

Bi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions

Sezin Aykurt Sepet

Kırşehir Ahi Evran University, Department of Mathematics, Kırşehir, Turkey
sezinaykurt@hotmail.com

February 18, 2022

Abstract

We introduce bi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds as a generalization of slant and semi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersion. We give an example and investigate the geometry foliations. After we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions related to totally geodesicness of submersion. Finally we give decomposition theorems for total manifold of such submersions.

Keywords :Riemannian submersion, Sasakian manifold, Bi-slant ξ^\perp - Riemannian submersion.

2010 Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C40

1 Introduction

The differential geometry of slant submanifolds has been studied by many authors since B.Y Chen defined slant immersions in complex geometry as a natural generalization of both holomorphic immersions and totally real immersions. Carriazo [8] has introduced bi-slant immersions. Then Uddin et al. [25] have studied warped product bi-slant immersions in Kaehler manifolds. As a generalization of CR-submanifolds, slant and semi-slant submanifolds, Cabrerizo et al. [7] have defined bi-slant submanifolds of almost contact metric manifolds. Recently, Alqahtani et al. [4] have investigated warped product bi-slant submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds.

On the other hand Riemannian submersions was introduced by B. O'Neill [17] and A. Gray [11]. Since then Riemannian submersions have been studied extensively by many geometers. In [26], B. Watson defined almost Hermitian

submersions between almost Hermitian manifolds. In this study, he investigated some geometric properties between base manifold and total manifolds as well as fibers.

B. Sahin [22] defined slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds onto Riemannian manifolds as follows: Let F be a Riemannian submersion from an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') . If for any nonzero vector $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ the angle $\theta(X)$ between JX and the space $\ker F_*$ is a constant, i.e. it is independent of the choice of the point $p \in M$ and choice of the tangent vector X in $\ker F_*$, then we say that F is a slant submersion. In this case, the angle θ is called the slant angle of the slant submersion. Many interesting studies on several types of submersions have been done. For instance, slant and semi-slant submersions [12, 13, 14, 19], anti-invariant Riemannian submersions [20], semi-invariant submersions [18, 21], pointwise slant submersions [5, 16], hemi-slant submersions [23], generic submersions [24].

Furthermore J.W. Lee [15] defined anti-invariant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions from almost contact metric manifolds. Later as a generalization of anti-invariant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions, Akyol et al studied the geometry of semi-invariant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersion, semi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions and conformal anti-invariant ξ^\perp -submersions from almost contact metric manifolds [1, 2, 3].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the basic formulas and notions needed for this paper. In Section 3 we define bi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifold and give an example. We also investigate the geometry of leaves of distributions and find necessary and sufficient conditions for such submersions to be totally geodesic and harmonic, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

An almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) on a manifold M of dimension $2n+1$ is defined by a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ (Reeb vector field) and a 1-form η satisfying

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi\xi = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where I is the identity endomorphism of TM . There always exist a Riemannian metric g on M satisfying the following compatibility condition with the

almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η)

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.2)$$

where X, Y are any vector fields on M . Then the manifold M together with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called an almost contact metric manifold. An almost contact metric manifold is said to be normal if

$$[\phi, \phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where $[\phi, \phi]$ is Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ . Let Φ denote the 2-form on an almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) given by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. The Φ is called the fundamental 2-form of M . An almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be a contact metric manifold if $\Phi = d\eta$. A normal contact metric manifold is called a Sasakian manifold. Then the structure equations of Sasakian manifold are given by

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\phi X,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g and $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Let (M, g) and (N, g') be an Riemannian manifolds with m and n dimension respectively $m > n$. A surjective mapping $F : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be a Riemannian submersion if

- i) F has maximal rank
- ii) The differential F_* preserves the lengths of the horizontal vectors.

For any $q \in N$, $F^{-1}(q)$ is an $m - n$ dimensional submanifold of M called fiber. If a vector field on M is always tangent to fibers then it is called vertical. If a vector field on M is always orthogonal to fibers then it is called horizontal [17]. A vector field X on M is called basic if it is horizontal and F -related to a vector field X_* on N , i.e. $F_*X_p = X_{*F(p)}$ for all $p \in M$. We denote the projection morphisms on the distributions $(\ker F_*)$ and $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ by \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} , respectively [10].

