1}), \tag{3.10}$$

using the lattice model construction as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G_\lambda(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_\ell^{-1},1-u_{\ell+1}^{-1})\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_{\ell+1})|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u_{\ell+1})\times\mathrm{Id}\times B(u_1)\cdots B(u_\ell)|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u_{\ell+1})\times\Bigg(|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\ {}_1\langle 0|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle 0|\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{1\le x_1<\cdots<x_j\le m}|x_1\cdots x_j\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle x_1\cdots x_j|\Bigg)\times B(u_1)\cdots B(u_\ell)|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{1\le x_1<\cdots<x_\ell\le m}{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+1}|B(u_{\ell+1})|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle x_1\cdots x_\ell|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_\ell)|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{\lambda\succ\mu}G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u_{\ell+1}^{-1})G_\mu(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_\ell^{-1}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

Here we used the commutativity of B-operators (2.41) in the second equality. We also inserted the identity operator on $W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_m$

$$
\mathrm{Id}=|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\ {}_1\langle 0|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle 0|+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{1\le x_1<\cdots<x_j\le m}|x_1\cdots x_j\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle x_1\cdots x_j|, \tag{3.12}
$$

which is one way of rewriting the standard expression for the identity operator

$$
\mathrm{Id}=\sum_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\dots,\epsilon_m=0,1}|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes|\epsilon_2\rangle_2\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_m\rangle_m\ {}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes{}_2\langle\epsilon_2|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle\epsilon_m|. \tag{3.13}
$$

The expression above follows from the bra-ket expression for the identity operator $|0\rangle\langle 0|+|1\rangle\langle 1|=\sum_{\epsilon=0,1}|\epsilon\rangle\langle\epsilon|$ on the two-dimensional vector space W.

From the ice-rule for the R-matrix, we note that $B(u_1)\cdots B(u_\ell)|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m$ is written as a linear combination of $|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m$, $1\le x_1<\cdots<x_\ell\le m$, which means that ${}_m\langle x_1\cdots x_j|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_\ell)|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m=0$ unless $j=\ell$. Hence, we can restrict the inserted identity operator to

$$
\sum_{1\le x_1<\cdots<x_\ell\le m}|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle x_1\cdots x_\ell|, \tag{3.14}
$$

which we used in the fourth equality. Let us call the argument of restricting the inserted identity operator (3.12) to (3.14) as the restriction argument.

The relation (3.10) can be regarded as a K-theoretic version of the following relation for the Schur and skew Schur functions

$$
s_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_\ell,x_{\ell+1})=\sum_{\lambda\succ\mu}s_{\lambda/\mu}(x_{\ell+1})s_\mu(x_1,\dots,x_\ell), \tag{3.15}
$$

and as a finite variable truncation of the definition of the skew stable Grothendieck polynomials using coproduct $\Delta:\Gamma\to\Gamma\otimes\Gamma$ with $(\Delta f)(x,y)=f(x,y)$ (Buch [18], (6.3))

$$
\Delta(G_\lambda)=\sum_{\mu\subset\lambda}G_\mu\otimes G_{\lambda//\mu}. \tag{3.16}
$$

Here, $\Gamma = \oplus_\lambda \mathbb{Z} \cdot G_\lambda$ where G_λ are stable Grothendieck polynomials.

From (3.16), we have ([18], section 9, [84], Remark 4.2)

$$G_{\lambda//\lambda}(1-u_1^{-1}, 1-u_2^{-1}, \dots) = \prod_{k\geq 1} u_k^{-i(\lambda)}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $i(\lambda)$ is the number of indices i such that $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$. This is different from $G_{\lambda/\lambda} = G_\phi = 1$ in general. Truncating to the one variable case gives $G_{\lambda//\lambda}(1-u_1^{-1}) = u_1^{-i(\lambda)}$, which matches the definition of the skew Grothendieck polynomials for one variable $G_{\lambda//}(1-u^{-1})$ as matrix elements of the B-operator (3.7). For example, $G_{(1)//(1)}(1-u_1^{-1}) = {}_3\langle 1,3|B(u_1)|2\rangle_3 = [R(u_1,1)]^{01}_{10}[R(u_1,1)]^{10}_{01}[R(u_1,1)]^{01}_{10} = u_1^{-1} = u_1^{-i((1))}$.

We introduce the skew Grothendieck polynomials with multi variables by composing skew Grothendieck polynomials of a single variable as

$$G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1}, \dots, 1-u_n^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda=\lambda^{(0)}\succ\lambda^{(1)}\succ\cdots\succ\lambda^{(n)}=\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{n} G_{\lambda^{(j-1)}//\lambda^{(j)}}(1-u_j^{-1}). \tag{3.18}$$

The following determinant formula for the skew Grothendieck polynomials is shown by Iwao [14] by the boson-fermion correspondence.

Proposition 3.2. *(Iwao [14], $\beta=-1$ case of Proposition 4.8) For $n \leq r - \ell(\mu)$, we have*

$$G_{\lambda//\mu}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \det\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\mu_j - j + r} \binom{1-j}{k} H^{(i-1)}_{\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j + k}(z_1, \dots, z_n) \right)_{1\leq i,j\leq r}, \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$H^{(i)}_p(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \binom{i}{\ell} (-1)^\ell h_{p+\ell}(z_1, \dots, z_n). \tag{3.20}$$

Here, binomials are defined as $\binom{p}{q} = \dfrac{\prod_{j=0}^{q-1}(p-j)}{q!}$ *for p, q integers, and $h_i(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is the i-th complete symmetric polynomial with commuting variables $z_1, \dots, z_n$.*

The partition functions $Z_{m,n}(u_1, \dots, u_n | x_1, \dots, x_\ell | y_1, \dots, y_{\ell+n})$ (3.3) are constructed by taking matrix elements of multiple B-operators. By inserting the identity operator (3.12) between the B-operators and using the restriction argument and (3.7), the partition functions can be rewritten as the right hand side of (3.18), hence the following correspondence holds:

$$Z_{m,n}(u_1, \dots, u_n | x_1, \dots, x_\ell | y_1, \dots, y_{\ell+n}) = G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1}, \dots, 1-u_n^{-1}), \tag{3.21}$$

where $\lambda_j = y_{\ell-j+n+1} - \ell + j - n - 1$ $(j = 1, \dots, \ell+n)$ and $\mu_j = x_{\ell-j+1} - \ell + j - 1$ $(j = 1, \dots, \ell)$.

Let us illustrate the $n = 2$ case of showing (3.21) (the general case can be shown in the




Figure 6: The partition functions $U_{m,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_\ell)$ constructed fom the D-operators (3.23). The figure represents the case $m=11$, $n=5$, $\ell=4$, $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=(2,5,7,9)$, $(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4)=(3,6,9,11)$.

same way). This can be shown in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z_{m,2}(u_1,u_2|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+2})\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+2}|B(u_1)B(u_2)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+2}|B(u_1)\times \mathrm{Id}\times B(u_2)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+2}|B(u_1)\times\Bigg(|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\ {}_1\langle 0|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle 0|\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{1\le z_1<\cdots<z_j\le m}|z_1\cdots z_j\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle z_1\cdots z_j|\Bigg)\times B(u_2)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{1\le z_1<\cdots<z_{\ell+1}\le m}{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+2}|B(u_1)|z_1\cdots z_{\ell+1}\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle z_1\cdots z_{\ell+1}|B(u_2)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{\lambda\succ\lambda^{(1)}\succ\mu}G_{\lambda//\lambda^{(1)}}(1-u_1^{-1})G_{\lambda^{(1)}//\mu}(1-u_2^{-1})\\
=&G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1},1-u_2^{-1}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.22}
$$

where $\lambda^{(1)}=(\lambda_1^{(1)},\dots,\lambda_{\ell+1}^{(1)})$ is defined as $\lambda_j^{(1)}=z_{\ell-j+2}-\ell+j-2$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell+1)$. Note that we used the property ${}_m\langle z_1\cdots z_j|B(u_2)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m=0$ unless $j=\ell+1$ in the fourth equality in (3.22) which comes from the ice-rule of the R-matrix, and used (3.7) and (3.8) in the fifth equality.

Next, we introduce the second type of partition functions constructed from the D-operators. Define $U_{m,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_\ell)$ for two increasing sequences of integers $1\le x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_\ell\le m$ and $1\le y_1<y_2<\cdots<y_\ell\le m$ as (Figure 6)

$$
U_{m,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_\ell)={}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|D(u_1)\cdots D(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m. \tag{3.23}
$$




Figure 7: The partition functions $Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n)$ (3.24). The figure represents the case $m=11$, $n=5$, $\ell=4$, $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=(2,5,7,9)$, $(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4)=(3,6,9,11)$.

