

A unified construction of all the hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric families of orthogonal polynomial sequences

Luis Verde-Star

*Department of Mathematics, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Iztapalapa, Apartado 55-534,
Mexico City 09340, Mexico*

Abstract

We construct a set $\mathcal{H}$ of orthogonal polynomial sequences that contains all the families in the Askey scheme and the q -Askey scheme. The polynomial sequences in $\mathcal{H}$ are solutions of a generalized first-order difference equation which is determined by three linearly recurrent sequences of numbers. Two of these sequences are solutions of the difference equation $s_{k+3} = z(s_{k+2} - s_{k+1}) + s_k$, where z is a complex parameter, and the other sequence satisfies a related difference equation of order five.

We obtain explicit expressions for the coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials and for the generalized moments with respect to a basis of Newton type of the space of polynomials. We also obtain explicit formulas for the coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation satisfied by the polynomial sequences in $\mathcal{H}$.

The set $\mathcal{H}$ contains all the 15 families in the Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials [8, p. 183] and all the 29 families of basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomial sequences in the q -Askey scheme [8, p. 413]. Each of these families is obtained by direct substitution of appropriate values for the parameters in our general formulas. The only cases that require some limits are the Hermite and continuous q -Hermite polynomials. We present the values of the parameters for some of the families.

AMS classification: 33C45, 33D45.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials, recurrence coefficients, generalized difference operators, generalized moments, infinite matrices.

Email address: verde@xanum.uam.mx (Luis Verde-Star)

1. Introduction

Among the families of orthogonal polynomial sequences the hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric families are certainly some of the most important and have been extensively studied for a long time. See [5], [7], and [8]. In the present paper, we present a construction of a class $\mathcal{H}$ of orthogonal polynomial sequences that includes all the hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric families. Our construction depends on three sequences of numbers, one of them determines a Newton basis for the space of polynomials, and the other two sequences determine a linear operator on the space on polynomials defined by its action on the Newton basis. The eigenfunctions of the operator form a polynomial sequence. If the three sequences satisfy certain difference equations and their initial terms are related in a suitable way then the sequence of polynomial eigenfunctions satisfies a three-term recurrence relation and it is orthogonal with respect to a positive measure, or with respect to a moments functional.

We obtain explicit expressions for the coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials and for the generalized moments with respect to the Newton basis. We also obtain explicit formulas for the coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation satisfied by the polynomial sequences of eigenfunctions in $\mathcal{H}$. We have verified that $\mathcal{H}$ contains all the 15 families in the Askey scheme and all the 29 families in the q -Askey scheme. The coefficients of the normalized three-term recurrence relation satisfied by each family can be obtained by substitution of suitable values of the parameters in our general formulas for the coefficients of the recurrence relations satisfied by the elements of $\mathcal{H}$. The only cases that require taking a limit of one of the parameters are the Hermite and the continuous q -Hermite polynomials.

We present some examples of cases in which the generalized difference equation of first order becomes the usual second order differential or difference equation or q -difference equation used to characterize some families of orthogonal polynomial sequences.

Since our results produce a uniform parametrization of all the hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomial sequences they may be useful to study the structure of the space of such sequences. See [10].

In [6] Geronimus initiated the study of orthogonal polynomial sequences expressed in terms of a Newton basis. Al-Salam and Verma [1] considered the case in which the nodes of the Newton polynomials are of the form $x_k = a + bq^{-k}$. Recently, Vinet and Zhedanov [25] studied the cases where the sequences of eigenvalues correspond to the classical grids and obtained results that are very similar to some of our results, but they used a different approach.

There are numerous recent papers that deal with recurrence relations, moments, characterization theorems, difference operators, and orthogonality on quadratic lattices

of polynomial sequences related to the ones we study in this paper. Some of them use infinite matrices. See for example, [4], [9], [12], [13], [15], [16], and [17].

In the following section we present the main ideas used in our development and at the end of the section we describe the further contents of the paper.

2. Main steps in the construction of $\mathcal{H}$.

Here we present a brief description of the main steps in our construction of the set $\mathcal{H}$ of orthogonal polynomial sequences. A more detailed account and the proofs of the statements in this section are presented in the subsequent sections.

Let h_k and x_k be sequences of numbers that satisfy the recurrence relation

$$s_{k+3} = z(s_{k+2} - s_{k+1}) + s_k, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where z is a complex parameter, and let g_k be a sequence with $g_0 = 0$ that satisfies the recurrence relation

$$s_{k+5} = (z^2 - z - 1)(s_{k+4} - s_{k+1}) - (z - 1)(z^2 - z - 1)(s_{k+3} - s_{k+2}) + s_k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

This recurrence relation is satisfied by the termwise product of any pair of solutions of (2.1).

Let $\{v_k\}$ be the Newton basis associated with the sequence $\{x_k\}$, defined by $v_0(t) = 1$, and

$$v_k(t) = (t - x_0)(t - x_1)(t - x_2) \cdots (t - x_{k-1}), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the linear operator on the space of polynomials determined by $\mathcal{D}v_k = h_kv_k + g_kv_{k-1}$, since $g_0 = 0$ we see that $\mathcal{D}t^n = h_nt^n + \text{polynomial of lower degree}$. Define the polynomial u_n as a monic polynomial of degree n which is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{D}$ with eigenvalue h_n . That is

$$\mathcal{D}u_k = h_k u_k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (2.3).$$

The operator $\mathcal{D}$ is a generalized difference operator which in concrete examples becomes a second order differential or difference or q -difference operator on a linear or quadratic lattice. We will show that

$$u_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} v_k(t), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

holds with $c_{n,k}$ given by

$$c_{n,k} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{g_{j+1}}{h_n - h_j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad (2.5)$$

and $c_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$. In concrete examples this becomes a $(q-)$ hypergeometric representation for the polynomials $u_n(t)$.

If the three sequences are connected in a suitable way then the polynomial sequence $\{u_n(t)\}$ satisfies a three-term recurrence relation of the form

$$u_{n+1}(t) = (t - \beta_n)u_n(t) - \alpha_n u_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.6)$$

and also satisfies the generalized difference equation (2.3). If all the α_n are positive and the β_k are real then the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to a positive measure, and if all the α_n are nonzero then $\{u_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to a not necessarily positive definite moments functional.

We will obtain explicit expressions in terms of the h_k, x_k, g_k for the coefficients α_n and β_n of the three-term recurrence relation (2.6) in Section 5.

The generalized moments of the polynomials $u_k(t)$ with respect to the basis $\{v_k(t)\}$ are the entries in the 0-th column of the inverse of the matrix $[c_{n,k}]$, and are given by

$$m_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{g_k}{h_0 - h_k}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

and $m_0 = 1$. Note that they satisfy a recurrence relation of order one. If $g_{N+1} = 0$ for some N then $m_n = 0$ for $n > N$. In such case the polynomials $u_k(t)$ are defined only for $0 \leq k \leq N$. The standard moments with respect to the basis of monomials $\{t^n\}$ are easily obtained using a change of basis matrix.

The class $\mathcal{H}$ is the set of all the orthogonal polynomial sequences $\{u_k(t)\}$ obtained by the procedure described above. The polynomial sequences in $\mathcal{H}$ are determined by z and the initial values of the sequences $\{h_k\}$, $\{x_k\}$, and $\{g_k\}$. The sequences $\{u_k(t)\}$ are well defined if the h_k are pairwise distinct and $g_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$.

The roots of the characteristic polynomial of the difference equation (2.1) are $1, q$, and q^{-1} , where q is a nonzero complex number and $z = 1 + q + q^{-1}$. We classify the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ by considering the possible multiplicities of the roots. There are three cases:

1. The 3 roots are distinct, that is, $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$.
2. $q = 1$, that is, 1 is a root with multiplicity 3.

3. $q = -1$, that is, -1 is a double root and 1 is a simple root.

Let $\mathcal{H}_q$, $\mathcal{H}_1$, and $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ be the subsets of $\mathcal{H}$ that correspond to the first, second, and third cases respectively. The elements of $\mathcal{H}_q$ are the basic hypergeometric polynomial sequences in the q -Askey scheme. $\mathcal{H}_1$ contains the hypergeometric orthogonal polynomial sequences in the Askey scheme. The set $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ contains some polynomial sequences that are not as well-known as those in $\mathcal{H}_q$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$. Some particular elements of this set have been studied recently in [19], [23], and [24].

For the class $\mathcal{H}_q$, we have $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$, and the sequences $\{h_k\}$, $\{x_k\}$, and $\{g_k\}$ can be expressed as

$$h_k = a_0 + a_1 q^k + a_2 q^{-k}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$x_k = b_0 + b_1 q^k + b_2 q^{-k}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$g_k = d_0 + d_1 q^k + d_2 q^{-k} + d_3 q^{2k} + d_4 q^{-2k}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where the relations

$$d_0 = -(a_2 b_2 q + d_1 + d_2 + a_1 b_1 q^{-1}), \quad d_3 = a_1 b_1 q^{-1}, \quad d_4 = a_2 b_2 q, \quad (2.11)$$

are required to obtain orthogonal polynomial sequences $\{u_k(t)\}$. Using the explicit formulas of sections 7 and 8 for the coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation (2.6) in terms of the parameters $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$ we can obtain the normalized recurrence relation of each family in the q -Askey scheme by giving suitable values to the parameters. For example, for the Askey-Wilson family we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= abcdq^{-1}a_2, & b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= a/2, & b_2 &= a^{-1}/2, \\ d_1 &= -a(abcd + q(bc + bd + cd))q^{-2}a_2/2, & d_2 &= -((b + c + d) + qa^{-1})a_2/2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where a_2 is an arbitrary nonzero number and a, b, c, d are the parameters in [8, eq. 14.1.5].

