

COMPUTING CLASSICAL MODULAR FORMS FOR ARBITRARY CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS

ERAN ASSAF

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the existence of an efficient algorithm for the computation of systems of Hecke eigenvalues of modular forms of weight k and level Γ , where $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is an arbitrary congruence subgroup. We also discuss some practical aspects and provide the necessary theoretical background.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Setup and Notation	7
3. Explicit Computation of $S_k(\Gamma)$	9
4. Hecke Operators	17
5. Degeneracy Maps	34
6. Zeta functions and q -expansions	41
7. Applications	44
References	48

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. The absolute Galois group of the rational numbers $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ is an object of central importance in number theory. It is studied through its action on geometric objects, among which elliptic curves and their torsion points have been playing a dominant role. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and let p be a prime. Then $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on its p -torsion points $E[p]$. Therefore, we obtain a representation $\bar{\rho}_{E,p} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

In [28], Serre proved that the index $[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) : \bar{\rho}_{E,p}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})]$ is bounded by a constant C_E depending only on E . Serre went on to ask the following question, which remains open to this day, after half a century.

Conjecture 1.1.1 (Serre's uniformity problem over \mathbb{Q} , [28]). *Is there a constant $C > 0$ such that for any prime $p > C$ and any elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Q} without complex multiplication, the mod p Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{E,p} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is surjective?*

There has been much progress in working on the conjecture. The remaining and most difficult case is to exclude the possibility that $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ has image contained in the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for large p . These elliptic curves are classified by a

modular curve $X_{ns}^+(p)$. Equivalently, one has to show that for all large enough primes p , the only rational points of the modular curve $X_{ns}^+(p)$ are CM points.

Therefore, there is great interest in finding explicit equations for $X_{ns}^+(p)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

More generally, Mazur's Program B [20] suggests, given an open subgroup $G \subseteq GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ to classify all elliptic curves E such that the image of ρ_E is contained in G . To this end, one would like to have explicit equations for the modular curve describing those, X_G , over \mathbb{Q} , in order to search for rational points.

The general method to find explicit equations for the modular curves X_G is to compute q -expansions of a basis of cusp forms in $S_k(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the preimage of G in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and find polynomial relations between them. The complexity of this computation is dominated by the complexity of computing the Hecke operators $\{T_n\}$ on the space of modular forms $S_k(\Gamma)$.

Thus, a main cornerstone of many efforts related to Serre's uniformity conjecture and Mazur's Program B is the computation of Hecke operators and q -expansions of modular forms with arbitrary level Γ .

1.2. Main Results. Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup, and let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then G pulls back to a congruence subgroup $\Gamma = \Gamma_G \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level N . This paper is concerned mainly with the computation of the space of modular forms of weight k and level Γ_G , which we denote by $M_k(\Gamma_G)$.

Our main goal is to construct an explicit model for $M_k(\Gamma_G)$ over \mathbb{Q} , which includes a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space equipped with a subspace of cusp forms $S_k(\Gamma_G)$, and a family of Hecke operators $\{T_n\}$ acting on it.

After constructing such a model, we proceed to evaluate the systems of eigenvalues of the eigenforms in this space, leading to computation of the zeta functions of the distinct components of the associated Jacobian. We then proceed to compute q -expansions. However, as we shall discuss later, these computations do not bridge the gap in the computational aspect of the efforts for computing q -expansions.

This is achieved most efficiently, under some mild assumptions, using Merel's results [23]. Let us presently describe these assumptions.

We shall make use of $\eta := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and denote by $\lambda_N : GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ the natural reduction map.

Definition 1.2.1. We say that $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is of *real type* if $\lambda_N(\eta)G\lambda_N(\eta)^{-1} = G$. We say that a group $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is of *real type* if $\eta\Gamma\eta^{-1} = \Gamma$.

Remark 1.2.2. The assumption that G is of real type is essential for the Hecke operators to commute with the star involution (see Lemma 4.1.8). The slightly weaker assumption, that Γ_G is of real type, is necessary for $Y_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ to be defined over \mathbb{Q} .

In the description of complexities below, we denote by $I_G := [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G]$ the index of Γ_G , and by $d := \dim S_k(\Gamma_G)$ the dimension of the space of cusp forms. We shall describe the complexity of our algorithms in terms of field operations.

Algorithm	$T_p, p \nmid N$	$T_\alpha, (D(\alpha), N) = 1$	T_α
Complexity	$O(Cdp \log p)$	$O(CdI_{\alpha, \Gamma_G} \log(ND(\alpha)))$	$O(d(CI_{\alpha, \Gamma_G} \log(ND(\alpha)) + I_G^2 \text{In}))$
$\Gamma_0(N)$	$O(dp \log p)$	$O(dp \log(Np))$	$O(dp \log(Np) + N^2 \log^2 N)$
$\Gamma_{ns}(N)$	$O(dp \log p)$	$O(dp \log(Np))$	$O(dp \log(Np) + N^2)$

TABLE 1. Summary of complexities for computing Hecke operators

Remark 1.2.3. Since the size of our matrix entries is bounded linearly by the level N , these are essentially logarithmic in N . However, when computing the eigenforms, some of the arithmetic is done over number fields, and the complexity depends on the algorithms used to implement the arithmetic over those fields.

In what follows, we assume the existence of a function $\text{CosetIndex}(x, R, \Gamma)$ that for an element $x \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a group Γ and a list of coset representatives R for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, returns the unique index i , such that $x \in \Gamma \cdot R[i]$. We will denote by C the complexity of CosetIndex .

Remark 1.2.4. The function CosetIndex could be implemented in general, by noting that $\Gamma_G \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong G_0 \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, where $G_0 := G \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, and using the Todd-Coxeter algorithm [35], with complexity of $O(I_G^{1/2})$ field operations. However, for certain groups Γ , there are more efficient implementations. For example, if $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$, this can be done in $O(1)$ field operations using the fact that $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, by allowing pre-computation of $O(N^3)$ field operations and memory of $O(N^3)$ field elements, we can use a table and access it using $O(\log N)$ field operations.

For the convenience of the reader, a table is included in which the complexities of the different algorithms for computing Hecke operators are compared to one another, and specialized to $\Gamma_0(N)$, $\Gamma_{ns}(N)$.

Theorem 1.2.5 (Corollary 4.5.11). *There exists an algorithm that given a group of real type $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, a prime $p \nmid N$ such that $p \bmod N \in \det(G)$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes the Hecke operator T_p on the space of modular forms $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ using $O(C \cdot dp \log p)$ field operations.*

Example 1.2.6.

- (1) If $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$, then $C = O(1)$. Thus we obtain $O(dp \log p)$, the standard complexity.
- (2) If $\Gamma = \Gamma_{ns}(N)$ is the non-split Cartan subgroup, then $C = O(1)$. Again we obtain $O(dp \log p)$. Note that here $d = \dim S_k(\Gamma)$, where standard methods cost $O(d_0 p \log p)$, with $d_0 = \dim S_k(\Gamma_0(N^2)) > d$.

We also provide an algorithm for computing Hecke operators corresponding to arbitrary double cosets. For a matrix $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, we let $D(\alpha) = \det(\alpha)/d_1(\alpha)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $d_1(\alpha)$ the greatest common divisor of all the entries of α . Denote also $I_{\alpha, \Gamma} = [\Gamma : \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap \Gamma]$.

Theorem 1.2.7 (Corollary 4.3.3). *There exists an algorithm that given a group of real type $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, an element $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\eta^{-1}\alpha\eta \in \Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G$ and $\gcd(D(\alpha), N) = 1$, and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes the Hecke operator T_α , corresponding to the double coset $\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G$, on the space of modular forms $S_k(\Gamma_G)$, using $O(CdI_{\alpha, \Gamma_G} \log(ND(\alpha)))$ field operations.*

Example 1.2.8. If $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ and $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ with $p \nmid N$, then $I_{\alpha, \Gamma} = p + 1$, $C = O(1)$ and $D(\alpha) = p$. Thus we obtain $O(dp \log(Np))$, slower than the algorithm described in Theorem 1.2.5. However, this algorithm works in greater generality.

Remark 1.2.9. If G is not of real type, the above algorithm still computes the Hecke operator T_α on the space $S_k(\Gamma_G) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma_G)}$. This, in turn, gives rise to a system of eigenvalues, from which one can deduce the zeta function. An example is (group $8E^1$ in [13])

$$G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$$

which is not conjugate to any subgroup of real type. In this case, $\dim S_2(G) = 1$, and one may compute the Hecke operators using the code as follows.

```
> gens := [[ 7, 0, 0, 7 ], [ 2, 3, 3, 5 ], [ 0, 7, 7, 7 ],
           [ 3, 0, 0, 3 ], [ 4, 7, 7, 3 ]];
> N := 8;
> H_N := sub<GL(2,Integers(N)) | gens>;
> H := PSL2Subgroup(H_N);
> M := ModularSymbols(H, 2, Rationals(), 0);
> S := CuspidalSubspace(M);
> HeckeOperator(S, 97);
[18 0]
[ 0 18]
```

We describe a general algorithm to compute the Hecke operators, which works for the case $\gcd(D(\alpha), N) > 1$ as well. To describe its complexity, denote the complexity of membership testing in G by In .

Theorem 1.2.10 (Theorem 4.2.10). *There exists an algorithm that given a group of real type $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, an element $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\eta^{-1}\alpha\eta \in \Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes the Hecke operator T_α corresponding to the double coset $\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G$, on the space of modular forms $S_k(\Gamma_G)$, using*

$$O(d(CI_{\alpha, \Gamma_G} \log(ND(\alpha)) + I_G^2 \text{In}))$$

field operations.

Example 1.2.11. If $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ and $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ with $p \mid N$, then $I_G = O(N \log N)$, yielding $O(dp \log(Np) + N^2 \log^2 N)$. One may notice that the second term we are picking up, due to the generality, is quite large.

These algorithms allow us to compute zeta functions efficiently. For a complete zeta function, we need also the values of the Hecke operators at primes dividing the level. Therefore, we need to assume an additional hypothesis.

Definition 1.2.12. Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, and let $p \mid N$ be a prime. Let $\{\alpha_{p,i}\}_{i=1}^{r_p}$ be elements in $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that T_p is a linear combination of the $T_{\alpha_{p,i}}$. We say that the Hecke operator T_p on $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ is *effectively computable* if there exists an algorithm to compute a set of such elements $\{\alpha_{p,i}\}$ using $O(Cd(p \log p + kI_G \log(kI_G)) + d^3)$ field operations.

Example 1.2.13.

- (1) When $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$, T_p is effectively computable for all $p \mid N$, as $\alpha_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ can be computed in $O(1)$ field operations.
- (2) When the order generated by Γ contains no elements of determinant p , $T_p = 0$ is effectively computable.

We further denote by In the complexity, in field operations, of membership test in G .

Corollary 1.2.14 (Corollary 6.3.4). *There exists an algorithm that given a group of real type $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ with surjective determinant such that for all $p \mid N$, T_p is effectively computable, and a positive integer L , returns the zeta functions associated to each of the factors of $\text{Jac}(X(\Gamma_G))$ up to precision L^{-s} using*

$$O(d(C(L \log L + N \log N) + NI_G^2 \text{In} + I_G \log(I_G)) + d^3)$$

field operations.

This further allows one to compute q -expansions as follows. Consider the inclusion $\iota : S_k(\Gamma_G) \subseteq S_k(\Gamma(N))$, where N is the level of Γ_G . This inclusion is not Hecke equivariant in general. Therefore, our eigenvalues are not the q -expansions of forms in $S_k(\Gamma_G)$.

However, we have an action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on $S_k(\Gamma(N))$. Write $S_k(\Gamma(N)) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$, with each V_i an irreducible $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ -representation. Since $V := \iota(S_k(\Gamma_G)) = S_k(\Gamma(N))^G$, we have $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V \cap V_i$. But in $\Gamma(N)$ the q -expansions of newforms do correspond to the zeta functions of the appropriate factors. Therefore, the q -expansions we have found for a basis of $V \cap V_i$ are the q -expansions of newforms in V_i , denote them by g_{ij} . By [3], for each i , the space V_i is generated by twists of the $\{g_{ij}\}$. Thus, we are now led to finding the linear combinations that land in $V \cap V_i$. This can be done either by computing the $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ -action on cusp forms, as described in [38], or by using modular symbols for $\Gamma(N)$, and solving linear equations. This can be done in several ways, and is currently in the process of being implemented by Josha Box (see [9]) and by the author.

Thus, obtaining the q -expansions remains highly inefficient for two reasons.

- (1) In order to obtain the q -expansions one has to work in the larger space $S_k(\Gamma(N))$.
- (2) The linear algebra required to obtain the q -expansions has to be done over large fields, as the twisting operators introduce the Gauss sums of the characters, which are elements in the large cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N, \zeta_{\phi(N)})$.

The method illustrated in this paper has been implemented in the MAGMA computational algebra system, by building on the existing package of modular symbols, implemented in MAGMA [8] by William Stein, with contributions by Steve Donnelly and Mark Watkins. The package is publicly available in the github repository [2].

1.3. Recovering known results. As an application of our algorithm we recover the equation for the canonical embedding of $X_{S_4}(13)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$, as was done in [4]. Effectively, this repeats the work done in the original paper, only in an automated fashion. The main difference is that the computation of the eigenform in step 3 of Section 4 is done directly in the smaller space $S_2(\Gamma_{S_4})$, instead of inside $S_2(\Gamma_0(169))$.

Corollary 1.3.1 ([4, Theorem 1.8]). *The modular curve $X_{S_4}(13)$ is a genus 3 curve whose canonical embedding in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ has the model*

$$\begin{aligned} 4x^3y - 3x^2y^2 + 3xy^3 - x^3z + 16x^2yz - 11xy^2z \\ + 5y^3z + 3x^2z^2 + 9xyz^2 + y^2z^2 + xz^3 + 2yz^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Another application recovers the main result of [6], namely explicit equations for the canonical embeddings of $X_{ns}^+(13)$ and $X_s(13)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$. Again, this basically repeats the work done in the original paper, only obtaining the result of Section 4 in a matter of seconds.

Corollary 1.3.2 ([6]). *The modular curves $X_{ns}^+(13)$ and $X_s(13)$ are defined by the equation*

$$(-y - z)x^3 + (2y^2 + zy)x^2 + (-y^3 + zy^2 - 2z^2y + z^3)x + (2z^2y^2 - 3z^3y) = 0.$$

Similarly, we are also able to reproduce the results of [21] for $X_{ns}^+(17)$, $X_{ns}^+(19)$ and $X_{ns}^+(23)$.

1.4. New results. An interesting problem that requires the computation of such Hecke operators is the question of decomposition of Jacobians of modular curves. The factors in these decompositions should have interesting arithmetic. We could perform the following using our code in 31 minutes. Note that using Chen's isogeny, one would need to compute and decompose the space of modular symbols $S_2(\Gamma_0(97^2))$.

Corollary 1.4.1. *The Jacobian of the modular curve $X_{ns}^+(97)$ decomposes over \mathbb{Q} as the direct sum of 13 Hecke irreducible subspaces, of dimensions*

$$3, 4, 4, 6, 7, 7, 12, 14, 24, 24, 24, 56, 168.$$

In particular, it has no elliptic curve factor.

Remark 1.4.2. Any method of computing modular forms of weight $k = 1$ relies on computation of modular forms of higher integral weights (e.g. [10], [27]), so that our results apply equally well.

1.5. Related Literature. The theory of modular forms for GL_2 has been established for quite some time. However, the theory usually restricts to the Iwahori level subgroups, $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma_1(N)$. There are a few exceptions: Shimura, in [29], explores a mild generalization, and much work has been devoted to some special cases, such as those groups induced by maximal subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. In this vein, computation has also been restricted to the subgroups $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma_1(N)$, as in [32] and in [11].

Nevertheless, many new applications arise ([4], [5], [16], [34]) in which description of the space of modular forms $M_k(\Gamma)$ is needed, when $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is an arbitrary congruence subgroup.

1.6. Organization. The paper is structured as follows.

In Section 2, we introduce the definitions and the statement of the problem.

In Section 3, we explain the construction of an explicit model for $M_k(\Gamma)$ using modular symbols, how to construct a boundary map ∂ , and through it the subspace of cusp forms $S_k(\Gamma)$. This description of $S_k(\Gamma)$ was already known to Merel, see [23], but we present a general algorithm for the computation of ∂ . So far, the literature has only discussed the computation of ∂ for Iwahori level subgroup. (see [12], [32], [37]).

Section 4 contains the core of this work, the computation of the Hecke operators. In subsection 4.1, we begin by defining the Hecke operators corresponding to double cosets. Then, in subsection 4.2, we present a general algorithm for computing them, thus proving theorem 1.2.10. We then present a variant of this algorithm which is more efficient, but applicable only to Hecke operators away from the level, thus yielding 1.2.7. In order to define the Hecke operators T_n for integers n that are coprime to the level of Γ , i.e. $(n, N) = 1$, in subsection 4.4, we need to view the modular curve adelically. We start from the adelic Hecke operators and show that they correspond to certain double coset operators that were already defined. In subsection 4.5, we use the techniques of Merel from [23] to prove theorem 1.2.5.

Section 5 describes the degeneracy maps, and the old and new subspaces, which will be useful when decomposing our space of cusp forms. Section 6 contains miscellaneous algorithms needed to compute the zeta functions associated to the eigenforms, given the Hecke operators. In section 7 we describe several applications of our result to contemporary research.

1.7. Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank John Voight for many insightful discussions on this project. The author would like to thank deeply Jeremy Rouse for his very useful comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript and for pointing to several interesting references in the literature. The author is also grateful to David Zywinia and Elisa Lorenzo Garcíá for their remarks on the code package, and to the anonymous referees for their comments on the manuscript and the code. This research was conducted as part of the *Simons Collaboration on Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory and Computation*, with the support of Simons Collaboration Grant (550029, to John Voight).

2. SETUP AND NOTATION

In this section, we present the basic setup and introduce some notations that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. Congruence Subgroups. Let N be a positive integer, $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ a subgroup, and $G_0 = G \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\lambda_N : M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be the natural reduction map, and let $\lambda_{N,0} : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be its restriction to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Then $\Gamma_G := \lambda_{N,0}^{-1}(G_0) \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ contains $\Gamma(N) := \ker(\lambda_{N,0})$, hence it is a congruence subgroup. Moreover, every congruence subgroup arises this way. In general, we will denote by Γ a congruence subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We denote by \mathcal{H} the complex upper half plane $\mathcal{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0\}$. Then \mathcal{H} admits a natural action of $GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ via Möbius transformations and we let $Y_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$ be the affine modular curve of level Γ .