A Riemannian submersion $F : M \rightarrow N$ characterized by two fundamental tensor fields \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{A} on M is defined by the following formulae

$$\mathcal{T}(E, F) = \mathcal{T}_E F = \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{H}F \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(E, F) = \mathcal{A}_E F = \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{H}F + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{V}F \quad (2.5)$$

for any vector fields E and F on M where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) . In addition, for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U, W \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ the tensor fields satisfy

$$\mathcal{T}_U W = \mathcal{T}_W U \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_X Y = -\mathcal{A}_Y X = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}[X, Y]. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, note that a Riemannian submersion $F : M \longrightarrow N$ has totally geodesic fibers if and only if \mathcal{T} vanishes identically.

Now, we recall the following lemma from [17].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F : M \longrightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds. If X and Y are basic vector fields of M then*

$$i) \quad g(X, Y) = g'(X_*, Y_*) \circ F,$$

$$ii) \quad \text{the horizontal part } [X, Y]^{\mathcal{H}} \text{ of } [X, Y] \text{ is a basic vector field and} \\ F_*([X, Y]^{\mathcal{H}}) = [X_*, Y_*],$$

$$iii) \quad [V, X] \text{ is vertical for any vector field } V \text{ of } (\ker F_*),$$

$$iv) \quad (\nabla_X^M Y)^{\mathcal{H}} \text{ is the basic vector field corresponding to } \nabla_{X_*}^N Y_*,$$

where ∇^M and ∇^N are the Levi-Civita connections on M and N , respectively.

On the other hand from (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\nabla_U V = \mathcal{T}_U V + \bar{\nabla}_U V \quad (2.8)$$

$$\nabla_U X = \mathcal{H}\nabla_U X + \mathcal{T}_U X \quad (2.9)$$

$$\nabla_X U = \mathcal{A}_X U + \mathcal{V}\nabla_X U \quad (2.10)$$

$$\nabla_X Y = \mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y + \mathcal{A}_X Y \quad (2.11)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U, V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, where $\bar{\nabla}_U V = \mathcal{V}\nabla_U V$. Moreover, if X is basic then $\mathcal{H}\nabla_U X = \mathcal{A}_X U$.

Let (M, g) and (N, g') be Riemannian manifolds and $\psi : M \longrightarrow N$ is a smooth mapping. The second fundamental form of ψ is given by

$$\nabla\psi_*(X, Y) = \nabla_X^\psi \psi_*(Y) - \psi_*(\nabla_X^M Y) \quad (2.12)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where ∇^ψ is the pullback connection. Recall that ψ is said to be harmonic if $\text{trace}\nabla\psi_* = 0$ and ψ is called a totally geodesic map if $(\nabla\psi_*)(X, Y) = 0$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ [6].

3 Bi-Slant Submersions

Definition 3.1. Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a Sasakian manifold and (N, g') a Riemannian manifold. A Riemannian submersion $F : M \longrightarrow N$ is called a bi-slant submersion if

- i) for nonzero any $X \in (D_1)_p$ and $p \in M$, the angles θ_1 between ϕX and the space $(D_1)_p$ are constant,
- ii) for nonzero any $Y \in (D_2)_q$ and $q \in M$, the angles θ_2 between ϕY and the space $(D_2)_q$ are constant, $F_*([X, Y]^{\mathcal{H}}) = [X_*, Y_*]$,
- iii) $\phi D_1 \perp D_2$ and $\phi D_2 \perp D_1$

such that $\ker F_* = D_1 \oplus D_2$. F is called proper if its bi-slant angles satisfy $\theta_1, \theta_2 \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Now we give some examples of bi-slant submersions. Suppose that \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} denote a Sasakian manifold with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) + Z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right), \\ \eta &= \frac{1}{2} \left(dz - \sum_{i=1}^n y^i dx^i \right), \quad \xi = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ g &= \eta \otimes \eta + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i), \end{aligned}$$

where $(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n, z)$ are the Cartesian coordinates.

Example 1. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^9 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ be a submersion defined by

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, z) = \left(\cos \alpha x_1 - \sin \alpha x_2, \frac{x_3 + x_4}{\sqrt{2}}, \sin \beta y_1 + \cos \beta y_2, y_3, z \right)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \ker F_* = \text{span} \left\{ V_1 = \sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, V_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right), \right. \\ \left. V_3 = \left(\cos \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - \sin \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), V_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_4} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp = \text{span} \left\{ H_1 = \cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right) \right. \\ \left. H_3 = \left(\sin \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \cos \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right), H_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}, \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\}$$

Thus we obtain $D_1 = \{V_1, V_3\}$ and $D_2 = \{V_2, V_4\}$ with the angle $\theta_1 = \beta - \alpha$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$. So F is a bi-slant submersion.

