

Fermion spectrum and $g - 2$ anomalies in a low scale 3-3-1 model

A. E. Cárcamo Hernández^{a,1,*} Yocelyne Hidalgo Velásquez^{a,1,†} Sergey Kovalenko^{b,1,‡} H. N. Long^{c,d,1,§} Nicolás A. Pérez-Julve^{a,1,¶} and V. V. Vien^{e,f,1,**}

^{1a} *Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María and Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

^b *Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andres Bello, Sazié 2212, Piso 7, Santiago, Chile*

^c *Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology Research Group, Advanced Institute of Materials Science, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

^d *Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

^e *Institute of Research and Development, Duy Tan University, 182 Nguyen Van Linh, Da Nang City, Vietnam*

^f *Department of Physics, Tay Nguyen University, 567 Le Duan, Buon Ma Thuot City, DakLak, Vietnam*

(Dated: November 1, 2021)

We propose a renormalizable theory based on the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry, supplemented by the spontaneously broken $U(1)_{L_g}$ global lepton number symmetry and the $S_3 \times Z_2$ discrete group, which successfully describes the observed SM fermion mass and mixing hierarchy. In our model the top and exotic quarks get tree level masses, whereas the bottom, charm and strange quarks as well as the tau and muon leptons obtain their masses from a tree level Universal seesaw mechanism thanks to their mixing with charged exotic vector like fermions. The masses for the first generation SM charged fermions are generated from a radiative seesaw mechanism at one loop level. The light active neutrino masses are produced from a loop level radiative seesaw mechanism. Our model successfully accommodates the experimental values for electron and muon anomalous magnetic dipole moments.

PACS numbers: 14.60.St, 11.30.Hv, 12.60.-i

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite of the excellent agreement of the Standard Model (SM) predictions with the experimental data, there are several problems that do not find explanation within its framework. Among them are the observed pattern of SM fermion masses and mixing angles, the tiny values of the light active neutrino masses, the number of SM fermion families, the electric charge quantization and the anomalous magnetic moments of the muon and electron. Addressing these issues requires to consider extensions of the SM with enlarged particle content and symmetries. In particular, theories based on the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry (3-3-1 models) [1–52], have received a lot of attention since they answer some of the open questions of the SM, such as, for example, the number of SM fermion families and the electric charge quantization. Adding discrete symmetries and extending the scalar and fermionic content of such 3-3-1 models allows addressing the observed SM fermion mass and mixing hierarchy. Furthermore, if one considers 3-3-1 models where the fermions do not have exotic electric charges, the third component of the $SU(3)_L$ leptonic triplet will be electrically neutral. This allows the implementation of a low scale linear or inverse seesaw mechanism producing the tiny light active neutrino masses and sterile neutrinos with masses at the $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ symmetry breaking scale, thus making the model testable at colliders.

Imposing discrete symmetries allows one to forbid tree level masses arising from the Standard Yukawa interactions for the SM fermions lighter than the top quark. To generate such masses, one has to consider heavy vector-like fermions, mixing with the SM fermions lighter than the top quark, as well as gauge singlet scalar fields. Their inclusion in the particle spectrum of the model is crucial for the implementation of the Universal and radiative seesaw mechanisms needed to generate the masses for the SM fermions lighter than the top quark, thus explaining the SM charged fermion mass hierarchy. In addition, the heavy vector like leptons can provide an explanation for the anomalous electron and muon magnetic moments, which is not given within the context of the SM. A study of such $g - 2$ anomalies in terms

* antonio.carcamo@usm.cl

† yocetidalgov@gmail.com

‡ sergey.kovalenko@usm.cl

§ hoangngoclong@tdtu.edu.vn

¶ nicolasperezjulve@gmail.com

** vvien@ttn.edu.vn

of New Physics and a possible UV complete explanation via vector-like leptons is performed in [53]. Also in Ref. [54], it was shown that the $g - 2$ anomalies can be explained using a minimal supersymmetric standard model assuming a minimal flavor violation in the lepton sector. Theories involving extended scalar sector [53–70] as well as vector like leptons [71], heavy Z' gauge bosons [51, 72], and conformal extended technicolour [73] have been proposed to explain the $g - 2$ anomalies. In this work we will consider a renormalizable theory based on the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry, supplemented by the spontaneously broken $U(1)_{L_g}$ global lepton number symmetry and the $S_3 \times Z_2$ discrete group. We choose S_3 symmetry since it is the smallest non-Abelian discrete symmetry group having three irreducible representations (irreps), explicitly, two singlets and one doublet irreps. This symmetry has been shown to be useful in several extensions of the SM, for obtaining predictive SM fermion mass matrix textures that successfully describe the observed SM fermion mass and mixing pattern [12, 19–21, 74–103]. In the proposed model, the top and exotic quarks get tree level masses whereas the masses of the bottom, charm and strange quarks as well as the tau and muon charged lepton masses are produced from a tree level Universal Seesaw mechanism. The masses for the first generation SM charged fermions are generated from a one loop level radiative seesaw mechanism mediated by charged vector like fermions and electrically neutral scalars. The light active neutrino masses are produced from a one loop level radiative seesaw mechanism. Unlike the 3-3-1 models of Refs. [19–21, 31, 32, 37, 42, 45, 50], where non renormalizable Yukawa interactions are employed for the implementation of a Froggatt Nielsen mechanism to produce the current SM fermion mass and mixing pattern, after the discrete symmetries are spontaneously broken, our proposed model is a fully renormalizable theory with minimal particle content and symmetries where tree level Universal and a one-loop level radiative seesaw mechanisms are combined to explain the observed hierarchy of SM fermion masses and fermionic mixing parameters. Furthermore, unlike Refs. [19–21, 31, 32, 37, 42, 45, 50] our current work has an explanation for the electron and anomalous magnetic moments. In our current model, the charged vector-like leptons which mediate the tree level Universal and one-loop level radiative seesaw mechanism that generates the SM charged fermion mass hierarchy, make contributions to the measured values of the muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments, thus providing a connection of the fermion mass generation mechanism and the $g - 2$ anomalies, which is not given the models of Refs. [19–21, 31, 32, 37, 42, 45, 50]. Our model is consistent with the low energy SM fermion flavor data and successfully accommodates the experimental values of the muon and electron magnetic dipole moments.

The content of this paper goes as follows. The model is introduced in section II. The model predictions for the muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments are discussed in section III. Section IV is dedicated to the quark masses and mixings. Lepton masses and mixings are analyzed within the model in section V. The generation of neutrino masses is discussed in section VI. Conclusions are given in section VII.

II. THE MODEL

We consider a renormalizable extension of the 3-3-1 model with right handed Majorana neutrinos, where the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry is supplemented by the spontaneously broken $U(1)_{L_g}$ global lepton number symmetry and the $S_3 \times Z_2$ discrete group, the scalar sector is enlarged by the inclusion of several gauge singlet scalars and the fermion sector is minimally augmented by the introduction of heavy electrically charged vector like fermions. Such electrically charged vector like fermions are assumed to be singlets under the $SU(3)_L$ gauge symmetry, thus allowing to easily comply with collider constraints as well as with the constraints arising from electroweak precision tests. The left and right handed components of such vector like fermions have the same transformation properties under the different group factors of the model thus allowing to build mass terms for these fields invariant under the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X \times S_3 \times Z_2$ group. The scalar and fermionic content with their assignments under the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X \times S_3 \times Z_2$ group are shown in Tables I and II, respectively. The dimensions of the $SU(3)_C$, $SU(3)_L$ and S_3 representations shown in Tables I and II are specified by the numbers in boldface. It is worth mentioning that the set of vector like fermions $\tilde{T}_n$ ($n = 1, 2$), B_i and E_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is the minimum amount of exotic fermions required to generate the tree level masses via Universal seesaw mechanism for the bottom, charm and strange quarks as well as the tau and muon as well as one loop level masses for the first generation SM charged fermions, i.e., the up, down quarks and the electron. To implement such tree level Universal and radiative seesaw mechanisms we have introduced the gauge singlet scalars ξ_n ($n = 1, 2$) and φ . In addition, the remaining gauge singlet scalars σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are crucial to generate the Majorana mass terms necessary to radiatively produce the light active neutrino masses. The vector like fermions mix with the SM charged fermions lighter than the top quark thus giving rise to a tree level Universal seesaw mechanism that produces the masses for the bottom, charm and strange quarks as well as the tau and muon charged lepton masses. The first generation SM charged fermions, i.e., the up, down quarks and the electron get their masses from a one loop level radiative seesaw mechanism mediated by charged vector like fermions and electrically neutral scalars. In addition, light active neutrino masses are generated from a one loop level radiative

seesaw mechanism mediated by the right handed Majorana neutrinos and the electrically neutral components of the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplet χ . The smallness of the light active neutrino masses is attributed to a small mass splitting of the χ_{1R} and χ_{1I} scalar fields, which originates from the trilinear term $A(\chi^\dagger \eta \sigma_3 + h.c)$ of the scalar potential given in Appendix B. Thus, the trilinear coupling A has to be sufficiently small to provide a natural explanation for the tiny masses of the light active neutrinos. In sec. VI we discuss a symmetry-based condition for technically natural smallness of the parameter A . Notice that the $U(1)_{L_g}$ global lepton number symmetry is spontaneously broken down to a residual discrete $Z_2^{(L_g)}$ by the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the $U(1)_{L_g}$ charged gauge-singlet scalars σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) having a nontrivial $U(1)_{L_g}$ charge, as indicated by Table I. The residual discrete $Z_2^{(L_g)}$ lepton number symmetry, under which the leptons are charged and the other particles are neutral, forbids interactions having an odd number of leptons, thus preventing proton decay. The massless Goldstone boson, i.e., the Majoron, arising after the spontaneous breaking of the $U(1)_{L_g}$ symmetry, does not cause problems in the model because it is a $SU(3)_L$ scalar singlet.

In addition, our model does not have fermions with exotic electric charges. Thus, the electric charge in our model is defined as follows:

$$Q = T_3 + \beta T_8 + X = T_3 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} T_8 + X. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, the lepton number has a gauge component as well as a complementary global one, as indicated by the following relation:

$$L = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} T_8 + L_g, \quad (2)$$

being L_g a conserved charge associated with the $U(1)_{L_g}$ global lepton number symmetry.