Definition 2.1.1. Denote $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. The group $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ also acts on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ via Möbius transformations, and we let $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^*$ be the *modular curve of level Γ* . The points of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) = X_\Gamma - Y_\Gamma$ are called the *cusps* of X_Γ .

Definition 2.1.2. We say that Γ_G is the congruence subgroup *induced* by G .

Here are several useful examples.

Example 2.1.3. The following are standard examples of congruence subgroups, which will be used throughout.

- (1) Let $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\}$. Then $\Gamma_G = \Gamma(N)$.
- (2) Let $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times, b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_1(N)$.
- (3) Let $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times, b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_0(N)$.
- (4) Let \mathfrak{h} be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and let t be a divisor of N . Let $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathfrak{h}, d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times, b \in t\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then $\Gamma_G = \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, t)$.

Before presenting a non-trivial interesting example, we define the notion of a non-split Cartan subgroup.

Definition 2.1.4. Let A be a finite free commutative $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra of rank 2 with unit discriminant, such that for every prime p dividing N , the \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A/pA is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Then the unit group A^\times acts on A by multiplication, and a choice of basis for A induces an embedding $A^\times \hookrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. The image of A^\times is called a *non-split Cartan subgroup* of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Example 2.1.5. Let G be a non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_{ns}(N)$. Similarly, if $\mathfrak{N}(G)$ is its normalizer in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} = \Gamma_{ns}^+(N)$.

2.2. Modular Forms.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z) > 0\}$. We define for any $z \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(2.2.2) \quad f|_g(z) = (\det g)^{k-1} \cdot (cz + d)^{-k} f(gz)$$

Denote by $M_k(\Gamma)$ the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k with respect to Γ . (i.e. holomorphic functions $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f|_\gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and f is holomorphic at the cusps of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}$). Denote by $S_k(\Gamma)$ the subspace of cusp forms. (those vanishing at the cusps).

Since $\Gamma(N) \subseteq \Gamma$, we know that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$, hence $f(z + N) = f(z)$ for all $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$.

It follows that f admits a Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, with $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q := e^{\frac{2\pi iz}{N}}$.

This is now enough to state a computational problem:

Problem 2.2.3. Given a group $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, an integer $k \geq 2$ and a positive integer L , find the q -expansion of a basis for $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ up to precision q^L .

This problem has been answered extensively in the literature for $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_1(N)$ and $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_0(N)$ (see [31], [32], [17], [11]). In these cases, the solution to Problem 2.2.3 is through the following steps:

- (1) Construct an explicit vector space representing $S_k(\Gamma_G)$.
- (2) Compute the action of Hecke operators on this space.
- (3) Decompose the action into irreducible subspaces.

- (4) Write down the q -expansion from the systems of eigenvalues in the above decomposition.

Among these steps, step (3) and (4) follow from (2) by linear algebra techniques. Moreover, the Hecke algebra of $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ is generated by the double coset Hecke operators $\{T_\alpha\}$ (see Corollary 4.1.4). Therefore, we concentrate our efforts on the following problem.

Problem 2.2.4. Given a group $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, an integer $k \geq 2$ and an element $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ compute the matrix of the Hecke operator T_α with respect to a basis of $S_k(\Gamma_G)$.

We address this problem in full in section 4, and present several solutions - one general solution with no additional assumptions, and under some mild assumptions, we have a more efficient solution.

Unfortunately, in the general case step (4) does not follow from step (3) in the same way. The reason is that the Hecke operators acting on $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ have no longer a nice description in terms of the Fourier coefficients. For an example of such a description, see [38].

We can and will show that the Hecke operators we construct correspond to the Frobenius action on the curves, hence the system of eigenvalues of any eigenform f does yield the zeta function of A_f , the corresponding factor of the Jacobian.

3. EXPLICIT COMPUTATION OF $S_k(\Gamma)$

First, we have to construct a model for the vector space $S_k(\Gamma)$. We will do so through the means of modular symbols. For the results of this section, it suffices to assume that Γ is a finite index subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, in this section, we will suppress the notation of the group G in Γ_G .

3.1. Modular Symbols. Let \mathbb{M}_2 be the free abelian group generated by the expressions $\{a, b\}$, for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, subject to the relations

$$\{a, b\} + \{b, c\} + \{c, a\} = 0 \quad \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

and modulo any torsion. Let $\mathbb{M}_k := \text{Sym}^{k-2} \mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{M}_2$, where Sym^{k-2} is the representation of GL_2 of highest weight $k - 2$.

There is a natural left action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ on \mathbb{M}_k by

$$g(v \otimes \{a, b\}) = gv \otimes \{ga, gb\}$$

Definition 3.1.1. Let $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) = (\mathbb{M}_k)_\Gamma := \mathbb{M}_k / \langle \gamma x - x \rangle$ be the space of Γ -coinvariants, the space of *modular symbols of weight k for Γ* (over \mathbb{Z}). The space of *modular symbols of weight k for Γ over a ring R* is

$$\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma; R) := \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R.$$

The reason we are interested in the space of modular symbols $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ is the following theorem proved by Manin:

Theorem 3.1.2. ([19, Theorem 1.9]) *The natural homomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathbb{M}_2(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_1(X_\Gamma, \text{cusps}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

sending the symbol $\{a, b\}$ to the geodesic path in X_Γ between Γa and Γb , is an isomorphism.

This will give us the connection to modular forms. We now turn to the reason that the modular symbols are useful for computations.

3.2. Manin Symbols.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The *Manin symbol* $[v, g] \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ is defined as

$$[v, g] := g(v \otimes \{0, \infty\})$$

Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have a right action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on Manin symbols as follows

$$[v, g]h = [h^{-1}v, gh]$$

The following theorem gives an explicit recipe for constructing a concrete realization of $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ as a free module of finite rank.

Theorem 3.2.2. ([23, Propositions 1,3]) *The Manin symbol $[v, g]$ depends only on the class $\Gamma g \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and on v . The Manin symbols $\{[v, g]\}_{g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), v \in \text{Sym}^{k-2}\mathbb{Z}^2}$ generate $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, if x is a Manin symbol, then*

$$x + x\sigma = 0$$

$$x + x\tau + x\tau^2 = 0$$

$$x - xJ = 0$$

Moreover, these are all the relations between Manin symbols, i.e. if B is a basis for $\text{Sym}^{k-2}\mathbb{Z}^2$ then

$$\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{b \in B, g \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{Z} \cdot [b, g] \right) / R$$

where R are the above relations, and torsion.

This allows one to compute a model for $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ efficiently.

Corollary 3.2.3. *There exists an algorithm that given a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes a basis of $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ in $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma])$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

Proof. This was implemented by Stein for $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ in [32], and the general case is similar. \square

Remark 3.2.4. The implementation in [32] makes use of the fact that $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. This allows him to perform CosetIndex operations in $O(1)$ field operations. This is the only difference from the general case.

Definition 3.2.5. The module $\text{Sym}^{k-2}\mathbb{Z}^2$ has a basis consisting of homogeneous elements, namely $\{x^w y^{k-2-w}\}_{w=0}^{k-2}$. It follows that $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ has a basis consisting of elements of the form $[x^w y^{k-2-w}, g]$. From now on, we assume all given bases to be of this form, and say that the *weight* of a basis element $[x^w y^{k-2-w}, g]$ is w .

3.3. Modular Symbols with Character. Suppose $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are finite index subgroups such that Γ is a normal subgroup of Γ' and let $Q := \Gamma'/\Gamma$. Then Q acts on $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ via

$$(3.3.1) \quad (\gamma'\Gamma) \cdot [v, g] = [v, \gamma'g]$$

Let $\varepsilon : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$ be a character, where $\zeta = \zeta_n$ is an n -th root of unity, and n is the order of ε . Abusing notation, we will denote the induced character on Γ' by ε as well.

Let $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ be the quotient of $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}[\zeta])$ by the relations

$$\gamma' \cdot [v, g] - \varepsilon(\gamma') \cdot [v, g] = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}[\zeta])$, $\gamma' \in Q$, and by any \mathbb{Z} -torsion.

Note that $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ has a basis consisting solely of elements of the form $[v, g]$ where $g \in \Gamma' \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then if Q is abelian, this action factorizes to the isotypic components:

$$(3.3.2) \quad \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in \hat{Q}} \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$$

This decomposition allows for faster computation by implementing $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ as the direct sum of these smaller spaces.

3.4. Cuspidal Modular Symbols. Let \mathbb{B}_2 be the abelian group generated by the elements $\{a\}$, $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\mathbb{B}_k = \text{Sym}^{k-2} \mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{B}_2$. We have a left action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ on \mathbb{B}_k via

$$g(v \otimes \{a\}) = gv \otimes \{ga\}$$

Let $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma) := (\mathbb{B}_k)_\Gamma$. This can be viewed naturally as a space of "boundary Manin symbols", using the following boundary map.

Definition 3.4.1. The *boundary map* $\partial : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma)$ is the natural map extending linearly the map

$$\partial(v \otimes \{a, b\}) = v \otimes a - v \otimes b.$$

Again we have a theorem that allows us to find a nice description of this module as a free module of finite rank.

Theorem 3.4.2. ([23, Propositions 4,5]) *Let \mathcal{R} be the equivalence relation on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{Q}^2$ given by*

$$[\Gamma(\lambda u, \lambda v)] \sim \text{sign}(\lambda)^k [\Gamma(u, v)]$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{Q}^2)/\mathcal{R}]$ be the natural map given by

$$\mu \left(P \otimes \left\{ \frac{u}{v} \right\} \right) = P(u, v) \cdot [\Gamma(u, v)]$$

where $P \in \text{Sym}^{k-2} \mathbb{Z}^2$ is considered as a homogeneous polynomial in two variables of degree $k-2$ and u, v are coprime.

Then μ is well defined and injective. Moreover,

$$\mu \circ \partial([P, g]) = P(1, 0)[\Gamma g(1, 0)] - P(0, 1)[\Gamma g(0, 1)].$$

The space $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma) = \ker \partial$ is called the space of *cuspidal modular symbols*. As in subsection 3.3, we can define $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$, a boundary map $\partial : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ and its kernel will be denoted by $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$.

In order to have a concrete realization of $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$, it remains to efficiently compute the boundary map.

3.5. Efficient computation of the boundary map. In this subsection, we show how to compute the boundary map efficiently. For finite index subgroups $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that Γ is a normal subgroup of Γ' , and a character $\varepsilon : \Gamma'/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$, we denote $c_\varepsilon(\Gamma) = \dim \mathbb{B}_2(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$. We will proceed to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5.1. *There exists an algorithm that given groups $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that Γ is a normal subgroup of Γ' , a character $\varepsilon : \Gamma'/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$, and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes a basis for $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ in $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma'] \cdot c_\varepsilon(\Gamma) + [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma])$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

The proof of Theorem 3.5.1 will occupy this entire subsection. We will obtain the basis by computing the kernel of the boundary map. Thus, given $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$, we would like to compute the boundary map $\mu \circ \partial : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$.

The idea follows Cremona and Stein ([12, Prop. 2.2.3, Lemma 3.2, Prop. 8.13] , [32, Alg. 8.12, Prop. 8.13, Alg. 8.14]) in that we do not have to compute the space of cusps for Γ a priori. Instead, for every cusp in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ that we encounter, we check whether it is equivalent to the ones previously encountered.

Following that route, we should be able to check whether a cusp is equivalent to 0 (note that this is not the cusp 0, but rather the neutral element of the module $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$) or to a previously encountered cusp. We will begin by describing the algorithms for testing cusp equivalence and cusp vanishing, and conclude by presenting the algorithm for computing the boundary map.

These algorithms are implemented in [32] and in [12] for $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N), \Gamma_1(N)$.

In order to treat the general case, we first prove a criterion for the equivalence.

Proposition 3.5.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup. Let $g_a, g_b \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $g_a(\infty) = a$ and $g_b(\infty) = b$. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ admits a right action by T , via multiplication, and $\Gamma a = \Gamma b$ if and only if $\Gamma g_a, \Gamma g_b$ lie in the same $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit of $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. If there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma a = b$, then $\gamma g_a(\infty) = g_b(\infty)$, and so

$$g_b^{-1} \gamma g_a \in \text{Stab}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}(\infty) = \langle T \rangle.$$

Therefore we get $g_b^{-1} \gamma g_a = T^n$ for some integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $g_b T^n \in \Gamma g_a$. The converse follows similarly. \square

Thus, in order to test for equivalence, we have to compute the T action. This can be done a priori for all cosets, as we proceed to show.

Definition 3.5.3. Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a finite index subgroup, and let $R = (r_1, \dots, r_h)$ be coset representatives for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $S = ((o_1, e_1), \dots, (o_h, e_h))$ be pairs of integers. We say that S is a $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table of Γ with respect to R if the following two assumptions hold.

- (1) The cosets Γr_i and Γr_j lie in the same $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit iff $o_i = o_j$.
- (2) For all i, j such that $o_i = o_j$, we have $r_j \cdot T^{e_i - e_j} \cdot r_i^{-1} \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 3.5.4. *There exists an algorithm that given a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and a list of coset representatives for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, R , computes a $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table of Γ with respect to R in $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma])$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

Proof. Apply Algorithm 3.5.5. It just goes over the cycles, hence has linear running time. \square

Algorithm 3.5.5. OrbitTable(Γ, R). *Constructing the $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table.*

Input :

- a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- a list of coset representatives $R = (r_1, \dots, r_h)$ for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Output : a list S , which is a $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table of Γ with respect to R .

- (1) for $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ do
 - (a) $s_i := (0, 0)$
 - (b) $t_i := \text{CosetIndex}(r_i \cdot T, R, \Gamma)$
- (2) $i := 1, o := 1, e := 0$
- (3) while $i \leq h$ do
 - (a) $s_i := (o, e)$
 - (b) $i := t_i$
 - (c) if $s_i \neq (0, 0)$ then
 - (i) $o := o + 1$;
 - (ii) $e := 0$;
 - (iii) while $i \leq h$ and $s_i \neq (0, 0)$ do
 - (A) $i := i + 1$;
 - (d) else
 - (i) $e := e + 1$
- (4) return (s_1, \dots, s_h)

And then our algorithm for cusp equivalence testing is rather simple.

Algorithm 3.5.6. CuspEquiv(Γ, R, S, a, b). *Test for cusp equivalence.*

Input :

- a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- a list of coset representatives $R = (r_1, \dots, r_h)$ for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- an orbit table $S = ((o_1, e_1), \dots, (o_h, e_h))$ (the output of Algorithm 3.5.5).
- $a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$.

Output : If there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma a = b$, then (true, γ) , else $(\text{false}, 1)$.

- (1) Find g_a, g_b such that $g_a(\infty) = a, g_b(\infty) = b$.
- (2) $i_a := \text{CosetIndex}(g_a, R, \Gamma), i_b := \text{CosetIndex}(g_b, R, \Gamma)$
- (3) if $o_{i_a} \neq o_{i_b}$ then return $(\text{false}, 1)$;
- (4) return $(\text{true}, g_b \cdot T^{e_{i_a} - e_{i_b}} \cdot g_a^{-1})$

Corollary 3.5.7. *There exists an algorithm that given a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a list of coset representatives R for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table of Γ with respect to R , and $a, b \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, returns whether $\Gamma a = \Gamma b$, in which case it returns also an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma a = b$, in $O(1)$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

Proof. Apply Algorithm 3.5.6. By Proposition 3.5.2, it returns the correct answer. \square

Finally, to test whether a cusp vanishes, we use the following proposition (an analog of [32, Prop. 8.16]). Recall that if $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$ is a normal subgroup, and $Q = \Gamma'/\Gamma$, then Q acts on $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ as in (3.3.1).

Proposition 3.5.8. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be finite index subgroups such that Γ is a normal subgroup of Γ' . Let $Q := \Gamma'/\Gamma$, and let $\varepsilon : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$ be a character. Suppose $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ is a cusp. For $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, write $[x]$ for the equivalence class of x in $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. Then a vanishes modulo the relations*

$$[\gamma'x] = \varepsilon(\gamma') \cdot [x] \quad \forall \gamma' \in \Gamma', x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$$

if and only if there exists $q \in Q$ with $\varepsilon(q) \neq 1$ such that $[q \cdot a] = [a]$.

Proof. First suppose that such a q exists. Then

$$[a] = [q \cdot a] = \varepsilon(q) \cdot [a].$$

But $\varepsilon(q) \neq 1$, hence $[a] = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $[a] = 0$. Because all relations are two-term relations and the Γ -relations identify Γ -orbits, there must exist $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma'$ such that

$$[\alpha \cdot a] = [\beta \cdot a]$$

and $\varepsilon(\alpha) \neq \varepsilon(\beta)$. Indeed, if this did not occur, we could mod out by the ε relations by writing each $[\alpha \cdot a]$ in terms of $[a]$ and there would be no further relations to kill $[a]$. Next observe that

$$[\beta^{-1}\alpha \cdot a] = \varepsilon(\beta^{-1}) \cdot [\alpha \cdot a] = \varepsilon(\beta)^{-1}[\beta \cdot a] = [a]$$

so that if $q \in Q$ is the image of $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in \Gamma'$, then $\varepsilon(q) = \varepsilon(\beta)^{-1}\varepsilon(\alpha) \neq 1$. \square

This gives rise to an algorithm for checking whether a cusp vanishes.

Algorithm 3.5.9. CuspVanishing($\Gamma, \varepsilon, s, R, S, a$). *Test for cusp vanishing.*

Input :

- a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- a character $\varepsilon : Q = \Gamma'/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$.
- a section $s : Q \rightarrow \Gamma'$ of the quotient map.
- a list of coset representatives R for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- an orbit table S (the output of Algorithm 3.5.5).
- $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$.

Output : If $[a] = 0$ in $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ return *true*, return *false*.

- (1) For each $q \in Q$ such that $\varepsilon(q) \neq 1$ do:
 - (a) *equiv*, $\gamma := \text{CuspEquiv}(\Gamma, R, S, s(q) \cdot a, a)$.
 - (b) If *equiv* then return *true*.

(2) return *false*.

Corollary 3.5.10. *There exists an algorithm that given finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that Γ is normal in Γ' , a character $\varepsilon : \Gamma'/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$, a list of coset representatives R for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table of Γ with respect to R , and a cusp $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, returns whether $[a] = 0$ in $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ in $O([\Gamma' : \Gamma])$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

Proof. Apply algorithm 3.5.9. The correctness follows from proposition 3.5.8. \square

Relying on 3.5.6 and 3.5.9, we may now formulate an algorithm to compute the boundary map $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$. In the algorithm below, CuspIndex is a function that given a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a list of coset representatives R for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit table of Γ with respect to R , a cusp a and a list of cusps C , returns an index i such that a is equivalent to C_i in $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma)$ and an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $a = \gamma \cdot C_i$. If $a \notin C$, it appends a to C . This function is obtained by using Algorithm 3.5.6 repeatedly.