The partition functions (3.23) introduced as matrix elements of multiple D-operators, can be connected with partition functions of the first type which are constructed from the B-operators, and hence with the skew Grothendieck polynomials. Consider the following partition functions constructed from the B-operators (Figure 7)

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n)\\ =&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)\cdots B^{(m+n)}(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n},\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

where

$$B^{(m+n)}(u)={}_a\langle 0|R_{a,m+n}(u)\cdots R_{a1}(u)|1\rangle_a\in\mathrm{End}(W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_{m+n}).\tag{3.25}$$

We can show that the matrix elements of multiple D-operators (3.23) are the same with those of multiple B-operators (3.24).

Lemma 3.3. *The following relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n)\\ =&U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell).\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

In Appendix B, a full proof of (3.26) using equations only is given. We also give a graphical derivation of (3.26) in Appendix C.

Applying the correspondence between partition functions and skew Grothendieck polynomials (3.21) to $Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n)$ and combining with (3.26), one finds that the partition functions of the D-operators
$U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell)$ are explicitly given by the skew Grothendieck polynomials as

$$U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell)=G_{((m-\ell)^n,\lambda)//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1},\ldots,1-u_n^{-1}),\tag{3.27}$$




Figure 8: The mixed partition functions $ZBD_{m,n,k}(u_1,\dots,u_k|u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})$ constructed from both the B- and D-operators (3.28). The figure represents the case $m=11$, $n=5$, $\ell=4$, $k=2$ $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=(2,4,5,7)$, $(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5,y_6)=(2,4,7,8,9,11)$.

where $\lambda_j = y_{\ell-j+1}-\ell+j-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell)$ and $\mu_j = x_{\ell-j+1}-\ell+j-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell)$.

In this section, we also introduce two types of partition functions which are constructed from both the B-operators and the D-operators, and describe their explicit forms using skew Grothendieck polynoimals.

The first type of mixed partition functions is (Figure 8)

$$\begin{aligned}&ZBD_{m,n,k}(u_1,\dots,u_k|u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})\\ =&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_k)D(u_{k+1})\cdots D(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m.\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

By inserting the identity operator (3.12) between $B(u_k)$ and $D(u_{k+1})$ and using the restriction argument and the correspondences (3.21) and (3.27), (3.28) can be described as a sum of products of the skew Grothendieck polynomials as

$$\begin{aligned}&ZBD_{m,n,k}(u_1,\dots,u_k|u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})\\ =&\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell} G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_k^{-1})G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-u_{k+1}^{-1},\dots,1-u_n^{-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

where $\lambda_j = y_{\ell-j+k+1}-\ell+j-k-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell+k)$ and $\mu_j = x_{\ell-j+1}-\ell+j-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell)$.

(3.29) can be shown as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&ZBD_{m,n,k}(u_1,\dots,u_k|u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_k)D(u_{k+1})\cdots D(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_k)\times \mathrm{Id}\times D(u_{k+1})\cdots D(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_k)\times\Bigg(|0\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|0\rangle_m\ {}_1\langle 0|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle 0|\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{1\le z_1<\cdots<z_j\le m}|z_1\cdots z_j\rangle_m\ {}_m\langle z_1\cdots z_j|\Bigg)\times D(u_{k+1})\cdots D(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{1\le z_1<\cdots<z_\ell\le m}{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_k)|z_1\cdots z_\ell\rangle_m\\
&\times{}_m\langle z_1\cdots z_\ell|D(u_{k+1})\cdots D(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{1\le z_1<\cdots<z_\ell\le m}Z_{m,k}(u_1,\dots,u_k|z_1,\dots,z_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})\\
&\times U_{m,n-k}(u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|z_1,\dots,z_\ell)\\
=&\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell}G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_k^{-1})G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-u_{k+1}^{-1},\dots,1-u_n^{-1}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.30}
$$

where $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_\ell)$ is defined as $\nu_j=z_{\ell-j+1}-\ell+j-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell)$. Note that we used ${}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(u_1)\cdots B(u_k)|z_1\cdots z_j\rangle_m=0$ unless $j=\ell$ in the fourth equality in (3.30) which follows from the ice-rule of the R-matrix, and (3.21), (3.27) in the last equality.




Figure 9: The mixed partition functions $ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|u_1,\dots,u_k|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})$ constructed from both the B- and D-operators (3.31). Note the order of the layers of the B-operators and those of the D-operators is reversed from (3.28). The figure represents the case $m=11$, $n=5$, $\ell=4$, $k=2$ $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=(2,4,5,7)$, $(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5,y_6)=(2,4,7,8,9,11)$.




Figure 10: The partition functions $Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\ldots,m+n-k)$ (3.32). The figure represents the case $m=11$, $n=5$, $\ell=4$, $k=2$ $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)=(2,4,5,7)$, $(y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5,y_6)=(2,4,7,8,9,11)$.

The second type of mixed partition functions we use in this paper is (Figure 9)

$$\begin{aligned}&ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\ldots,u_n|u_1,\ldots,u_k|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k})\\ =&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|D(u_n)\cdots D(u_{k+1})B(u_k)\cdots B(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m,\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

where the order of the layers of the B-operators and those of the D-operators is reversed from the first type of mixed partition functions (3.28). One can write the explicit form of (3.31) exactly as the skew Grothendieck polynomials. Consider the following partition functions constructed only from the B-operators (3.3) (Figure 10)

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\ldots,m+n-k)\\ =&{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k},m+1\cdots m+n-k|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_n)\cdots B^{(m+n-k)}(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m,\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

where

$$B^{(m+n-k)}(u)={}_a\langle 0|R_{a,m+n-k}(u)\cdots R_{a1}(u)|1\rangle_a\in\mathrm{End}(W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_{m+n-k}).\tag{3.33}$$

We can also show that the mixed partition functions (3.31) are the same with the matrix elements (3.32).

Lemma 3.4. *The following relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\ldots,m+n-k)\\ =&ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\ldots,u_n|u_1,\ldots,u_k|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

In Appendix B, we show a proof of (3.34) using equations only. A graphical derivation is given in Appendix C.

Applying the correspondence (3.21) to the partition functions $Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\dots,m+n-k)$ and combining with (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|u_1,\dots,u_k|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})\\ =&G_{((m-\ell-k)^{n-k},\lambda)//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_n^{-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

where $\lambda_j=y_{\ell-j+k+1}-\ell+j-k-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell+k)$ and $\mu_j=x_{\ell-j+1}-\ell+j-1$ $(j=1,\dots,\ell)$.

4 Grothendieck classes and K-theoretic pushforward

In this section, we use two types of partition functions of the integrable five-vertex model introduced in the last section and the Shigechi-Uchiyama commutation relation to show that two Grothendieck classes are directly connected via the K-theoretic pushforward. Let us first recall the algebraic geometry side [17, 18, 51, 52] again.

Let X be a smooth projective complex variety and $K(X)$ the Grothendieck ring of isomorphism classes of algebraic vector bundles over X. For a subvariety Y of X, the Grothendieck class of the structure sheaf of Y is denoted $[\mathcal{O}_Y]$, or $[Y]$ for short. Let $\mathcal{A}\to X$ be a rank n vector bundle, and $\pi:\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})\to X$ the Grassmann bundle of k-planes in $\mathcal{A}$ with universal tautological exact sequence $0\to\mathcal{S}\to\pi^*\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{Q}\to 0$ of vector bundles over $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\sigma=\{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k\}$ and $\omega=\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n-k}\}$ be the set of Grothendieck roots for the subbundle $\mathcal{S}$ and the quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively, i.e., defined by the exterior powers of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ by the relation $[\bigwedge^i\mathcal{S}]=e_i(\sigma)$ and $[\bigwedge^j\mathcal{Q}]=e_j(\omega)$, where $e_i(\sigma)$ and $e_j(\omega)$ are the ith and jth elementary symmetric functions with the set of variables σ and ω, respectively.

Let $f(\sigma;\omega)$ be a Laurent polynomial in $K(X)[\sigma_i^{\pm};\omega_j^{\pm}]$ which are symmetric in σ variables and also symmetric in ω variables, which makes it possible for the polynomial to be viewed as a certain Grothendieck class in $K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}))$. We consider the following K-theoretic pushforward $\pi_*:K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}))\to K(X)$ induced from π. By using quantum integrability, we show that the following holds for the K-theoretic pushforward:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vector bundle on X of rank n, and $\pi:\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})\to X$ the Grassmann bundle of k-planes in $\mathcal{A}$ with universal tautological exact sequence $0\to\mathcal{S}\to\pi^*\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{Q}\to 0$ of vector bundles over $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\alpha=\{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n\}$, $\sigma=\{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k\}$ and $\omega=\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n-k}\}$ be the sets of Grothendieck roots for bundles $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_*\left(\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell}G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-\sigma_1^{-1},\dots,1-\sigma_k^{-1})G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-\omega_1^{-1},\dots,1-\omega_{n-k}^{-1})\right)\\ =&G_{((m-\ell-k)^{n-k},\lambda)//\mu}(1-\alpha_1^{-1},\dots,1-\alpha_n^{-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where ℓ is an integer satisfying $0\le\ell\le m-k$ and λ, μ and ν are partitions with $\lambda\subseteq(m-k-\ell)^k$.

