

Combinatorial quantum field theory and the Jacobian conjecture

A. Tanasa*

December 27, 2021

Abstract

In this short review we first recall combinatorial or (0–dimensional) quantum field theory (QFT). We then give the main idea of a standard QFT method, called the intermediate field method, and we review how to apply this method to a combinatorial QFT reformulation of the celebrated Jacobian Conjecture on the invertibility of polynomial systems. This approach establishes a related theorem concerning partial elimination of variables that implies a reduction of the generic case to the quadratic one. Note that this does not imply solving the Jacobian Conjecture, because one needs to introduce a supplementary parameter for the dimension of a certain linear subspace where the system holds.

1 Introduction

In 1939, Keller formulated in [Kel39] the **Jacobian Conjecture**, as a remarkably simple and natural conjecture on the invertibility of polynomial systems. The conjecture states that a polynomial function $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is invertible, and its inverse is polynomial, if and only if the determinant of the Jacobian matrix $J_F(z) = (dF_j(z)/dz_i)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a non-zero constant.¹

In order to illustrate this celebrated conjecture, let us give a simple example. Let $n = 2$, $F(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + z_2^3, z_2)$. The Jacobian writes

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dF_1}{dz_1} & \frac{dF_1}{dz_2} \\ \frac{dF_2}{dz_1} & \frac{dF_2}{dz_2} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3z_2^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \quad (1)$$

*adrian.tanasa@labri.fr, Partially supported by the PN 09370102 grant

¹In [Kel39], Keller formulated this conjecture for $n = 2$ and polynomials with integral coefficients, but this conjecture was shortly after generalized to the form above.

One easily finds: $F^{-1}(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2^3, z_2)$.

Despite several efforts (of mathematicians such as Gröbner, Zariski, Oda, to namely only a few), and various promising partial results, the Jacobian Conjecture remains unsolved. An introduction to the problem, the context, and the state of the art up to 1982, can be found in the seminal paper [BCW82].

The function $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be a *polynomial system* if all the coordinate functions F_j 's are polynomials. Let us call \mathcal{P}_n the set of such functions. For a function F , define

$$J_F(z) = \left(\frac{d}{dz_i} F_j(z) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad (2)$$

the corresponding Jacobian matrix and the two subspaces of \mathcal{P}_n :

Definition 1.1.

$$\mathcal{J}_n^{\text{lin}} := \{F \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \det J_F(z) = c \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \{F \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid F \text{ is invertible}\}. \quad (4)$$

It will often be sufficient to analyse the subset of \mathcal{J}^{lin} such that $\det J_F(z) = 1$. The Jacobian Conjecture rewrites as

Conjecture 1.2 (Jacobian Conjecture, [Kel39]).

$$\mathcal{J}_n^{\text{lin}} = \mathcal{J}_n \quad \forall n. \quad (5)$$

Define the *total degree* of F , $\deg(F)$, as $\max_j \deg(F_j(z))$, and introduce the subspaces

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d} = \{F \in \mathcal{P}_n \mid \deg(F) \leq d\}; \quad (6)$$

and similarly for \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}^{lin} .

Let us now mention two positive results on the conjecture

1. a theorem for the quadratic case ($d = 2$), established first in [Wan80], and then in [Oda80] (see also [Wri89, Lemma 3.5] and [BCW82, Thm. 2.4, pag. 298]).

Theorem 1.3 ([Wan80]).

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,2}^{\text{lin}} = \mathcal{J}_{n,2} \quad \forall n. \quad (7)$$

2. a reduction theorem, from the general case to the cubic case, established by Bass *et. al.* [BCW82, Sec. II]).

Theorem 1.4 ([BCW82]).

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,3}^{\text{lin}} = \mathcal{J}_{n,3} \quad \forall n \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{J}_n^{\text{lin}} = \mathcal{J}_n \quad \forall n. \quad (8)$$

The result of [dGST16] that we will review here from a quantum field theoretical (QFT) perspective is a reduction theorem, somewhat analogous to the one above, that tries to "fill the gap" between the cases of degree two and three. However, we need to introduce an adaptation of the statement of the Jacobian conjecture with one more parameter.