In our model the full symmetry $\mathcal{G}$ experiences the following spontaneous symmetry breaking chain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X \times U(1)_{L_g} \times S_3 \times Z_2 \xrightarrow{v_\chi, v_\xi, v_\varphi, \rightarrow} \\ &SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{L_g} \xrightarrow{v_\eta, v_\rho} \\ &SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Q \times U(1)_{L_g} \xrightarrow{v_\sigma, v_{\sigma_3}} \\ &SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Q, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the different symmetry breaking scales fulfill the hierarchy:

$$v_\chi \sim v_\xi \sim v_\varphi \gg v_\eta, v_\rho \gg v_\sigma \sim v_{\sigma_3}, \quad (4)$$

with $v_\eta^2 + v_\rho^2 = v^2$, $v = 246$ GeV. We assume that the scale v_χ of spontaneous $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry breaking is about 10 TeV or larger in order to keep consistency with the collider constraints [104], the constraints from the experimental data on K , D and B -meson mixings [105] and $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, $B_d \rightarrow K^*(K) \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays [9, 106–109]

In principle, the hierarchical VEV pattern (4), being unprotected by any symmetry, can be affected by large radiative corrections. The common remedy against this issue is to assume that our model is embedded into a more fundamental setup with additional symmetries protecting the hierarchy up to the Planck scale. The well-known examples of such setups are supersymmetry and warped five-dimensions. Formulation of the corresponding ultraviolet completion is beyond the scope of the present paper and will be done elsewhere. One can also be concerned about the classical stability of the scalar potential at the vacuum configuration (4). The latter must belong to the minimum of the model scalar potential shown in Appendix B. This means that the scalar mass squared matrices in the vacuum (4) are positively definite. Having at our disposal a large number of free parameters in the scalar potential (B1) it is reasonable to expect that this condition can be easily satisfied in a wide range of the model parameter space. In section III we show that this is true for the benchmark point (17) used for the analysis of $(g-2)_{e,\mu}$.

The $SU(3)_L$ triplet scalars χ , η and ρ can be expanded around the minimum as follows:

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi_{1R}^0 + i\chi_{1I}^0) \\ \chi_2^- \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_\chi + \xi_\chi \pm i\zeta_\chi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_\eta + \xi_\eta \pm i\zeta_\eta) \\ \eta_2^- \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\eta_{3R}^0 + i\eta_{3I}^0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_\rho + \xi_\rho \pm i\zeta_\rho) \\ \rho_3^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

The $SU(3)_L$ fermionic antitriplets and triplets are

$$Q_{nL} = \begin{pmatrix} D_n \\ -U_n \\ J_n \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad Q_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} U_3 \\ D_3 \\ T \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad L_{iL} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ l_i \\ \nu_i^c \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad n = 1, 2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (6)$$

where $l_{1,2,3} = e, \mu, \tau$.

With the field assignment specified in Tables I and II, the following quark and lepton Yukawa terms arise:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_Y^{(q)} = & y_T \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi T_R + y_J (\bar{Q}_L \chi^* J_R)_1 + y_U \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta U_{3R} + m_{\tilde{T}} (\tilde{T}_L \tilde{T}_R)_1 + m_B (\bar{B}_L B_R)_1 + m_{B_3} \bar{B}_{3L} B_{3R} \\ & + x_T (\bar{Q}_L \rho^* \tilde{T}_R)_1 + \sum_{n=1}^2 z_n^{(U)} (\tilde{T}_L \xi)_1 U_{nR} + x_B (\bar{Q}_L \eta^* B_R)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j^{(D)} (\bar{B}_L \xi)_1 D_{jR} \\ & + y_B \bar{Q}_{3L} \rho B_{3R} + \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j^{(D)} \bar{B}_{3L} \varphi D_{jR} + H.c, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_Y^{(l)} = & x_E (\bar{L}_L \rho E_R)_1 + \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j^{(l)} (\bar{E}_L \xi)_1 l_{jR} + y_E \bar{L}_{3L} \rho E_{3R} + \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j^{(l)} \bar{E}_{3L} \varphi l_{jR} + m_E (\bar{E}_L E_R)_1 + m_{E_3} \bar{E}_{3L} E_{3R} \\ & + x_N (\bar{L}_L \chi N_R)_1 + y_N \bar{L}_{3L} \chi N_{3R} + h_{1N} (N_R \bar{N}_R^C)_2 \sigma + h_{2N} (N_R \bar{N}_{3R}^C \sigma)_1 \\ & + h_{3N} (N_R \bar{N}_R^C)_1 \sigma_3 + h_{4N} N_{3R} \bar{N}_{3R}^C \sigma_3 + H.c. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We consider the following VEV configurations for the S_3 doublets:

$$\langle \xi \rangle = v_\xi (1, 0), \quad \langle \sigma \rangle = (v_{\sigma_1}, v_{\sigma_2}), \quad (9)$$

which are consistent with the scalar potential minimization equations for a large region of parameter space [20, 90, 110].

	χ	η	ρ	ξ	φ	σ	σ_3
$SU(3)_C$	$1'$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$SU(3)_L$	3	3	3	1	1	1	1
$U(1)_X$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	0	0
$U(1)_{L_g}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	2	2
S_3	1	1	1	2	1'	2	1
Z_2	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1

Table I: Scalar assignments under $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X \times U(1)_{L_g} \times S_3 \times Z_2$.

	Q_L	Q_{3L}	U_{nR}	U_{3R}	D_{iR}	T_R	J_R	$\tilde{T}_L$	$\tilde{T}_R$	B_L	B_R	B_{3L}	B_{3R}	L_L	L_{3L}	l_{iR}	E_L	E_R	E_{3L}	E_{3R}	N_R	N_{3R}
$SU(3)_C$	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$SU(3)_L$	$\bar{3}$	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$U(1)_X$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	0
$U(1)_{L_g}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	0	-2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1
S_3	2	1	1'	1	1'	1'	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	1'	2	2	1	1	2	1'
Z_2	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	-1

Table II: Fermion assignments under $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X \times U(1)_{L_g} \times S_3 \times Z_2$. Here $B_{L,R} = (B_{1(L,R)}, B_{2(L,R)})$, $E_{L,R} = (E_{1(L,R)}, E_{2(L,R)})$, $L_L = (L_{1(L)}, L_{2(L)})$, $N_R = (N_{1(R)}, N_{2(R)})$, $n = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$.

III. MUON AND ELECTRON ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENTS

The current experimental data on the anomalous dipole magnetic moments of electron and muon $a_{e,\mu} = (g_{e,\mu} - 2)/2$ show significant deviation from their SM values

$$\Delta a_\mu = a_\mu^{\text{exp}} - a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (26.1 \pm 8) \times 10^{-10} \quad [111-117] \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta a_e = a_e^{\text{exp}} - a_e^{\text{SM}} = (-0.88 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-12} \quad [118] \quad (11)$$

Here we analyze predictions of our model for these observables. The leading contributions to $\Delta a_{e,\mu}$ arising in the model are shown in Fig. 1. The diagrams involve the electrically neutral physical CP even H_i^0 ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and CP odd A^0 scalar as well as heavy exotic charged $E_{L,R}$ leptons. The physical CP even scalars arise from the combinations of ξ_ρ , ξ_{1R} , ξ_{2R} , φ_R whereas the CP odd scalar corresponds to ζ_ρ . By $\xi_{1R,2R}$ we denote real part of the two components of the scalar S_3 -doublet gauge singlet ξ . Similarly, the real part of the scalar S_3 -singlet gauge singlet φ is denoted by φ_R . Analogously, $E_{1,2}$ are two components of the leptonic S_3 -doublet gauge singlet $E_{L,R}$. The fields ξ_ρ and ζ_ρ are contained in the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplet ρ , which interacts with l , the second component of the leptonic triplet L_L . It is worth mentioning that, in view of the large amount of parametric freedom of the model scalar potential in Eq. (B1), we are restricting to a particular benchmark scenario where the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplet ρ and the gauge singlet scalars ξ and φ do not feature mixings with the remaining scalar fields η , σ and σ_3 . Such benchmark scenario is consistent with the decoupling limit where the CP even neutral component of the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplet η mostly corresponds to the 126 GeV SM like Higgs boson. Another motivation for such benchmark scenario is the fact that the VEV of the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplet χ is much larger than the VEV of the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplet ρ , thus allowing to neglect the mixing angles between those fields since they are suppressed by the ratios of their VEVs, as follows from the method of recursive expansion of Ref. [119]. Due to the same argument, the mixing angles of the ρ , ξ and φ scalar fields with the gauge singlet scalars σ and σ_3 can be neglected as well.

In this framework, the scalar potential terms contributing to the Yukawa couplings of the fermions E_1 and E_2 with the scalar fields are shown in Appendix C. Let us note the the following peculiar pattern of mixing in the scalar sector. The fields ρ , ξ and φ do not mix with η , σ and σ_3 , while φ mix with ζ_ρ through the the complex parameter κ in the scalar potential (B1). In view of this we find that the scalar mass matrix in the basis ξ_ρ , ξ_{1R} , ξ_{2R} , φ , and ζ_ρ has the form:

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}^2 & m_{12}^2 & 0 & m_{14}^2 & 0 \\ m_{21}^2 & m_{22}^2 & m_{23}^2 & m_{24}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} & 0 \\ m_{41}^2 & m_{42}^2 & m_{43}^2 & m_{44}^2 & m_{45}^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{54}^2 & m_{55}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

with the matrix elements m_{ij}^2 given in Appendix C. Once the basis is changed by a rotation matrix R , the physical scalar field masses $m_{H_1^0}$, $m_{H_2^0}$, $m_{H_3^0}$, $m_{H_4^0}$ and m_{A^0} can be found numerically.

Thus, in our model the muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments are given by:

$$\Delta a_{\mu,e} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{\Phi=H_i^0, A^0} \Delta a_{\mu,e}(\Phi), \quad (13)$$

The analytical form for the neutral scalar contribution at one loop to $\Delta a_{\mu,e}$ can be found in [22, 120–122]. Using these results we write the contributions of the neutral scalars $\Phi = H^0, A^0$ as follows:

$$\Delta a_\mu = w_\mu^2 \frac{m_\mu^2}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 (R^T)_{1i} (R^T)_{2i} \frac{G_S^{(l)}(m_{E_2}, m_{H_i^0})}{m_{H_i^0}^2} + (R^T)_{55} (R^T)_{25} \frac{G_P^{(l)}(m_{E_2}, m_{A^0})}{m_{A^0}^2} \right\} \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta a_e = w_e^2 \frac{m_e^2}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^4 (R^T)_{1i} (R^T)_{3i} \frac{G_S^{(l)}(m_{E_1}, m_{H_i^0})}{m_{H_i^0}^2} + (R^T)_{55} (R^T)_{35} \frac{G_P^{(l)}(m_{E_1}, m_{A^0})}{m_{A^0}^2} \right\} \quad (15)$$




Figure 1: Leading Loop Feynman diagrams contributing to the muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments. Here $E_{1,2}$, are components of the S_3 -doublet and $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

where the loop function is given by:

$$G_{S,P}^{(l)}(m_E, m_\Phi) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^2(1-x \pm \epsilon_{lE})}{(1-x)(1-x\lambda_{l\Phi}^2) + x\epsilon_{lE}^2\lambda_{l\Phi}^2}, \quad \Phi = H^0, A^0 \quad (16)$$

with $l = e, \mu$ and $\lambda_{l\Phi} = m_l/m_\Phi$, $\epsilon_{eE} = m_{E_1}/m_e$, $\epsilon_{\mu E} = m_{E_2}/m_\mu$.