Let F be a bi-slant submersion from Sasakian (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') . Then for $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we have

$$U = PU + QU \quad (3.1)$$

where $PU \in \Gamma(D_1)$ and $QU \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

In addition, for $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$, we get

$$\phi U = \varphi U + \omega U \quad (3.2)$$

where $\phi U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $\omega U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$.

Similarly, for $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$, we can write

$$\phi X = BX + CX \quad (3.3)$$

where $BX \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ and $CX \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$.

The horizontal distribution $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ is decomposed as

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp = \omega D_1 \oplus \omega D_2 \oplus \mu \quad (3.4)$$

where μ is the complementary distribution to $\omega D_1 \oplus \omega D_2$ in $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and contains ξ . Also it is invariant distribution $(\ker F_*)^\perp$.

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we arrive following equations

$$\varphi D_1 = D_1, \quad \varphi D_2 = D_2, \quad B\omega D_1 = D_1, \quad B\omega D_2 = D_2. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we can give the following theorem by using Definition 3.1 and the equation (3.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let F be a Riemannian submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') . Then F is a bi-slant submersion if and only if there exist bi-slant angle θ_i defined on D_i such that*

$$\varphi^2 = -(\cos^2 \theta_i) I, \quad i = 1, 2$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is the similar to semi-slant submanifolds. [7]. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 .*

i) D_1 is integrable if and only if

$$g(T_U \omega \phi V + T_V \omega \phi U, W) = g(T_U \omega V + T_V \omega U, \phi W) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V + \mathcal{H} \nabla_V \omega U, \omega W)$$

ii) D_2 is integrable if and only if

$$g(T_W \omega \phi Z + T_Z \omega \phi W, U) = g(T_W \omega Z + T_Z \omega W, \phi U) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega Z + \mathcal{H} \nabla_Z \omega W, \omega U)$$

for $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$ and $W, Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$.

Proof. For $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$ and $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, since $g([U, V], X) = 0$, it is enough to show $g([U, V], Z) = 0$ for $Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$. Then since M is a Sasakian manifold we get

$$g([U, V], W) = -g(\nabla_U \phi \psi V, W) + g(\nabla_U \omega V, \phi W) + g(\nabla_V \phi \psi U, W) - g(\nabla_V \omega U, \phi W).$$

Theorem 3.1 and the equation (2.9) imply that

$$\sin^2 \theta_1 g([U, V], W) = -g(T_U \omega \phi V + T_V \omega \phi U, W) + g(T_U \omega V + T_V \omega U, \phi W) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V + \mathcal{H} \nabla_V \omega U, \omega W)$$

Similarly for $W, Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $U \in \Gamma(D_1)$ it can be shown that

$$\sin^2 \theta_2 g([W, Z], U) = -g(T_W \omega \phi Z + T_Z \omega \phi W, U) + g(T_W \omega Z + T_Z \omega W, \phi U) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega Z + \mathcal{H} \nabla_Z \omega W, \omega U).$$

which proves (i). The proof of (ii) can be found in a similar way. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ is integrable if and only if*

$$g(A_Y B X - A_X B Y, \omega U) = g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X C Y - \mathcal{H} \nabla_Y C X, \omega U) + \eta(Y) g(Y, \omega U) - \eta(X) g(Y, \omega U) - g([X, Y], \omega \phi U)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ and $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$.

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma \left((\ker F_*)^\perp \right)$ and $U \in \Gamma (\ker F_*)$. Then since M is a Sasakian manifold we get

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], U) &= -g(\nabla_X Y, \phi\varphi U) + g(\phi\nabla_X \omega Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_Y X, \phi\varphi U) - g(\phi\nabla_Y \omega X, \omega U). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_1 g([X, Y], U) &= (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g([X, Y], QU) - g(\nabla_X Y, \omega\varphi U) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_Y X, \omega\varphi U) + g(\nabla_X \phi Y, \omega U) + \eta(Y)g(X, \omega U) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_Y \phi X, \omega U) - \eta(X)g(Y, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

