

# Convergent non complete interpolatory quadrature rules

U. Fidalgo and J. Olson

**Abstract** We find a family of convergent schemes of nodes for non-complete interpolatory quadrature rules.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $C([-1, 1])$  be the set of all continuous functions defined on  $[-1, 1]$ . Given an  $n$ -tuple of nodes  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{j,n})$  satisfying  $-1 < x_{1,n} < x_{2,n} < \dots < x_{n,n} < 1$ , we consider *integration rules*

$$I_n[f] = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{j,n} f(x_{j,n}), \quad f \in C[-1, 1] \quad (1)$$

associated to the integrals

$$I(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) d\lambda_0(x), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{d\lambda_0(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}}. \quad (2)$$

The numbers  $w_{j,n}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are called weights.

An integration rule  $I_n[\cdot]$  is said to be interpolatory if there exists a number  $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n-1\}$ , such that the following equality holds for every polynomial  $p$  with degree  $\leq m$  (we denote  $p \in \Pi_m$ ):

---

Ulises Fidalgo

Department of Mathematics, Applied Mathematics, and Statistics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 43403, e-mail: uxf6@case.edu This author's research was supported in part by the research grant MTM2012-36372-C03-01 funded by "Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad", Spain.

Jacob Olson

Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 43403 e-mail: jfo27@case.edu

$$I_n[p] = \int_{-1}^1 p(x) d\lambda_0(x). \quad (3)$$

When the equality (3) holds for certain  $m$ , and is not extendable for all polynomials with degree  $m + 1$ , we say that  $I_n[\cdot]$  is an interpolatory quadrature rule with  $m$ -degree of exactness. When  $m = 2n - 1$   $I_n$  is the Gaussian quadrature rule.

Consider a sequence of interpolatory quadratures  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  constructed with the following schemes of nodes and weights

$$\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n})\}_{n \in \Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w} = \{\mathbf{w}_n = (w_{1,n}, \dots, w_{n,n})\}_{n \in \Lambda}, \quad (4)$$

respectively.

We say that  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  is convergent if

$$\lim_{n \in \Lambda} I_n[f] = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) d\lambda_0(x), \quad \text{for all } f \in C[-1, 1]. \quad (5)$$

According to a classical result of Pólya [5, page 130], when  $m(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the equality (5) holds true if and only if  $\sup_{n \in \Lambda} \sum_{j=1}^n |w_{j,n}| < \infty$ . This condition is satisfied if the weights  $w_{j,n}$  are all positive. From (3) we observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n w_{j,n} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^2}} = 1 < \infty. \quad (6)$$

In the Gaussian quadrature rule (maximum degree of exactness  $m(n) = 2n - 1$ ) the weights  $w_{j,n}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are all positive and the convergence of the rule is guaranteed. However the nodes are all fixed. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the points of evaluation  $x_{j,n}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  must be the roots of the  $n$ th orthogonal polynomial with respect to  $\lambda_0$  (see for instance [19]). This is the Chebyshev polynomial with degree  $n$ . This means that if we do not have the value of  $f$  at each point  $x_{j,n}$  the calculus gets stuck. It is convenient to have more flexibility in the distribution of the evaluation nodes. We study convergent interpolatory integration rules with orders of exactness  $m < 2n - 1$ .

The authors of [3] analyze a wide class of interpolatory quadrature rules with  $m(n)$  degrees of exactness behaving as follows

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(n)}{2n} = a \in [0, 1]. \quad (7)$$

They characterized all possible weak\*-limit points of the sequence of counting measures associated with distribution of nodes corresponding to a convergent scheme.

A sequence  $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of measures is said to converge weakly to the measure  $\nu$  provided that there exists a compact set  $K$  containing the support of  $\nu$  and of each  $\nu_n$ , and that

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int f d\nu_n = \int f d\nu$$

for each continuous function  $f$  on  $K$ . In such a case, we write  $\nu_n \xrightarrow{*} \nu$ . We say that  $\nu$  is a weak\*-limit of the sequence  $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if some subsequence of  $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly convergent to  $\nu$ .

Set two schemes of numbers as in (4) associated to an interpolatory quadrature rule  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \Gamma}$  where the degree of exactness satisfies (7) for certain  $a \in [0, 1]$ . We also consider its corresponding sequence  $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of probability counting measures

$$\eta_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{x_{j,n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

According to [2], if the rule  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  is convergent then every weak\*-limit  $\nu$  of the the sequence  $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies that

$$\nu \geq a \lambda_0. \quad (9)$$

Also from [2] we have that this necessary condition is not sufficient. Theorem 1 states conditions of convergence on the distribution of nodes.

Let us introduce some previous notation. Set  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  two compact subsets of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\text{dist}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2) = \min \{ \|x - y\| : x \in \mathcal{K}_1 \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{K}_2 \}$  denote the distance between  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$ . Consider a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ , and a measure  $\mu$  supported on  $K$ . A measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  supported on  $[-1, 1]$  is said to be the balayage of  $\mu$  if they have the same total variation  $\|\mu\| = \|\tilde{\mu}\|$  and their logarithmic potentials coincide on  $[-1, 1]$ . This is

$$V^{\tilde{\mu}}(x) = \int \log \frac{1}{|x - t|} d\tilde{\mu}(t) = \int \log \frac{1}{|x - \zeta|} d\mu(\zeta) = V^{\mu}(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

In [17, Section II.4] we can find a deep study about balayage of measures. We are now ready to state the main result of this paper:

**Theorem 1.** *Fix a number  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  and a probability discrete measure*

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \delta_{\zeta_k}, \quad \zeta_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1], \quad k = 1, \dots, \kappa.$$

*Assume that  $\sigma$  is symmetric with respect to  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\text{dist}(\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\kappa}\}, [-1, 1]) > 1$ . Denote  $\tilde{\sigma}$  the balayage measure associated to  $\sigma$  supported on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Given a rational number  $a \in [0, 1]$ , consider a subsequence  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \in \Lambda$ ,  $2\frac{1-a}{\kappa}n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n})\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  be a scheme of nodes. If for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $n \in \Lambda$  there are two constants  $A \geq 0$  and  $\ell > 0$  satisfying*

$$\left| (1-a)\pi \int_{x_{j,n}}^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - a \arccos x_{j,n} - \frac{2j-1}{2n}\pi \right| \leq Ae^{-\ell n}, \quad (10)$$

then there always exist weights  $\mathbf{w} = \{\mathbf{w}_n = (w_{1,n}, \dots, w_{n,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  is convergent.

In Section 2 we give some explicit schemes that satisfy the relation (10). The statement of Theorem 1 is proved in Section 5. In such proof we use results coming from the orthogonal polynomials theory that are analyzed in Section 3 and Section 4. In Section 3 we study algebraic properties of families of orthogonal polynomials and their connections with convergent conditions of non-complete interpolatory quadrature rules. In Section 4 we describe the strong asymptotic behavior of an appropriated family of orthogonal polynomials with respect to a varying measure.