Besides that, the plus and minus signs for the loop function $G_{S,P}(\Phi)$ of Eq. (16) stands for the scalar (CP-even) and pseudoscalar (CP-odd) contributions, respectively. The quantities w_l ($l = e, \mu$) are the Yukawa couplings for the interaction $w_l \bar{E}l\Phi$.

The experimental values of the muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments shown in Eqs. (10) and (11) can be successfully reproduced at 2σ level for the following benchmark point:

$$\begin{array}{lll} v_\eta = v_\rho \approx 174 \text{ GeV} & v_\chi \approx 2851 \text{ GeV} & v_\xi \approx 1414 \text{ GeV} \\ v_\phi \approx 6992 \text{ GeV} & \kappa_r \approx -0.630 & \kappa_i \approx -0.614 \\ \lambda_2 = \lambda_{10} \approx 7.251 & \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{13} \approx 0.310 & \lambda_{18} = \lambda_{34} \approx -0.264 \\ & \lambda_{35} = \lambda_{38} \approx -0.229 & \end{array} \quad (17)$$

The scalar and charged exotic leptons masses along with the Yukawa couplings are

$$\begin{array}{lll}
m_{H_1^0} \approx 5786 \text{ GeV} & m_{H_2^0} \approx 5338 \text{ GeV} & m_{H_3^0} \approx 2750 \text{ GeV} \\
m_{H_4^0} \approx 2498 \text{ GeV} & m_{A^0} \approx 1100 \text{ GeV} & m_{E_1} \approx 611 \text{ GeV} \\
m_{E_2} \approx 1368 \text{ GeV} & w_\mu \approx 0.228 & w_e \approx 1.719
\end{array} \tag{18}$$

Note that this benchmark point locates in the domain of the model parameter space corresponding to the minimum of the scalar potential due to the fact that all the scalar masses are real (see also Appendix C). In this benchmark point the muon and electron $(g-2)$ -experimental anomalies have the values

$$\Delta a_\mu = 2.68714 \times 10^{-9} \tag{19}$$

$$\Delta a_e = -8.64531 \times 10^{-13} \tag{20}$$

The opposite signs of these quantities is due to the pseudo scalar A^0 contributions to the loops in Fig. 1 leading to the minus sign in the term $-\epsilon_{lE}$ of the loop function (16). Note that E_2 and E_1 contribute separately to the muon and electron $(g-2)$, respectively, without any cross-contributions. Thus, selecting appropriate values for the exotic lepton masses $m_{E_{1,2}}$ we can accommodate the experimental sign difference (19), (20). The fact that $m_e \ll m_\mu$ makes the required sign difference valid in a wide range of the model parameter space. To show this, let us vary the model parameters within 15% around the benchmark point (17) and the charged exotic lepton masses in a range from 200 GeV to 1200 GeV. The resulting $\Delta a_{\mu,e} - m_{E_{2,1}}$ scatter plots are shown in Figure 2. As can be seen, the model indeed can explain the experimental values of muon and electron anomalous magnetic moments simultaneously in a wide range of its parameter space.




Figure 2: Correlation plots of the $\Delta a_{\mu,e}$ and the mass of the exotic fermion $m_{E_{2,1}}$ respectively at 1σ (red), 2σ (brown) and 3σ (purple).

IV. QUARK MASSES AND MIXINGS

From the quark Yukawa interactions in Eq. (7), we find that the up-type mass matrix in the basis $(\bar{u}_{1L}, \bar{u}_{2L}, \bar{u}_{3L}, \bar{T}_L, \bar{\widetilde{T}}_{1L}, \bar{\widetilde{T}}_{2L})$ versus $(u_{1R}, u_{2R}, u_{3R}, T_R, \widetilde{T}_{1R}, \widetilde{T}_{2R})$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_U &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_U & 0_{2 \times 1} & 0_{2 \times 1} & A_U \\ 0_{1 \times 2} & m_t & 0 & 0_{1 \times 2} \\ 0_{1 \times 2} & 0 & m_T & 0_{1 \times 2} \\ B_U & 0_{2 \times 1} & 0_{2 \times 1} & \widetilde{M}_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_U = x_T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad B_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z_1^{(U)} & z_2^{(U)} \end{pmatrix} v_\xi, \\
m_t &= y_U \frac{v_\eta}{\sqrt{2}} = a_3^{(U)} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \widetilde{M}_T = m_{\widetilde{T}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_U = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11}^{(U)} & \varepsilon_{12}^{(U)} \\ \varepsilon_{21}^{(U)} & \varepsilon_{22}^{(U)} \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
\varepsilon_{1n}^{(U)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \xi^2} \lambda_{\xi^3 \varphi} x_T z_n^{(U)} v_\varphi v_\xi^2}{m_T m_{\xi_2}^2} \left[C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\eta}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \xi_2}}{m_B} \right) - C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\eta}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Im} \xi_2}}{m_B} \right) \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \\
\varepsilon_{2n}^{(U)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \xi^2} x_T z_n^{(U)} v_\xi}{m_T} \left[C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\eta}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \xi_2}}{m_B} \right) - C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\eta}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Im} \xi_2}}{m_B} \right) \right], \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

whereas the down type quark mass matrix written in the basis

$(\bar{d}_{1L}, \bar{d}_{2L}, \bar{d}_{3L}, \bar{J}_{1L}, \bar{J}_{2L}, \bar{B}_{1L}, \bar{B}_{2L}, \bar{B}_{3L})$ -($d_{1R}, d_{2R}, d_{3R}, J_{1R}, J_{2R}, B_{1R}, B_{2R}, B_{3R}$) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_D &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_D & 0_{3 \times 2} & A_D \\ 0_{2 \times 3} & M_J & 0_{2 \times 3} \\ B_D & 0_{3 \times 2} & M_B \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_D = \begin{pmatrix} x_B \frac{v_\eta}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_B \frac{v_\eta}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_B \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1^{(D)} v_\xi & z_2^{(D)} v_\xi & z_3^{(D)} v_\xi \\ x_1^{(D)} v_\varphi & x_2^{(D)} v_\varphi & x_3^{(D)} v_\varphi \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_J &= y^{(J)} \frac{v_\chi}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_B = \begin{pmatrix} m_B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{B_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_D = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11}^{(D)} & \varepsilon_{12}^{(D)} & \varepsilon_{13}^{(D)} \\ \varepsilon_{21}^{(D)} & \varepsilon_{22}^{(D)} & \varepsilon_{23}^{(D)} \\ \varepsilon_{31}^{(D)} & \varepsilon_{32}^{(D)} & \varepsilon_{33}^{(D)} \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
\varepsilon_{1i}^{(D)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \xi^2} \lambda_{\xi^3 \varphi} x_B z_i^{(D)} v_\varphi v_\xi^2 v_\eta}{m_B m_{\xi_2}^2 v_\rho} C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\eta}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \xi_2}}{m_B} \right), \\
\varepsilon_{2i}^{(D)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \xi^2} x_B z_i^{(D)} v_\xi v_\eta}{m_B v_\rho} C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\eta}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \xi_2}}{m_B} \right), \\
\varepsilon_{3i}^{(D)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \varphi^2} x_B z_i^{(D)} v_\varphi}{m_B} C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\rho}}{m_B}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \varphi}}{m_B} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

where as seen from Eqs. (21) and (22), the Δ_U and Δ_D submatrices are generated at one loop level. The one loop level Feynman diagrams generating the Δ_U and Δ_D submatrices are shown in Figure 3. In addition, the following function has been introduced:

$$C_0(\widehat{m}_1, \widehat{m}_2) = \frac{1}{(1 - \widehat{m}_1^2)(1 - \widehat{m}_2^2)(\widehat{m}_1^2 - \widehat{m}_2^2)} \left\{ \widehat{m}_1^2 \widehat{m}_2^2 \ln \left(\frac{\widehat{m}_1^2}{\widehat{m}_2^2} \right) - \widehat{m}_1^2 \ln \widehat{m}_1^2 + \widehat{m}_2^2 \ln \widehat{m}_2^2 \right\}. \quad (23)$$

As seen from Eqs. (21) and (22), the exotic heavy vector like quarks mix with the SM quarks lighter than top quark. The masses of these exotic quarks are assumed to be much larger than the $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ symmetry breaking scale. As a result, charm, bottom and strange quarks acquire their masses from the tree-level Universal seesaw mechanism, while the masses of the up and down quarks are generated by the one-loop radiative seesaw mechanism. Thus, for the SM quarks we obtain the following mass matrices:

$$\widetilde{M}_U = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_U + A_U M_{\widetilde{T}}^{-1} B_U & 0_{2 \times 1} \\ 0_{1 \times 2} & m_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11}^{(U)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} & \varepsilon_{12}^{(U)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{21}^{(U)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{x_T z_1^{(U)} v_\xi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{\widetilde{T}}} & \varepsilon_{22}^{(U)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{x_T z_2^{(U)} v_\xi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{\widetilde{T}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{M}_D &= \Delta_D + A_D M_B^{-1} B_D \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} & \varepsilon_{12}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} & \varepsilon_{13}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \varepsilon_{21}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + x_B z_1^{(D)} \frac{v_\xi v_\eta}{\sqrt{2} m_B} & \varepsilon_{22}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + x_B z_2^{(D)} \frac{v_\xi v_\eta}{\sqrt{2} m_B} & \varepsilon_{23}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + x_B z_3^{(D)} \frac{v_\xi v_\eta}{\sqrt{2} m_B} \\ \varepsilon_{31}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + y_B x_1^{(D)} \frac{v_\varphi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{B_3}} & \varepsilon_{32}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + y_B x_2^{(D)} \frac{v_\varphi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{B_3}} & \varepsilon_{33}^{(D)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + y_B x_3^{(D)} \frac{v_\varphi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{B_3}} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