Then from the equation (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_1 g([X, Y], U) &= (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g([X, Y], QU) - g([X, Y], \omega\varphi U) \\ &\quad + g(A_X BY, \omega U) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X CY, \omega U) - g(A_Y BX, \omega U) \\ &\quad - g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_Y CX, \omega U) - \eta(X)g(Y, \omega U) + \eta(Y)g(X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired equation. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then the distribution D_1 defines a totally geodesic foliation if and only if*

$$-g(T_U \omega\varphi V, W) = g(T_U \omega V, \varphi W) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega V, \omega W)$$

and

$$g(T_U \omega V, BX) = g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega\varphi V, X) - g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega V, CX)$$

where $U, V \in D_1$, $W \in D_2$ and $X \in \Gamma \left((\ker F_*)^\perp \right)$.

Proof. From the equations (2.1), (2.2) and (3.2) for any $U, V \in D_1$ and $W \in D_2$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_U V, W) &= -g(\phi\nabla_U V, \phi W) \\ &= -g(\phi\nabla_U \psi V, W) + g(\nabla_U \omega V, \phi W) \end{aligned}$$

Then Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$\sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_U V, W) = -g(\nabla_U \omega\varphi V, W) + g(\nabla_U \omega V, \phi W)$$

Hence by using the equation (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_U V, W) &= g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega V, \omega W) + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, \varphi W) \\ &\quad - g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega \varphi V, W).\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first equation. On the other hand, for $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}g(\nabla_U V, X) &= g(\nabla_U \phi V, \phi X) + g(V, \phi U) \eta(X) \\ &= -g(\phi \nabla_U \psi V, X) + g(\nabla_U \omega V, \phi X) + g(V, \phi U) \eta(X).\end{aligned}$$

Considering Theorem 3.1 we arrive at

$$\sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_U V, X) = -g(\nabla_U \omega \varphi V, X) + g(\nabla_U \omega V, \phi X).$$

From (2.9) we have

$$\sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_U V, X) = -g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega \psi V, X) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega V, CX) + g(T_U \omega V, BX)$$

which gives the second equation. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then the distribution D_2 defines a totally geodesic foliation if and only if*

$$-g(T_W \omega \varphi Z, U) = g(T_W \omega Z, \varphi U) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_W \omega Z, \omega U)$$

and

$$g(T_W \omega Z, BX) = g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_W \omega \varphi Z, X) - g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_W \omega Z, CX)$$

where $U \in D_1$, $W, Z \in D_2$ and $X \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

Proof. By using similar method in Theorem 3.4 the proof of this theorem can be easily made. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then the distribution $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on M if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}(\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(A_X Y, QU) &= -g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y, \omega \varphi U) + g(\omega \mathcal{A}_X B Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X C Y, \omega U) + \eta(Y)(X, \omega U)\end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and $U \in (\ker F_*)$.

Proof. For $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and $U \in (\ker F_*)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= g(\phi \nabla_X Y, \phi U) \\ &= -g(\nabla_X Y, \phi \varphi U) + g(\phi \nabla_X Y, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

By using Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= \cos^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_X Y, PU) + \cos^2 \theta_2 g(\nabla_X Y, QU) - g(\nabla_X Y, \omega \varphi U) \\ &\quad + g(\phi \nabla_X Y, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

From the equations (2.11) and $PU = U - QU$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g(A_X Y, QU) \\ &\quad - g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X Y, \omega \varphi U) + g(\mathcal{A}_X B Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X C Y, \omega U) + \eta(Y) g(X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the equation (3.10). \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then the distribution $(\ker F_*)$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on M if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(\mathcal{T}_U Q V, X) &= -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega \varphi V, X) + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, B X) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V, C X) \end{aligned}$$

where $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and $U, V \in (\ker F_*)$.

Proof. Let suppose that $X \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and $U, V \in (\ker F_*)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_U V, X) &= g(\nabla_U P V, X) + g(\nabla_U Q V, X) \\ &= g(\phi \nabla_U P V, \phi X) + g(\phi U, P V) \eta(X) + g(\phi \nabla_U Q V, \phi X) \\ &\quad + g(\phi U, Q V) \eta(X) \end{aligned}$$

Considering that M is a Sasakian manifold we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_U V, X) &= -g(\nabla_U \varphi^2 P V, X) - g(\nabla_U \varphi^2 Q V, X) - g(\nabla_U \omega \varphi V, X) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_U \omega V, \phi X) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), (2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_U V, X) &= (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g(\mathcal{T}_U Q V, X) \\ &\quad - g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega \varphi V, X) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V, C X) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, B X) \end{aligned}$$

which shows our assertion. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then F is totally geodesic if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g(A_X QU, Y) = & g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega U, Y) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega \phi U, Y) \\ & + g(\omega A_X \omega U, Y) + g(U, \phi X) \eta(Y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(\mathcal{T}_U QV, X) = & -g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega \phi V, X) + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, BX) \\ & + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega V, CX) \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and $U, V \in (\ker F_*)$.