In Section 3 we present preliminary material and some definitions and notation. In Section 4 we find explicit expressions for the polynomial eigenfunctions $\{u_k\}$ of the operator $\mathcal{D}$. In Section 5 we find the matrix L that represents the operator of multiplication by the independent variable with respect to the basis $\{u_k\}$. In Section 6 we consider sequences h_k and x_k , that satisfy (2.1), and g_k , that satisfies (2.2), and we find certain conditions on the initial terms of those sequences that make the matrix L tridiagonal. We also state some recurrence relations satisfied by the entries of L . In Section 7 we study the class $\mathcal{H}_q$, which contains all the families in the q -Askey scheme, and we find

values of the parameters that yield some of the families. In Section 8 we consider the class $\mathcal{H}_1$, which contains all the families in the Askey scheme, and we find values of the parameters that produce some of the families. In Section 9 we look at the set $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$, which corresponds to $q = -1$. Section 10 contains the proof of the theorem about the tridiagonality of the matrix L stated in Section 6.

3. Preliminary material

We present in this section some preliminary material and introduce notation that will be used in the paper. A more detailed account of the matrix approach to polynomial sequences can be found in [21] and [22]. See also [2] where some properties of doubly-infinite matrices are obtained.

A polynomial sequence is a sequence of polynomials $p_0(t), p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots$ with complex coefficients such that $p_n(t)$ has degree n for $n \geq 0$. Every polynomial sequence is a basis for the complex vector space $\mathcal{P}$ of all polynomials in one variable.

If $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are two polynomial sequences then there exists a unique matrix $A = [a_{n,k}]$, where $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$u_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} v_k(t), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

The infinite matrix A is lower triangular and invertible. If all the polynomials u_n and v_n are monic then $a_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$. If we consider a fixed polynomial sequence v_n then every lower triangular invertible matrix A determines another polynomial sequence by (3.1). The n -th row of the matrix A is the vector of coefficients of u_n with respect to the basis $\{v_n\}$. Equation (3.1) is equivalent to the matrix equation

$$[u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots]^T = A [v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots]^T. \quad (3.2)$$

We say that A is the matrix of the sequence of polynomials $\{u_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to the basis $\{v_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$ be a sequence of complex numbers and define the polynomials $v_0(t) = 1$, and

$$v_k(t) = (t - x_0)(t - x_1)(t - x_2) \cdots (t - x_{k-1}), \quad k \geq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

It is clear that $\{v_n\}$ is a basis for the space of polynomials. It is called the *Newton basis* associated with the sequence x_n . Let V be the infinite matrix that satisfies

$$[v_0(t), v_1(t), v_2(t), \dots]^T = V[1, t, t^2, \dots]^T. \quad (3.4)$$

The entries in the n -row of V are the coefficients of $v_n(t)$ with respect to the basis of monomials and therefore they are elementary symmetric functions of $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$, with the appropriate signs. Therefore the entries in V^{-1} are complete homogeneous symmetric functions of the x_k .

The dual basis of the Newton basis $\{v_n\}$ is the sequence of divided difference functionals $\Delta[x_0, x_1, x_{n-1}]$, which give us the coefficients in the representation of any polynomial in terms of the Newton basis. The basic theory of divided differences can be found in [3] and [20].

Let us note that we consider the vector of coefficients of a polynomial p with respect to a basis $\{v_k\}$ as a *row vector*, and thus the vectors of coefficients of a polynomial sequence with respect to a basis $\{v_k\}$ form a lower triangular infinite matrix. This convention is not standard and has as a consequence that applying a linear operator τ represented by a matrix T with respect to a basis $\{v_k\}$, to a polynomial sequence represented by a matrix A , corresponds with multiplication by T on the right, that is AT . Therefore, if τ_1 and τ_2 are operators whose matrix representations with respect to a basis $\{v_k\}$ are T_1 and T_2 respectively, then the composition of operators $\tau_2\tau_1$ is represented by the matrix T_1T_2 with respect to the same basis. That is, the map from operators to matrices is an anti-homomorphism.

Let τ be a linear operator on the space of polynomials and let T be its matrix representation with respect to a basis $\{v_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and let A is the matrix of the sequence of polynomials $\{u_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to the basis $\{v_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then AT is the matrix of the sequence $\{\tau u_k(t) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to the same basis.

We introduce next some infinite matrices that will be used in the rest of the paper. Let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \quad S^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

The matrix S is called the left shift and $S^\top$ is the right shift.

Any sequence of numbers $g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots$ can be used to construct an infinite matrix G defined by $G_{k,k} = g_k$ for $k \geq 0$ and $G_{j,k} = 0$ if $j \neq k$. We say that G is the diagonal matrix associated with the sequence g_k . A matrix of the form GS , where G is diagonal and $G_{k,k} \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$, is called *generalized difference matrix* of first order. If the linear operator represented by GS with respect to the basis $\{v_k(t)\}$ is denoted by γ then $\gamma v_k(t) = g_k v_{k-1}(t)$, for $k \geq 1$, and $\gamma v_0(t) = 0$. A diagonal matrix G , acting by multiplication on the right-hand side, represents an operator of the form

$\phi v_k(t) = g_k v_k(t)$, which is a rescaling of the basis and can be considered as a generalized difference operator of order zero.

Define the matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

With respect to the standard basis of monomials D is the matrix representation of the usual differential operator, and S^T is the representation of multiplication by the variable t of the polynomials.

If $\{u_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials we write the corresponding three-term recurrence relation in the form

$$\alpha_k u_{k-1}(t) + \beta_k u_k(t) + u_{k+1}(t) = t u_k(t), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (3.7)$$

and define the sums $\sigma_k = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k$, for $k \geq 0$. Using the σ_k is convenient because their explicit formulas are simpler than those for the β_k .

4. The generalized difference equation

We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the complex vector space of all polynomials in one variable. Let $v_k(t)$ be a monic polynomial sequence in $\mathcal{P}$. It is clear that $\{v_k : k \geq 0\}$ is a basis for the space $\mathcal{P}$. For our purposes, a generalized difference operator of order one with respect to the basis $\{v_k : k \geq 0\}$ is a linear operator γ defined by $\gamma v_0 = 0$ and $\gamma v_k = g_k v_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$, where g_k is a sequence of complex numbers. A linear operator ϕ defined by $\phi v_k = h_k v_k$, where the h_k are complex numbers, is called generalized difference operator of order zero.

Let γ and ϕ be generalized difference operators with respect to the basis $\{v_k\}$, of orders one and zero, respectively, and let $\{u_n(t) : n \geq 0\}$ be a monic polynomial sequence. Let us consider the generalized difference equation

$$\gamma u_n(t) + \phi u_n(t) = h_n u_n(t), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.1)$$

This is the difference-eigenvalue equation that we expressed as $\mathcal{D}u_n = h_n u_n$ in section 2. Note that if $h_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq 0$ then the operator $\mathcal{D}$ is invertible.

Theorem 4.1. *If the sequence h_k satisfies $h_k \neq h_j$, for $k \neq j$, then the solution of (4.1) is the polynomial sequence*

$$u_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} v_k(t), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where the coefficients $c_{n,k}$ are given by

$$c_{n,k} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{g_{j+1}}{h_n - h_j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad (4.3)$$

and $c_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$.

Proof: Since $\{u_n(t) : n \geq 0\}$ is a monic polynomial sequence it is clear that $u_n(t)$ can be written as in equation (4.2) for some matrix of coefficients $c_{n,k}$ with $c_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$. Then, by the definition of γ we have

$$\gamma u_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{n,k} g_k v_{k-1}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n,k+1} g_{k+1} v_k(t),$$

and the definition of ϕ gives

$$\phi u_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} h_k v_k(t).$$

Therefore the difference equation (4.1) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (c_{n,k+1} g_{k+1} + c_{n,k} h_k) v_k(t) + h_n v_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{n,k} h_n v_k(t) + h_n v_n(t),$$

and this gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (c_{n,k+1} g_{k+1} + c_{n,k} (h_k - h_n)) v_k(t) = 0.$$

By the linear independence of the polynomial sequence $\{v_k\}$ we obtain

$$c_{n,k+1} g_{k+1} = c_{n,k} (h_n - h_k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

and since the numbers h_j are pairwise distinct we can write the previous equation in the form

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{g_{k+1}}{h_n - h_k} c_{n,k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

This recurrence relation clearly gives us (4.3). $\square$

A result equivalent to (4.3) is obtained in [25] using a different approach.

Let C be the matrix of coefficients $c_{n,k}$. It is an invertible lower triangular infinite matrix that satisfies

$$C[v_0(t), v_1(t), v_2(t), \dots]^\top = [u_0(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots]^\top, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Let V be the matrix whose (n, k) entry is the Taylor coefficient of t^k in the polynomial $v_n(t)$. Then CV is the matrix of coefficients of the polynomials $u_k(t)$ with respect to the basis of monomials $\{t^k : k \geq 0\}$.

We define the polynomial sequence $w_k(t)$ as follows, $w_0(t) = 1$ and

$$w_k(t) = (t - h_0)(t - h_1)(t - h_2) \cdots (t - h_{k-1}), \quad k \geq 1. \quad (4.5)$$

It is the Newton basis associated with the sequence of nodes h_k . We will use the notation

$$w_{n,k}(t) = \frac{w_n(t)}{w_k(t)} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} (t - h_j), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $C^{-1} = [\hat{c}_{n,k}]$. Then*

$$\hat{c}_{n,k} = \frac{\prod_{j=k+1}^n g_j}{w'_{n+1,k}(h_k)} = \prod_{j=k+1}^n \frac{g_j}{h_k - h_j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad (4.7)$$

and $\hat{c}_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$.

Proof: Let us note that the denominator in (4.3) is equal to $w'_{n+1,k}(h_n)$ if $k < n$. Let $k < n$ and let $\hat{c}_{n,j}$ be defined by (4.7). Then

$$\sum_{j=k}^n \hat{c}_{n,j} c_{j,k} = \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{\left(\prod_{i=j+1}^n g_i \right) \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^j g_i \right)}{w'_{n+1,j}(h_j) w'_{j+1,k}(h_j)} = \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^n g_i \right) \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{1}{w'_{n+1,k}(h_j)} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

because the last sum is the divided difference of 1 with respect to $h_k, h_{k+1}, \dots, h_n$, which are at least two nodes, since $k < n$. Alternatively we can use that the sum is equal to

the sum of the residues of $1/w_{n+1,k}(t)$. The basic properties of divided differences can be found in [3] or [20].