Proof. The following sum of products of the skew Grothendieck polynomials

$$g(\sigma;\omega)=\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell}G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-\sigma_1^{-1},\dots,1-\sigma_k^{-1})G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-\omega_1^{-1},\dots,1-\omega_{n-k}^{-1}),\tag{4.2}$$

is the right hand side of (3.29) under the following renaming of variables $u_j = \sigma_j$, $(j = 1, \ldots, k)$, $u_j = \omega_{j-k}$, $(j = k+1, \ldots, n)$. By (3.29), we get the partition function representation of $g(\sigma;\omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\sigma;\omega) =& ZBD_{m,n,k}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k|\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-k}|x_1, \ldots, x_\ell|y_1, \ldots, y_{\ell+k}) \\ =& {}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}|B(\sigma_1)\cdots B(\sigma_k)D(\omega_1)\cdots D(\omega_{n-k})|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\lambda_j = y_{\ell-j+k+1} - \ell + j - k - 1$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell + k)$ and $\mu_j = x_{\ell-j+1} - \ell + j - 1$ $(j = 1, \ldots, \ell)$. From the partition function representation (4.3), one can see for example that from the commutativity relation (2.41) and (2.42), $g(\sigma;\omega)$ is symmetric in σ variables and also in ω variables. We also note from the expressions of the matrix elements of the R-matrix for the integrable five-vertex model (2.5) that if one expands the partition function representation of $g(\sigma;\omega)$ in the σ and ω variables, the coefficient of each term is some integer which can be viewed as $1 = G_\phi \in K(X)$ multiplied by the integer, hence $g(\sigma;\omega)$ is a Laurent polynomial in $K(X)[\sigma_i^{\pm};\omega_j^{\pm}]$ which are symmetric in σ and in ω, and can be viewed as a certain Grothendieck class in $K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}))$.

To prove (4.1), one first applies the formula (1.1) for the K-theoretic pushforward to $g(\sigma;\omega)$ and then use the partition function representation of $g(\sigma;\omega)$ (4.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_*(g(\sigma;\omega)) \\ &= \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i\in S_k^n, j\in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha_i/\alpha_j} g(\alpha_{S_k^n}; \alpha_{\overline{S_k^n}}) \\ &= \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i\in S_k^n, j\in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha_i/\alpha_j} {}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}| \prod_{i\in S_k^n} B(\alpha_i) \prod_{j\in \overline{S_k^n}} D(\alpha_j)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m \\ &= {}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}| \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i\in S_k^n, j\in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha_i/\alpha_j} \prod_{i\in S_k^n} B(\alpha_i) \prod_{j\in \overline{S_k^n}} D(\alpha_j)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Recall that S_k^n is a k-subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the set of k-subsets of n is denoted as $\binom{[n]}{k}$, and $\overline{S_k^n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \backslash S_k^n$. Next, we use the commutation relation (2.43) to (4.4). Note that $|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m$ and ${}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}|$ which are vectors on the tensor product of (dual) quantum spaces $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$ $(W_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m^*)$ do not disturb one to use the commutation relation. Applying the commutation relation (2.43) to (4.4), we get

$$\pi_*(g(\sigma;\omega)) = {}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}| \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} D(\alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k} B(\alpha_j)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m. \tag{4.5}$$

The right hand side of (4.5) is nothing but the second type of the mixed partition functions $ZDB_{m,n,k}(\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n|\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k|x_1, \ldots, x_\ell|y_1, \ldots, y_{\ell+k})$. Combining (4.5) with the correspondence formula (3.35), we find the pushforward of the Grothendieck class $g(\sigma;\omega)$ is given by the skew Grothendieck polynomials

$$\pi_*(g(\sigma;\omega)) = G_{((m-\ell-k)^{n-k},\lambda)//\mu}(1-\alpha_1^{-1}, \ldots, 1-\alpha_n^{-1}). \tag{4.6}$$

□

Note that in the proof above, what played the crucial role are matrix elements of the commutation relation (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned}&ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\dots,u_n|u_1,\dots,u_k|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k})\\&=\sum_{S_k^n\in\binom{[n]}{k}}\prod_{i\in S_k^n,j\in\overline{S_k^n}}\frac{1}{1-u_i/u_j}ZBD_{m,n,k}(u_{S_k^n}|u_{\overline{S_k^n}}|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_{\ell+k}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

where $u_{S_k^n}=\{u_i \mid i\in S_k^n\}$ and $u_{\overline{S_k^n}}=\{u_j \mid j\in\overline{S_k^n}\}$. Using (3.29) and (3.35), this can be written as the following identity for the skew Grothendieck polynomials.

Theorem 4.2. *We have the following identity.*

$$\begin{aligned}&G_{((m-\ell-k)^{n-k},\lambda)//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_n^{-1})\\&=\sum_{S_k^n\in\binom{[n]}{k}}\prod_{i\in S_k^n,j\in\overline{S_k^n}}\frac{1}{1-u_i/u_j}\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell}G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-u_{S_k^n}^{-1})G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-u_{\overline{S_k^n}}^{-1}),\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

where ℓ is an integer satisfying $0\le\ell\le m-k$ and λ, μ and ν are partitions with $\lambda\subseteq(m-k-\ell)^k$, and $1-u_{S_k^n}^{-1}=\{1-u_i^{-1} \mid i\in S_k^n\}$ and $1-u_{\overline{S_k^n}}^{-1}=\{1-u_j^{-1} \mid j\in\overline{S_k^n}\}$.

5 Special cases and application

Let us see in this section that certain special cases of Theorem 4.1 reproduce special cases of the formulas derived by Buch [17].

Let us consider $\ell=0$, $\mu=\phi$ of (4.1) in Theorem 4.1. In this case, only the summand corresponding to $\nu=\phi$ in $g(\sigma;\omega)$ (4.2) survives, and $g(\sigma;\omega)$ becomes the following product of two Grothendieck polynomials

$$g(\sigma;\omega)=G_\lambda(1-\sigma_1^{-1},\dots,1-\sigma_k^{-1})G_{(m)^{n-k}}(1-\omega_1^{-1},\dots,1-\omega_{n-k}^{-1}),\tag{5.1}$$

where λ satisfies $\lambda\subseteq(m-k)^k$. Specializing the pushforward formula (4.1) to this case, we get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi_*(G_\lambda(1-\sigma_1^{-1},\dots,1-\sigma_k^{-1})G_{(m)^{n-k}}(1-\omega_1^{-1},\dots,1-\omega_{n-k}^{-1}))\\&=G_{((m-k)^{n-k},\lambda)}(1-\alpha_1^{-1},\dots,1-\alpha_n^{-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

This is also special cases of the following K-theoretic pushforward formulas by Buch [17], Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 7.3 (see also [85, 86] for cohomological versions).

Lemma 5.1. *(Buch [17], Lemma 7.1) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vector bundle of rank n over a variety X. Let $\pi:\mathbb{P}^*(\mathcal{A})\to X$ be the dual projective bundle of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be the tautological quotient of $\pi^*\mathcal{A}$. Then we have*

$$\pi_*(G_m(\mathcal{Q}))=G_{m-n+1}(\mathcal{A}),\tag{5.3}$$

for any integer m.

Theorem 5.2. *(Buch [17], Theorem 7.3) Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be vector bundles on X of rank n and ℓ respectively. Let $\pi : \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}) \to X$ the Grassmann bundle of k-planes in $\mathcal{A}$ with universal tautological exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{S} \to \pi^*\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0$ of vector bundles over $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\}$, $\sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ and $\omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k}\}$ be the sets of Grothendieck roots for bundles $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively. Let $I = (I_1, \dots, I_{n-k})$ and $J = (J_1, J_2, \dots)$ be sequences of integers satisfying $I_j \geq \ell$ for all j. Then we have*

$$\pi_*(G_I(\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{B})G_J(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{B})) = G_{I-(k)^{n-k},J}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}). \tag{5.4}$$

Here, $G_I(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})$ in (5.3), (5.4) are certain Grothendieck classes of $K(X)$ extended to sequences of integers [17] from the the case when I are partitions $I = \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i)$ satisfying $n \geq i$, in which case $G_\lambda(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})$ are the Grassmannian double Grothendieck polynomials

$$G_\lambda(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) = G_\lambda(1 - \alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \alpha_n^{-1} | 1 - \beta_1, \dots, 1 - \beta_\ell). \tag{5.5}$$

The Grassmannian double Grothendieck polynomials [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 82] has the following determinant form

$$G_\lambda(z_1, \dots, z_n | v_1, \dots, v_\ell) = \frac{\det([z_i|\boldsymbol{v}]^{\lambda_j+n-j}(1 - z_i)^{j-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}(z_i - z_j)}. \tag{5.6}$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a partition, $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell\}$ are sets of variables and

$$[z_i|\boldsymbol{v}]^j = (z_i \oplus v_1)(z_i \oplus v_2) \cdots (z_i \oplus v_j), \tag{5.7}$$

where $z \oplus v := z + v - zv$.

