

# Generalized Fluctuation Theorem

Saikat Sarkar\*

Indian Institute of Technology Indore, India

(Dated: March 10, 2021)

Over the last couple of decades, stochastic thermodynamics has emerged as a powerful framework to describe thermodynamic processes for systems driven arbitrarily far-from-equilibrium. As fluctuation theorem (FT) is one of its major results, it is important to have an accurate expression for FT. In this letter I have derived a generalized fluctuation theorem using measure theory, specifically Girsanov's theorem applied to the forward and time reversed Langevin dynamics, which recovers the popular form of FT as an approximation. Furthermore through Monte Carlo simulation of the Langevin dynamics of a colloidal particle, I have shown that the proposed FT is accurate and the usual FT diverges from one with time. Such a finding is expected to have significant consequences in our understanding of nonequilibrium systems and necessitate revisiting many of the results connected to FT.

## INTRODUCTION

An accurate theory for non-equilibrium thermodynamic processes is fundamentally important to understand many of the phenomena that occur in nature. Over the last couple of decades, researchers have made significant progress in the development of the field by coming up with crucial results in stochastic thermodynamics [1]. One of its main results is fluctuation theorem (FT), which is a symmetry relation providing us with an equality closure to the physical processes arbitrarily far from equilibrium [1–5]. FT has several consequences, in particular, it ensures the satisfaction of the second law of thermodynamics for non-equilibrium systems. Other results that follow from FT are Green-Kubo relation [6], Jarzynski equality [4], thermodynamic uncertainty relation [7] etc.

Given the major role played by FT in stochastic thermodynamics, it is important to have an accurate expression for FT. In this letter, I have derived a generalized FT which recovers the usual FT as an approximation. By studying the dynamics of a colloidal particle within a Monte Carlo set up, I have shown that the proposed FT, i.e. Eqn.(1), is accurate and the popular form of FT, i.e. Eqn. (2), diverges with time (see Fig. 1). I have derived the generalized FT using the Langevin dynamics for a forward and its time reversed path exploiting Girsanov's theorem [8, 9]. Specifically, I have used the drift change information between the two Langevin dynamics for the derivation. Remarkably, this approach leads to the following fluctuation theorem:

$$\left\langle \exp \left( -\Delta s - \frac{1}{2} [\Delta s, \Delta s] \right) \right\rangle = 1 \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta s$  is the entropy production for a nonequilibrium thermodynamic process over a time span 0 to  $t$  and  $[\Delta s, \Delta s]$  is the quadratic variation of  $\Delta s$  [8, 9]. The notation  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the averaging operation. One may clearly

see that the above relation recovers the usual FT, i.e.

$$\left\langle \exp(-\Delta s) \right\rangle = 1, \quad (2)$$

only when  $[\Delta s, \Delta s]$  is negligible, which may not always be true.

*Fluctuation theorem from a change of drift-* Consider the stochastic dynamics of a colloidal particle in a thermal bath of strength  $\sigma^2 = 2ck_B T$ , where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $T$  is the temperature and  $c$  is the viscosity coefficient. The colloidal particle assumes a position  $x_t := x(t)$  at time  $t$  with probability  $P_F := \rho_F(x_0) p_F(x_t|x_0)$  where  $\rho_F(x_0)$  is the probability of the initial position  $x_0$  and  $p_F(x_t|x_0)$  is the conditional probability of  $x_t$  given  $x_0$ . The particle experiences a conservative potential  $V(x)$  and a time dependent external force  $f(t)$ . The velocity of the particle is denoted by  $v_t := v(t)$  at time  $t$ . The forward Langevin dynamics of the colloidal particle may be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= v dt \\ dv &= \frac{1}{m} (-cv - \partial_x V(x) + f(t)) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} dB_t \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $m$  denotes the mass of the particle and  $B_t$  is a Wiener process that characterizes the noise term. Accordingly, the Langevin dynamics for the time reversed trajectory may be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{x} &= \tilde{v} dt \\ d\tilde{v} &= \frac{1}{m} \left( c\tilde{v} - \partial_{\tilde{x}} V(\tilde{x}) + \tilde{f}(t) \right) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} d\tilde{B}_t \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\tilde{x}(t) := x(t - \tau)$ ,  $\tilde{v}(t) := v(t - \tau)$  and  $\tilde{f}(t) := f(t - \tau)$  represent the position, velocity and external deterministic forcing for the time reversed trajectory.  $\tilde{B}_t$  is a Wiener process characterizing the noise for the reverse trajectory. The forward and the time reversed trajectories must obey the following conditions:  $x_0 = x(0) =$