For $k = n$ we get $\hat{c}_{n,n}c_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$. Therefore the matrix product $[\hat{c}_{n,k}][c_{n,k}]$ is equal to the infinite identity matrix and this completes the proof. $\square$

The entries in the 0-th column of C^{-1} are

$$\hat{c}_{n,0} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{g_k}{h_0 - h_k}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.9)$$

and $\hat{c}_{0,0} = 1$. We denote them by $m_n = \hat{c}_{n,0}$ for $n \geq 0$. They are especially important because if the polynomial sequence $u_k(t)$ is orthogonal with respect to some linear functional then m_n is the generalized moment of u_n with respect to the Newton basis $\{v_k(t) : k \geq 0\}$. Note that the sequence m_n satisfies a recurrence relation of order one.

5. The operator of multiplication by the variable t

Let $\{v_k\}$ be the Newton basis associated with a sequence $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$ as defined in (3.3), and let V be the matrix whose (n, k) entry is the Taylor coefficient of t^k in the polynomial $v_n(t)$. The entries of the inverse matrix V^{-1} are the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials of the nodes x_j , that is,

$$(V^{-1})_{n,k} = \sum x_{i_0}x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{n-k}}, \quad (5.1)$$

where the sum runs over all vectors $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-k})$ with entries in $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. See [11, p.21]. Therefore V and V^{-1} are change of bases matrices that satisfy

$$V[1, t, t^2, \dots]^\top = [v_0(t), v_1(t), v_2(t), \dots]^\top,$$

and

$$V^{-1}[v_0(t), v_1(t), v_2(t), \dots]^\top = [1, t, t^2, \dots]^\top.$$

In the previous section we saw that CV is the matrix of coefficients of the polynomials $u_k(t)$ with respect to the basis of monomials $\{t^k : k \geq 0\}$, for any basis $\{v_k\}$, not necessarily of Newton type.

Since

$$tv_k(t) = ((t - x_k) + x_k)v_k(t) = v_{k+1}(t) + x_kv_k(t), \quad k \geq 0,$$

the matrix representation with respect to the basis $\{v_k(t) : k \geq 0\}$ of the map $p(t) \rightarrow tp(t)$ on the space $\mathcal{P}$ is $S^\top + F$, where F is the diagonal matrix whose (k, k) entry is x_k , for $k \geq 0$.

Let L be the matrix representation with respect to the basis $\{u_k(t) : k \geq 0\}$ of the operator of multiplication by t . Using equation (3.4) we obtain

$$L = C(S^\top + F)C^{-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

The explicit expressions (4.3) and (4.7) for the entries of C and C^{-1} give us

$$L_{n,k} = \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^n g_j \right) \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{(h_n - h_{j-1})x_j + g_j}{w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)w_{n,j-1}(h_n)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad (5.3)$$

and $L_{n,n+1} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$. We can also write $L_{n,k}$ as

$$L_{n,k} = \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^n g_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=k}^n \frac{x_j}{w_{n,j}(h_n)w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)} + \sum_{j=\max(1,k)}^{n+1} \frac{g_j}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n)w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)} \right). \quad (5.4)$$

In particular

$$L_{n,n} = x_n + \frac{g_{n+1}}{h_n - h_{n+1}} - \frac{g_n}{h_{n-1} - h_n}, \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$L_{n,n-1} = \frac{g_n}{h_{n-1} - h_n} \left(\frac{g_{n-1}}{h_{n-2} - h_n} - \frac{g_n}{h_{n-1} - h_n} + \frac{g_{n+1}}{h_{n-1} - h_{n+1}} + x_n - x_{n-1} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

We will show in the next section that when the sequences $\{h_k\}$, $\{x_k\}$, and $\{g_k\}$ are certain linearly recurrent sequences the matrix L is tridiagonal and therefore the polynomial sequence $\{u_k(t) : k \geq 0\}$ satisfies a three-term recurrence relation whose coefficients are the entries of L .

6. The family of orthogonal polynomial sequences

In this section we study the polynomial sequences obtained when the sequences h_k and x_k satisfy a particular type of linear difference equation of third order and g_k satisfies a linear difference equation of fifth order related with the equation satisfied by h_k and x_k . We will show that choosing appropriate initial values for the sequence g_k the matrix L becomes tridiagonal and thus the polynomial sequence $\{u_k(t) : k \geq 0\}$ satisfies a three-term recurrence relation.

Let z be a complex number and consider the difference equation

$$s_{k+3} = z(s_{k+2} - s_{k+1}) + s_k, \quad k \geq -1. \quad (6.1)$$

We consider s_0, s_1, s_2 as the initial values of the sequence. In order to avoid undefined terms in some formulas it is convenient to define $s_{-1} = s_2 - z(s_1 - s_0)$. The characteristic polynomial of (6.1) is $t^3 - zt^2 + zt - 1$. The sum of its roots equals z , the product of the roots is equal to 1, and 1 is a root. Therefore we can express the roots as 1, q , and q^{-1} where q is a nonzero complex number. If z is real and $-1 \leq z \leq 3$ then we can write $z = 1 + 2\cos(\theta)$ and then it is easy to see that $q = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$ and hence q and q^{-1} have modulus one. If $z = 3$ then 1 is a root of the characteristic polynomial with multiplicity three. If $z = -1$ then -1 is a double root.

From now on we suppose that the sequences h_k and x_k are solutions of the difference equation (6.1) and that $h_k \neq h_n$ for $k \neq n$. It is easy to verify that the termwise (or Hadamard) product of two solutions of (6.1) satisfies the difference equation of order five

$$s_{k+5} = (z^2 - z - 1)(s_{k+4} - s_{k+1}) - (z - 1)(z^2 - z - 1)(s_{k+3} - s_{k+2}) + s_k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (6.2)$$

The characteristic polynomial of this equation is $(t^2 - (z^2 - 2z - 1)t + 1)(t^3 - zt^2 + zt - 1)$ and therefore its roots are $1, q, q^{-1}, q^2, q^{-2}$.

Let g_k be a sequence that satisfies (6.2) and also $g_0 = 0$ and $g_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$. The matrices C , C^{-1} , and L are completely determined by z and the initial values $h_0, h_1, h_2, x_0, x_1, x_2$, and g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 .

Let us note that the entries of the matrices C , C^{-1} , and L are functions of the differences $h_k - h_n$. We study next some properties of these differences.

Since the sequence h_k satisfies (6.1) and $h_k - h_n \neq 0$ if $k \neq n$, it is clear that

$$\frac{z(h_{k+2} - h_{k+1})}{h_{k+3} - h_k} = 1, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (6.3)$$

and then it is easy to see that

$$\frac{w_{3,0}(h_{k+1})}{w_{k+3,k}(h_1)} = -1, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Shifting the sequence h_k by adding n to the indices in the previous equation we obtain

$$\frac{w_{3+n,n}(h_{k+1+n})}{w_{k+3+n,k+n}(h_{1+n})} = -1, \quad k \geq 2, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and a change of variable yields a more symmetric expression

$$\frac{w_{3+n,n}(h_{k+1})}{w_{3+k,k}(h_{n+1})} = -1, \quad n \geq k + 2. \quad (6.4)$$

For fixed $m > 0$ the sequence $(\delta h)_{m,k} = h_k - h_{k+m}$ satisfies the difference equation

$$s_{k+2} = (z-1)s_{k+1} - s_k, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (6.5)$$

and has initial values $(\delta h)_{m,0} = h_0 - h_m$ and $(\delta h)_{m,1} = (h_1 - h_{1+m})$. This is a simple three-term recurrence relation which is related with the recurrence satisfied by the Chebyshev families of orthogonal polynomials.

Let p_k , r_k , and y_k be the solutions of (6.5) determined by the initial values $p_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = z - 1$; $r_0 = 1$ and $r_1 = z$; $y_0 = 2$ and $y_1 = z - 1$. The sequence p_k satisfies

$$p_k = U_k\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (6.6)$$

where the $U_k(t)$ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. The sequence r_k satisfies

$$r_k = W_k\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right) = \frac{k!}{(1/2)_k} P_k^{1/2, -1/2}\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right),$$

where the W_k are the Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind, see [14, (1.9)], and the $P_k^{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are Jacobi polynomials, and also satisfies $r_{k+2} = zp_{k+1} - p_k$ for $k \geq 0$. The sequence y_k satisfies

$$y_k = T_k\left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (6.7)$$

where the $T_k(t)$ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. The polynomials y_k also satisfy $y_{k+2} = (z-1)p_{k+1} - 2p_k$ for $k \geq 0$.

If we put $p_{-1} = 0$ and $p_{-2} = -1$ then the sequence p_k and the shifted sequence p_{k-1} are solutions of (6.5) for $k \geq -2$. Since $(\delta h)_{m,k}$ is also a solution of (6.5) it is easy to see that

$$(\delta h)_{m,k+2} = (\delta h)_{m,1}p_{k+1} - (\delta h)_{m,0}p_k, \quad k \geq 0, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (6.8)$$

Let us note that the termwise product of two solutions of (6.1) is a solution of (6.2) and every solution of (6.2) is also a solution of (6.1), with additional initial values. Therefore we can prove that

$$(\delta h)_{2m,k} = (\delta h)_{2,k-1+m}p_{m-1}, \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$(\delta h)_{2m+1,k} = (\delta h)_{1,k+m}r_m, \quad (6.10)$$

just verifying that the 4 initial values of each pair of sequences in the equations above coincide.

Therefore, the condition $h_k - h_n \neq 0$ for $k \neq n$ is satisfied if z is not a root of any of the orthogonal polynomials p_k and r_k for $k \geq 0$ and $(\delta h)_{1,k} \neq 0$ and $(\delta h)_{2,k} \neq 0$ for $k \geq 0$. Note that $h_1 \neq h_3$ is equivalent to $z \neq (h_0 - h_1)/(h_1 - h_2)$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let h_k and x_k be sequences that satisfy the difference equation (6.1) and let g_k be a sequence that satisfies (6.2) and has $g_0 = 0$. Then the matrix L , defined in (5.2), is tridiagonal if and only if*

$$g_3 = z((x_1 - x_0)h_0 + (x_0 - x_2)h_1 + (x_2 - x_1)h_2 - g_1 + g_2), \quad (6.11)$$

and

$$g_4 = (z - 1)(z(x_2 - x_0)h_0 + z(z + 1)(x_1 - x_2)h_1 - z(z(x_1 - x_2) - x_0 + x_1)h_2 - (z + 1)g_1 + zg_2). \quad (6.12)$$

The necessity of conditions (6.11) and (6.12) can be easily seen. Indeed, the conditions $L_{2,0} = 0$ and $L_{3,1} = 0$ are necessary for L to be tridiagonal. Using the explicit expressions (5.3) or (5.4) for the entries of L we see that equations (6.11) and (6.12) are equivalent to $L_{2,0} = 0$ and $L_{3,1} = 0$, respectively. The proof of the sufficiency of (6.11) and (6.12) is much harder. It will be given in Section 10.