The case $\lambda = \phi$, $k = n - 1$ of (5.2)

$$\pi_*(G_m(1 - \omega_1^{-1})) = G_{m-n+1}(1 - \alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - \alpha_n^{-1}), \tag{5.8}$$

which corresponds to the case when the rank of the quotient bundle $\mathcal{Q}$ is 1, is nothing but (5.3) in Lemma 5.1 (Buch [17], Lemma 7.1) when m is positive.

We can also see that (5.2) is the special case $\ell = 0$, $I = (m)^{n-k}$, $J = \lambda$ of (5.4) in Theorem 5.2 (Buch [17], Theorem 7.3), which is explicitly

$$\pi_*(G_{(m)^{n-k}}(\mathcal{Q})G_\lambda(\mathcal{S})) = G_{(m)^{n-k}-(k)^{n-k},\lambda}(\mathcal{A}) = G_{((m-k)^{n-k},\lambda)}(\mathcal{A}). \tag{5.9}$$

Let us also see that Theorem 4.2 is a skew generalization of an identity for the Grothendieck polynomials recently found by Guo and Sun [75], which is a generalization of the one for Schur polynomials by Fehér, Némethi and Rimányi [76]. In fact, $\ell = 0$, $\mu = \phi$ of (4.8) is

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{(m^{n-k},\lambda)}(1 - u_1^{-1}, \dots, 1 - u_n^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \prod_{i \in S_k^n, j \in \overline{S_k^n}} \frac{1}{1 - u_i/u_j} G_\lambda(1 - u_{S_k^n}^{-1}) G_{m^{n-k}}(1 - u_{\overline{S_k^n}}^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

It is easy to see from the determinant representation (3.5) that $G_{m^{n-k}}(1 - u_{\overline{S_k^n}}^{-1})$ has the factorized form

$$G_{m^{n-k}}(1 - u_{\overline{S_k^n}}^{-1}) = \prod_{j \in \overline{S_k^n}} (1 - u_j^{-1})^m. \tag{5.11}$$

Inserting (5.11) into (5.10), we get

$$G_{(m^{n-k},\lambda)}(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_n^{-1}) = \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} G_\lambda(1-u_{S_k^n}^{-1}) \frac{\prod_{j\in\overline{S_k^n}}(1-u_j^{-1})^m}{\prod_{i\in S_k^n, j\in\overline{S_k^n}}(1-u_i/u_j)}. \tag{5.12}$$

Using the z-variables $z_j = 1-u_j^{-1}$, $j=1,\dots,n$, (5.12) can be rewritten as

$$G_{(m^{n-k},\lambda)}(z_1,\dots,z_n) = \sum_{S_k^n \in \binom{[n]}{k}} G_\lambda(z_{S_k^n}) \frac{\prod_{i\in S_k^n}(1-z_i)^{n-k}\prod_{j\in\overline{S_k^n}} z_j^m}{\prod_{i\in S_k^n, j\in\overline{S_k^n}}(z_j-z_i)}. \tag{5.13}$$

This is the $\beta=-1$ and nonfactorial case of Theorem 3.1 in [75] by Guo and Sun.

Finally, let us discuss an application of the pushforward formula. There are studies on deriving algebraic formulas and identities by investigating the pushforward map in various ways and combining them. One example is the geometric derivation of the Jacobi-Trudi type formulas [71, 74].

Let us derive an integration formula (residue formula) by combining the pushforward formula which we derived with another expression which is obtained by applying the following formula.

Proposition 5.3. *(Allman [52], Prop 6.3) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vector bundle on X of rank n, and $\pi : \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}) \to X$ the Grassmann bundle of k-planes in $\mathcal{A}$ with universal tautological exact sequence $0\to\mathcal{S}\to\pi^*\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{Q}\to 0$ of vector bundles over $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\alpha=\{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n\}$, $\sigma=\{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_k\}$ and $\omega=\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n-k}\}$ be the sets of Grothendieck roots for bundles $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ respectively. Let $f(\sigma;\omega)$ be a Grothendieck class in $K(\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathcal{A}))$, and $\mathbf{z}=\{z_1,\dots,z_n\}$ an alphabet of ordered, commuting variables. If f does not have poles in $K(X)$ aside from zero and the point at infinity, we have*

$$\pi_*(f(\sigma;\omega)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\oint_C\cdots\oint_C f(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(1-z_j/z_i)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n (z_i/\alpha_j-1)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dz_i}{z_i}, \tag{5.14}$$

where $f(\mathbf{z}) = f(z_1,\dots,z_k;z_{k+1},\dots,z_n)$ and the integration contour C surrounds α_j, $j=1,\dots,n$.

Note that (5.14) is equivalent to the expression in [52], which is written in the form of taking residues at zero and the point at infinity. Applying the integration formula (5.14) to

$g(\sigma;\omega)$ (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_*\left(\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell} G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-\sigma_1^{-1},\dots,1-\sigma_k^{-1})G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-\omega_1^{-1},\dots,1-\omega_{n-k}^{-1})\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell}\oint_C\cdots\oint_C G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-z_1^{-1},\dots,1-z_k^{-1})\\
&\times G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-z_{k+1}^{-1},\dots,1-z_n^{-1})\frac{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(1-z_j/z_i)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(z_i/\alpha_j-1)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{dz_i}{z_i}. \qquad (5.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.1) and (5.15), we get the following.

Corollary 5.4. *We have the following identity.*

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{((m-\ell-k)^{n-k},\lambda)//\mu}(1-\alpha_1^{-1},\dots,1-\alpha_n^{-1})\\
=&\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\sum_{\nu\subseteq(m-\ell)^\ell}\oint_C\cdots\oint_C G_{\lambda//\nu}(1-z_1^{-1},\dots,1-z_k^{-1})\\
&\times G_{((m-\ell)^{n-k},\nu)//\mu}(1-z_{k+1}^{-1},\dots,1-z_n^{-1})\frac{\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(1-z_j/z_i)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(z_i/\alpha_j-1)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{dz_i}{z_i}, \qquad (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where ℓ is an integer satisfying $0\le\ell\le m-k$ and λ, μ and ν are partitions with $\lambda\subseteq(m-k-\ell)^k$.

Taking $\ell=0$, $\mu=\phi$ in (5.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{((m-k)^{n-k},\lambda)}(1-\alpha_1^{-1},\dots,1-\alpha_n^{-1})\\
=&\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\oint_C\cdots\oint_C G_\lambda(1-z_1^{-1},\dots,1-z_k^{-1})\frac{\prod_{j=k+1}^{n}(1-z_j^{-1})^m\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(1-z_j/z_i)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(z_i/\alpha_j-1)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{dz_i}{z_i}, \qquad (5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

by using $G_{m^{n-k}}(1-z_{k+1}^{-1},\dots,1-z_n^{-1})=\prod_{j=k+1}^{n}(1-z_j^{-1})^m$. Further, setting $m=k$, $\lambda=\phi$ and using $G_\phi=1$, (5.17) becomes

$$1=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n}\oint_C\cdots\oint_C\frac{\prod_{j=k+1}^{n}(1-z_j^{-1})^k\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(1-z_j/z_i)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(z_i/\alpha_j-1)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{dz_i}{z_i}. \qquad (5.18)$$

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the referee for careful reading and numerous invaluable comments and suggestions to improve the paper. This work was partially supported by grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 18K03205 and No. 16K05468.

Appendix A. On skew Grothendieck polynomials

$(5,3,3,1)/(4,3,2)$ $(5,3,3,1)//(4,3,2)$




Figure 11: The skew shape $(5,3,3,1)/(4,3,2)$ are boxes which are shaded in the left figure. Removing a box whose coordinate is $(1,4)$, $(2,3)$ or $(3,2)$ from the Young diagram $(4,3,2)$ still yields a Young diagram again. Adding these boxes to $(5,3,3,1)/(4,3,2)$ gives the extended skew shape $(5,3,3,1)//(4,3,2)$, which are boxes shaded in the right figure.