$\tilde{x}_t = \tilde{x}(t)$  and  $x_t = x(t) = \tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{x}(0)$ . The particle assumes the time reversed trajectory with probability  $P_R := \rho_R(\tilde{x}_0) p_R(\tilde{x}_t|\tilde{x}_0)$  where  $\rho_R(\tilde{x}_0)$  is the initial probability distribution and  $p_R(\tilde{x}_t|\tilde{x}_0)$  is the conditional probability of  $\tilde{x}_t$  given  $\tilde{x}_0$  for the time reversed trajectory respectively. One may notice the difference in drift term between the forward and the time reversed Langevin dynamics by having a closer look at Eqns. (3) and (4). I shall exploit this aspect to derive a symmetry relation. For that the forward dynamics is rewritten as,

$$dx = v dt$$

$$dv = \frac{1}{m} (cv - \partial_x V(x) + f) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} \left( -\frac{2cv}{\sigma} dt + dB_t \right) \quad (5)$$

Now I shall apply Girsanov's theorem on Eqn. (5) such that the term  $(-\frac{2cv}{\sigma} dt + dB_t)$  behaves like a Brownian motion  $d\tilde{B}_t$  under the measure  $P_R$ , thereby arriving at the time reversed dynamics. The change of measure may be accomplished as below,

$$M_t P_F = P_R \quad (6)$$

where

$$M_t = \exp \left( -\xi_t - \frac{1}{2} [\xi_t, \xi_t] \right).$$

Here  $\xi_t = \int_0^t (-\frac{2cv}{\sigma}) dB_\tau$ . The term  $[\xi_t, \xi_t]$  in the above equation is the quadratic variation of  $\xi_t$ .  $M_t$  is an exponential martingale. For a proof of Eqn. (6) one may refer to [8, 9]. The derivation of the symmetry relation would be complete once  $\xi_t$  is given a thermodynamics interpretation. This may be done as the following,

$$-\xi_t = \int_0^t \frac{2cv dB_\tau}{\sigma} = \int_0^t \frac{2cv \sigma dB_\tau}{2ck_B T} = \int_0^t \frac{v \sigma dB_\tau}{k_B T}.$$

As  $v$  is the velocity of the particle and  $\sigma dB_t$  is the force exerted by the bath on the system particle,  $\int_0^t v \sigma dB_\tau$  must be the work done by the bath upon the system, which is equal to  $-W$ , if  $W$  is the work done by the system. Consider that the free energies of the system at time 0 and  $t$  are denoted by  $E_0$  and  $E_t$  respectively and  $Q$  is the heat fed into the system by the bath. These quantities are connected by the following energy balance,

$$(E_t - E_0) = Q + W. \quad (7)$$

Eqn. (7) connects  $\xi_t$  with thermodynamic quantity heat. Now I shall connect  $\xi_t$  with entropy production. For that let us consider a special case that the system starts from an equilibrium at time  $t = 0$  and reaches another equilibrium at time  $t$ . In that case, we know the exact probability distributions at these two initial and the final time instances, i.e.  $p_0 \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_0}{k_B T}\right)$  and  $p_t \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_t}{k_B T}\right)$  respectively. Hence Eqn. (7) may be rewritten as,

$$-\xi_t = \frac{-W}{k_B T} = -\left( \ln p_0 - \ln p_t - \frac{Q}{k_B T} \right) = -\Delta s$$

where  $\Delta s$  is the total entropy production. Thus we arrive at the following fluctuation theorem,

$$\exp \left( -\Delta s - \frac{1}{2} [\Delta s, \Delta s] \right) P_F = P_R. \quad (8)$$

On taking summation over all the trajectories we get the other form of FT as given below.

$$\left\langle \exp \left( -\Delta s - \frac{1}{2} [\Delta s, \Delta s] \right) \right\rangle = 1. \quad (9)$$




FIG. 1: Monte Carlo simulation of fluctuation theorem with  $10^6$  particles. x-axis denotes time in second. Solid blue line shows the validity of the usual fluctuation theorem, i.e.  $\langle \exp(-\Delta s) \rangle = 1$  with time. Dashed red line shows the validity of the proposed generalized fluctuation theorem, i.e.  $\langle \exp(-\Delta s - 1/2[\Delta s, \Delta s]) \rangle = 1$  with time.