Algorithm 3.5.11. BoundaryMap($\Gamma, \Gamma', \varepsilon, k, B, R, R'$). *Computation of the boundary map.*

Input :

- finite index subgroups $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that Γ is normal in Γ' .
- a character $\varepsilon : \Gamma'/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$.
- an integer $k \geq 2$.
- $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, an ordered basis of Manin symbols for $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$.
- $R = (r_1, \dots, r_h)$, coset representatives for $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- $R' = (r'_1, \dots, r'_{h'})$, coset representatives for $\Gamma' \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Output :

- a matrix A .
- a list of boundary Manin symbols C .

such that A represents the boundary map $\mu \circ \partial$ with respect to the bases B, C .

- (1) $C := \emptyset$
- (2) $A := 0 \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^{n \times \mathbb{N}}$
- (3) $S := \text{OrbitTable}(\Gamma, R)$
- (4) $S' := \text{OrbitTable}(\Gamma', R')$
- (5) for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ do
 - (a) Write $b_i = [P, g]$
 - (b) $w := \text{weight}(b_i)$
 - (c) if $w = k - 2$:
 - (i) $a := g \cdot \infty$
 - (ii) if not CuspVanishing($\Gamma, \varepsilon, s, R, S, a$):
 - (A) $j, \gamma, C := \text{CuspIndex}(\Gamma', R', S', a, C)$
 - (B) $A_{ij} := A_{ij} + \varepsilon^{-1}(\gamma)$
 - (d) if $w = 0$:
 - (i) $a := g \cdot 0$
 - (ii) if not CuspVanishing($\Gamma, \varepsilon, s, R, S, a$):
 - (A) $j, \gamma, C := \text{CuspIndex}(\Gamma', R', S', a, C)$
 - (B) $A_{ij} := A_{ij} - \varepsilon^{-1}(\gamma)$

(6) return $(A_{ij})_{j=1}^{|C|}, C$

Remark 3.5.12. Note that when $k = 2$, we execute both (c) and (d).

We can now proceed to prove Theorem 3.5.1.

Proof. (of Theorem 3.5.1.). The computation of $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ is performed by using Corollary 3.2.3 to construct a basis B of modular symbols for $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$, and then applying Algorithm 3.5.11.

The computation of the $\langle T \rangle$ -orbit tables for both Γ and Γ' using Algorithm 3.5.5 costs $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma])$ basic CosetIndex operations by Lemma 3.5.4.

The function CuspIndex is implemented by applying Algorithm 3.5.6. The check whether a cusp vanishes is done by applying Algorithm 3.5.9. By Corollary 3.5.7 and Corollary 3.5.10, these algorithms return the required output in $O(1)$ basic CosetIndex operations.

Since there are $2 \cdot [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma']$ Manin symbols of either maximal or minimal weight, and we have to check equivalence of at most each of these against each of the cusps in the list, which has at most $c_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ elements in it, it follows that the running time for Algorithm 3.5.11 is $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma'] \cdot c_\varepsilon(\Gamma) + [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma])$. Thus, we have an algorithm for computing $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)$ in $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma'] \cdot c_\varepsilon(\Gamma) + [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma])$ basic CosetIndex operations. \square

3.6. Pairing Modular Symbols and Modular Forms. Let $\overline{S}_k(\Gamma) = \{\overline{f} : f \in S_k(\Gamma)\}$ be the space of antiholomorphic cusp forms. We then have a pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma)) \times \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by

$$(3.6.1) \quad \langle (f_1, f_2), P \otimes \{\alpha, \beta\} \rangle = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f_1(z) P(z, 1) dz + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f_2(z) P(\overline{z}, 1) d\overline{z}$$

The following theorem is the final ingredient needed to get a handle on the actual spaces of modular forms.

Theorem 3.6.2. ([30, Theorem 0.2], and [23, Theorem 3]) *The pairing*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma)) \times \mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a nondegenerate pairing of complex vector spaces.

This is still not completely satisfactory, as we would like to separate the holomorphic forms from their antiholomorphic counterparts.

3.6.1. The Action of Complex Conjugation.

Definition 3.6.3. Let $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If η normalizes Γ , i.e. $\eta\Gamma\eta = \Gamma$, we say that Γ is *of real type*.

We then have the following result.

Proposition 3.6.4. (Merel, [23, Proposition 7]) *Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of real type. Then there is a complex linear involution $\iota : S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma)$ which exchanges $S_k(\Gamma)$ and $\overline{S}_k(\Gamma)$, given by $\iota(f)(z) = f(-\bar{z})$. The involution $\iota^\vee : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ defined by*

$$(3.6.5) \quad \iota^\vee(v \otimes \{\alpha, \beta\}) = -\eta v \otimes \{\eta\alpha, \eta\beta\}$$

is adjoint to ι with respect to the pairing (3.6.1). Moreover, ι^\vee acts on Manin symbols via

$$\iota^\vee([v, g]) = -[\eta v, \eta g \eta^{-1}]$$

We may now state the final result about the pairing, which explains how modular symbols and modular forms are related.

Theorem 3.6.6. (Merel, [23, Proposition 8]) *Let $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^+$ be the $+1$ eigenspace for ι^* on $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)$. The pairing (3.6.1) induces a nondegenerate bilinear pairing*

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^+ \times S_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

We denote by $c(\Gamma) = \dim \mathbb{B}_2(\Gamma)$ the number of cusps of Γ . Then it follows that

Corollary 3.6.7. *There exists an algorithm that given a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes a basis for $S_k(\Gamma)$ in $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] \cdot c(\Gamma))$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

Proof. In order to compute $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^+$, it is necessary to replace $\mathbb{B}_k(\Gamma)$ by its quotient modulo the additional relation $[(-u, v)] = [(u, v)]$ for all cusps (u, v) . Algorithm 3.5.11 can be modified, as in [32], to treat that case by changing CuspEquiv to check equivalence with both (u, v) and $(-u, v)$. Thus, the complexity described in Theorem 3.5.1 is valid also for the computation of $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma, \varepsilon)^+$. In particular, taking $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ and ε to be the trivial character, we obtain the result. \square

4. HECKE OPERATORS

Now that we have a realization of $S_k(\Gamma_G)$, we would like to be able to compute Hecke Operators on this space. First, let us recall a few basic facts about Hecke operators in general.

4.1. Hecke Operators on $M_k(\Gamma)$.

Definition 4.1.1. Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and suppose $\Delta \subseteq GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ is a set such that $\Gamma\Delta = \Delta\Gamma = \Delta$, and $\Gamma \backslash \Delta$ is finite. Let R be a set of representatives for $\Gamma \backslash \Delta$. Let

$$T_\Delta : M_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow M_k(\Gamma)$$

$$T_\Delta(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} f|_\alpha$$

where $f|_\alpha$ is the usual right action of $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ given by (2.2.2). The operator T_Δ is called the *Hecke operator associated to Δ* .

It is a standard result that this operator is well-defined and independent of R .

Proposition 4.1.2. ([29, Prop. 3.37], [14, Chapter 5.1]) *The image of T_Δ lies in $M_k(\Gamma)$, and T_Δ does not depend on the choice of R .*

Definition 4.1.3. The algebra generated by the $\{T_\Delta\}_\Delta$ when Δ runs over all subsets of $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\Gamma\Delta = \Delta\Gamma = \Delta$ is the Hecke algebra of $M_k(\Gamma)$.

For $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, we denote $T_\alpha = T_{\Gamma\alpha\Gamma}$.

Corollary 4.1.4. The Hecke algebra of $M_k(\Gamma)$ is generated by the operators $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})}$.

Proof. It is a consequence of the definition that Δ must be a union of double cosets $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma$, where $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. In particular, the Hecke operators are linearly spanned by the $\{T_\alpha\}$. \square

Example 4.1.5. Here are two standard choices for Δ .

(1) If $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N)$, one usually considers for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set

$$\Delta_n^1 := \left\{ g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = n \text{ and } g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

One usually denotes $T_n = T_{\Delta_n^1}$. We note that $\Delta_n^1 = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \Gamma$, so that $T_n = T_\alpha$,

with $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix}$.

(2) Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(N)$. We may take for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set

$$\Delta_n := \left\{ g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = n \text{ and } g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

Note that again we are taking $\Delta_n = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \Gamma$.

As our implementation of modular forms is by using the dual space of modular symbols, we need the dual notion.

Definition 4.1.6. Let $\Delta \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $\Gamma\Delta = \Delta\Gamma = \Delta$ and such that $\Gamma\backslash\Delta$ is finite. Let R be a set of representatives of $\Gamma\backslash\Delta$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} T_\Delta^\vee : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) &\rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma) \\ v \otimes \{\alpha, \beta\} &\mapsto \sum_{\delta \in R} (\delta v) \otimes \{\delta\alpha, \delta\beta\} \end{aligned}$$

Again, this map does not depend on the choice of R . We then have the following result.

Proposition 4.1.7. (Merel, [23, Proposition 10]) The operators T_Δ and T_Δ^\vee are adjoint with respect to the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined in (3.6.1).

Therefore, by Theorem 3.6.2, in order to compute the restriction of the Hecke operators T_Δ to $S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma)$, it will suffice to compute the Hecke operators T_Δ^\vee on $S_k(\Gamma)$. Note that in order to compute the restriction to $S_k(\Gamma)$ using Theorem 3.6.6, we would need T_Δ to commute with the map ι , defined in Proposition 3.6.4.

Lemma 4.1.8. Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of real type (recall Definition 1.2.1). Let $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $\eta^{-1}\alpha\eta \in \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$. Then in $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ we have $T_\alpha^\vee \circ \iota^\vee = \iota^\vee \circ T_\alpha^\vee$.

Proof. Let R be a set of representatives for $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$. For each $\delta \in \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$, we have by the assumptions on Γ and α that

$$\eta^{-1} \delta \eta \in \eta^{-1} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \eta = (\eta^{-1} \Gamma \eta) \eta^{-1} \alpha \eta (\eta^{-1} \Gamma \eta) = \Gamma \eta^{-1} \alpha \eta \Gamma = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma.$$

Therefore, we see that

$$\Gamma \alpha \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \Gamma \delta = \eta^{-1} \left(\bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \Gamma \delta \right) \eta = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \eta^{-1} \Gamma \delta \eta = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} (\eta^{-1} \Gamma \eta) \eta^{-1} \delta \eta = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \Gamma \eta^{-1} \delta \eta,$$

so that $R' = \{\eta^{-1} \delta \eta \mid \delta \in R\}$ is also a set of representatives for $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha^\vee(\iota^\vee(v \otimes \{\alpha, \beta\})) &= T_\alpha^\vee(-\eta v \otimes \{\eta \alpha, \eta \beta\}) \\ &= \sum_{\delta \in R} (-\delta \eta v \otimes \{\delta \eta \alpha, \delta \eta \beta\}) \\ &= \sum_{\delta \in R} (-\eta(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) v \otimes \{\eta(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) \alpha, \eta(\eta^{-1} \delta \eta) \beta\}) \\ &= \sum_{\delta' \in R'} (-\eta \delta' v \otimes \{\eta \delta' \alpha, \eta \delta' \beta\}) = \iota^\vee(T_\alpha^\vee(v \otimes \{\alpha, \beta\})). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.1.9. *Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of real type (recall Definition 1.2.1). Let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Then in $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_G)$ we have $T_\alpha^\vee \circ \iota^\vee = \iota^\vee \circ T_\alpha^\vee$.*

Proof. Since G is of real type, we have

$$\lambda_N(\eta \Gamma_G \eta^{-1}) = \lambda_N(\eta) G \lambda_N(\eta)^{-1} = G.$$

It follows that $\eta \Gamma_G \eta^{-1} \subseteq \Gamma_G$, and hence Γ_G is of real type.

Also, as $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$, we see that

$$\lambda_N(\eta^{-1} \alpha \eta) \in \lambda_N(\eta)^{-1} G \lambda_N(\eta) = G.$$

Moreover, since $\det(\alpha) = \det(\eta^{-1} \alpha \eta)$ and $d_1(\alpha) = d_1(\eta^{-1} \alpha \eta)$, where d_1 is the greatest common divisor of all the entries, α and $\eta^{-1} \alpha \eta$ have the same Smith normal form, showing that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \alpha \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \eta^{-1} \alpha \eta \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We invoke [29, Lemma 3.29(1)], noting that $\lambda_N(\Gamma_G \alpha) = G = \lambda_N(\alpha \Gamma_G)$, and so, in Shimura's notation, $\alpha \in \Phi$. We deduce that

$$\Gamma_G \alpha \Gamma_G = \{g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \alpha \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot g \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } \lambda_N(g) \in G\}.$$

It then follows that $\eta^{-1} \alpha \eta \in \Gamma_G \alpha \Gamma_G$. The result follows from Lemma 4.1.8. \square

4.2. Naive Computation of T_α^\vee . We will now present a naive algorithm to compute the Hecke operator T_α^\vee on $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ for an arbitrary $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$.

Algorithm 4.2.1. *HeckeOperator(α, x, B). Hecke Operator T_α^\vee on $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$.*

Input :

- $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$.
- an element $x = v \otimes \{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$.
- a basis B for the space of modular symbols $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$.

Output : a vector representing $T_\alpha^\vee(x)$ w.r.t. the basis B .

- (1) Let $H := \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha$.

- (2) Let S be a set of representatives for $H \setminus \Gamma$.
- (3) Let $R := \alpha \cdot S = \{\alpha \cdot x : x \in S\}$.
- (4) Return $\sum_{r \in R} [\pi(r \cdot x)]_B$, where $[\pi(r \cdot x)]_B$ is the vector representing the modular symbol $\Gamma \cdot (rv) \otimes \{r\alpha, r\beta\}$ w.r.t. B .

Remark 4.2.2. Even though this is unclear at first sight, the functions $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \mapsto \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ and $(\Gamma, x) \mapsto x^{-1}\Gamma x$ also require a nontrivial implementation.

Note also that $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined using $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, if $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ is such that $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \not\subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a straightforward computation could be expensive (either find N such that $N \cdot \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and change computations to fit, or work in $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ with generators - that requires finding the generators of Γ). Moreover, as $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ is not finitely generated, we do not have ready made tools for computing the intersection $\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$.

This has brought us to consider the following alternate path:

Strategy for computation of Algorithm 4.2.1. (a) Implement the function $(\Gamma, x) \mapsto x^{-1}\Gamma x$ for $x \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ when $x^{-1}\Gamma x \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

(b) Implement an intersection function $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \mapsto \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ for $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

(c) Write the set of representatives $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \alpha \cdot (((\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha) \cap \Gamma) \setminus \Gamma)$ as a sequence of operations of type (a), (b).

Here is an implementation of the intersection in step 1, using (a) and (b).

Below, we denote by $\Gamma^0(N)$ the congruence subgroup Γ_G , where

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times, c \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Algorithm 4.2.3. $\text{ConjInter}(\Gamma, \alpha)$. *Conjugation and Intersection.*

Input :

- $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ a congruence subgroup.
- $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Output : $\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$.

- (1) Find matrices $x, \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $x \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \gamma$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ with $a^{-1}b \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Smith Normal Form)
- (2) Let $H := \Gamma \cap (\alpha^{-1} \cdot (\Gamma \cap (\gamma \cdot \Gamma^0(a^{-1}b) \cdot \gamma^{-1})) \cdot \alpha)$.

First, let us prove that Algorithm 4.2.3 indeed returns the correct answer.

Proposition 4.2.4. *Algorithm 4.2.3 returns the group $\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$.*

Proof. First, note that if $a^{-1}b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}^{-1} SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)$$

Therefore

$$\gamma^{-1}\alpha x^{-1}SL_2(\mathbb{Z})x\alpha^{-1}\gamma \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)$$

But $x \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so that $x^{-1}SL_2(\mathbb{Z})x = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that

$$\alpha SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\alpha^{-1} \cap \gamma \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\gamma^{-1} = \gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1}$$

But $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so $\gamma SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\gamma^{-1} = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that

$$\alpha SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\alpha^{-1} \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1}$$

Therefore

$$\alpha SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\alpha^{-1} \cap \Gamma = \alpha SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\alpha^{-1} \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \Gamma = \Gamma \cap \gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1},$$

so that

$$(4.2.5) \quad SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha = \alpha^{-1}(\Gamma \cap \gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1})\alpha.$$

Finally, intersecting with Γ , one obtains

$$\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap \Gamma = \Gamma \cap (\alpha^{-1}(\Gamma \cap \gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1})\alpha) = H. \quad \square$$

In order to be able to use the strategy 4.2, we should also show that the conjugations we are computing are in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.2.6. $\gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1} \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha^{-1}(\Gamma \cap \gamma\Gamma^0(a^{-1}b)\gamma^{-1})\alpha \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The first is trivial, as $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The second follows from (4.2.5). \square

Remark 4.2.7. Algorithm 4.2.1 can be used to compute $[T_\alpha^\vee]_B$ by applying it to each of the basis vectors.

It remains to show how to compute the conjugation in (a), and the intersection in (b). For the conjugation in (a), we compute the generators of Γ using Farey symbols (see [18, Theorem 6.1]). We then conjugate them to obtain the generators of the conjugated subgroup, and can compute the level and the reduction of this conjugated subgroup. For the intersection, we present the following algorithm.

Algorithm 4.2.8. $\text{InterInduced}(G, H)$. *Intersection of induced congruence subgroups.*

Input :

- $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_G\mathbb{Z})$.
- $H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_H\mathbb{Z})$.

Output : a group $K \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\Gamma_K = \Gamma_G \cap \Gamma_H$. Here $N = \text{lcm}(N_G, N_H)$.

- (1) $d := \gcd(N_G, N_H)$, $G_d := \{g \in G \mid g \equiv 1 \pmod{d}\}$ and $H_d := \{h \in H \mid h \equiv 1 \pmod{d}\}$.
- (2) $K_d := \lambda_d(G) \cap \lambda_d(H)$, and $s_G : K_d \rightarrow G$, $s_H : K_d \rightarrow H$ sections of λ_d .
- (3) Let $A_G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a set of matrices a lifting $\text{Generators}(G_d)$ such that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{N_H}$. (Chinese Remainder Theorem)
- (4) Let $A_H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a set of matrices a lifting $\text{Generators}(H_d)$ such that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{N_G}$. (Chinese Remainder Theorem)
- (5) Let $A_d = \{a_z : z \in \text{Generators}(K_d)\} \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $a_z \equiv s_G(z) \pmod{N_G}$ and $a_z \equiv s_H(z) \pmod{N_H}$. (Chinese Remainder Theorem)
- (6) Return $K := \langle A_G \cup A_H \cup A_d \rangle$.