Definition 1.5. For $n' \leq n$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$, we write $z = (z_1, z_2)$ and $F = (F_1, F_2)$ to distinguish components in the two subspaces $\mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-n'} \equiv \mathbb{C}^n$. We set $R(z_2; z_1)$ as a synonymous for $F_2(z_1, z_2)$, emphasizing that, in R , we consider z_2 as the variables in a polynomial system, and z_1 as parameters. Then, we define the subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_{n,d}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{n,d;n'} &:= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d} \mid F^{-1} \text{ is defined on } \mathbb{C}^{n'} \times \{0\}\} \\ \mathcal{J}_{n,d;n'}^{\text{lin}} &:= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d} \mid R \in \mathcal{J}_{n-n',d} \text{ and } (\det J_F)(z_1, R^{-1}(0, z_1)) = c \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \forall z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n'}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let us now state the reduction theorem we will review in this paper:

Theorem 1.6 ([dGST16]). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \geq 3$, there exists an injective map $\Phi : \mathcal{P}_{n,d} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{n(n+1),d-1}$ satisfying

$$\Phi(\mathcal{J}_{n,d}^{\text{lin}}) \equiv \mathcal{J}_{n(n+1),d-1;n}^{\text{lin}} \cap \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{n,d}); \quad \Phi(\mathcal{J}_{n,d}) \equiv \mathcal{J}_{n(n+1),d-1;n} \cap \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{n,d}). \quad (9)$$

Combining Theorem 1.4 and the theorem above, the full Jacobian Conjecture reduces to the question whether

$$\mathcal{J}_{n(n+1),2;n}^{\text{lin}} = \mathcal{J}_{n(n+1),2;n}. \quad (10)$$

2 Combinatorial (or 0–dimensional) QFT and the intermediate field method

In this section we introduce combinatorial (or 0–dimensional) QFT and we then give the general idea of the so-called intermediate field method.

2.1 Combinatorial (or 0–dimensional) QFT

Usually in QFT, the scalar field φ is function of space (\mathbb{R}^D) , see for example the review papers [Abd03b] or [Tan12], and $D = 4$.

However, one can consider the case $D = 0$. In this case the scalar field φ_i is not a function of space (since there is no space anymore), and φ is simply a (real or complex) variable. Some authors refer to this simpler formulation of QFT as **combinatorial QFT**, and we will do the same here.

Thus, in the real case, the *partition function* (which, from a combinatorial point of view, is a generating function) of the so-called φ^4 model writes as the integral

$$Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\varphi^4}, \quad (11)$$

where the constant λ is the QFT coupling constant. The term φ^4 is called an interaction term of degree four.

It is worth emphasizing here that in combinatorial QFT, functional integrals (which are particularly involved to rigorously define for $D \geq 1$) become usual (real or complex) integrals. Combinatorial QFT is thus much easier to manipulate from a mathematical point of view.

However, combinatorial QFT still presents a certain interest for the mathematical physicist because it can be seen as some kind of "laboratory" to test the usual QFT mathematical tools. Thus, one needs to evaluate integrals of the same type as in usual QFT, namely type integrals

$$\frac{\lambda^n}{n} \int d\varphi e^{-\varphi^2/2} \left(\frac{\varphi^4}{4!} \right)^n. \quad (12)$$

coming from the so-called QFT perturbative expansion (which comes to Taylor expand the exponential in (11) and then dealing with each term one by one, instead of dealing with the integral as a whole). In order to evaluate this type of integrals, one can use standard QFT techniques. Namely, one can define

$$Z_0(J) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi e^{-\varphi^2/2 + J\varphi} \quad (13)$$

where the J is the QFT source.

Using this QFT source technique, the $(2k)$ -point correlation functions can be computed in the following way:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi e^{-\varphi^2/2} \varphi^{2k} = \frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial J^{2k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi e^{-\varphi^2/2 + J\varphi} \Big|_{J=0} = \frac{\partial^{2k}}{\partial J^{2k}} e^{J^2/2} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (14)$$

2.2 The intermediate field method

We give now the general idea of the so-called intermediate field method. Note that we present this method in the case of combinatorial QFT, but this idea can generalize to arbitrary D .

Thus, the intermediate field method consists of introducing a new field, σ , used to rewrite the interaction in a way that allows for the degree of the interaction to be reduced.

In order to illustrate this, let us take the example of the φ^6 model, where φ is, as above, a real 0-dimensional field (thus, a real variable).

The partition function of the φ^6 combinatorial QFT writes model

$$Z(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2} e^{-\lambda\varphi^6} \quad (15)$$

The intermediate field model consists of rewriting this partition function with the help of a supplementary integral on the intermediate field σ in the following way:

$$Z(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} e^{i\sqrt{2\lambda}\varphi^3\sigma}. \quad (16)$$

Note that this allowed to replace the interacting term φ^6 of (15) by a lower degree interacting term $\varphi^3\sigma$. This lowering of the degree of the interaction can be particularly useful in various contexts, such as the one of the Jacobian Conjecture we are dealing with here.