In this appendix, we record a formulation of the skew Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda//\mu}$ by Yeliussizov [84], which was originally introduced by Buch [18]. Note that in this Appendix, we respect the notations in [84] as possible as we can, so the meaning of the A-operator, u- and x-variables are different from the ones used in the main part of this paper.

We first prepare notations. A partition is a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)+1} = 0, \dots)$, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} > 0$ with only finitely many nonzero terms. $\ell(\lambda)$ is called the length of the partition. We define $|\lambda|$ for a partition λ as $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$. We identify a partition λ with a Young diagram which is the set $\{(i,j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda), 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}$.

For a Young diagram μ, we say that a box in μ is removable if removing it yields a Young diagram of a partition. Let $I(\mu)$ be the set of removable boxes of μ. For two partitions λ and μ satisfying $\mu \subset \lambda$, we define $\lambda//\mu$ as $\lambda//\mu := \lambda/\mu \cup I(\mu)$. This is an extension of a skew shape λ/μ. We define $a(\lambda//\mu)$ as the number of columns of $\lambda//\mu$ that are not columns of λ/μ. We say that a skew shape λ/μ is a horizontal strip if $|\lambda/\mu|$ is equal to the number of columns of λ/μ.

For example, let us take λ and μ as $\lambda = (5,3,3,1)$, $\mu = (4,3,2)$ (Figure 11). $I((4,3,2))$ consists of boxes whose coordinates are $(1,4)$, $(2,3)$, $(3,2)$. There are boxes which are in the second and fourth column of $(5,3,3,1)//(4,3,2)$ but not in $(5,3,3,1)/(4,3,2)$, hence $a((5,3,3,1)//(4,3,2)) = 2$.

Consider the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}P = \oplus_\lambda \mathbb{Z} \cdot \lambda$ with a basis of all partitions. Let $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$ and $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots)$ be sets of linear operators on $\mathbb{Z}P$, which act on bases as

$$u_i \cdot \lambda = \begin{cases} \lambda + \Box \text{ in column } i, & \text{if possible,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

$$d_i \cdot \lambda = \begin{cases} \lambda - \Box \text{ in column } i, & \text{if possible,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

Let β be a scalar parameter and we consider the free $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$-module $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]P = \oplus_\lambda \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cdot \lambda$ with a basis of all partitions. We define $\widetilde{u} = (\widetilde{u}_1, \widetilde{u}_2, \dots)$ as linear operators which act on $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]P$ as $\widetilde{u}_i := u_i - \beta u_i d_i$.

Let x be an indeterminate commuting with u and d, and define $A(x)$ as

$$A(x) = \cdots (1 + x\widetilde{u}_2)(1 + x\widetilde{u}_1). \tag{A.3}$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a bilinear pairing on $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]P$ given by $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$. For partitions λ and μ, the skew Grothendieck polynomials $G^\beta_{\lambda//\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined in [84], Definition 4.1 as

$$G^\beta_{\lambda//\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \langle A(x_n) \cdots A(x_1) \cdot \mu, \lambda \rangle. \tag{A.4}$$

The following is shown in [84].

Proposition A.1. (Yeliussizov [84], Proposition 4.3)
For a single variable x, we have

$$G^\beta_{\lambda//\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \beta x)^{a(\lambda//\mu)} x^{|\lambda/\mu|}, & \lambda/\mu \textit{ is a horizontal strip,} \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{A.5}$$

Noting that $(1-u^{-1})^{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mu_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \{u^{-1} + \delta_{\lambda_{j+1},\mu_j}(1-u^{-1})\}$ in (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-u^{-1})^{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mu_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \{u^{-1} + \delta_{\lambda_{j+1},\mu_j}(1-u^{-1})\} \\ =&(1-u^{-1})^{|\lambda|-|\mu|}(1-(1-u^{-1}))^{\#\{j|\lambda_{j+1} \neq \mu_j\}} \\ =&(1-u^{-1})^{|\lambda/\mu|}(1-(1-u^{-1}))^{a(\lambda//\mu)}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

and the interlacing relation $\lambda \succ \mu$ is another way of saying that λ/μ is a horizontal strip, we find that the expression of the skew Grothendieck polynomials (3.8) which we defined as matrix elements of the B-operator (3.7), is the same with the $\beta = 1$ case of (A.5) under the substitution $x = 1 - u^{-1}$.

(A.5) and the $n = 1$ case of (A.4) means

$$A(x) \cdot \mu = \sum_{\lambda \succ \mu} G^\beta_{\lambda//\mu}(x) \cdot \lambda, \tag{A.7}$$

and applying this relation repeatedly to the right hand side of (A.4) for generic n, we get

$$G^{\beta}_{\lambda//\mu}(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\sum_{\lambda=\lambda^{(0)}\succ\lambda^{(1)}\succ\cdots\succ\lambda^{(n)}=\mu}\prod_{j=1}^{n}G^{\beta}_{\lambda^{(j-1)}//\lambda^{(j)}}(x_j). \tag{A.8}$$

Comparing with (3.18) in section 3, we note that the skew Grothendieck polynomials $G_{\lambda//\mu}(1-u_1^{-1},\dots,1-u_n^{-1})$ which we defined and has partition function expressions using B-operators (3.21) is the same with the $\beta=1$ case of the one (A.4) which are introduced using Schur operators, where we substitute the u-variables in the main part of this paper into the x-variables in this Appendix by $x_j=1-u_j^{-1}$, $j=1,\dots,n$.

Appendix B. Details of proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4

In this appendix, we show Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 using equations only. We abbreviate $R(u,1)$ as $R(u)$ in this Appendix.

Let us first show (3.26). For $j=1,\dots,n-1$, let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}$ be a sequence of 0s and 1s $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}=\{\epsilon^j_1,\epsilon^j_2,\dots,\epsilon^j_{m+n}\}$, $\epsilon^j_1,\epsilon^j_2,\dots,\epsilon^j_{m+n}=0,1$, and define $|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}$ and ${}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}|$ as

$$|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}:=|\epsilon^j_1\rangle_1\otimes|\epsilon^j_2\rangle_2\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^j_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}, \tag{B.1}$$

$${}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}|:={}_1\langle\epsilon^j_1|\otimes{}_2\langle\epsilon^j_2|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle\epsilon^j_{m+n}|. \tag{B.2}$$

We also define $|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m\rangle_m$ and ${}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m|$ similarly.

We write the identity operator on $W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_{m+n}$ as

$$\mathrm{Id}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}}|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\ {}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}|, \tag{B.3}$$

where $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}}$ means $\sum_{\epsilon^j_1,\dots,\epsilon^j_{m+n}=0,1}$. We insert (B.3) between ${}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell,m+1,\dots,m+n|$, $B^{(m+n)}(u_j)$, $j=1,\dots,n$ and $|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n}$, and decompose the partition functions $Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_\ell,m+1,\dots,m+n)$ (3.24) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\dots,u_n|x_1,\dots,x_\ell|y_1,\dots,y_\ell,m+1,\dots,m+n)\\
=&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell,m+1,\dots,m+n|\prod_{j=1}^{n}B^{(m+n)}(u_j)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n}\\
=&\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n},\dots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}}{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell,m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
&\times\prod_{j=2}^{n-1}{}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_j)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\times{}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

First, we examine the factor ${}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell,m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}$ in the right hand side of (B.4). We use the explicit expression for the B-operator using R-matrices

$B^{(m+n)}(u_1) = {}_a\langle 0|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a$ and rewrite the factor as

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\ldots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
=&{}_a\langle 0|\otimes {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|\otimes {}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes {}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)\\
&\times|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}. \qquad \text{(B.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