Notice that the expressions for g_3 and g_4 in the theorem do not change if we interchange x_j with h_j , for $0 \leq j \leq 2$. Since g_k satisfies the difference equation (6.2) we see that every g_k is invariant under such interchange. Note also that, since $g_0 = 0$, when g_3 and g_4 satisfy (6.11) and (6.12), then g_1 and g_2 are free parameters that determine the sequence g_k .

From equation (5.3) we see that the entries of the matrix L depend on the recurrent sequences h_k, x_k, g_k . Therefore it seems reasonable to expect that such entries satisfy some kind of recurrence relations. In what follows we present, without proofs, two such recurrence relations that can be used to prove Theorem 6.1, but since their proofs are quite long and messy, we give a different proof of Theorem 6.1 in Section 10.

We present first a recurrence relation for three consecutive entries on a diagonal of L . The first step is to eliminate denominators of the entries of L . We also use properties (6.3) and (6.4) that allow us to simplify some quotients of polynomials $w(j, k, h_i)$. Define

$$\tau(n, k) = \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^n \frac{1}{g_j} \right) w_{n+2,k+1}(h_k) w_{n,k+2}(h_n), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n - 2. \quad (6.13)$$

Let us note that the denominator of $L_{n,k}$ is a divisor of $\tau(n, k)$.

The recurrence relation

$$\tau(n+2, k+2)L_{n+2,k+2} - y_{n-k}\tau(n+1, k+1)L_{n+1,k+1} + \tau(n, k)L_{n,k} = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-2, \quad (6.14)$$

holds, where y_j is the sequence of orthogonal polynomials in z related with the monic Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind that satisfies (6.7).

We present next a recurrence relation on L similar to the recurrences satisfied by the entries of the matrix of Stirling numbers of the second kind and other matrices of generalized binomial coefficients.

Define the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1(n, k) &= w_{n+2, k+1}(h_k) w_{n, k+2}(h_n), \\ \epsilon_2(n, k) &= g_{n+1} w_{k+1, k}(h_{k+1}) w_{n+3, k+1}(h_k) \frac{w_{n+1, k+2}(h_{n+1})}{w_{n+1, n-1}(h_{n+1})}, \\ \epsilon_3(n, k) &= g_k w_{n, n-1}(h_n) w_{n+2, k+2}(h_{k-1}) w_{n, k+1}(h_n).\end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

The entries of L satisfy the recurrence relation

$$p_{n-k-2}\epsilon_1(n, k)L_{n, k} - \epsilon_2(n-1, k)L_{n-1, k} + \epsilon_3(n, k+1)L_{n, k+1} = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-2, \tag{6.16}$$

where p_j is the sequence of orthogonal polynomials of z that satisfies (6.6).

From the recurrence relations (6.14) and (6.16) we can see that if $L_{2,0} = 0$ and $L_{3,1} = 0$ then $L_{m+k, k} = 0$ for $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$. That is, L is tridiagonal.

7. The class $\mathcal{H}_q$

In this case the roots of the polynomial $t^3 - zt^2 + zt - 1$ are $1, q, q^{-1}$, with $q \neq 1$ and $q \neq -1$. Therefore the roots are distinct and then the general solution of the difference equation (6.1) can be expressed as

$$s_k = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 q^k + \lambda_2 q^{-k}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{7.1}$$

where the coefficients λ_j are complex numbers. Since the sequences h_k and x_k are solutions of (6.1) they can be expressed as

$$h_k = a_0 + a_1 q^k + a_2 q^{-k}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{7.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &= \frac{(q^2 + 1)h_1 - q(h_0 + h_2)}{(q - 1)^2}, \\ a_1 &= -\frac{q(h_1 - h_2) + h_1 - h_0}{(q + 1)(q - 1)^2},\end{aligned}$$

$$a_2 = \frac{q^2(q(h_0 - h_1) + h_2 - h_1)}{(q+1)(q-1)^2}, \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$x_k = b_0 + b_1 q^k + b_2 q^{-k}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (7.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= \frac{(q^2 + 1)x_1 - q(x_0 + x_2)}{(q-1)^2}, \\ b_1 &= -\frac{q(x_1 - x_2) + x_1 - x_0}{(q+1)(q-1)^2}, \\ b_2 &= \frac{q^2(q(x_0 - x_1) + x_2 - x_1)}{(q+1)(q-1)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Let us note that a change in b_0 corresponds to a translation of the Newton polynomials $v_k(t)$ and therefore to a translation of the polynomials $u_k(t)$, and a change in a_0 corresponds to a translation of the h_k .

The characteristic roots of the difference equation (6.2) are $1, q, q^{-1}, q^2, q^{-2}$ and therefore the general solution of (6.2) has the form

$$s_k = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 q^k + \lambda_2 q^{-k} + \lambda_3 q^{2k} + \lambda_4 q^{-2k}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (7.6)$$

where the coefficients λ_j are complex numbers. Since the sequence g_k is a solution of (6.2) it can be expressed as

$$g_k = d_0 + d_1 q^k + d_2 q^{-k} + d_3 q^{2k} + d_4 q^{-2k}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (7.7)$$

Since $g_0 = 0$ and g_3 and g_4 are given by (6.11) and (6.12) respectively, we obtain

$$d_0 = -(a_2 b_2 q + d_1 + d_2 + a_1 b_1 q^{-1}), \quad d_3 = a_1 b_1 q^{-1}, \quad d_4 = a_2 b_2 q, \quad (7.8)$$

and d_1 and d_2 are arbitrary parameters. Therefore we have

$$g_k = (q^k - 1)d_1 + (q^{-k} - 1)d_2 + q^{-1}(q^{2k} - 1)a_1 b_1 + q(q^{-2k} - 1)a_2 b_2, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (7.9)$$

The coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation are given in equations (5.5) and (5.6). We can express them in terms of the parameters $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$ by using equations (7.2), (7.4), and (7.7). The entries $\alpha_k = L_{k,k-1}$, for $k \geq 1$, are given by

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(q^{k-1}a_1 + a_2)(q^{2k}a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) + q^k(q^{k-1}a_1d_2 - a_2d_1)}{(q^{2k}a_1 - a_2)(q^{2k-1}a_1 - a_2)^2(q^{2k-2}a_1 - a_2)} \times$$

$$(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1}a_1 - a_2) ((q^k + 1)(q^{2k-2}a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + q^{k-1}(q^kd_1 - d_2)). \quad (7.10)$$

Let $\beta_k = L_{k,k}$ and let $\sigma_k = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k$, for $k \geq 0$. Then we obtain

$$\sigma_k = (k+1)b_0 + \left(\frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) \frac{(q^ka_1 + a_2)(qb_2 - b_1) - q^{k+1}d_1 + d_2}{q^{2k+1}a_1 - a_2}, \quad (7.11)$$

The polynomials $u_k(t)$ are invariant under the maps

$$(q, q^{-1}, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2) \rightarrow (q^{-1}, q, a_2, a_1, b_2, b_1, d_2, d_1)$$

and

$$(a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2) \rightarrow r(a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2), \quad r \neq 0.$$

This fact can be seen using equations (4.2), (4.3), (7.2), (7.7), and (7.8). This clearly implies that the recurrence coefficients α_k and β_k are also invariant under such transformations.

In some concrete cases these properties, combined with symmetries of the parameters that determine the concrete case, are the reason why in some cases we can find different sets of values of $a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$ that produce the same family of polynomial sequences. Let us note that multiplication of b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2 by a nonzero constant produces a rescaling of the x_k which is equivalent to a rescaling of the variable t in the Newton type basis $\{v_k(t)\}$ and also in the sequence $\{u_k(t)\}$.

From (7.10) we see that in order to have $\alpha_k \neq 0$, for $k \geq 1$, the parameter q must not be a root of 1. Let us note that if $q^ka_1 - a_2 \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$ then α_k and β_k are well defined. Note also that α_k is independent of a_0 and b_0 , and β_k is independent of a_0 .

Equation (7.2) gives us

$$h_k - h_j = (q^k - q^j)a_1 + (q^{-k} - q^{-j})a_2 = (q^{-j} - q^{-k})(q^{k+j}a_1 - a_2), \quad k \neq j, \quad (7.12)$$

and therefore we must have $q^n a_1 \neq a_2$ for $n \geq 1$. With equations (7.12) and (7.9) we can express the entries of the matrices C and C^{-1} in terms of the parameters $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$. For example, the quotients

$$\frac{g_k}{h_0 - h_k} = \frac{d_2 - q^kd_1 - q^{k-1}(1 + q^k)a_1b_1 + q(1 + q^{-k})a_2b_2}{q^ka_1 - a_2}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (7.13)$$

are the factors of the generalized moments $m_n = \hat{c}_{n,0}$, given by equation (4.9).

In the generalized difference equation (4.1) the operators γ and ϕ are defined with respect to the basis $\{v_k(t) : k \geq 0\}$. Using the matrices V and V^{-1} we can transform equation (4.1) and obtain an equation with respect to the basis $\{t^k : k \geq 0\}$. For

example, if $h_0 = 0$, $b_0 = 0$, and $b_2 = 0$ we obtain the q -difference equation of second order

$$\left(\frac{(q-1)^2}{q^2} f_2(t) \mathcal{D}_{1/q} \mathcal{D}_q + \frac{q-1}{q} f_1(t) \mathcal{D}_q \right) u_k(t) = h_k u_k(t), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (7.14)$$

where

$$f_1(t) = (qa_1 - a_2)t + qd_1 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_1 - d_2, \quad f_2(t) = a_2t^2 + (d_2 - a_2b_1)t - b_1d_2,$$

h_k is given by (7.2) and $\mathcal{D}_q$ and $\mathcal{D}_{1/q}$ are the usual q -difference and q^{-1} -difference operators. There is a similar equation in the case with $h_0 = 0$, $b_0 = 0$, and $b_1 = 0$. If b_1 and b_2 are both nonzero, a second order q -difference equation as for the Askey-Wilson polynomials is obtained.