These mass matrices contain several model parameters. While free, they still satisfy certain conditions in our model. In fact, vev's $v_{\xi, \eta, \rho}$ obey the inequality (4) expressing the hierarchy of symmetry breaking in our model. The $\varepsilon_{ij}^{U,D}$ parameters, defined in Eqs. (21) and (22), contain typical loop suppression and specific dependence on the vev's, exotic masses, the Yukawas and a quartic coupling. We require the latter to satisfy the perturbativity condition. With this in mind we can speak about natural values of the matrix elements corresponding to the values of the model parameters in a range not involving an ad hoc hierarchy of the dimensionless couplings. Let us show that within this natural range the model accommodates the observable values of the SM quark masses and mixings. To this end we consider a particular natural benchmark scenario consistent with the above-mentioned conditions. We choose:

$$\begin{aligned}v_\xi &= \lambda^4 \frac{v m_{\tilde{T}}}{v_\rho} = \lambda^5 \frac{v m_B}{v_\eta}, \quad v_\varphi = \lambda^3 \frac{v m_{B_3}}{v_\rho}, \quad \varepsilon_{nm}^{(U)} = b_{nm}^{(U)} \lambda^8 \frac{v}{v_\rho}, \\ \varepsilon_{ij}^{(D)} &= b_{ij}^{(D)} \lambda^7 \frac{v}{v_\rho}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3; \quad n, m = 1, 2,\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

where $v = \sqrt{v_\rho^2 + v_\eta^2} = 246$ GeV is the electroweak symmetry breaking scale. We use the Wolfenstein parameter $\lambda = 0.225$ for characterization of the hierarchy between the parameters defining mass matrix elements. As discussed above, we consider the hierarchy, which stems from the model structure rather than from strong tuning of the dimensionless couplings. Then the coefficients $b_{nm}^{(U)}$ and $b_{ij}^{(D)}$, constructed from the Yukawa and quartic couplings, are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -numbers. In the scenario (26) the exotic quarks $\tilde{T}$ and B are heavier than the scale of the first stage of the symmetry breaking (3). As we mentioned earlier, these exotic quarks must be very heavy for the Universal Seesaw mechanism to operate in our model.

Thus, in the benchmark scenario (26) the SM quark mass matrices take the form:

$$\widetilde{M}_U = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(U)} \lambda^8 & b_{12}^{(U)} \lambda^8 & 0 \\ b_{21}^{(U)} \lambda^7 + a_{21}^{(U)} \lambda^4 & b_{22}^{(U)} \lambda^7 + a_{22}^{(U)} \lambda^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(U)} \lambda^8 & b_{12}^{(U)} \lambda^8 & 0 \\ c_{21}^{(U)} \lambda^4 & c_{22}^{(U)} \lambda^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (27)$$

$$\widetilde{M}_D = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(D)} \lambda^7 & b_{12}^{(D)} \lambda^7 & b_{13}^{(D)} \lambda^7 \\ b_{21}^{(D)} \lambda^7 + a_{21}^{(D)} \lambda^5 & b_{22}^{(D)} \lambda^7 + a_{22}^{(D)} \lambda^5 & b_{23}^{(D)} \lambda^7 + a_{23}^{(D)} \lambda^5 \\ b_{31}^{(D)} \lambda^7 + a_{31}^{(D)} \lambda^3 & b_{32}^{(D)} \lambda^7 + a_{32}^{(D)} \lambda^3 & b_{33}^{(D)} \lambda^7 + a_{33}^{(D)} \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(D)} \lambda^7 & b_{12}^{(D)} \lambda^7 & b_{13}^{(D)} \lambda^7 \\ c_{21}^{(D)} \lambda^5 & c_{22}^{(D)} \lambda^5 & c_{23}^{(D)} \lambda^5 \\ c_{31}^{(D)} \lambda^3 & c_{32}^{(D)} \lambda^3 & c_{33}^{(D)} \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}a_{21}^{(U)} &= x_T z_1^{(U)}, & a_{22}^{(U)} &= x_T z_2^{(U)}, \\ a_{2i}^{(D)} &= x_B z_i^{(D)}, & a_{3i}^{(D)} &= y_B x_i^{(D)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

The model has 13 dimensionless parameters in the quark sector. This allows us to reproduce precisely the central experimental values of 10 quark observables, shown in Table III. The corresponding values of the model parameters are:

$$\begin{aligned}b_{11}^{(U)} &= c_{21}^{(U)} = 1, & b_{12}^{(U)} &\simeq 2.773, & b_{22}^{(U)} &\simeq 1.001, & a_3^{(U)} &\simeq 0.989, \\ b_{11}^{(D)} &\simeq -1.335 + 0.929i, & b_{12}^{(D)} &\simeq 1.217 + 1.314i, & b_{13}^{(D)} &\simeq 2.112 - 0.929i, \\ c_{21}^{(D)} &\simeq -0.869, & c_{22}^{(D)} &\simeq -0.438, & c_{13}^{(D)} &\simeq 0.860, \\ c_{31}^{(D)} &\simeq -0.707, & c_{32}^{(D)} &\simeq -1.001, & c_{33}^{(D)} &\simeq 0.707.\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

An important point for us is that all these values are of the order of one. As we previously discussed, this means that the hierarchy of the quark masses and mixings originate in our model from its internal structure – symmetries and field content – without the need for strong tuning the dimensionless couplings.

Observable	Experimental value
$m_u(MeV)$	$1.45^{+0.56}_{-0.45}$
$m_c(MeV)$	635 ± 86
$m_t(GeV)$	$172.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$
$m_d(MeV)$	$2.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$
$m_s(MeV)$	$57.7^{+16.8}_{-15.7}$
$m_b(GeV)$	$2.82^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$
$\sin \theta_{12}^{(q)}$	0.225
$\sin \theta_{23}^{(q)}$	0.0421
$\sin \theta_{13}^{(q)}$	0.00365
J	$(3.18 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-5}$

Table III: Experimental M_Z -scale values of the quark masses [123, 124] and CKM parameters [125].

V. CHARGED LEPTON MASSES AND MIXINGS

From the charged lepton Yukawa interactions in Eq. (8) we find the charged lepton mass matrix M_l in the basis $(\bar{l}_{1L}, \bar{l}_{2L}, \bar{l}_{3L}, \bar{E}_{1L}, \bar{E}_{2L}, \bar{E}_{3L})$ versus $(l_{1R}, l_{2R}, l_{3R}, E_{1R}, E_{2R}, E_{3R})$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_l &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_l & A_l \\ B_l & \widetilde{M}_E \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_l = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11}^{(l)} & \varepsilon_{12}^{(l)} & \varepsilon_{13}^{(l)} \\ \varepsilon_{21}^{(l)} & \varepsilon_{22}^{(l)} & \varepsilon_{23}^{(l)} \\ \varepsilon_{31}^{(l)} & \varepsilon_{32}^{(l)} & \varepsilon_{33}^{(l)} \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad A_l = \begin{pmatrix} x_E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_E \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
B_l &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -z_1^{(l)} v_\xi & -z_2^{(l)} v_\xi & -z_3^{(l)} v_\xi \\ x_1^{(l)} v_\varphi & x_2^{(l)} v_\varphi & x_3^{(l)} v_\varphi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{M}_E = \begin{pmatrix} m_E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{E_3} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\varepsilon_{1i}^{(l)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \xi^2} \lambda_{\xi^3 \varphi} x_E z_i^{(l)} v_\varphi v_\xi^2}{m_E m_{\xi_2}^2} \left[C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\rho}}{m_E}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \xi_2}}{m_E} \right) - C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\zeta_\rho}}{m_E}, \frac{m_{\text{Im} \xi_2}}{m_E} \right) \right], \\
\varepsilon_{2i}^{(l)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \xi^2} x_E z_i^{(l)} v_\xi}{m_E} \left[C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\rho}}{m_E}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \xi_2}}{m_E} \right) - C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\zeta_\rho}}{m_E}, \frac{m_{\text{Im} \xi_2}}{m_E} \right) \right], \\
\varepsilon_{3i}^{(l)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_{\rho^\dagger \rho \varphi^2} x_E z_i^{(E)} v_\varphi}{m_E} \left[C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\xi_\rho}}{m_E}, \frac{m_{\text{Re} \varphi}}{m_E} \right) - C_0 \left(\frac{m_{\zeta_\rho}}{m_E}, \frac{m_{\text{Im} \varphi}}{m_E} \right) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where as seen from Eq. (31), the Δ_l submatrix is generated at one loop level according to the Feynman diagrams shown in Fig. 4

As follows from Eqs. (31), the very heavy vector like charged leptons mix with the SM charged leptons. The former are assumed to have masses much larger than the $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ symmetry breaking scale. Therefore, analogously to the quark sector, the tau and muon masses are generated by the tree level Universal seesaw mechanism, while the electron mass arises from the one loop level radiative seesaw mechanism. Consequently, SM charged lepton mass matrix takes the form

$$\widetilde{M}_l = \Delta_l + A_l \widetilde{M}_E^{-1} B_l = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} & \varepsilon_{12}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} & \varepsilon_{13}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \varepsilon_{21}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} - x_E z_1^{(l)} \frac{v_\xi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_E} & \varepsilon_{22}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} - x_E z_2^{(l)} \frac{v_\xi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_E} & \varepsilon_{23}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} - x_E z_3^{(l)} \frac{v_\xi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_E} \\ \varepsilon_{31}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + y_E x_1^{(l)} \frac{v_\varphi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{E_3}} & \varepsilon_{32}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + y_E x_2^{(l)} \frac{v_\varphi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{E_3}} & \varepsilon_{33}^{(l)} \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} + y_E x_3^{(l)} \frac{v_\varphi v_\rho}{\sqrt{2} m_{E_3}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{32}$$

In order to show that our model can naturally accommodate the experimental values of the charged lepton masses we use the extended benchmark scenario (26) assuming $m_E = m_{\tilde{T}}, m_{E_3} = m_{B_3}$. Then we have

$$v_\xi = \lambda^5 \frac{v m_E}{v_\rho}, \quad v_\varphi = \lambda^3 \frac{v m_{E_3}}{v_\rho}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij}^{(l)} = b_{ij}^{(l)} \lambda^9 \frac{v}{v_\rho}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{33}$$