Proposition 4.2.9. *Algorithm 4.2.8 returns a group K such that $\Gamma_K = \Gamma_G \cap \Gamma_H$.*

Proof. First note that for any $p \nmid N$, and any e , $\lambda_{p^e}(\Gamma_G \cap \Gamma_H) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z})$. Then note that

$$\lambda_N(\Gamma_G \cap \Gamma_H) = \{x \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \mid \lambda_{N_G}(x) \in G, \quad \lambda_{N_H}(x) \in H\}$$

We will show that $K = \lambda_N(\Gamma_G \cap \Gamma_H)$, hence the result. Let $x \in \lambda_N(\Gamma_G \cap \Gamma_H)$. Then $\lambda_d(x) \in K_d$. Then $\lambda_d(x) = \prod z_i^{e_i}$ for some $\{z_i\} \subseteq \text{Generators}(K_d)$. Write $a_i := a_{z_i}$, and consider $a = \prod a_i^{e_i}$. It satisfies $\lambda_d(a) = \lambda_d(x)$, hence $\lambda_d(a^{-1}x) = 1$.

In particular, we see that $\lambda_{N_G}(a^{-1}x) \in G_d$ and $\lambda_{N_H}(a^{-1}x) \in H_d$. Therefore there are $\{x_j\} \subseteq \text{Generators}(G_d)$ and $\{y_k\} \subseteq \text{Generators}(H_d)$ such that $\lambda_{N_G}(a^{-1}x) = \prod x_j^{f_j}$, $\lambda_{N_H}(a^{-1}x) = \prod y_k^{g_k}$. Let $\{b_j\}$ be the lift of the $\{x_j\}$ in A_G and $\{c_k\}$ the lifts of the $\{y_k\}$ in A_H . Then

$$\lambda_{N_G}\left(\prod b_j^{f_j} \cdot \prod c_k^{g_k}\right) = \lambda_{N_G}(a^{-1}x)$$

and

$$\lambda_{N_H}\left(\prod b_j^{f_j} \cdot \prod c_k^{g_k}\right) = \lambda_{N_H}(a^{-1}x)$$

hence

$$x = \prod a_i^{e_i} \cdot \prod b_j^{f_j} \cdot \prod c_k^{g_k} \in K. \quad \square$$

In order to measure the complexity of Algorithm 4.2.1, in addition to the CosetIndex operation, we use In to denote group membership test in G . We will also need some notation, which we now introduce.

For $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, let $d_1(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be maximal such that $\alpha \in d_1(\alpha) \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $D(\alpha) := \det(\alpha)/d_1(\alpha)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $I_{\alpha,\Gamma} := [\Gamma : \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap \Gamma]$.

This leads us to the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 4.2.10. *There exists an algorithm that given a congruence subgroup of real type $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level N , an element $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\eta^{-1}\alpha\eta \in \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, computes the Hecke operator T_α corresponding to the double coset $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma$, on the space of cusp forms $S_k(\Gamma)$, in complexity*

$$O(C \cdot I_{\alpha,\Gamma} \log(N \cdot D(\alpha)) + [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^2 \cdot \text{In}).$$

Proof. We apply Algorithm 4.2.1, using Algorithm 4.2.3 to perform step (1). The conjugation function is computed by finding a set of generators for Γ , using Farey symbols (see [18, Theorem 6.1]), and conjugating them. Intersection is computed using Algorithm 4.2.8. This computes the dual Hecke operator T_α^\vee on $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$. Since Γ is of real type, and $\eta^{-1}\alpha\eta \in \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$, by Corollary 4.1.9 it follows that T_α^\vee commutes with ι^\vee . This shows that it induces an operator on $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^+$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.6.6 and Proposition 4.1.7, we obtain T_α .

The cost of step (1) is dominated by computing the Farey symbols, which yields the cost $O([SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^2)$.

In step (4), for each element in the basis $[v, g] \in B$, we compute $g\{0, \infty\}$, to get the modular symbol $v \otimes \{a, b\}$. Then, for every $r \in R$ apply r to get $rv \otimes \{ra, rb\}$, and then use continued fractions to convert back to Manin symbols. This is done $I_{\alpha,\Gamma}$ times.

Since $g \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it can be represented by an element in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ whose entries are bounded by N^2 . Further, we can choose the representatives $r \in R$ up to a multiplication by a scalar, hence we can bound its entries by $N^2 \cdot D(\alpha)$.

It follows that the entries of $r \cdot g$ are bounded by $N^4 \cdot \det \alpha$, and so computing continued fraction expansion (Euclid's algorithm) has $O(\log(N \cdot D(\alpha)))$ steps, for each of which one has to perform a CosetIndex operation to find the corresponding element in the vector space.

Thus, the algorithm has complexity

$$O(C \cdot I_{\alpha, \Gamma} \log(N \cdot D(\alpha)) + [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^2 \cdot \ln). \quad \square$$

4.3. Faster Implementation of the Hecke Operator T_α^\vee . Algorithm 4.2.1 is still quite slow in general, since the conjugation function might be very slow, as it depends quadratically on the index. However, under some simplifying assumptions we may use the following algorithm for the conjugation of an induced congruence subgroups.

Here, we assume that $(D(\alpha), N) = 1$.

Algorithm 4.3.1. $\text{ConjInd}(G, \alpha)$. *Conjugation of an induced congruence subgroup.*

Input :

- $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.
- $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $(D(\alpha), N) = 1$. Write $n := D(\alpha)$.

Output : a group $H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/nN\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\Gamma_H = \alpha^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

- (1) Find $x, y \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $x\alpha y = \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & n \cdot d_1(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$.
- (2) Let $H_N := \lambda_N(d_1(\alpha)^{-1}\alpha)^{-1}G\lambda_N(d_1(\alpha)^{-1}\alpha) \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.
- (3) Let $H_n := \lambda_n(y)\lambda_n(\Gamma^0(n))\lambda_n(y)^{-1} \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$.
- (4) Let $A_N \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/nN\mathbb{Z})$ be a set of matrices a_i lifting $\text{Generators}(H_N)$ such that $a_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. (Chinese Remainder Theorem)
- (5) Let $B_n \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/nN\mathbb{Z})$ be a set of matrices b_j lifting $\text{Generators}(H_n)$ such that $b_j \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. (Chinese Remainder Theorem)
- (6) Return $H := \langle A_N \cup B_n \rangle$.

Proposition 4.3.2. *Algorithm 4.3.1 returns a group H such that $\Gamma_H = \alpha^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. First note that replacing α by $d_1(\alpha)^{-1}\alpha$, we may assume $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $d_1(\alpha) = 1$. Let $\Gamma' := \alpha^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $p \nmid nN$, then $\lambda_{p^e}(\Gamma') = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z})$ (for all e). We also have

$$\lambda_N(\Gamma') = \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1}G\lambda_N(\alpha)$$

and if $x\alpha y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\lambda_n(\Gamma') = \lambda_n(y)\lambda_n \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \lambda_n(x^{-1}\Gamma_G x) \lambda_n \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \right) \lambda_n(y)^{-1}.$$

But, since $(n, N) = 1$ and $x^{-1}\Gamma_G x \supseteq \Gamma(N)$, we have $\lambda_n(x^{-1}\Gamma_G x) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, and so we see that $\Gamma' = \{g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \lambda_n(g) \in H_n, \lambda_N(g) \in H_N\}$, with H_n and H_N as in Algorithm 4.3.1. Since $(n, N) = 1$, we claim that $\Gamma' = \Gamma_H$, or equivalently that $\lambda_{nN}(\Gamma') = H$.

Indeed, if $g \in \lambda_{nN}(\Gamma')$, then $\lambda_n(g) \in H_n$ and $\lambda_N(g) \in H_N$. Therefore, there exist $\{x_i\} \subseteq \text{Generators}(H_n)$ and $\{y_j\} \subseteq \text{Generators}(H_N)$ such that $\lambda_n(g) = \prod x_i^{e_i}$ and $\lambda_N(g) = \prod y_j^{f_j}$.

Let $\{a_i\}$ be the matrices in A_n lifting the $\{x_i\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ the matrices in B_N lifting the $\{y_j\}$. Then by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have $g = \prod a_i^{e_i} \cdot \prod b_j^{f_j}$. \square

Next, we would like to understand the running time of Algorithm 4.2.1, with this improvement.

Corollary 4.3.3. *Let $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $(D(\alpha), N) = 1$. Then Algorithm 4.2.1 has complexity of*

$$O(I_{\alpha, \Gamma} \cdot \log(N^4 \cdot D(\alpha)))$$

basic CosetIndex operations.

Proof. Using algorithms 4.3.1 and 4.2.8 in step (1), we see that the main contribution to the complexity of algorithm 4.2.1 comes from step (4), and this was computed in the proof of Theorem 4.2.10. \square

4.4. The Hecke Operators T_n . The question of computing the Hecke operators T_n , when $(n, N) > 1$, is a difficult one. We have not been able to find in the literature a good reference even for the definition of these operators. Therefore, we restrict ourselves, for the time being, to n such that $(n, N) = 1$.

4.4.1. Adelic Definition. The only definition given in the literature of the Hecke operator for completely general level structure is in adelic terms.

Therefore, before we state the definition, we need to set up some notation.

Definition 4.4.1. Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. We introduce

$$S_G := \left\{ r \cdot g \cdot s \mid r \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, g \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}), s \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{R}), g \bmod N \in G \right\} \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{A})$$

where we use the identification $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}/N\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

Now we may define the Hecke correspondences on the corresponding Shimura variety.

Definition 4.4.2. ([29, Section 7.3]) Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let $X_G := GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathcal{H} \times GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}))/S_G$ be the Shimura variety of level S_G . Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$. Let $W = S_G \cap wS_Gw^{-1}$. Then w induces a natural correspondence $X_G \rightarrow X_G$ via

$$[z, g] \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in S_G/W} [z, g\alpha w]$$

We denote this correspondence by $X(w)$.

Lemma 4.4.3. *The correspondence $X(w)$, for $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$, is well defined.*

Proof. First, the choice of representatives for S_G/W does not matter: if we replace each α by αw_α , we would get

$$\sum_{\alpha \in S_G/W} [z, g\alpha w_\alpha w] = \sum_{\alpha \in S_G/W} [z, g\alpha w \cdot w^{-1}w_\alpha w] = \sum_{\alpha \in S_G/W} [z, g\alpha w]$$

because $w^{-1}Ww \subseteq S_G$. If $\gamma \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, then $X(w)[z, g] = X(w)[\gamma z, \gamma g]$. Also, if we consider an element $[z, gs]$, with $s \in S_G$, it will map to

$$\sum_{\alpha \in S_G/W} [z, gs\alpha w] = \sum_{\alpha \in S_G/W} [z, g\alpha w]$$

since $s\alpha$ still runs through a set of representatives of S_G/W . \square

We now connect it to our previous definitions of moduli spaces via the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.4.4. ([24, Lemma 5.13, Theorem 5.17]) *Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of representatives for*

$$GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}) / S_G \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}} / \det(S_G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times / \det(G)$$

Then

$$GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathcal{H} \times G(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})) / S_G \cong \bigsqcup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}$$

where $\Gamma_g = gS_Gg^{-1} \cap GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$.

Under this identification, if we denote by ω the canonical line bundle on X_G , then we may identify $M_k(\Gamma_G)$ with the space of global sections $H^0(X_G, \omega^{\otimes k})$. The correspondence $X(w)$ induces (by pullback) a map on global sections of line bundles $T(w) : H^0(X_G, \omega^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow H^0(X_G, \omega^{\otimes k})$ given by $f \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in S/W} (\alpha w)^* f$. We then get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4.5. *The correspondences $X(w)$ may be identified via this isomorphism (explicitly given as $z \mapsto [z, 1]$) as correspondences $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_{gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}$. In particular, if $\det(w) \in \det(S_G)$, then we may identify the correspondence $X(w)$ as correspondences on $\Gamma_G \backslash \mathcal{H}$, and the operator $T(w)$ as an operator on $M_k(\Gamma_G)$.*

The reason for using Definition 4.4.2 is the following important theorem.

Theorem 4.4.6. (Shimura, [29, Theorem 7.9]) *Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$, and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an element such that $\det(w_p) = p$. Then if $p \nmid N$, the correspondence $\widetilde{X(w)}$ on X_G is rational over the field of definition of X_G and satisfies $\tilde{X}(w) \equiv Fr_p \circ {}^t Fr_p^p \circ [\det(w)^{-1}]$, where $X \mapsto \tilde{X}$ is the reduction modulo p and Fr_p is the Frobenius correspondence on $\tilde{X}_G \times \tilde{X}_G^p$.*

This, in turn, relates these Hecke operators to the zeta function of X_G . Before stating the connection, we introduce some notations.

Let $Z_p(s; X_G/\mathbb{Q})$ denote the local factor at p of the zeta function of X_G . Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$ and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is such that $\det(w_p) = p$. Let $\sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\lambda_N(\sigma) = p \cdot \lambda_N(w)^{-2}$. Via the diagonal embedding $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$, the correspondence $X(\sigma)$ is well defined and as $\det(\sigma) = 1 \in \det(S_G)$, it induces an operator $T(\sigma)$ on $M_k(\Gamma_G)$.

Corollary 4.4.7. *Let w, σ be as above. Let $T(w), T(\sigma)$ be the corresponding operators on $M_2(\Gamma_G)$. Then*

$$(4.4.8) \quad (1 - p^{-s})(1 - p^{1-2s})Z_p(s; X_G/\mathbb{Q}) = \det(1 - T(w) \cdot p^{-s} + T(\sigma) \cdot p^{1-2s}).$$

Proof. This is proved as in [29], Corollary 7.10 and Theorem 7.11. \square

Although this, in general, does not yield an application to q -expansions, there are some special cases in which it does. We describe these cases, as we shall want to make use of them in some of our applications.

Recall that the Hecke operators commute, hence have common eigenvalues. These are called eigenforms.

Definition 4.4.9. An element $f \in S_k(\Gamma_G)$ is called an *eigenform* if it is an eigenvector for T_α for all $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. An eigenform $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n q^n$ is *normalized* if $a_1 = 1$.

Corollary 4.4.10. Let $\Gamma_G = \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, t)$, and let $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_G)$ be a normalized eigenform. Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$ and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is such that $\det(w_p) = p$. Then $T(w)f = a_p f$.

Proof. This is proved in [29, Section 3.5]. \square

Yet as another consequence, we get the following fact, which could also be shown directly by double coset computation.

Corollary 4.4.11. If $p \nmid N$, and $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ is such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$, and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an element such that $\det(w_p) = p$, then the operator $T(w)$ is independent of the choice of w .

This motivates the following definition.

Definition 4.4.12. If $p \nmid N$, and $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ is such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$, and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an element such that $\det(w_p) = p$, we define $T_p := T(w)$.

Next, we would like to write this operator in terms of a double coset operator, as in Definition 4.1.1. In order to do that, we show first that the subgroup W in Definition 4.4.2 is also induced from a subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.4.13. Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let $p \nmid N$. Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$, and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an element such that $\det(w_p) = p$. Let $W = S_G \cap wS_Gw^{-1}$. Then one has

$$p \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$$

Let R_p be the image of $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$ in $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, and let $G_p := R_p^\times$. Set

$$G_w := G \times G_p \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/(Np)\mathbb{Z})$$

Then $W = S_{G_w}$.

Proof. First, let us show that

$$p \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$$

Indeed, it is enough to prove that $p \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$, which is equivalent to

$$w_p^{-1} p \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot w_p \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

However, as $\det(w_p) = p$, we see that $w_p^{-1} \cdot p = \tilde{w}_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, thus the claim is trivial.

Now, let $x \in W$. Then, as $x \in S_G$, we may write $x = r \cdot g \cdot s$ with $r \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $g \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, $s \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, and $g \bmod N \in G$.

Write $g = g^p g_p$, with $g^p \in \prod_{l \neq p} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ and $g_p \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Recall also that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$. Since $x \in w S_G w^{-1}$, we have

$$r \cdot g^p \cdot w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \cdot s = r \cdot w^{-1} g w \cdot s = w^{-1} x w \in S_G$$

Thus, there exists $r' \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$r' \cdot g^p \cdot w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

In particular, for all $l \neq p$, we have $r' \cdot g_l \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$, and as $g_l \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$, we see that $r' \in \mathbb{Z}_l^\times$. Also, as

$$r' \cdot w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

and $g_p \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, we see that $r' \in \{\pm 1\}$, and $w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$g_p \in w_p GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1} \subseteq w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$$

As we already know $g_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, we see that $g_p \bmod p \in R_p$.

Since $g_p \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, it follows that $g \bmod p = g_p \bmod p \in G_p$, and from $g \bmod N \in G$, it follows that $g \bmod Np \in G \times G_p = G_w$. Thus we have shown that $x \in S_{G_w}$, so that $W \subseteq S_{G_w}$.

Conversely, assume $x \in S_{G_w}$. Then $x = r \cdot g \cdot s$ with $r \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $g \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$, $s \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, and $g \bmod Np \in G_w$.

It follows that $g \bmod N \in G$ and $g \bmod p \in G_p$. In particular, we see immediately that $x \in S_G$. Moreover,

$$w^{-1} x w = r \cdot g^p \cdot w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \cdot s$$

Since $g_p \bmod p = g \bmod p \in G_p \subseteq R_p$, we have

$$g_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$$

hence $w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Looking at the determinant, we see that it actually lies in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. In particular,

$$g' = g^p \cdot w_p^{-1} g_p w_p \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

and $g' \bmod N \in G$. Therefore, $w^{-1} x w \in S_G$, showing equality. \square

We now show how to use strong approximation to rewrite the operator $T(w)$ in the classical description of modular curves.

Lemma 4.4.14. *Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup. Let $p \in \det(G)$ be a prime. Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$, and $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is such that $\det(w_p) = p$. Then the correspondence $X(w)$ can be realized as*

$$\Gamma_G z \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_G / \Gamma_{G_w}} \Gamma_G \cdot q(w) \cdot \alpha^{-1} z$$

for some $q(w) \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, explicitly constructed as a function of w . Therefore T_p is realized as $f \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_G / \Gamma_{G_w}} f|_{q(w) \cdot \alpha^{-1}}$.