Proof. Firstly since F is a Riemannian submersion for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(X, Y) = 0.$$

Therefore for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)^\perp$ and $U, V \in (\ker F_*)$ it is enough to show that $(\nabla F_*)(U, V) = 0$ and $(\nabla F_*)(X, U) = 0$. So we can write

$$g'((\nabla F_*)(X, U), F_* Y) = -g'(F_*(\nabla_X U), F_* Y) = -g(\nabla_X U, Y).$$

Then using the equation (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain the first equation of Theorem 3.8

$$g(\nabla_X U, Y) = -g(\nabla_X \phi \phi U, Y) + g(\nabla_X \omega U, \phi Y) - g(U, \phi X) \eta(Y)$$

From the equations (2.6), (2.7) and Theorem 3.1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_1 g(\nabla_X U, Y) = & (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g(A_X QU, Y) - g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega U, Y) \\ & - g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X \omega \phi U, Y) - g(\omega A_X \omega U, Y) - g(U, \phi X) \eta(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Also, for get the second equation of Theorem 3.8 we have

$$g'((\nabla F_*)(U, V), F_*) = -g(\nabla_U V, X).$$

Then using the equation (2.8) and (2.9), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_U V, X) = & (\cos^2 \theta_2 - \cos^2 \theta_1) g(\mathcal{T}_U QV, X) - g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega \phi V, X) \\ & + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, BX) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_U \omega V, CX) \end{aligned}$$

which completes proof. \square

4 Decompositions Theorems

In this section we give decompositions theorems using the existence of bi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersion. We assume that g is a Riemannian metric tensor on the manifold $M = M_1 \times M_2$ and the canonical foliations D_{M_1} and D_{M_2} intersect vertically everywhere. Then g is the metric tensor of a usual product of Riemannian manifold if and only if D_{M_1} and D_{M_2} are totally geodesic foliations.

Now we can write the following theorems by using Theorem 3.4-3.7,

Theorem 4.1. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then M is a locally product manifold of the form $M_{D_1} \times M_{D_2} \times M_{(\ker F_*)^\perp}$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} -g(T_U \omega \varphi V, W) &= g(T_U \omega V, \varphi W) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V, \omega W), \\ g(T_U \omega V, BX) &= g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega \varphi V, X) - g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V, CX), \\ -g(T_W \omega \varphi Z, U) &= g(T_W \omega Z, \varphi U) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega Z, \omega U), \\ g(T_W \omega Z, BX) &= g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega \varphi Z, X) - g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega Z, CX) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(A_X Y, QU) &= -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X Y, \omega \varphi U) + g(\omega \mathcal{A}_X B Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X C Y, \omega U) + \eta(Y)(X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

for $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$, $W, Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 . Then M is a locally product manifold of the form $M_{\ker F_*} \times M_{(\ker F_*)^\perp}$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(\mathcal{T}_U Q V, X) &= -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega \varphi V, X) + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, BX) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V, CX) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(A_X Y, QU) &= -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X Y, \omega \varphi U) + g(\omega \mathcal{A}_X B Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X C Y, \omega U) + \eta(Y)(X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

for $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$, $W, Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 such that $(\ker F_*)^\perp = \omega D_1 \oplus \omega D_2 \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$. Then M is a locally product manifold of the form $M_{D_1} \times M_{D_2} \times M_{(\ker F_*)^\perp}$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} -g(T_U \omega \phi V, W) &= g(T_U \omega V, \phi W) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega V, \omega W), \\ g(T_U \omega V, \phi X) &= g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega \phi V, X), \\ -g(T_W \omega \phi Z, U) &= g(T_W \omega Z, \phi U) + g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega Z, \omega U), \\ g(T_W \omega Z, \phi X) &= g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_W \omega \phi Z, X) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(A_X Y, QU) &= -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X Y, \omega \phi U) + g(\omega \mathcal{A}_X \phi Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)(X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