Here the one-loop contributions $\varepsilon^{(l)}$ are estimated from their definitions in (31). Accordingly, the coefficients $b^{(l)}$ are constructed from the Yukawa and scalar quartic coupling. Thus, in the benchmark scenario (33) the SM charged

lepton mass matrix reads:

$$\widetilde{M}_l = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}^{(l)}\lambda^9 & b_{12}^{(l)}\lambda^9 & b_{13}^{(l)}\lambda^9 \\ b_{21}^{(l)}\lambda^9 + a_{21}^{(l)}\lambda^5 & b_{22}^{(l)}\lambda^9 + a_{22}^{(l)}\lambda^5 & b_{23}^{(l)}\lambda^9 + a_{23}^{(l)}\lambda^5 \\ b_{31}^{(l)}\lambda^9 + a_{31}^{(l)}\lambda^3 & b_{32}^{(l)}\lambda^9 + a_{32}^{(l)}\lambda^3 & b_{33}^{(l)}\lambda^9 + a_{33}^{(l)}\lambda^3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{(l)}\lambda^9 & c_{12}^{(l)}\lambda^9 & c_{13}^{(l)}\lambda^9 \\ c_{21}^{(l)}\lambda^5 & c_{22}^{(l)}\lambda^5 & c_{23}^{(l)}\lambda^5 \\ c_{31}^{(l)}\lambda^3 & c_{32}^{(l)}\lambda^3 & c_{33}^{(l)}\lambda^3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$a_{21}^{(l)} = -x_E z_1^{(l)}, \quad a_{22}^{(l)} = -x_E z_2^{(l)}, \quad a_{23}^{(l)} = -x_E z_3^{(l)}, \quad (35)$$

$$a_{31}^{(l)} = y_E x_1^{(l)}, \quad a_{32}^{(l)} = y_E x_2^{(l)}, \quad a_{33}^{(l)} = y_E x_3^{(l)}, \quad (36)$$

$$c_{21}^{(l)} = b_{21}^{(l)}\lambda^4 + a_{21}^{(l)}, \quad c_{22}^{(l)} = b_{22}^{(l)}\lambda^4 + a_{22}^{(l)}, \quad c_{23}^{(l)} = b_{23}^{(l)}\lambda^4 + a_{23}^{(l)}, \quad (37)$$

$$c_{31}^{(l)} = b_{31}^{(l)}\lambda^6 + a_{31}^{(l)}, \quad c_{32}^{(l)} = b_{32}^{(l)}\lambda^6 + a_{32}^{(l)}, \quad c_{33}^{(l)} = b_{33}^{(l)}\lambda^6 + a_{33}^{(l)}, \quad c_{1i}^{(l)} = b_{1i}^{(l)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (38)$$

The matrix in the second equality of Eq. (34) is shown for convenience in order to explicitly display the hierarchy of the matrix elements of $\widetilde{M}_l$. To fit the measured values of the SM charged lepton masses [125], we solve the eigenvalue problem for the SM lepton mass matrix (34) and find the following solution:

$$c_{ij}^{(l)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1.13637 & -1.03665 & -0.866907 \\ -0.658689 & -0.525883 & 1.08155 \\ 0.900883 & -0.32514 & -0.312796 \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

An important point is that all the elements of this matrix constructed from Yukawa couplings are $\sim O(1)$. This means that the observed hierarchical charged lepton mass spectrum can be naturally reproduced in our model without significant tuning of the coupling constants.

VI. NEUTRINO MASS GENERATION

The neutrino Yukawa interactions give rise to the following neutrino mass terms:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}}^{(\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu_L^C} & \overline{\nu_R} & \overline{N_R} \end{pmatrix} M_\nu \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ \nu_R^C \\ N_R^C \end{pmatrix} + H.c., \quad (40)$$

where the neutrino mass matrix M_ν is

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0_{3 \times 3} & 0_{3 \times 3} \\ 0_{3 \times 3} & M_2 & M_\chi \\ 0_{3 \times 3} & M_\chi^T & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

with the submatrices M_1 and M_2 generated at one loop level, whereas the submatrices M_χ and μ appearing at tree level. They are given by:

$$M_\chi = \begin{pmatrix} x_N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_N \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_\chi}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} h_{3N}v_{\sigma_3} - h_{1N}v_{\sigma_1} & h_{1N}v_{\sigma_2} & h_{2N}v_{\sigma_2} \\ h_{1N}v_{\sigma_2} & h_{3N}v_{\sigma_3} + h_{1N}v_{\sigma_1} & -h_{2N}v_{\sigma_1} \\ h_{2N}v_{\sigma_2} & -h_{2N}v_{\sigma_1} & h_{4N}v_{\sigma_3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

The light active neutrino mass matrix is generated by the loop diagrams shown in Figure 5 and is given by:

$$\widetilde{M}_\nu = M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_N^2 F(\mu_{22}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{22} & -x_N^2 F(\mu_{12}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{12} & x_N y_N F(\mu_{23}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{23} \\ -x_N^2 F(\mu_{12}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{12} & x_N^2 F(\mu_{11}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{11} & -x_N y_N F(\mu_{13}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{13} \\ x_N y_N F(\mu_{23}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{23} & -x_N y_N F(\mu_{13}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{13} & y_N^2 F(\mu_{33}, m_{\chi_{1R}}, m_{\chi_{1I}}) \mu_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

with the loop function of the form [126]:

$$F(m_1, m_2, m_3) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[\frac{m_2^2}{m_2^2 - m_1^2} \ln \left(\frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2} \right) - \frac{m_3^2}{m_3^2 - m_1^2} \ln \left(\frac{m_3^2}{m_1^2} \right) \right]. \quad (44)$$

In the limit where $\mu_{ij}^2 \ll m_{\chi_{1R}}^2, m_{\chi_{1I}}^2$, the light active neutrino mass matrix becomes:

$$\widetilde{M}_\nu \simeq \frac{m_{\chi_{1R}}^2 - m_{\chi_{1I}}^2}{8\pi^2 (m_{\chi_{1R}}^2 + m_{\chi_{1I}}^2)} \begin{pmatrix} x_N^2 \mu_{22} & x_N^2 \mu_{12} & x_N y_N \mu_{23} \\ x_N^2 \mu_{12} & x_N^2 \mu_{11} & x_N y_N \mu_{13} \\ x_N y_N \mu_{23} & x_N y_N \mu_{13} & y_N^2 \mu_{33} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

All the elements of this mass matrix are free parameters and, therefore, our model does not predict specific values of neutrino masses and mixing. However, in our model, the small value of the overall neutrino mass scale is natural. As seen from Eq. (45), the smallness of this scale is attributed to a small splitting Δm_χ^2 between the masses of the χ_{1R} and χ_{1I} scalar fields, which originates from the quartic term $\gamma (\chi^\dagger \eta \sigma_3 \varphi + h.c.)$, so that $\Delta m_\chi^2 \sim \gamma v_\varphi^2$. (see Appendix B). Requiring smallness of the parameter κ , we must guarantee its stability with respect radiative corrections, i.e. its technical naturalness. Checking the model Lagrangian, we observe that in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ it acquires an extra symmetry, protecting this parameter from large radiative corrections. Here we do not need to specify this group completely and just give its minimal non-trivial subgroup. This is Z_3 with the field assignment, where all leptonic fields as well as the scalar fields σ and σ_3 have a charge equal to $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$, whereas the remaining fields are neutral under this symmetry. This symmetry is broken by the coupling γ . Therefore, in our model small masses of the light neutrinos are technically natural, being protected by this accidental symmetry. As a result, the components $\chi_{1,2}$ of the scalar $SU(3)_L$ -triplet can be sufficiently light to provide a non-trivial phenomenology.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have constructed a renormalizable theory based on the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry, supplemented with the spontaneously broken $U(1)_{L_g}$ global lepton number symmetry and the $S_3 \times Z_2$ discrete group, consistent with the low energy SM fermion flavor data. In our model, the particle spectrum of the 3-3-1 model with right handed Majorana neutrinos is enlarged by the inclusion of gauge singlet scalars and charged exotic vector like fermions, which are crucial for the implementation of the tree level Universal seesaw mechanism that produces the masses for the bottom, strange and charm quarks as well as the tau and muon lepton masses. The top and exotic quarks obtain their tree level masses from renormalizable Yukawa interactions, whereas the first generation SM charged fermion masses are generated from a one loop level radiative seesaw mechanism. The masses for the light active neutrinos arise from a radiative seesaw mechanism at one loop level. The natural smallness of the overall neutrino mass scale is guaranteed by an accidental softly broken symmetry. Our model successfully explains the hierarchy of the fermion masses and mixings as well as accommodates the current experimental deviations of the electron and muon anomalous magnetic moments from their SM values.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has received funding from Fondecyt (Chile), Grants No. 1170803, No. 1190845, ANID PIA/APOYO AFB180002, the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 103.01-2017.341. A.E.C.H is very grateful to the Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology for the warm hospitality and for financing his visit.

Appendix A: The S_3 discrete group

The S_3 discrete group contains 3 irreducible representations: $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1}'$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Considering $(x_1, x_2)^T$ and $(y_1, y_2)^T$ as the basis vectors for two S_3 doublets and (y) is an S_3 non trivial singlet, the multiplication rules of the S_3 group for the case of real representations take the form [127]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{2}} = (x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2)_{\mathbf{1}} + (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)_{\mathbf{1}'} + \begin{pmatrix} x_2 y_2 - x_1 y_1 \\ x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{2}}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes (y)_{\mathbf{1}'} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 y' \\ x_1 y' \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{2}}, \quad (x)_{\mathbf{1}'} \otimes (y)_{\mathbf{1}'} = (x' y)_{\mathbf{1}}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Appendix B: The scalar potential