We then write the identity operator on $W_a\otimes W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_{m+n}$ as

$$\mathrm{Id}=\sum_{\gamma_1,\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_{m+n}=0,1}|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\ {}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes{}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle\epsilon_{m+n}|, \qquad \text{(B.6)}$$

and insert between $R_{a,m+1}(u_1)$ and $R_{am}(u_1)$ in (B.5) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\ldots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
=&\sum_{\gamma_1,\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_{m+n}=0,1}{}_a\langle 0|\otimes{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|\otimes{}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)\\
&\times|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\ {}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes{}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle\epsilon_{m+n}|R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)\\
&\times|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}. \qquad \text{(B.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the product of R-matrices $R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)$ act nontrivially on W_a, $W_1\ldots,W_m$ and as identity on $W_{m+1}\ldots,W_{m+n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes{}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle\epsilon_{m+n}|R_{a,m}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
=&\prod_{j=m+1}^{m+n}\delta_{\epsilon_j\epsilon^1_j}\ {}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes{}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle\epsilon_m|R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m, \qquad \text{(B.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $R_{aj}(u_1)$, $j=1,\ldots,m$ on the right hand side are operators acting on $W_a\otimes W_1\otimes\cdots\otimes W_m$. Inserting (B.8) into (B.7), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\ldots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
=&\sum_{\gamma_1,\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_m=0,1}{}_a\langle 0|\otimes{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|\otimes{}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)\\
&\times|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_m\rangle_m\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
&\times{}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes{}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle\epsilon_m|R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m. \qquad \text{(B.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the product $R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)$ acts nontrivially on W_a, $W_{m+1},\ldots,W_{m+n}$ and as identity on $W_1,\ldots,W_m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_a\langle 0|\otimes{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|\otimes{}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)\\
&\times|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_m\rangle_m\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
=&{}_a\langle 0|\otimes{}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}, \qquad \text{(B.10)}
\end{aligned}$$

if $\{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_m\}$ satisfies $|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_m\rangle_m=|y_1\cdots y_\ell\rangle_m$ $({}_1\langle\epsilon_1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_m\langle\epsilon_m|={}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_a\langle 0|\otimes{}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|\otimes{}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes{}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)\\
&\times|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon_1\rangle_1\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon_m\rangle_m\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}=0, \qquad \text{(B.11)}
\end{aligned}$$

otherwise. Then we find (B.9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
&=\sum_{\gamma_1=0,1}{}_a\langle 0|\otimes {}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes {}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)\\
&\quad\times|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
&\quad\times{}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m. \qquad \text{(B.12)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor ${}_a\langle 0|\otimes {}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes {}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}$ can be explicitly expressed using R-matrix elements as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_a\langle 0|\otimes {}_{m+1}\langle 1|\otimes\cdots\otimes {}_{m+n}\langle 1|R_{a,m+n}(u_1)\cdots R_{a,m+1}(u_1)|\gamma_1\rangle_a\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+1}\rangle_{m+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes|\epsilon^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
&=\sum_{\gamma_2,\dots,\gamma_n=0,1}[R(u_1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}[R(u_1)]^{\gamma_{j+1},1}_{\gamma_j,\epsilon^1_{m+j}}. \qquad \text{(B.13)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting (B.13) into (B.12) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}\\
&=\sum_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_n=0,1}[R(u_1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}[R(u_1)]^{\gamma_{j+1},1}_{\gamma_j,\epsilon^1_{m+j}}\\
&\times{}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m. \qquad \text{(B.14)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $[R(u_1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}}=1$ if $\gamma_n=1,\epsilon^1_{m+n}=0$ and $[R(u_1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}}=0$ otherwise, we can restrict the sum over γ_n in the right hand side of (B.14) to $\gamma_n=1$. Then we find the factor $[R(u_1)]^{\gamma_n 1}_{\gamma_{n-1}\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}}$ becomes $[R(u_1)]^{11}_{\gamma_{n-1}\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}}$, which is equal to 1 if $\gamma_{n-1}=\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}=1$ and vanishes otherwise. Continuing this argument, we find that we only need to consider the case which $\epsilon^1_{m+1}=\cdots=\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}=1$, $\epsilon^1_{m+n}=0$ is satisfied since otherwise ${}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}=0$, and we can restrict the sum in the right hand side of (B.14) to the summand corresponding to $\gamma_1=\cdots=\gamma_n=1$. Then we find the right hand side of (B.14) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[R(u_1)]^{01}_{10}([R(u_1)]^{11}_{11})^{n-1}{}_a\langle 1|\otimes {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|R_{am}(u_1)\cdots R_{a1}(u_1)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m\\
&={}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|D^{(m)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m, \qquad \text{(B.15)}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence we conclude

$$
{}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}={}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|D^{(m)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m, \quad \text{(B.16)}
$$

if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}$ satisfies $\epsilon^1_{m+1}=\cdots=\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}=1$, $\epsilon^1_{m+n}=0$, and ${}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\dots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}=0$ otherwise.

Next, we examine ${}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_2)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}$ under $\epsilon^1_{m+1}=\cdots=\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}=1$, $\epsilon^1_{m+n}=0$. We first write this explicitly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_2)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n}&=\sum_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_n=0,1}[R(u_2)]^{00}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^2_{m+n}}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}[R(u_2)]^{\gamma_{j+1},1}_{\gamma_j,\epsilon^2_{m+j}}\\
&\times{}_a\langle\gamma_1|\otimes {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m|R_{am}(u_2)\cdots R_{a1}(u_2)|1\rangle_a\otimes|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_m\rangle_m, \qquad \text{(B.17)}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the same way we did as above. Then we observe that the factor $[R(u_2)]^{00}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^2_{m+n}}$ in the right hand side of (B.17) is equal to 1 if $\gamma_n = \epsilon^2_{m+n} = 0$, and vanishes otherwise. Then we note the matrix element $[R(u_2)]^{\gamma_n 1}_{\gamma_{n-1}\epsilon^2_{m+n-1}}$ becomes $[R(u_2)]^{01}_{\gamma_{n-1}\epsilon^2_{m+n-1}}$ and find that we only need to consider $\gamma_{n-1} = 1, \epsilon^2_{m+n-1} = 0$, since otherwise the matrix element becomes 0. Continuing this argument, we find ${}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_2)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n} = 0$ unless $\epsilon^2_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^2_{m+n-2} = 1$, $\epsilon^2_{m+n-1} = \epsilon^2_{m+n} = 0$, and if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n}$ satisfies $\epsilon^2_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^2_{m+n-2} = 1$, $\epsilon^2_{m+n-1} = \epsilon^2_{m+n} = 0$, we can restrict the sum in the right hand side of (B.17) to the summand corresponding to $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_{n-1} = 1$, $\gamma_n = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_2)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n} =& [R(u_2)]^{00}_{00}[R(u_2)]^{01}_{10}([R(u_2)]^{11}_{11})^{n-2} \\
&\times {}_a\langle 1| \otimes {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m|R_{am}(u_2)\cdots R_{a1}(u_2)|1\rangle_a \otimes |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_m\rangle_m \\
=& {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m|D^{(m)}(u_2)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_m\rangle_m. \qquad \text{(B.18)}
\end{aligned}$$

We continue this argument and show the following for $j = 2, \ldots, n-1$: for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n}$ such that $\epsilon^{j-1}_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n-j+1} = 1$, $\epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n-j+2} = \cdots = \epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n} = 0$, the following relation holds

$$ {}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_j)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j}_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n} = {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_m|D^{(m)}(u_j)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j}_m\rangle_m, \qquad \text{(B.19)}$$

if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n}$ satisfies $\epsilon^j_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-j} = 1$, $\epsilon^j_{m+n-j+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n} = 0$. Otherwise, ${}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_j)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j}_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n} = 0$.

One can also show in a similar way that for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}$ such that $\epsilon^{n-1}_{m+1} = 1$, $\epsilon^{n-1}_{m+2} = \cdots = \epsilon^{n-1}_{m+n} = 0$, the following relation holds

$$ {}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n} = {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m|D^{(m)}(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m. \qquad \text{(B.20)}$$

From the arguments above and using (B.16), (B.19) and (B.20), the right hand side of (B.4) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n},\ldots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}} {}_{m+n}\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell, m+1,\ldots,m+n|B^{(m+n)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n} \\
&\times\prod_{j=2}^{n-1} {}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_j)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j}_{m+n}\rangle_{m+n} \times {}_{m+n}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}|B^{(m+n)}(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n} \\
&= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_m,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m} {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|D^{(m)}(u_1)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m \\
&\times\prod_{j=2}^{n-1} {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_m|D^{(m)}(u_j)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j}_m\rangle_m \times {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m|D^{(m)}(u_n)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m \\
&= {}_m\langle y_1\cdots y_\ell|\prod_{j=1}^{n} D^{(m)}(u_j)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m \\
&= U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell), \qquad \text{(B.21)}
\end{aligned}$$

hence we conclude (3.26) holds. Note that we used the expression for the identity operator acting on $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_m$

$$ \mathrm{Id} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m} |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m\rangle_m \, {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m|, \qquad \text{(B.22)}$$

in the second equality in (B.21). We also remark that from the argument above, ϵ^j_k, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $k = m+1, \ldots, m+n$ are fixed to $\epsilon^j_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-j} = 1$, $\epsilon^j_{m+n-j+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n} = 0$ and the sum $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m+n}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n}}$ is reduced to $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2_{m}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m}}$ which is used in the first equality in (B.21).