If we know all the ingredients of the operator in the left-hand side of (7.14) we can verify that (7.14) is equivalent to (4.1) by applying the operator to $v_k(t)$. In the general case the matrix representation of the generalized difference operator with respect to the basis of monomials is not a banded matrix.

The class $\mathcal{H}_q$ contains all the families of basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomial sequences in the q -Askey scheme [8]. The coefficients of the normalized three-term recurrence relation for each of the families listed in Chapter 14 of [8] are obtained by direct substitution of appropriate values of the parameters $a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$, without taking limits. The only case that requires taking a limit is the family of continuous q -Hermite polynomials. Some families correspond to several sets of values of the parameters. We give next some examples.

The Askey-Wilson polynomials are obtained with

$$a_1 = abcdq^{-1}a_2, \quad b_0 = 0, \quad b_1 = a/2, \quad b_2 = a^{-1}/2, \\ d_1 = -a(abcd + q(bc + bd + cd))q^{-2}a_2/2, \quad d_2 = -((b + c + d) + qa^{-1})a_2/2, \quad (7.15)$$

where a_2 is an arbitrary nonzero number and a, b, c, d are the parameters used in [8, eq. 14.1.5]. We obtain other representations for this family interchanging a with b, c , or d in the expressions for the parameters a_j, b_j, d_j in (7.15).

The q -Racah polynomials are obtained with

$$a_1 = \alpha\beta qa_2, \quad b_0 = 0, \quad b_1 = \gamma\delta q, \quad b_2 = 1, \\ d_1 = -q(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta + \alpha\beta\delta + \beta\gamma\delta + \alpha\gamma)a_2, \quad d_2 = -q(\beta\delta + \alpha + \gamma + 1)a_2, \quad (7.16)$$

where a_2 is an arbitrary nonzero number and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are the parameters used in [8, eq. 14.2.4] and must satisfy

$$\alpha q = q^{-N}, \quad \text{or } \beta \delta q = q^{-N}, \quad \text{or } \gamma q = q^{-N},$$

where N is a positive integer.

The continuous dual q -Hahn polynomials are obtained with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 0, & b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= \frac{a}{2}, & b_2 &= \frac{1}{2a}, \\ d_1 &= \frac{-abca_2}{2q}, & d_2 &= \frac{-(ab + ac + q)a_2}{2a}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

where a_2 is an arbitrary nonzero number and a, b, c are the parameters used in [8, eq. 14.3.5].

The Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials are obtained with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 0, & b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= a, & b_2 &= a^{-1}, \\ d_1 &= 0, & d_2 &= -a_2(b + qa^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

where a_2 is any nonzero number and a, b are the parameters in [8, eq. 14.8.5].

For the big q -Jacobi polynomials we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= abqa_2, & b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= 0, & b_2 &= 1, \\ d_1 &= -acqa_2, & d_2 &= -(1 + a + c)qa_2, \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

where a_2 is any nonzero number and a, b, c are the parameters in [8, eq. 14.5.4]. Another set of values of the parameters that gives the same family of big q -Jacobi polynomials is

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= abqa_2, & b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= aq, & b_2 &= 0, \\ d_1 &= -(ab + b + c)aq a_2, & d_2 &= -cqa_2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

Note that the Newton bases for the two sets of values are different.

For the q -Meixner polynomials we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= bq, & b_2 &= 0, & a_2 &= 0, \\ d_1 &= a_1(bc - b - 1), & d_2 &= ca_1, \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

where a_1 is an arbitrary nonzero number and b, c are the parameters in [8, eq. 14.13.4]. This family is also obtained with $(b_0 = 0, b_1 = 0, b_2 = 1, a_2 = 0)$ and with $((b_0 = 0, b_1 = 0, b_2 = -cb, a_2 = 0)$, taking suitable values for d_1 and d_2 for each set of values of the parameters. Note that the corresponding sequences of nodes x_k are different in the three cases.

8. The class $\mathcal{H}_1$

In this section we consider the family of orthogonal polynomial sequences obtained when we take $z = 3$. In this case the characteristic polynomial of the difference equation (6.1) is $t^3 - 3t^2 + 3t - 1 = (t - 1)^3$ and therefore the general solution of (6.1) has the form

$$s_k = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 k + \lambda_2 k(k - 1), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.1)$$

where the coefficients λ_j are arbitrary complex numbers.

Since the sequences h_k and x_k are solutions of (6.1) we can write them as follows.

$$h_k = a_0 + a_1 k + a_2 k(k - 1), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.2)$$

where $a_0 = h_0$, $a_1 = h_1 - h_0$, and $a_2 = (h_0 - 2h_1 + h_2)/2$, and

$$x_k = b_0 + b_1 k + b_2 k(k - 1), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.3)$$

where $b_0 = x_0$, $b_1 = x_1 - x_0$, and $b_2 = (x_0 - 2x_1 + x_2)/2$.

The characteristic polynomial of the difference equation (6.2) is in this case $(t - 1)^5$ and therefore the sequence g_k , which is a solution of (6.2), with $g_0 = 0$ and g_3 and g_4 given by (6.11) and (6.12) respectively, can be expressed as

$$g_k = d_1 k + d_2 k(k - 1) + d_3 k(k - 1)(k - 2) + d_4 k(k - 1)(k - 2)(k - 3), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.4)$$

where

$$d_1 = g_1, \quad d_2 = \frac{g_2}{2} - g_1, \quad d_3 = a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 + 2a_2 b_2, \quad d_4 = a_2 b_2, \quad (8.5)$$

and g_1 and g_2 are any nonzero numbers.

From (8.2) we obtain

$$h_m - h_n = (m - n)(a_1 + (m - 1 + n)a_2), \quad m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and therefore the condition $h_m \neq h_n$, for $m \neq n$, is satisfied if $a_1 + ka_2 \neq 0$ for $k \geq 0$.

The coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation are in this case given by

$$\alpha_k = \frac{g_k (a_1 + (k - 2)a_2)}{(a_1 + (2k - 1)a_2)(a_1 + (2k - 2)a_2)^2(a_1 + (2k - 3)a_2)} \times \\ ((a_1 + (k - 1)a_2)(a_2 b_2 k(k - 1) + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)k - a_1 b_1 - a_1 b_2 + d_2) - d_1 a_2), \quad (8.6)$$

where g_k is given by (8.4) and

$$\sigma_k = (k + 1) \left(b_0 - \frac{d_1 + e_1 k + e_2 k(k - 1) + e_3 k(k - 1)(k - 2)}{a_1 + 2ka_2} \right), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.7)$$

where $e_1 = -(1/2)(a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_1 - 2d_2)$, $e_2 = (2/3)b_2(a_1 + a_2)$, $e_3 = (1/3)a_2b_2$. Recall that $\beta_0 = \sigma_0$ and $\beta_k = \sigma_k - \sigma_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$.

The recurrence coefficients α_k and β_k are invariant under the map

$$(a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2) \rightarrow r(a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2), \quad r \neq 0.$$

The quotients

$$\frac{g_k}{h_0 - h_k} = -\frac{d_1 + d_2(k-1) + 2(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + 2a_2b_2)\binom{k-1}{2} + 6a_2b_2\binom{k-1}{3}}{a_1 + (k-1)a_2}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (8.8)$$

are the factors of the generalized moments $m_n = \hat{c}_{n,0}$ given by (4.9). The entries of the matrices C and C^{-1} are products of terms similar to (8.8).

If b_0 , b_1 , and b_2 are equal to zero then the basis $\{v_k(t)\}$ is the standard basis of monomials $\{t^k\}$ and in such case the generalized difference equation (4.1) can be written in the form

$$((d_2t + a_2t^2)D^2 + (d_1 + a_1t)D + a_0)u_k(t) = h_k u_k(t), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.9)$$

where D denotes differentiation with respect to t and h_k is given by (8.2).

If we take $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = 0$ then the basis $\{v_k(t)\}$ becomes the basis of translated powers $\{(t - b_0)^k : k \geq 0\}$ and the matrices V and V^{-1} are generalized Pascal matrices. Transforming the generalized difference equation (4.1) to the basis of monomials we obtain the equation

$$((b_0(a_2b_0 - d_2) + (d_2 - 2a_2b_0)t + a_2t^2)D^2 + (d_1 - a_1b_0 + a_1t)D + a_0)u_k(t) = h_k u_k(t), \quad (8.10)$$

where D denotes differentiation with respect to t . If we put $b_0 = 0$ in (8.10) we obtain (8.9).

If $b_2 = 0$ and $b_1 \neq 0$ then the basis $\{v_k(t)\}$ is the Newton basis associated with the sequence $b_0 + b_1k$, for $k \geq 0$. In this case the generalized difference operator $\mathcal{D}$ of (4.1) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{D} = a_0I + ((a_1 - 2a_2)t + \epsilon_1)\Delta_{b_1} + (a_2t + \epsilon_2)\Delta_{b_1}^2 E, \quad (8.11)$$

where Δ_{b_1} is the difference operator defined by

$$\Delta_{b_1} v_k(t) = \frac{v_k(t + b_1) - v_k(t)}{b_1} = k v_{k-1}(t), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.12)$$

Here E is the forward shift operator defined by

$$Ev_k(t) = v_{k+1}(t), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (8.13)$$

and the coefficients ϵ_1, ϵ_2 are given by

$$\epsilon_1 = d_1 - 2d_2 - a_1b_0 + 2a_1b_1 + 2a_2b_0 + 2a_2b_1, \quad \epsilon_2 = d_2 = a_1b_1 - a_2b_0 - a_2b_1.$$

The class $\mathcal{H}_1$ contains all the families of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomial sequences in the Askey scheme. The coefficients of the normalized three-term recurrence relation for each family listed in Chapter 9 of [8] are obtained by giving appropriate values to our parameters $a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$, without taking limits. The only case that requires limits is the family of Hermite polynomials. We give next some examples.