The renormalizable scalar potential of our model takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} V = & -\mu_\chi^2 (\chi^\dagger \chi) - \mu_\eta^2 (\eta^\dagger \eta) - \mu_\rho^2 (\rho^\dagger \rho) - \mu_\xi^2 (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} - \mu_\varphi^2 \varphi^2 - \mu_\sigma^2 (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} - \mu_{\sigma_3}^2 (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) \\ & + (\kappa \varphi \eta_i \chi_j \rho_k \varepsilon^{ijk} + H.c.) + \gamma (\chi^\dagger \eta \sigma_3 \varphi + h.c.) + \lambda_1 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\chi^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_2 (\rho^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_3 (\eta^\dagger \eta) (\eta^\dagger \eta) \\ & + \lambda_4 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_5 (\chi^\dagger \chi) (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_6 (\rho^\dagger \rho) (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_7 (\chi^\dagger \eta) (\eta^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_8 (\chi^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_9 (\rho^\dagger \eta) (\eta^\dagger \rho) \\ & + \lambda_{10} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}}]^2 + \lambda_{11} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}'}]^2 + \lambda_{12} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}}]_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda_{13} \varphi^4 + \lambda_{14} [(\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}}]^2 + \lambda_{15} [(\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}'}]^2 + \lambda_{16} [(\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{2}}]^2 \\ & + \lambda_{17} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3)^2 + \lambda_{18} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} \varphi^2 + \lambda_{19} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}}] (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda_{20} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}'}] (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}'} + \lambda_{21} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}}] (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{2}} \\ & + \lambda_{22} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) + \lambda_{23} \varphi^2 (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda_{24} (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) + \lambda_{25} [(\sigma \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) + h.c.] + \lambda_{26} \varphi^2 (\chi^\dagger \chi) \\ & + \lambda_{27} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} (\chi^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_{28} (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} (\chi^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_{29} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) (\chi^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_{30} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{31} \varphi^2 (\eta^\dagger \eta) \\ & + \lambda_{32} (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{33} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) (\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_{34} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{35} \varphi^2 (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{36} (\sigma^\dagger \sigma)_{\mathbf{1}} (\rho^\dagger \rho) \\ & + \lambda_{37} (\sigma_3^\dagger \sigma_3) (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{38} \{[(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}} \xi]_{\mathbf{1}'} \varphi + h.c.\} + \lambda_{39} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}} (\sigma^\dagger \sigma_3) + h.c.] + \lambda_{40} [(\sigma^\dagger \xi)_{\mathbf{1}'} \varphi \sigma_3 + h.c.] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

where λ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 40$) are dimensionless parameters, whereas μ_χ , μ_η , μ_ξ , μ_φ , μ_σ , μ_{σ_3} , f and A are dimensionful parameters. Here χ , ρ and η , the $SU(3)_L$ scalar triplets and the remaining fields are $SU(3)_L$ scalar singlets. The scalar fields σ and σ_3 are complex, whereas ξ and φ are real.

Appendix C: The scalar potential and scalar mass matrix entries for the g-2 contribution

The scalar potential that contributes to $g - 2$ anomalies is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\text{CP}} = & -\mu_\rho^2 (\rho^\dagger \rho) - \mu_\xi^2 (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} - \mu_\varphi^2 \varphi^2 + (\kappa \varphi \eta_i \chi_j \rho_k \varepsilon^{ijk} + H.c.) \\ & + \lambda_2 (\rho^\dagger \rho) (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{10} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}}]^2 + \lambda_{11} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}'}]^2 \\ & + \lambda_{12} [(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}}]_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda_{13} \varphi^4 + \lambda_{18} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} \varphi^2 \\ & + \lambda_{34} (\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}} (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{35} \varphi^2 (\rho^\dagger \rho) + \lambda_{38} \{[(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{2}} \xi]_{\mathbf{1}'} \varphi + h.c.\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

with the complex quartic coupling $\kappa = \kappa_r + i\kappa_i$ introducing CP-violation in the scalar potential. We need it in order to mix φ with ζ_ρ . The scalar potential minimization equations allow us to express the μ_ρ , μ_ξ and μ_φ parameters as follows:

$$\mu_\rho^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa_r v_\eta v_\varphi v_\chi}{v_\rho} + \lambda_{34} v_\xi^2 + 2\lambda_2 v_\rho^2 + \lambda_{35} v_\varphi^2 \right) \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\mu_\xi^2 = \frac{1}{2} (2(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{12}) v_\xi^2 + \lambda_{34} v_\rho^2 + \lambda_{18} v_\varphi^2) \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\mu_\varphi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa_r v_\eta v_\rho v_\chi}{v_\varphi} + \lambda_{18} v_\xi^2 + \lambda_{35} v_\rho^2 + 2\lambda_{13} v_\varphi^2 \right) \quad (\text{C4})$$

The potential (C1) generates the entries of the scalar mass squared matrix $\mathbf{M}^2$. In the basis $\xi_\rho, \xi_{1R}, \xi_{2R}, \varphi, \zeta_\rho$ these entries are given by:

$$m_{11}^2 = 2\lambda_2 v_\rho^2 - \frac{\kappa_r v_\eta v_\varphi v_\chi}{2v_\rho} \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$m_{12}^2 = m_{21}^2 = \lambda_{34} v_\xi v_\rho \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$m_{14}^2 = m_{41}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_r v_\eta v_\chi + \lambda_{35} v_\rho v_\varphi \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$m_{22}^2 = 2(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{12}) v_\xi^2 \quad (\text{C8})$$

$$m_{23}^2 = m_{32}^2 = -2\sqrt{2} \lambda_{38} v_\xi v_\varphi \quad (\text{C9})$$

$$m_{24}^2 = m_{42}^2 = \lambda_{18} v_\xi v_\varphi \quad (\text{C10})$$

$$m_{33}^2 = 2\lambda_{10} v_\xi^2 - 3\lambda_{12} v_\xi^2 - 2\lambda_{38} v_\varphi v_\xi \quad (\text{C11})$$

$$m_{34}^2 = m_{43}^2 = -\sqrt{2} \lambda_{38} v_\xi^2 \quad (\text{C12})$$

$$m_{44}^2 = 2\lambda_{13} v_\varphi^2 - \frac{\kappa_r v_\eta v_\rho v_\chi}{2v_\varphi} \quad (\text{C13})$$

$$m_{45}^2 = m_{54}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa_i v_\eta v_\chi \quad (\text{C14})$$

$$m_{55}^2 = -\frac{\kappa_r v_\eta v_\varphi v_\chi}{2v_\rho}. \quad (\text{C15})$$

From these expressions one can see that by the appropriate choice of the signs of the quartic couplings it easy to guarantee positive definiteness of the mass squared matrix (12) and, therefore, that the extremum conditions (C2)-(C4) correspond to the minimum of the potential (C1).