Proof. Let $\delta_p \in G$ be an element such that $\det(\delta_p) = p$. Let $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(w_p) = p$, and let $\alpha \in \Gamma_G$. Then

$$\det(\delta_p^{-1} \cdot \lambda_N(w_p)) = \det(\delta(p))^{-1} \cdot \det(w_p) = p^{-1} \cdot p = 1$$

so that $\delta_p^{-1} \cdot \lambda_N(w_p) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\lambda_N : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective, there exists $\beta_p \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\lambda_N(\beta_p) = \delta_p^{-1} \cdot \lambda_N(w_p)$$

Let $q(w) = \beta_p \cdot pw_p^{-1} \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. Then we have

$$\alpha \cdot q(w)^{-1} = p^{-1} \cdot \alpha w_p \beta_p^{-1} = p^{-1} \cdot \alpha w \cdot w^{-1} w_p \beta_p^{-1}$$

Let $s = w^{-1} w_p \beta_p^{-1}$. Then $s_p = w_p^{-1} w_p \beta_p^{-1} = \beta_p^{-1} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Also, for all $l \neq p$, one has $s_l = w_p \cdot \beta_p^{-1}$, so that $\det(s_l) = \det(w_p) \cdot \det(\beta_p)^{-1} = p$ and $s_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$, showing that $s_l \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$. Moreover,

$$\lambda_N(s) = \lambda_N(w_p) \cdot \lambda_N(\beta_p)^{-1} = \delta_p \in G$$

showing that $s \in S_G$. Therefore $\alpha w = \alpha \cdot q(w)^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$, so that (recall that $p \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ acts trivially)

$$[z, \alpha w] = [z, p^{-1} \cdot \alpha w \cdot s] = [z, \alpha \cdot q(w)^{-1}] = [q(w) \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot z, 1]$$

By Lemma 4.4.13, $W = S_{G_w}$, hence

$$S_G/W \cong G \times GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})/G_w \cong \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w}$$

It follows that under the isomorphism $[z] \mapsto [z, 1]$, the correspondence $X(w)$ above is interpreted as

$$\Gamma_G z \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w}} \Gamma_G \cdot q(w) \cdot \alpha^{-1} z. \quad \square$$

4.4.2. Classical Definition. We can now use Lemma 4.4.14 to find an equivalent definition in classical terms of the Hecke operator T_p .

Lemma 4.4.15. *Let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(\alpha) = p$ and $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Then $T_\alpha = T_p$.*

Proof. Let $w \in GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ be such that $w_l = 1$ for all $l \neq p$, $w_p \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\det(w_p) = p$. By Lemma 4.4.14, the operator $T_p = T(w)$ is given by $f \mapsto \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w}} f|_{q(w) \cdot \beta^{-1}}$, where $q(w) \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$. By Lemma 4.4.13, we know that $G_w = G \times G_p$, with $G_p = R_p^\times$ where R_p is the image in $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ of $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1}$, therefore

$$\Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w} \cong (G \times GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})) / G_w \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})/G_p$$

Recall also that $\det(w_p) = p$, hence $w_p \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Write $w_p = \gamma_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \gamma_2$, for some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1} &= \gamma_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \gamma_2 M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \gamma_2^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \gamma_1^{-1} \\ &= \gamma_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \gamma_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap w_p M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) w_p^{-1} = \gamma_1 \cdot \Delta^0(p) \cdot \gamma_1^{-1}$$

where $\Delta^0(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid b \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$, and passing to the image in $M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, we get

$$G_p = R_p^\times = \bar{\gamma}_1 \cdot \Gamma^0(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \bar{\gamma}_1^{-1}$$

where $\Gamma^0(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices, and $\bar{\gamma}_1 \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

Also, if $\beta_p \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is such that $\lambda_N(\beta_p) = \lambda_N(p)^{-1} \cdot \lambda_N(\alpha) \cdot \lambda_N(w_p)$, then $\lambda_N(\beta_p \cdot pw_p^{-1}) = \lambda_N(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^{-1} \beta_p \cdot p \cdot w_p^{-1} \in \Gamma(N) \subseteq \Gamma$, so that $\Gamma \beta_p \cdot pw_p^{-1} = \Gamma \alpha$, thus $\Gamma \beta_p \cdot pw_p^{-1} \Gamma = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$, and so (as $T_\alpha(f) = \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma \alpha \Gamma} f|_\delta$), we may assume that $\beta_p \cdot pw_p^{-1} = \alpha$, hence $q(w) = \alpha$.

Note then that, as $p \cdot \alpha^{-1} = \gamma_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \gamma_1^{-1}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p(\alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) &= \lambda_p(\alpha^{-1} \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \alpha)^\times = \lambda_p(\gamma_1 \cdot \Delta^0(p) \cdot \gamma_1^{-1})^\times \\ &= \lambda_p(\gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma^0(p) \cdot \gamma_1^{-1}) = \bar{\gamma}_1 \cdot \Gamma^0(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \bar{\gamma}_1^{-1} = G_p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma/(\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})/G_p \cong \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w}$$

But we have bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma/(\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) &\rightarrow (\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1} \Gamma \alpha) \backslash \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \\ \beta &\mapsto \beta^{-1} \mapsto \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map $\beta \mapsto \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1} : \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ is a bijection, so that

$$T_\alpha(f) = \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma \alpha \Gamma} f|_\delta = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w}} f|_{\alpha \cdot \beta^{-1}} = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_G/\Gamma_{G_w}} f|_{q(w) \cdot \beta^{-1}} = T(w)(f) = T_p(f)$$

establishing the result. \square

Corollary 4.4.16. *Assume $p \nmid N$. Let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(\alpha) = p$ and $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Then T_α is independent of α . Moreover, if $\Gamma_G = \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ and $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ is an eigenform of the Hecke algebra then $T_\alpha f = a_p f$.*

This allows us to define the Hecke operators at primes not dividing the level (and by multiplicativity to all n such that $(n, N) = 1$).

Definition 4.4.17. Let n be such that $(n, N) = 1$ and $n \in \det(G)$. Let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(\alpha) = n$ and $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Let $T_n := T_\alpha$.

Therefore, Algorithm 4.2.1 can be used to compute the Hecke operators T_n , when n is coprime to N .

Remark 4.4.18. Note that the definition of the Hecke operators $\{T_n\}$, although independent of the choice of representatives, does depend on G , and not only on Γ_G . This is not just an artifact of the proof, as the following example shows.

Example 4.4.19. Let

$$G_1 = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}) \mid d \in (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^\times \right\}.$$

Let $G_2 \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup

$$G_2 := \left\langle \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})$$

which is an abelian group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Then $\Gamma_{G_1} = \Gamma_{G_2} = \Gamma(7) \cdot \{\pm 1\}$. But while $T_2^{G_1}$ on $S_2(\Gamma(7))$ is the familiar Hecke operator T_α for $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $T_2^{G_2}$ on $S_2(\Gamma(7))$ is simply the identity, since $\alpha \notin G_2$.

Remark 4.4.20. As in [29, Proposition 3.31], one can show that the map

$$\Gamma\alpha\Gamma \mapsto SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\alpha SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

for all $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{N}(G)$, defines a homomorphism on the Hecke algebras.

However, as the above example shows, this homomorphism is not injective, and elements α in different cosets of $G \backslash \mathfrak{N}(G)$ give rise to different operators.

4.5. Efficient Implementation of the Hecke Operators T_n^\vee , $n \in \det(G)$. Algorithm 4.2.1 is not as efficient as we would have liked. Specifically, the logarithmic factor obtained from the passage to modular symbols is, in practice, due to the constant, a particularly high cost. We therefore use the ideas of Merel in [23] to calculate, at least the operators $\{T_n\}$ more efficiently. Following Section 2.1 in [23], we introduce the definition of a Merel pair.

Definition 4.5.1. Let $\Delta \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $\Gamma\Delta = \Delta\Gamma$ and such that $\Gamma \backslash \Delta$ is finite. Let $\tilde{\Delta} = \{g \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \tilde{g} := g^{-1} \det(g) \in \Delta\}$. Let $\phi : \tilde{\Delta} \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a map such that

1. For all $\gamma \in \tilde{\Delta} \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have $\Gamma \cdot \phi(\gamma g) = \Gamma \cdot \phi(\gamma) \cdot g$.
2. For all $\gamma \in \tilde{\Delta} \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\gamma \cdot \phi(\gamma)^{-1} \in \tilde{\Delta}$ (or equivalently $\phi(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{\gamma} \in \Delta$).
3. The map $\Gamma \backslash \Delta \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta} \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) / SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which associates to $\Gamma\delta$ the element $\tilde{\delta}SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is injective. (it is necessarily surjective).

We say that the pair (Δ, ϕ) is a *Merel pair* for Γ .

We can now state Merel's condition (C_Δ) :

Definition 4.5.2. Let $\sum u_M M \in \mathbb{C}[M_2(\mathbb{Z})]$, and let (Δ, ϕ) be a Merel pair for Γ . We will say that $\sum u_M M$ satisfies the condition (C_Δ) if and only if for all $K \in \tilde{\Delta}SL_2(\mathbb{Z})/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have the following equality in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})]$:

$$\sum_{M \in K} u_M ([M\infty] - [M0]) = [\infty] - [0].$$

Finally, we recall the following extremely useful theorem.

Theorem 4.5.3 ([23, Theorem 4]). *Let $P \in \mathbb{C}_{k-2}[X, Y]$ and $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let (Δ, ϕ) be a Merel pair for Γ . Let $\sum u_M M \in \mathbb{C}[M_2(\mathbb{Z})]$ satisfy the condition (C_Δ) . We have in $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$*

$$T_\Delta^\vee([P, g]) = \sum_{M, gM \in \tilde{\Delta}SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} u_M [P|_{\tilde{M}}, \phi(gM)]$$

We also recall Merel's condition (C_n) .

Definition 4.5.4. Denote by $M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n$ the set of matrices of $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of determinant n . We say that an element $\sum_M u_M M \in \mathbb{C}[M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n]$ satisfies the condition (C_n) if for all $K \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})]$

$$\sum_{M \in K} u_M ([M\infty] - [M0]) = [\infty] - [0].$$

Corollary 4.5.5. *Let (Δ_n, ϕ_n) be a Merel pair for Γ with $\Delta_n \subseteq M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n$. Let $\sum_M u_M M$ satisfy the condition (C_n) , then in $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$*

$$T_{\Delta_n}^\vee([P, g]) = \sum_M u_M [P|_{\tilde{M}}, \phi_n(gM)]$$

where the sum is restricted to matrices M such that $gM \in \tilde{\Delta}_n SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Example 4.5.6. Consider the following examples.

(1) Let

$$\Delta_n := \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = n, N \mid c, N \mid a - 1 \right\}$$

and $\phi_n : \tilde{\Delta}_n SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a map such that $\pi(\phi_n(g)) = (0 : 1) \cdot \lambda_N(g) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, where $\pi : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is the natural surjection. Then in [23, Lemma 1], Merel shows that (Δ_n, ϕ_n) is a Merel pair for $\Gamma_1(N)$, which is key to modern efficient implementation of Hecke operators.

(2) Let $p > 2$ be a prime, and let $u \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ be a non-square. Let

$$\Delta_n := \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid \det(g) = n, p \mid a - d, p \mid b - uc \right\}$$

and $\phi_n : \tilde{\Delta}_n SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a map such that $\phi_n(g)^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{u} = \lambda_p(g)^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{u}$, where the action of $\tilde{\Delta}_n SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} - \mathbb{F}_p$ is via Mobius transformations. Then similarly (Δ_n, ϕ_n) is a Merel pair for $\Gamma_{ns}(p)$ - the nonsplit Cartan subgroup of level p .

Let us write $G_0 := G \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, and let $\pi : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G_0 \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be the natural map $\pi(g) = G_0 \cdot \lambda_N(g)$, inducing an isomorphism $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong G_0 \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let $s : G_0 \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a section of π .

Let $\delta_n \in G$ be an element such that $\det(\delta_n) = n$, and let

$$(4.5.7) \quad \Delta_n := \{\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(\alpha) = n, \lambda_N(\alpha) \in G\}$$

Let $\phi_n : M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the map defined by

$$\phi_n(\alpha) = s(G_0 \cdot n^{-1} \delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(\alpha))$$

Proposition 4.5.8. *(Δ_n, ϕ_n) is a Merel pair.*

Proof. First, note that $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$ if and only if

$$\det(\alpha) = \det(\tilde{\alpha}) = n \text{ and } n\lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1} = \lambda_N(\tilde{\alpha}) \in G.$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{\Delta}_n = \{\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(\alpha) = n, n^{-1}\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G\}.$$

Next, we verify the three conditions in Definition 4.5.1:

1. Let $\gamma \in \tilde{\Delta}_n SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\phi_n(\gamma g)) &= G_0 \cdot n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(\gamma g) = G_0 \cdot n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(\gamma) \cdot \lambda_N(g) \\ &= \pi(\phi_n(\gamma)) \cdot \lambda_N(g) = G_0 \cdot \lambda_N(\phi_n(\gamma)) \cdot \lambda_N(g) \\ &= G_0 \cdot \lambda_N(\phi_n(\gamma)g) = \pi(\phi_n(\gamma)g) \end{aligned}$$

hence $\Gamma \cdot \phi_n(\gamma g) = \Gamma \cdot \phi_n(\gamma)g$.

2. Let $g \in \tilde{\Delta}_n SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that $n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(g) \in G_0$. Then $n^{-1}\lambda_N(g) \in G$, hence $g \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$. Thus $g \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$ iff $n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(g) \in G_0$. Now, note that

$$G_0 \cdot n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(g) = \pi(\phi_n(g)) = G_0 \cdot \lambda_N(\phi_n(g))$$

hence

$$n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(g\phi_n(g)^{-1}) \in G_0$$

showing that $g\phi_n(g)^{-1} \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$.

3. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta_n$ be such that $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. By definition $\lambda_N(\tilde{\delta}_1), \lambda_N(\tilde{\delta}_2) \in G$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_N(\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}) &= \lambda_N(\tilde{\delta}_1^{-1} \cdot n \cdot n^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\delta}_2) = \\ &= \lambda_N(\tilde{\delta}_1^{-1}\tilde{\delta}_2) = \lambda_N(\tilde{\delta}_1)^{-1} \cdot \lambda_N(\tilde{\delta}_2) \in G \end{aligned}$$

hence $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \in \Gamma$. □

Corollary 4.5.9. *Assume $n \in \det(G)$. Let $\sum_M u_M M$ satisfy the condition (C_n) . Then, in $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_G)$*

$$(4.5.10) \quad T_n^\vee([P, g]) = \sum_M u_M [P|_{\tilde{M}}, \phi_n(gM)].$$

Proof. By Definition 4.4.17 and (4.5.7), we see that $T_n^\vee = T_\alpha^\vee$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_n$, i.e. $T_n^\vee = T_{\Delta_n}^\vee$. The corollary now follows from Corollary 4.5.5, once we realize that $M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n = \tilde{\Delta}_n \cdot SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Indeed, for $M \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})_n$, consider

$$g = M \cdot \phi_n(M)^{-1} = M \cdot s(G_0 \cdot n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(M))^{-1}$$

Then

$$\lambda_N(g)^{-1} = \lambda_N(\phi_n(M)) \cdot \lambda_N(M)^{-1} \in G_0 \cdot n^{-1}\delta_n \cdot \lambda_N(M) \cdot \lambda_N(M)^{-1} = G_0 \cdot n^{-1}\delta_n$$

hence

$$n^{-1}\lambda_N(g) \in \delta_n \cdot G_0 \subseteq G$$

showing that $g \in \tilde{\Delta}_n$. □

Corollary 4.5.11. *Computation of the Hecke operator T_p on $S_k(\Gamma_G)$, for $p \in \det(G)$, can be done in $O(p \log p)$ basic CosetIndex operations.*

Proof. In [22, Proposition 8], Merel shows that one can compute a certain set \mathcal{S}_n of $O(\sigma_1(n) \cdot \log n)$ matrices, which in [23, Proposition 18], he proves to satisfy condition (C_n) (Definition 4.5.4). Therefore, by Corollary 4.5.9, it is enough to compute, for a vector $[P, g] \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$, the sum (4.5.10), implying the resulting complexity for computation of the operator T_p^\vee on $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_G)$.

Let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(\alpha) = p$ and $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Then by definition $T_\alpha = T_p$. Since $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$ and G is of real type, by Corollary 4.1.9, one can then compute T_p^\vee on $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_G)$. Finally, from Proposition 4.1.7 and Theorem 3.6.6, the result follows. \square

Remark 4.5.12. In general, the computation of the Hecke operators $\{T_n\}$ for $(n, N) = 1$, can be done by using their multiplicativity property, so Corollary 4.5.11 is all that's needed, and gives a slightly better complexity of $O(p_{\max} \cdot \log n)$ where p_{\max} is the largest prime factor of n .

Note also that this gives an improvement by a factor of $\log(N)$ over Algorithm 4.2.1, which is very significant in practice.

We would also like to mention that under some mild assumptions, the decomposition 3.3.2 is Hecke-equivariant, hence one can compute the Hecke operator on each of the isotypic subspaces separately.

Lemma 4.5.13. *Let $G \subseteq G' \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be such that G is normal in G' . Let $\varepsilon : G'/G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\times$ be a character, and let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(\alpha) = p$ is a prime and $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Then the subspace $S_k(\Gamma_G, \varepsilon)$ is invariant under T_α .*

Proof. Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_G, \varepsilon)$, and let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{G'}$. Let R be a set of coset representatives for $\Gamma_G \backslash \Gamma_{G'} \Gamma_G$. Then

$$T_\alpha(f)|_\gamma = \sum_{\delta \in R} f|_{\delta\gamma} = \sum_{\delta \in R} (f|_\gamma)|_{\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma} = \sum_{\delta \in R} (\varepsilon(\gamma) \cdot f)|_{\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma} = \varepsilon(\gamma) \sum_{\delta \in R} f|_{\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma}.$$

Since $\gamma \in \Gamma_{G'}$ and G is normal in G' , for any $x \in \Gamma_G$ we have $\lambda_N(\gamma^{-1}x\gamma) \in G$, hence $\gamma^{-1}x\gamma \in \Gamma_G$. Thus $\gamma^{-1}\Gamma_G\gamma = \Gamma_G$.