The Wilson polynomials are obtained with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (a + b + c + d)a_2, & b_0 &= -a^2, & b_1 &= -2a - 1, & b_2 &= -1, \\ d_1 &= -a_2(a+b)(a+c)(a+d), & d_2 &= -a_2((2a+1)(a+b+c+d+1) + a^2 + bc + bd + cd), \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

where a_2 is any nonzero number and a, b, c, d are the parameters in [8, eq. 9.1.5].

The Racah polynomials, in the case $\alpha + 1 = -N$, are obtained when

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (1 + \beta - N)a_2, & b_0 &= 0, & b_1 &= 2 + \gamma + \delta, & b_2 &= 1, \\ d_1 &= -N(1 + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \delta)a_2, & d_2 &= -a_2((3 + \beta + \gamma + \delta)N - (2 + \gamma)(2 + \delta + \gamma)), \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

where a_2 is any nonzero number and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, N$ are the parameters in [8, eq. 9.2.4].

For the continuous dual Hahn polynomials we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= 0, & b_0 &= -a^2, & b_1 &= -2a - 1, & b_2 &= -1, \\ d_1 &= -a_1(a + c)(a + b), & d_2 &= -a_1(2a + b + c + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (8.16)$$

where a_1 is any nonzero number and a, b, c are the parameters in [8, eq. 9.3.5].

For the continuous Hahn polynomials we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= a_2(a + b + c + d), & b_0 &= ib, & b_1 &= i, & b_2 &= 0, \\ d_1 &= ia_2(b^2 + bc + bd + cd), & d_2 &= ia_2(2b + c + d + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (8.17)$$

where a_2 is any nonzero number and a, b, c, d are the parameters in [8, eq. 9.4.4].

The Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials are obtained with

$$a_2 = 0, \quad b_0 = -i\lambda, \quad b_1 = -i, \quad b_2 = 0,$$

$$d_1 = a_1 \lambda \left(\frac{\cos(\phi)}{\sin(\phi)} - i \right), \quad d_2 = \frac{a_1}{2} \left(\frac{\cos(\phi)}{\sin(\phi)} - i \right), \quad (8.18)$$

where a_1 is any nonzero number and λ, ϕ are the parameters in [8, eq. 9.7.4].

For the Jacobi polynomials we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= a_2(2 + \alpha + \beta), & b_0 &= 1, & b_1 &= 0, & b_2 &= 0, \\ d_1 &= 2a_2(\alpha + 1), & d_2 &= 2a_2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

here a_2 is any nonzero number and α, β are the parameters in [8, eq.9.8.5].

The Bessel polynomials are obtained with

$$a_1 = (a + 2)a_2, \quad b_0 = 0, \quad b_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = 0, \quad d_1 = 2a_2, \quad d_2 = 0, \quad (8.20)$$

where a_2 is any nonzero number and a is the parameter in [8, eq. 9.13.4].

9. The class $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$

We consider now the class of orthogonal polynomial sequences obtained when we take $z = -1$. In this case the characteristic polynomial of the difference equation (6.1) is $t^3 + t^2 - t - 1 = (t - 1)(t + 1)^2$ and its roots are $1, -1, -1$. Therefore the general solution of (6.1) has the form

$$s_k = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1(-1)^k + \lambda_2 k(-1)^k, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (9.1)$$

where the λ_j are arbitrary numbers.

We can write the sequences h_k and x_k , which are solutions of (6.1), as

$$h_k = a_0 + a_1(-1)^k + 2a_2 k(-1)^k, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (9.2)$$

and

$$x_k = b_0 + b_1(-1)^k + 2b_2 k(-1)^k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (9.3)$$

We include the factor 2 in the terms with a_2 and b_2 in order to simplify the notation.

When $z = -1$ the difference equation (6.2) has characteristic roots $1, 1, 1, -1, -1$ and then we can write

$$g_k = d_0 + d_1(-1)^k + 2d_2 k(-1)^k + 2d_3 k + 2d_4 k(k - 1), \quad k \geq 0. \quad (9.4)$$

Since $g_0 = 0$ and g_3 and g_4 must satisfy (6.11) and (6.12) respectively, we obtain

$$d_0 = -d_1, \quad d_3 = -a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1, \quad d_4 = -2a_2 b_2. \quad (9.5)$$

The coefficients d_1 and d_2 are arbitrary numbers.

Equation (9.2) gives us

$$h_n - h_m = ((-1)^n - (-1)^m)a_1 + 2((-1)^n n - (-1)^m m)a_2,$$

and this shows that the condition $h_n - h_m \neq 0$ for $n \neq m$ is satisfied if a_2 is not zero and $a_1 - na_2 \neq 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

The initial terms of the sequence h_k are

$$a_0 + a_1, a_0 - a_1 - 2a_2, a_0 + a_1 + 4a_2, a_0 - a_1 - 6a_2, \dots$$

Note that the terms with even indices and the terms with odd indices behave differently. The sequences x_k and g_k have a similar property.

The coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation also have different expressions for even and odd indices. For n even we have

$$\alpha_n = -\frac{n(a_1 + (n-1)a_2)(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 - d_2 + 2(n-1)a_2b_2)(2na_2b_2 + a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 + d_2)}{a_2(a_1 + (2n-1)a_2)^2}. \quad (9.6)$$

For n odd we have

$$\alpha_n = \frac{-d_1 - n(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 + d_2) - 2n(n-1)a_2b_2}{a_2(a_1 + (2n-1)a_2)^2} \times \\ ((a_1(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - d_2) + a_2d_1 + a_2((3n-1)a_1b_2 - (n-1)(a_2b_1 + d_2) + 2n(n-1)a_2b_2))). \quad (9.7)$$

Note that, in both cases, α_n is a rational function of n with numerator of degree 4 and denominator of degree 2.

For the coefficients $\sigma_n = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$ we have, if n is even

$$\sigma_n = (n+1)b_0 + \frac{n(a_2b_1 - a_2b_2 - d_2) + a_1b_1 - a_1b_2 - d_1 - d_2}{a_1 + (2n+1)a_2}, \quad (9.8)$$

and if n is odd then

$$\sigma_n = (n+1) \left(b_0 + \frac{a_2b_1 - a_2b_2 - d_2}{a_1 + (2n+1)a_2} \right). \quad (9.9)$$

The generalized moments, given by (4.9), are products of the quotients

$$\frac{g_k}{h_0 - h_k} = \frac{4k^2a_2b_2 - (-1)^k(d_1 + 2kd_2) - 2k(2a_2b_2 - a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) + d_1}{(-1)^k(a_1 + 2ka_2) - a_1}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (9.10)$$

We consider next the generalized difference equation (4.1) in a particular case. If we take $b_0 = 0$ and $b_2 = 0$ then the matrix representation B of the difference operator in (4.1) with respect to the basis of monomials is a sum of Kronecker products of infinite matrices and 2×2 matrices. That is

$$B = I \otimes B_0 + D \otimes B_1 + (DS^T) \otimes B_2, \quad (9.11)$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product and

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & 0 \\ 2a_1b_1 - 2d_1 - 2d_2 & a_0 - a_1 - 2a_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -4b_1d_2 & -4a_2b_1 + 4d_2 \\ 0 & 4b_1d_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4a_2 & 0 \\ 4a_2b_1 - 4d_2 - 4a_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $A = CV$ be the matrix of coefficients of the sequence $\{u_k(t)\}$ with respect to the monomial basis. Then the difference equation (4.1) is in this case equivalent to the equation $AB = HA$. The operators that appear here seem to be related with Dunkl operators. We have not studied particular families in $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

Some orthogonal polynomial sequences in the class $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ have been studied in the recent papers [19], [23], and [24]. Such sequences are obtained by taking limits as q goes to -1 and are connected with Hahn and big and little q -Jacobi polynomials.

10. The proof of Theorem 6.1

The proof of Theorem 6.1 will be obtained in three steps. In the first step we show that $g_k = x_{k-1}(h_k - h_0) + d_k$ satisfies (6.2), (6.11), (6.12), and $g_0 = 0$ if and only if d_k satisfies (6.1) and $d_0 = 0$. In the second step we show that a certain matrix R , constructed with the sequences h_k and d_k , is tridiagonal if and only if d_k satisfies (6.1) and $d_0 = 0$. In the last step we show that L is tridiagonal if and only if R is tridiagonal. Combining these results we obtain a proof of Theorem 6.1.

In this Section we assume that h_k and x_k are sequences that satisfy the difference equation (6.1) for $k \geq -1$. (Note that sequences given for $k \geq 0$ and satisfying (6.1) are immediately extended to such sequences for $k \geq -1$.)

Let g_k and d_k be sequences for $k \geq 0$ which are related by $g_k = x_{k-1}(h_k - h_0) + d_k$. It is easy to verify that the termwise product of two sequences that satisfy the difference equation (6.1) is a sequence that satisfies (6.2). Hence g_k satisfies (6.2) and $g_0 = 0$ if and only if d_k satisfies (6.2) and $d_0 = 0$. Since $d_1 = g_1 - x_0(h_1 - h_0)$ and $d_2 = g_2 - x_1(h_2 - h_0)$, substitution in g_3 and g_4 gives us

$$g_3 = z((x_1 - x_0)h_0 + (x_0 - x_2)h_1 + (x_2 - x_1)h_2 - g_1 + g_2). \quad (10.1)$$

and

$$g_4 = (z-1)(z(x_2-x_0)h_0 + z(z+1)(x_1-x_2)h_1 - z(z(x_1-x_2)-x_0+x_1)h_2 - (z+1)g_1 + zg_2). \quad (10.2)$$

These equations are the same as (6.11) and (6.12), respectively, which are equivalent to $L_{2,0} = 0$ and $L_{3,1} = 0$.