## 2 Some explicit convergent schemes of nodes

We consider three particular cases where the inequality (10) holds. In the three situations the measure  $\sigma = \delta_\zeta$  corresponds to a Dirac delta supported on a point belonging to the real line  $\zeta > 2$ . Hence the situations are when  $a$  takes the values 0,  $1/2$ , and 1.

According to [17, Section II.4 equation (4.46)], the balayage measure of  $\sigma = \delta_\zeta$  on  $[-1, 1]$  has the following differential form

$$d\tilde{\sigma}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1}}{\pi(\zeta - t)\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt. \quad (11)$$

We study the function

$$I_a(x) = (1 - a)\pi \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) = (1 - a)\sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1} \int_x^1 \frac{dt}{(\zeta - t)\sqrt{1 - t^2}}.$$

Taking the change of variables  $t = \cos \theta$  and taking into account  $\zeta > 2$  ( $\varphi(\zeta) > 2$  implies that  $\arg(1 - \varphi(\zeta)) = \pi$ ), we have that

$$I_a(x) = (1 - a) \left( -\arccos x + 2 \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) - 2\pi \right).$$

In this situation the condition of convergence (10) in Theorem 1 acquires the following form

$$\left| \arccos x_{j,n} + 2(1 - a) \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] + \frac{2j - 1}{2n} \pi \right| \leq A e^{-\ell n}. \quad (12)$$

Then a scheme  $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n})\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  that satisfies the following relation is convergent

$$\arccos x_{j,n} + 2(1 - a) \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] = -\frac{2j - 1}{2n} \pi - A e^{-\ell n} = \kappa_{j,n},$$

with  $A > 0$  and  $\ell > 0$ . This means that

$$\cos \left( \arccos x_{j,n} + 2(1-a) \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] \right) = \cos \kappa_{j,n}.$$

Using the cosine addition formula we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{j,n} \cos \left\{ 2(1-a) \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] \right\} \\ & - \sqrt{1 - x_{j,n}^2} \sin \left\{ 2(1-a) \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] \right\} = \cos \kappa_{j,n}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

First we consider the situation  $a = 1$ . In this case the expressions in (13) become

$$x_{j,n} = \cos \kappa_{j,n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \in \Lambda. \quad (14)$$

The nodes are close to the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomials. That's why the term corresponding to the  $\sigma$ 's influence in (13) vanishes when  $a = 1$ .

Let us analyze now the case  $a = 1/2$ . We consider the following identities

$$\cos \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x_{j,n}} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] = \frac{\varphi(\zeta) - x_{j,n}}{\sqrt{\varphi^2(\zeta) - 2\varphi(\zeta)x_{j,n} + 1}} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\sin \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x_{j,n}} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x_{j,n}^2}}{\sqrt{\varphi^2(\zeta) - 2\varphi(\zeta)x_{j,n} + 1}}. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (15) and (16) in (13) we arrive at the quadratic equations:

$$x_{j,n}^2 - \frac{2 \sin^2 \kappa_{j,n}}{\varphi(\zeta)} x_{j,n} + \frac{\sin^2 \kappa_{j,n}}{\varphi^2(\zeta)} - \cos^2 \kappa_{j,n} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \in \Lambda.$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, n, n \in \Lambda$  we obtained the following solutions

$$x_{j,n} = \frac{1}{\varphi(\zeta)} \left[ \sin^2 \kappa_{j,n} + \cos \kappa_{j,n} \sqrt{\varphi^2(\zeta) - \sin^2 \kappa_{j,n}} \right]. \quad (17)$$

During the process of finding these above solutions we introduce some extra solutions that we removed. Observe that when  $\zeta$  tends to  $\infty$  the expressions in (17) reduce to (14). This is in accordance with the fact that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  approaches  $\lambda_0$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , see (11), hence we only considered the positive branch of the square root in (17).

Finally take  $a = 0$ . From (13) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{j,n} \cos \left\{ 2 \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] \right\} \\ & - \sqrt{1 - x_{j,n}^2} \sin \left\{ 2 \left[ \pi - \arg \left( e^{i \arccos x} - \varphi(\zeta) \right) \right] \right\} = \cos \kappa_{j,n}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the conditions (15) and (16), and obtain the following expression

$$x_{j,n} = \frac{2\zeta \cos \kappa_{j,n}}{\varphi(\zeta) + 2 \cos \kappa_{j,n}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \in \Lambda.$$

Taking into account that  $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta + \sqrt{\zeta^2 + 1}$  we see that the above expression is reduced to (14) when  $\zeta$  goes to infinity.

### 3 Connection with orthogonal polynomials

Let  $\mu$  be a positive finite Borel measure with infinitely many points in its support  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . Set  $\Delta$  denoting the least interval which contains  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . A collection of monic polynomials  $\{q_{\mu,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  is the family orthogonal polynomials with respect to  $\mu$  if its elements satisfy the following orthogonality relations

$$0 = \int x^\nu q_{\mu,n}(x) d\mu(x), \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (18)$$

Each  $q_{\mu,n}$  has  $n$  single roots lying in the interior of  $\Delta$  (we denote  $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ ) such that it vanishes at most once in each interval of  $\Delta \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$  (see [4, Theorem 5.2] or [7, Chapter 1]). We also know that  $q_{\mu,n+1}$  and  $q_{\mu,n}$  interlace their zeros. In [20] B. Wendroff proved that given two polynomials  $P_n$  and  $P_{n+1}$ , with  $\deg P_{n+1} = \deg P_n + 1 = n + 1$ , that interlace zeros, there always exist measures  $\mu$  such that  $P_n = q_{\mu,n}$  and  $P_{n+1} = q_{\mu,n+1}$ . Now we find some of these measures.

We say then a polynomial  $P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j)$  of degree  $n$  is admissible with

respect to the measure  $\mu$ , if its roots are all simple, lying in  $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ , with at most one zero into each interval of  $\Delta \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$ . The system of nodes  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is also said to be admissible with respect to  $\mu$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j)$  and  $\tilde{P}_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (x - \tilde{x}_j)$  be two admissible polynomials with respect to  $\mu$  that satisfy  $x_1 < \tilde{x}_1 < x_2 < \dots < \tilde{x}_{n-1} < x_n$ . Then there exists a positive integrable function  $\rho_n$  with respect to  $\mu$  ( $\rho_n$  is a weight function for  $\mu$ ) such that for the measure  $\mu_n$  which differential form  $d\mu_n(x) = \rho_n(x) d\mu(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ ,  $P_n \equiv q_{\mu,n}$  and  $\tilde{P}_n \equiv q_{\mu,n-1}$  are the  $n$ -th and  $n-1$ -th monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to  $\mu_n$ , respectively.*

In the proof we follow techniques used in [12].