As $\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \Gamma_G\delta$, it follows that

$$\Gamma_G\gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma\Gamma_G = \gamma^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G\gamma = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \gamma^{-1}\Gamma_G\delta\gamma = \bigsqcup_{\delta \in R} \Gamma_G\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma.$$

However, since $\gamma \in \Gamma_{G'}$ and $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$, it follows that $\lambda_N(\gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma) \in G$, and so by [29, Lemma 3.29], we see that

$$\Gamma_G\gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma\Gamma_G = \{g \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = p \text{ and } \lambda_N(g) \in G\} = \Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G.$$

It follows that $T_\alpha(f) = \sum_{\delta \in R} f|_{\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma}$, so that $T_\alpha(f)|_\gamma = \varepsilon(\gamma) \cdot T_\alpha(f)$, hence the result. \square

Remark 4.5.14. Note that it is not enough to demand that Γ_G will be normal in $\Gamma_{G'}$. For example, if $t^2 \mid N$ and $t \mid 24$, then, as described in [25], there is an element $u_t \in \mathfrak{N}(\Gamma_0(N))$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_t)$ which commutes only with the Hecke operators T_p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod t$ (equivalently, p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_t)$). Indeed, u_t does not normalize the group $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ appearing in Example 2.1.3 (3).

5. DEGENERACY MAPS

Our next step would be to decompose our spaces of modular forms to irreducible modules for the Hecke algebra. This should be possible once we apply our algorithms from Section 4. The only obstacle in our path to obtain the eigenvectors lie in the fact that we still do not have a multiplicity one result due to the existence of oldforms. We therefore make a small detour, and describe the generalization to our situation of the degeneracy maps, and the decomposition to spaces of newforms.

5.1. Petersson Inner Product. Before we describe the degeneracy maps themselves, in order to obtain a good notion of oldforms, we briefly recall the definitions and properties of the Petersson inner product, so that we will consequently be able to define newforms.

Definition 5.1.1. Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup. The *Petersson inner product*,

$$\langle, \rangle_\Gamma : S_k(\Gamma) \times S_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

is given by

$$(5.1.2) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_\Gamma = \frac{1}{V_\Gamma} \int_{X_\Gamma} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} (\Im(\tau))^k d\mu(\tau)$$

where $d\mu\tau = \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$ is the hyperbolic measure on \mathcal{H} and $V_\Gamma = \int_{X_\Gamma} d\mu\tau$ is the volume of X_Γ .

The following standard results then help us to see that it is indeed a well defined inner product, and to compute adjoints of Hecke operators with respect to this inner product.

Proposition 5.1.3 ([14, Section 5.4 and Proposition 5.5.2]). *Let $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup. The integral in the Petersson inner product (5.1.2) is well defined and convergent. The pairing is linear in f , conjugate linear in g , Hermitian-symmetric and positive definite. Let $\alpha \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, and set $\alpha' := \det(\alpha) \cdot \alpha^{-1}$. Then*

- (1) *If $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ then for all $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ and $g \in S_k(\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha)$*

$$\langle f|_\alpha, g \rangle_{\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha} = \langle f, g|_{\alpha'} \rangle_\Gamma.$$

- (2) *For all $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma)$,*

$$\langle T_\alpha f, g \rangle = \langle f, T_{\alpha'} g \rangle.$$

In particular, if $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha = \Gamma$ then the adjoint of $f \mapsto f|_\alpha$ is $g \mapsto g|_{\alpha'}$ and in any case $T_\alpha^ = T_{\alpha'}$.*

The correspondence between α and α' forces us to consider some more operators on this space.

Definition 5.1.4. Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, and let $\mathfrak{N}(G)$ be its normalizer in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Then the quotient $Q := \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}/\Gamma_G$ acts naturally on $M_k(\Gamma_G)$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q \rangle : M_k(\Gamma_G) &\rightarrow M_k(\Gamma_G) \\ f &\mapsto f|_\alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} \text{ with } \alpha \equiv q \pmod{\Gamma_G}. \end{aligned}$$

This operator is called a *diamond operator*.

For any irreducible representation $\rho : Q \rightarrow GL_{n_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$, we let

$$M_k(\Gamma_G, \rho) := \rho \otimes \text{Hom}_Q(\rho, M_k(\Gamma_G)) \hookrightarrow M_k(\Gamma_G)$$

be the ρ -isotypic component of $M_k(\Gamma)$. Then $M_k(\Gamma_G) = \bigoplus_\rho M_k(\Gamma_G, \rho)$ where ρ runs over all irreducible representations of Q .

Lemma 5.1.5. *Let $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$, and let $q \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}/\Gamma_G$. Then $T_\alpha \circ \langle q \rangle = \langle q \rangle \circ T_\alpha$.*

Proof. Denote by $\tilde{q} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}$ an element such that $\tilde{q} \equiv q \pmod{\Gamma_G}$. Since $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$ and $\lambda_N(\tilde{q}) \in \mathfrak{N}(G)$, we see that

$$\lambda_N(\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha\tilde{q}) = \lambda_N(\tilde{q})^{-1}\lambda_N(\alpha)\lambda_N(\tilde{q}) \in \lambda_N(\tilde{q})^{-1}G\lambda_N(\tilde{q}) = G$$

Moreover, $\det(\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha\tilde{q}) = \det(\alpha)$, so that $\lambda_N(\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha\tilde{q}) \in G_0\lambda_N(\alpha)$. By [29, Lemma 3.29 (a)], it follows that $\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha\tilde{q} \in \Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G$ hence

$$\tilde{q}^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G\tilde{q} = \Gamma_G\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha\tilde{q}\Gamma_G = \Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G$$

so that if $\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_G\alpha_i$ is a disjoint union, then

$$\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G = \tilde{q}^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha\Gamma_G\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}^{-1} \left(\bigsqcup_i \Gamma_G\alpha_i \right) \tilde{q} = \bigsqcup_i \tilde{q}^{-1}\Gamma_G\alpha_i\tilde{q} = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_G\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha\tilde{q}.$$

Therefore, for any $f \in M_k(\Gamma_G)$ we have

$$\langle q \rangle T_\alpha f = \sum_i f|_{\alpha_i\tilde{q}} = \sum_i f|_{\tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha_i\tilde{q}} = \sum_i (\langle q \rangle f)|_{\tilde{q}^{-1}\alpha_i\tilde{q}} = T_\alpha \langle q \rangle f. \quad \square$$

Before we continue, we need a simple Lemma, which is a variant of [29, Lemma 3.29].

Lemma 5.1.6. *Let $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$ be congruence subgroups of levels N_1, N_2 respectively. Let*

$$\Phi_i = \{\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det \alpha > 0, (\det(\alpha), N_i) = 1, \lambda_{N_i}(\Gamma_i\alpha) = \lambda_N(\alpha\Gamma_i)\}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

Then the following assertions hold.

- (1) $\Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_1 = \{\xi \in \Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2 \mid \lambda_{N_1}(\xi) \in \lambda_{N_1}(\Gamma_1 \cdot \alpha)\}$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_1$.
- (2) $\Gamma(N_1)\alpha\Gamma(N_1) = \Gamma(N_1)\beta\Gamma(N_1)$ if and only if $\Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2\beta\Gamma_2$ and $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{N_1}$.
(for α, β such that $(\det \alpha, N_1) = (\det \beta, N_1) = 1$)
- (3) $\Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_2$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_2$ and $(\det \alpha, N_1) = 1$.
- (4) $\Gamma_i\alpha\Gamma_i = \Gamma_i\alpha\Gamma(N_i) = \Gamma(N_i)\alpha\Gamma_i$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- (5) If $\alpha \in \Phi_1$ and $\Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_1 = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_1\alpha_i$, then $\Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2 = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_2\alpha_i$.

Proof. First, (4) is simply a restatement of [29, Lemma 3.29 (4)]. To show (3), note that $\gcd(N_1, \det \alpha \cdot N_2) = N_2$. By [29, Lemma 3.28] and [29, Lemma 3.9], we have

$$\Gamma(N_2) = \Gamma(N_2 \cdot \det \alpha) \cdot \Gamma(N_1) \subseteq \alpha^{-1}\Gamma(N_2)\alpha\Gamma(N_1)$$

so that $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma(N_2)\alpha\Gamma(N_2) \subseteq \alpha^{-1}\Gamma(N_2)\alpha\Gamma(N_1)$. Hence $\Gamma(N_2)\alpha\Gamma(N_2) \subseteq \Gamma(N_2)\alpha\Gamma(N_1) \subseteq \Gamma(N_2)\alpha\Gamma_1$. Therefore $\Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma(N_2) \subseteq \Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2$. But from (4), as $\alpha \in \Phi_2$, we know that there is an equality $\Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma(N_2) = \Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2$. This shows (3). Next, to see (1) note that by [29, Lemma 3.29 (1)], we have $\Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_1 = \{\xi \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\alpha SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \lambda_{N_1}(\xi) \in \lambda_{N_1}(\Gamma_1 \cdot \alpha)\}$. Since we also know $\Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2\alpha\Gamma_2$, (1) follows. (2) is a special case of (1). Finally, let $\alpha \in \Phi_1$,

and $\Gamma_1 \alpha \Gamma_1 = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_1 \alpha_i$. Then $\Gamma_2 \alpha \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2 \alpha \Gamma_1 = \bigcup_i \Gamma_2 \alpha_i$ by (3). Assume $\Gamma_2 \alpha_i = \Gamma_2 \alpha_j$. Then $\alpha_i = \gamma \alpha_j$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$. By (1)

$$\lambda_{N_1}(\alpha_i) \in \lambda_{N_1}(\Gamma_1) \cdot \lambda_{N_1}(\alpha) = \lambda_{N_1}(\Gamma_1) \cdot \lambda_{N_1}(\alpha_j).$$

Thus, there exists some $\delta \in \Gamma_1$ such that $\alpha_i \equiv \delta \alpha_j \pmod{N_1}$. It follows that $\gamma \equiv \delta \pmod{N_1}$. Since $\Gamma(N_1) \subseteq \Gamma_1$, we have $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. This proves (5). \square

We may now state the adjoints of the Hecke operators with respect to the Petersson inner product.

Theorem 5.1.7. *In the inner product spaces $S_k(\Gamma_G)$, the diamond operators $\langle q \rangle$ and the Hecke operator T_p for $p \in \det(G)$ a prime, have adjoints*

$$\langle q \rangle^* = \langle q \rangle^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_p^* = \langle \sigma_p \rangle \cdot T_p$$

where $\sigma_p \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}$ is an element such that $\lambda_N(\sigma_p) = p \cdot \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-2}$, and $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is any element with $\det(\alpha) = p$ such that $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$. Thus the Hecke operators $\langle q \rangle$ and T_n for $n \in \det(G)$ are normal.

Proof. The first assertion is just a restatement of Proposition 5.1.3 (1). For the second assertion, we note that if $\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is such that $\lambda_N(\alpha) \in G$ and $\det(\alpha) = p$, then $\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}$. Indeed, if $\nu \in \mathfrak{N}(G)$ then for any $g \in G$ we have

$$(\lambda_N(\alpha) \nu \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1}) g (\lambda_N(\alpha) \nu^{-1} \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1}) \in \lambda_N(\alpha) \nu G \nu^{-1} \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1} \subseteq G$$

so that $\lambda_N(\alpha) \nu \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{N}(G)$.

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1.6 (3), we see that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} \alpha \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} = \Gamma_G \alpha \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}$. Moreover, we have

$$\lambda_N(\alpha') = \det(\alpha) \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{N}(G)$$

hence $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} \alpha' \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} = \Delta_p^{\mathfrak{N}(G)} = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} \alpha \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)} = \Gamma_G \alpha \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}$.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}$ be such that $\alpha' \in \Gamma_G \alpha \gamma$. Now, as γ normalizes Γ_G we see that $\Gamma_G \alpha' \Gamma_G = \Gamma_G \alpha \gamma \Gamma_G = \Gamma_G \alpha \Gamma_G \gamma$ and hence if $\Gamma_G \alpha \Gamma_G = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_G \alpha_i$, then $\Gamma_G \alpha' \Gamma_G = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_G \alpha_i \gamma$. It then follows from Proposition 5.1.3 (2) that $T_p^* = T_\alpha^* = T_{\alpha'} = \langle \gamma \rangle T_\alpha$. Finally, we note that

$$\lambda_N(\gamma) \in \lambda_N(\Gamma_G) \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-1} \lambda_N(\alpha') \subseteq G \cdot p \lambda_N(\alpha)^{-2} = G \cdot \lambda_N(\sigma_p)$$

so that $\gamma \in \Gamma_G \cdot \sigma_p$, showing that $\langle \gamma \rangle = \langle \sigma_p \rangle$. \square

Remark 5.1.8. The σ_p actually acts via a character. Indeed, if $A \subseteq \mathfrak{N}(G)$ is such that A/G is abelian, and A contains the center of diagonal matrices, then $\sigma_p \in A$ and all the irreducible representations of A/G are 1-dimensional.

This leads to the following Theorem.

Corollary 5.1.9. *The space $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ has an orthogonal basis of simultaneous eigenvectors for the Hecke operators $\{T_n \mid n \in \det(G)\}$ and the diamond operators $\{\langle q \rangle \mid q \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}/\Gamma_G\}$.*

Proof. This is a corollary of the Spectral Theorem for a family of commuting normal operators. \square

Definition 5.1.10. We say that a modular form $0 \neq f \in S_k(\Gamma_G)$ is an *eigenform* if for all $n \in \det(G)$, f is an eigenvector of T_n and for all $q \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G)}/\Gamma_G$, f is an eigenvector of $\langle q \rangle$.

5.2. Degeneracy Maps. We begin by defining degeneracy maps in general, on our space of modular symbols.

Definition 5.2.1. Let $\Gamma_1 \trianglelefteq \Gamma'_1$, $\Gamma_2 \trianglelefteq \Gamma'_2$, be two pairs of finite index subgroups in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\varepsilon_i : \Gamma'_i/\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)^\times$ for $i = 1, 2$ be characters. Let $t \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $t^{-1}\Gamma'_1 t \subseteq \Gamma'_2$, and $\varepsilon_2 \circ \text{Inn}(t)^{-1}|_{\Gamma'_1} = \varepsilon_1$. Fix a choice R_t of coset representatives for $\Gamma'_1 \backslash t \cdot \Gamma'_2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t^\vee : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_1, \varepsilon_1) &\rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2), & \beta_t^\vee : \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2) &\rightarrow \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_1, \varepsilon_1) \\ \alpha_t^\vee(x) &= t^{-1}x & \beta_t^\vee(x) &= \sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t\gamma_2 \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

We show that these operators are well defined.

Lemma 5.2.2. *The operators α_t^\vee and β_t^\vee are well defined, and moreover the composition $\alpha_t^\vee \circ \beta_t^\vee$ is multiplication by $[\Gamma'_2 : t^{-1}\Gamma'_1 t]$.*

Proof. First, note that as $t^{-1}\Gamma'_1 t \subseteq \Gamma'_2$, for any $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma'_1$, $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma'_2$ one has

$$\gamma_1 t \gamma_2 = t t^{-1} \gamma_1 t \gamma_2 \in t \Gamma'_2$$

so that Γ'_1 indeed acts on $t \Gamma'_2$. To show that α_t is well defined, we must show that for each $x \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_1, \varepsilon_1)$ and $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma'_1$, we have

$$\alpha_t^\vee(\gamma_1 x - \varepsilon_1(\gamma_1)x) = 0 \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2)$$

We have, by assumption

$$\alpha_t^\vee(\gamma_1 x) = t^{-1} \gamma_1 x = t^{-1} \gamma_1 t t^{-1} x = \varepsilon_2(t^{-1} \gamma_1 t) t^{-1} x = \varepsilon_1(\gamma_1) \alpha_t^\vee(x)$$

hence the result.

We next verify that β_t is well defined.

Suppose that $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma'_1$ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma'_2$, then

$$\gamma_1 t \gamma_2 = t(t^{-1} \gamma_1 t) \gamma_2$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2)$, we have

$$(5.2.3) \quad \varepsilon_2((t^{-1} \gamma_1 t) \gamma_2)^{-1} \gamma_1 t \gamma_2 x = \varepsilon_1(\gamma_1)^{-1} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} \gamma_1 t \gamma_2 x = \varepsilon_1(\gamma_1)^{-1} \gamma_1 (\varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t \gamma_2 x)$$

But as $\varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t \gamma_2 x \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_1, \varepsilon_1)$, (5.2.3) is simply $\varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t \gamma_2 x$.

Thus, replacing the representative $t \gamma_2$ by $\gamma_1 t \gamma_2$ does not change the result, so that β_t^\vee is independent of the choice of the representatives R_t .

Next, we must show that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma'_2$ and any $x \in \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2)$, $\beta_t^\vee(\gamma x) = \varepsilon_2(\gamma) \beta_t^\vee(x)$. However, for $\gamma \in \Gamma'_2$, using the fact that β_t is independent of the choice of representatives, and that Γ'_2 acts on $\Gamma'_1 \backslash t \Gamma'_2$ by right translations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t^\vee(\gamma x) &= \sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t \gamma_2 \gamma x = \sum_{t\gamma_2 \gamma^{-1} \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2 \gamma^{-1})^{-1} t \gamma_2 \gamma^{-1} \gamma x \\ &= \varepsilon_2(\gamma) \sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t \gamma_2 x = \varepsilon_2(\gamma) \beta_t^\vee(x) \end{aligned}$$

To compute $\alpha_t^\vee \circ \beta_t^\vee$, we use that $\#R_t = [\Gamma'_2 : t^{-1}\Gamma'_1 t]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t^\vee(\beta_t^\vee(x)) &= \alpha_t^\vee \left(\sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} t\gamma_2 x \right) \\ &= \sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} \gamma_2 x = \sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} x = [\Gamma'_2 : t^{-1}\Gamma'_1 t]x. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We also note that by using the pairing (3.6.1) we can get maps on spaces of cusp forms.

Definition 5.2.4. Let $\Gamma_1 \trianglelefteq \Gamma'_1$, $\Gamma_2 \trianglelefteq \Gamma'_2$, be two pairs of finite index subgroups in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\varepsilon_i : \Gamma'_i/\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_i)^\times$ for $i = 1, 2$ be characters. Let $t \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ be such that $t^{-1}\Gamma'_1 t \subseteq \Gamma'_2$, and $\varepsilon_2 \circ \text{Inn}(t)^{-1}|_{\Gamma'_1} = \varepsilon_1$. Fix a choice R_t of coset representatives for $\Gamma'_1 \backslash t \cdot \Gamma'_2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t : S_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2) &\rightarrow S_k(\Gamma_1, \varepsilon_1), & \beta_t : S_k(\Gamma_1, \varepsilon_1) &\rightarrow S_k(\Gamma_2, \varepsilon_2) \\ f &\mapsto f|_{t^{-1}} & f &\mapsto \sum_{t\gamma_2 \in R_t} \varepsilon_2(\gamma_2)^{-1} f|_{t\gamma_2} \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Degeneracy maps and Hecke operators. Next, as in the classical theory for Iwahori level, we would like to show that the degeneracy maps commute with the Hecke operators. Alas, this is not always the case as the following example shows.