From (10.1) and (10.2) we get

$$g_3 - z((x_1-x_0)h_0 + (x_0-x_2)h_1 + (x_2-x_1)h_2 + g_2 - g_1) = d_3 - z(d_2 - d_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_4 - (z-1)(z(x_2-x_0)h_0 + z(z+1)(x_1-x_2)h_1 - z(z(x_1-x_2)-x_0+x_1)h_2 - (z+1)g_1 + zg_2) \\ = (d_4 - z(d_3 - d_2) - d_1) + z(d_3 - z(d_2 - d_1)). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that the characteristic polynomial of (6.1) divides the one of (6.2), and thus any solution of (6.1) is also a solution of (6.2).) Hence g_k satisfies (6.2), (10.1), and (10.2) if and only if d_k satisfies (6.1). This completes the first step.

For the second step, let K be the infinite lower triangular matrix obtained by putting $g_k = 1$, for all $k \geq 1$, in the matrix C , defined in Section 4. Note that K depends only on the sequence h_k . From equations (4.3), (4.6), and (4.7) we see that the (n, j) entry of K is $1/w_{n,j}(h_n)$ and the (j, k) entry of K^{-1} is $1/w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)$.

Let $\{d_k : k \geq 0\}$ be a sequence that satisfies (6.1) and has $d_0 = 0$, and let Δ denote the infinite diagonal matrix whose (k, k) entry is d_{k+1} . Recall that S is the shift operator defined in (3.5). We define the matrix $R = K \Delta S^\top K^{-1}$.

Theorem 10.1. *The matrix R is tridiagonal if and only if the sequence d_k satisfies (6.1).*

Proof: The expressions for the entries of K and K^{-1} give us

$$R_{n,k} = \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{d_j}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n) w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)}. \quad (10.3)$$

Since $h_{-1} = h_2 - z(h_1 - h_0)$ there are no undefined terms in the denominator when $j = 0$. We must show that $R_{n,k} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$.

It is convenient to define

$$\hat{R}_{n,k} = w_{n+2,k+1}(h_k) R_{n,k}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-2.$$

Since the h_k are pairwise distinct $w_{n+2,k+1}(h_k) \neq 0$, and then $R_{n,k} = 0$ if and only if $\hat{R}_{n,k} = 0$. A simplification gives us

$$\hat{R}_{n,k} = \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{w_{n+2,j+1}(h_k) d_j}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n)}.$$

Define the polynomials

$$y_{n,k}(t) = \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{w_{n+2,j+1}(h_k) t^{j-k}}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k+1} \frac{w_{n+2,j+k+1}(h_k) t^j}{w_{n,j+k-1}(h_n)}. \quad (10.4)$$

$y_{n,k}(t)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n+1-k$ and

$$y_{n,n-2}(t) = -\frac{(h_{n-2} - h_{n-1})(h_{n-2} - h_{n+1})}{(h_n - h_{n-3})(h_n - h_{n-1})} + \frac{h_{n-2} - h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1} - h_n} t - \frac{h_{n-2} - h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1} - h_n} t^2 + t^3.$$

Using (6.3) and (6.4) we obtain

$$y_{n,n-2}(t) = -1 + zt - zt^2 + t^3, \quad n \geq 2.$$

This is the characteristic polynomial of the difference equation (6.1). Let us denote it by $y(t)$. We will show in the next Lemma that $y(t)$ divides $y_{n,k}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let us suppose that this result holds.

Let E denote the forward shift operator defined by $Es_k = s_{k+1}$ for any sequence s_k and $k \geq 0$. Then $y_{n,k}(E)$ is a linear operator that acts on sequences and

$$y_{n,k}(E)d_k = \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{w_{n+2,j+1}(h_k)}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n)} E^{j-k} d_k = \hat{R}_{n,k}. \quad (10.5)$$

Since the characteristic polynomial $y(t)$ of the difference equation (6.1) divides $y_{n,k}(t)$, for $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, d_k satisfies (6.1), and $d_0 = 0$, it is clear that $\hat{R}_{n,k} = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. Therefore $\hat{R}$ is tridiagonal and hence R is tridiagonal. Note that the condition $d_0 = 0$ is needed in (10.5) in the case $k = 0$.

Suppose now that R is tridiagonal. Then $\hat{R}$ is tridiagonal and since $y(t) = y_{n,n-2}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$, from (10.5) we obtain $y(E)d_{n-2} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, and this means that the sequence d_k satisfies the difference equation (6.1). This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Lemma 10.1. *The characteristic polynomial $y(t)$ of the difference equation (6.1) divides the polynomials $y_{n,k}(t)$, defined in (10.4), for $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$.*

Proof: Define the polynomials

$$\nu_{n,k}(t) = \frac{1}{w_{k+2,k-1}(h_n)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2} \frac{w_{n+2,j+k+1}(h_k) t^j}{w_{n,j+k+2}(h_n)}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-2. \quad (10.6)$$

We claim that $y_{n,k}(t) = -y(t)\nu_{n,k}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let us write the product $y(t)\nu_{n,k}(t)$ as

$$y(t)\nu_{n,k}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k+1} \rho(n, k, j) t^j, \quad (10.7)$$

and the characteristic polynomial $y(t)$ of (6.1) as

$$y(t) = \psi_3 t^3 + \psi_2 t^2 + \psi_1 t + \psi_0 = t^3 - zt^2 + zt - 1.$$

The coefficient of t^j in $y(t)\nu_{n,k}(t)$ is given by

$$\rho(n, k, j) = \sum_{i=\max(0, j-3)}^{\min(j, n-k-2)} \psi_{j-i} \frac{w_{n+2, i+k+1}(h_k)}{w_{k+2, k-1}(h_n) w_{n, i+k+2}(h_n)}, \quad (10.8)$$

We divide $\rho(n, k, j)$ by the coefficient of t^j in $y_{n,k}(t)$ and, after a simplification, we obtain the function

$$\xi(n, k, j) = \sum_{i=\max(0, j-3)}^{\min(j, n-k-2)} \psi_{j-i} \frac{w_{j+k+1, i+k+1}(h_k) w_{i+k+2, j+k-1}(h_n)}{w_{k+2, k-1}(h_n)}. \quad (10.9)$$

Now we must show that $\xi(n, k, j) = -1$ for $n \geq 2$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, $0 \leq j \leq n-k+1$. Let us note that the common denominator in (10.9) depends only on n and k and it is a polynomial of degree 3 evaluated at h_n . For $j = n-k+1$ we obtain

$$\xi(n, k, n-k+1) = \frac{w_{n+2, n-1}(h_k)}{w_{k+2, k-1}(h_n)},$$

which equals -1 by (6.4). A straightforward computation shows that $\xi(n, k, n-k+1) - \xi(n, k, n-k)$ is a multiple of $z(h_{n-1} - h_n) - h_{n-2} + h_{n+1}$, which is equal to zero, since h_k satisfies (6.1). In the same way we find that $\xi(n, k, n-k) - \xi(n, k, n-k-1)$ is a multiple of $z(h_{n-2} - h_{n-1}) - h_{n-3} + h_n$, which is also zero.

We have analogous results at the other end of the values of j . For $j = 0$ we obtain $\xi(n, k, 0) = -1$. We define $h_{-1} = h_2 - z(h_1 - h_0)$, to avoid undefined terms in (10.9). We find that $\xi(n, k, 1) - 1$ is a multiple of $z(h_k - h_{k+1}) - h_{k-1} + h_{k+2}$, which equals zero, and also that $\xi(n, k, 2) - \xi(n, k, 1)$ is a multiple of $z(h_{k+1} - h_{k+2}) - h_k + h_{k+3}$, which is zero.

It remains to show that $\xi(n, k, j) = -1$ for $3 \leq j \leq n - k - 2$. Such terms exist only when $n - k \geq 5$. Define the polynomials

$$\zeta(n, k, j, t) = - \sum_{i=j-3}^j \psi_{j-i} w_{j+k+1, i+k+1}(h_k) w_{i+k+2, j+k-1}(t). \quad (10.10)$$

This is obtained from (10.9) by eliminating the denominator, replacing h_n with t and changing sign. It is convenient to change the summation index. Put $\ell = i - j + 3$. Then we have

$$\zeta(n, k, j, t) = - \sum_{\ell=0}^3 \psi_{3-\ell} w_{j+k+1, \ell+j+k-2}(h_k) w_{\ell+j+k-1, j+k-1}(t). \quad (10.11)$$

We will show that $\zeta(n, k, j, t)$ is equal to $w_{k+2, k-1}(t)$ for $n \geq 5$, $0 \leq k \leq n - 5$, $3 \leq j \leq n - k - 2$.

Let $m \geq 0$. The set $\{w_{m+i, m}(t) : 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$ is a Newton type basis for the space of polynomials of degree at most 3. Newton's interpolation formula [3, Eq. 2.10, Exer. 2.2-1], [20, Eq. 2.26], gives us

$$w_{k+2, k-1}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^3 \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{w_{k+2, k-1}(h_{m+i})}{w'_{m+\ell+1, m}(h_{m+i})} w_{m+\ell, m}(t). \quad (10.12)$$

Taking $m = j + k - 1$ we obtain

$$w_{k+2, k-1}(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^3 \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{w_{k+2, k-1}(h_{j+k-1+i})}{w'_{j+k+\ell, j+k-1}(h_{j+k-1+i})} w_{\ell+j+k-1, j+k-1}(t). \quad (10.13)$$

It remains to show that corresponding coefficients of the polynomials $w_{\ell+j+k-1, j+k-1}(t)$ in (10.11) and (10.13) coincide. The coefficient for $\ell = 3$ in (10.11) is equal to 1. The corresponding coefficient in (10.13) is equal to 1 by a general property of divided differences. It is the coefficient of the monic Newton polynomial of degree three in the expression for a monic polynomial of degree three in terms of the Newton polynomials.

For $\ell = 0$ the coefficient in (10.11) is $-w_{j+k+1,j+k-2}(h_k)$ and the corresponding coefficient in (10.13) is $w_{k+2,k-1}(h_{j+k-1})$ and by (6.4) their quotient is equal to 1.