3 The Jacobian Conjecture as combinatorial QFT model (the Abdesselam-Rivasseau model)

In [Abd03a], the Jacobian Conjecture was re-expressed as combinatorial QFT model which we call here the Abdesselam-Rivasseau model.

Let $n, d \geq 1$, and let $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$. The coordinate functions of F can be written as

$$F_i(z) = z_i - \sum_{k=2}^d \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k=1}^n w_{i,j_1 \dots j_k}^{(k)} z_{j_1} \dots z_{j_k} =: z_i - \sum_{k=2}^d W_i^{(k)}(z), \quad (17)$$

for $i \leq n$ and $w_{i,j_1 \dots j_k}^{(k)}$ some coefficients which are nothing but the combinatorial QFT coupling constants (see section 2 above).

The partition function of the Abdesselam-Rivasseau combinatorial QFT model writes

$$Z(J, K) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger e^{-\varphi^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^d W^{(k)}(\varphi) + J^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger K},$$

where J, K are vectors in \mathbb{C}^n (and they are nothing but the combinatorial QFT sources, see again section 2). The measure of the integral above is

$$d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d\operatorname{Re}\varphi_i d\operatorname{Im}\varphi_i}{\pi}, \quad (18)$$

and we have used the standard QFT notations:

$$\varphi^\dagger K := \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i^\dagger K, \quad J^\dagger \varphi := \sum_{i=1}^n J_i^\dagger \varphi_i \quad (19)$$

Note that the Abdesselam-Rivasseau model has very particular Feynman graphs obtained through perturbative expansion (see the original article [Abd03a] for details on this).

Independently of this, setting the coupling constants to zero (the free theory), the partition function is calculated by Gaussian integration:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger e^{-\varphi^\dagger \varphi + J^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger K} = e^{J^\dagger K}.$$

Let us now stress the following two crucial points (see again [Abd03a] for details):

1. The partition function Z coincides with the inverse of the Jacobian:

$$Z(0, u) = \det(\partial G(u)) = JG(u) = \frac{1}{JF(G(u))}. \quad (20)$$

2. The inverse G of F corresponds to the 1-point correlation function:

$$G_i(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger \varphi_i e^{-\varphi^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^d W^{(k)}(\varphi) + \varphi^\dagger u}}{\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger e^{-\varphi^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^d W^{(k)}(\varphi) + \varphi^\dagger u}} \quad (21)$$

The sets of polynomial functions involved in the Jacobian Conjecture can be written in this framework:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{n,d}^{\text{lin}} &= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d} \mid Z(0, u) = 1, \forall u \in \mathbb{C}^n\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{n,d} &= \{F \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d} \mid G_i(u) \text{ given by (21) is in } \mathcal{P}_n\}. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Illustration of the intermediate field method. On the left hand side, the interaction term before applying the intermediate field method, and on the right hand side the interaction term after applying the method.

4 The intermediate field method for the Abdesselam-Rivasseau model

As we have seen in subsection 2.2, applying the combinatorial QFT intermediate field method will reduce the degree d of F .

We thus add n^2 intermediate fields σ_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) to the model. Indeed, we have, from the general formula (20) of Gaussian integration,

$$e^{(\varphi_i^\dagger \varphi_j)} \left(\sum_{j_2, \dots, j_d=1}^n w_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d)} \varphi_{j_2} \dots \varphi_{j_d} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n^2}} d\sigma_{i,j} d\sigma_{i,j}^\dagger e^{-\sigma_{i,j}^\dagger \sigma_{i,j} + \sigma_{i,j}^\dagger \left(\sum_{j_2, \dots, j_d=1}^n w_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d)} \varphi_{j_2} \dots \varphi_{j_d} \right) + (\varphi_i^\dagger \varphi_j) \sigma_{i,j}} \quad (22)$$

We now use the identity (22), for each pair (i, j) , in the partition function of the model with n dimensions and degree d , in order to re-express the monomials of degree d in the fields φ . This leads to:

$$Z(J, K) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\varphi d\varphi^\dagger \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n^2}} d\sigma d\sigma^\dagger e^{-\varphi^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} W^{(k)}(\varphi) + J^\dagger \varphi + \varphi^\dagger K} e^{\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(-\sigma_{i,j}^\dagger \sigma_{i,j} + \sigma_{i,j}^\dagger \sum_{j_2, \dots, j_d=1}^n w_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d)} \varphi_{j_2} \dots \varphi_{j_d} + \varphi_i^\dagger \varphi_j \sigma_{i,j} \right)}.$$

For a graphical representation of the intermediate field method leading to the model in dimension $n(n+1)$, see Figure 1.