Example 5.3.1. Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma(7)$ and let $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{ns}(7)$ be the non-split Cartan at level 7. Then there is a natural inclusion map $\alpha_1^\vee : \mathbb{M}_2(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(\Gamma_2)$, but α_1 does not commute with the standard Hecke operators for these spaces. In this case $\dim S_2(\Gamma_{ns}(7)) = 1$ is spanned by a single element f . We have $\alpha_1(f) = f \in S_2(\Gamma(7))$, but f is not an eigenform of the Hecke algebra on $\Gamma(7)$. Indeed, there are 3 such eigenforms, whose q -expansions are all defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, while the q -expansion of f is only defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)^+$.

In the next proposition we describe the conditions under which the degeneracy maps commute with the Hecke operators.

Proposition 5.3.2. *Let $N_G \mid N_H$ be positive integers. Let $H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_H\mathbb{Z})$ and $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_G\mathbb{Z})$ be subgroups such that $\lambda_{N_G}(H) \subseteq G$. Let p be a prime number such that $p \in \det(H)$, and let $t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $N_G \cdot \det(t) \mid N_H$ and $t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \subseteq \Gamma_G$. Let $T_{p,?}^\vee$ be the Hecke operator at p on the space $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma_?)$ for $? \in \{G, H\}$. Then*

$$T_{p,G}^\vee \circ \alpha_t^\vee = \alpha_t^\vee \circ T_{p,H}^\vee.$$

Proof. Denote $d := \det(t)$, so that $d \cdot t^{-1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \subseteq \Gamma_G$, we have also $d \cdot t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \subseteq d \cdot \Gamma_G$ so that

$$(5.3.3) \quad \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(dt^{-1}) \cdot \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(\Gamma_H) \cdot \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(t) = \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(dt^{-1}\Gamma_H t) \subseteq \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(d \cdot \Gamma_G) = d \cdot \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(\Gamma_G).$$

However, we also assumed $N_G \cdot d \mid N_H$, hence

$$(5.3.4) \quad \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(\Gamma_H) = \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(\lambda_{N_H}(\Gamma_H)) = \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(H).$$

Let

$$\Delta_p^? := \{\alpha \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(\alpha) = p \text{ and } \lambda_{N_?}(\alpha) \in ?\}, \quad ? \in \{G, H\}$$

and let $\alpha \in \Delta_p^H$. Then combining (5.3.3) and (5.3.4) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(dt^{-1}\alpha t) &= \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(dt^{-1})\lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(\lambda_{N_H}(\alpha))\lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(t) \\ &\in \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(dt^{-1})\lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(H)\lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(t) \subseteq d \cdot \lambda_{N_G \cdot d}(\Gamma_G).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, reducing modulo d , we see that $dt^{-1}\alpha t \in d \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z})$, hence $t^{-1}\alpha t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $\lambda_{N_G}(t^{-1}\alpha t) \in \lambda_{N_G}(\Gamma_G) = G$. It follows that $t^{-1}\alpha t \in \Delta_p^G$.

Since $p \in \det(H)$, we also get $p \in \det(\lambda_{N_G}(H)) \subseteq \det(G)$. Therefore, we have by [29, Lemma 3.29] that $\Delta_p^H = \Gamma_H \alpha \Gamma_H$ and $\Delta_p^G = \Gamma_G \cdot t^{-1}\alpha t \cdot \Gamma_G$.

Let $\Delta_p^H = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_H \alpha_i$ be its coset decomposition. Then

$$\begin{aligned}t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \cdot t^{-1}\alpha t \cdot t^{-1}\Gamma_H t &= t^{-1}\Gamma_H \alpha \Gamma_H t = t^{-1} \left(\bigsqcup_i \Gamma_H \alpha_i \right) t \\ &= \bigsqcup_i t^{-1}\Gamma_H \alpha_i t = \bigsqcup_i t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \cdot t^{-1}\alpha_i t.\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Lemma 5.1.6 (5) to $t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \subseteq \Gamma_G$ and $t^{-1}\alpha t$, we obtain that

$$(5.3.5) \quad \Delta_p^G = \Gamma_G \cdot t^{-1}\alpha t \cdot \Gamma_G = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_G \cdot t^{-1}\alpha_i t.$$

Finally, we use (5.3.5) to compute

$$T_{p,G}^\vee \circ \alpha_t^\vee(x) = \sum_i t^{-1}\alpha_i t \cdot t^{-1}x = t^{-1} \sum_i \alpha_i x = \alpha_t^\vee \circ T_{p,H}^\vee(x). \quad \square$$

We also note that by using the pairing (3.6.1) we have the same for cusp forms.

Corollary 5.3.6. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 5.3.2, $\alpha_t \circ T_{p,G} = T_{p,H} \circ \alpha_t$.*

Remark 5.3.7. Note that these do not cover all degeneracy maps. Indeed, there might be $t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $N_G \cdot \det(t) \nmid N_H$, but $t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \subseteq \Gamma_G$ and such that α_t commute with all the Hecke operators (though we must have $\det(t) \mid N_H$). As an example, consider $\Gamma_G = \Gamma_0(N)$, $\Gamma_H = \Gamma(N)$ and $t = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. However, as the proof shows, for α_t to commute with T_p , it is enough to check that $dt^{-1}\alpha_p t \in d \cdot M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ for all p , which is equivalent to verifying that $\lambda_d(dt^{-1})\lambda_d(\alpha_p)\lambda_d(t) = 0$. As this last expression only depends on $\lambda_{N_H}(\alpha_p)$, hence only on $p \bmod N_H$, we just have to check commutation with $\phi(N_H)$ elements. Therefore, one may include these degeneracy maps as well.

This motivates the following definition.

Definition 5.3.8. Let N be a positive integer. Let $H \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup. For each $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ such that $H \subseteq G$, we denote by N_G the level of G . Let T_G be a set of representatives for the orbits of Γ_H on the following set

$$(5.3.9) \quad T_G := \Gamma_H \backslash \{t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(t) \mid N/N_G \text{ and } t^{-1}\Gamma_H t \subseteq \Gamma_G\}.$$

Let i_G be the map

$$\begin{aligned} i_G : (S_k(\Gamma_G))^{T_G} &\rightarrow S_k(\Gamma_H) \\ (f_t)_{t \in T_G} &\mapsto \sum_{t \in T_G} \alpha_t(f_t) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{Over}(H)$ be the set of minimal overgroups of H in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. The subspace of *oldforms at level H* is

$$S_k(\Gamma_H)^{\text{old}} = \sum_{G \in \text{Over}(H)} i_G \left((S_k(\Gamma_G))^{T_G} \right)$$

and the subspace of *newforms at level H* is the orthogonal complement with respect to the Petersson inner product,

$$S_k(\Gamma_H)^{\text{new}} = (S_k(\Gamma_H)^{\text{old}})^{\perp}$$

We have to show that the sum in this definition is well defined.

Lemma 5.3.10. *Let N be a positive integer. Let $H \subseteq G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be subgroups. Denote by N_G the level of G . Let T_G be the set given in (5.3.9). Then T_G is finite.*

Proof. We first note that by the condition $\det(t) \mid N/N_G$, the determinant of t assumes finitely many values, hence it is enough to show that the subset $T_{G,d}$ of such t 's with $\det(t) = d$ is finite.

Next, we recall that if Δ_d is the set of matrices in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of determinant d , then $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \Delta_d$ is finite. Since Γ_H is of finite index in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the result follows. \square

The Hecke operators respect the decomposition of $S_k(\Gamma_G)$ into old and new.

Proposition 5.3.11. *The subspaces $S_k(\Gamma_G)^{\text{old}}$ and $S_k(\Gamma_G)^{\text{new}}$ are stable under the Hecke operators T_n for $n \in \det(G)$ and the diamond operators $\langle \sigma_p \rangle$, for $p \in \det(G)$ prime.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.3.6, the Hecke operators T_p for $p \in \det(G)$ commute with the degeneracy maps α_t . By multiplicativity, it extends to T_n such that $n \in \det(G)$.

For the diamond operators, let $G_i \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z})$ for $i = 1, 2$ be such that $\lambda_{N_2}(G_1) \subseteq G_2$, and let $t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\det(t) \mid N_1/N_2$ and $t^{-1}\Gamma_1 t \subseteq \Gamma_2$, where Γ_i is the congruence subgroup induced by G_i . Let $d = \det(t)$. Then $dt^{-1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and so if α is such that $\lambda_{N_1}(\alpha) \in G_1$ and $\det(\alpha) = p$, we have

$$\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(dt^{-1}\sigma_p t) = \lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(dt^{-1})\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(p \cdot \lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(\alpha)^{-2}) \cdot \lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(t) \in p \cdot \lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(dt^{-1})\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(G_1)\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(t)$$

However, since $t^{-1}\Gamma_1 t \subseteq \Gamma_2$, we also have

$$\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(dt^{-1})\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(G_1)\lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(t) \subseteq d \cdot \lambda_{N_2 \cdot d}(\Gamma_2)$$

hence $t^{-1}\sigma_p t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and moreover $\lambda_{N_2}(t^{-1}\sigma_p t) \in p \cdot \lambda_{N_2}(\Gamma_2) = p \cdot G_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{N}(G_2)$. It follows that $t^{-1}\sigma_p t \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{N}(G_2)}$, and so for any $f \in S_k(\Gamma_2)$ we have

$$(\langle \sigma_p \rangle \circ \alpha_t)(f) = f|_{t^{-1}\sigma_p} = f|_{t^{-1}\sigma_p t t^{-1}} = (\alpha_t \circ \langle t^{-1}\sigma_p t \rangle)(f).$$

Finally, we note that $\lambda_{N_2}(t^{-1}\sigma_p t) = p \cdot \lambda_{N_2}(t^{-1}\alpha t)^{-2}$, and that by the proof of Corollary 5.3.2, $t^{-1}\alpha t \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is an element such that $\lambda_{N_2}(t^{-1}\alpha t) \in G_2$. It follows that $\langle t^{-1}\sigma_p t \rangle$ is the diamond operator at p for G_2 . This concludes the proof. \square

6. ZETA FUNCTIONS AND q -EXPANSIONS

Using the results of Sections 4 and 5, we may now compute the zeta functions associated to eigenforms in $S_k(\Gamma_G)$.

All the ideas and methods used in this section are the same as in the case of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ or $\Gamma_0(N)$, and are known (see [33], [32]) and used to compute the q -expansions.

The process for doing so is divided to three steps:

- (1) Compute a subspace of $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)^\vee$ that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)$ as a Hecke module, denoted by $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^\vee$.
- (2) Decompose the space $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^\vee$ to irreducible Hecke modules.
- (3) For each irreducible Hecke module in the decomposition, find the system of Hecke eigenvalues, hence the zeta function.

6.1. Constructing Dual Vector Spaces. Since our interest lies in $S_k(\Gamma)$, we would like to have a vector space which is isomorphic to $S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma)$ as a Hecke module. We could do it by using the pairing (3.6.1), but that would involve computation of integrals and approximation if done directly.

Instead, we can use the fact that $S_k(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic as Hecke modules, thus leading to the following algorithm.

Algorithm 6.1.1. `DualVectorSpace(M)`. *Compute the dual vector space.*

Input : $M \subseteq M_k(\Gamma)$ a subspace.

Output : $M^\vee \subseteq M_k(\Gamma)^\vee$ which is isomorphic to M as a Hecke module.

- (1) $V := M_k(\Gamma)^\vee$, $p := 2$
- (2) While ($\dim V > \dim M$) do
 - (a) $T_p := \text{HeckeOperator}(V, p)$.
 - (b) $T_{p,M} := \text{HeckeOperator}(M_k(\Gamma), p)|_M$.
 - (c) $c_p := \text{CharPoly}(T_{p,M})$.
 - (d) $V := \ker(c_p(T_p))$.
 - (e) $p := \text{NextPrime}(p)$.
- (3) Return V .

Here, we assume the existence of a function `CharPoly`, that given an operator on a vector space, computes its characteristic polynomial.

Remark 6.1.2. We have not defined the Hecke operators T_n for $(n, N) > 1$, and these cannot be ignored (see [7, Example 12.2.11]). It is possible to circumvent this problem, as is done in [7, Section 8.10]. Practically when $p \mid N$, we use instead of T_p the double coset Hecke operators T_α with $\det(\alpha) = p$. There are finitely many such operators, and the operator T_p is a linear combination of them. Thus, for the purpose of describing the dual vector space, and later on, decomposition of the space to irreducible Hecke modules, these suffice.

6.2. Decomposition of $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)$. The following theorem is a generalization of [32, Theorem 9.23]. It is an application of Sturm's Theorem, which will enable us to decompose the Hecke module $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)$ in a finite (effectively bounded) amount of steps.

Theorem 6.2.1. Suppose Γ is a congruence subgroup of level N , and let

$$(6.2.2) \quad r = \text{Sturm}(k, \Gamma) := \left\lfloor \frac{km}{12} - \frac{m-1}{N} \right\rfloor$$

where $m = [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$. Then the Hecke algebra

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}[\dots, T_n, \dots] \subseteq \text{End}(S_k(\Gamma))$$

is generated as a \mathbb{Z} -module by the Hecke operators T_n for $n \leq r$.

Proof. Same as in [32, Theorem 9.23]. □

This allows us to decompose the space $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)^\vee = S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma)$ to irreducible Hecke modules, as follows.

Algorithm 6.2.3. Decomposition(M, p). *Decomposition to irreducible Hecke modules.*

Input :

- $M \subseteq \mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ - a vector subspace stable under the Hecke action.
- p - a prime.

Output : D , a list of subspaces of M , such that $M = \bigoplus_{V_d \in D} V_d$ and V_d^\vee is an irreducible Hecke module.

- (1) If $p \mid N$, replace by a larger prime such that $p \nmid N$.
- (2) $T_p := \text{HeckeOperator}(M^\vee, p)$, $D := \emptyset$.
- (3) $f := \text{CharPoly}(T_p)$. Write $f = \prod_{i=1}^l f_i^{a_i}$.
- (4) for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ do
 - (a) $V := \ker(f_i(T_p)^{a_i}) \subseteq M^\vee$, $W := V^\vee \subseteq M$.
 - (b) if $\text{IsIrreducible}(W)$
 - (i) $D := D \cup \{W\}$
 - else
 - (i) if $W = M$ then $q := \text{NextPrime}(p)$ else $q := 2$.
 - (ii) $D := D \cup \text{Decompose}(W, q)$.
- (5) Return D .

Here Decompose is a recursive call to the function, and IsIrreducible is creating a random linear combination of Hecke operators, and checks that its characteristic polynomial (on the plus subspace) is irreducible. One could eliminate the randomness to provably verify the irreducibility, as in [32, Chapter 7].

Calling Algorithm 6.2.3 with $M = \mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma)$, $p = 2$ we obtain the decomposition we wanted.

Note that the algorithm terminates if there are no eigenvectors with multiplicities, that is there are no oldforms arising as images of forms of lower levels. In that case, the number of steps in the algorithm can be bounded using 6.2.1.

In order to deal with the images of oldforms, we may begin by identifying the new subspace, and continuing recursively. The new subspace is computed by noting that it is dual to $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_G)^{\text{new}} := \bigcap_{G' \in \text{Over}(G), t \in T_{G'}} \ker(\alpha_t^\vee)$.

Also, in order to get the old subspace one need not compute the Petersson inner product, but instead note that it is generated by the images of the β_t^\vee .

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_G)^{\text{old}} := \langle \text{Im}(\beta_t^\vee) \rangle_{G' \in \text{Over}(G), t \in T_{G'}}.$$

6.3. Computing the zeta functions. Finally, for a subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_G)$ such that V^\vee is an irreducible Hecke module, we can compute the zeta function of an eigenform (and hence of all eigenforms in V^\vee).

Algorithm 6.3.1. *ZetaFunction(V, L). Compute the zeta function associated to an eigenform.*

Input :

- $V \subseteq \mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_G)$ such that V^\vee is an irreducible Hecke module.
- L - a positive integer.

Output : $Z(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ such that $T_n f = a_n f$ for all n (set $a_1 = 1$), given to precision L^{-s} .

- (1) Find (randomly) a linear combination of Hecke operators which is irreducible on $(V^\vee)^+$, denote it by T .
- (2) Let v be an eigenvector of T over the field $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_T(x)$, where $f_T = \text{CharPoly}(T)$.
- (3) Write $v = \sum_{j=1}^d c_j e_j^\vee$, where e_j are the basis vectors of $\mathbb{M}_k(\Gamma)$ given by Manin symbols, and $c_i \neq 0$.
- (4) For primes $p < L$, set $a_p := \frac{1}{c_i} \langle T_p(e_i), v \rangle$. Complete the other a_n 's using multiplicativity.
- (5) Return $\sum_{n=1}^{L-1} a_n n^{-s} + O(L^{-s})$.

We start by showing that the algorithm returns the correct output, and measure its complexity.

Lemma 6.3.2. *Let $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be a group of real type of index I_G with surjective determinant such that T_p is effectively computable for all $p \mid N$. There exists an algorithm that given a subspace V of $\mathbb{S}_k(\Gamma_G)$ of dimension d , such that V^\vee is irreducible as a module for the Hecke algebra, and an integer L , returns the zeta function associated to an eigenform up to precision L^{-s} in $O(C \log N (L \log L + N) + NI_G^2 \cdot \text{In} + d^3)$, where C is the cost of a basic CosetIndex operation and In is the cost of the group membership test for G .*

Proof. We apply Algorithm 6.3.1. Since G is of real type, by Corollary 4.1.9, the Hecke operator commutes with the star involution, so that step (1) makes sense. For any $p < L$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^d a_p c_j e_j^\vee = a_p v = T_p^\vee v.$$

In particular,

$$\langle T_p(e_i), v \rangle = \langle e_i, T_p^\vee v \rangle = \left\langle e_i, \sum_{j=1}^d a_p c_j e_j^\vee \right\rangle = a_p \cdot c_i.$$

For computation of the Hecke operators away from the level, we apply Corollary 4.5.11, while for the Hecke operators at primes $p \mid N$, we apply Theorem 4.2.10. Note that as the T_p are

effectively computable for all $p \mid N$, we may do so with no additional cost to our complexity. Therefore, the complexity of computing the Hecke operators is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.3.3) \quad & C \sum_{p < L, p \nmid N} p \log p + C \sum_{p < L, p \mid N} p \log(N^4 p) + \sum_{p < L, p \mid N} I_G^2 \cdot \text{In} \\
& = C \sum_{p < L} p \log p + 4C \log N \sum_{p < L, p \mid N} p + N I_G^2 \cdot \text{In} \\
& = O(C(L \log L + N) \log N + N I_G^2 \cdot \text{In}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the d^3 contribution comes from the linear algebra operations. \square

We can now pack everything together to produce the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3.4. *There exists an algorithm that given a group of real type $G \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ with surjective determinant such that for all $p \mid N$ the Hecke operator is effectively computable and a positive integer L , returns the zeta functions of the factors of $\text{Jac}(X_G)$ using*

$$O(d(C \log N(L \log L + N) + N I_G^2 \cdot \text{In} + I_G \log I_G) + d^3)$$

field operations, where $d := \dim S_2(\Gamma_G)$, $I_G := [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_G]$, C is the cost of a CosetIndex operation, and In is the cost of membership testing in G .