-
- [1] H. Georgi and A. Pais, “Generalization of Gim: Horizontal and Vertical Flavor Mixing,” *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 2746.
 - [2] J. W. F. Valle and M. Singer, “Lepton Number Violation With Quasi Dirac Neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 540.
 - [3] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, “An $SU(3) \times U(1)$ model for electroweak interactions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 410–417, [arXiv:hep-ph/9206242 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [4] R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, “Lepton masses in an $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ gauge model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 4158–4161, [arXiv:hep-ph/9207264 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [5] P. H. Frampton, “Chiral dilepton model and the flavor question,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 2889–2891.
 - [6] H. N. Long, “ $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ model for right-handed neutrino neutral currents,” *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 4691–4693, [arXiv:hep-ph/9607439 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [7] H. N. Long, “The 331 model with right handed neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 437–445, [arXiv:hep-ph/9504274 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [8] R. Foot, H. N. Long, and T. A. Tran, “ $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ and $SU(4)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ gauge models with right-handed neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev.* **D50** no. 1, (1994) R34–R38, [arXiv:hep-ph/9402243 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [9] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, “Z and Z’ decays with and without FCNC in 331 models,” *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 035007, [arXiv:hep-ph/0510421 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [10] P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, D. V. Soa, and V. V. Vien, “The 3-3-1 model with S_4 flavor symmetry,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1544, [arXiv:1009.2328 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [11] P. V. Dong, L. T. Hue, H. N. Long, and D. V. Soa, “The 3-3-1 model with A_4 flavor symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 053004, [arXiv:1001.4625 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [12] P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, C. H. Nam, and V. V. Vien, “The S_3 flavor symmetry in 3-3-1 models,” *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 053001, [arXiv:1111.6360 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [13] R. H. Benavides, W. A. Ponce, and Y. Giraldo, “ $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ models with four families,” *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 013004, [arXiv:1006.3248 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [14] P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, and H. T. Hung, “Question of Peccei-Quinn symmetry and quark masses in the economical 3-3-1 model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 033002, [arXiv:1205.5648 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [15] D. T. Huong, L. T. Hue, M. C. Rodriguez, and H. N. Long, “Supersymmetric reduced minimal 3-3-1 model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B870** (2013) 293–322, [arXiv:1210.6776 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [16] P. T. Giang, L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, “Lepton-Flavor Violating Decays of Neutral Higgs to Muon and Tauon in Supersymmetric Economical 3-3-1 Model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B864** (2012) 85–112, [arXiv:1204.2902 \[hep-ph\]](#).
 - [17] D. T. Binh, L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, “Higgs revised in supersymmetric economical 3-3-1 model with B/μ -type terms,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** no. 5, (2014) 2851, [arXiv:1308.3085 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [18] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, “Radiative seesaw-type mechanism of quark masses in $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$,” *Phys. Rev.* **D87** no. 7, (2013) 075009, [arXiv:1302.1757 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez, and F. Ochoa, “Fermion masses and mixings in the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos based on the S_3 flavor symmetry,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 11, (2016) 634, [arXiv:1309.6567 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martinez, and J. Nisperuza, “ S_3 discrete group as a source of the quark mass and mixing pattern in 331 models,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** no. 2, (2015) 72, [arXiv:1401.0937 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, E. Cataño Mur, and R. Martinez, “Lepton masses and mixing in $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ models with a S_3 flavor symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 7, (2014) 073001, [arXiv:1407.5217 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] C. Kelso, H. N. Long, R. Martinez, and F. S. Queiroz, “Connection of $g - 2_\mu$, electroweak, dark matter, and collider constraints on 331 models,” *Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 11, (2014) 113011, [arXiv:1408.6203 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] V. V. Vien and H. N. Long, “The T_7 flavor symmetry in 3-3-1 model with neutral leptons,” *JHEP* **04** (2014) 133, [arXiv:1402.1256 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] V. Q. Phong, H. N. Long, V. T. Van, and L. H. Minh, “Electroweak phase transition in the economical 3-3-1 model,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** no. 7, (2015) 342, [arXiv:1409.0750 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] V. Q. Phong, H. N. Long, V. T. Van, and N. C. Thanh, “Electroweak sphalerons in the reduced minimal 3-3-1 model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 8, (2014) 085019, [arXiv:1408.5657 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, and J. W. F. Valle, “Radiative neutrino mass in 3-3-1 scheme,” *Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 1, (2014) 013005, [arXiv:1405.2332 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] G. De Conto, A. C. B. Machado, and V. Pleitez, “Minimal 3-3-1 model with a spectator sextet,” *Phys. Rev.* **D92** no. 7, (2015) 075031, [arXiv:1505.01343 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [28] S. M. Boucenna, J. W. F. Valle, and A. Vicente, “Predicting charged lepton flavor violation from 3-3-1 gauge symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D92** no. 5, (2015) 053001, [arXiv:1502.07546 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, and A. Vicente, “The LHC diphoton resonance from gauge symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 11, (2016) 115008, [arXiv:1512.06878 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] R. H. Benavides, L. N. Epele, H. Fanchiotti, C. G. Canal, and W. A. Ponce, “Lepton number violation and neutrino masses in 3-3-1 models,” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015** (2015) 813129, [arXiv:1503.01686 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [31] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and R. Martinez, “A predictive 3-3-1 model with A_4 flavor symmetry,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B905** (2016) 337–358, [arXiv:1501.05937 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [32] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and R. Martinez, “Fermion mass and mixing pattern in a minimal T_7 flavor 331 model,” *J. Phys.* **G43** no. 4, (2016) 045003, [arXiv:1501.07261 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [33] L. T. Hue, H. N. Long, T. T. Thuc, and T. Phong Nguyen, “Lepton flavor violating decays of Standard-Model-like Higgs in 3-3-1 model with neutral lepton,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B907** (2016) 37–76, [arXiv:1512.03266 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] A. E. C. Hernández and I. Nišandžić, “LHC diphoton resonance at 750 GeV as an indication of $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ electroweak symmetry,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 7, (2016) 380, [arXiv:1512.07165 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] R. M. Fonseca and M. Hirsch, “A flipped 331 model,” *JHEP* **08** (2016) 003, [arXiv:1606.01109 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] V. V. Vien, A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, and H. N. Long, “The $\Delta(27)$ flavor 3-3-1 model with neutral leptons,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B913** (2016) 792–814, [arXiv:1601.03300 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [37] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, H. N. Long, and V. V. Vien, “A 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos based on the $\Delta(27)$ family symmetry,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 5, (2016) 242, [arXiv:1601.05062 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] R. M. Fonseca and M. Hirsch, “Lepton number violation in 331 models,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 11, (2016) 115003, [arXiv:1607.06328 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] F. F. Deppisch, C. Hati, S. Patra, U. Sarkar, and J. W. F. Valle, “331 Models and Grand Unification: From Minimal $SU(5)$ to Minimal $SU(6)$,” *Phys. Lett.* **B762** (2016) 432–440, [arXiv:1608.05334 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] M. Reig, J. W. F. Valle, and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo, “Realistic $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ model with a type II Dirac neutrino seesaw mechanism,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 3, (2016) 033012, [arXiv:1606.08499 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [41] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, H. N. Long, and I. Schmidt, “A variant of 3-3-1 model for the generation of the SM fermion mass and mixing pattern,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 144, [arXiv:1705.09169 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [42] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández and H. N. Long, “A highly predictive A_4 flavour 3-3-1 model with radiative inverse seesaw mechanism,” *J. Phys.* **G45** no. 4, (2018) 045001, [arXiv:1705.05246 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] C. Hati, S. Patra, M. Reig, J. W. F. Valle, and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo, “Towards gauge coupling unification in left-right symmetric $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_X$ theories,” *Phys. Rev.* **D96** no. 1, (2017) 015004, [arXiv:1703.09647 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [44] E. R. Barreto, A. G. Dias, J. Leite, C. C. Nishi, R. L. N. Oliveira, and W. C. Vieira, “Hierarchical fermions and detectable Z' from effective two-Higgs-triplet 3-3-1 model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D97** no. 5, (2018) 055047, [arXiv:1709.09946 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [45] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, H. N. Long, and V. V. Vien, “The first $\Delta(27)$ flavor 3-3-1 model with low scale seesaw mechanism,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C78** no. 10, (2018) 804, [arXiv:1803.01636 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [46] V. V. Vien, H. N. Long, and A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, “Lepton masses and mixings in a T' flavoured 3-3-1 model with type I and II seesaw mechanisms,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A34** no. 01, (2019) 1950005, [arXiv:1812.07263 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [47] A. G. Dias, J. Leite, D. D. Lopes, and C. C. Nishi, “Fermion Mass Hierarchy and Double Seesaw Mechanism in a 3-3-1 Model with an Axion,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** no. 11, (2018) 115017, [arXiv:1810.01893 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [48] M. M. Ferreira, T. B. de Melo, S. Kovalenko, P. R. D. Pinheiro, and F. S. Queiroz, “Lepton Flavor Violation and Collider Searches in a Type I + II Seesaw Model,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C79** no. 11, (2019) 955, [arXiv:1903.07634 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [49] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, Y. Hidalgo Velásquez, and N. A. Pérez-Julve, “A 3-3-1 model with low scale seesaw mechanisms,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C79** no. 10, (2019) 828, [arXiv:1905.02323 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [50] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, N. A. Pérez-Julve, and Y. Hidalgo Velásquez, “Fermion masses and mixings and some phenomenological aspects of a 3-3-1 model with linear seesaw mechanism,” *Phys. Rev.* **D100** no. 9, (2019) 095025, [arXiv:1907.13083 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [51] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long, “Minimal model for the fermion flavor structure, mass hierarchy, dark matter, leptogenesis, and the electron and muon anomalous magnetic moments,” *Phys. Rev.* **D102** no. 5, (2020) 055002, [arXiv:1910.12877 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [52] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, L. T. Hue, S. Kovalenko, and H. N. Long, “An extended 3-3-1 model with two scalar triplets and linear seesaw mechanism,” [arXiv:2001.01748 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [53] A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter, and P. Schmidt-Wellenburg, “Combined explanations of $(g-2)_{\mu,e}$ and implications for a large muon EDM,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** no. 11, (2018) 113002, [arXiv:1807.11484 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [54] M. Endo and W. Yin, “Explaining electron and muon $g-2$ anomaly in SUSY without lepton-flavor mixings,” *JHEP* **08** (2019) 122, [arXiv:1906.08768 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [55] G. F. Giudice, P. Paradisi, and M. Passera, “Testing new physics with the electron $g-2$,” *JHEP* **11** (2012) 113, [arXiv:1208.6583 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [56] A. Falkowski, S. F. King, E. Perdomo, and M. Pierre, “Flavourful Z' portal for vector-like neutrino Dark Matter and $R_{K^{(*)}}$,” *JHEP* **08** (2018) 061, [arXiv:1803.04430 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [57] B. Allanach, F. S. Queiroz, A. Strumia, and S. Sun, “ Z' models for the LHCb and $g-2$ muon anomalies,” *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 5, (2016) 055045, [arXiv:1511.07447 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **D95**, no. 11, 119902 (2017)].