The coefficient for $\ell = 1$ in (10.13) divided by $z(h_k - h_{j+k-1})(h_k - h_{j+k})$, which is the corresponding coefficient in (10.11), is

$$\frac{w_{k+2,k-1}(h_{j+k-1}) - w_{k+2,k-1}(h_{j+k})}{z(h_k - h_{j+k-1})(h_k - h_{j+k})(h_{j+k-1} - h_{j+k})} =$$

$$\frac{1}{z(h_{j+k-1} - h_{j+k})} \left(\frac{-(h_{j+k-1} - h_{k-1})(h_{j+k-1} - h_{k+1})}{(h_k - h_{j+k})} + \frac{(h_{j+k} - h_{k-1})(h_{j+k} - h_{k+1})}{(h_k - h_{j+k-1})} \right).$$

The first term in the last sum can be written as

$$\frac{(h_{j+k-1} - h_{k-1})(h_{j+k-1} - h_{k+1})}{(h_{j+k-2} - h_k)(h_{j+k} - h_k)}(h_{j+k-2} - h_k) = h_{j+k-2} - h_k,$$

and the second term can be written as

$$\frac{-(h_{j+k} - h_{k-1})(h_{j+k} - h_{k+1})}{(h_{j+k-1} - h_k)(h_{j+k+1} - h_k)}(h_{j+k+1} - h_k) = -(h_{j+k+1} - h_k).$$

The quotients in the last two equations are equal to 1 and -1 respectively, by (6.4). Therefore the quotient of the coefficients for $\ell = 1$ is equal to

$$\frac{h_{j+k-2} - h_k - (h_{j+k-1} - h_k)}{z(h_{j+k-1} - h_{j+k})} = \frac{h_{j+k-2} - h_{j+k+1}}{z(h_{j+k-1} - h_{j+k})} = 1.$$

For $\ell = 2$ the corresponding coefficient in (10.11) is equal to $-z(h_k - h_{j+k})$. By a general property of divided differences the coefficient for $\ell = 2$ in (10.13) is equal to

$$h_{j+k+1} + h_{j+k} + h_{j+k-1} - h_{k+1} - h_k - h_{k-1} = \sum_{i=k-1}^{j+k-2} (h_{i+3} - h_i).$$

This can also be obtained by simplification of the sum with three terms that corresponds to $\ell = 2$ in (10.13). Since $h_{i+3} - h_i = z(h_{i+2} - h_{i+1})$, the sum in the previous equation is equal to the telescopic sum

$$z \sum_{i=k-1}^{j+k-2} (h_{i+2} - h_{i+1}) = z(h_{j+k} - h_k),$$

and therefore it is equal to the corresponding term for $\ell = 2$ in (10.11). Therefore we have proved that $y(t)$ divides $y_{n,k}(t)$, for $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. $\square$

In the third step we use “diagonal” similarity to show that the matrix L is tridiagonal if and only if R is tridiagonal.

Theorem 10.2. Let h_k , x_k , and d_k be sequences that satisfy the difference equation (6.1) and such that $d_0 = 0$ and $h_j \neq h_k$ if $j \neq k$. Define the sequence $g_k = x_{k-1}(h_k - h_0) + d_k$, for $k \geq 1$, and $g_0 = 0$, and suppose that $g_k \neq 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Then the matrix

$$L = C (S^\top + F) C^{-1}$$

is tridiagonal, where C is the matrix whose entries are

$$c_{n,k} = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \frac{g_{j+1}}{h_n - h_j}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

and $c_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 0$, F is the diagonal matrix whose (k, k) entry is x_k for $k \geq 0$, and $S^\top$ is the right shift matrix, defined in (3.5).

Proof: Let G be the diagonal matrix whose (k, k) entry is g_{k+1} for $k \geq 0$. We will show first that $L_g = K (G S^\top + F) K^{-1}$ is tridiagonal.

We have

$$(K F K^{-1})_{n,k} = \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{x_j}{w_{n,j}(h_n) w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)} = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+1} \frac{x_{j-1}}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n) w_{j,k+1}(h_k)}. \quad (10.14)$$

In the sum

$$\sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{x_{j-1}(h_j - h_k)}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n) w_{j,k+1}(h_k)},$$

the summand corresponding to $j = k$ is zero, and if $j > k$ the negative of the factor $h_j - h_k$ appears as a factor of $w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)$. Therefore this sum is the negative of the sum in (10.14). Using this result and writing $g_j = x_{j-1}(h_j - h_0) + d_j$, for $j \geq 1$, we obtain

$$(L_g)_{n,k} = (K (G S^\top + F) K^{-1})_{n,k} = \sum_{j=k}^{n+1} \frac{x_{j-1}(h_k - h_0) + d_j}{w_{n,j-1}(h_n) w_{j+1,k+1}(h_k)}. \quad (10.15)$$

Since x_j and d_j satisfy (6.1), $d_0 = 0$, and $h_k - h_0$ is independent of the summation index j , if we put $x_{-1} = x_2 - z(x_1 - x_0)$ then we can apply Theorem 10.1 and we conclude that L_g is tridiagonal.

Define the g -factorial function f_g by $f_g(0) = 1$ and $f_g(k) = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Let Γ be the diagonal matrix whose (k, k) entry is $f_g(k)$ for $k \geq 0$. Since $g_k \neq 0$, for $k \geq 1$, it is clear that Γ is invertible and that the (k, k) entry of Γ^{-1} is $1/f_g(k)$, for $k \geq 0$.

It is easy to verify that

$$C = \Gamma K \Gamma^{-1}, \quad S^\top = \Gamma G S^\top \Gamma^{-1}, \quad F = \Gamma F \Gamma^{-1}. \quad (10.16)$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma L_g \Gamma^{-1} = \Gamma K (G S^\top + F) K^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} = C (S^\top + F) C^{-1} = L. \quad (10.17)$$

Since L_g is tridiagonal so is L . This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Combining the results obtained in this Section we obtain a proof of Theorem 6.1.

11. Final remarks

If we know the coefficients $c_{n,k}$ with respect to a Newton basis of some orthogonal polynomial sequence, and if we also know the sequence of eigenvalues $\{h_k\}$ then the sequence $\{g_k\}$ can be computed using the recurrence

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{g_{k+1}}{h_n - h_k} c_{n,k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

which we used to find the explicit formula for $c_{n,k}$.

The number of parameters required to describe particular subfamilies of orthogonal or q -orthogonal polynomials can be reduced if we take into account the invariance properties of the coefficients α_k and β_k of the three-term recurrence relation, rescaling of the independent variable t , the parameters that are zero, and the translations of the polynomials.

In sections 8 and 9 we have used the normalized recurrence coefficients to determine the parameters for the particular families because they do not depend on the basis of the space of polynomials used to express the polynomial sequences, and also because the procedure to find the parameters for a given family can also be used to see if there are several Newton basis that can be used for the given polynomial family. The parameters $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, d_1, d_2$ provide a uniform description of all the families and are useful for the purpose of classification.

It seems that the approach used in [18] to study symmetric polynomials may be used to complement our approach so that we can deal with Hermite and q -Hermite polynomials.

The class $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ is another source of research problems. It seems that only a few examples of elements in that class have been studied. See [19], [23], and [24].

The classification of the families in the Askey and the q -Askey scheme using the uniform parametrization is another interesting research topic.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank an anonymous referee that made a meticulous examination of the manuscript and provided numerous ideas to improve the present paper. The author also thanks Professor Tom Koornwinder for his valuable comments about previous versions of this paper.

References

- [1] W. A. Al-Salam and A. Verma, On the Geronimus polynomial sets, in: *Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1329, pp. 193–202, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [2] M. I. Arenas-Herrera and L. Verde-Star, Representation of doubly infinite matrices as non-commutative Laurent series, *Spec. Matrices* 5 (2017) 250–257.
- [3] S. D. Conte and C. de Boor, *Elementary numerical analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
- [4] R. S. Costas-Santos and F. Marcellán, q -classical orthogonal polynomials: a general difference calculus approach. *Acta Appl. Math.* 111 (2010), no. 1, 107–128.
- [5] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Cambridge University Press, Second edition, 2004.
- [6] J. Geronimus, The orthogonality of some systems of polynomials, *Duke Math. J.* 14 (1947) 503–510.
- [7] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [8] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky, and R. F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [9] W. Koepf and D. Schmersau, Recurrence equations and their classical orthogonal polynomial solutions, *Orthogonal systems and applications*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 128 (2002) 303–327.
- [10] T. H. Koornwinder, The Askey scheme as a four-manifold with corners, *Ramanujan J.* 20 (2009) 409–439.

- [11] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Oxford University Press, Second edition. 1995.
- [12] F. Marcellán, A. Branquinho, and J. Petronilho, Classical orthogonal polynomials: a functional approach, *Acta Appl. Math.* 34 (1994) 283–303.
- [13] M. Masjed-Jamei, F. Soleyman, I. Area, and J. J. Nieto, On (p, q) -classical orthogonal polynomials and their characterization theorems, *Adv. Difference Equ.* (2017) Paper No. 186, 17 pp.
- [14] J. C. Mason and D. Handscomb, Chebyshev polynomials, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [15] M. Njinkou Sandjon, A. Branquinho, M. Foupouagnigni, and I. Area, Characterization of classical orthogonal polynomials on quadratic lattices, *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* 23 (2017) 983–1002.
- [16] P. Njionou Sadjang, W. Koepf, and M. Foupouagnigni, On moments of classical orthogonal polynomials, *J. Math. Analysis Appl.* 424 (2015) 122–151.
- [17] D. D. Tcheutia, Recurrence equations and their classical orthogonal polynomial solutions on a quadratic or a q -quadratic lattice. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 25 (2019), no. 7, 969–993.
- [18] S. Tsujimoto, L. Vinet, G-F. Yu, and A. Zhedanov, Symmetric abstract hypergeometric polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 458 (2018) 742–754.
- [19] S. Tsujimoto, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, Dual -1 Hahn polynomials: “classical” polynomials beyond the Leonard duality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141 (2013) 959–970.
- [20] L. Verde-Star, Divided differences and combinatorial identities, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 85 (1991) 215–242.
- [21] L. Verde-Star, Characterization and construction of classical orthogonal polynomials using a matrix approach, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 3635–3648.
- [22] L. Verde-Star, Recurrence coefficients and difference equations of classical discrete and q -orthogonal polynomial sequences, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 440 (2014) 293–306.
- [23] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, A limit $q = -1$ for big q -Jacobi polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012) 5491–5507.

- [24] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, A “missing” family of classical orthogonal polynomials, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 085201 (16pp).
- [25] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials with respect to Newtonian bases, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 12 (2016), 048.