FIG. 2: Forcing function  $f(t)$  applied to the system.

To numerically verify the theoretical finding, I have studied the Langevin dynamics, as shown in Eqn. (3),




FIG. 3: The evolution of macroscopic entropy production ( $\langle \Delta s \rangle$ ) (solid blue line) and its quadratic variation ( $\langle [\Delta s, \Delta s] \rangle$ ) (dashed black line)




FIG. 4: Probability density functions of the entropy productions at  $t = 0$  s (green) and at  $t = 10^{-10}$  s (red). x-axis denotes the entropy production  $\Delta s$  and the y-axis the probability density of  $\Delta s$

of a colloidal particle which is experiencing an external force and is in a thermal bath. The Langevin equation has been simulated within a Monte Carlo setup by taking  $10^6$  Monte Carlo particles.  $c = 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$  Ns/m,  $V(x) = 0$ ,  $m = 7.1 \times 10^{-18}$  kg,  $T = 300$  K,  $k_B = 1.38064852 \times 10^{-23}$  J/K. Starting from  $t = 0$  the simulation has been performed with time step  $dt = 10^{-13}$  s upto  $t = 10^{-10}$  s. The forcing function has been taken as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t) &= 0 \text{ if } t < t_1 \\
 &= \sin(\pi(t - t_1)/(t_2 - t_1)) \text{ pN if } t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\
 &= 0 \text{ if } t > t_2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

where  $t_1 = 10$  and  $t_2 = 990$  (see Fig. 2). The comparison between the proposed generalized FT and the usual FT has been given in Fig. 1. One may clearly observe that the proposed FT, i.e.  $\langle \exp(-\Delta s - \frac{1}{2}[\Delta s, \Delta s]) \rangle = 1$  is valid over the entire time span. However, the usual FT,  $\langle \exp(-\Delta s) \rangle = 1$ , although valid initially, diverges from one after a while. This indicates that the quadratic variation may have a nontrivial contribution. Fig. 3 shows the evolution of total macroscopic entropy production  $\langle \Delta s \rangle$  and the corresponding quadratic variation  $\langle [\Delta s, \Delta s] \rangle$  with time. We see that both the quantities are monotonically increasing as expected. The initial and the final probability distributions of the entropy production have been shown in Fig. 4. This demonstrates that the probability distribution of the entropy production flattens and the mean shifts rightward with time. Note that, following the condition of convexity, by applying Jensen's inequality on the proposed FT, we obtain  $\langle \Delta s \rangle + 1/2 \langle [\Delta s, \Delta s] \rangle \geq 0$ . Fig. 3 numerically verifies this inequality. From this inequality another interesting fact surfaces: FT does not necessarily imply the second law of thermodynamics, i.e.  $\langle \Delta s \rangle \geq 0$ . This necessitates further investigation on the connection between FT and the second law of thermodynamics.

*Conclusion*- In this letter, I have proposed a generalized fluctuation theorem that recovers the popular form of FT as an approximation. Through Monte Carlo simulation of a Langevin equation, I have shown that the proposed generalized FT is accurate and the popular form of FT diverges with time. For the derivation of the proposed FT I have exploited the forward and time reversed Langevin dynamics within a measure theoretic set up, especially by using Girsanov's theorem. Since fluctuation theorem plays a central role in stochastic thermodynamics, such finding is expected to have significant impact in the field stochastic thermodynamics and its applications.

*Acknowledgment*- I acknowledge Annwasha Dutta (Department of Physics, IIT Kanpur) for stimulating discussions. I also thank her for giving valuable comments on this manuscript.

\* saikat@iiti.ac.in

- [1] U. Seifert, Reports on progress in physics **75**, 126001 (2012).
- [2] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, Physical review letters **71**, 2401 (1993).
- [3] T. Hatano and S.-i. Sasa, Physical review letters **86**, 3463 (2001).

- [4] C. Jarzynski, *Physical Review Letters* **78**, 2690 (1997).
- [5] G. E. Crooks, *Physical Review E* **60**, 2721 (1999).
- [6] D. J. Searles and D. J. Evans, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **112**, 9727 (2000).
- [7] Y. Hasegawa and T. Van Vu, *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 110602 (2019).
- [8] B. Oksendal, *Stochastic differential equations: an introduction with applications* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
- [9] I. V. Girsanov, *Theory of Probability & Its Applications* **5**, 285 (1960).