*Proof.* Consider  $\Phi$  a set of weight functions such that for every constant  $\alpha > 0$  it satisfies:

- i)  $\rho \in \Phi \implies \alpha\rho \in \Phi$ .
- ii)  $(\rho, \tilde{\rho}) \in \Phi^2 = \Phi \times \Phi \implies \alpha\rho + (1 - \alpha)\tilde{\rho} \in \Phi, \alpha \leq 1$ .
- iii) If a polynomial  $Q$  satisfies  $\int Q(x)\rho(x) d\mu(x) > 0$  for all  $\rho \in \Phi$ , then  $Q \geq 0$  in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ .

Two examples of sets of weight functions satisfying the above conditions are the positive polynomials and positive simple functions in [16, Definition 1.16]. In general, the positive linear combinations of a Chevyshev system (see [11, Chapter II]) conform a set as  $\Phi$ . Examples of Chevyshev systems can be found in [15] (also in [9]).

Given  $\rho \in \Phi$  we set

$$\mathbf{v}_\rho = \left( \int \tilde{P}_n(x)\rho(x) d\mu(x), \dots, \int x^{n-2} \tilde{P}_n(x)\rho(x) d\mu(x), \right. \\ \left. \int P_n(x)\rho(x) d\mu(x), \dots, \int x^{n-1} P_n(x)\rho(x) d\mu(x) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}.$$

Let us focus on  $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{v}_\rho : \rho \in \Phi\}$ . Proving Lemma 1 reduces to showing that  $\mathcal{K}$  contains the origin. From condition (i) we have that the origin belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ 's closure,  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is open we need to prove the origin is an interior point. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that the origin belongs to the boundary of  $\mathcal{K}$ . This is  $\mathbf{0} \in \partial\mathcal{K} = \overline{\mathcal{K}} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ . There exists a hyper-plane  $\mathcal{A}$  that touches tangentially  $\partial\mathcal{K}$  at  $\mathbf{0}$ . On the other hand we have that condition (ii) implies that  $\mathcal{K}$  is convex, then there exists a vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{0,n-1}, \dots, a_{n-2,n-1}, a_{0,n}, \dots, a_{n-1,n})$  which is orthogonal with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  in the sense of the standard inner vector product ( $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ , for all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}$ ), and for each  $\mathbf{v}_\rho \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_\rho \cdot \mathbf{a} > 0$ . So the polynomials

$$p_{n-1}(x) = a_{0,n-1} + a_{1,n-1}x + \dots + a_{n-2,n-1}x^{n-2}$$

and

$$p_n(x) = a_{0,n} + a_{1,n}x + \dots + a_{n-1,n}x^{n-1}$$

satisfy that

$$0 < \int \left[ p_{n-1}(x)\tilde{P}_n(x) + p_n P_n(x) \right] \rho(x) d\mu(x), \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \Phi.$$

According to condition (iii) the polynomial  $\mathcal{P}(x) = p_{n-1}(x)\tilde{P}_n(x) + p_n P_n(x)$ , with real coefficients, must be non-negative in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . However we shall prove that this is impossible, arriving then to a contradiction.

Assume that  $\mathcal{P}(x) = p_{n-1}(x)\tilde{P}_n(x) + p_n P_n(x)$  does not change sign in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . Suppose that there is a point  $t \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ , such that  $t = x_k$   $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  satisfying that  $\mathcal{P}(x_k) = 0$ , then taking into account that  $\tilde{P}_n$  and  $P_n$  interlace zeros, we have that  $p_{n-1}(x_k) = 0$ . Also, since  $\mathcal{P}$  is non-negative on  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ , we have that  $t = x_k$  is a zero of multiplicity even for  $\mathcal{P}$ . Consider  $S = \{t_1, \dots, t_\ell\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  the set of all points where  $P_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  vanishe at same time. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{P} = q(x) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - t_i)^{2d_i}, \quad d_i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell, \quad (19)$$

where  $q$  is a polynomial with positive values at every root of  $P_n$ . We also write

$$p_{n-1}(x) = \tilde{p}(x) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - t_i). \quad (20)$$

The polynomial  $\tilde{p}$  has degree  $\deg p_n - \ell$ . Since  $\tilde{P}_n$  and  $P_n$  interlace zeros, we have that

$$\frac{\tilde{P}_n(x)}{P_n(x)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{x - x_j}, \quad \lambda_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (21)$$

Observe that

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - t_i)}{P_n(x)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(x)} \left[ p_{n-1}(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{x - x_j} + p_n(x) \right].$$

This means that the above function satisfies that

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(z)} \left[ p_{n-1}(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{z - x_j} + p_n(z) \right] = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{n-\ell}}\right) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a holomorphic functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S)$ . For each  $\nu = 0, \dots, n - \ell - 2$  we have then

$$\frac{z^\nu}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(z)} \left[ p_{n-1}(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{z - x_j} + p_n(z) \right] = O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

also holomorphic functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S)$ . Set the elements  $y_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - \ell$  with  $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_{n-\ell}$ , and  $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n - \ell$  the coefficients  $\lambda$ 's defined in (21) corresponding to points  $y_j$ . Also let  $\lambda'_j$  denote the  $\lambda$ 's of  $t_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Call  $F$  the set of the roots of the polynomial  $q$  defined in (19). Consider a closed integration path  $\Gamma$  with winding number 1 for all its interior points. Denote  $\text{Ext}(\Gamma)$  and  $\text{Int}(\Gamma)$  the unbounded and bounded connected components respectively of the complement of  $\Gamma$ . Take  $\Gamma$  so that  $I \subset \text{Int}(\Gamma)$  and  $F \subset \text{Ext}(\Gamma)$ . From Cauchy's Theorem and the above two conditions, it follows that



$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^\nu}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(z)} \left[ p_{n-1}(z) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j}{z - x_j} + p_n(z) \right] dz \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^\nu p_{n-1}(z) \sum_{j=1}^{n-\ell} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{z - y_j} dz}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(z)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^\nu p_n(z) dz}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(z)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{z^\nu p_n(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (z - t_i)^{2d_i-1} q(z)} \in \mathcal{H}(\text{Int}(\Gamma))$  the second term vanishes. From (20), using the Cauchy integral formula, we obtain:

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-\ell} y_j^\nu \tilde{p}(y_j) \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (y_j - t_i)^{2(d_i-1)} q(y_j)} = 0, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n - \ell - 1.$$

Taking into account that for each  $j = 1, \dots, n - \ell$ ,  $\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (y_j - t_i)^{2(d_i-1)} q(y_j)} > 0$ ,

we conclude that the above orthogonality relations imply that  $\tilde{p}$  must change sign at least  $n - \ell$  times, hence  $\deg \tilde{p} \geq n - \ell$ . Since  $\deg \tilde{p} = \deg p_{n-1} - \ell \leq n - \ell - 1$  we arrive at a contradiction which completes the proof.