We can show (3.34) in the same way. For $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, we define $|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} := |\epsilon^j_1\rangle_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\epsilon^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k}$ and ${}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}| := {}_1\langle\epsilon^j_1| \otimes \cdots \otimes {}_{m+n-k}\langle\epsilon^j_{m+n-k}|$ for a sequence of 0s and 1s $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k} = \{\epsilon^j_1, \epsilon^j_2, \ldots, \epsilon^j_{m+n-k}\}$ $(\epsilon^j_1, \epsilon^j_2, \ldots, \epsilon^j_{m+n-k} = 0, 1)$, write the identity operator on $W_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{m+n-k}$ as

$$\mathrm{Id} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}} |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k}\ {}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}|, \tag{B.23}$$

and insert into $Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n|x_1, \ldots, x_\ell|y_1, \ldots, y_{\ell+k}, m+1, \ldots, m+n-k)$ (3.32) and decompose as

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n|x_1, \ldots, x_\ell|y_1, \ldots, y_{\ell+k}, m+1, \ldots, m+n-k)\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}, m+1 \cdots m+n-k|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_n) \cdots B^{(m+n-k)}(u_1)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_m\\
=&\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}} {}_{m+n-k}\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}, m+1, \ldots, m+n-k|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_n)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k}\\
&\times \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} {}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k}\\
&\times {}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_1)|x_1 \cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n-k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.24}$$

We analyze the factors in (B.24) in the following order.

One first shows that if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k}$ satisfies $\epsilon^1_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^1_{m+n-k-1} = 1, \epsilon^1_{m+n-k} = 0$, the following relation holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{m+n-k}\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}, m+1, \ldots, m+n-k|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_n)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k}\\
=&{}_m\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}|D^{(m)}(u_n)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{\boldsymbol{m}}\rangle_m,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

and ${}_{m+n-k}\langle y_1 \cdots y_{\ell+k}, m+1, \ldots, m+n-k|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_n)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} = 0$ otherwise.

Next, we show the following for $j = 2, \ldots, n-k$: for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}$ such that $\epsilon^{j-1}_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n-k-j+1} = 1, \epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n-k-j+2} = \cdots = \epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n-k} = 0$, the following relation holds

$${}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} = {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_m|D^{(m)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m\rangle_m, \tag{B.26}$$

if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}$ satisfies $\epsilon^j_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-k-j} = 1, \epsilon^j_{m+n-k-j+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-k} = 0$. Otherwise, ${}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} = 0$.

The third step is to show the following for $j = n-k+1, \ldots, n-1$: for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}$ such that $\epsilon^{j-1}_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^{j-1}_{m+n-k} = 0$, the following relation holds

$${}_{m+n-k}\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} = {}_m\langle\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_m|B^{(m)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m\rangle_m, \tag{B.27}$$

if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}$ satisfies $\epsilon^j_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-k} = 0$. Otherwise, we have ${}_{m+n-k}\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} = 0$.

Finally, we show that for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}$ such that $\epsilon^{n-1}_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^{n-1}_{m+n-k} = 0$, the following relation holds

$$ {}_{m+n-k}\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n-k} = {}_m\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m|B^{(m)}(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m. \tag{B.28}$$

From the arguments above and inserting (B.25), (B.26), (B.27) and (B.28) into the right hand side of (B.24) and using (B.22), we can show the right hand side of (B.24) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k},\ldots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}} {}_{m+n-k}\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}, m+1,\ldots,m+n-k|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_n)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} \\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=2}^{n-1} {}_{m+n-k}\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_{m+n-k}\rangle_{m+n-k} \\
&\quad\times {}_{m+n-k}\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}|B^{(m+n-k)}(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_{m+n-k} \\
=&\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m} {}_{m+n-k}\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|D^{(m)}(u_n)|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m\rangle_m \prod_{j=2}^{n-k} {}_m\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_m|D^{(m)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m\rangle_m \\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=n-k+1}^{n-1} {}_m\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{j-1}_m|B^{(m)}(u_{n-j+1})|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^j_m\rangle_m \times {}_m\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m|B^{(m)}(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m \\
=&\langle y_1\cdots y_{\ell+k}|D^{(m)}(u_n)\cdots D^{(m)}(u_{k+1})B^{(m)}(u_k)\cdots B^{(m)}(u_1)|x_1\cdots x_\ell\rangle_m \\
=&ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\ldots,u_n|u_1,\ldots,u_k|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{B.29}$$

hence we conclude (3.34) holds. Note that ϵ^j_k, $j = 1,\ldots,n-1$, $k = m+1,\ldots,m+n$ are fixed to $\epsilon^j_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-k-j} = 1, \epsilon^j_{m+n-k-j+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-k} = 0$ for $j = 1,\ldots,n-k-1$, and $\epsilon^j_{m+1} = \cdots = \epsilon^j_{m+n-k} = 0$ for $j = n-k,\ldots,n-1$ by the argument above, and the sum $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_{m+n-k},\ldots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_{m+n-k}}$ is reduced to $\sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^1_m,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{n-1}_m}$ which is used in the first equality in (B.29).

Appendix C. Graphical derivations of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4

In this appendix, we give graphical derivations of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4.

First, let us show (3.26). Figure 7 in section 3 represents the partition function $Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n)$ (3.24), and by graphical observation, one can see that only one configuration is allowed in the rightmost n columns, which is graphically Figure 12. Let us explain this observation in more detail. First, we look the R-matrix at the upper right corner in Figure 7 and note that the output of the auxiliary space and the quantum space W_{m+n} is 0 and 1, respectively. From this, we note that we can restrict the input of the auxiliary space and the quantum space W_{m+n} to 1 and 0 respectively, since $[R_{a,m+n}(u_1,1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}} \not\equiv 0$ if and only if $\gamma_n = 1$ and $\epsilon^1_{m+n} = 0$ (see Figure




Figure 12: A graphical description which shows $Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n) = U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell)$ (3.26).

1). $[R_{a,m+n}(u_1,1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}}$ corresponds to the factor $[R(u_1)]^{01}_{\gamma_n\epsilon^1_{m+n}}$ in (B.14) in the previous appendix. Next, we move to the second R-matrix on the top row, counted from right. The outputs of the auxiliary space and the quantum space W_{m+n-1} are both 1, hence we find the inputs of both spaces can be restricted to 1, since $[R_{a,m+n-1}(u_1,1)]^{11}_{\gamma_{n-1}\epsilon^1_{m+n-1}}$ is not identically zero if and only if $\gamma_{n-1} = \epsilon^1_{m+n-1} = 1$ (see Figure 1). Continuing this observation, one can see that only one configuration is allowed in the rightmost n columns, and the part constructed from the remaining m columns is nothing but the partition functions $U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell)$ (3.23) (Figure 6), which is the graphical meaning of Figure 12. The product of the R-matrix elements on the rightmost n columns is 1 since all R-matrix elements which appear in that part are either $[R(u_j,1)]^{00}_{00} = 1$, $[R(u_j,1)]^{11}_{11} = 1$ or $[R(u_j,1)]^{01}_{10} = 1$. Hence we conclude $Z_{m+n,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell,m+1,\ldots,m+n)$ is equal to $U_{m,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_\ell)$ multiplied by 1, and we get (3.26).

(3.34) can be graphically derived in a similar way. Figure 10 in section 3 represents the parition function $Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\ldots,m+n-k)$ (3.32), and by graphical observation, we find that only one configuration is allowed in the rightmost $n-k$ columns (Figure 13). In more detail, we first perform graphical observations starting from the R-matrix at the upper right corner, and note that we can restrict the configuration of the rightmost $n-k$ columns of the top $n-k$ rows in the same way as we have seen in the observation when going from Figure 7 to Figure 12. Next, one looks the $(n-k+1)$-th row counted from top. Since the outputs of the auxiliary space and the quantum spaces $W_{m+1},\ldots,W_{m+n-k}$ are all 0, we can conclude that we can restrict all the inputs of those spaces to 0, since $[R(u_k,1)]^{00}_{j_1j_2} \not\equiv 0$ if and only if $j_1 = j_2 = 0$, and $[R(u_k,1)]^{00}_{j_1j_2} = 0$ otherwise (see Figure 1). This observation applies to the remaining bottom $k-1$ rows as well, and one can see that only one configuration is allowed in the rightmost $n-k$ columns, and the part constructed from the remaining m columns is nothing




Figure 13: A graphical description which shows $Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\ldots,m+n-k) = ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\ldots,u_n|u_1,\ldots,u_k|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k})$ (3.34).

but the mixed partition functions $ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\ldots,u_n|u_1,\ldots,u_k|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k})$ (3.31) (Figure 9), which is the graphical meaning of Figure 13. Since the R-matrix elements which appear in the rightmost $n-k$ columns are either $[R(u_j,1)]^{00}_{00}=1$, $[R(u_j,1)]^{11}_{11}=1$ or $[R(u_j,1)]^{01}_{10}=1$, the product of all R-matrix elements in the rightmost $n-k$ columns is 1. Multiplying this 1 by $ZDB_{m,n,k}(u_{k+1},\ldots,u_n|u_1,\ldots,u_k|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k})$ gives $Z_{m+n-k,n}(u_1,\ldots,u_n|x_1,\ldots,x_\ell|y_1,\ldots,y_{\ell+k},m+1,\ldots,m+n-k)$, hence we conclude (3.34).