for $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$, $W, Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let F be a bi-slant submersion from a Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, g') with bi-slant angles θ_1, θ_2 such that $(\ker F_*)^\perp = \omega D_1 \oplus \omega D_2 \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$. Then M is a locally product manifold of the form $M_{\ker F_*} \times M_{(\ker F_*)^\perp}$ if and only if*

$$(\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(\mathcal{T}_U QV, X) = -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_U \omega \phi V, X) + g(\mathcal{T}_U \omega V, \phi X)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\cos^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_2) g(A_X Y, QU) &= -g(\mathcal{H} \nabla_X Y, \omega \phi U) + g(\omega \mathcal{A}_X \phi Y, \omega U) \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)(X, \omega U) \end{aligned}$$

for $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$, $W, Z \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$.

References

- [1] Akyol M.A. and Sarı R., *On semi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions*, Mediterr. J. Math., 14, 234 (20 pp), 2017.
- [2] Akyol M.A., Sarı R. and Aksoy E., *Semi-invariant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions from almost contact metric manifolds*, Int. J. Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys., 1(1), 50-67, 2018.

- [3] Akyol M.A. and Gündüzalp Y., *On the geometry of conformal anti-invariant ξ^\perp -submersions*, International Journal of Maps in Mathematics, 1(1), 50-67, 2018.
- [4] Alqahtani LS., Stankovic MS. and Uddin S., *Warped product bi-slant submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds*, Filomat, 31:16, 5065-5071, 2017.
- [5] Aykurt Sepet S., Ergüt M., *Pointwise slant submersions from cosymplectic manifolds*, Turk J Math., 40, 582-593, 2016.
- [6] Baird P; Wood JC., *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [7] Cabrerizo JL., Carriazo A., Fernandez LM. and Fernandez M., *Semi-slant submanifolds in sasakian manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata, 183-199, 1999.
- [8] Carriazo A., *Bi-slant immersions*, In Proceeding of the ICRAMS, 88-97, 2000.
- [9] Chen B.Y., A., *Geometry of Slant Submanifolds*, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, 1990.
- [10] Falcitelly M., Ianus S. and Pastore A. M., *Riemannian Submersions and Related Topics*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2004.
- [11] Gray A., *Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions*, J. Math. Mech., 16, 715-737, 1967.
- [12] Gündüzalp Y., *Slant submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds*, Turk J Math., 37, 863-873, 2013.
- [13] Gündüzalp Y., *Semi-slant submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds*, Demonstratio Mathematica, 49(3), 345-356, 2016.
- [14] Küpeli Erken İ., Murathan C., *Slant Riemannian submersions from Sasakian manifolds*, Arab J. Math. Sci., 22, 250-264, 2016.
- [15] Lee, J.W., *Anti-invariant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions from almost contact manifolds*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. 42(3), 231-241, 2013.
- [16] Lee, J.W., *Pointwise slant submersions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 51(4), 1115-1126, 2014.

- [17] O'Neill B., *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Mich. Math. J., 13, 458-469, 1966.
- [18] Özdemir F., Sayar C. and Taştan H.M., *Semi-invariant submersions whose total manifolds are locally product Riemannian*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 40(7), 909-926, 2017.
- [19] Park K. S., Prasad R., *Semi-slant submersions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 50(3), 951-962, 2013.
- [20] Şahin B., *Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Central European J. Math., 8(3), 437-447, 2010.
- [21] Şahin B., *Semi-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Can. Math. Bull., 56, 173-183, 2011.
- [22] Şahin B., *Slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, 54(102), 93-105, 2011.
- [23] Taştan H. M., Şahin B. and Yanan Ş., *Hemi-slant submersions*, Mediterr. J. Math. 13, 2171-2184, 2016.
- [24] Sayar C., Taştan H.M., Özdemir F. and Tripathi M.M. *Generic submersions from Kaehler Manifolds*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-018-00716-2>.
- [25] Uddin S., Chen BY. and Al-Solamy F. R., *Warped Product Bi-slant Immersions in Kaehler Manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math, 14: 95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-017-0896-8>.
- [26] Watson B., *Almost Hermitian submersions*, J. Differential Geometry, 11(1), 147-165, 1976.