Consider a monic polynomial  $P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j)$  with degree  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  which is  $\mu$

admissible. We say that a weight function  $\rho_n$  on  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  is orthogonal with respect to  $P_n(x)$  and  $\mu$  if  $P_n \equiv q_{\mu_n, n}$ , where  $d\mu_n(x) = \rho_n(x) d\mu(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ . We also say that  $\rho_n$  is orthogonal with respect to  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mu$ . A sequence of weight functions  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a family of orthogonal weight functions with respect to the sequence of polynomials  $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P_n \equiv q_{\mu_n, n}$ .

Let  $q_{m(n)}$  be an arbitrary polynomial with degree  $\deg q_{m(n)}(x) = 2n - m(n) - 1$  being positive on  $[-1, 1]$ . Let  $\mu_n$  denote the measure with differential form  $d\mu_n(x) = q_{m(n)}^{-1}(x) d\mu(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ . Set a system of nodes  $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

such that  $P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j) = q_{\mu_n, n}$ . This means that  $\mathbf{x}_n$  is the system of  $n$  nodes corresponding to the Gaussian quadrature rule for the measure  $\mu_n$ . Given an arbitrary polynomial  $p \in \Pi_{m(n)}$ , we have that

$$q_{m(n)}(x)p(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n q_{m(n)}(x_j)p(x_j)L_{j,n}(x) = q_{\mu_n,n}(x)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x), \quad (22)$$

where  $L_{j,n}(x) := \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{x - x_k}{x_j - x_k}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$  is a certain polynomial with

$$\deg \mathcal{P}_{n-1} = n - (m(n) - \deg p) - 1 \leq n - 1.$$

Observe that  $\int p(x) d\mu(x) = \int q_{m(n)}(x)p(x) d\mu_n(x)$ . Hence from (22) we obtain

$$\int p(x) d\mu(x) - \int \sum_{j=1}^n q_{m(n)}(x_j)p(x_j)L_{j,n}(x) d\mu_n(x) = \int q_{\mu_n,n}(x)\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(x) d\mu_n(x),$$

which vanishes because  $q_{\mu_n,n}$  satisfies the orthogonality relations for  $\mu_n$  as in (18). We conclude then

$$\int p(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n p(x_{j,n})q_{m(n)}(x_{j,n}) \int L_{j,n}(x) \frac{d\lambda_0(x)}{q_{m(n)}(x)} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{j,n}p(x_{j,n}).$$

This is an interpolatory integration rule with degree of exactness  $m(n)$ , where the weights can be defined via

$$w_{j,n} = q_{m(n)}(x_j) \int L_{j,n}(x) d\mu_n(x) = q_{m(n)}(x_j) \tilde{w}_{j,n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (23)$$

The numbers  $\tilde{w}_{j,n}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are the weights corresponding to a Gaussian quadrature rule, which are all positive. Since  $q_{m(n)}$  is also positive the weights  $w_{j,n} > 0$ . According to Pólya's condition a sequence of these rules of integration is convergent.

Let us consider  $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  an admissible scheme of nodes for a measure  $\mu$ , and take a corresponding family of orthogonal weights  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $n$ ,  $\mu_n$  denotes the measure with differential form  $d\mu(x) = \rho_n(x)d\mu(x)$ , and introduce its family of orthonormal polynomials  $\{p_{\mu_n,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ . This means that  $p_{\mu_n,j} \equiv q_{\mu_n,j} / \|q_{\mu_n,j}\|_{2,\mu_n}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  where  $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mu_n}$  denotes the  $L_2$  norm corresponding to the measure  $\mu_n$ .

Given a function  $f \in L_{2,\mu_n}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  we consider the  $j$ -th partial sum of the Fourier series corresponding to  $f/\rho_n$  on the bases  $\{p_{\mu_n,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ :

$$S_{f,\mu_n,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} f_k p_{\mu_n,k}(x), \quad f_k = \int f(x) p_{\mu_n,k}(x) d\mu_n(x), \quad k = 0, \dots, j-1.$$

Using the Christoffel-Darboux identity (see [19, Theorem 3.2.2]) we can deduce

$$S_{f,\mu_n,j}(x) = \int \frac{q_{\mu_n,j}(x)q_{\mu_n,j-1}(t) - q_{\mu_n,j}(t)q_{\mu_n,j-1}(x)}{\|q_{\mu_n,j-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2 (x-t)} f(t) d\mu_n(t). \quad (24)$$

The following result is an extension of [19, Theorem 15.2.4 (equality 15.2.7)]

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be an  $\mu$  admissible system of nodes. Given a polynomial  $q_{m(n)}$  take the system of weights  $(w_{1,n}, \dots, w_{n,n})$  whose elements  $w_{j,n}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are constructed using (23). Then there always exists a weight  $\rho_n$  such that*

$$\frac{w_{j,n}}{q_{m(n)}(x_j)} = \frac{\|q_{\tau_n, n-1}\|_{2, \tau_n}^2 S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n}(x_j)}{q_{\tau_n, n-1}(x_j) q'_{\tau_n, n}(x_j)} = - \frac{\|q_{\tau_n, n}\|_{2, \tau_n}^2 S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n+1}(x_j)}{q_{\tau_n, n+1}(x_j) q'_{\tau_n, n}(x_j)}, \quad (25)$$

where the measure  $\tau_n$  is such that  $\frac{d\tau_n}{d\mu} = \frac{\rho_n}{q_{m(n)}}$ . Thus  $\text{sign } w_{j,n} = \text{sign } S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n}(x_j) = \text{sign } S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n+1}(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Take an orthogonal weight  $\rho_n$  with respect to the system of  $n$  nodes  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the measure with differential form  $d\mu(x)/q_{m(n)}(x)$ . According to (23) and taking into account that  $P_n \equiv q_{\mu_n, n}$  where the measure  $\tau_n$  has the differential form  $d\tau_n(x) = \rho_n(x)d\mu(x)/q_{m(n)}(x)$ , we have the following

$$w_{j,n} = q_{m(n)}(x_j) \int \frac{q_{\tau_n, n}(x)}{q'_{\tau_n, n}(x_j)(x - x_j)} \frac{d\mu(x)}{q_{m(n)}(x)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Arranging the above formula and using the identity (24) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{j,n} &= \frac{q_{m(n)}(x_j) \|q_{\tau_n, n}\|_{2, \tau_n}^2}{q_{\tau_n, n+1}(x_j) q'_{\tau_n, n}(x_j)} \int \frac{q_{\tau_n, n+1}(x) q_{\tau_n, n}(x)}{\|q_{\tau_n, n}\|_{2, \tau_n}^2 (x - x_j)} \frac{1}{\rho_n(x)} \frac{\rho_n(x) d\mu(x)}{q_{m(n)}(x)} \\ &= - \frac{q_{m(n)}(x_j) \|q_{\tau_n, n}\|_{2, \tau_n}^2}{q_{\tau_n, n+1}(x_j) q'_{\tau_n, n}(x_j)} S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n}(x_j), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second identity in (25). Since  $q_{\tau_n, n+1}(x_j) q'_{\tau_n, n}(x_j) < 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\text{sign } w_{j,n} = \text{sign } S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n+1}(x_j)$ . Following the above steps we can prove the first equality in (25) and  $\text{sign } w_{j,n} = \text{sign } S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n}(x_j)$ .