- [58] C.-H. Chen, T. Nomura, and H. Okada, “Explanation of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \ell^+ \ell^-$ and muon $g-2$, and implications at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 11, (2016) 115005, [arXiv:1607.04857 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [59] S. Raby and A. Trautner, “Vectorlike chiral fourth family to explain muon anomalies,” *Phys. Rev.* **D97** no. 9, (2018) 095006, [arXiv:1712.09360 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [60] C.-W. Chiang, H. Okada, and E. Senaha, “Dark matter, muon $g-2$, electric dipole moments, and $Z \rightarrow \ell_i^+ \ell_j^-$ in a one-loop induced neutrino model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D96** no. 1, (2017) 015002, [arXiv:1703.09153 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [61] C.-H. Chen, T. Nomura, and H. Okada, “Excesses of muon $g-2$, $R_{D^{(*)}}$, and R_K in a leptoquark model,” *Phys. Lett.* **B774** (2017) 456–464, [arXiv:1703.03251 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [62] H. Davoudiasl and W. J. Marciano, “Tale of two anomalies,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** no. 7, (2018) 075011, [arXiv:1806.10252 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [63] J. Liu, C. E. M. Wagner, and X.-P. Wang, “A light complex scalar for the electron and muon anomalous magnetic moments,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 008, [arXiv:1810.11028 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [64] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, R. Pasechnik, and I. Schmidt, “Phenomenology of an extended IDM with loop-generated fermion mass hierarchies,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C79** no. 7, (2019) 610, [arXiv:1901.09552 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [65] T. Nomura and H. Okada, “Muon anomalous magnetic moment, Z boson decays, and collider physics in multicharged particles,” *Phys. Rev.* **D101** no. 1, (2020) 015021, [arXiv:1903.05958 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [66] J. Kawamura, S. Raby, and A. Trautner, “Complete vectorlike fourth family and new $U(1)'$ for muon anomalies,” *Phys. Rev.* **D100** no. 5, (2019) 055030, [arXiv:1906.11297 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [67] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, S. Renner, M. Schnubel, and A. Thamm, “Axionlike Particles, Lepton-Flavor Violation, and a New Explanation of a_μ and a_e ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** no. 21, (2020) 211803, [arXiv:1908.00008 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [68] X.-F. Han, T. Li, L. Wang, and Y. Zhang, “Simple interpretations of lepton anomalies in the lepton-specific inert two-Higgs-doublet model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D99** no. 9, (2019) 095034, [arXiv:1812.02449 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [69] B. Dutta and Y. Mimura, “Electron $g-2$ with flavor violation in MSSM,” *Phys. Lett.* **B790** (2019) 563–567, [arXiv:1811.10209 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [70] M. Badziak and K. Sakurai, “Explanation of electron and muon $g-2$ anomalies in the MSSM,” *JHEP* **10** (2019) 024, [arXiv:1908.03607 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [71] G. Hiller, C. Hormigos-Feliu, D. F. Litim, and T. Steudtner, “Anomalous magnetic moments from asymptotic safety,” *Phys. Rev.* **D102** no. 7, (2020) 071901, [arXiv:1910.14062 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [72] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. F. King, H. Lee, and S. J. Rowley, “Is it possible to explain the muon and electron $g-2$ in a Z' model?,” *Phys. Rev.* **D101** no. 11, (2020) 115016, [arXiv:1910.10734 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [73] T. Appelquist, M. Piai, and R. Shrock, “Lepton dipole moments in extended technicolor models,” *Phys. Lett.* **B593** (2004) 175–180, [arXiv:hep-ph/0401114 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] J. M. Gerard, “FERMION MASS SPECTRUM IN $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$,” *Z. Phys.* **C18** (1983) 145.
- [75] J. Kubo, A. Mondragon, M. Mondragon, and E. Rodriguez-Jauregui, “The Flavor symmetry,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **109** (2003) 795–807, [arXiv:hep-ph/0302196 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Erratum: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **114**, 287 (2005)].
- [76] J. Kubo, “Majorana phase in minimal $S(3)$ invariant extension of the standard model,” *Phys. Lett.* **B578** (2004) 156–164, [arXiv:hep-ph/0309167 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Lett.* **B619**, 387 (2005)].
- [77] T. Kobayashi, J. Kubo, and H. Terao, “Exact $S(3)$ symmetry solving the supersymmetric flavor problem,” *Phys. Lett.* **B568** (2003) 83–91, [arXiv:hep-ph/0303084 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [78] S.-L. Chen, M. Frigerio, and E. Ma, “Large neutrino mixing and normal mass hierarchy: A Discrete understanding,” *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 073008, [arXiv:hep-ph/0404084 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **D70**, 079905 (2004)].
- [79] A. Mondragon, M. Mondragon, and E. Peinado, “Lepton masses, mixings and FCNC in a minimal $S(3)$ -invariant extension of the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 076003, [arXiv:0706.0354 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [80] A. Mondragon, M. Mondragon, and E. Peinado, “Lepton flavour violating processes in an $S(3)$ -symmetric model,” *Rev. Mex. Fis.* **54** no. 3, (2008) 81–91, [arXiv:0805.3507 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl.54,0181(2008)].
- [81] G. Bhattacharyya, P. Leser, and H. Pas, “Exotic Higgs boson decay modes as a harbinger of S_3 flavor symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 011701, [arXiv:1006.5597 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [82] A. G. Dias, A. C. B. Machado, and C. C. Nishi, “An S_3 Model for Lepton Mass Matrices with Nearly Minimal Texture,” *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 093005, [arXiv:1206.6362 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [83] D. Meloni, “ S_3 as a flavour symmetry for quarks and leptons after the Daya Bay result on θ_{13} ,” *JHEP* **05** (2012) 124, [arXiv:1203.3126 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [84] F. Gonzalez Canales, A. Mondragon, and M. Mondragon, “The S_3 Flavour Symmetry: Neutrino Masses and Mixings,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **61** (2013) 546–570, [arXiv:1205.4755 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [85] F. González Canales, A. Mondragón, M. Mondragón, U. J. Saldaña Salazar, and L. Velasco-Sevilla, “Quark sector of S_3 models: classification and comparison with experimental data,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 096004, [arXiv:1304.6644 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [86] E. Ma and B. Melic, “Updated S_3 model of quarks,” *Phys. Lett.* **B725** (2013) 402–406, [arXiv:1303.6928 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [87] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada, and K. Yagyu, “Electron/Muon Specific Two Higgs Doublet Model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B887** (2014) 358–370, [arXiv:1309.6234 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [88] E. Ma and R. Srivastava, “Dirac or inverse seesaw neutrino masses with $B - L$ gauge symmetry and S_3 flavor symmetry,” *Phys. Lett.* **B741** (2015) 217–222, [arXiv:1411.5042 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [89] S. Gupta, C. S. Kim, and P. Sharma, “Radiative and seesaw threshold corrections to the S_3 symmetric neutrino mass matrix,” *Phys. Lett.* **B740** (2015) 353–358, [arXiv:1408.0172 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [90] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, and E. Schumacher, “Fermion and scalar phenomenology of a two-Higgs-doublet model with S_3 ,” *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 1, (2016) 016003, [arXiv:1509.02083 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [91] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, and N. A. Neill, “Novel Randall-Sundrum model with S_3 flavor symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 3, (2016) 033011, [arXiv:1511.07420 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [92] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, “A novel and economical explanation for SM fermion masses and mixings,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C76** no. 9, (2016) 503, [arXiv:1512.09092 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [93] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, and E. Schumacher, “The 750 GeV diphoton resonance in the light of a 2HDM with S_3 flavour symmetry,” [arXiv:1601.00661 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [94] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, and I. Schmidt, “Radiatively generated hierarchy of lepton and quark masses,” *JHEP* **02** (2017) 125, [arXiv:1611.09797 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [95] C. Arbeláez, A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, and I. Schmidt, “Radiative Seesaw-type Mechanism of Fermion Masses and Non-trivial Quark Mixing,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** no. 6, (2017) 422, [arXiv:1602.03607 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [96] J. C. Gómez-Izquierdo, “Non-minimal flavored $S_3 \otimes Z_2$ left-right symmetric model,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** no. 8, (2017) 551, [arXiv:1701.01747 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [97] A. A. Cruz and M. Mondragón, “Neutrino masses, mixing, and leptogenesis in an S_3 model,” [arXiv:1701.07929 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [98] E. Ma, “Cobimaximal neutrino mixing from $S_3 \times Z_2$,” *Phys. Lett.* **B777** (2018) 332–334, [arXiv:1707.03352 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [99] C. Espinoza, E. A. Garcés, M. Mondragón, and H. Reyes-González, “The S_3 Symmetric Model with a Dark Scalar,” *Phys. Lett.* **B788** (2019) 185–191, [arXiv:1804.01879 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [100] E. A. Garcés, J. C. Gómez-Izquierdo, and F. Gonzalez-Canales, “Flavored non-minimal left-right symmetric model fermion masses and mixings,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C78** no. 10, (2018) 812, [arXiv:1807.02727 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [101] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, J. Vignatti, and A. Zerwekh, “Generating lepton masses and mixings with a heavy vector doublet,” *J. Phys.* **G46** no. 11, (2019) 115007, [arXiv:1807.05321 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [102] J. C. Gómez-Izquierdo and M. Mondragón, “B–L Model with S_3 symmetry: Nearest Neighbor Interaction Textures and Broken $\mu \leftrightarrow \tau$ Symmetry,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C79** no. 3, (2019) 285, [arXiv:1804.08746 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [103] S. Pramanick, “Scotogenic S_3 symmetric generation of realistic neutrino mixing,” *Phys. Rev.* **D100** no. 3, (2019) 035009, [arXiv:1904.07558 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [104] C. Salazar, R. H. Benavides, W. A. Ponce, and E. Rojas, “LHC Constraints on 3-3-1 Models,” *JHEP* **07** (2015) 096, [arXiv:1503.03519 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [105] V. T. N. Huyen, H. N. Long, T. T. Lam, and V. Q. Phong, “Neutral Current in Reduced Minimal 3-3-1 Model,” *Commun.in Phys.* **24** no. 2, (2014) 97, [arXiv:1210.5833 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [106] R. Martinez and F. Ochoa, “Mass-matrix ansatz and constraints on $B_0(s)$ - anti- $B_0(s)$ mixing in 331 models,” *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 065012, [arXiv:0802.0309 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [107] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, “331 models facing new $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ data,” *JHEP* **02** (2014) 112, [arXiv:1311.6729 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [108] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach-Noe, “ Z - Z' mixing and Z -mediated FCNCs in $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ models,” *JHEP* **08** (2014) 039, [arXiv:1405.3850 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [109] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, J. Girrbach, and M. V. Carlucci, “The Anatomy of Quark Flavour Observables in 331 Models in the Flavour Precision Era,” *JHEP* **02** (2013) 023, [arXiv:1211.1237 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [110] J. Kubo, H. Okada, and F. Sakamaki, “Higgs potential in minimal $S(3)$ invariant extension of the standard model,” *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 036007, [arXiv:hep-ph/0402089 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [111] K. Hagiwara, R. Liao, A. D. Martin, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, “ $(g-2)_\mu$ and $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ re-evaluated using new precise data,” *J. Phys.* **G38** (2011) 085003, [arXiv:1105.3149 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [112] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, and Z. Zhang, “Reevaluation of the hadronic vacuum polarisation contributions to the Standard Model predictions of the muon $g - 2$ and $\alpha(m_Z^2)$ using newest hadronic cross-section data,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** no. 12, (2017) 827, [arXiv:1706.09436 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [113] T. Nomura and H. Okada, “One-loop neutrino mass model without any additional symmetries,” *Phys. Dark Univ.* **26** (2019) 100359, [arXiv:1808.05476 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [114] T. Nomura and H. Okada, “Zee-Babu type model with $U(1)_{L_\mu - L_\tau}$ gauge symmetry,” *Phys. Rev.* **D97** no. 9, (2018) 095023, [arXiv:1803.04795 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [115] **RBC, UKQCD** Collaboration, T. Blum, P. A. Boyle, V. Gülpers, T. Izubuchi, L. Jin, C. Jung, A. Jüttner, C. Lehner, A. Portelli, and J. T. Tsang, “Calculation of the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** no. 2, (2018) 022003, [arXiv:1801.07224 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [116] A. Keshavarzi, D. Nomura, and T. Teubner, “Muon $g - 2$ and $\alpha(M_Z^2)$: a new data-based analysis,” *Phys. Rev.* **D97** no. 11, (2018) 114025, [arXiv:1802.02995 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [117] T. Aoyama *et al.*, “The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model,” *Phys. Rept.* **887** (2020) 1–166, [arXiv:2006.04822 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [118] R. H. Parker, C. Yu, W. Zhong, B. Estey, and H. Müller, “Measurement of the fine-structure constant as a test of the Standard Model,” *Science* **360** (2018) 191, [arXiv:1812.04130 \[physics.atom-ph\]](#).
- [119] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, “The Seesaw mechanism at arbitrary order: Disentangling the small scale from the large scale,” *JHEP* **11** (2000) 042, [arXiv:hep-ph/0008179 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [120] R. A. Diaz, R. Martinez, and J. A. Rodriguez, “Phenomenology of lepton flavor violation in 2HDM(3) from $(g-2)(\mu)$ and leptonic decays,” *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 075011, [arXiv:hep-ph/0208117 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [121] M. Lindner, M. Platscher, and F. S. Queiroz, “A Call for New Physics : The Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment and Lepton Flavor Violation,” *Phys. Rept.* **731** (2018) 1–82, [arXiv:1610.06587 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [122] K. Kowalska and E. M. Sessolo, “Expectations for the muon $g-2$ in simplified models with dark matter,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 112, [arXiv:1707.00753 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [123] K. Bora, “Updated values of running quark and lepton masses at GUT scale in SM, 2HDM and MSSM,” *Horizon* **2** (2013) 112, [arXiv:1206.5909 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [124] Z.-z. Xing, H. Zhang, and S. Zhou, “Updated Values of Running Quark and Lepton Masses,” *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 113016, [arXiv:0712.1419 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [125] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, M. Tanabashi *et al.*, “Review of Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** no. 3, (2018) 030001.
- [126] E. Ma, “Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter,” *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 077301, [arXiv:hep-ph/0601225 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [127] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Shimizu, H. Okada, and M. Tanimoto, “Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries in Particle Physics,” *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **183** (2010) 1–163, [arXiv:1003.3552 \[hep-th\]](#).




Figure 3: One-loop Feynman diagrams contributing to the entries of the SM quark mass matrices. Here, $n = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$.




Figure 4: One-loop Feynman diagrams contributing to the entries of the SM charged lepton mass matrix. Here $i = 1, 2, 3$.




Figure 5: One-loop Feynman diagrams contributing to the entries of the light active neutrino mass matrix. Here $i, j, k, n = 1, 2, 3$.