References

[1] H. Bethe, Z. Phys. **71**, 205 (1931).

[2] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Academic Press, London, 1982).

[3] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

[4] K. Motegi and K. Sakai, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 355201 (2013).

[5] K. Motegi, K. and Sakai, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 445202 (2014).

[6] R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov and A. Varchenko, J. Geom. Phys. **94**, 81 (2015).

[7] A. Okounkov, Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry, In: Geometry of Moduli Spaces and Representation Theory, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2017), pp. 251-380.

[8] A.N. Kirillov, SIGMA **12**, 034 (2016).

[9] V. Gorbounov and C. Korff, Adv. Math. **313**, 282 (2017).

[10] M. Wheeler and P. Zinn-Justin, J. Reine Angew. Math. **757**, 159 (2019).

[11] V. Buciumas, T. Scrimshaw and K. Weber, J. London Math. Soc. **102**, 1047 (2020).

[12] P. Zinn-Justin, SIGMA **14** paper 69, 48 (2018).

[13] S. Iwao, Grothendieck polynomials and the Boson-Fermion correspondence, Alg. Comb. **3**, 1023 (2020).

[14] S. Iwao, Free-fermions and skew stable Grothendieck polynomials, arXiv:2004.09499.

[15] A. Lascoux and M. Schützenberger, Structure de Hopf de l'anneau de cohomologie et de l'anneau de Grothendieck d'une variété de drapeaux, C. R. Acad. Sci. Parix Sér. I Math 295 (1982) 629.

[16] S. Fomin and A.N. Kirillov, Grothendieck polynomials and the Yang-Baxter equation, Proc. 6th Internat. Conf. on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, DIMACS (1994) 183-190.

[17] A.S. Buch, Duke Math. J. **115**, 75 (2002).

[18] A.S. Buch, Acta. Math. **189**, 37 (2002).

[19] A.S. Buch, Combinatorial K-theory, Topics in cohomological studies of algebraic varieties, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005, pp. 87-103.

[20] A.S. Buch, Michigan Math. J. **57**, 93 (2008).

[21] C. Lenart, Ann. Comb. **4**, 67 (2000).

[22] L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin and L. A. Takhtajan, Theor. Math. Phys. **40**, 194 (1979).

[23] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and E. K. Sklyanin, Lett. Math. Phys. **5**, 393 (1981).

[24] V.E. Korepin, Comm. Math. Phys. **86**, 391 (1982).

[25] A. Izergin, Sov. phys. Dokl. **32**, 878 (1987).

[26] G. Kuperberg, Int. Math. Res. Not. **3**, 139 (1996).

[27] O. Tsuchiya, J. Math. Phys. **39**, 5946 (1998).

[28] S. Pakuliak, V. Rubtsov and A. Silantyev, J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor. **41**, 295204 (2008).

[29] H. Rosengren, Adv. Appl. Math. **43**, 137 (2009).

[30] M. Wheeler, Nucl. Phys. B **852**, 468 (2011).

[31] K. Motegi, J. Math. Phys. **59**, 053505 (2018).

[32] A. Hamel and R.C. King, J. Alg. Comb. **16**, 269 (2002).

[33] A. Hamel and R.C. King, J. Alg. Comb. **21**, 395 (2005).

[34] N. M. Bogoliubov, J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen. **38**, 9415 (2005).

[35] K. Shigechi and M. Uchiyama, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, 10287 (2005).

[36] B. Brubaker, D. Bump and S. Friedberg, Comm. Math. Phys. **308**, 281 (2011).

[37] D. Bump, P. McNamara and M. Nakasuji, Comm. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli. **63**, 23 (2014).

[38] A. Lascoux, SIGMA **3**, 029 (2007).

[39] P.J. McNamara, Factorial Schur functions via the six-vertex model, arXiv:0910.5288.

[40] C. Korff and C. Stroppel, Adv. Math. **225**, 200 (2010).

[41] C. Korff, Lett. Math. Phys. **104**, 771 (2014).

[42] D. Betea and M. Wheeler, J. Comb. Theory, Series A. **137**, 126 (2016).

[43] D. Betea, M. Wheeler and P. Zinn-Justin, J. Alg. Comb. **42**, 555 (2015).

[44] M. Wheeler and P. Zinn-Justin, Adv. Math. **299**, 543 (2016).

[45] A. Borodin, Adv. Math. **306**, 973 (2017).

[46] A. Borodin and L. Petrov, Sel. Math. New Ser. **24**, 751 (2018).

[47] Y. Takeyama, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **61**, 349 (2018).

[48] J.F. van Diejen and E. Emsiz, Comm. Math. Phys. **350**, 1017 (2017).

[49] B. Brubaker, V. Buciumas and D. Bump, Communications in Number Theory and Physics. **13**, 101 (2019).

[50] B. Brubaker, V. Buciumas, D. Bump and N. Gray, Appendix to [49].

[51] J. Allman, K-classes of quiver cycles, Grothendieck polynomials, and iterated residues, PhD thesis, UNC-Chapel Hill, 2014,

[52] J. Allman, Michigan Math. J. **63**, 865 (2014).

[53] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, Topology **23**, 1 (1984).

[54] N. Berline and M. Vergne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **295**, 539 (1982).

[55] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, 2009.

[56] H. A. Nielsen, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **102**, 97 (1974).

[57] A. Grothendieck, Formule de Lefschetz, (Rédigé par L. Illusie). In Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965-66, SGA 5, Lect. Notes Math. 589, Exposé No.III., pages 73-137. 1977.

[58] P. Baum, W. Fulton, and G. Quart, Acta Math. **143**, 193 (1979).

[59] L. Tu, Computing the Gysin map using fixed points, arXiv:1507.00283.

[60] A. Weber and M. Zielenkiewicz, J. Alg. Comb. **49**, 361 (2019).

[61] R. Rimányi, J. Alg. Comb. **40**, 527 (2014).

[62] J. Allman and R. Rimányi, An iterated residue perspective on stable Grothendieck polynomials, arXiv:1408.1911.

[63] J. Allman and R. Rimányi, K-theoretic Pieri rule via iterated residues, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 80B (2018) Article 48.

[64] R. Rimányi and A. Szenes, Residues, Grothendieck polynomials and K-theoretic Thom polynomials, arXiv:1811.02055.

[65] M. Zielenkiewicz, Centr. Eur. J. Math. **12**, 574 (2014).

[66] M. Zielenkiewicz, J. Symp. Geom. **16**, 1455 (2018).

[67] M. Zielenkiewicz, The Gysin homomorphism for homogeneous spaces via residues, PhD Thesis, University of Warsaw, arXiv:1702.04123, 2017.

[68] P. Pragacz, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143**, 4705 (2015).

[69] L. Darondeau and P. Pragacz, Int. J. Math. **28**, 1750077 (2017).

[70] L. Darondeau and P. Pragacz, Fundamenta Mathematicae **244**, 191 (2019).

[71] T. Hudson, T. Ikeda, T. Matsumura and H. Naruse, Adv. Math. **320**, 115 (2017).

[72] M. Nakagawa and H. Naruse, Contemp. Math. **708**, 201 (2018).

[73] M. Nakagawa and H. Naruse, Generating functions for the universal Hall-Littlewood P- and Q-functions, arXiv:1705.04791.

[74] M. Nakagawa and H. Naruse, Darondeau-Pragacz formulas in complex cobordism, arXiv:1910.03649, Math. Ann. (2021).

[75] P.L. Guo and S.C.C. Sun, Adv. App. Math. **111**, 101933 (2019).

[76] L.M. Fehér, A. Némethi and R. Rimányi, Comment. Math. Helv. **87**, 861 (2012).

[77] V. Drinfeld, Sov. Math. Dokl. **32**, 254 (1985).

[78] M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **10**, 63 (1985).

[79] E. H. Lieb and F.Y.Wu, Two-dimensional ferroelectric models, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena (Academic Press, London, 1972), Vol. 1, pp. 331-490.

[80] K. Motegi, Nucl. Phys. B **954**, 114998 (2020).

[81] P.J. McNamara, Electron. J. Combin. **13**, 71 (2006).

[82] T. Ikeda and H. Naruse, Adv. Math. **243**, 22 (2013).

[83] T. Ikeda and T. Shimazaki, Math. Res. Lett. **21**, 333 (2014).

[84] D. Yeliussizov, J. Comb. Th. A **161**, 453 (2019).

[85] P. Pragacz, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **21**, 413 (1988).

[86] W. Fulton and P. Pragacz, *Schubert varieties and degeneracy loci*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998) Appendix J by the authors in collaboration with I. Ciocan-Fontanine.