The following two results are consequences of the above Lemma 2

**Lemma 3.** *An admissible scheme of nodes  $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent if there exists a family of orthogonal weights  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  and the sequence of measures  $\{d\tau_n(x) = d\mu(x)/q_{m(n)}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|1 - \rho_n(x) S_{1/\rho_n, \tau_n, n}(x)\|_{[-1, 1], \infty} = 0, \quad (26)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{[-1, 1], \infty}$  denotes the supremum norm on  $[-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Assuming the equality (26), there exists a number  $N > 0$  such that for every  $n \geq N$  the function  $S_{1/\rho_n, \mu_n, n}(x) > 0$  on  $[-1, 1]$  particularly at the nodes. According to Lemma 2, the coefficients  $w_{j,n}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are also positive. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** Consider the varying measure  $d\mu_n(x) = d\mu(x)/q_{m(n)}(x)$  and their orthogonal polynomials  $q_{\mu_n,n}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_{j,n})$  and  $q_{\mu_n,n-1}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (x - x_{j,n-1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{y}_n = (y_{1,n}, \dots, y_{n,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a scheme of nodes such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$-1 < y_{1,n} < x_{1,n-1} < y_{2,n} < \dots < x_{n-1,n-1} < y_{n,n} < 1. \quad (27)$$

Assume that the polynomials  $P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_{j,n})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\|q_{\mu_n,n-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2} \left[ \frac{(q_{\mu_n,n} - P_n)q_{\mu_n,n-1}}{q_{m(n)}^2} \right]' = 0, \quad \text{on } [-1, 1]. \quad (28)$$

Then  $\mathbf{y}$  is convergent.

*Proof.* From Lemma 1 we ensure the existence of a weight function  $\rho_n$  such that the polynomials  $q_{\tau_n,n-1}$  and  $P_n$  belong to the family of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to the measure  $\rho_n(x)d\mu(x)/q_{m(n)}(x)$ . Let us analyze the function

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 - \frac{\|P_n\|_{2,\rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)}}^2}{q_{m(n)}(x) \|q_{\mu_n,n-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2} S_{1/\rho_n, \rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)},n}(x) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{m(n)}(x)} \left| S_{q_{m(n)}, \mu_n, n}(x) - \frac{\|\tilde{P}_n\|_{2,\rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)}}^2}{\|q_{\mu_n,n-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2} S_{1/\rho_n, \rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)},n}(x) \right| \end{aligned}$$

We have used that  $S_{q_{m(n)}, \mu_n, n} \equiv q_{m(n)}$ , hence we need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{q_{m(n)}(x)} \left| S_{1/\rho_n, \mu_n, n}(x) - \frac{\|\tilde{P}_n\|_{2,\rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)}}^2}{\|q_{\mu_n,n-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2} S_{1/\rho_n, \rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)},n}(x) \right| = 0.$$

Applying (24) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q_{m(n)}(x)} \left( S_{1/\rho_n, \mu_n, n}(x) - \frac{\|\tilde{P}_n\|_{2,\rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)}}^2}{\|q_{\mu_n,n-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2} S_{1/\rho_n, \rho_n d\mu/q_{m(n)},n}(x) \right) = \frac{q_{m(n)}^{-1}(x)}{\|q_{\mu_n,n-1}\|_{2,\mu_n}^2} \\ & \times \int \left( \frac{q_{\mu_n,n}(x)q_{\mu_n,n-1}(t) - q_{\mu_n,n}(t)q_{\mu_n,n-1}(x)}{x - t} - \frac{P_n(x)q_{\mu_n,n-1}(t) - P_n(t)q_{\mu_n,n-1}(x)}{x - t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{d\mu(t)}{q_{m(n)}(t)}$$

Let us consider the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q_{\mu_n, n}(x)q_{\mu_n, n-1}(t) - q_{\mu_n, n}(t)q_{\mu_n, n-1}(x)}{\|q_{\mu_n, n-1}\|_{2, \mu_n}^2 q_{m(n)}(x)q_{m(n)}(t)(x-t)} - \frac{P_n(x)q_{\mu_n, n-1}(t) - P_n(t)q_{\mu_n, n-1}(x)}{\|q_{\mu_n, n-1}\|_{2, \mu_n}^2 q_{m(n)}(x)q_{m(n)}(t)(x-t)} \\ &= \frac{(q_{\mu_n, n} - P_n)(x)q_{\mu_n, n-1}(t) - (q_{\mu_n, n} - P_n)(t)q_{\mu_n, n-1}(x)}{\|q_{\mu_n, n-1}\|_{2, \mu_n}^2 q_{m(n)}(x)q_{m(n)}(t)(x-t)} = \mathcal{K}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

From Taylor's Theorem we obtain that

$$\mathcal{K}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\|q_{\mu_n, n-1}\|_{2, \mu_n}^2} \left[ \frac{(q_{\mu_n, n} - P_n)q_{\mu_n, n-1}}{q_{m(n)}^2} \right]'(s)$$

for some  $s$  in between of  $x$  and  $t$ , so the assumption (28) completes the proof.

#### 4 Asymptotic analysis

Let us consider the varying measure  $\mu_n$  with  $d\mu_n(x)/dx = (q_{m(n)}(x)\sqrt{1-x^2})^{-1}$ ,

where  $q_{m(n)}(x) = q_{\kappa}^{2\frac{1-a}{k}n}(x) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\kappa} (x - \xi_j) \right)^{2\frac{1-a}{k}n}$ ,  $n \in \Lambda$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the zero counting measure of  $q_{\kappa}$ . This is  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \delta_{\xi_k}$ . Set the analytic logarithmic potential corresponding to the measure  $\sigma$ :

$$g(z, \sigma) = - \int \log(z - \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta). \quad (29)$$

We take the logarithmic branch such that  $g(z, \sigma)$  is analytic on a domain  $D \subset K$  that contains the interval  $[-1, 1]$ , and also for every  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$V^{\sigma}(x) = \int \log \frac{1}{|x - \zeta|} d\sigma(\zeta) = g(x, \sigma) = - \int \log(z - \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta). \quad (30)$$