We define the new vector ϕ of \mathbb{C}^{n+n^2} by $\phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \sigma_{1,1}, \dots, \sigma_{1,n}, \dots, \sigma_{n,1}, \dots, \sigma_{n,n})$. We further define the interaction coupling constants \tilde{w} as:

- for $k = d-1$, we set $\tilde{w}_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d-1)} := w_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d-1)}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i-n+j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d-1)} = w_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_d}^{(d)}$ with $i, j, j_2, \dots, j_n \leq n$
- for $k \in \{3, \dots, d-2\}$, we set $\tilde{w}_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_k}^{(k)} := w_{i,j,j_2 \dots j_k}^{(k)}$ with $i, j, j_2, \dots, j_n \leq n$

- for $k = 2$, we set $\tilde{w}_{i,j,j_2}^{(2)} := w_{i,j,j_2}^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i,j,i+n+j}^{(2)} = 1$ with $i, j, j_2 \leq n$.

The remaining coefficients of \tilde{w} are set to 0.

In the same way, the external sources are defined to be $\tilde{J} = (J, 0)$ and $\tilde{K} = (K, 0)$, where, of course, the number of extra vanishing coordinates is n^2 . It is important to note that these external sources have fewer degrees of freedom than coordinates (n vs. $n(n+1)$). We also remark that, for generic d , in order to have a relation adapted to induction, it is crucial to consider an inhomogeneous model, since the intermediate field method originates terms of degrees $d-1$ and 3.

The resulting QFT model, after applying the intermediate field method has partition function

$$Z(J, K) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+n^2}} d\phi d\phi^\dagger e^{-\phi^\dagger \phi + \phi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} \tilde{W}^{(k)}(\phi) + \tilde{J}^\dagger \phi + \phi^\dagger \tilde{K}}$$

and 1-point correlation function

$$G_i(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+n^2}} d\phi d\phi^\dagger \phi_i e^{-\phi^\dagger \phi + \phi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} \tilde{W}^{(k)}(\phi) + \phi^\dagger \tilde{u}}}{\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+n^2}} d\phi d\phi^\dagger e^{-\phi^\dagger \phi + \phi^\dagger \sum_{k=2}^{d-1} \tilde{W}^{(k)}(\phi) + \phi^\dagger \tilde{u}}},$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We have thus showed in a QFT way that the partition function (resp. the one-point correlation function) of the model with dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and degree $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ is equal to the partition function (resp. the n first coordinates of the one-point correlation function) of the model with dimension $n(n+1)$ and degree $d-1$, up to a redefinition of the coupling constant $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$ and a trivial redefinition of the external sources. Since, as announced above, the partition function corresponds to the inverse of the Jacobian (resp. the one-point correlation function corresponds to the formal inverse), this gives a QFT proof of Theorem 1.6. This represents a reduction theorem to the quadratic case for Jacobian Conjecture, up to the addition of a new parameter, related to the introduction of additional intermediate fields σ . An algebraic proof (not using any QFT arguments) of the Theorem 1.6 was also derived in the original article [dGST16].

References

- [Abd03a] A. Abdesselam. The Jacobian Conjecture as a Problem of Perturbative Quantum Field Theory. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 4:199–215, 2003.

- [Abd03b] Abdelmalek Abdesselam. Feynman diagrams in algebraic combinatorics. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, B49c, 2003.
- [BCW82] C. W. Bass, E. H. Connell, and D. Wright. The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7(2):287–330, 1982.
- [dGST16] Axel de Goursac, Andrea Sportiello, and Adrian Tanasa. The Jacobian Conjecture, a Reduction of the Degree to the Quadratic Case. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 17(11):3237–3254, 2016.
- [Kel39] O. H. Keller. Ganze Cremona Transformations. *Monats. Math. Phys.*, 47:299–306, 1939.
- [Oda80] S. Oda. The Jacobian problem and the simply-connectedness of \mathbf{a}^n over a field k of characteristic zero. Osaka University preprint, 1980.
- [Tan12] Adrian Tanasa. Some combinatorial aspects of quantum field theory. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, B65g, 2012.
- [Wan80] S. Wang. A Jacobian criterion for separability. *Journal of Algebra*, 65:453–494, 1980.
- [Wri89] D. Wright. The tree formulas for reversion of power series. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 57(2):191–211, 1989.

Adrian Tanasa

LaBRI, CNRS UMR 5800, université de Bordeaux Talence, France, EU

H. Hulubei Nat. Inst. Phys. Nucl. Engineering, Magurele, Romania, EU

I. U. F. Paris, France, EU