Proof. We first compute a basis for $S_2(\Gamma)$ using Theorem 3.5.1. Then we can apply Algorithm 6.2.3 to decompose it to irreducible subspaces. Here, we have to compute the matrices of the Hecke operators up to the Sturm bound (6.2.2), which is linear in I_G .

For computation of the Hecke operators away from the level, we apply Corollary 4.5.11, while for the Hecke operators at primes $p \mid N$, we apply Theorem 4.2.10, as in (6.3.3). Since we now compute their action on a basis, we have to multiply by d , and compute them up to I_G to obtain $O(d(C(I_G \log I_G + N) \log N + N I_G^2 \cdot \text{In}))$.

Finally, for each of the irreducible subspaces, we should apply Algorithm 6.3.1, which by 6.3.2 costs $O(C \log N(L \log L + N) + N I_G^2 \cdot \text{In} + d^3)$. \square

7. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present a few of the applications that the above result contributed to, and also some future applications. All time measurements were taken on a MacBook with 2.3 GHz 8-Core Intel Core i9 processor, and 16 GB 2400 MHz DDR4 memory.

7.1. Classification of 2-adic images of Galois representations associated to elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . In [26], the authors compute the maximal tower of 2-power level modular curves containing a non-cuspidal non-CM rational point, and develop special techniques to compute their equations. Using our implementation, one can obtain the q -expansions of a basis for $S_2(\Gamma)$ for each of those groups.

For example, in [26, Example 6.1] the authors present a curious example associated with the group H_{155} , which is of index 24 and level 16, whose image in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 12 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 12 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then a quick calculation of 1.78 seconds with our implementation yields the following.

```
> tt := Cputime();
> gens := [[1,3,12,3],[1,1,12,7],[1,3,0,3],[1,0,2,3]];
> N := 16;
> H_N := sub<GL(2,Integers(N)) | gens>;
> H := PSL2Subgroup(H_N);
> M := ModularSymbols(H, 2, Rationals(), 0);
> S := CuspidalSubspace(M);
> D := Decomposition(S, HeckeBound(S));
> qEigenform(D[1],100);
q - 4*q^5 - 3*q^9 - 4*q^13 - 2*q^17 + 11*q^25 - 4*q^29 +
12*q^37 - 10*q^41 + 12*q^45 - 7*q^49 - 4*q^53 + 12*q^61 +
16*q^65 - 6*q^73 + 9*q^81 + 8*q^85 + 10*q^89 - 18*q^97 + 0(q^100)
> Cputime(tt);
1.780
```

Proceeding to compute the invariants of the elliptic curve associated to this eigenform, we see that the modular curve X_H is isogenous to the elliptic curve labelled 256b1, defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 - 2x$ over \mathbb{Q} , for which $X_H(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $(0,0)$ and $(-1,-1)$.

Remark 7.1.1. For this application, if one wants to compute the equation for the elliptic curve up to isomorphism, one has to compute the j -map. This can be done by computing the q -expansion of Eisenstein modular forms. A way to do so (in an even more complicated case) is explained in detail in [26, Example 6.1]. Our methods simply replicate the efforts originally done by the authors. For convenience, we have also supplied in our code package the code to generate Eisenstein series, e.g. for the weight 2 Eisenstein series, we can do the following.

```
> M := ModularForms(H);
> qExpansion(EisensteinSeries(M)[1], 100);
q^16 + 32*q^32 + 244*q^48 + 1024*q^64 + 3126*q^80 + 7808*q^96 + 0(q^100)
```

7.2. Modular curves of prime-power level with infinitely many rational points. In [34, Corollary 1.6], the authors introduce a finite set of subgroups G of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ that arise as the image of a Galois representation for infinitely many elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} with distinct j -invariants.

In section 6, they consider the 250 cases where G has genus 1 and show that the Jacobian of X_G , J_G , for each such group is isogenous to one of a certain finite set of elliptic curves. Then they compute point counts of the reduction modulo several primes to pinpoint the correct isogeny class over \mathbb{Q} , and show that one needs only consider a certain set of 28 groups.

These are included in the examples computed in [26], and again, using our implementation, it is possible to construct for each of these subgroups G a basis of q -eigenforms for the modular curve X_G .

The following example took 2.120 seconds.

```
> tt := Cputime();
```

```

> gens := [[2,1,3,2],[0,3,5,8],[1,0,0,5],[1,8,0,3]];
> N := 16;
> H_N := sub<GL(2,Integers(N)) | gens>;
> H := PSL2Subgroup(H_N);
> M := ModularSymbols(H, 2, Rationals(), 0);
> S := CuspidalSubspace(M);
> D := Decomposition(S, HeckeBound(S));
> qEigenform(D[1],100);
q - 2*q^3 + q^9 - 6*q^11 - 6*q^17 - 2*q^19 - 5*q^25 + 4*q^27
  + 12*q^33 + 6*q^41 + 10*q^43 - 7*q^49 + 12*q^51 + 4*q^57
  - 6*q^59 + 14*q^67 - 2*q^73 + 10*q^75 - 11*q^81 - 18*q^83
  - 18*q^89 + 10*q^97 - 6*q^99 + 0(q^100)
> Cputime(tt);
2.120

```

This is the newform 256.2.2.a. Computing the elliptic invariants, we see that this is isogenous to the curve 256a2 defined by $y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 13x - 21$.

7.3. Efficient computation of q -eigenforms for $X_{ns}(N)$ and $X_{ns}^+(N)$. Using the Merel pair introduced in Example 4.5.6, and a similar one for $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p)$, its normalizer, one obtains a highly efficient implementation of the Hecke operators T_n for all n . This allows one to compute the q -eigenform and verify the results of [15] for $X_{ns}(11)$, [6] for $X_{ns}^+(13)$, and [21] for $X_{ns}^+(17)$, $X_{ns}^+(19)$ and $X_{ns}^+(23)$, as follows.

```

> tt := Cputime();
> G := GammaNSplus(13);
> M := ModularSymbols(G, 2, Rationals(), 0);
> S := CuspidalSubspace(M);
> D := Decomposition(S, HeckeBound(S));
> qEigenform(D[1], 20);
q + a*q^2 + (-a^2 - 2*a)*q^3 + (a^2 - 2)*q^4 +
(a^2 + 2*a - 2)*q^5 + (-a - 1)*q^6 + (a^2 - 3)*q^7 +
(-2*a^2 - 3*a + 1)*q^8 + (a^2 + 3*a - 1)*q^9 + (-a
+ 1)*q^10 + (-a^2 - 2*a - 2)*q^11 + (a^2 + 3*a)*q^12 +
(-2*a^2 - 2*a + 1)*q^14 + (a^2 + a - 2)*q^15 +
(-a^2 - a + 2)*q^16 + (-a^2 + a + 2)*q^17 +
(a^2 + 1)*q^18 + (-2*a^2 - a + 2)*q^19 + 0(q^20)
> BaseRing(Parent($1));
Number Field with defining polynomial x^3 + 2*x^2 - x - 1
over the Rational Field
> Cputime(tt);
0.160

```

This coincides with the result in section 4 in [6], and took merely 0.16 seconds.

Similarly, in only 0.33 seconds we get the result for $X_{ns}^+(17)$.

```

> tt := Cputime();
> G := GammaNSplus(17);

```

```

> M := ModularSymbols(G, 2, Rationals(), 0);
> S := CuspidalSubspace(M);
> D := Decomposition(S, HeckeBound(S));
> [*qEigenform(d,20) : d in D*];
[*
q - q^2 - q^4 + 2*q^5 - 4*q^7 + 3*q^8 - 3*q^9 - 2*q^10 -
2*q^13 + 4*q^14 - q^16 + 3*q^18 - 4*q^19 + 0(q^20),
q + a*q^2 + (-a - 1)*q^3 + (-a + 1)*q^4 + a*q^5 - 3*q^6 +
(-a - 2)*q^7 - 3*q^8 + (a + 1)*q^9 + (-a + 3)*q^10 - 3*q^11 +
(-a + 2)*q^12 + (a - 1)*q^13 + (-a - 3)*q^14 - 3*q^15 +
(-a - 2)*q^16 + 3*q^18 + (-3*a - 1)*q^19 + 0(q^20),
q + a*q^2 + (-a^2 + 1)*q^3 + (a^2 - 2)*q^4 +
(-a - 2)*q^5 + (-2*a + 1)*q^6 + (a^2 - 2)*q^7 + (-a - 1)*q^8 +
(a^2 - a - 2)*q^9 + (-a^2 - 2*a)*q^10 + (2*a^2 + 2*a - 6)*q^11 +
(a - 2)*q^12 + (-2*a^2 - 3*a + 6)*q^13 + (a - 1)*q^14 +
(2*a^2 + 2*a - 3)*q^15 + (-3*a^2 - a + 4)*q^16 +
(-a^2 + a - 1)*q^18 + a*q^19 + 0(q^20)
*]
> BaseRing(Parent(qEigenform(D[2])));
Number Field with defining polynomial x^2 + x - 3
over the Rational Field
> BaseRing(Parent(qEigenform(D[3])));
Number Field with defining polynomial x^3 - 3*x + 1
over the Rational Field
> Cputime(tt);
0.330

```

which coincides with the results of [21].

In [5], section 6, there is a description of coset representatives for $\Gamma_{ns}(N)$ and $\Gamma_{ns}^+(N)$ for general N , which again makes explicit the implementation via modular symbols.

7.4. Decomposition of the Jacobian of $X_{ns}^+(p)$. Applying Algorithm 6.2.3 to the space $\mathbb{S}_2(\Gamma_{ns}^+(p))$, where $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p)$ is yields a decomposition of the Jacobian of $X_{ns}^+(p)$. This has been computed using our code for all $p \leq 97$. (see [1])

7.5. Computation of q -Eigenforms for $X_G(p)$ when G is exceptional. Similarly, the code can be used to compute q -eigenforms for the modular curves $X_G(N)$, when G is an exceptional subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with surjective determinant, e.g. running it for $X_{S_4}(13)$ we recover [4, Theorem 1.8]. We obtain step 3 of section 4 in the original paper in just a few seconds. (We use the embedding of $S_4 \hookrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ using the quaternions as describes in [36, Section 11.5]).

```

> tt := Cputime();
> p := 13;
> B<i,j,k> := QuaternionAlgebra(Rationals(), -1, -1);
> O := QuaternionOrder([1,i,j,k]);
> _, mp := pMatrixRing(O,p);

```

```

> S4tp := sub<GL(2,p) | [mp(1+s) : s in [i,j,k]]
> cat [mp(1-s) : s in [i,j,k]] cat [-1]>;
> H_S4 := sub<GL(2,Integers(p)) | Generators(S4tp)>;
> G_S4 := PSL2Subgroup(H_S4);
> M := ModularSymbols(G_S4, 2, Rationals(), 0);
> S := CuspidalSubspace(M);
> D := Decomposition(S, HeckeBound(S));
> [*qEigenform(d,20) : d in D*];
[*
q + a*q^2 + (-a^2 - 2*a)*q^3 + (a^2 - 2)*q^4 +
(a^2 + 2*a - 2)*q^5 + (-a - 1)*q^6 + (a^2 - 3)*q^7 +
(-2*a^2 - 3*a + 1)*q^8 + (a^2 + 3*a - 1)*q^9 +
(-a + 1)*q^10 + (-a^2 - 2*a - 2)*q^11 + (a^2 + 3*a)*q^12 +
(-2*a^2 - 2*a + 1)*q^14 + (a^2 + a - 2)*q^15 +
(-a^2 - a + 2)*q^16 + (-a^2 + a + 2)*q^17 +
(a^2 + 1)*q^18 + (-2*a^2 - a + 2)*q^19 + 0(q^20)
*]
> BaseRing(Parent(qEigenform(D[1])));
Number Field with defining polynomial x^3 + 2*x^2 - x - 1
over the Rational Field

```

7.6. Smooth plane models for modular curves. Modular curves of genus 0, 1 obviously admit a smooth plane model always. In general, if a curve admit such a model, of degree d , then its genus would be $g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$, so the next numbers to check are $g = 3, 6$. When $g = 3$, by [16], the generic case is a smooth plane quartic, so the answer is "almost always".

However, for $g > 3$, a generic curve does not admit a smooth plane model.

The smallest case for which we do not know the answer is $g = 6$. Thus, computing a basis of eigenforms of $S_2(\Gamma)$ for each of the congruence subgroups Γ of genus 6 (see [13]), will help us to get a canonical model and from it (maybe) decide whether there exists a plane model.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eran Assaf. Dimensions of the decomposition of the Jacobians of $X_{ns}^+(p)$ for primes $p < 100$ and characteristic polynomials of the Hecke operators T_p . https://github.com/assaferan/ModularSymbols/blob/master/dec_dims_char_polys_ns_cartan.dat. Last accessed: 2020-02-03.
- [2] Eran Assaf. Modular symbols package for arbitrary congruence subgroups. <https://github.com/assaferan/ModularSymbols/>. Last accessed: 2020-02-03.
- [3] A Oliver L Atkin et al. Twists of newforms and pseudo-eigenvalues ofw-operators. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 48(3):221–243, 1978.
- [4] Barinder Banwait and John Cremona. Tetrahedral elliptic curves and the local-global principle for isogenies. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 8(5):1201–1229, 2014.
- [5] Burcu Baran. Normalizers of non-split Cartan subgroups, modular curves, and the class number one problem. *Journal of Number Theory*, 130(12):2753–2772, 2010.
- [6] Burcu Baran. An exceptional isomorphism between modular curves of level 13. *Journal of Number Theory*, 145:273–300, 2014.

- [7] Alex J. Best, Jonathanm Bober, Andrew R. Booker, Edgar Costa, John Cremona, Maarten Derickx, Min Lee, David Roe, Andrew V. Sutherland, and John Voight. Computing classical modular forms. *preprint*, 2020.
- [8] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system I: The user language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997.
- [9] Josha Box. q -expansions of modular forms for general congruence subgroups using twist orbits. *to be published*, 2020.
- [10] Kevin Buzzard. Computing weight one modular forms over \mathbb{C} and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. In *Computations with modular forms*, pages 129–146. Springer, 2014.
- [11] Jean-Marc Couveignes and Bas Edixhoven. *Computational aspects of modular forms and Galois representations*. Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [12] John E Cremona. *Algorithms for Modular Elliptic Curves Full Canadian Binding*. CUP Archive, 1997.
- [13] Chris Cummins and Sebastian Pauli. Congruence subgroups of $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. <https://mathstats.uncg.edu/sites/pauli/congruence/csg6.html>. Last accessed: 2020-02-03.
- [14] Fred Diamond and Jerry Michael Shurman. *A first course in modular forms*, volume 228. Springer, 2005.
- [15] Valerio Dose, Julio Fernández, Josep González, and René Schoof. The automorphism group of the non-split Cartan modular curve of level 11. *Journal of Algebra*, 417:95–102, 2014.
- [16] Enrique González-Jiménez and Roger Oyono. Non-hyperelliptic modular curves of genus 3. *Journal of Number Theory*, 130(4):862–878, 2010.
- [17] Lloyd JP Kilford. Modular forms: a classical and computational introduction. *Mathematical intelligencer*, 32(3):58–59, 2010.
- [18] Ravi S Kulkarni. An arithmetic-geometric method in the study of the subgroups of the modular group. *American Journal of mathematics*, 113(6):1053–1133, 1991.
- [19] Ju I Manin. Parabolic points and zeta-functions of modular curves. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 6(1):19, 1972.
- [20] Barry Mazur. Rational points on modular curves. In *Modular functions of one variable V*, pages 107–148. Springer, 1977.
- [21] Pietro Mercuri and René Schoof. Modular forms invariant under non-split Cartan subgroups. *Mathematics of Computation*, 89(324):1969–1991, 2020.
- [22] Loïc Merel. Opérateurs de Hecke pour $\Gamma_0(N)$ et fractions continues. In *Annales de l’institut Fourier*, volume 41, pages 519–537, 1991.
- [23] Loïc Merel. Universal Fourier expansions of modular forms. In *On Artin’s Conjecture for Odd 2-Dimensional Representations*, pages 59–94. Springer, 1994.
- [24] James S Milne. Introduction to Shimura varieties. *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, 4:265–378, 2005.
- [25] Andrew Ogg. *Modular forms and Dirichlet series*. WA Benjamin New York, 1969.
- [26] Jeremy Rouse and David Zureick-Brown. Elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and 2-adic images of galois. *Research in Number Theory*, 1(1):12, 2015.
- [27] George J Schaeffer. Hecke stability and weight 1 modular forms. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 281(1-2):159–191, 2015.
- [28] Jean-Pierre Serre. Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Invent. math.*, 15:259–331, 1972.
- [29] Goro Shimura. *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, volume 1. Princeton university press, 1971.
- [30] Vyacheslav Vladimirovich Shokurov. The study of the homology of Kuga varieties. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 44(2):443–464, 1980.
- [31] William A Stein. An introduction to computing modular forms using modular symbols. In *an MSRI Proceedings*, 2002.
- [32] William A Stein. *Modular forms, a computational approach*, volume 79. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
- [33] William Arthur Stein. *Explicit approaches to modular abelian varieties*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2000.

- [34] Andrew Sutherland and David Zywina. Modular curves of prime-power level with infinitely many rational points. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 11(5):1199–1229, 2017.
- [35] John A Todd and Harold SM Coxeter. A practical method for enumerating cosets of a finite abstract group. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 5(1):26–34, 1936.
- [36] John Voight. The arithmetic of quaternion algebras. *preprint*, 2020. <https://math.dartmouth.edu/~jvoight/quat-book.pdf>.
- [37] Gabor Wiese et al. *Modular forms of weight one over finite fields*. PhD thesis, Stieltjes Institute for Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, 2005.
- [38] David Zywina. Computing actions on cusp forms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.07270*, 2020.