Since  $\sigma$  is symmetric we  $\int \arg(x - \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = 0$ . In each compact  $K \subset D$  we have

that  $\frac{1}{2n} \log \frac{1}{q_{m(n)}(z)} = (1-a)g(z, \sigma)$  on  $K$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $d\mu_n(x)/dx = (q_{m(n)}(x)\sqrt{1-x^2})^{-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence of measures as above. Then

$$q_{\mu_n, n} = (1 + O(e^{-cn})) \exp \left\{ -nV^{\overline{\nu}} \right\} K_{1, n} + O(e^{-cn}) \exp \left\{ -nV^{\overline{\nu}} \right\} K_{2, n} \quad (31)$$

and

$$\frac{d_{n, n-1}}{2^{2na}} q_{\mu_n, n-1} = (1 + O(e^{-cn})) \exp \left\{ -nV^{\overline{\nu}} \right\} K_{2, n} + O(e^{-cn}) \exp \left\{ -nV^{\overline{\nu}} \right\} K_{1, n} \quad (32)$$

where  $d_{n, n-1} = - \left( 2\pi i \|q_{\mu_n, n-1}\|_{\mu_n, 2}^2 \right)^{-1}$ ,

$$K_{1, n}(x) = 2 \cos n \left( (1-a)\pi \int_x^1 d\overline{\sigma}(t) - a \arccos x \right), \quad (33)$$

and

$$K_{2, n}(x) = \frac{1}{i} \cos n \left( (1-a)\pi \int_x^1 d\overline{\sigma}(t) - (a-1/n) \arccos x \right). \quad (34)$$

*Proof.* We study a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem like in [14, Theorem 2.4] whose solution  $Y$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix function satisfying the following conditions:

1.  $Y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1])$  (all the entries of  $Y$  are analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ ),
2.  $Y_+(x) = Y_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \left( q_{m(n)}(x) \sqrt{1-x^2} \right)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x \in (-1, 1),$
3.  $Y(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & z^n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{I} + O(1/z)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{I}$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.
4.  $Y(z) = O \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$  as  $z \rightarrow \mp 1$ .

According to [14, Theorem 2.4] (see also [13]) the  $Y$  solution of above matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem (for short Y-RHP) is unique and has the form

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} q_{\mu_n, n}(z) & -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{q_{\mu_n, n}(x)}{z-x} d\mu_n(x) \\ d_{n, n-1} q_{\mu_n, n-1}(z) - \frac{d_{n, n-1}}{2\pi i} \int \frac{q_{\mu_n, n-1}(x)}{z-x} d\mu_n(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The key of our procedure follows the ideas introduced in [1]. We find a relationship between  $Y$  and the matrix solution  $R : \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  corresponding to another Riemann-Hilbert problem (R-RHP) for a closed Jordan curve  $\gamma$  positively oriented surrounding the interval  $[-1, 1]$ :

1.  $R \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma)$ ,
2.  $R_+(\zeta) = R_-(\zeta) V_n(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta \in \gamma$ , with  $V_n \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ ,
3.  $R(z) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,

where  $V_n = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^n)$  with  $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ , uniformly on compact subsets of  $K$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Those conditions imply that  $R = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^n)$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . There is a chain of transformations to arrive from  $Y$  to  $R$ , which we represent  $Y \rightarrow T \rightarrow S \rightarrow R$ . Once we have arrived to  $R$ , we recover the entries of  $Y$  going back from  $R$  to  $Y$ .

From [3, Corollary 4] we have that the zero counting measures  $\nu_n$  defined in (8) corresponding to the monic orthogonal polynomials  $q_{\mu_n, n}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - x_{j,n})$  with respect to the varying measures  $\mu_n$ , satisfy

$$\nu_n \xrightarrow{\star} \bar{\nu} = (1 - a)\tilde{\sigma} + a\lambda_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (35)$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}$  denotes the balayage of the measure  $\sigma$  out of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$  onto  $[-1, 1]$ .

The measure  $\bar{\nu}$  is the so called (see [17, Theorem I.1.3]) equilibrium measure under the influence of the external field  $(1 - a)V^\sigma(z)$ . From (35) we have the following equilibrium condition

$$V^{\bar{\nu}}(t) - (1 - a)V^\sigma(t) = aV^{\lambda_0}(t) = a \log 2, \quad t \in [-1, 1]. \quad (36)$$

Observe the conditions (3) in both Riemann Hilbert problems.  $Y$  requires a normalization at infinity to get to  $R$ 's behavior at infinity. We modify  $Y$  to obtain a Riemann-Hilbert problem whose solution is defined on the same set as  $Y$ , which approaches  $\mathbb{I}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us introduce the function  $g(z, \bar{\nu})$ , which is the analytic potential corresponding to the measure  $\bar{\nu}$  described in (35)

$$g(z, \bar{\nu}) = - \int \log(z - t) d\bar{\nu}(t) = V^{\bar{\nu}}(z) - i \int \arg(z - t) d\bar{\nu}(t), \quad (37)$$

with  $\arg$  denoting the principal argument  $g(z, \bar{\nu}) \in \mathcal{H}(K \setminus (-\infty, 1])$ . Substituting  $g(z, \bar{\nu})$  in (36) we obtain

$$g_+(x, \bar{\nu}) + g_-(x, \bar{\nu}) - 2a \log 2 - 2(1 - a)g(x, \sigma) = 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \quad (38)$$

and

$$g_-(x, \bar{\nu}) - g_+(x, \bar{\nu}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \\ 2\pi i & \text{if } x \leq -1 \\ 2\wp(x) & \text{if } x \in (-1, 1), \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

with

$$\wp(x) = \pi i \int_x^1 d\bar{\nu}(t) = \pi i \left[ (1 - a) \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - \frac{a}{\pi} \arccos(x) \right]. \quad (40)$$

Consider the matrices  $G(z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ng(z, \bar{\nu})} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ng(z, \bar{\nu})} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $L = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{na} & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{-na} \end{pmatrix}$ . We define the matrix function  $T = LYGL^{-1}$ . So  $T$  is the unique solution of the following Riemann-Hilbert problem (T-RHP)

1.  $T \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1])$ ,
2.  $T_+(x) = T_-(x)M(x)$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ ,

3.  $T(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,
4.  $T(z) = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$  as  $z \rightarrow \mp 1$ ,

where according to (38) and (39) the jump matrix  $M(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2n\wp(x)} (1-x^2)^{-1/2} & \\ 0 & e^{2n\wp(x)} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ .

According to [6, Theorem 1.34] there exists a domain  $D$  containing the interval  $[-1, 1]$  where the function  $\wp$  in (40) admits an analytic extension on  $D \setminus [-\infty, 1]$  as

$$\mathcal{A}(z) = \pi i \int_z^1 d\bar{v}(\zeta) = \pi i \int_z^1 \bar{v}'(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad (41)$$

where  $\bar{v}'(\zeta) = \frac{\psi(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}$ , with  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}(D)$  and  $\psi(x) > 0$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . Observe that

$$\mathcal{A}_+(x) = \wp(x) = -\mathcal{A}_-(x), \text{ then we write } M(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2n\mathcal{A}_+(x)} (1-x^2)^{-1/2} & \\ 0 & e^{-2n\mathcal{A}_-(x)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now seek jump conditions as we have in R-RHP. Consider a closed Jordan curve  $\gamma \in D$  surrounding  $[-1, 1]$  as we have in R-RHP. Let  $\Omega$  denote the bounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$ . We consider the function  $\sqrt{z^2 - 1} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1])$  with  $\sqrt{x^2 - 1}_\pm = \pm i\sqrt{1-x^2}$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . We introduce the matrix function  $S$  as follows

$$S(z) = \begin{cases} T(z) & \text{when } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\gamma \cup \Omega) \\ T(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -i\sqrt{z^2-1} e^{-2n\mathcal{A}(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{when } z \in \Omega \end{cases}.$$

The matrix function  $S$  is the solution of the following Riemann-Hilbert problem (S-RHP):

1.  $S \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus (\gamma \cup [-1, 1]))$ ,
2.  $S_+(x) = S_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1-x^2)^{-1/2} \\ -(1-x^2)^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , when  $x \in (-1, 1)$  and  $S_+(\zeta) = S_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -i\sqrt{\zeta^2-1} e^{-2n\mathcal{A}(\zeta)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , when  $\zeta \in \gamma$ .
3.  $S(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,
4.  $S(z) = \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$  as  $z \rightarrow \mp 1$ .

The jump matrix on  $\gamma$  approaches uniformly the identity matrix  $I$ . However it does not happen in  $[-1, 1]$ . We fix this problem in the interval following the steps in [13]. Consider the matrix

$$N(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a(z) + a^{-1}(z)}{2} \frac{D(\infty)}{D(z)} & \frac{a(z) - a^{-1}(z)}{2i} D(\infty) D(z) \\ \frac{a(z) - a^{-1}(z)}{-2i} \frac{1}{D(\infty) D(z)} & \frac{a(z) + a^{-1}(z)}{2} \frac{D(z)}{D(\infty)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$



where  $D(z) = \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} + 1 \right)^{1/2}$ ,  $D(\infty) = \sqrt{2}$  and  $a(z) = \frac{(z-1)^{1/4}}{(z+1)^{1/4}}$ . Hence  $N$  is the solution of the following Riemann-Hilbert problem

1.  $N \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1])$ ,
2.  $N_+(x) = N_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1-x^2)^{-1/2} \\ -(1-x^2)^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ ,
3.  $N(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,
4.  $N(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$  as  $z \rightarrow \mp 1$ .

Introduce the matrix function  $R(z) = S(z)N^{-1}$ . Taking into account that  $R$  and  $S$  satisfy the same jump conditions across  $(-1, 1)$  we have that  $R_+(x) = R_-(x)$ . So  $R \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus (\gamma \cup \{-1, 1\}))$ . Since  $\det N = 1$  and from (42) we have that

$$N^{-1}(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \mp 1.$$

Thus, when  $z \rightarrow \mp 1$

$$R(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies

$$R(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \\ |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} & |z \pm 1|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

which means that each entry of  $R$  has isolated singularities at  $z = -1$  and  $z = 1$  with  $R(z) = \mathcal{O}|z \pm 1|^{-1/2}$  as  $z \rightarrow \mp 1$ , and they are removable. So  $R$  satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert conditions:

1.  $R \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma)$ ,
2.  $R_+(\zeta) = R_-(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-2n\mathcal{A}(\zeta)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , when  $\zeta \in \gamma$ .
3.  $R(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(1/z)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

From (41)  $2\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{H}(D \setminus [-\infty, 1])$  and  $\mathcal{R}e(2\mathcal{A}_\pm(x)) = 0$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Using the fact  $2\mathcal{A}'_\pm(x) = \pm 2i\bar{\nu}'(x) = \mp 2\pi i \frac{\psi(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$  and the Cauchy-Riemann

conditions we have that  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}e(2\mathcal{A}_\pm)}{\partial y}(x) > 0$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}e(2\mathcal{A})$  is a harmonic function on  $D \setminus [-1, 1]$  we have  $\mathcal{R}e(2\mathcal{A}(z)) > 0$ ,  $z \in D \setminus [-1, 1]$ . So given an arbitrary compact set  $K \subset D \setminus [-1, 1]$  there exists a constant  $c(K) > 0$  and an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that for every  $n \geq N$  the function  $\mathcal{R}e(2\mathcal{A}(z))(z) > c(K)$ ,  $z \in K$  and  $n \geq N$ . Note also that  $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So according [1] we arrive at  $R(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn})$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ . Take  $z \in \text{Int}(\gamma)$ . Going back now from  $R$  to  $Y$ , and considering just the first column, we have that:

$$e^{ng(z, \bar{v})} \left( \frac{q_{\mu_n, n}(z)}{2^{-2na} d_{n, n-1} q_{\mu_n, n-1}(z)} \right) = (\mathbb{I} + O(e^{-cn}))$$

$$\times \left( \frac{\frac{a(z) + a^{-1}(z)}{2} \frac{D(\infty)}{D(z)}}{\frac{a(z) - a^{-1}(z)}{-2i} \frac{1}{D(\infty)D(z)}} \frac{\frac{a(z) - a^{-1}(z)}{2i} D(\infty)D(z)}{\frac{a(z) + a^{-1}(z)}{2} \frac{D(z)}{D(\infty)}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ (1 - z^2)^{1/2} e^{-2n\mathcal{A}(z)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take the  $+$  boundary values of all quantities involved when  $z \rightarrow x \in (-1, 1)$ . Using the following identities from [13] or [14]

$$\frac{a_+(x) \pm a_-(x)}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1 - x^2)^{1/4}} \exp \left( \pm \frac{i}{2} \arccos x \mp i \frac{\pi}{4} \right),$$

we have  $\exp \{nV^{\bar{v}}(x)\} \left( \frac{q_{\mu_n, n}(x)}{2^{-2na} d_{n, n-1} q_{\mu_n, n-1}(x)} \right) = (\mathbb{I} + O(e^{-cn})) \begin{pmatrix} K_{1, n}(x) \\ K_{2, n}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ , where

$$K_{2, n}(x) = \frac{1}{i} \cos n \left( (1 - a)\pi \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - (a - 1/n) \arccos x \right) \text{ and}$$

$$K_{1, n}(x) = 2 \cos n \left( (1 - a)\pi \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - a \arccos x \right).$$

Finally we obtain

$$q_{\mu_n, n}(x) = (1 + O(e^{-cn})) e^{-nV^{\bar{v}}(x)} K_{1, n}(x) + O(e^{-cn}) e^{-nV^{\bar{v}}(x)} K_{2, n}(x)$$

and

$$\frac{d_{n, n-1}}{2^{2na}} q_{\mu_n, n-1}(x) = (1 + O(e^{-cn})) e^{-nV^{\bar{v}}(x)} K_{2, n}(x) + O(e^{-cn}) e^{-nV^{\bar{v}}(x)} K_{1, n}(x),$$

which are exactly the equalities stated in (31) and (32).

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

We combine Lemma 5 and Lemma 28. First we choose a special scheme of nodes  $\mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{y}_n = (y_{1, n}, \dots, y_{n, n})\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  which satisfies (10). The corresponding polynomials have the following form

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_{j, n}) = \Phi_n(x) \cos n \left( (1 - a)\pi \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - a \arccos x \right), \quad (43)$$

Where  $\Phi_n$  is a real valued function on  $[-1, 1]$  that never vanishes. Let us rewrite the relation (31) as follows

$$q_{\mu_n, n}(x) = 2 \exp \{-nV^{\bar{v}}(x)\} \left( \cos n \left( (1 - a)\pi \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - a \arccos x \right) + O(e^{-cn}) \right)$$

$$= 2 \exp \left\{ -n V^{\bar{v}}(x) \right\} \cos n \left( (1-a)\pi \int_x^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - a \arccos x + O(e^{-cn}) \right).$$

Combining the above equality with (43) we obtain that  $\int_{x_{j,n}}^{y_{j,n}} d\bar{v}(t) = O(e^{-cn})$  and  $x_{j,n} - y_{j,n} = O(e^{-cn})$ . This implies that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |q_{\mu_n, n} - P_n|^{1/n}(x) = \exp(-c - V^{\bar{v}}(x))$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\|q_{\mu_n, n-1}\|_{2, \mu_n}^2} \left[ \frac{(q_{\mu_n, n} - P_n) q_{\mu_n, n-1}}{q_{m(n)}^2} \right] \right)^{1/n} (x) = \exp(-c + V^{\sigma}(x)) < 1.$$

Here we have taken into account that  $\text{dist}(\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\kappa\}, [-1, 1]) > 1$ , which yields  $V^{\sigma}(x) < 0$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . Then we see that condition (28) in Lemma 4 is satisfied. We now prove that condition (27) holds.

Taking into account the equality (32) we have that the zeros of the polynomials  $q_{\mu_n, n-1}$  satisfy that for each  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(1-a)\pi \int_{x_{j,n-1}}^1 d\tilde{\sigma}(t) - (a-1/n) \arccos x + O(e^{-cn}) = \frac{2j-1}{2n} \pi. \quad (44)$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we subtract the above equality (44) to (10), and we obtain that

$$\int_{y_{j,n}}^{x_{j,n-1}} d\bar{v}(t) = \frac{1}{n} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that for  $n$  large enough  $y_{j,n} < x_{j,n-1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Considering now the  $j$ th equality in (10) and the  $j+1$ th in (44) we have that

$$\int_{x_{j,n-1}}^{y_{j+1,n}} d\bar{v}(t) = \frac{1}{n} (\pi - 1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that  $x_{j,n-1} < y_{j+1,n}$ . So condition (27) holds. This proves that the scheme  $\mathbf{y}$  is convergent.

Once we know that  $\mathbf{y}$  is convergent, we can construct another convergent scheme  $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_n = (x_{1,n}, \dots, x_{n,n})\}_{n \in \Lambda}$  taking

$$x_{j,n} - y_{j,n} \leq A e^{-\ell n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \in \Lambda,$$

and follow the previous process. This completes the proof.

## References

1. A.I. APTEKAREV AND W. VAN ASSCHE. *Scalar and matrix Riemann-Hilbert approach to the strong asymptotics of Padé approximants and complex orthogonal polynomials with varying*

- weight. *J. Approx. Theory* **129** (2004) 129–166.
2. T. BLOOM, D.S. LUBINSKY, H. STAHL. *What distribution of points are possible for convergent sequences of interpolatory integration rules.* *Constr. Appr. Theo.* **9**, (1993), 41–58.
3. T. BLOOM, D.S. LUBINSKY, H. STAHL. *Interpolatory integration rules and orthogonal polynomials with varying weights.* *Numer. Algorithms.* **3**, (1992), 55–66.
4. T. S. CHIHARA. *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials.* Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc. New York, London, Paris.
5. P. J. DAVIS, P. RABINOWITZ. *Methods of Numerical Integration*, 2nd Edition, San Diego CA: Academic Press (1984).
6. P. DEIFT, T. KRIECHERBAUER, K. T.-R. McLAUGHLIN. *New results on the equilibrium measures for logarithmic potentials in presence of an external field.* *J. of Approx. Theory.* **95**, (1998), 388–475.
7. G. FREUD. *Orthogonal polynomials.* Pergamon Press London, Toronto, New York (1971).
8. U. Fidalgo, A. López, G. López Lagomasino, and V.N. Sorokin. *Mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials for two Nikishin systems.* *Constr. Approx.* **32** (2010) 255–306.
9. U. FIDALGO, S. MEDINA PERALTA, J. MÍNGUEZ CENICERO. *Mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials: Perfectness and interlacing properties.* *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438** (2013), 1229–1239.
10. F.D. GAKHOV. *Boundary Values Problems.* Oxford-New York: Pergamon Press (1966).
11. M. G. KREIN AND A. A. NUDEL'MAN. *The Markov Moment Problem and Extremal Problems.* Transl. Math. Monogr., Vol. 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.
12. A. KROÓ, D. SCHMIDT AND M. SOMMER. *On some properties of A-spaces and their relation to Hobby-Rice theorem.* *J. of Approx. Th.* **68** (1999), 136–141.
13. A.B.J. KUIJLAARS. *Riemann-Hilbert analysis for orthogonal polynomials*, in: E. KOELINK, W. VAN ASSCHE (Eds), *Orthogonal polynomials and special functions*, in: *Lect. Notes Math.* **1817**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2003), 167–210.
14. A.B.J. KUIJLAARS, K.T.-R. McLAUGHLIN, W. VAN ASSCHE, AND M. VANLESSEN. *The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on  $[-1, 1]$ .* *Adv. Math.* **188** (2004), 337–398.
15. E.M. NIKISHIN. *On simultaneous Padé approximants.* *Matem. Sb.* **113** (1980), 499–519 (Russian); English translation in *Math. USSR Sb.* **41** (1982), 409–425.
16. W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1986.
17. E.B. SAFF AND V. TOTIK. *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields.* New York NY: Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Vol. **316**, Springer (1997).
18. H. STAHL AND V. TOTIK. *General Orthogonal Polynomials.* Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press (1992).
19. G. SZEGŐ. *Orthogonal Polynomials.* New York NY: Vol. XXIII, Coll. Pub. Amer. Math. Soc. (1939).
20. B. WENDROFF. *On orthogonal polynomials*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961) 554–555.